



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

The Ethnic and Racial Aspects of Clinton's Appointments

by
Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.
page 42

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS

by Professor R.P. Oliver

THE QUADRENNIAL SHINDIG:

Gritz, page 1; Perot, page 6;

The War Lord, page 7;

Slick (and Sick) Willie, page 8;

Prolonged Uncertainty, page 10;

Prospects, page 14; Appendix, page 15.

NAZIS EVERYWHERE, page 17;

A TRAITOR IS WORTH MORE THAN AN ARMY;

page 20; SPICED CRAMBE, page 22;

THE ROT AND THE STENCH, page 35;

PERSECUTION OF THE RIGHTEOUS, page 40.

THEIR CAPITALISM AND OURS,
by Hector Rodgers, Ph.D., page 46.

VOL. 20 - NO. 7

MARCH 1993

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$75.00
Sample Copy	\$ 6.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 22.00
50 copies	\$ 90.00
100 copies	\$150.00
500 copies	\$600.00
1000 copies	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an-informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Reutlo P. Oliver

THE QUADRENNIAL SHINDIG

Early in November a great many Americans enjoyed a walk in the crisp cool weather that prevailed in most regions of the country, met and gossiped with friends and neighbors as they waited in line at the polls, and amused themselves by pressing keys on a voting machine or punching holes in strips of thick paper or marking with pencils on ballots. Most of them, furthermore, enjoyed an illusion that they were doing something significant—something that might affect their future in some way. It would be impolite to disabuse the innocents, but probably none of them are among the readers of this periodical.

The Jews had long before decided whom they would employ in the White House, but *goyim* were not privy to their decision and consequently curious about what straw boss would be given them. The election accordingly held the attention of many Americans, and it had some mildly interesting aspects.

Gritz

There was an American candidate, Colonel Gritz, who received 95,000 votes, less than one percent of the total, since he was almost totally ignored by the newspapers and boob-tubes, and had to rely entirely on what support could be given him by the minuscule Populist Party, which had been further diminished by fission. No one knows how many votes for him were stolen or disregarded, but however many, they could not have altered the outcome of the election.

I have remarked at some length on the deficiencies of Colonel Gritz in *Liberty Bell*, May 1992, pp. 17-31 (cf. October, pp. 21 f.), but it remains true that Colonel Gritz was the only serious candidate who espoused policies that

would benefit Americans, and we must judge candidates by what they profess, when there is no clear indication that they are like the Demopublican candidates, whose promises, as everyone knows, are mere persiflage. The few Americans who wanted to recover the country that once was theirs were therefore justified in taking Gritz seriously.

J. B. Campbell, in *Liberty Bell*, January 1993, pp. 25-38, launched a drastic attack on Gritz, making allegations I can neither verify nor disprove, but utterly damning, if correct. It is odd that he overlooked a cogent charge against the Colonel, which could be made by drawing an obvious inference from his name, but which I have hesitated to put in print because friends who have met the colonel and whose ethnological discernment far exceeds my own could perceive no confirmation of it.

The Colonel's name, 'Gritz,' is pronounced with the *i* as in 'white' or the *ei* in German 'weiß,' not as the *i* in 'grit.' Now I cannot think of a source for that name other than the Yiddish colloquialism, *greitzer*, which means 'money,' like the American colloquialism, 'buck,' which was widely used to designate a dollar when the serfs were permitted to have money, and which is still occasionally used to designate the counterfeit currency now in use. The Yiddish term was adopted by some Jews as a family (gentile!) name when European governments forced them to adopt names that would indicate family relationships and differentiate persons having the same personal name. The Jewish name is pronounced as in German, and, with apocoptation of the last syllable, would be the Colonel's name. His pronunciation of it could be a tacit avowal of his race, despite lineaments that seem Germanic.¹ That would explain, of course, some of the colonel's attitudes of which I

1. The name could, of course, come from a male ancestor generations ago, whose line could have been continued by marriages only with White women. That would have reduced the Jewish genetic factors to a very small fraction, and would raise the question whether even a drop of Yiddish ichor suffices to pollute Aryan minds, as Dr. Alfred Nossig and some other Yids believe. On the other hand, the Colonel's Germanic features could come from a mother and perhaps hybrid father.

particularly disapproved, e.g., his endorsement of the Holohoax and his obstinate denial of biological facts.

There is, however, an addition to the dossier which deserves your earnest consideration.

As I remarked in *America's Decline*, Jewish dominance of the John Birch Society became unmistakable when Robert the Welcher produced a disgusting booklet called "The Neutralizers," which advanced the absurd claim that only the nasty, wicked Communists and their agents would try to make Americans desist from loving the magnanimous and lovable Sheenies.² As I anticipated, the rump of the Birch Society survived its founder,³ and soon retreated to Appleton, Wisconsin, where it strove to maintain itself with a steadily declining membership, which, however, greatly increased after Lord Bushy's treacherous attack on Iraq, thus giving the Society a new lease on life.

So far as I noticed, the Birchers in Appleton eschewed the Welcher's more absurd propaganda while continuing to attribute the world's woes to carefully unidentified "Insiders," and seemed to have become relatively sober in order to retain what membership they had left. But they reverted to the foolish old hoop-la in the issue of their magazine, *The New American*, dated 14 December 1992.

The public had come to learn something of the small army of five hundred goons, terrorists, and professional killers, complete with airplanes, helicopters, and other advanced military equipment, that the Federal government had sent into Idaho to murder a man named Randy Weaver and his family, but which had succeeded only in murdering his wife and one of his children, and seriously wounding two

2. Cf. *America's Decline*, pp. 308 f. I am still uncertain whether Welch was a Jewish hireling when he organized the Society or was later captured by the World Destroyers. When I have time, I may give a fuller account of its foundation than I thought it worthwhile to include in my book.

3. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, May 1985, pp. 19-23.

others.⁴ Americans who are not unconscious do not yet recognize the Federal government's right summarily to kill Americans who displease it, and as the news from Idaho leaked out, despite the efforts of the newspapers to suppress it, they felt dissatisfied. The Birch Society acted promptly to neutralize that dissatisfaction with an article that "proved" that Weaver was an agent of the vile conspiracy of "Insiders," sent to Idaho for the purpose of misleading American conservatives, who, needless to say, must adore Sheenies *ex officio*. And Colonel Gritz, who had been so impudent as to be a candidate for the Presidency, was denounced as Weaver's colleague in deceiving pure-hearted Americans.

To this patently absurd bit of poisoned hokum, Colonel Gritz replied with an article in the January 1993 issue of *Criminal Politics*.⁵ He refuted some of the lies in the Birch Society's attempt to impose on the credulity of its members, which even went so far as to claim that Weaver was engaged in a "plot to destroy Idaho"! He had found a witness to an admission by an officer in the army of five hundred doughty terrorists that their mission was to kill everyone in the cabin, Weaver, his wife, his four children, and a friend. (Since the dead cannot speak, the newspapers could then have buried the "incident" in Yiddish slime.) He denounced the article as "a totally inaccurate and scurrilous tale with an obvious B'nai B'rith bias," serving the interests of the "pro-Zionist government." *Enfin!* The Colonel has now publicly admitted that he knows what the Sons of the Covenant with Yahweh are doing to the United States and the American

4. The pretext for the murderous action was that Weaver had sold to a sneaking agent of the Treasury Department a shotgun that had a barrel one-quarter of an inch shorter than required by the bureaucracy's regulations. For a concise account of this event, see Campbell's article in *Liberty Bell*, January 1993, pp. 25 f., 33 f. For a fuller account, see the excellent newspaper, *The Truth at Last* (formerly the *Thunderbolt*), issue No. 359 (undated; c. September 1992); copies may be obtained from the publisher, P.O. Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia (30061); \$1.25 post-paid.

5. P.O. Box 37812, Cincinnati, Ohio (45222); \$187.50 per annum. Parts of the article were quoted (without mention of source) in *The Spotlight*, 22 February 1993, p.2.

people. He has broken the silence on this fundamental fact that he maintained in his book, *Called to Serve*.

Colonel Gritz quoted and endorsed the accurate and unimpeachable description of the Birch business that had been given him, years before, by an officer in the Counter-Intelligence Corps:

"The Birch Society is a diversionary front to devitalize Americans with strong nationalistic instincts." Bravo! The plain truth at last.

Colonel Gritz, furthermore, specifically identified the hoax as the work of B'nai B'rith, the vast network of Yahweh's Yids, who are herding their Aryan cattle to the precipice over which they will disappear from the world.

But do not become too enthusiastic and scorn Mr. Campbell. Gritz could be a Jew and still oppose the Zionists who now control his race: there are a few Jews who do. And, in any case, remember the sneaking subtlety of God's People, whose propaganda sheets, called newspapers, have for decades spat at the Birch business, precisely for the purpose of making it seem that they are afraid of their own diversionary front and thus making Americans believe that one tentacle of the octopus is fighting another. Colonel Gritz's correct identification of the Birch business *could* be—I do not affirm that it is—another subtlety in deception by the Masters of Deceit.

There are, furthermore, troubling aspects of the Colonel's activities. I have valid evidence to confirm Mr. Campbell's statement that when Gritz describes what he did or said in the past, some deficiency of memory or veracity adjusts his account to what he thinks his present auditors want to hear. What is much more serious, I am reliably informed that he did great harm to the Populist Party that was supporting him by demanding that "racists and bigots" be "extirpated" from it and by ostracizing chapters that did not obey him. His sons were imbued with his animosity and became so arrogantly offensive to a group of Southern gentlemen that they would have been thrown out of the

room, if they had not been the candidate's scions, sent to represent him.

It is true that many simple-minded Americans have swallowed the Jewish poison about the joy of making their country a melting pot full of stinking mongrels, and that many more are told by their shamans that mammals, at least anthropoid species, can be transformed by being sloshed about in magic water and given sips of Jesus-juice, just as a frog can be transformed into a prince by a comparable rite. And it is also true that the Colonel professes belief in a god who watches over him (but not over lesser folk) and intervenes to preserve him whenever he is in peril. But the Colonel is not simple-minded and naïf; he is a man of wide experience of reality—of brutal reality,—and it cannot be that he is unaware of the innate, profound, and unalterable differences between races. His professed abhorrence of “racism” must serve some ulterior and hidden purpose of his own.

That is enough. When an experienced and intelligent man denounces “racism,” beware! He may (or may not) be a useful and even reliable ally in some secondary matter at issue today, such as the Federal Reserve Swindle or the cuddling of perverts, but always remember that sometime in the days ahead of us, when our fight for survival has reached its most critical phase, he will be our enemy. You need know no more.

Perot

A man named Ross Perot, who had become very wealthy, obviously with the permission of our masters, and who was known for his efforts to procure the release of American prisoners in Vietnam, suddenly and dramatically appeared as an independent candidate for the Presidency and was not ignored by the media of disinformation. Perhaps to his surprise, he attracted a very substantial following and it seemed likely that he would obtain votes in the Electoral College and perhaps be in a position to decide between the two Demopublican candidates. He then demoralized all, and

alienated half, of his supporters by withdrawing, with the transparently silly excuse that someone had threatened to cause a disturbance at the wedding of his daughter. A little later, when it seemed that Lord Bushy, who, when alarmed by the support given David Duke and Buchanan, had tried to conciliate Americans by deferring taxing them for a hundred billion to please his Jewish masters and by refusing to admit to Florida a horde of diseased and vicious niggers from Haiti, was favored by more voters than Clinton, Perot reappeared as a candidate, rallied the fraction of his early supporters who still thought him sincere, designedly alienated some of them by proclaiming that he did not want wicked “racists” to vote for him, and nevertheless obtained 19% of the votes, almost all of which would have otherwise gone to Lord Bushy, who was undoubtedly the lesser of the two evils. Perot, therefore, whether by intent or because he was skillfully manipulated by the World Destroyers, contrived the election of Clinton, who received 42% of the popular vote.

During his career of odd tergiversation, Perot certainly profited financially from deals with the Federal government, and the usually well-informed periodical, *Criminal Politics*, which at first supported him, charged him, on the basis of the recently disclosed sale of various properties to the Federal government at inflated prices, with having sold out. While he may have profited, it is likely that he had other reasons for his apparent vacillation—and for the political activity in which he is now engaged.

The War Lord

American voters, when compelled to choose between Scylla and Charybdis, would normally opt for the War Lord, largely because Bush maintains a superficial gentility, while Clinton is a vulgar oaf who comes from the very dregs of society, the class which niggers in the South used to call “poor white trash.”

We may feel a twinge of pity for Bushy, who must have been disappointed and aggrieved when the masters whom

he had served so faithfully replaced him with an unsavory pet, but he should have known better than to expect gratitude or even consideration from Kikes. How diligently he served them at the expense of his American subjects became obvious when General Schwarzkopf disclosed the fact that the Sheenies in Israel had indicated what targets their American janissaries should destroy while killing Semites in Iraq. If Bushy was given \$80,000,000 by the King of Saudi Arabia, as alleged by *The Spotlight*, 1 February 1993, that was not a bribe to make war, but a mere *pour boire* in recognition of his services in keeping high the inflated price of petroleum to increase the Saudi's profits and work hardship on the American people.

The Jews, by discarding him, deprived Bushy of an opportunity to strut before the world as War Lord again and smash up some other nation that disobeys God's Race. Rather nostalgically, he gave himself a last taste of glory by ordering some additional slaughter and destruction in Iraq, and by sending American troops and supplies on a fool's errand into Somalia.⁵

It is even possible that the Masters of the World, who cynically discarded him after he had served their purposes, may throw poor Bushy to the wolves, if they deem it expedient to excite a national scandal that will distract attention from what their new *fantoche* is doing to their American livestock.

Slick (and Sick) Willie

The new President's husband was inaugurated on 20 January. That may seem paradoxical, but it is an accurate statement of what took place, as you will see if you reflect on your observation of the bizarre pair in action, and especially if you have profited from the analytical commentary by Hilaire du Berrier in the November-December issue of his newsletter.⁶

5. See Appendix below.

6. *HduB Reports*, P.O. Box 786, St. George, Utah (84771); \$85. per annum.

Clinton, who took the name of one of his stepfathers, can speak fluently and knows how to simulate candor and even a friendly interest in his auditors as he exhibits a kind of boyish earnestness. He would have made a very successful salesman in a used-car lot. But, as M. du Berrier points out, he is still as juvenile as he was when he was in hiding in London and exciting anti-American riots: he is a perennial adolescent. The brains of the strange couple must be provided by its better half.

M. du Berrier quotes an article in the *Sunday Telegraph* [London], which points out that the pair somehow got Clinton elected governor of Arkansas, "but after two years his and Hillary's trendy smugness grated and they were booted out [in 1980]. This setback forced Bill and Hillary to reinvent themselves as moderate, church-going, pro-business, pro-death penalty, modernizing Southerners." The inventing was doubtless the work of the female part of the couple, who probably had leisure while her husband's thoughts were occupied by his depraved craving for nigger whores, of which she evidently approved, perhaps to free herself from annoyance. What she invented was a new self.

Hillary Rodham, as she called herself to show how liberated she was, had worn big spectacles to show how intellectual she was, and had slouched around with unkempt hair in bedraggled sweaters and slacks to show how feminist she was, but she realized that those attributes would not help her make something of the male to whom she was married. She began to call herself "Mrs. Clinton," had her face redesigned, had her hair trimmed, washed, and set, donned skirts and dresses, and probably took lessons in how to behave like a woman. How cleverly she played her new rôle is shown by the results. She got her spouse reelected Governor and then made him President. During the campaign she made it clear that she intended to be half of the Presidency—only half, because women should be modest. If some Americans are displeased by the "monstrous regimen of women," they cannot complain: they were warned.

Little seems to be known of the antecedents of Hillary Rodham, her parents, her brothers and sisters (if any), her education, or her life before she popped into the gubernatorial mansion at Little Rock and scandalized the survivors of the obsolete and dying species called ladies and gentlemen. Whether the information is withheld from ignorance or discretion, that is unfortunate, for it would provide some means of estimating her real character.⁷ She will, of course, obey our rulers in all matters of policy, but they will doubtless grant her liberty to indulge her whims in harassing and oppressing their American serfs.

Clinton comes from what will soon be the model American family. His mother is reported to have been a bar-fly and to have married quite a few men, including the one whose name Clinton assumed. Clinton's brother, Roger, a distributor of the cocaine to which he was addicted, was convicted a few years ago of criminal activity and served a year in prison before his sentence was suddenly commuted. Clinton's sister was jailed for peddling drugs and then for armed robbery. Clinton himself seems to have escaped promotion to a cell, although his activities while he was in England (or elsewhere) may have deserved one. It is not known whether he is a citizen of the United States. There are reports that when he was hiding out in England, he renounced his American citizenship, and frantic efforts to seal the files of the passport division of the State Department indicate that there is something extremely malodorous to hide.

Americans can now congratulate themselves. They have scraped the frowsty bottom of a barrel of rotten apples to obtain the frowsty Chief Executives who will further disgrace them in the eyes of the sneering world.

Prolonged Uncertainty

As is now obvious, the Jewish Empire, probably represented by Rabbi Kissinger, decided a year or more ago

7. I hear that she has commissioned a biography, i.e., an encomium, which is to be published soon. How much fiction it will contain is uncertain.

to appoint Hillary Clinton (with appended husband) as their manager in the White House and to represent the couple's election as a "mandate" to afflict the American boobs with ever greater hardships in preparation for the national bankruptcy and outbreak of civil wars, which many observers believe scheduled for 1995. But that was not apparent to observers.

What made the election of some mild interest was the fact that while it seemed likely before the end of August that Clinton would be appointed, no one could be sure of that until five days before the election.

Clinton's degenerate predilection for nigger whores, whom he rewarded lavishly,⁸ was fairly well known in Arkansas, but it was only at the time that he was nominated by the "Democratic" gang that I was privately informed that he had engendered at least one mulatto bastard, and that documentation identifying the bastard and its dam had been supplied to (a) the *National Enquirer*, on the supposition that that periodical would print anything sensational, (b) the *Washington Times*, on the supposition that the "conservative" newspaper would prefer anyone to so vulgar a creature as Clinton, (c) the headquarters of Bush's campaign, on the supposition that Bush wished to win the election, and (d) the weekly pro-American newspaper called *The Truth at Last* (formerly *The Thunderbolt*).⁹

8. An assortment of Clinton's favorite black whores is listed and identified in *The Truth at Last* (issue No. 359). The known total is said to be 35. Clinton seems to have preferred to pick up black prostitutes of the lowest grade whom he found soliciting business on the street, and to dazzle them with his generosity. The newspaper, on the basis of testimony verified by an expert with a sphigomanometer ("lie detector"), gives a brief account of a trio of such prostitutes, whom he picked up on the street and carried, in the gubernatorial limousine (driven by a trooper of the Arkansas State Police), to his mother's house, where he played games with them for a while, and then gave them \$1400—about \$1250 more than they would have hoped to receive from prosperous customers. The mother of his son was such a prostitute, but says she retired from her vocation before she became pregnant by the future (titular) President of the United States.

9. See Note 4 *supra*.

Although Americans seem theoretically to approve of integration, even when they know what it means, many voters would have been unwilling to elevate a father of mulatto bastards to the White House. That item of news, however, was totally suppressed until a few weeks before the election, when the *Truth at Last* finally printed it, having probably waited in the hope that the damning fact would be disclosed by periodicals of wider circulation not so identified with the American cause; it had verified the fact by investigation and added the corroborating information that the black bastard and the maternal whore had gone into hiding. Now, as I have said, had this fact been made public generally in the last week before the election, it would probably have ensured the election of Bush. It seems unlikely that the information was kept from him by his staff, so we must assume that he, perhaps under duress, acquiesced in his defeat.

It now appears that Bush and Clinton were partners in a criminal racket of which many "unreconstructed" Americans still disapprove.

Observers have long been puzzled by the origin of the quarrel between the C.I.A. (and hence Bush) and the Panamanian gang, headed by Noriega, who had been efficient partners in the supply of cocaine to Americans, the country of Panamá serving as a base for transshipment of cocaine from South America to American wholesalers through Florida and Louisiana.¹⁰ Lord Bushy, as you know, used the American Army for a treacherous invasion of Panamá in flagrant violation of all international law to abduct Noriega and incarcerate the captive for life in an American prison, where he is held in solitary confinement, with an even grosser contempt for international law and human decency. It now appears that the quarrel may have been occasioned by a decision of Bushy and his gang to by-pass Panamá and ship the cocaine directly from Colombia and Bolivia to the United States.

10. See Colonel Gritz' *Called to Serve*, pp. 181-187 and *passim* (especially the pages listed in the index s.v. 'Noriega').

In June of this year a correspondent, whom I did not know and whose *bona fides* I had no means of estimating, informed me that the C.I.A. had established a huge air base, capable of handling the very largest planes, at Mena, Arkansas, in the midst of a sparsely inhabited and largely forested region, to which Army aircraft were bringing huge shipments of cocaine directly from South America, and that this was obviously done with the complicity and cooperation of Clinton, the Governor of Arkansas.

There was nothing greatly astonishing in that report, since Leonard Martin, in his brochure, *The Godfathers of North Dakota*, published in 1987,¹¹ had quoted the confession of a man who had been employed by the state government of North Dakota to carry shipments of cocaine from Florida to the Attorney General of that state for distribution by the gang headed by the Governor of North Dakota. But I did not use the information about Clinton, since I could not be certain of the integrity of my source.

It now appears that he obtained his information from a newspaper,¹² which he should have cited in his letter to me.

11. This little booklet is now available from the Pro-American Educational Foundation, P.O. Box 628, Mandan, North Dakota (58554); \$5.00.

12. The alien censorship of our press is not quite complete. Some well-established local newspapers with a faithful readership can disclose locally-based scandals (as in the case of the Building-and-Loan fraud) with comparative impunity, while even in the major newspapers 'flukes' in journalism do occur from time to time when a reporter who has come upon a sensational story submits it to an editor (or his deputy) who can plead ignorance of specific instructions about the subject of the article. The most noted example occurred years ago when the *Los Angeles Times* published the fact that the Jews in Mississippi had hired two agents of the F.B.I. to murder any two Americans who were prominent for failure to revere God's Race; the agents selected, trapped, and machine gunned a young man and young woman, but the man remained alive with sixteen bullets in his body to be sentenced to life imprisonment, so the thrifty Jews paid their employees only \$35,000, half of the promised blood-money, and the disgruntled agents of the F.B.I. 'leaked' the story to the reporter. That publication of the story in the newspaper with the second largest circulation in this country was really extraordinary. Of course the million and more boobs who read it learned nothing.

On 21 May 1992 the *Arkansas Times* published an article describing the base at Mena and its use for massive shipments of cocaine from South America, as described by a man named Seal in a confession he made before he was murdered, and asking by implication how the governor of the state could possibly have been ignorant of the operation. Bush, who was head of the C.I.A. when the operation began, was also implicated. The story was given to the Associated Press but was carried by no "newspaper" in the United States, and was first disseminated to a larger public in the November issue of *Criminal Politics*.

The article in the *Arkansas Times*, although suppressed by the newspapers throughout the country, cannot have escaped the vigilance of Bushy's staff. He could have disclosed Clinton's activity as a principal in the covert importation of cocaine, while denying his own complicity, and that, I am sure, would have sufficed to ensure his reelection, whatever Perot might do. Here again the War Lord must have been forced to acquiesce in his defeat in the election.

Finally, according to the issue of *Criminal Politics* for December 1992, members of Bush's administration knew that Clinton had renounced his American citizenship when he was hiding out in England to avoid conscription. The accuracy of the report is sufficiently confirmed by the hasty appointment of a "special prosecutor" to "investigate the leaks in the Clinton family's passport file," which, by the way, may contain other stinking secrets. Here again Bush seems to have been compelled to refrain from making a disclosure that would have assured his reelection, even if made in the last week before it. I need not tell you what that means.

Prospects

You may be sure that the new Administration has a mandate (from its masters, not from the voters) to embark on forceable education of Americans so ignorant that they imagine they have Constitutional rights. You need no help

in foreseeing the many ways in which the boobs will be taught the place in the world into which they put themselves, step by fatal step, in a progression that began with their acceptance of the White Slave Act in 1913 and will end only when the last Aryan has been merged into a mass of mindless mongrels that are raised as cattle by their divinely appointed owners. ("God is the Jewish People.")

I shall mention here only one item in the program.

The Rodham female will doubtless try to have enacted legislation, such as that now in force in Canada, Britain, Germany, and Austria, making it a capital crime to question anything the Master Race chooses to tell its livestock, and particularly enforcing faith in the preposterous Holofoax. That will not greatly matter, if, as I fear, the *Boobus Americanus*—and, indeed, the whole species of the *Boobus Arianus*—is no longer a viable species of mammalian life.

APPENDIX

The former ambassador to Kenya, Smith Hempstone, speaking with a clarity and common sense one does not expect from anyone connected with the rulers in Washington, pointed out the futility and absurdity of a costly attempt "to keep tens of thousands of Somali kids from starving to death in 1993, who in all probability, will starve to death in 1994." He could also have remarked on the folly of trying to prevent some Somali from killing in 1993 the Somali whom they will kill in 1994—unless, of course, the American boobs are willing to keep their armed forces in that Hell-hole to the end of time.

Somalia was a peaceful and well-governed country so long as the greater part of it was ruled by the Italians under Mussolini while the rest was a colony of the British Crown. For present conditions in Somalia, the Americans are indeed responsible, thanks to their "Liberal" nitwits, who howled about "colonialism," and to their own fatuity in listening to such pests instead of swatting them. Everyone who had a modicum of common sense knew that if Somalia was not ruled by Europeans, it would speedily revert to its condition

at the time the civilized nations took an interest in it, and, if European rule were relaxed, there would appear numerous successors of the notorious *mulláh*, Mahommed bin Abdullah, whom the British suppressed in 1905 and the Italians in 1909 to protect the rest of the natives. The present rulers of the world also knew that, of course, but the end of civilized rule in Somalia, as elsewhere, was precisely what they wanted and which they used their "Liberal" dunces to obtain.

The native population of Somalia is Ethiopic, a species that is thought to have been originally Hamitic, i.e., belonging to the race that included the greater part of ancient Egyptians, but with a very considerable admixture of Nubians at some early time, of Semites from Arabia Felix when the latter conquered and colonized Ethiopia, and of native Congoids. The modern population, however, falls into three categories: (1) persons with a large admixture of Arab blood in relatively recent times, forming the most intelligent part of the population, (2) persons with a large admixture of Congoid blood (chiefly Bantu), forming the most ferocious part, and (3) a miscellaneous population, representing the early Hamitic-Semitic amalgam with sundry other admixtures and generally regarded as inferior by both of the other groups.

This mongrel population is certainly not worth preserving at any time and certainly not at a time when the whole planet is disastrously overpopulated with worthless anthropoids. The boob tubes have exhibited starving Somali children and adults to excite the glands of sentimental persons, but that is only to make them approve of the shocking waste of American resources (and some lives). A rational observer knows that we have no legitimate interest in how many of the mongrels starve to death or kill one another.

Some observers believe that the American Army was sent to Somalia to establish bases that can be used to mount an Iraq-style attack on the White population of South Africa, if they are not passive when the sweet niggers begin to subject and massacre them. That is problematic.

It is difficult to see what value a base in Somalia would have in an attack on South Africa, if the Jews' government in Washington sends the U.S. Army to carry out that phase of its long-standing strategy to exterminate the race that created our civilization with the weapons that we gave them to use against us. The United States is now building in Bechuanaland (now called Botswana), close to the border of South Africa, three of the largest and most formidably equipped air bases in the world. Along that border, American airborne troops, assisted by savages, have carried out manoeuvres that were ostentatious preparation for an invasion. And the nation is being undermined and subverted from within by the legion of spies and terrorists American taxpayers maintain in the fortress, called an Embassy, they built in the heart of Pretoria.

In the meantime, the foul traitors who rule South Africa, thanks to the bovine mentality of the Christianized majority of Aryans, are sabotaging and demoralizing the South African Army, and have liquidated the South African producers of jet aircraft, military helicopters, and other advanced weapons, to disarm the nation and to throw thousands of the world's most competent engineers and scientists out onto the streets in sudden poverty. Air and naval bases are being abandoned or turned over to the enemy. And some sixty thousand of the most vicious niggers, duly convicted of the most atrocious and nauseating crimes, have already been turned loose by De Klerk in the hope that they will go on a rampage of murdering White men.

For the facts about this treason to our race, see the October 1992 issue of the *South African Observer*, edited by the signally courageous son of the late S. E. D. Brown (P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa; by airmail to the United States, \$50.00 per annum).

NAZIS EVERYWHERE

As you must know—I say you *must*, because if you don't, the poor, innocent people who are persecuted for their righteousness may kick your teeth in. Well, then, as you must

know, the wicked Germans made soap out of Jew fat. Although I cannot recall having seen testimonials to the superior quality of holy soap, it must have been highly charged with mana and very efficacious, so it probably removed not only the dirt from your hands but also the fingernails.

As you also know, the evil Germans flayed the sacred carcasses and made lampshades out of the skin. It is true that all of those lampshades that have been examined are made of pigskin, but that merely proves that God created his masterpieces with a very thick hide.

Then, of course, there are the six billion sweet Sheenies—or was it six million? Well, it doesn't matter—whom the Germans loaded into gas chambers and that is why so many of God's Own were killed before they turned up as "survivors" to extort money from the Germans, the Americans, and everybody foolish enough to yield to blackmail for something he didn't do.¹

Some nasty people doubt these facts because there isn't a shred of evidence for them, so I will turn to indubitable

1. All this raises a knotty theological problem. The Germans must have exterminated six million of God's Darlings before they were resurrected and flocked into the United States, but old Yahweh remained passive and uninterested while his precious ones were being put to death, although he always vigilantly protected and watched over them in the old days, and he even stopped the sun over a town in Asia Minor so that his holy race could get in a little more butchering of the Semites from whom they were taking Palestine at that time. Yahweh's odd conduct troubled a learned rabbi, who tried to explain it in a book entitled *The Heavens Did Not Darken*. He concluded that the six million were exterminated because they were Jews like the recreants who were constantly threatened with dire things by most of the minor prophets whose diatribes are preserved in the Jew-Book; they failed to observe the regulations so minutely set forth in the third, fourth, and fifth Books of Moses. Some of them may have even eaten a slice of ham sometime. That, of course, is a plausible explanation—and the best that anyone has been able to think of. But if it is right, doesn't it follow that the Nazis were doing God's Work and admonishing recreant Jews as God ordained? And in that case, should we not honor them for their obedience to God and convert the "Holocaust Museum," recently built by the American taxpayers, into a memorial to show our gratitude to the Germans who helped Yahweh make his point and punished disobedient Jews in keeping with the will of their Creator? It's all very perplexing, isn't it?

facts that have been established and verified by all parties to the transaction.

From 1940 to about 1944 the American Army put between eight thousand and ten thousand American soldiers in gas chambers and exposed them to the deadly fumes of mustard gas and Lewisite to test the effectiveness of those gases and of types of protection against them. The soldiers were mostly youths of 17 or 18, who had volunteered to "try out new kinds of summer clothing." About fifty thousand more had their skins corroded with the liquid forms of the deadly gases.

Soldiers who had already developed conjunctivitis or laryngitis from one exposure were sent back into the gas chambers, sometimes for "man-break tests," which were "literally intended to bring the person to a state of collapse."

The participation of the victims was not entered on their military records, and they were told that the tests were a military secret, and that they would be court-martialed for treason if they ever spoke of them. That effectively shut off all complaints for about forty years, and it is not yet known how many of the victims died during the experiments or from the after-effects of their injuries during the past half century.

Some of them, however, braving the threat of execution for treason, began to ask for compensation in the 1980s, and two years ago the Department of Veterans' Affairs agreed to compensate men whose eyes or lungs had been gravely impaired almost fifty years before. In addition to damaging eyes and lungs, "the tests probably caused skin cancer, some kinds of leukaemia [= leuchaemia], sexual dysfunction, and certain psychological disorders."

Having steadfastly denied for fifty years that such tests had been made and thus stigmatizing as frauds the victims who dared tell what had happened to them, the Army has at last admitted that the victims were telling the truth after all, but, according to the *New Scientist*, 16 January 1993, refused to disclose the names of the young soldiers whose

lives were thus imperiled or sacrificed by their own commanders. The Naval Research Laboratory, however, has opened its records. The Department of Veterans' Affairs will thus be able to find many of the victims or their widows. The Army may eventually be forced to disclose the names of the victims who had been under its command.

A medical commission that investigated the American record of enlisting by deception volunteers who were then made "human subjects of medical experimentation," concluded that the victims "were mistreated twice—first in the secret testing and then by the official denials that lasted for decades."

Now, as you know, since you are told by God's People, who always speak their god's Truth, the awful Nazis were so evil they conducted medical experiments on members of the Master Race, but, so far as we are told, those Nazis never descended to the vileness of using their own men for such experiments. So the conclusion is inescapable. The American Army that destroyed Germany and inflicted catastrophe on the whole of the civilized world in 1945 must have been commanded, in part, at least, by Nazis more wicked than the other Nazis. It's all very confusing, isn't it?

A TRAITOR IS WORTH MORE THAN AN ARMY

Months, perhaps years, before our foul War Criminal succeeded in forcing the Japanese to attack Pearl Harbor in a desperate attempt to avert an incendiary attack on Tokyo by American bombers,¹ American Military Intelligence knew that German scientists were working on the production of an atomic bomb, and that their work was deliberately impeded and sabotaged by Heisenberg, the director of the German project. This induced a mild panic, moderated by the certainty that Hitler would not permit the use of such a weapon against European nations; whether he would permit its use against the Soviets was uncertain.

I do not know the date at which this information became available. A recently released intelligence report of April

1. See *Liberty Bell*, July 1989, pp. 1-8.

1941 is now assumed to have been the first, but I had the impression, based on what I was told, that the information earlier filtered up through the higher ranks of the Army and eventually, perhaps through the infamous traitor, General Marshall, reached the White House and had greater influence in initiating the "Manhattan Project" than the much-touted letter of Messiah Einstein to his fellow Communist.

The *Scientific American*, February 1993, contains an article by David C. Cassidy concerning the recently released summaries of conversations between German atomic scientists held prisoner in England after the Americans dropped their first bomb on Hiroshima in August 1945. The Germans did not know that their conversations were being overheard and recorded by electronic eavesdropping.

These summaries were examined by Manley Goldberg and Thomas Powers in an article in the *Bulletin of Atomic Scientists* to which Cassidy refers. Their conclusion, which is certainly supported by the weight of the evidence, is that "Heisenberg delayed the [Germans'] effort, hid their progress from the authorities [i.e., the government of Adolf Hitler], and leaked information to the Allies [i.e., the Jews' janissaries]." Heisenberg, in short, was a traitor, and we must regret that he was not exposed and shot. "Liberal" chatterboxes, with their innate perversity, naturally regard treason as high morality when it is detrimental to our race.

Mr. Cassidy would have us believe that the German failure to produce an atomic bomb in time was merely a matter of bureaucratic complacency and inertia. As proof that the Germans could not have done better, he quotes a statement made by Heisenberg to his German colleagues that he had never estimated how much of the fissionable isotope, uranium 235, would be needed for a practical atomic bomb, because he thought the isotope could not be obtained with sufficient purity. Mr. Cassidy does not allow for the fact that Heisenberg would naturally try to conceal his treason from his colleagues, but he does fairly report that

Heisenberg certainly did know that a reactor could breed plutonium, and therefore knew that Germany could have easily produced an atomic bomb. He thinks that this "leaves the question of scruple unresolved." I regard it as proof of treason.

The more we learn about the great catastrophe that irreparably demoralized, and may have doomed, our race and civilization, the more it becomes apparent that the primary cause of Germany's defeat was treason by men who were or were assumed to be Germans and who had wormed their way into strategic positions in the entourage of the too-trusting Führer whom they betrayed.

SPICED CRAMBE

The Romans considered a kind of boiled cabbage, *crambe*, the most insipid and tasteless of all dishes, and *crambe repetita* became a metaphor for the constant repetition of statements or arguments so often heard that they bore you to death.¹ The two-thousandth book about the assassination of Kennedy in Dallas has just been published. It is *crambe repetita*, but with a spice that constrains me to take notice of it in these pages.

Retired Colonel L. Fletcher Prouty, who now reveals the open secret that he was the designer of the widely shown cinema, "JFK," has written an exposition of his marshalling of facts and theories in that film, *JFK: the CIA, Vietnam, and the Plot to Assassinate John F. Kennedy* (New York, Carol Publishing Group, 1993; stated price, \$22.00). There is an appreciative introduction by Oliver Stone, who produced the 'sensational' motion picture at which so much obloquy was spat from the foaming mouths of the media of public disinformation.

1. Cf. Juvenal, 7.154: *occidit miseros crambe repetita magistros*. There is some uncertainty about which of the four cultivated varieties of cabbage was meant here, and also about whether it was so objectionable merely because it had been boiled or because it had also been warmed over. The metaphor comes from a corresponding Greek proverb.

Colonel Prouty is, of course, well known as the officer who participated in many of the most secret operations of the C.I.A.² and then exposed its perfidious treason to the American people in his book, *The Secret Team*.³ The present book is devoted to the subject of Stone's cinema.

Naturally, Colonel Prouty demonstrates the absurdity of the ridiculous report concocted by Earl Warren's team of hoaxers, and reviews evidence that is tediously familiar to everyone who has read critically about that flagrant imposture on public gullibility.⁴ Unfortunately, he does not discuss the one piece of the puzzle that has not yet fallen into place: what went wrong with the conspirators' carefully plotted plan and made necessary the improvisations that, in turn, made Warren's attempt to conceal the facts so fatally flawed?⁵

2. The initials are said to represent "Central Intelligence Agency," but 'Communists' International Agentur' might be a more accurate interpretation.

3. Moralists should perpend the fact that, so far as I know, Colonel Prouty's first opportunity to address a fairly large audience was given him by *Gallery*, a pornographic magazine published by a man named Stev Saunders. *Gallery* was one of the minor magazines that are generally called pornographic; its circulation was somewhat less than three-quarters of a million copies—a mere dwarf in comparison with the major periodicals, such as *Penthouse*, with circulations of between five and six million, but a giant in comparison with a "right-wing" periodical with a circulation of perhaps two thousand, read only by the tiny minority of "rightists." The pornographic journals, which had masses of assured and eager readers and so were not immediately subject to control, were the only means of disseminating to a wide audience articles that had not been passed by the stringent Jewish censorship. Colonel Prouty exposed Earl Warren's hoax in *Gallery*, May 1976.

4. New to me, who have long since ceased to interest myself in unessential details, were the techniques by which the Federal Bureau of Intimidation produced faked photographs to bolster up Warren's fraud.

5. My own conjecture, based on the speech that Warren had prepared in advance of the assassination, that the plan called for a demonstration that Kennedy had been assassinated by nasty "right-wingers" and "hate-mongers," is, of course, only a conjecture, plausible, but unconfirmed by ascertained facts. I believe, however, that it accounts for more of the known events than any other explanation of which I have heard.

The very intensity of the efforts to conceal the basic facts about the assassination are, in themselves, conclusive proof that it was carried out by a conspiracy that included the very highest levels of "our" government, and in which more than one President was, at the very least, an accomplice after the fact. And the continuing power of that conspiracy was further attested last year, when professional liars, amateur liars, and credulous dupes were marshalled to discredit the book by Dr. Charles E. Crenshaw and Stone's motion picture.

In *Liberty Bell*, July 1992, pp. 8-12, and October, pp. 1-31, I discussed the disgraceful attempt by the American Medical Association to support Warren's hoax with the testimony of performing physicians. Of this Colonel Prouty sees the essential point: "This powerful, wealthy association, one of the most influential in the country" was "forced by a higher power, under some form of duress, to play a distasteful role before the American public by repeating a story that is untenable."⁶

The power that could so easily make the American Medical Association jig to its tune must be, indeed, a mighty and pervasive power, one that is comparable to the power that is now maintaining the absurd Holofoax in spite of all the accumulating evidence that has conclusively exposed, for what it is, the Jews' greatest swindle.

I am willing to believe that Colonel Prouty is a brave man, although I have no information about his behavior under fire or in similarly perilous situations, but he dares not use the most horrendous of all four-letter words, 'Jews.' He invites his reader to believe that Israel is just another small nation, like Chile and Jordan, with which he classes it!

6. *Op. cit.*, p. 336. He exposes from personal knowledge one lie in the report, the statement that "there were no generals" in the room at Bethesda where the perfunctory autopsy was performed. General Godfrey McHugh, a military aide of the President and an intimate personal friend of Jacqueline Kennedy, was there.

So far as you will learn from Colonel Prouty, Arthur Goldberg, the sinister Zionist who was always at Kennedy's side, was only "a wise old World War II OSS⁷ veteran," while the real ruler of the United States at that time, Avraham ben Elazar, alias Dr. Henry Kissinger, was a man of "high caliber" and tainted only by his association with Richard Nixon, who was so wicked as to oppose pure-hearted Kennedy.

Colonel Prouty has obviously been cowed into concealing the dominion of Yahweh's Yids over the United States, and I need not tell you that any attempt to appraise political realities today is so incomplete and flawed as to be necessarily misleading, if it does not take into account the obviously vast and obviously exerted power of Yahweh's ubiquitous race. It is like talking about military strategy without mentioning air power.

It is with distress that I am constrained to report to you that Colonel Prouty's book is itself a 'cover-up' of a different kind, comparable in its way to the infamous Warren Report.

That is not to say that it does not contain very valuable information. I shall list summarily for you the disclosures that make this book a work of reference that you will want to keep at hand.

1. From personal knowledge, the Colonel tells how a few agents of the C.I.A. created a "democratic" revolution in Bolivia by denying the forces of the government access to

7. I need not remind you that the O.S.S. was correctly known to our old-line Military Intelligence as the Office of Soviet Stooges. Of course, there were members who were not Jews or Communists, but they were dupes. You may remember the unfortunate major who was dispatched with two sergeants to deliver supplies to Italians who were revolting against the Italian government. The three men and the supplies were dropped from the air, whereupon the two sergeants murdered the evidently unsuspecting major and delivered the supplies to a gang of their fellow Communists. The two admitted murderers were never punished. The Supreme Court properly ruled that no one could be prosecuted in the civil courts for a crime committed outside the United States (that rule of law was, of course, later abrogated on orders from the Sheenies), while the Army, oddly enough, never thought of court-marshalling a discharged soldier for crimes committed while he was in the Army.

supplies of ammunition, while supplying the opposition with weapons and fire-power. He incidentally notes what should be obvious to everyone, that talk about "democracy" and the like in Bolivia, Iraq, or any one of the hundred similar nations that are now called independent, is just some of the ideological hogwash that is the relished pabulum of "Liberal intellectuals" and other nitwits.

2. Plans for the "war" in Vietnam were formulated in 1945, long before that artificial "nation" was created. About the time that the infamous traitor and accomplice of Franklin Roosevelt, General George Catlett Marshall, was preparing to betray our erstwhile ally, Chiang Kai-shek, to the Communists, and immediately after the surrender of Japan, half of the vast store of weapons and munitions that had been accumulated on Okinawa was rushed by cargo planes to the Communist agitator, Ho Chi Minh, in Tongking to enable him to raise and arm a horde of bandits (especially from the hybrid Muongs), eager to have fun with a gun, and to kill and loot, completely indifferent, of course, to the ideological drivel ("All men are created equal," etc.) with which their chief tried to confuse observers. At the same time, with our usual duplicity, we encouraged and aided the French to recover their colony of Indo-China. In the end, of course, when the French were besieged at Dienbenphu in 1954, we betrayed them with the treachery that the world now regards as an American characteristic.

The principal objective of the nine years of terrorism in Tongking and adjacent regions was to flood Annam (Cochin China) with a horde of more than one million refugees from Tongking, ethnically incompatible with the Annamese, whom they outnumbered in many places, destitute, and a certain source of perpetual disorder and violence; the result was the total destruction of the traditional culture of the Annamese and kindred peoples and the effacement of the civilization that the French had introduced in their colony.⁸

8. Colonel Prouty seems oddly hostile to the French, as though he took seriously jabber about "democracy" and "self-rule," and did not know that occupation and

Thus was created the bottomless sink-hole into which were poured billions extorted from American taxpayers to help impoverish them, and to provide a pretext for killing thousands of young Americans and infecting many more with incurable tropical diseases, and for demoralizing the Army by forcing it to fight to sacrifice the lives of its own soldiers and to suffer an humiliating and shameful defeat by barbarians.

3. The C.I.A. trains terrorists from all over the world, regardless of their supposed political animus; the primary purpose is not to overthrow any given régime, but to excite terror, massacre, and chaos throughout the world, obviously—though the Colonel is afraid to say so—in preparation for the Jews' One World, which, as Ben-Gurion proclaimed a quarter of a century ago, is to be stringently ruled from its capital, Jerusalem.

4. Colonel Prouty does see that "the essence of covert operations directed and carried out by the government of the United States, from the top down, is a denial of the international concept of nation-state sovereignty, the principle upon which the family of nations exists." And, furthermore, "the destruction of sovereignty and [the concomitant] disregard for the rule of law" will necessarily result in the abolition of "property rights—as we have witnessed in Eastern

rule by an Aryan nation is the only way our civilization—or even toleration of it—can be imposed on the peoples of the various little countries that were lumped together as Vietnam, or on the peoples of innumerable other "emergent nations" throughout the world. Either we occupy and rule those territories or, if it is not in our interest to do that, we leave them to their own devices, without meddling in their affairs. The unending rain of verbal slop about spreading the plague of "democracy" and "doing good" to natives stimulates canting moralists and muddle-headed women, but could serve as a perfect illustration of Robert Burn's famous apostrophe:

Morality, thou deadly bane!

Thy tens of thousands thou hast slain.

He should have written 'millions.'

Europe and the former Soviet Union—and the rights of man [i.e., the rights that are taken for granted by Aryans].”⁹ That’s “One World” again! I have sufficiently commented before on the repudiation of all the conventions of international law and all the ethics of civilized warfare by the hate-crazed British and Americans ever since they became vassals of the World Destroyers. An incidental purpose, of course, is gradually to degrade Americans to the status of abject poverty to which they are destined. In just one year, 1985, \$137,600,000,000 was extorted from the American serfs for military operations in the “Third World,” i.e., to impose terror and chaos on the luckless natives who were being helped to death.

5. He quotes a significant prediction, made in 1972, that “East and West will meet some place [Jerusalem?] toward the middle of about 1990 [i.e., c. 1995, the projected date of the total collapse of the United States].”

6. He believes in the substantial accuracy of Leonard Lewin’s *Report from Iron Mountain* (New York, Dial, 1967), i.e., that it conveys, under a “John Doe” disguise, the substance of a study actually made and its conclusions. This is important because Colonel Prouty, given the positions he has held, should know the provenance and value of a report that he cites with confidence.

The book has some minor imperfections on which we need not expatiate,¹⁰ and we must charitably allow for the fact that Colonel Prouty (like Dr. Crenshaw) seems to have been mesmerized by the rather boyish charm that Kennedy could exert, when he wished. And we may overlook such spurts of hysteria as a claim that “a war waged with hydrogen bombs would most certainly [!]...end

9. *Op. cit.*, pp. 230 f.

10. E.g., there is a glib reference to “histories that go back fifteen thousand years and more.” I should dearly love to see an historical document, even the crudest, that antedates the invention of writing by seven thousand years.

life on Earth.”¹¹ The crucial point is that the book is dangerously misleading in its identification of the prime movers of the conspiracy and analysis of their motivation.

Colonel Prouty would have us believe that the assassination was devised by the government of the United States for the comfort of a “military-industrial complex” that was greedy for the profits to be made from the manufacture and consumption of weapons of war. That is a diversionary sophistry.

All business is conducted for profit, and corporations are organized for the simple purpose of making maximum profits with a minimum of risk. Since all large corporations are now run by professional managers, they are, almost without exception, normally unscrupulous about the ways in which profits are made. That is commonplace. Furthermore, ever since the subversion of our Constitution in 1861 and the vast corruption brought into government by the Abolitionists, corporations are often compelled to resort to bribery, as is normal in a “democracy.”¹²

11. *Op. cit.*, p. 43. Whether a war waged with hydrogen bombs would be more destructive of life and civilization than the Jews’ War Against Europe in 1939-1945 is a moot question. ‘One Worlders’ like to yammer about an end of the world that is imminent unless we obey our alien masters. As a matter of fact, the damage to our nation, race, and civilization wrought by the National Education Association is, if properly appraised, greater than would probably have resulted from a war with the Soviet Union after we had provided it with hydrogen bombs. (The Russians have recently admitted that they made their first atomic weapons by following instructions from the United States, but oddly equivocated when they spoke of hydrogen bombs, perhaps to protect the source of the crucial information. They failed to mention that most of the materials were also supplied by the United States.)

12. In the latter half of the Nineteenth Century it became normal that when a state legislature met, the legislators vied with one another in rushing to put their boodle bills into the hopper, since the first man or consortium to spot a given opening for boodle was regarded as having preëmpted it for that session, and it was thought unethical for others to try to “horn in” on the bribes. One of the earliest and most popular kind of such bills was directed at the railroads. The introduction of a bill harassing railroads in some way (e.g., specifying speed limits, requiring flagmen at all road crossings, requiring cabooses on switching operations, etc., etc.) was, of course, a signal for the railroads to pay off the sponsors—as they always did, since that was less expensive than submitting to the harassment or trying to induce

Granting all the profits made from the manufacture and sale of military equipment and granting all the corruption that obligatorily goes with it in a "democracy," Prouty's explanation is grossly inadequate and sometimes inconsistent.¹³

Most of what Prouty thinks sensational is merely normal business. No one thinks it remarkable that General Motors, for example, seeks to increase its sales and profits by every device that does not entail penalties in excess of profits.¹⁴ Almost all businesses spend freely to promote their wares and hire both unscrupulous experts in advertising and unscrupulous salesmen. Even the normal party for good customers, lavishly supplied with choice alcohol and selected

the public to discipline its legislators. A favorite boodle bill until recently was one that placed on cigarettes a special tax to be used to subsidize instructions about the evils of cigarettes in the public schools. The tobacco companies regularly paid off until this source of legislative revenue was grabbed by the Federal government and the manufacturers of cigarettes wisely decided to defy it. I am encouraged by the fact that the obligatory printing of the Surgeon General's vaporings on packages of cigarettes has not reduced sales. — The kind of boodle obtained by what amounts to blackmail must be distinguished from the boodle obtained by sponsoring and passing legislation that enhances the profits of some industry or other group.

13. E.g., Prouty's explanation of the diversion of a contract for a radically new type of airplane from Boeing, which could have produced it, to the hastily improvised General Dynamics, which produced one of the most expensive fiascoes in our history, is that it was favored by Kennedy and his mentor, Arthur Goldberg (a self-proclaimed Zionist, as Prouty refrains from saying), as a means of using \$6,500,000,000 to replace Republicans with Democrats in many Congressional districts. Yes, but *who* got the loot?

14. Some decades ago General Motors and its subsidiaries created a market by boycotting railroads that did not scrap their steam locomotives and buy diesels. Persons who have investigated the fate of electric interurban railways and street car lines believe that General Motors exerted, where necessary, surreptitious pressure, perhaps including covert bribes, to sell diesel busses by instigating or forcing abandonment of the electric cars, which were more efficient and did not pollute the atmosphere. That was good business, to which no believer in "democracy" should take exception.

young whores, is a kind of bribe, and "kick backs" are, as everyone knows, equally common.¹⁵

Munitions of war are very expensive and business is reckoned in the billions, not mere thousands or millions. Naturally, the promotion of sales is proportionally expensive. There is nothing remarkable about bribery of generals as part of promotional expense. Our Army was thoroughly demoralized when a notoriously incompetent major named Eisenhower was promoted to supreme command because he was a corrupt tool of the Roosevelt-Baruch government and eager to help betray the United States. And, anyway, business with government must now be done in terms of Roosevelt's famous "Four Freedoms," as they were realistically defined at the time by cynical bureaucrats in Washington: The Rake Off [now called 'rip off,' i.e., of the public], the Pay Off, the Shakedown, and the Fix.

We are told that the primary purpose of the assassination was to prevent the reelection of Kennedy. No one need have bothered. What Colonel Prouty regards as "almost certain" was, at the time of the assassination, most unlikely.¹⁶

15. About three decades ago, when I needed to purchase some office equipment, chiefly steel filing cabinets, I was amused by a firm whose computer, having been informed that R. P. Oliver was the purchasing agent for R. P. Oliver, offered the former a secret "kick back" of 20% if he would buy their products at the expense of the latter. Another firm offered the purchasing agent a "complimentary" woman's mink jacket, which would be sent with his compliments to "any address," thus tactfully permitting him to choose between his wife and his doxy. That would have been good business, had the computers been operated by someone with intelligence enough to notice the odd coincidence between the name of the purchasing agent and the name of the owner to be exploited.

16. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, October 1992, pp. 25-30. And consult magazines of the period, not "right-wing" publications, but journals that profess soberly to assess political prospects. I may exaggerate the effect of the Indignation Meetings that were being held in forty-two states, because I found in the audiences I addressed a response of anger and determination that I found in no other audiences, not even those that seemed most appreciative of what I told them. But it is certain that Kennedy had, for many reasons, become a liability to the Democratic Party, which doubtless had come to regret the massive electoral fraud by the Daley machine in Chicago which procured his election.

And why should anyone have wanted to prevent that reelection? Prepare for a shock. It was because "the Kennedys...were going to prepare America for peace!"¹⁷ If such a purpose was entertained, it could be compared only to the zealots' almost annual efforts to prepare America for the imminent "second coming" of a mythical god called Jesus.

The Colonel does not explain how Kennedy was going to promote "peace" by giving Communist nations our latest military aircraft, the act that touched off the Indignation Meetings, but he assures us that Kennedy did intend to end American involvement in Vietnam. It is quite possible that Kennedy thought that a promise to recall American troops from Indo-China would help him win reelection, much as Roosevelt's promise that American soldiers would never be sent abroad helped him dupe the credulous electorate. The meddling in South-East Asia was generally unpopular, although it seemed relatively unimportant before Johnson made it a second and more disastrous Korea. Since the pretext that we had a "duty" to "liberate" the various peoples in Vietnam from "Communism" (which we had cleverly forced on them) convinced no judicious observer, our enemies contrived the brilliant strategy of having "Liberal" (i.e., crypto-Communist) professors of "Social Science" etc. send hordes of their trained punks out to demonstrate violently against the "war" as supporters of the Communist régime—a masterly device that prevented intelligent Americans from expressing publicly a rational opposition to what was really a Communist operation carried on by the alien government in Washington.¹⁸

17. *Op. cit.*, p. 151. This reminds one of the drivel about a "warless world" that is often used to stimulate the glands of thoughtless women and craven males. A world without wars would necessarily be a world without the species of mammals that are called human.

18. Only vast subsidies to the revolutionists in Cambodia were mentioned in the advertisement published on the morning of the day Kennedy was assassinated (see *Liberty Bell*, October 1992, pp. 5 f.). For what we eventually did to the Cambodians, see *Liberty Bell*, October 1988, pp. 1-10.

We are further told that the C.I.A. had to liquidate Kennedy, who intended to "break it into a thousand pieces." Kennedy doubtless made that threat in a moment of real or feigned anger when he was acutely embarrassed by having to assume responsibility for the betrayal of the Cuban exiles whom we landed at the Bay of Pigs and then abandoned (and also, as Prouty forgot to mention, the betrayal to Castro of the anti-Communist underground in Cuba, which had been instructed to rise when the landing at the Bay of Pigs occurred). Kennedy had to admit the responsibility, because the final order to betray the Cubans came from his staff.¹⁹ It is uncertain that Kennedy, if he persisted in a purpose that occurred to him in a moment of anger, would have been permitted by Goldberg and Kissinger to carry out that threat, or, if they consented, that he could have done so.

Kennedy's threat to liquidate the C.I.A. is only a red herring dragged across our path at this point to distract us from Colonel Prouty's major thesis, the ultimate responsibility of the "military-industrial establishment." Granted that that establishment happily made profits out of the squandering of American lives and resources in Vietnam, and was glad, for example, to replace the five thousand helicopters that, according to Colonel Prouty, were shot down (because the American government was fighting for defeat, not victory), can we believe that the "military-industrial establishment" planned that war to create a market? And if so, why should it have devised an operation so disastrous to the United States, in which most of that establishment was based?

Colonel Prouty has put himself in the position of a man who would argue that the atrocious war of race-suicide called the Civil War was devised and promoted by the "military-industrial establishment" that profited so lavishly from manufacturing and supplying "Union" (i.e., Northern)

19. This is admitted by Colonel Prouty, *op. cit.*, pp. 157 f. Can we believe that Kennedy's assistant, McGeorge Bundy, acted without his knowledge and approval?

troops with warships, cannons, ammunition, shoddy clothing, fragile shoes, decaying rations, and the like. Did that establishment hire the hate-crazed Abolitionists who really caused the insane attack on the South?

Can anyone believe that it was the "military-industrial establishment" that ordered Bushy to serve the Jews by entrapping Saddam and attacking Iraq? And, for that matter, was it the "military-industrial establishment" that arranged the attack on Germany in 1939 and Roosevelt's projected attack on Japan in 1941 as a means of serving the Judaeo-Communists?

Is the business establishment also the author of all the subversion of the United States from which it can expect no significant profit? Is it that establishment that is carrying on the campaign to exterminate our race by flooding the still civilized countries of Europe and North America with hordes of racial enemies, and by inciting mongrelization as a preliminary to the final massacre of the remaining Aryans?

There is only one power on earth that could or would carry out such a scheme of world conquest, and that, of course, is the power that is now ramming its Holofoax into the minds of Aryan children to destroy their self-respect and racial will to live. And that is also the power that Colonel Prouty is afraid to mention—the power that he, whether willingly or under duress, is diligently serving by concealing its very existence from his readers.

In this book Colonel Prouty has torpedoed the incredible Warren Report. That was like torpedoing a sinking ship, but perhaps he gave the doomed hulk a *coup de grace* and hastened its end. That is commendable. I am indeed saddened that his book is also a monstrous cover-up, a vast and systematic deception comparable to that carried out by writers who ostentatiously blame the British, our fellow victims, or mysterious "insiders" for the deadly depredations of the race that has, for more than two thousand years, worked with infinite patience, subtle intelligence, and implacable hatred to annihilate our race, our civilization, and all that we created.

THE ROT AND THE STENCH

On the recommendation of one of my teachers, I became a member of the Modern Languages Association while I was still an undergraduate—around 1929, as I recall. It was composed largely of university professors of English, German, French, Italian, and Spanish, but, as a scholarly body, had no concern with the teaching of those languages, although some of its younger members, who had the rank of instructor, had to perform the disagreeable task of trying to impart the rudiments of a foreign language while hopefully awaiting promotion to better things. The teaching of languages was the concern of special associations that were largely composed of teachers in high schools, the American Association of Teachers of German, of Teachers of French, etc.

The province of the Modern Languages Association was study of the literatures of the modern European languages, the relevant political and cultural history, and the development of the languages themselves. The literatures were chiefly English (including Anglo-Saxon), French (including Old French and possibly Provençal), German (including Old German and possibly Dutch, Flemish, and Frisian), Italian (possibly including Venetian), Spanish (including Portuguese and possibly Catalán), and possibly the Scandinavian languages: Old Norse, Icelandic, Swedish, Danish, and Norwegian (including Landsmål).¹ Oddly enough, the international literature in Latin, which dominated all serious writing until near the end of the Eighteenth Century, was excluded.

The Modern Languages Association lacked the cohesion of the American Philological Association, all of whose members had necessarily read all important works of ancient literature in Latin and Greek, were familiar with the history of the ancient world from Homer to Romulus Augustulus, understood the syntactical and lexical development of the two languages (and thus knew something of the minor Greek and Italic dialects) and, in addition, read French and German, although usually confining

1. I use 'possibly' to designate subjects on which papers would, I assume, have been accepted, although I do not recall having seen or heard one.

themselves to scholarly publications in those languages. The Philological Association was thus solidly founded on a common body of knowledge. In contrast, the members of the Modern Languages Association were, almost without exception, specialists in the literature of just one language and often had only the haziest notions of the literatures of the other languages represented by the Association. Since they held doctorates from respectable universities, they could, of course, read Latin, French, and German, but I had the impression that few who were not Professors of French read French literature for its own sake, that German literature was largely ignored except by Professors of German, and that fewer yet were sufficiently curious to learn other modern languages in which they had not specialized. The Association was thus based on reciprocal toleration rather than on mutual understanding.

The Modern Languages Association met annually for three days between Christmas and New Year's and published a journal, known as *PMLA (Publications of the Modern Languages Association)*,² which appeared quarterly with biannual supplements, totaling from 1400 to 1600 pages each year plus many advertisements, chiefly by publishers of books. The periodical published only articles (there were almost no reviews) that were or seemed to be scholarly. Most of them were serious historical studies, although there was a good deal of chaff, particularly of the then fashionable kind that the late Professor Régis Michaud used to call "sourcery."³ Occasionally, pedantry became risible. I remember an article that began, "As everyone knows, the β recension of the Townly plays ..."

2. Probably no one noticed that this was an early example in English of the Marxist fad of writing and pronouncing abbreviations as strings of capital letters.

3. That Shakespeare based a play on a *novella* by Bandello or a biography by Plutarch or a comedy by Plautus is obvious to anyone who had read the works in question, and mildly interesting, but attempts to show that novel A was a "source" of novel B, or that poem X was a "source" of poem Y, on the basis of some small similarities in plot or diction are usually misinterpretation of what was more probably derived from the cultural ambience, and is, in any case, of minimal importance.

I seldom attended meetings of the Modern Languages Association, but I occasionally looked in on them for a day when their schedule overlapped that of the Philological Association and the two meetings were in the same city or in cities between which it was easy to travel by overnight trains. The meetings that I attended were sedate, dominated by real or professed scholarly interests. Women were not unknown (after all, the select women's colleges had to have faculties), but were comparatively rare and were always treated with exemplary courtesy and the deference due their sex. (The species called "gentlemen" was not yet extinct, and its behavior was still emulated in academic circles.)

I saw only one meeting after 1940. Around 1956 I went to a conveniently located meeting to confer with the series editor of a project in which I was interested. I was astonished by the great increase in the number of members present and the patent fact that so many of them were engaged in feverish efforts to find jobs or to find suitable candidates for vacancies in their departments. That seemed ominous, but was probably a consequence of the absurd proliferation of colleges and "universities" ever since stupid taxpayers first acquiesced in the folly of planting one or more ostensibly academic institutions at every crossroads.

At a meeting of the Modern Languages Association in the early 1960s, if I remember correctly, the podium was seized by a gang of young misfits who began to rant about "social justice," "world peace," and similar nonsense. That was a turning point. The officers of the Association, instead of summoning a squad of police with big nightsticks to eject the rabble and revoking their membership, pled and whined and negotiated and compromised. I resigned from the Association in disgust, thus canceling what would have been a Life Membership with exemption from further payment of dues. (About the same time, there was a similar fracas at a meeting of

the American Historical Association with the same result, including my resignation.)

Since my resignation I neither heard nor saw anything of the Modern Languages Association. I anticipated the worst, but the worst, foreseen from 1960, was not what it is today. For one thing, that bizarre biological anomaly, a species of females who are not women, had not yet become prevalent and its prodromes were regarded with justified contempt.

I was therefore much interested in an article by John Leo, "Temple of Modern Babble," that occupied a page in the *Washington Times*, 12 January 1993. Mr. Leo had attended the meeting of the Modern Languages Association in New York City and visited as many rings of the circus as he could, and he reported his observations. I shall try to summarize them.

In one room a female was ranting about "the alphabetical dyad," PC ("political correctness") and comparing it to "UFOs" ("flying saucers"), evidently because only people with "fatheadness" think there is a question about what is correct. Passing a female mumbling about "white male linear thinking," Mr. Leo heard a termagent who was "attacking Alex Trebek's TV game show [!], complaining testily about 'the white maleness of *Jeopardy*.'"

At the meeting in New York some eleven thousand of the thirty-two thousand members of the Modern Languages Association foregathered "to hear papers on such topics as 'Jane Austen and the Masturbating Three-Button Jacket,' 'Between Body and Soul: Performing Lesbian Sadomasochism,' 'The Poetics of Ouija,' and 'Transvestite Biography.'"

Mr. Leo continues:

Once the preserve of tweedy and bookish professors, the MLA has long since been taken over by the race-and-gender crowd. Now it is a hard-edged, heavily politicized academic group that looks at Western literature (when it looks at it at all) solely as the ideological expression of white male dominance....

The air is thick with the incantatory words "hegemonic," "privileged," and "dominant," plus lots of near-words like "liberatory," "interdiscursive" and "heterotextuality." In one room, a lecturer from San Francisco State rails against "white supremacist patriarchal capitalism," adding that "capitalism must be destroyed in our time."

In another, Susan Suleri [!] of Yale mocks a donation to her university that would set up a chair in Western civilization. "Western civilization?" she asks, "Why not a chair for colonialism, slavery, empire, and poverty?" And Steven Wartofsky [!], of Loyola University in Chicago, talks of "a desire to *forget* history," which, he predicts, "will begin at next year's MLA...with the displacement of white male Eur-American texts."

This is no place to talk about literature, and many of the panels set up to deal glancingly with the subject are quickly converted to higher purposes. A scheduled discussion of E. L. Doctorow's novel, *The Book of Daniel*, turns out to be a long rant about the "McCarthyite" 1950s by a young professor who seems to know very little about the period.

The general tone here is angry and confrontational, with intellectual opponents (none of whom seems to be on the premises) denounced as "the enemy." The presidential address, given by Houston Baker, Jr., a black professor [!] from the University of Pennsylvania, is a vitriolic attack on white dominance, as allegedly represented by fraternities on the Pennsylvania campus.

The vacationing ideologues here are suffering from a swarm of radical isms, but the central one, totally dead in the real world, is Marxism.... So literary studies are properly a branch of left politics and nothing more.

It's hard to imagine that an entire profession is careening off the rails, but it is indeed happening.....

Mr. Leo saw one ray of hope:

Feroza Jussawalla [!] of the University of Texas [in] El Paso. She passionately supports multiculturalism.... She says there's intimidation and censorship too for the politically incorrect. She calls for freedom from both the old "scholarly humanist elite" and the new "elitist hegemonic Marxists, who now ride herd on the MLA."

I am sorry, but Mr. Leo's ray of hope seems to me about as reassuring as the final nail in a coffin-head.

Women were not unknown at this meeting of the Modern Languages Association, but they were rare and prudently

remained inconspicuous, lest the crazed maenads tear them limb from limb.

The Modern Languages Association is but one example of what has happened to American universities while Americans slept and dreamt about "world peace" or "salvation" or "democracy" or some other figment of disordered imaginations. I shall note other instances in later articles.

Now the thing to remember is that whatever the college to which you send your son or daughter, the chances are that your child will be exposed to, and may be infected by, some of this rotting academic garbage.

PERSECUTION OF THE RIGHTEOUS

Few university men, whether scholars, teachers, or "administrators," are allocated two-thirds of a page in the *Chicago Tribune*, but on 29 January 1993 a presumably learned Sheeny appropriately named Tzvee Zahavy was given that measure of fame. He had attained distinction as a *Doppelgänger*.

In the first half of each week he was Distinguished Professor of Judaic Studies in the University of North Carolina at a salary of \$85,000. In the last half of the week he was Professor of Classical [!!!] and Near Eastern Studies in the University of Minnesota at a salary of \$61,400. He was also giving speeches to synagogues of his fellow tribesmen in North Carolina for fees of one thousand dollars. Neither university knew that the other shared his Judaic luster. When they discovered they were being two-timed by the peripatetic Sheeny, they irately forced him to resign.

Zahavy is indignant. He feels that the nasty universities have cheated him of an annual income of \$146,400 and perhaps made synagogues less eager to hear the Word of God as expounded in the Holy Talmud, the subject of his presumably vast scholarship. Zahavy insists that he did nothing wrong. And he is right. If you go through the Talmud, an exercise that makes an Aryan feel that he is picking through a garbage can that has stood two days under a hot sun, you will find that God

repeatedly authorizes his Chosen Race to diddle and fleece the stupid *goyim*. That is only proper. What are *goyim* for, anyway?

So far as I have heard, neither the Defamation League nor the other Jewish agencies charged with supervising the *goyim* on their North American plantation have acted to protect the Talmudic scholar from "anti-Semitism." But we must remember that Zahavy is a very small and insignificant Jew. He is comparable to the pawnbrokers, old-clothes merchants, and itinerant peddlers who, in the Nineteenth Century, used to gain the confidence of the peasants or urban poor who had to deal with them by protesting they were "honest Sheenies."¹

Noteworthy Kikes operate on a much wider scale. For example, according to the *Wall Street Journal*, an agent of the Rothschilds has recently confessed that he carried a suitcase stuffed with five million dollars to the assassins who murdered Roberto Calvi, an Italian banker who had sought refuge in England. That's doing things handsomely and with the amplitude that befits the Children of God.²

So, it would seem, his mighty kinsmen will permit poor Zahavy, for all his Talmudic wisdom, to flounder in his own little pool. Ain't it a shame?

1. The ethnic term 'Sheeny' is not related to the English adjective that is applied to what is shining or lustrous, and seems to have been originally a Yiddish term for a petty dealer in cheap merchandise. The etymology is uncertain. It is sometimes derived from the Yiddish form of German *schön*, on the assumption that that word was habitually used by such hawkers to commend their tawdry wares, but that seems most unlikely. A quotation in the Oxford English dictionary claims the word specifically designated a Portuguese Jew, but I cannot find in Portuguese literature or dictionaries a confirmation of that improbable derivation, and it is not likely that any White man would have wanted so specifically to distinguish Jews residing in Portugal from other members of the race. The book from which the dictionary cites the quotation is not readily available, so I have not tried to verify the context.

2. If Yahweh was telling the truth in *Exodus*, 4.22 ("Israël [obviously a collective designation] is my son, even my firstborn"), Jews have a divine ancestry and must be venerated by pious Christians as living gods or, at least, demi-gods.

The Ethnic and Racial Aspects of Clinton's Appointments

By

Dr. Charles E. Weber

The nature of President Clinton's appointments to non-elective offices is a likely indication of the nature of his future administration of what has been called the most powerful office in the world. In his cynically mendacious campaign for president, which yielded only 43% of the votes, Clinton promised to make appointments that would reflect the make-up of the American population as a whole, in other words, that his appointments were to be based largely on race and ethnic origins.

The grossly disproportionate number of Jews in his recent appointments reflects not the proportion of Jews in the American population (3 to 4%), but rather the fact that Clinton is well aware of the phenomenal power which Jews wield in the United States, including their extensive control of the American media. The news media are in a position virtually to control American politics. The vast majority of Jewish votes, perhaps 90%, went to Clinton.

The following list of Clinton's appointments is based on carefully compiled data furnished to me by an astute foreign observer of the American political scene whose identity I do not wish to reveal in view of his high profile and important functions. Listed are the *conjectural* racial identities or ethnic origins of appointees along with their positions of fields of activities.

Half-Jewess	Albright, Madeleine K.	Ambassador to the United Nations
Jew	Altman, Roger	Deputy of Secretary Bentson
Aryan	Aspin, Les	Secretary of Defense
Aryan	Babbitt, Bruce	Secretary of the Interior
Jewess	Baird, Zoë	Attorney General declined

Aryan	Bentson, Lloyd	Secretary of the Treasury
Jew	Berger, Samuel	Deputy head of National Security Council
Jew	Boorstin, Robert	Communications aide
Jew	Boikin, Keith	Communications aide
Afro-American	Brown, Jesse	Secretary of Veterans' Affairs
Afro-America	Brown, Ronald H.	Secretary of Commerce
Aryan woman	Browner, Carol	Administrator of Environmental Protection Agency
Aryan	Christopher, Warren	Secretary of State
Latino	Cisneros, Henry	Secretary of Housing
Aryan	Crower, William J.	Head of council for intelligence services
Armenian	Djerejian, Edward P.	Assistant Secretary of State for the Near East
Aryan	Donilon, Thomas E.	Assistant Secretary of State, Public Affairs
Jew	Dreyer, David	Communications aide
Jew	Eizenstat, Stuart E.	Assistant Secretary of for Security
Afro-America	Elders, Jocelyn	Surgeon-General
Jew	Eller, Jeff	Communications aide
Afro-America	Espy, Mike	Secretary of Agriculture
Jewess	Feder, Judith	Advisor to Secretary of Health
Aryan	Foster, Vince	Second White House counsel
Jew	Gober, Hershel	Assistant Secretary for Veterans Affairs
Jew	Herman, Alexis	Secretary to president for public works
Jew	Kantor, Mickey	U.S. trade representative
Jew	Klein, Ron	Third White House counsel
Jewess	Kunin, Madeleine	Assistant Secretary of Education
Jew	Kusnet, David	Communication's aide
Aryan	Lake, W. Anthony	Chief advisor for national security

Jew Aryan	Lewis, Samuel Lord, Winston	Director of strategy Assistant Secretary of State for Asia
Jew Aryan	Ludwig, Eugene A. McLarty, Thomas F. III	Mint administration White House Chief of Staff
Jew	Magaziner, Ira	Chief advisor for security questions
Afro-American	Moose, George E.	Assistant Secretary of State for Africa
Aryan woman Jew	Myers, Dee Dee Newman, Frank N.	Media handler Assistant Secretary of State for Finance
Jew Negress Jew	Nussbaum, Bernard O'Leary, Hazel R. Oxman, Stephen A.	White House Counsel Secretary of Energy Assistant Secretary of State for Europe
Latino	Panetta, Leon E.	Office of Management and Budget
Jew	Paster, Howard	Presidential secretary for Congress
Latino	Peña, Frederico F.	Secretary of Transportation
Jew	Rahm, Emanuel	Presidential secretary for political affairs
Aryan woman	Rasco, Carol	White House Domestic Advisor
Jew Aryan woman Jewess	Reich, Robert Reno, Janet Rivlin, Alice	Secretary of Labor Attorney General Deputy Director, Office of Management and Budget
Jew	Rubin, Robert E.	Head, Council of Economic Advisors
Jew	Segal, Eli	Director of National Service
Jewess	Seidman, Ricki	Communications aide (research)
Lebanese	Shalala, Donna E.	Secretary of Health and Human Services
Jew	Schifter, Richard	U.S. Ambassador to Israel
Jewess	Spero, Joan Edelman	Asst. Secretary of State for Economic Affairs

Greek Jew	Stephanopoulos, George Summers, Lawrence H.	Media handler Assistant Secretary of State for Policy
Jew	Tarnoff, Peter	Assistant Secretary of State for Political Affairs
Aryan woman	Tyson, Laura D'Andrea	Head, Council of Economic Advisors
Jew	Waldman, Michael	Communications aide
Negress Afro-America	Walker, Anne Wharton, Clifton R., Jr.	Communications aide Assistant Secretary of State
Aryan	Woolsey, R. James	Director, CIA

Comments:

The rejected appointment of Zoë Baird to be Attorney General was naive or inappropriate because Talmudic traditions absolve Jews of a moral obligation to obey the laws of their host populations, a pattern of Jewish behavior of which Baird offered just one further example.

The appointment of Robert Reich to be Secretary of Labor is also an anomaly because few Jews earn their livings by manual labor. Note the paucity of Aryan appointees with German or Scandinavian names, although such persons constitute a sizable portion of the population.

Approximately half of the 64 persons listed above appear to be Jewish by ethnic background, a circumstance that has grave forebodings for Aryans in the United States and even abroad.

In view of the crimes committed by members of Clinton's family and of Clinton's sexual escapades (including the procreation of a mulatto son?), Clinton's appointments might even reflect the workings of a profoundly disturbed mind that bears subconscious hostility and resentment against the orderly, successful, law-abiding (but already dispossessed) Aryan majority of the population of the United States, a majority which is destined to become a wretched, exploited minority within a few decades unless its will to survive and unless policies and laws pertaining to taxation, immigration and welfare are radically changed. □

Their Capitalism and Ours

by
Hector Rodgers, Ph.D.

"How do you explain," I asked my knowledgeable friend, the mathematician, "the love-hate relation Jews bring to individualist, laissez-faire capitalism?"

"In what way is the relationship any different from that which other groups bring to it?" responded my friend.

"It seems to me," I answered, "there is the following difference. Except in the case of their priesthoods and intellectuals and other maladroits and sinecurists, Aryans anyway—and maybe other races or subraces—react to an individualist, laissez-faire, capitalist environment with the same sort of matter-of-factness they evince with respect, say, to their native language. As they talk their native tongue with a will, but with no particular manifestations of special love or hate, they naturally engage with a will, but no manifestation of either special love or hate, in the purely private contractual operations of individualistic laissez-faire capitalism. I refer in particular, let me add, to those who work with their hands. And let me underscore the fact that I said 'naturally engage.' Their own priesthoods and intellectuals and other maladroits and stinged drones can stir up simple souls, and have indeed often in fact stirred them up, to betray their own natural bents and proclivities and traditions and opt for some form of spiritual or economic collectivism—invariably to those simple souls' subsequent distress and deprivation. The point here, I suppose, is that those who work with their hands and common sense, rather than with their mouths and pure fancy, can succeed in possessing plentitudes of the humbler good things of life—the products of their own toil—only under the rubrics of individualistic laissez-faire capitalism, whereas our stinged drones—priests and intellectuals and other maladroits—instinctively know that they can possess plentitudes of those goods only by appropriation and

exploitation or, in short, through State collectivizations operated by themselves. Thus, except in the rare case of a truly impartial mind, like Adam Smith, Aryan intellectuals and priests tend to feel hostile to the existence of individualistic, laissez-faire capitalism and friendly to State collectivization. On the other, both Jews who work with their hands and Jews who are priests and intellectuals react to an individualistic, laissez-faire environment in the peculiar way that pirates might to a galleon laden with Spanish gold: with manifestations of joy but also with manifestations of hostility. I will grant, however, that as there occur exceptions among Aryan intellectuals there occur exceptions among Jewish intellectuals. I think Ayn Rand, for instance, was one such exception and perhaps some of her close followers. It might be noted however, that Ayn Rand's most bitter critics were Jewish intellectuals and priests. These attacked her with a bitterness and vituperation usually reserved for traitors. Her non-Jewish critics were much more reserved in their attacks, and unlike her Jewish critics, usually leveled their attacks, not so much at her laissez-faire, individualistic capitalism as at her dogmatic and unrealistic imposition of that system's economic principles upon all human relations. It was thought, and I believe with some justice, that there results from this imposition a caricature of the most fundamental human relations, like that of marriage, the family, and so on. So far as I am aware, neither Jewish nor establishment non-Jewish critics of Rand ever touched upon the travesty that the same imposition makes of racial ethics, and that is to say, in the final analysis, all ethics. Indeed, Rand's predictable reference to *racism* as 'garbage' was, by both groups of critics I have mentioned, greeted with approval. Rand's Jewish critics, of course, understood perfectly well that Rand was not referring to Jewish racism, but only Aryan racism. They would not have tolerated her attacking Jewish racism. On the other hand, her Aryan critics, being imbued with the present-day death-wish of sick Aryans, not

only were not offended at her obvious attack on Aryan racism, but applauded it. But I digress, I am afraid.

Let me return to my contention that on the whole, where not stirred up by stinged drones, Aryans naturally react to an individualistic, laissez-faire capitalist environment with a sort of matter-of-fact acceptance whereas Jews on the whole naturally react much like pirates boarding a Spanish galleon, with joy or avidity on the one hand and hostility on the other. I have noted some exceptions to this reaction—Rand's in particular. Nonetheless... I was proceeding, when my mathematical friend intervened.

"I think," said he, "you have hit upon some important observations; perhaps more far-reaching than you realize. I like your metaphor of pirates boarding a Spanish galleon. Even superficially viewed it is apt. For certainly Jews are notable for the way in which, as a class, they have pillaged Aryan economic systems, not only the generally individualistic laissez-faire system of the 19th and early 20th century England and America but the medieval system of Europe, with its religious ban upon loaning at interest and its non-integration of trade and traders in the social hierarchy. A cheater in cards, who is prospering thereby, will naturally engage with some gusto in his cheating and may even try to justify it. The same would hold for sly, parasitical aliens attacking and feeding upon their host's economic and spiritual systems. In self-defense they would have to hate their host and his economic system, for how else justify in their own minds the destruction they were wreaking upon their host? And at the same time, like termites at their natural work, they would have to enjoy the destruction they were wreaking. Thus, like Catullus in love, they would oscillate between 'amo' — I love — and 'odi', I hate. I believe too, however, that—aside from their parasitism—Jews are by nature, as reflected in their religion and culture, unsympathetic to and indeed even alienated by an economic system in which individuals are allowed to form and make contracts unsupervised and

uncontrolled by higher authority—for example, priestly boards examining into such things as fairness. One can understand why this should be. If men of a certain species were reptilianly ruthless in their pursuit of gain or prey they would need and want the gain-oriented relations between themselves controlled and supervised by presumably detached observers. They would instinctively know that they could not be left 'on their own' and instinctively they would be alienated by an economic system that provided no supervising or controlling higher authorities and so left them, individually, on their own. At the same time, such a system would place Jews in an advantageous position with respect to a host population whose members were not reptilianly ruthless. So to speak, they could make a killing. Thus, again, they would evince a 'love-hate' relation to this laissez-faire economic system of ours, which, it might be added, with respect to a species of people—our own, for example—who were not by nature reptilianly ruthless in pursuit of gain or prey—who were, as individuals, motivated by such things as feelings of fair play and chivalry and so could be expected to need no higher supervising authorities controlling their individual transactions—would prove alone fully congenial, a perfect fit."

"If I understand you rightly," I said to my friend, "you are maintaining two different but not necessarily incompatible theses. On the one hand, you seem to be maintaining that the 'love-hate' relationship between most Jews and individualistic laissez-faire capitalism rests upon something like the Jews' natural rapacity and ruthlessness: instinctively aware of this aspect of their natures, Jews will feel the need for the intervention of higher authorities in their business affairs and hence be naturally hostile to a laissez-faire economy. On the other hand, where as in our own case the population is not so reptilianly rapacious and ruthless, the same economic system will allow the Jew to prosper mightily and out of all proportion to his just desserts and so recommend itself to him. Hence, the Jews hate and

love. But you also refer to the Jews as natural parasites who feed on and destroy the economic system of any host people; hence, from the relish with which they feed on our laissez-faire economic system, they acquire a look of love for it; but as an emotional defense justifying their destroying their host's economic system and thus their host they need to express a hatred of both system and host. I see what you are saying and find it very convincing. What, though, do you say to the more simply theory that explains what you have been explaining by attributing to the Jews an inherent delight in lying, deceit, and hypocrisy and then claiming that the hate-side of their love-hate relationship to individualistic laissez-faire capitalism is mere hypocritical camouflage, designed to misdirect the non-Jewish viewer's eye from the actual depredations being engineered by Jews through license of the laissez-faire system?"

"I grant that the Jew typically and instinctively engages in camouflage," replied my mathematical friend. "One weapon of the parasite is camouflage, witness those parasitical birds whose progeny imitate the throat colorations of the host bird's progeny and so get fed. In their first intrusion into a culture Jews typically assume, or more accurately, try to assume, the plumage of their host. An English Jew, at the start of his intrusion into English society, tries at least for public consumption to be and sometimes even succeeds in being more English in dress, speech, and behavior than an Englishman, witness Disraeli; a German Jew than a German, and so on. Only when complete power is attained is the artificial plumage doffed. Then, instead of talk of tea and crumpets and amusing witticisms emanating from vulturine lips there emanate the coarsest profanities, talk of bagels, bar mitzvahs, and not infrequently the epithet, 'dumb goy.' Moreover, Jewish camouflage no doubt does play the role you assign it in the love-hate relationship that the Jew brings to individualistic, laissez-faire capitalism. I should also want to add that it is Jewish camouflage above all that explains how and why it is

that it is so difficult to zero in on the actual character of the Jew. The difficulty does not lie so much in the fact that individual Jews differ in their deeper character as in their particular camouflages. Let me give you an example.

"No one, in appearance and profession, has been a more dedicated apostle of reason and impartiality in argumentation than Ayn Rand. But when, in the late sixties, the so-called Seven Days' War broke out between Israel and several Arab states, Ayn Rand—as fiercely as any Jew in a synagogue—exclaimed against the cruelty and barbarities of the Arabs. One now heard not a peep from Rand about 'checking the evidence'—that is, one's premises. On the contrary, I remember at a small dinner party at this time Rand asking a member of the company whether the cruelty and barbarities of the Arabs might not be accounted for because of their—I quote—'tribal religion.' By the latter allusion Rand meant their, the Arabs', 'Mohammedanism.' The person addressed might have but didn't point out to Rand what she must have known full well: that any one can become a Mohammedan simply by declaring himself a believer—hardly the mark of a tribal religion—but that one can be accepted into Judaism only if born a Jew or if, having been invited to appear before certain select Rabbis, one passes through and successfully completes a quite discriminatory process and examination that obviously stand proxy for one's initiation into a tribe. So here was Rand pretending that Jewish terrorism in Palestine was Arab terrorism and that a tribal religion was non-tribal and vice-versa. To put the matter metaphorically: it was as if, scratching through the surface of Ayn Rand, one found, not pure reason or impartial examination of evidence, but the very same thoughts and feelings that one would have found scratching through the surface of any other Jew at that time. Now if, underneath all camouflage, Ayn Rand turns out to be like any other Jew, then, it seems to me, it cannot be individual differences that account for the difficulty one has in characterizing the Jew but differences in camouflage,

simply.

"For all the important role that camouflage plays in Jewish life, however, and in spite of the fact that we can find for it a role in the Jew's love-hate relationship to laissez-faire individualist capitalism, we are asking too much of it when we ask it to explain by itself that relationship. Camouflage, for instance, may be and often is the weapon of a parasite but it does not by itself explain the existence of parasitism. It would have to, though, if it were to explain, by itself, the existence of the Jew's love-hate relationship to laissez-faire individualist capitalism for, in some of its parts, that relationship can only be understood, it would seem, as aspects of parasitism. For example, one of the more noticeable features of the Jewish intrusion into our Aryan individualist laissez-faire capitalism is the Jew's conversion of it from a non-parasitical capitalism into a parasitical one. Let me elaborate.

"The sort of wealth we shall be speaking about consists in material possessions that can be consumed and exchanged. The existence of such possessions begins, in a very broad use of the term "production," with their production. Once existent these goods can be acquired in the following further three ways: a) through trade; b) through interest or foreclosures based upon loans; and c) through government coercion mandating the transfer of such possessions from one party to another party. Insofar as existence of the sort of wealth described results from production we shall speak of productive capitalism or, in its most advanced form, industrial capitalism; insofar as it exists through trade, mercantile capitalism; insofar as from banking practices—that is interest from loans and foreclosures—financial capitalism; and insofar as from government coercion or mandates, state capitalism.

"Now as it is clear that the existence of mercantile capitalism depends on the existence of productive capitalism one might say that the former is parasitic on the latter; and for the same reason, one might say that financial capitalism

is parasitic upon the former two; and state capitalism upon the former three. In a proper or natural ordering of things, then, industrial capital should rule over mercantile capital, that and mercantile over financial capital, and those three over state capital—should the latter exist at all. It might be noted, incidentally, that this natural order of things gets reflected morally in the very persuasive argument that wealth gained through production is more honest than wealth gained through trade, these more honest than wealth gained through banking, and these more honest than wealth gained through the mandates of government. The persuasiveness of this judgment issues, it is important to note, not so much through our perception, if any, of natural orders but our understanding, gained through experience, that productive capitalism does not lend itself to deceit, connivance, and ruthlessness to the extent that mercantile capitalism does—it is harder, if not impossible, for instance, to pretend one is in the process of making a chair when one is simply sitting on one's hands than to pretend that the same chair is worth more in trade than it is really worth; nor these to the extent that financial capitalism does, nor none of these to the extent that state capitalism does. How easy, for example, it is for the banker to falsely make believe, without being detected, that the ten dollars I have deposited and which he has loaned out to five different people is safe; and even how much easier for the politician to falsely make believe, without being detected, that his "soak-the-rich" taxes are really improving the condition of the poor and the general welfare.

"On the same basis, we know that state capitalism can through ruthless and unscrupulous exercises of power subordinate to itself the other three capitalisms; financial capitalism can similarly subordinate to itself, mercantile and industrial capitalism; and mercantile capitalism, industrial capitalism.

"Simply in view of the Jew's character, as previously described, and the Aryan or Europid's character, as

previously described, and in view of the properties and relationships outlined above, one might be theoretically tempted to project the following nutshell of history for this country: as the Jewish presence increases there is, concomitant with its increase, a growing domination of Jews first in state capitalism, then financial capitalism, then in mercantile capitalism, and finally in industrial capitalism. This concomitance would be predicated upon the Jew's well-developed and indeed natural ability to use deceit and camouflage, his instinctive but also religiously cultivated racial cohesion and orientation, and his reptilian ruthlessness (in contrast to the Aryan or Europid's lesser talents and proclivities in these lines and his natural and philosophically cultivated fascination with universalistic effusions of pure reason). Presumably, the government bureaucracy and state capitalism, possessing the most potential for exploitation by deceit, ruthlessness, and gang-action, would lend themselves first of all to the machinations of the Jew and be first captured; then the banking system and financial capitalism; then the mercantile system; and lastly, the industrial system. At the same time, the natural order of hegemonies, where industrial capitalism rules mercantile capitalism, and these financial capitalism, and these, finally, state capitalism, should, by the same concomitance, be gradually inverted, concluding in a condition where state capitalism dominates all the other three capitalisms, with financial capitalism next in paramountcy, then mercantile capitalism, and at the bottom of the power totem pole, industrial capitalism and industrial capitalists. But while the latter inversion has taken place in the last two hundred years of American economic history, Jewish domination of the various capitalisms has not in fact followed the simple route abstract deduction suggests. One's impression is that Jewish inroads into American power and wealth began with incursions into mercantile and financial capitalism, launched at first in large measure from bases in Europe; then with control of the

news and entertainment media; then and only then with control of the government bureaucracy and state capitalism; and finally, but still underway, control of industry and industrial capitalism.

"These divagations from the theoretically straight and narrow assumption of Jewish power were due to a number of historical accidents: the pristine exclusiveness of Anglo-Saxon society; the toughness of Yankee traders; Andrew Jackson's successful campaign against a federal bank and his introduction of the spoils system; pristine Christian hostility to Jews, as the crucifiers of Jesus Christ; the earlier common-law illegalization of Jewish unionism—that is, adversary unionism (the adversary being the gentile industrial system); and the natural alliance of the frontier and its people with production and productive capitalism. These barricades in his path and, originally, his own few numbers in America, caused the Jew to engage in Fabian tactics. Instead of seizing or trying to seize the potential seat of greatest power—the government bureaucracy and treasure—he was forced initially to attack those lesser seats of potential power whose route led from pushcarts to mercantile empires and from pawnshops to banking systems. Having gained control of the mercantile and financial capitalisms Jews were able to gain control of the news and entertainment media; then, by a ruthless exploitation of the latter, control of government—its bureaucracies and its courts, in particular, but also its legislatures: witness the subservience of the U.S. Congress and Senate to Israel. Even more illustrative of the ruthless exploitation by Jews of government power, once acquired, is the not-so-long ago, successful attack by pint-sized Pennzoil and its Jewish ownership upon the giant, Texaco. Consider: a single Jewish judge, in violation of all common law and precedent, in effect handed over Texaco to Pennzoil and its Jewish ownership—several billions' worth, anyway—and this exercise in judicial tyranny and piracy was allowed by the higher courts to

stick! To be sure, a largely Europid jury gave its imprimatur to the verdict. But, as anyone who knows anything knows, Europid juries are today, in Jewish hands, mere funny putty.

"It should be noted that as these capitalisms, including even industrial capitalism, have been Judaized, their complexions have entirely changed and have taken on the colorations of their new masters. Illustrative, as a case in point, would be the life-enhancing, virtue-oriented textile factories of New England of the 1820's, 30's, and 40's (see, "The Working Ladies of Lowell," Weisberger, *American Heritage*, ed. 1961, pp. 42 ff); these became, as the textile industry fell under Jewish hands; the grinding, life-sapping sweat-shops of New York. Or one might take in illustration the publishing industry's transition, concomitant with its coming under Jewish control, from an industry basically dedicated to good taste and morality and traditional American values to one dedicated to bad taste and immorality and the vilification of those same American values of its host.

"The message I want to emphasize in all this is that before a person attacks capitalism he needs to differentiate between industrial capitalism, mercantile capitalism, financial capitalism, and state capitalism, and he needs above all to consider in depth how each of these capitalisms differs when controlled and operated by Aryans or Europids versus Jews. When he does he will discover, I submit, that the conclusions he arrives at are in all cases discrete and different." Here my mathematical friend, after drawing a long breath, waved a self-deprecating hand and said, "I am afraid, when I get a full head of steam up, I monopolize the conversation. Surely, you may have wanted to stick an oar into the flow of my talking."

"No," I replied candidly. "I could think of nothing to add or subtract from what you were saying. Nor can I now." And this remains my feeling.

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**