

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

WEAVER AND GRITZ

by J.B. Campbell
page 25

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor R. P. Oliver:
POSTSCRIPTS

Lost Footnotes, page 1;
Suffer, Little Children, page 1;
Unamerican Cat, page 20.

Dr. Charles E. Weber:
Book Review:
Air Photo Evidence..., page 22

Hans Schmidt:
Clinton Victory:
End Times Are Here, page 40

Letters to the Editor
page 48

VOL. 20 - NO. 5

JANUARY 1993

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA. Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome and may be submitted on IBM-, Apple //e-, or Apple/Macintosh-compatible diskette, or in double-spaced, neatly typed format. Manuscripts can not be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

© Copyright 1991
by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's copyright. Proper source, address and subscription information must be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 5.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE-USA only	\$40.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$50.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$60.00
AIR MAIL-Europe, South America	\$70.00
Middle East, Far East, South Africa	\$75.00
Sample Copy	\$ 6.50

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 22.00
50 copies	\$ 90.00
100 copies	\$150.00
500 copies	\$600.00
1000 copies	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH — FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of an informed people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo F. Oliver

LOST FOOTNOTES

When the plates for printing the November issue of *Liberty Bell* were made, footnotes 4 and 5 at the bottom of page 5 were, by a mechanical error, reprinted at the bottom of page 13 instead of the notes which should have appeared on that page, viz.:

4. In *Is there Intelligent Life on Earth?*, pp. 31-34. (Liberty Bell Publications, \$6.00 + postage.)
5. Especially *The Territorial Imperative* (New York, Dell, 1966).

SUFFER, LITTLE CHILDREN

A reader of *Liberty Bell* has sent me, apropos of my article on the sexual molestation of children in the August issue, a copy of the November 1991 issue of *Inside News*, a periodical published in Australia (P.O. Box 311, Maleny, Queensland, 4552; A\$55.00 for twelve issues + A\$18.00 for airmail).

That issue is devoted to describing and elucidating a scandal in the United States that the newspapers have evidently succeeded in concealing from the public. Although it is merely typical of the normal functioning of the "democracy" that Americans love, it has some instructive aspects that will justify a concise summary of it here,

When the Den of Thieves, commonly called the Congress, made arrangements for the looting of Building-and-Loan agencies,¹ their intention, no doubt, was to provide enormous plunder for sacred Sheenies and their accomplices, further to afflict the tax-paying animals, and to hasten the catastrophic

1. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, June 1990, pp. 37-46; November 1990, pp. 1-6.

collapse of the United States. It appears, however, that the swindle also served ancillary purposes.

According to *Inside News*, which is my only source of what follows, the Franklin Federal Community Credit Union in Nebraska² had assets totalling \$2,600,000 on its books when it became bankrupt in 1988 and it was discovered that \$40,000,000 had disappeared down that rat hole. That is only commonplace now, but, according to the editor of *Inside News*, "private investigations have established links between the Franklin Credit Union and wholesale embezzlement of government funds, drug-money laundering, involvement in C.I.A. covert operations, and a link to the Iran-Contra scandal. In fact, everything about the Franklin affair smacks of C.I.A. involvement, perhaps even ultimate management." Well, there is nothing really extraordinary about that, either.

The Credit Union, established professedly to benefit niggers and other carbuncles on the American body politic, was directed by one Larry King (race unstated), a person of great political influence and believed to be a personal friend of George Bush. After he magically made \$40,000,000 vanish at the expense of the American boobs, Larry is said to have intended to appeal to his friend, George, but the Secret Service (which is charged with protecting the President, who was then Ronald Reagan) rushed Larry to a "mental hospital" for "psychiatric evaluation" and held him there, incommunicado, until his attorney had arranged to have thirty-seven indictments against him dismissed, in return for his pleading guilty to the three least serious charges, for which he was sentenced to a short vacation in

2. The Credit Union had its headquarters in Omaha, and must have been named in dishonor of Benjamin Franklin, since, so far as I know, there was no connection with the little town of Franklin or Franklin County, a rural area, with a total population of about 4500, on the southern border of Nebraska, southeast-by-south of Kearney. Nebraska is in the Middle West, which was once considered the most American part of the United States, but is now becoming as progressive as Tel Aviv-on-the-Potomac and New Jerusalem-on-the-Hudson.

prison. That, of course, is what usually happens, since American courts were effectively Judaized.

The financial scandal, however, uncovered another one that had been concealed by the authorities of the State of Nebraska. Since 1985, at least, jolly Larry had been operating, with some assistance from his various lover boys, a doubtless lucrative business, that of procuring children of both sexes from foster homes, apparently established for that purpose,³ from the Catholic "Boys Town," and from the "Girls' Club of Omaha," which had been founded and was run by the great philanthropist, Larry King. The children thus recruited were flown from time to time to Washington, where King maintained a residence, and forced to submit to every kind of sexual molestation and perversion for the delectation of men of high rank in the government that rules us.

Inside News names as persons involved in the child-prostitution business in one way or another: the publisher of the *Omaha World Herald*, the newspaper with by far the largest circulation in Nebraska, who was the recipient of a special award from B'nai B'rith, the Jewish cowboys who ride herd on their American cattle; the society editor of the newspaper, who was later convicted of molesting children independently of Larry; a very wealthy owner of department stores, who was later convicted of "aiding and abetting (male) prostitution" after graver charges had been politely dropped, and fined the enormous sum of \$500, which he was able to pay; the Federal Chief Public Prosecutor, who conducted hearings of the Federal Grand Jury, and, "a paedophil himself," threatened and terrorized the witnesses who had been victims of Larry's business; the Chief of the Omaha Police, who, according to an investigator's report reproduced in facsimile, shared in the fun (and the cocaine); the Mayor of Omaha, ditto; Bush's Secretary of Agriculture and Chairman of the Republican National Committee, and also Financial Officer

3. Cf. the McMartin Pre-school which I mentioned in August, p. 13.

of ConAgra, an enormous multi-national corporation with large holdings in Australia and elsewhere, which supplied the money to elect to the Nebraska Senate candidates who voted to drop investigation of Larry's educational efforts; the Chancellor of the University of Nebraska, who was thrown out of that office for perverting male students and then appointed by Bush as head of the Agency for International Development; the Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Union Pacific Railroad, who was Secretary of Transportation under Reagan, and identified as participating in Larry's parties; an official of the Union Pacific who avoided prosecution for homosexual pandering when the railroad bought off the victim, and became the Foreman of the Douglas County Grand Jury that investigated Larry's activities; another executive of the Union Pacific; and minor moguls of government and finance.

Three children gave testimony about what services Larry had required of them and about the customers of his child-whorehouse in Washington. A girl, Alisha, though threatened some fifteen times by agents of the Federal Bureau of Intimidation, refused to change her testimony; she was accordingly hauled into court, where she was represented by an attorney who, it was later discovered, was an agent of the Federal Bureau of Intimidation, and the girl, then 16, was sentenced to seventeen to twenty years in prison for "perjury."⁴ After having been thus taught how American courts work, the other two witnesses were cowed and silenced, especially after the Federal Bureau's Agent in Charge in Omaha, a pal of both the publisher of the *Omaha World Herald* and the Omaha Chief of Police (who was a side-kick of enterprising Larry King), personally intervened to threaten the two victims

4. An effort to obtain her release was being made, but *Inside News* reports that Alisha in prison was ill with symptoms which were such that "there is little doubt that she is being slowly, systematically poisoned." She may have died since November 1991.

with the vengeance of the F.B.I., if they persisted in their testimony, as Alisha had done.

The Federal Bureau of Intimidation, however, was unable to intimidate one of its agents in Omaha, who charged one of his superiors with molestation, sexual perversion, and a sickening display of insane degeneracy that might have given pause to the "Marquis" de Sade; the F.B.I. certified the snow-white innocence of the accused pervert, pacified the victim by paying him \$1,500,000 extracted from the taxpaying boobs, and transferred the pervert to Chicago, where his amusements would attract less attention.

If you have noticed the normal operations of the Federal Government, e.g., to prevent disproof of Earl Warren's attempt to cover up the assassination of Kennedy, you will not be astonished by the record in even the little affair of Larry King's entertainments. Here is part of that record. King's partner, Craig Spence, was identified by the *Washington Times*, 29 June 1989, as having taken a group of homosexual prostitutes on a tour of the White House, and having among his clients "key officials of the Reagan and Bush administrations, military officers, congressional aides, and U.S. and foreign business men with close ties to Washington's political élite"; the newspaper also reported that some of the call boys were suspected of being agents of Soviet espionage. Before Spence could be forced to testify, he was found dead in a Boston hotel, a "suicide." The brother of Alisha, the girl who would not retract her testimony, was arrested on a minor charge and found hanged in his unlocked cell in the jail, leaving a "suicide note" that was not in his handwriting. The brother of another victim supposedly blew his brains out while playing Russian Roulette. One of King's lover boys was suspected of intending to give evidence; he committed "suicide," and his close associate died when he "fell" out of the window of a hotel. A man who organized some of King's homosexual parties committed "suicide." A Special Investigator, Gary

Caradori, who had accumulated a vast amount of evidence about Larry King's use of captive children, was killed, together with his young son, when his small airplane exploded in mid-air, and his files were promptly seized and sequestered by the Federal Bureau of Intimidation. The woman who first called attention to the enforced prostitution of children in a foster home was killed in an "automobile accident." You see, your rulers are fairly thorough in covering up their spoor.

I have given you the essentials of the story; if you want details, see *Inside News*. I must add some comments adversely critical of that publication.

A Question of Race

One of the little girls taken to Washington to entertain our masters testified that at two of Larry's parties she had recognized a man who seems to have looked in at the revel without actually participating; he was escorted by two white punks when he arrived, and he departed in the company of a male nigger. That seems to indicate that Larry's festivities were multi-cultural and free from racial bigotry, thus corresponding to the ideals that have been injected into the dim consciousness of American boobs. But it is the only reference to race in the entire article, although there is an ambiguity in the description of a foster home that makes a suspicious reader wonder whether some, at least, of the children in it may not have been Congoids or mulattos. If the race of persons mentioned was thus concealed, as is done systematically in our newspapers, that amounts to deception of the readers by omission of crucial data that would determine their appraisal of the entire narrative.

That the Australian publication, assuming that its American informant reported the facts accurately, was guilty of deception is shown by such information as I have obtained from other sources. A reliable source assures me that Larry King is a nigger, like his homonym in California, the vicious criminal who, you remember, was overtaken by

the police and was then used by the Jews' television to incite a prototype of civil war in Los Angeles and to befuddle the American public by showing them a carefully edited and thus lying excerpt from a video tape that recorded the arrest.⁵

If the boob-tubes noticed the Nebraskan King's escapades, they could not conceal the color of his hide, but the newspapers, so far as I know, never mentioned his race. This is in keeping with the policy that Americans approve and praise, telling themselves that if the race of criminals was not concealed, some awful racial bigots might think it wrong for sweet niggers to rape white women, mug and rob white tax-paying animals, loot stores, break into homes, and otherwise enjoy the wholesome exercise and soul-satisfying recreation to which that noble and oppressed race is entitled by virtue of its moral superiority to Aryans, the lowest of all races. It is not surprising that the liepapers' policy is approved by some fat-headed females stuffed with Christian love, but when an entire nation tolerates such systematic deception by publications on which it relies for information, that nation has obviously, if perhaps subconsciously, chosen to become extinct.

The Nebraskan King is a nigger. Most of his many associates, listed above, must be degenerate Americans (i.e., Aryans), but one wonders whether some, such as the Mayor and the Chief of Police in Omaha, are also niggers. Americans, you know, like to elect niggers to such offices to make sure that nigger rapists, robbers, and murderers will

5. See *Liberty Bell*, August 1992, p. 23. I notice that my implied prediction has been fulfilled. As I write, the Congress is about to enact legislation to put cable television under the control of the Federal Communications Commission "to protect the public from excessive charges." Now, if you are grown up, you know that when politicians begin jabbering about "protecting the public," the only question is what act of theft or treason they intend to promote. The intent this time is to prevent a courageous operator of cable television from exposing the enormous frauds of the Jews' electronic lie-machine. The public, obviously, is to be protected from information that might possibly induce rational thought in their lie-saturated and pickled brains.

enjoy a maximum of protection from "racial harassment." That makes the white boobs proud of themselves.

Since Larry is a nigger, the tentative suspicion aroused by the ambiguity I mentioned above becomes a virtual certainty: many of the children he carried to Washington to titillate the sexual perversity of our lords were pickaninnies. That makes us drastically revise our estimates of Larry's crimes, because the sexual reactions of young Congoids differ enormously from the comparable reactions of Aryan children. That is obvious to anyone who considers the well-attested sexual mores of Congoids in their native habitat. But it is likely that, given the Americans' insane infatuation with "multi-culturalism," some of the victims were white children who had been forced to associate with young savages. If that is so, Americans generally were accomplices in Larry's criminal activity.

It appears, therefore, that *Inside News* was engaged in a scandalous cover-up of the very kind that it deplores. We may now consider its principal aberration.

A Question of Identity

As I mentioned above, one of Larry's childish whores claimed that she had recognized a man who, accompanied by white and nigger punks, had dropped in to watch the sexual games. That girl (race now in doubt) claimed that she, from pictures she had seen in the press and on television, recognized the man as George Bush, then Vice President.

Now no matter how much you may dislike our whilom War Lord, and even if you are convinced that he was responsible for *all* of the criminal and treasonable work of the C.I.A. in recent years, you perceive at once how very uncertain is that identification by an excited adolescent. You can do no more than say that it is not flatly impossible. Yet the editor of *Inside News* has chosen to regard it as a virtual certainty, to make it the subject of his headline and the summary in large type on his first page, and to focus his

entire article on it. That evinces a singular lack of either candor or judgement that will make most readers of his periodical suspect his *bona fides*. I report his article here only on the assumption that he based it on valid information received from a correspondent in the United States.⁶

A Question of Faith

There is another serious deficiency in the Australian periodical's report. The recreations of Larry King and his pals are said to have included Satanism and appropriate rites, involving the sacrifice and dismemberment of at least one infant. The editor of *Inside News* regards those antics as evidence of real devil-worship and speculates rather wildly about occult powers and influences. That is probably a misunderstanding of a kind that frequently occurs.

The practice of Satanism need not presuppose any belief in supernatural beings. A case in point is the famous club founded by Sir Francis Dashwood (1708-1781) around 1752, before he became Baron Le Despenser. Often called the "Hell-Fire Club," this society for debauchery and veneries was known as the "Franciscans" and the "Monks of Medmenham," since they met in the ruins of St. Mary's Abbey on Sir Francis's estate near Medmenham in Sussex. Sir Francis had had a large part of the abbey rebuilt, including the chapel, which had windows of stained glass portraying scenes of sexual intercourse, and probably statues of the appropriate gods, i.e., Priapus, the Egyptian

6. The account is validated by the report in the *Spotlight*, 1 June 1992, pp. 14-17, of a similar enterprise in California. As for progressive Larry's other activities, the press has reported that he is now enjoying a forced vacation at public expense in recognition of the sleight-of-bookkeeping that made \$40,000,000 vanish, and that the prosecuting attorneys declare that they magnanimously refrained from prosecuting him for "selling drugs, belonging to a national child-abuse ring, and for being deeply involved in an Iran Contra money-laundering scheme." It is not stated whether their magnanimity was excited by his racial nobility or by the fact that he was a satellite of the elite that rules us and is preparing us for total servitude in the New World Order for which the triumphant Kikes have worked for more than a millennium.

god Min, Aphrodite, Angerona, et al., such as adorned the spacious gardens and groves surrounding it.

The Friars (also called Knights) of St. Francis were rational and well-educated gentleman who had no belief in celestial or infernal spooks, but they delighted in advertising their superiority to vulgar superstitions. A barge on the Thames brought them to the foot of the hill, and, wearing the white robes of Cistercian monks, they marched in solemn procession up to the Abbey, carrying votive candles in their hands and chanting loudly obscene parodies of Christian hymns. Their women, whose reputations they protected with gentlemanly care, were awaiting them, each wearing a vizard over the upper part of her face to preserve a precarious anonymity and increase pleasure with a certain mystery. The Friars, being civilized men, indulged in no animal sacrifices or similar nastiness, but they celebrated a Black Mass⁷ as a preliminary to their erotic sports. One such mass, indeed, is remembered and some attribute to it consequences that made it of great historical significance,⁸ but their only faith was in the infinite desirability of women and in their own venereal prowess.

Today, when orthodox Christianity, with its belief in Satan, is the creed of an ever dwindling minority, mockery of its rites and sacraments is no longer an exhilarating sport. Contemporary Satanism is of several distinct kinds. In the McMartin Pre-School it was obviously a device to terrorize and ensure the mindless submission of the children who had been selected to provide sexual entertainment for prominent "actors, sports figures, politicians." It seems not to have served the same purpose in Larry's business, where it was probably a pretext for sadistic and sometimes murderous amusements, as it was

7. For a good description of a real Black Mass, see Joris-Karl Huysman's *Là-bas*. There must be an English translation.

8. Since this is a neat historical problem, I have summarized it in an excursus at the end of this article.

in the Manson "family" that was notorious a few years ago. It is true that there is also actual belief in Satanism, as in the other superstitions that are increasingly popular in our age of epidemic irrationality. Minds that have been so weakened that they can believe in the equality of races can believe anything. It is, however, likely that the faithful votaries of Satan, like the adepts of the "New Age" hokum and the dupes of Maharishis, are almost all innocuous simpletons, although they are dangerous and prodigiously evil in the estimation of "experts" who like to receive generous fees for lecturing about them.

At all events, the editor of *Inside News* and his readers need not worry about rumored attempts to "control the planet by channeling, focusing, and directing occult forces." Control of this planet is being sought and attained by forces that are not in the least supernatural and can invariably be exorcised by well-aimed bullets.

EXCURSUS

The Friars were godless men, but there is a latent residue of superstition in our biological inheritance. One ceremony in the chapel, lit only by the wavering light of black and perfumed candles, was long remembered. One of the Friars, the celebrated John Wilkes, procured a baboon, dressed him in scarlet apparel with horns, and confined him closely in a chest behind the high altar, from which, by means of a concealed wire, he released the baboon at the high point of the Black Mass, when Satan is invoked. The enraged and frantic creature sprang from the chest with simian cries, gesticulating wildly and gibbering; the women shrieked and screamed as they fled; Lord Bute precipitately exited through the nearest stained-glass window; the Earl of Sandwich disgraced himself by falling on his knees and imploring a god to pardon and protect him; and the crazed ape lunged at Lord March, who either swooned or was petrified by terror. Other Friars of St. Francis were doubtless startled and may have been less conspicuously frightened, but they were soon laughing at Wilkes' prank

and at the members who had been terrified by an apparition in which no rational man could believe. And beneath their jests there was an unspoken awareness that the first and most indispensable virtue of an English gentleman was courage.

There are several versions of this story, which vary considerably in details but not in essentials, and Raymond Postgate in his biography of Wilkes,¹ suggests that the story may be apocryphal, but has to admit that the Franciscans temporarily suspended their meetings in 1763, and that a "practical joke by Wilkes" may have been the cause. What practical joke is more likely to have had that effect than one that made at least three noblemen show the white feather? That would also explain why Wilkes evidently ceased to be a member of the Franciscans, and why Sir Francis, who was never suspected of cowardice,

1. *That Devil Wilkes* (2d ed., London, Dobson, 1956). (The title is taken from King George's references to Wilkes.) This is the first real biography of Wilkes, as distinct from essays about how wicked he was, and is basic to consideration of his career. There are several later biographies of Wilkes, of which the best by far is by Charles Chenevix Trench, *Portrait of a Patriot* (Edinburgh, Blackwood, 1962), which embodies a great deal of research into both the political and the social aspects of Wilkes' career. The latest work that has come to my attention is by Louis Kronenberger, *The Extraordinary Mr. Wilkes* (New York, Doubleday, 1974). I have seen neither O. A. Sherrard's *A Life of John Wilkes* (London, 1930) nor I. R. Christie's *Wilkes, Wyvill, and Reform* (London, 1962) and know of them only through references in other books and articles. Wilkes was known to everyone of consequence in his time, and a vast amount of more or less reliable information about him, which no one has had the patience to collect, is scattered through the writings of his many contemporaries (Lord Chesterfield, Boswell, Gibbon, Horace Walpole, Lady Mary Montagu, and innumerable others), some of which I have read and remember. — Neither Chenevix Trench nor Kronenberger doubt the story of Wilkes' use of an ape or baboon at a Black Mass, although they follow different versions; but neither writer sees that the episode may have inspired resentment and hostility on the part of the noblemen whose latent superstition and cowardice was exposed by Wilkes' prank, even though they know that the ceremonies of the Monks of Medmenham were temporarily (not permanently) suspended thereafter. The conduct of Lord Orford, who seems to have been the High Priest on this occasion, is variously reported, but he was a man of no political importance.

and who maintained outwardly friendly relations with Wilkes, seems to have borne some grudge against him.

Lord March and Lord Sandwich are known to have been Franciscans. The members usually brought friends to their rites as 'acolytes' or guests, and Lord Bute was a guest (he is mentioned as such, with an obscene *double entente*, in the *Essay on Woman*), as was Benjamin Franklin when he visited England.

Wilkes' apish trick is plausibly said to have been the origin of the three noblemen's bitter hostility toward him, and thus to have determined the course of his long and sensational political career. He probably owed to Lord Bute, who was the only trusted counsellor of George III, the King's antipathy, but that could be explained as directed against an able spokesman of the Whig aristocracy, who denounced Bute's policy of "peace at any price" and the Treaty of Paris.

The prosecution of Wilkes for owning and editing the *North Briton* was, of course, political, but even so one wonders at the reckless animus shown in his arrest on a warrant that was known to be unconstitutional and his arrest and imprisonment in defiance of the law that made members of the Parliament immune to arrest.

Although all governments are capable of astonishing folly, it is hard to believe that anything short of blind personal hatred by powerful men could have made the government subsequently take the outrageous step of persistently excluding Wilkes from a Parliament to which he was duly and repeatedly elected by a borough that was traditionally friendly to the ruling faction. Even if it was certain that Wilkes, though unprovoked, would join the vehement opposition, what could a single member of the House have done that was of political consequence?² And what conceivable political advantage could have outweighed

2. Chenevix Trench has pointed out that the Opposition, which primarily represented family interests rather than national policies, was largely ineffectual so long as the "reversionary factor," which had brought Bute and the 'Tories' to power, was in abeyance during the minority of the Prince of Wales (who eventually became King George IV).

the certain consequences of arrogantly violating the traditional constitution of the monarchy?

The electors of Middlesex elected Wilkes by a large majority, and the Ministry's faction in the Parliament declared the election void. Middlesex then elected Wilkes by an overwhelming majority, and he was again excluded from his seat in Parliament. At the third election, Wilkes was again overwhelmingly elected, but the Ministry declared that a rival candidate, who had received a few votes, was the new member of the Parliament. In other words, the King's Ministers, through their control of a majority in the Parliament, simply appointed a new member in open defiance of the wishes of the electors whom that member was supposed to represent. I cannot believe that a policy so utterly reckless and potentially disastrous could have been motivated by anything short of an unreasoning hatred of Wilkes as a man, probably by Bute and certainly by the Earl of March and the Earl of Sandwich, both of whom had high rank and great influence in the court party.

It is certain that the Earl of Sandwich, with the cooperation of the Earl of March, despicably bribed a printer to abstract a copy of the *Essay on Woman*, which Wilkes had had printed in a strictly limited edition of twelve copies as gifts for his friends, and then illegally prosecuted him for publishing [!] an obscene work. The resulting scandal did alienate from Wilkes supporters who had strict moral principles, but it alienated from the government all men who prized the traditional liberty of Englishmen—and not merely in the upper classes. The dishonest printer was paid about £230, but he was so despised by printers throughout England that he could never obtain employment anywhere and eventually committed suicide.³ (The *Essay on Woman* was a highly obscene parody of Pope's *Essay on Man*, written by one

3. This persecution of Wilkes was too complicated and tortuous to be summarized here and some phases of it are obscure. For example, there is ample reason to believe that one of the Lord March's sleazy agents, the Reverend Mr. John Kidgell, was guilty of forgery, but it is not known precisely what he forged.

of the Franciscans, Thomas Potter, the son of the Archbishop of Canterbury, and adorned with equally obscene critical annotations written by Wilkes in the manner of Bishop Warburton's pedantic notes on Pope's poem.)⁴

All the evidence known to me indicates that if Wilkes had not been so illegally and outrageously persecuted by personal enemies, he probably would never have been more than one of the members of Parliament who followed and supported his close friend, Lord Temple, the intimate friend of the Earl of Chatham, and opposed the policies of Lord Bute and the King. In his private life, Wilkes would have been only a gentleman of leisure, a libertine (like his fellow Franciscans) noted for his insatiable mulierosity, a writer of ephemeral literature (like his friend and fellow member of the Franciscans, the Reverend Mr. Charles Churchill, who was highly esteemed as a poet in his day but is now unread), and a scholar whose editions of Classical authors, like those of contemporary clergymen and university men, were soon superseded. He would now be as generally forgotten as are almost all of his comparable contemporaries.

It is certain that the gratuitous persecution of Wilkes made him defend himself by seeking and mobilizing popular support, insisting on the right of English boroughs to be represented in Parliament by the men they chose, and the right of an English town to select its own aldermen. He further defended himself by denouncing the encroachment of the crown on the privileges of Englishmen, and made himself so popular that "Wilkes and Liberty" became a potent political watchword with which King George III and his government were, in the end, unable to cope.

4. I have seen only excerpts of the *Essay on Woman*, and, so far as I know, the whole text and commentary has never been published. I commend it, therefore, to the attention of our contemporary pornographers, who must be sadly in want of some novelty to stimulate the jaded appetites of their customers.

Speculative historians, who generally deprecate all conspiratorial or racial theories, sometimes see in the persecution of Wilkes the first in a row of dominoes that, falling one against the other, changed the course of history. Wilkes' opposition to the government of George III and his leadership of a politically potent movement based on popular support and open defiance of the Ministry and hence of the King, encouraged the disaffected elements in the American Colonies to undertake similar resistance to that government and to raise the issue of their right to be represented in matters affecting them. When American envoys, such as Benjamin Franklin, went to England, they were both impressed by the great enthusiasm for "Wilkes and Liberty," and encouraged to resist the government by all its political enemies, including the greater part of the Whig aristocracy. When the Colonies began open resistance, they had the support of not only Wilkes and the 'Wilkites,' but of all Englishmen who wanted to harass the government on which George III had set his obstinate heart. They, for example, made it virtually impossible for the government to recruit British troops that might be used to coerce the colonists, so that Lord North, who was merely the spokesman for George III, had eventually to resort to the expedient of hiring Hessian mercenaries.

Neither the Englishmen in the colonies nor the Englishmen at home who encouraged and abetted them thought of breaking the legally indissoluble union of the colonies with the mother country, until wily agitators, such as Samuel Adams (who not only arranged the famous "tea party" but contrived the "Boston massacre"—the "massacre" of four of the rioters whom he had incited to attack the British sentries⁵), appealing to the anti-monarchical Puritans of New England, descendents of the religious fanatics and regicides of the Commonwealth, 5. The divided state of sentiment even in New England at this time is shown by the fact that John Adams (later President) defended and procured the acquittal of the officer and soldiers who were prosecuted for the "massacre" of the four rioters.

converted civil resistance into an armed conflict that became the only Civil War in our history, a double Civil War, a war between two factions in each colony and, with the victory of the factions that sought independence, a Civil War between two parts of the Kingdom.

The insurgents were encouraged and abetted not only by Wilkes, who had acquired a paramount influence over both the mercantile and the working classes that lasted until the Gordon Riots (1780),⁶ but by all the disaffected Whigs, on the assumption that the Civil War would end in a compromise and restoration of the union. That would doubtless have been the result, but for the intervention of France.

The Colonists were, from the first, given massive support by a large part of the French aristocracy, captivated by Rousseau's delusions and manipulated by the Masonic lodges and Weishaupt's Illuminati,⁷ and also given clandestine subsidies by the Foreign Minister, Vergennes, but even so it is probable that the American Colonists could never have prevailed in their war of secession from the mother country, if French enthusiasts, such as the Marquis de Lafayette, and Vergennes, anxious to cover up his own blunders, had not succeeded in bullying Louis XVI into declaring war on Britain, against his better judgement and

6. If you have contented yourself with one of the capsule histories of England, such as G. M. Trevelyan's, that go from King Alfred to the present in two or three volumes, you may have been given a hint of how bloody and destructive those riots were, but you will not have been told that Lord George Gordon, the neurotic third son of the Duke of Gordon, who was ostensibly the leader of the mobs, was so feeble-minded that he adopted the religion of the Jews, had himself circumcised by a rabbi, and observed all the absurd rites and practices by which that race emphasizes its separation from all others.

7. One must also attribute much to the shrewd American envoy, Benjamin Franklin, who captivated gullible *philosophes* by playing with great histrionic ability, the rôle of a simple, homespun sage, uncorrupted by the civilization which Rousseau made responsible for all the sorrows of mankind. He even kept a poker face when the educated suckers accepted as true and pathetic narratives some of the humorous travesties he had published in his newspapers years before, which someone had found and translated into French.

over the protests of the Queen, and thus ruining France by burdening her, at a time of financial crisis, with a debt to usurers that soon drove her into bankruptcy. Britain was thus faced with a global war, since she had to defend her possessions in India, in the Caribbean, and elsewhere as well as in North America, and even so the Colonists' victory was made possible only by the military and naval power of their stupid ally, to whom they showed their gratitude by double-crossing her.⁸

It is undoubtedly true that it was the success of the American populace in resisting their King that encouraged disaffected Frenchmen to undertake a concerted resistance to their King, Louis XVI, which led to the French Revolution—a catastrophe that altered forever the history of our race.

Was Wilkes, then, the prime cause of the French Revolution? That speculation is plausible in theory and would lead to the bizarre conclusion that a night in Medmenham was one of the great climacterics of history. If Wilkes was such a cardinal point in history, his biographer, Raymond Postgate, draws from Wilkes' political passivity after 1780 the conclusion that he had no awareness of it. Wilkes, he says, "never reflected that just as the American Revolution arose directly from the agitation for Wilkes and liberty, so the French Revolution was the child of the American." It evidently did not occur to any of the biographers that Wilkes, who was shocked and dismayed by the Gordon Riots, in which many of his followers participated, may have been appalled by that demonstration of what mobs composed of normally

8. Louis XVI had been encouraged to hope that France could regain Canada at a peace conference, but the Americans, by secretly negotiating with England and, in effect, making a separate peace with England, frustrated that foolish hope, and France could only save face by negotiating the Peace of Versailles with England, by which she recovered only a few unimportant islands. The French King had been urged to declare war on England by Frenchmen, such as the Marquis de Lafayette, whose ulterior purpose was to arouse and encourage similar resistance in France.

peaceful individuals are capable when incited by rabble-rousers. He may have felt like the fisherman in the Arabian Nights who uncorked the jar and released a hideous and ferocious jinni before whom he was helpless. It is the great merit of Chenevix Trench that he recognizes the courage and resolution that Wilkes displayed at that time. He had had several terms as Lord Mayor of London, after which he retired to the virtually permanent post of 'Chamberlain' (i.e., Treasurer), and when the pavid Lord Mayor was paralysed by terror, Wilkes, as second in authority, took over, called for troops from the Army, and in the meantime armed a small body of militia and such irregulars as he could find, led them, sword in hand, against the huge mob of rioters, and, by the light of burning buildings, killed quite a few of them with well-aimed volleys, beginning the repression which cost the lives of about three hundred impassioned reformers and looters. He tirelessly led and commanded his small improvised battalion on the following days and nights, dispersing rioters and patrolling the streets, so that by the time the regular troops arrived, there was little for them to do except mount guard.

Wilkes knew, of course, that he was sacrificing his great popularity and the political influence it gave him. Some invidious contemporaries and almost all modern writers accuse him of having betrayed his principles; that is a gross misunderstanding. He was known as a 'republican,' but we must beware of giving to that word its current meaning. In the Eighteenth Century, the meaning of 'republic' was usually that which the word bears in the great work of Jean Bodin, *Six livres de la République*, which he wrote in both French and Latin, so that it was read all over Europe. Bodin takes monarchy for granted as the only feasible form of government for large states—even an 'absolute' monarchy, but not a tyranny, since the state must be ruled in terms of a settled constitution under which citizenship is accompanied by corresponding rights and duties, producing a stable society, the first requisite of civilization. Wilkes

had no wish to overthrow the British monarchy; he had no wish to enfranchise the masses, much less to rouse a proletariat. He wanted only to preserve, especially for the upper classes, to which he belonged, a high degree of personal liberty, secure from arbitrary acts by the government. He had, I am sure, resorted to agitating the populace, especially the middle class and the upper stratum of the working class, only to protect himself from unconstitutional persecution. It is true that he thus initiated the first politically inspired mass movement in England, but I feel certain that when he saw to what an outbreak of fanaticism and insane violence a mass movement could lead, he was dismayed—as he was probably dismayed when the American Colonies, on whom he had urged “moderation,” became independent, instead of acquiring political status (such as that of Scotland) within the British Empire.

It is true that Wilkes made an important, if not decisive, contribution to the American Revolution, of which the Americans showed their appreciation in ways that cost them nothing,⁹ but the “domino effect,” postulated by sciolistic historians who claim that “it just happened that way,” is clearly absurd. In the French Revolution certainly and perhaps in some of its antecedents we can discern the power of a conspiracy that was occult only in the sense that it was secret and was covertly directed by the alien and hostile race to whose benefit it redounded, but it would require great subtlety to discern traces of that conspiracy in British politics before 1780 or to postulate that Wilkes was influenced by it—except indirectly through the aliens’ gradual penetration of English society, made possible by our

9. Counties in two states, a town in Pennsylvania and several towns in other states, and many children were named in his honor, and the name retained such prestige that it was adopted as part of the name of the actor who was later hired to assassinate Abraham Lincoln by the bosses of the Republican Party to facilitate their atrocious exploitation of the defeated South.

race’s millennial addiction to an irrational, grotesque and ultimately deadly superstition, from which he had emancipated himself.

Wilkes was only an ephemeral product of the profound and essentially biological forces that, like gravity, though unseen, inexorably shape the world and all life in it, and reckon nothing of the agony of individuals, nations, and races that madly ignore reality and perish like moths in a flame.

UNAMERICAN CAT

In *Liberty Bell*, October 1989, I reported a zoologist’s observation of a coyote, who approached a well-baited trap and, instead of entering it, sat down, studied it, and disdainfully walked away, thus proving that he was more intelligent than Americans, who thoughtlessly jumped into the Marxist trap of the Income Tax in 1913 and have gaily rushed into every trap set for them since that time, so that they now find themselves entirely helpless and in the possession of their implacable captors. It now appears that intellectual superiority is also found in cats, at least in cats that have not been domesticated.

A British engineer reports to the *New Scientist*, 29 August 1992, p. 53, that he wanted to trap a “feral cat,” meaning, I suppose, the kind of animal that in this country is usually called a “stray cat” or “alley cat.” He obtained a mechanical trap, baited it, and watched from a concealed position:

The cat duly arrived, studied the trap suspiciously from different angles, retired, sat and contemplated. Then...she entered the trap purposefully, placed her paws underneath the trip plate, took the food, and backed out.

Americans, of course, never sit down to contemplate; they sit down to stare in an hypnotic trance at the Jews’ boob-tube. Unlike alley cats, they do not belong to a viable species of mammalian life. □

BOOK REVIEW

John C. Ball, *Air Photo Evidence / Auschwitz, Treblinka, Majdanek, Sobibor, Bejen Belsen, Belzec, Babi Yar, Katyn Forest*, 1992. Available for 12 Canadian dollars, including postage, from Ball Resource Services Ltd., Suite 160, 7231 120th Street, Delta, British Columbia, V4C 6P5, CANADA.

by Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

In 1979 The Central Intelligence Agency published a book with the title, *The Holocaust Revisited: A Retrospective Analysis of the Auschwitz-Birkenau Extermination Complex*. This book was based on newly discovered aerial photographs of various relocation and labor camps and purported to show that these photographs were proofs of the so-called "Holocaust," the thesis that the German government of that time was engaged in the massive extermination of the Jews of Europe. Historians who questioned the Extermination Thesis were quick to point out that the 19-page booklet proved nothing of the kind and indeed justified even further doubts about the Extermination Thesis.

The Central Intelligence Agency of the "American" government, a government in the habit of yielding quickly to the wishes of Jewish pressure organizations, no matter what the costs to the American people as a whole, had actually thrown a boomerang that gave itself a well-deserved knock on the head.

Now Mr. John C. Ball, who has been involved professionally as a mineral exploration geologist using aerial photographic techniques since 1976, has reexamined the photographic materials pertaining to the relocation and labor camps in Poland operated during the Second World War by German authorities. On the second page of Mr. Ball's book we find a picture of him at the National Archives Air Photo Library in Alexandria, Virginia. His labors have resulted in a lucid, well organized book which merits the attention of all students of the history of the Second World War, and in particular those engaged in the investigation of the Extermination Thesis. This book offers one of the best disproofs of the "Holocaust" material, or perhaps even *the* best disproofs of all, with all due respect to previous investigations of other types of evidence (e.g., written docu-

22 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1993

ments, observations of buildings in the camp sites which survived the war, chemical studies, demographic data, trial records, etc.) pertaining to the Extermination Thesis. After all, the aerial photographs are the American government's very own records.

On the fourth unnumbered page at the beginning of the book we encounter two maps which show the locations of the camps, Katyn Forest and the Barbi Yar Ravine. These maps are helpful to the reader not familiar with the geographical aspects of the "Holocaust" material. The following page shows Mr. Ball at work examining aerial photographs with optical instruments. Pages 6 to 17 deal not with the relocation and labor camps, which are the main topic of the book, but with the firebombing of Hamburg in the summer of 1943 and the massacre in 1940 of the Polish officers captured by the Soviet forces that invaded Poland from the east. (After the grave sites were discovered in early 1943 by German intelligence, the Germans exhumed and identified 4,143 bodies during April to August 1943.) This section of the book provides a basis for comparison of the aerial photographs that are presented in the further parts of the book. Perhaps the availability of contemporary ground photographs of the objects caused Mr. Ball to use these areas as a sort of introduction to the interpretation of aerial photographs.

Be that as it may, advertently or inadvertently, the author thus makes another important point which is often overlooked in discussions of the Extermination Thesis; whatever happened or did not happen to the Jews of Europe took place during a war in which there was a clear and persistent threat of genocide. In the case of Germany, we need only think of the proposal of extermination of the German population by sterilization in the book by Theodor N. Kaufman, published in 1941, *Germany Must Perish* [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$4.50 + postage], the Bromberg "Bloody Sunday" (see our *Bulletin* 39, republished in the Liberty Bell of October 1989), the massive bombings of civilians, the Morgenthau Plan presented to and initialed by Roosevelt in September 1944, and the chilling Nemmersdorf massacre in East Prussia in October 1944.

In a section of the book (pages 40 to 48) with grave political implications Mr. Ball offers detailed evidence that photographs taken on 31 May and 13 September 1944 were altered by inserting

Liberty Bell / January 1993 — 23

marks on them. The top inscription of pages 40-41 reads "Marks on August 25th, 1944 photos said to be evidence of 'homocidal gassings'... are black in contrast to the grey shades in this September photo." This alteration of the records in order to promote belief in the Extermination Thesis should be utterly disgusting to any honest historian, but it is by no means unique. Much of the "Holocaust" material is based on forged documents and confessions obtained by torture. What else can we expect from a government that participates in the building of the huge, five-story United States Holocaust Memorial Museum to promote belief in the alleged massive killing of Jews in Europe, while it is content with little more than a simple wall to commemorate the deaths of nearly 58,000 of its own sons in Viet Nam?

Beginning on page 60 the author introduces the 1944 War Refugee Report and the 1988 Leuchter Report. There follow many aerial photographs which discredit the former and confirm the latter. Many of these photographs demonstrate the fact that the installations around Auschwitz constituted a huge industrial complex of great importance to the German defense effort. To enhance the interpretation of the aerial photographs of Auschwitz, a number of ground photographs are reproduced from the *Auschwitz Album: Lillijacob's Album* edited by Sergei Klarsfeld (pages 34, 54, 55, 58, 63).

On page 113 Mr. Ball states conclusions he has drawn from his research as follows: "To conclude [,] there is no air photo evidence [that] mass murders and cremations occurred at or near the Birkenau crematoriums, which were visible from both inside and outside the camp, or the Auschwitz I or Majdanek detention camps. There is also no air photo evidence [that] mass murders, burials, or cremations occurred at the alleged Treblinka camp, which does not appear to have had any more than five buildings, or at the Sobibor or Belzec logging camps. There is also no evidence of mass cremations at Babi Yar ravine."

The book ends with a list of references, a list of the origins of the photographs and an index (pages 116-116). □

Weaver and Gritz

by
J.B. Campbell

There is evidence that the number one priority of the US government is to destroy the White Power movements in all countries and especially in this country. Any White person who resents even mildly our government's anti-White policy is a threat to government, whether or not he so considers himself, and he must be destroyed. This is made obvious by merely reading the papers but I have been told of this priority by a high-ranking, 28 year veteran of CIA who attempted to "go straight" and who is now sitting in prison for his efforts. We also have evidence from the January, 1991 edition of the FBI's *Law Enforcement Bulletin* in which deputy director Floyd Clark admits that the Bureau's ultimate goal is to destroy the White Power movement. So we may consider ourselves enemies of the state regardless of our having thought about it, up to now, one way or the other. Of course many of our people have been tamed by government propaganda in schools, television, radio, books, movies, etc. They will not resist government's next moves to obliterate the White race. But there is an apparently growing number of us who will not submit and the government has therefore targeted us for liquidation.

For the purpose of this article I have been asked to analyze the Randy Weaver incident of August, 1992. There are three parts to this complex story: Randy Weaver, the US government and Bo Gritz. The treachery of the government against Weaver is pretty well known by now and more comes out every day from the legal maneuvering in Boise, but there is obviously much more to the nightmare at Ruby Creek than a shotgun barrel which was 1/4 inch too short. Weaver had been ordered to spy on a part of the White Power movement known as Aryan Nations. Weaver, a veteran of the CIA's Special Forces, who was therefore expected to follow orders, refused. The short shotgun charge was intended as pressure to force compliance with the order to spy. It failed and this set in motion a murder plan which included the entire Weaver

family. Six (and possibly eight) highly trained snipers of the US Marshal's Service, wearing camouflage, blackface and body armor and bearing a variety of fully automatic, high-powered weapons, stealthily approached the house without a warrant. One of these, William Degan, was the most highly decorated deputy in USMS history but he was evidently involved in an investigation of corruption in the USMS back east. It now appears that the corrupt ones wanted Degan killed by his own men and the blame for it placed on Weaver as justification for his death. Deputy marshals Roderick and Cooper, both snipers, have already testified that there was a danger of the snipers hitting each other during the backshooting of little Sam Weaver, age 13. Gerry Spence, Weaver's illustrious attorney, is reported to have a Jewish witness in protective custody who just happened to be in those woods on Friday, 21 August, and who saw deputy marshals deliberately shoot Degan twice, killing him with the second shot.

Regarding Weaver, it is reported that he, too, had been involved in an investigation of corruption while in Special Forces at Ft. Bragg, NC. He was reportedly a witness to drug crimes allegedly committed by a well known Green Beret officer and this may be related to the recent outrage committed against him and his family.

The thrust of this article, however, centers on the mysterious CIA functionary, Lt. Col. James "Bo" Gritz of the Green Berets. Gritz has been caught in a number of lies before and since his appearance at Ruby Creek. For example, at his bizarre trial in Las Vegas on the charge of "misuse of a passport," Gritz writes in his book, *Called To Serve*, that the wrong charge was brought against him—one that technically couldn't be prosecuted. But Gritz says in his public appearances that the jury acquitted him and that the jurors put their arms around him, saying, "Go get 'em, Bo!" On page 428 of his book he writes that Ross Perot was prepared to testify that Perot and George Bush agreed, in the Vice President's office, to send Gritz to Burma in 1986. Nowhere else in the chapters regarding the Burma (drug) trips does Gritz admit this, if it is true.

This leads one to think that a legend was being built around Bo Gritz—a legend that made him the implacable enemy of George Bush. The US Attorney for Nevada, William Maddox, thoughtfully told us on television that George Bush had called him on the telephone and told him to get Bo Gritz [but on the wrong charge]. One of Gritz' friends told me that Gritz was wrong on the reason he was "acquitted." The passport charge should have been tried in the city where the "crime" occurred, namely, Los Angeles, and that that was the reason the judge threw it out. The US Attorney for Los Angeles refused to prosecute and Gritz' reputation grew mighty in the patriot movement under the mistaken impression that a jury had validated Gritz' MIA hunting on phony passports. The truth was that the passports had been supplied to him by elements of the US government.

In an attempt to clarify this matter I called William Maddox at his law offices in Reno. Oddly, he speaks well of Gritz who, he says, was "very brave to go into that looney's cabin in Idaho." He said, however, that he couldn't remember exactly the reason for Gritz' acquittal by the judge other than the fact that the wrong charge was filed. How about the venue? He agreed that the venue was probably incorrect, too—that it should have been tried in Los Angeles. Who originally brought the charge against Gritz? "One of my assistants—I can't remember his name. I took over the case because it was very sensitive and I have a high security clearance." Regarding the telephone call from Bush, Maddox laughed, "That was a joke! I was tired; I'd had no sleep for two days and I was trying to be sarcastic about this thing... I think there's even a video tape of me saying something about Bush, but I didn't say what they say I did..."

"Now, Bill," I said patiently, "I've seen that video tape and as I remember it, a reporter sticks a microphone in your face and asks if you regret bringing the charge against Gritz? And you shrug and say, 'George Bush called me on the telephone and told me to get Bo Gritz.' And the reporter says, 'So you're denying that?' and you say, 'I said, George Bush called me and told me to get Bo Gritz!'"

"Well," said Maddox, "I don't remember saying it that way... As I said, I was being sarcastic. I've never talked to George Bush in my life!"

"Okay, Bill," I said. "Now, were you fired because of this acquittal?"

"No, I wasn't fired because of it... There were [some politicians] who didn't want me as US Attorney—but I wasn't fired."

There is no doubt that Maddox was lying to me. There is little doubt that Gritz' trial was an intentional loser.

If you read Gritz' book carefully you will see that his original mission from Lt. Gen. Harold Aaron of DIA was to check reports that Americans were still alive in South East Asia—not to bring any Americans home. His strangely worded orders from Ross Perot were to go over there, investigate and then come home and tell Perot that no Americans were still alive. This was in the 1970s.

By 1981 Gritz wanted to train men for MIA hunting. He found a man, George Brooks of New Windsor, New York, whose son, Nick, had been missing since being shot down in 1970. Gritz got Mr. Brooks to fly them down to a ranch near Tampa which would be Gritz' "training camp." Gritz hinted that there was someone who would put up \$200,000 but that he was dragging his feet. Mr. Brooks saw that he no equipment or other indication of training but there were fifteen or so men who supposedly would be trained by Gritz. Mr. Brooks then wrote Gritz a check for \$20,000. At the time, he says, he was only making about \$21,000 per year, but felt that any amount was expendable to recover his son. Then he went home, believing that the secret training would now begin and a rescue operation for not only his son but for other young men would be mounted. Soon after his return home he was shocked to learn that Gritz had invited a reporter for the *Washington Post* into the camp. The story was revealed and the "training" came to an

28 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1993

abrupt halt. Mr. Brooks had promised the "trainees" that if anything went wrong, *not having this sort of thing in mind*, he would pay their fares home. Well, they all wanted to go home and this cost him an additional eight thousand bucks.

Some have charged Gritz with deliberately interfering with sincere attempts to rescue American POWs. Bob Keplinger, a former Green Beret and longtime friend of Gritz, says that Gritz appeared on a television program and revealed Keplinger's mission to rescue two American pilots from Vietnam in 1992. The problem was that Keplinger was conducting the operation in Vietnam at the time! When Keplinger got home after nearly being caught and confronted Gritz with his deadly treachery, Gritz denied it. So Keplinger obtained a video tape of the program and sent it to Gritz for an apology, which was grudgingly given. Therefore, a picture is emerging of a dangerous, deceptive and treacherous individual.

A good indicator of Gritz' character and true loyalty is given to us by Gritz himself... In his second interview with Khun Sa, the Burmese heroin lord, Gritz listened as various US government men were named as receivers of Khun Sa's heroin. Gritz interrupted the secretary just after Deputy Defense Secretary Richard Armitage's name was read. Gritz admits in his book (p. 373) that he did this deliberately, fearing that the next name he might logically hear would be that of his boss, friend and mentor, Erich von Marbod, considering the tight relationship between Armitage and Marbod. Two of his associates later criticized him, he writes, for possibly preventing George Bush from being named.

It is possible that, from this attempt to shield Erich von Marbod and perhaps others from exposure, Gritz' entire campaign against Bush is a sophisticated form of damage control; that is, the drug allegation against Bush was likely to surface eventually and to make it less credible it may have been decided to have it come from a "discredited" source such as Gritz, whose stories change constantly. Our enemies have always controlled both sides of any sensitive debate which the public is allowed to hear. In this way

Liberty Bell / January 1993 — 29

the full truth will never be brought out by "our side."

I believe it is important to expose Gritz for the counterfeit patriot he is. I am convinced that he remains a highly motivated agent of our brutal and cowardly government, as he has been all his adult life. The basic premise of his supporters is that he earned his dozens of decorations in honorable service to the US government. But there is no such thing as honorable service to a criminal gang of bankers and secret society members which is the real leadership of our rotten system, which is known as "democracy." "Democracy" is a euphemism for a minority rule which masquerades as "majority rule." The minority which rules us under its banner of "democracy" is the Jewish minority. My father was certainly wrong when he joined the Marine Corps in 1917 to make the world safe for democracy. Gritz' father was certainly wrong to drop bombs on German women and children in 1943, thus making the world safe for democracy. My brother was certainly wrong in 1950 to fight to make Korea safe for democracy. Gritz was certainly wrong to go to Vietnam in 1963 and make that part of the world safe for democracy. It may not have been his idea but he certainly shouldn't, at this stage of the game, take credit for doing the Jews' work.

Gritz brags that General William Westmoreland (CFR) referred to him as The American Soldier. Gritz claims a body count of 400. Some of his Green Beret colleagues are puzzled as to how he arrived at that figure but I will assume it is accurate. Considering however that this killing was done in two of CIA's assassination programs, "B-52" and "B-36," which were ordered by that old OSS killer, Bill Colby (CFR), it is more than likely that those killing teams in fact helped to prepare South Vietnam for the communist takeover which OSS had planned from the beginning, that is, at the Teheran Conference in 1943. It was there that Ho Chi Minh was designated by the US government as the new leader of North Vietnam as well as future leader of South Vietnam, after an indecent interval during which millions would die. We now know that many of the thousands of key individuals murdered by CIA's Green Berets in the Phoenix Program and by these other killing teams were

definitely not communists. They were simply considered enemies of OSS/CIA's future plans for Indochina.

The Green Berets were and are soldiers of the CIA's private army, the Special Forces. Special Forces was designed by CIA to perform illegal and destabilizing tasks which were beyond the scope of the regular army. Gritz' personnel file states that he is a highly trained saboteur and espionage agent. Plainly put, there is nothing in Gritz' career which indicates that he is on "our side." He in fact presents himself to the knowledgeable as a zealous, dedicated agent of the secret government. At best he has remained in that totally artificial world of pure, uniformed socialism. No matter how fervently a military man might believe that his mission is to protect American free enterprise, the reality is quite the opposite. The man in US uniform represents the interests of Jewish finance capitalism and the elimination of business competition around the world.

The military is a vast welfare program designed to absorb and neutralize the masculine elements of our feminized society. The recipients of this welfare must occasionally go abroad and kill people designated for killing by our rulers on Wall Street. Increasingly since the army's illegal race mixing operations in Little Rock, Arkansas and Oxford, Mississippi the recipients of our welfare are being directed against us. (For example, in the federal trash heaps left at Randy Weaver's home were found evidence that CIA-army killers from Gritz' old Delta Team were on the scene.) But most of the time they are paid to go about their meaningless routines on vast military bases around this country and in other countries. These bases are also laboratories for "social research" and behavior modification and the first behavior our Jewish controllers wanted modified was our natural tendency to separate ourselves from the colored races. American Negroes were sent to "occupy" Germany with the obvious intent of destroying the German race by encouraging those Negroes to take advantage of helpless, impoverished German women and girls. Soon our domestic military bases became integrated and Whites were conditioned by Jewish psycho-

logical specialists to treat the colored races as equals. Despite his Mormon upbringing Gritz in fact took a Vietnamese wife and father children by her. Perhaps this was a temporary madness for he eventually married within his own race.

Gritz has become very good at telling most people what they want to hear. When his conflict with George Bush became known he was invited to speak before Christian Identity audiences. Within a year of his first appearance before them Gritz announced that he was of that faith. He later denied this conversion when he announced his candidacy for president on the Populist ticket. His spokesmen now say that Gritz' religion is a combination of Mormon, Baptist and Identity teachings—a mixture guaranteed to lead to insanity if not widespread acceptance. Gritz will tell us what we want to hear on relatively tame subjects such as drug smuggling, MIAs and the Kennedy assassination but he sticks to the Jewish line on the dangerous subjects such as WWII revisionism, "the Holocaust" and the Jewish Question.

There is a number of troubling questions about Gritz which came out in the Weaver episode. The first is, did Gritz ever know Weaver in the Special Forces? Jack McLamb reported on Tom Valentine's radio interview,

"Now, Randy had called Bo Gritz the month before. They knew each other from Ft. Bragg when Randy was a Special Forces soldier. He asked Bo to come up and help him..." However, on the television program, "Inside Edition," Gritz said that the didn't know Weaver. He said the same thing at a 12 September campaign appearance in Tustin, California.

The next question is, who told Bo Gritz to go to Idaho? Again, McLamb says, "Well, Tuesday of last week [25 August], I was sitting at lunch with Bo when he described to me how bad he felt about not having gone up there when Randy called... I had no idea that Bo knew him..."

But in Tustin Gritz said that the FBI called him in Phoenix and asked him to go to Idaho. (I have this on video tape.) From then on

32 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1993

Gritz was working for the FBI, as we shall see.

The third question is, did Gritz tell Weaver that he was wired for sound? Gritz admitted to his Tustin audience that he was wearing an FBI body wire the whole time. This brings up the FBI's overall reason for Gritz to intervene on their behalf. As we've all figured out by now, the Weaver family was meant by the feds to be a terror lesson for the rest of us. The whole family, beginning with Vicki, was to be liquidated. With a stunning combination of cruelty and cowardice the marshals' murder squad lost control of themselves and possibly of the plan. Not only did they backshoot a little boy as he ran from them but they undoubtedly hit their fellow snipers, Roderick and Degan, with their own panicky full-auto fire. There is the possibility that they deliberately murdered Degan, as mentioned above. The result of all this cowardice and lies was a declaration of emergency and martial law in two counties. Local residents were evacuated from their homes. This resulted in hundreds of outsiders and locals pouring into the federal staging area to protest the atrocity of killing little Sam. Of course, the media came, too, and suddenly the little murder plan blew up into an international scandal and PR fiasco of the first order. The FBI took charge at the scene and among the 400-800 "militarized police" were the FBI's mad dog killers known as the "Hostage Rescue Team," (HRT) and they were itching to HRT someone. The marshals' killers and the goons from BATF (IRS) were desperate to murder the remaining Weavers and Harris so as to silence all witnesses against them. At this prospect of another Gordon Kahl scandal, some cooler heads somewhere in government realized that this fire had to be put out and losses would have to be taken, for the risk in this liquidation business is that at any time some sufficient number of outraged Americans may say, "That's it! You thugs have gone too far!" and begin the righteous solution to our national problem. So, one of Gritz' friends called him and said, "Go put out that fire!"

Gritz' true role at Ruby Creek was to save face for the Federal government. He had to calm the protesters for the FBI. Any-

Liberty Bell / January 1993 — 33

one who watches his little performance in which he tells the gathered supporters of the murder of Vicki Weaver becomes nauseated at his cold and deceptive manner. "I've got good news and bad news," he begins. "The good news is that Kevin is badly wounded but alive, Randy is wounded but doing okay and the girls are all fine..." That was the good news. "The bad news is, and I want everybody to get close and hold hands—that's an order!—the bad news is that Vicki was shot and killed..." [Gasp!] "But the girls are fine and their spirits are high (blah, blah, blah)..." Of course, he was performing for Gene Glenn, the FBI's special agent in charge of Idaho and of this operation, who was listening to everything via Gritz' body wire, unbeknownst to the supporters.

Now many people ask, "But if Gritz was working for the FBI, why was it so difficult for him to get permission from Glenn to talk to Randy?" The answer is that Gene Glenn had a dirty little secret he didn't want to get out. He didn't want anyone to know that Vicki had been deliberately murdered five days before. During the eighteen months leading up to the USMS murder team's terrorist attack on the Weaver home, the government's psycho-squad had made profiles on the Weavers. Vicki was tagged as a matriarchal figure who would have to be liquidated at first opportunity. An FBI HRT sniper named Lon Horiuchi was therefore tasked with her murder.

Gene Glenn was obviously planning a Robert Mathews-Gordon Kahl-style incineration of everyone and everything to destroy all evidence of the premeditated murder of Vicki until his superiors realized the thing had gotten out of control in terms of public relations. The big show of citizen's arrest warrants by Gritz, Lighter and McLamb gave the needed appearance of hostility between Gritz and the feds. It gave Gritz credibility for a day or so with the Weaver supporters until it became clear to them that Gritz was on the other side. They began to realize, especially after his heartless and rather nasty manner in the announcement of Vicki's death, that Gritz really was trying to disarm them mentally, to the specifications of the FBI.

After the final surrender the FBI's Glenn could not speak glowingly enough of the invaluable help Gritz had rendered to the FBI, to the extent of placating the so-called Nazis and skinheads with a limp "Nazi salute." Gritz made the gesture, saying "And Randy wanted me to give you guys this...(saluted)... he said you'd know what it meant, Okay? Thank you..." At the Tustin meeting and elsewhere Gritz denied he'd ever done it! He claimed he'd fight to the death against being called a Nazi (blah, blah, blah). Its only significance is that it was another typical Gritz expediency and follow-up lie to redeem himself and confuse the issue.

Gritz was caught in another lie by, of all people, one of his campaign managers. Gritz was quoted in the *Spokane Spokesman-Review* as follows:

"Weaver himself is a punk," Gritz said. "Weaver is no hero. He doesn't deserve any medals. I don't think he was a very good Special Forces soldier. I wouldn't have wanted him in my command. He was very weak..."

Naturally this upset a lot of people and someone asked Gritz to explain during the question period at the Spokane appearance. Gritz said he was misquoted! What he'd really said was that he'd heard that Weaver was a punk and a criminal but now he doesn't believe that to be the case... So his campaign manager, who knew the reporter to be an honest type, figured the editor may have been playing with the quotation marks. He called the editor, who said, "Would you like to hear the tape? I've got four hours of Gritz telling me worse things than that."

"No," said the campaign manager, "I guess not." Nevertheless, we are going to get that tape.

Gritz received his derogatory information concerning Weaver from Steve Tanner of Bonners Ferry, Idaho. When questioned by me over the telephone on 27 September, Tanner said, "The govern-

ment was doing God's work" in its attack on the Weaver family. It turns out that the two men whom Weaver claims set him up on the shotgun charge were Fred Kumnick and Steve Tanner! On 4 October, in a follow-up call, Tanner admitted to me that Weaver had indeed, as a favor, bought Tanner a Remington 870 shotgun with a 20 inch barrel from a local gun store. Weaver had done this as a favor so that Tanner could have a shotgun without the federal paper trail. In this age of federal tyranny that was no small favor. Tanner said that Weaver had probably done this fifty or more times for people, including Frank Kumnick, for whom Weaver bought a Harrington & Richardson 12 gauge. The federal indictment reportedly names these two shotguns as having barrels 1/4 inch less than the "legal" 18 inch length. The obvious question had to be asked. The answer would reveal the truth. "Do you still have that shotgun, Steve?"

"I don't know," replied Steve Tanner.

It is reported to me by a former Gritz supporter that Gritz has been illegally funneling campaign money to a friendly Florida radio talk show host. Tom Donohue's daily radio show is a Gritz-for-president cheering section. Donohue's former program director told me that the mysterious financial support of Donohue's show had the smell of CIA covert funding—via Gritz. This is a serious charge and should be investigated because, if true, it could prove that Gritz remains a tool of CIA and all of his strange, seemingly contradictory behavior would be explained. The former program director checked Gritz' Florida election records and found that Gritz is not on the ballot in that state despite his claim to be. He found that in January, '92 the campaign had \$1900 in the bank. The next month Gritz announced on Donohue's program that his two associates, Lance Trimmer and Chuck Jones, were "touching live American POWs." Around this time the Florida records show that Gritz' campaign fund increased by \$200,000. The record shows a \$400 contribution from "Jeb Bush—employed by CIA..." with the genuine and confidential home address of the president's son. I do not understand the significance, if any, of this official listing.

When questioned, Jeb Bush replied, "I wouldn't give Gritz money to buy water if he were dying of thirst."

Gritz is reportedly about to be indicted for a violation of the Logan Act, which proscribes Americans from talking to foreign leaders about official matters. Gritz is accused of negotiating with Red Vietnamese officials.

Some of my friends who were skeptical of my theory on Gritz have said, "Well, the Weaver episode certainly didn't help Gritz personally..." But, according to Gritz, it did. He announced at the Tustin appearance that because of his actions in Idaho ("I'm a guy who makes things happen!") his campaign would be given two million dollars by a person or by persons he would not name. I will bet that the person's initials are C.I.A.

I believe that Bo Gritz still works for the US government—more specifically for CIA and FBI—against the White Power movement. For example, earlier this year the Napa (California) Sentinel published copies of cable traffic sent in 1992 from the US embassy in Guatemala City to the US embassy in Bangkok which identified "Jim Gritz" as an informant and operative of the US government. Bo Gritz is also known as "Jim," or "Jimmy." The mere fact that Gritz admits that he was called in Phoenix by FBI and that he wore an FBI wire is all the proof we need that he operates for FBI whenever he is told to do so. Gritz now says he is moving to Sand Point, Idaho, which is the heart of this country's so-called "White bastion."

In practically every radio interview or public appearance over the years, Gritz has repeated the peculiar phrase, "...when I put my heels to the square..." or, "...when I put my arm to the square..." referring to his taking an oath to defend the Constitution from all enemies foreign and domestic. Not having served in the US forces I asked a veteran friend if this expression were commonly used? He said he'd never heard it but that he'd been regular army and that Gritz was Special Forces. I pointed out that these expressions are

used by Freemasons secretly to alert others in the Brotherhood of their membership. It is quite common, as well, for career military men to increase their possibilities for promotion by becoming Freemasons.

On 12 September, at the Tustin appearance, Gritz said suddenly, "I'm not a Mason," in reference to the diabolic and Masonic nature of the government's activities. But at another appearance in a different state Gritz admitted that he is a former Mason. I put this to another of his campaign managers, himself a Masonic dropout at the 4th Degree, whose pastor told him to get out. He told me, "Bo uses the Masonic handshake everywhere he goes." I asked him which level handshake? "I'm not sure but he rubs the other guys knuckle with his thumb, every time." I told the man that Freemasonry is a criminal conspiracy at all levels.

"Well, I'll tell you," he confessed, "I felt pretty bad, walking around the room with a bare chest and one bare leg in those rituals..." I told him there are no "former Masons" except the ones such as Jim Shaw, who spill the beans and expose the conspiracy—the penalty for which is death.

I quote Gritz' good friend and associate Gary Goldman in *Called To Serve*, (p. 431):

"It has always amazed me how tight the good ole boy net really is. Bo has got friends and associates in every office of the federal executive branch and throughout sensitive jobs in state and local governments... While it often seems hostile with all the threats from Washington, Bo is friends with all the in-betweens."

In the case of Randy Weaver we all must focus on our criminal government and devise ways to prevent such deliberate, brutal and cowardly aggression in the future. I believe the Weaver incident has helped to expose the dangerous and deceptive nature of Bo Gritz, who is being set up as the leader of the opposition to the New World Order, which I believe he serves. □

38 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1993


Certain People have turned
the American Dream
into a Nightmare.

What are we
going to do
about them?

\$10

250 pages

Read



THE NEW AMERICAN MAN A CALL TO ARMS

Order No.: 14015. Send
\$10.00 plus \$1.50 for postage
to: Liberty Bell Publications,
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270

PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to Liberty Bell, your book orders, and your regular contributions are our lifeblood. Help us keep Liberty Bell ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

Liberty Bell / January 1993 — 39

CLINTON VICTORY END TIMES ARE HERE

by
Hans Schmidt

Writing the headline above I was only being half facetious. I do not believe that our world has come to an end, that humanity will disappear from earth, or that for most of us "normal" life will cease. I do believe, however, that the Clinton victory will have tremendous (negative) ramifications for the United States, and possibly for the rest of the world.

There is the possibility that the assumption of the presidency by Bill Clinton come January will have as great an impact on the United States, as had Hitler's "*Machtergreifung*" on 30 January 1933 on the fate of Germany. Only this time the situation is exactly reversed. While then the 'staatsvolk', namely, the German people, regained the power to decide over its own fate, now the American 'staatsvolk', namely, Americans of European descent, the backbone of this nation, will become effectively disenfranchised. That this will merely be the culmination of a process that began in earnest soon after WWII (when the Jews, due to their newly acquired status as professional victims, made tremendous gains everywhere), will not make things easier for those who will suffer as a result. There is no doubt that the new administration will be a minority government, and, by that I do not only mean a government elected by a minority of voters but a government for and by the (currently) privileged minorities, namely, the Jews, the homosexuals, the feminists, and the Blacks (in that order).

First, I would like to state that neither of the aforementioned religious and racial minorities (Jews and Blacks) have so far shown any abilities to create a well-run state that can exist without foreign assistance. Neither Israel nor any of the African states (nor, for that matter, Poland) are viable in today's highly technological world. How then should one expect Jews and Blacks who will probably receive an inordinate share of high-paying jobs in the new administration, to run America better than the WASP-crowd just leaving office?

What can we expect from the New Crowd in Washington? Most certainly they'll try to give the economy a shot in the arm. An article

40 — *Liberty Bell* / January 1993

in the *Washington Post* of 11 November 1992 told of the willingness of the notorious FED to ease the money supply. This will help the nation as much as does another dose of heroin helps a dope addict. But the final result will be a much larger deficit, and subsequently a greater debt burden for the entire nation that can eventually only be "repaid" through a hyper-inflation which will impoverish the entire American middle class.

(In this conjunction I would like to mention a thought that occurred to me recently: In the United States we have approximately 30 million Blacks, constituting nearly 12% of the population. I am not telling any secrets when I state that Blacks, as a group, do not contribute their 12% share to the well-being and betterment of society as a whole. (This thesis is not invalidated by the fact that a few (very few) Blacks are outstanding in their chosen field of endeavor). I discovered that the cost of Blacks to this society is at least twice as much as would be acceptable; in other words, they account for social expenses for at least 60 million people without a commensurate return in taxes or services. I'll mention some of the reasons: Blacks demand and receive almost the entire extra social expenditures coming from the huge foundations, from United Way, from the Red Cross, and other such organizations. Blacks ruin public housing faster than it can be built. Due to black criminality (from which decent Blacks suffer most), we need far more policemen, judges, states' attorneys or jailers than European states not yet overrun with Third World asylum seekers. Due to black anti-social behavior we have to spend far more money for public construction projects (for instance, for fences over freeway overpasses) than would otherwise be necessary. Also, the cost of the insane school busing across the nation must be incredible, as is the true cost of "affirmative action". FAR BE IT THAT I BLAME MAINLY THE BLACKS FOR THESE DISMAL FACTS. I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT WE WOULD BE FAR BETTER OFF IF BLACKS AND WHITES COULD SEPARATE ON AMERICAN SOIL, AND THE REMAINING WHITE STATES WOULD VOLUNTARILY ASSIST THE ONE OR SEVERAL BLACK STATES IN A FAIR AND EQUITABLE MANNER SO THAT PEOPLE THERE WOULD HAVE A REASONABLY DECENT LIFE. IT WOULD BE MUCH CHEAPER THAN GUARANTEEING THE CONTINUED EXISTENCE OF ISRAEL. I personally know that most Blacks are simple, nice people

Liberty Bell / January 1993 — 41

without unseemingly demands, it isn't they who are the real cause for the unacceptable circumstances described in this paragraph.)

Getting back to a Clinton government, we can also assume that the withdrawal of American troops from Europe and elsewhere (including Germany) will be speeded up. In Germany this will result in the weakening of the Bonn stooges who very much depend on American bayonets to keep them in power.

A trade war between the United States and other major powers in the world (especially Europe, Germany, and Japan) is already in the making. I cannot see how this can be settled peacefully. In the long-run I foresee an almost complete breakdown in trade between the major power blocks.

The situation in the former Soviet Union is getting more dangerous every day. I wonder how Clinton will handle it. Remember when I stated in these Briefs that I didn't trust Yeltsin? It now seems clear that he as well as Gorbachev is totally in "Western" hands. Yeltsin's policies are bound to fail. In creating new societies we always have to take a people's psyche and characteristics into account. It is asinine to try to introduce American free-market ideas in a nation that for 70 years was under Communist rule. (Remember: I am by nature a promoter of the free enterprise system!)

Soon after Clinton won, several publications (among them *TIME*) claimed that he now had a "mandate". Let's look at the statistics: Clinton received 43% of the popular vote (vs. 38% for Bush and 19% for Perot). But only 55% of eligible Americans voted. That means that only approx. 23% of the entire U.S. electorate voted for the new president. That "ain't no mandate". Furthermore, "Billy" and George Bush each received about 40% of the white vote (meaning, of course, that Clinton was really elected by the minorities), and Perot got the other 20%. Since the Jews are being counted in the white vote, and since nearly 90% of them voted for the new president (by virtue of their being a minority), we can clearly state that Bush received more European-American votes than Clinton or Perot.

The liberal media also want to tell us that Perot hurt Bush and Clinton about equally. This is patently untrue. Had Perot not entered the race, Bush might still be president. That a political newcomer without a party can garner 19% of the vote on his first try (almost all of them "Aryan" Whites), says something of the mood of the Ameri-

can 'staatsvolk'. I am certain it gives the powers-that-be food for thought. I think American Whites are getting ready for a *Führer*. But is there a Hitler on the horizon?

Finally, a word about why Bush lost. Talking to many of you by phone just before and after the election, we all came to the conclusion that George Bush was obviously not doing his best to win this battle. As a matter of fact, many thought that Bush was doing his best to throw this election. Could this have happened? I'll go back to my thesis that the Bush-Baker team had for quite some time (perhaps as early as the spring of 1989) been out to curtail the inordinate power of the Zionists over the American Congress, and possibly even to reign in the 'loose' nuclear power of the Jewish mini-state. Douglas M. Bloomfield, a columnist for the *WASHINGTON JEWISH WEEK* wrote on 15 October 1992 as much: "The Bush-Baker team has tried to systematically undermine the long standing bipartisan relationship between the United States and Israel." I also would like to reiterate that Baker's outburst "F... the Jews!", and Bush's public expression of disgust over the misuse of Jewish influence in Congress on 12 September 1991 cannot have been part of a preplanned charade.

It was clear that the Jewish power structure was intent on defeating the Bush-Baker team once their "anti-Semitism", in this case the reluctance to acquiesce to all Jewish demands, became known. To reach this objective, the New York "Oberjuden" used all the means at their disposal but especially their great wealth and their near total control over the American media. If you ask me, it was the media almost more than anything that caused the defeat of the Republicans. This, especially since the media for more than a year tried (at the behest of the "Oberjuden") to keep this country in a recession. Well, the Jewish overlords succeeded beyond their wildest expectations. Now it seems that we are not only in a recession but in the beginning stages of a world-wide depression, caused by the planned slow-down of the American (economic) locomotive.

In all these "Betrachtungen" (contemplations) the role of H. Ross Perot is still not clear. You may remember that I had from the first assumed that he was "put up", possibly without his knowledge, by the Jews, since in my estimation the greater number of intelligent discontents could be found in the Bush camp, and I believed from the start

that a Perot candidacy would mostly appeal to "Aryan" Whites. But then other information became available which caused some doubt about this theory, and I also noticed, by reading Jewish newspapers, that some of the usually well informed Jewish writers couldn't get a handle on the situation. Perot's off-again, on-again candidacy didn't make the matter any clearer. Then, about mid-October, a few things came together, and as a result I now came to a different conclusion:

- 1. On 16 October 1992 the *NYT* printed an article by David Rockefeller with the title "Why I trust Clinton", in which this "financier" unequivocally came out for the Arkansas governor. In this conjunction it must be mentioned that hitherto I had regarded Bush as belonging to the Rockefeller (WASP-) camp.
- 2. Just about this time James Baker III relinquished his job as Secretary of State, and returned to the White House, ostensibly to take charge of the president's faltering election campaign. But: From that time on one didn't hear or see much of Baker. I got the feeling that he was purposely being muzzled, or he himself had thrown in the towel.
- 3. Shortly before these happenings, General Powell, the head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and therefore the head honcho of all American armed forces, came out against sending American troops to Yugoslavia, thereby effectively blocking what I had thought would be a major part of the presidential campaign, namely, the tumultuous reception shown on TV by a grateful population of Serajevo of American Marines "liberating" their city.
- 4. The (unexpected?) return of H. Ross Perot into the political arena with the flimsiest of explanations...

Putting all this together, I didn't forget that Bill Clinton had been an attendee at the Bilderberger meeting that was held in Baden-Baden, Germany sometime in 1991. Since most of us know that the Bilderbergers as well as the CFR and the TC are part and parcel of the Rockefeller "power"-empire, the question arose to which of the two groups (WASPs or Zionists) Clinton must be counted? The answer to this latter question may be that the Rockefeller crowd knew already in 1991 that Clinton was one of the presidential candidates selected by the Zionist opposition (for, Mickey Kantor, Clinton's main handler, was already in place), and one just wanted to look him over (these things are being done all the time in "higher" circles). In other words, Clinton's attendance in Baden-Baden

does not necessarily mean that he is part of the Rockefeller clique.

Contemplating Perot's role in all of this, it bears remembering that scientists and top salesmen in a technical field are (like doctors) usually lousy politicians. Whatever I write about Perot doesn't mean that I am denying the man's likely sincere patriotism. But there is no question that he was being used by someone. But by whom? And why? It came out that years ago Perot had made a business deal with Nelson Rockefeller when the latter was governor of New York. As a matter of fact, it seems that it was this deal which paved Perot's road to incredible wealth. The question arises, isn't it possible that the Rockefeller 'firma' now reminded Perot that he owed them something? This may well be possible but it still doesn't provide the answer why the Rockefeller clique would want to defeat one of their own, namely, George Bush?

Watching the Bush-Baker situation since mid-October, I became convinced that by then the president had received the unequivocal order to try his best to lose the election. It may well be that he readily agreed whereas Baker might have balked; since his plan, namely, the curtailment of Zionist power in America, had not been completed. I can imagine that Baker thereupon purposely went into hiding, not wanting to take part in this scheme. Assume for a moment Bush would have won the election, and the Zionist power over Congress could really have been broken (if Bush had won, more Republican Congressmen would have been elected). That would still have left one extremely important segment of the Jewish power structure in the United States intact: their control over most of the media. In this case, would the Jews have used this instrument of their power to get along with the Bush-Baker team, or would they have continued to fight tooth and nail for what they had lost? To put it simpler, would they have stopped their pessimistic reporting about the state of the economy (the kind of reporting that actually caused the continued recession), or would they have continued to do so even if it led to a real depression? I guess you know the answer to that. In other words, even after a clear victory on 3 November, President Bush would not have been able to get a handle on the declining economy. It would have led to an economic catastrophe under Republican auspices with damages caused for decades to come. This may be the real reason why the Rockefeller crowd ordered Bush to lose the

election. One thing is clear, now the economy is in such shambles that "not even" a Bill Clinton with all the (already apparent) blessings of the Jewish-controlled media can get the wagon rolling again. I doubt that Bill Clinton will be in office for more than just one term.

The list of Jewish members of the new Congress shown below is taken from the *B'nai B'rith Messenger*. It is important that you keep it for future reference. I would venture to guess that the names of these people will especially crop up when legislation for a new "hate crimes" bill will be introduced.

In this conjunction it is worth mentioning that *Peter H. Kostmeyer*, the Congressman (D-PA) who last June introduced the bill for the funding of the "Holocaust" Museum until the year 2000, was soundly defeated.

From *GANPAC Brief* 12/92, Box 1137, Santa Monica CA 90406.

SENATE:

Barbara Boxer (D-Calif.)*	Carl Levin (D-Mich)
Russel Feingold (D-Wisc)*	Joseph Lieberman (D-Conn.)
Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.)*	Howard Metzenbaum (D-Ohio)
Herbert Kohl (D-Wisc)	Arlen Specter (R-Penn.)
Frank Lautenberg (D-N.J.)	Paul Wellstone (D-Minn)

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Gary Ackerman (D-N.Y.)	Herbert Klein (D-N.J.)
Anthony Beilenson (D-Calif.)	Tom Lantos (D-Calif.)
Howard Berman (D-Calif.)	Sander Levin (D-Mich.)
Benjamin Cardin (D-Md.)	David Levy (R-N.Y.)*
Sam Coppersmith (D-Az.)	Nita Lowey (D-N.Y.)
Peter Deutsch (D-Fla.)*	Marjorie Mezvinsky (D-Pa.)
Eliot Engel (D-N.Y.)	Jerry Nadler (D-N.Y.)*
Bob Filner (D-Calif.)*	Bernie Sanders (I-Vt.)
Eric Fingerhut (D-Ohio)*	Lynn Schenck (D-Calif.)
Barney Frank (D-Mass.)	Steven Schiff (R-N.M.)*
Martin Frost (D-Tex.)	Charles Schumer (D-N.Y.)
Sam Gejdenson (D-Conn.)	Norman Sisisky (D-Va.)
Benjamin Gilman (R-N.Y.)	Henry Waxman (D-Calif.)
Dan Glickman (D-Kan.)	Ron Wyden (D-Ore.)
Willis Gradison (R-Ohio)	Sidney Yates (D-Ill.)
Dan Hamburg (D-Calif.)*	Richard Zimmer (R-N.J.)
Jane Harman (D-Calif.)*	

*Denotes first time elected

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?

SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the rôles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 (which includes \$2.50 for postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003).

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980—except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$10.00 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling). Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$40.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Dear Landsmann,

Thank you for sending me LB so fast; I hated to miss any issue due to my move from Germany. As I spent most of this year in my native Germany, I got to enjoy one of the world's best health care systems.

All the more angry I got to see that the Jewish AMA (American Medical Association) will never give up their position until the last person in this country is unable to pay his medical bills! It's so horrible; yet we could have the world's best health care systems if it wasn't for those by-money-only motivated doctors.

Another comment I'd like to make is on Mr. Clinton's position about having homosexuals in the military. I think it's absolutely shocking that this issue should be one of his first priorities upon entering the office of the president of the U.S.A. As I spent most of this year in Europe and did not watch so much of the Clinton speeches, I was surprised to say the least.

What is next? I think women should not be in the military and no homosexuals either. I consider myself a modern young woman, and I don't feel competing with men in a truly masculine domain is being emancipated at all, and I think it makes the military weak; not only that, it makes our men weak as well. Look what the White males have come to, they have no direction, and have no fighting spirit left in them.

In closing I would say men and women have to go back to their basic roles as nature designed, then we can begin again.

Sincerely,
C.S., Arizona

Sir:

For the past ten years or so I have been an admirer and critic of both David Duke and Tom Metzger. For the first eight years of this past decade I magnanimously assisted both men with about fifty dollars a year, perhaps less.

But two years ago something happened. Was it the swarm of negroes migrating to the lily white hamlet where I abode, or was it the new houses being put up by Asians, presumably with low interest government loans? Whatever it was, I came to realize that I could not continue as a bystander. I had to take matters into my

LETTERS to the EDITOR

own hands. This is a bold and dangerous thing to do but I knew in my soul that I had to get involved, the fate and future of the White Race depended upon me and what I do.

At about the same time the great David Duke was moving his machine on to a U.S. Senate seat. I decided to join the campaign and ultimately I put over a thousand dollars into the treasury. I also increased my money contribution to Metzger's camp, though by not nearly as much.

The past two years of activism have given me the opportunity to observe Duke in action, from up close. I have watched Metzger too but only through his WAR publications and the TV shows he as put together. Both of these brave men Have said and done thing that made me hopping mad. My respect for Tom Metzger was severely tested when I hear about tome lies that the media claimed he was propagating the night before Duke's race for Governor. I even threatened to withdraw my support from each of them if they did not straighten up. With great relief I report that both of these men kept on being themselves and I finally came to my senses.

The only man operating under more pressure than David Duke recently has been the Iraqi, Saddam Hussein. After the holocaust of the governor's race I will never criticize Mr. Duke again. Since the jailing of Tom Metzger for practicing his religious rituals on private property, since his refusal to cop a plea bargain, since his wife died on the ramparts literally defending our race, and because Tom Metzger refuses to give up, and even in spite of his alleged lack of elan, my respect for him also has increased exponentially.

Metzger and Duke have accomplished great things. These outstanding men fit the same description given by the SS man Leon DeGrelle for the front line combat generals in the German army. These officers would swim naked across ice cold rivers with a machine-gun strapped to their backs In order to be the first to carry the fight into the heart of the enemy. How much more could Duke and Metzger accomplish if they had a core group of only one thousand supporters that had the wherewithal to come up with ten dollars each and every months?

Many among us claim that the system Must totally collapse before we will be able to rally enough people to rebuild our society. What evidence do we have to show us that people can or will

stand up when stripped bare of necessities? Most of the people that we think we need to awaken think only of their own comfort and the after life where they will live in nirvana with Jesus.

Should the hoped for breakdown occur, with the turning off of the electricity and water in certain areas, what will the now awakened people do? Without any sort of preparation there is nothing they can do. Suppose that there are many "survivalists and revolutionaries" out there replete with all the gizmos. What then? The idea of a revolution with arms sound romantic. The CIA plants even suggest that it is what we need. But in all probability the government has everybody in some sort of computer program that will be used to dispatch flying death machines to do ugly work if it looks like something is brewing. In other words, the first one to fire a shot will be killed instantly and everything he holds dear will be ruined. We need only look at Germany in 1945, or Iraq in 1991, for a preview of what Big Brother has in store for anyone who resists his plans with force. After the population sees and hears about a few of these deals they will eat dog poo poo and claim to like it. Everyone knows this.

Those of us in the intelligentsia should be alert enough to share our food and water with the front line soldiers. What other choice do we have?

H.D., Texas

✠ ✠ ✠

Dear Landsmann:

Greetings from darkest New York. The tiny flicker of resistance to mayor Dinkins' black racism has already gone out. There was no more than a token effort to cut the Jews' revenues from unjust fines. Dinkins appointed a white traitor as police commissioner who has announced his top priority is to niggerize the police department by hiring more savages. He has further announced that black rapist Sharpton is one of his advisors! However, the black racist administration may soon run into a setback, not from the cowed whites but from the Jews who are furious at the acquittal, by a black jury, of the murderer of a rabbi during the Crown Heights riot. Whites have suffered from this kind of "justice" for years but Jews can do something about it. Thus, we can expect the replacement of Dinkins in the near future.

Clinton was put in as I expected; the latest insult to those who

served in Vietnam. I knew he would be installed after I heard he was nominated by the bankers in Baden-Baden, Germany, in May 1991. Every parasite in the country voted for Clinton and now they are expecting goodies as if this were still the era of Lyndon Johnson. They will soon be disabused. Clinton has orders to implement the strictest austerity measures in order to squeeze out more interest payments. From what I have been able to discover, his policies are as follows:

1. There is to be a cap on medicaid payments. The problems of the aged are to be handled through mercy killing and hospices, especially for the millions of black AIDS victims to come.

2. There is to be a drastic reduction in welfare payments. Whites will simply not be allowed onto welfare while blacks will be forced to have state funded abortions on pain of having their benefits cut. Forced abortion is to be sold to women as a "right" they have been too long denied.

3. Sales taxes are to be sharply raised. A national sales tax is to be passed.

4. Gasoline taxes are to be sharply increased, probably in conjunction with another lunatic Middle East war. The last war cost us about 37¢ per gallon. Clinton is to exceed that.

5. Export of American industry will continue under NAFTA and other pretexts so that the foreign bankrupts can earn dollars to pay interest to the Jews' banks.

6. The military and every other branch of government will be cut to free up more money for interest payments. There will also be massive government assets sales at low prices for the same, holy, purpose.

7. Since Clinton will be unable to hand out money to any parasites except the Jews, he will try to buy favor with special privileges and more oppression of whites. Faggots are to be made virtually a new nobility. Black racist judges, like Sands of Yonkers infamy, will be appointed and further laws passed to kick whites out of desirable jobs and turn them over to colored racists.

None of the above nonsense will do anything for the economy except to deepen the depression. Clinton can do nothing about the Jews' usury system or the fact that there is no money in circulation. The banks' answer to the problem is to cut U.S. living standards to pay their interest. This will make Clinton the most hated president in modern history. The bankers chose a Democrat

because he can betray his supporters and impose austerity more easily. Four years from now they will install a Republican as president. They have already chosen Jack Kemp (the political "rabbi", as they call him) for president in 1996.

In the midst of all this scheming, AIDS is quietly doing its work. The faggots dancing in the streets at their man Clinton's election will be mostly dead or dying four years from now. Last week [beginning of November] the CDC suddenly added 90,000 women to the number of AIDS cases by changing the definition of AIDS a little. It seems they had deliberately gimmicked the AIDS definition so as to exclude female cases! A lot more of such surprises are due. Beware the Flu vaccination campaign. Everyone who has been vaccinated now tests positive for AIDS. The media claim it is a false positive but I wouldn't bet my life that they are telling the truth. I have long expected an attempt to poison the white population with AIDS via vaccine.

Yours truly,
S.R., New York State

Dear Liberty Bell Publications,

Greetings again from this political gulag here in Soviet-ona. I got the latest issue of Liberty Bell which I enjoyed very much as usual. I also got the slip that said that my subscription will run out in December. I would like to ask for another complimentary subscription like the one you so generously sent to me this last year.

Right now I am still in a super-max institution where we are allowed minimum movement and no types of jobs at all. I would very gladly pay the price for a subscription if I could; as it is, I barely get by on my drawing capabilities. If possible, I will gladly do any kind of drawing that you would want to pay for the subscription. I don't want to go without the Liberty Bell if I don't have to; it has definitely opened up my small world. No other publications gives me the type of information yours does. Hopefully, soon I will be able to get out on some kind of yard and be able to pay for the subscription. I hope to hear from you soon. "Till then, Eine feste Burg ist unser Volk!

Faith and Honor,
Michael Sevler, Arizona

Dear Mr. Dietz:

...I do have an important request of you. I feel strongly that my enclosed "Gedanken eines Auslandsdeutschen über die Wiedervereinigung" may be of some assistance to Schönhuber, who is probably the only German at this time who may be able to bring about a resurrection of the German soul. Perhaps you can forward my comments which, I hope, offers some fresh perspectives to someone who has access to Schönhuber, to use in some manner in his political efforts.

The occasion of my 50th birthday this year was an opportunity to reflect on the fortuitous confluence of circumstances that brought about the reunification and with it the hope of regaining Ostpreußen, Pommern and Schlesien, including my birthplace, Breslau. I look forward to walking the streets of a free Breslau in a few years to see for Myself the sights of a town I know only from the stories of my parents and grandparents.

One of the anecdotes related by my mother about the war years follows. That was the first I had heard about Jewish partisans, supposedly from a former concentration camp:

In January 1945, the survivors of a refugee column spoke to my mother at a railway station in Freiberg, 37 kilometers southwest of Dresden. The column, consisting mostly of women and children, were attacked by other civilians, who identified themselves as Jewish former inmates of a concentration camp in the Dresden area. Identification was made with a few shouted words. The attackers appeared to have been well nourished. They tossed grenades and fired automatic weapons at the refugees.

The attackers numbered about 20, the refugees several hundred, many of them pushing baby carriages, carrying their few valuables in Rucksacks. As the column scattered in panic, some left their baby carriages with small children on the road. The Jews threw a few of them into the nearby drainage ditch, so as to be able to execute them in a group with fewer bullets. At least one grenade was thrown into the same ditch. The entire raid was over in a few minutes, and the attackers melted into the tree near the road whence they had come.

Parachutes were found hanging from the branches of trees in a nearby forest, an indication that the former inmates had received supplies, perhaps the weapons and ammunition used in

the attack, from allied sources.

Also enclosed is a story of mine about the attitude of American toward Germans, and the anti-German propaganda on television and in our newspapers here. Perhaps Mr. Schönhuber will be able to make use of that as well.

No reply necessary since I know from personal experience how difficult it must be to keep your organization functioning with the few people on your staff. Please accept my warmest wished for the good health of your real and extended family, and the continued success of our common effort.

Mit landsmannschaftlichem Gruß,

W.M., Texas

Dear Mr. Dietz,

The article "Heretical Verities" by Professor Ben Kriegh was a special surprise and reflects the versatility of the *Liberty Bell*. You refuse to remain in any intellectual rut. The article was comfortably presented so that even those of us who have no special training in Mathematical Physics could appreciate the controversy caused by Mr. Phipps' book. There have been others, unrecognized, who have challenged some of Einstein's works.

Einstein's Theory of Relativity, which is apparently after all these years still a theory, has always aroused my suspicion. Being an Engineer, I can appreciate the genius of Henry Ford, Thomas Edison, the rocket scientists and others whose works evolved into our present complex industrial and technological state. How well off we are because of their contributions. What are Einstein's contributions to society? I do not know of anything material; and I can't even imagine how science can apply the formula $E = MC^2$. Did he contribute anything that is useful? Do engineers who design cyclotrons or atomic energy plants use any of his formulas? I would be interested in knowing. In my view, his genius reputation outstrips, by light years, his accomplishments. It was Einstein who promoted the development of the atomic bomb, so his real legacy is the destruction of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

The mysteries of existence cannot be solved by man's logic system which was developed to explain physical phenomenon that affects man. To deal with "our physics" we have developed the ideas of dimensions and time. Dimensions seem valid, but

"time" is man's inventions to define our personal and national histories. It is something that doesn't exist, yet we try to formulate things like the theory of relativity in terms of time. What we do have is, not time, but the "changing state of matter." Any mathematical formulation of abstract concepts that use the factor "time" is suspect.

Since we are matter and are surrounded by matter, all our logic explores matter and the shifting forces that affects matter and its inherent energies.

However, matter is not the mystery. The mystery of life is the absence of matter

or nothingness. Religion nor science can ever define it or explain it. Where is the beginning or end of a void? Our minds lurch into neutral and will never understand a dimensionless and timeless universe. Man's current logic system will never fit the reality of our universe, no matter what it is.

Yours truly,
C.T., California

Mr. Dietz:

Because so few people listen to us, we might as well admit that we write for our own learning and entertainment. Therefore we need not worry about offending anyone.

It is surprise to find that the murderer of Vicki Weaver is a Mongolian. Most readers know that the communist jews in Central Germany employed these ape-like creatures to murder the unarmed population of that unfortunate land. The modus operandi, as we all know, was simply to machine-gun a crowd of Germans and then drive on to the next location, leaving the cadavers on the sidewalk. The locals were left with the task of burying the bodies.

Like so much of the jews' work, this boggles the mind.

And the American boobs are lost forever. But there must be a few of them who wonder why life is not as easy as it once was. Though I am certain they have not lost a moments sleep worrying about the 30 thousand people being killed each week in Croatia by the communist Serbs.

Respectfully,
H.D., Texas

Dear Sir:

In the October issue, page 53, you published a very excellent article by J.B., Bellefonte P.A., in which he was very critical of "Publicus Prudentis" for his attacks upon Identity Christianity. He should have pointed out that your publication is many times more culpable than "Publicus Prudentis," for you are endlessly assailing all religion, thus denigrating the heroes and martyrs whom he listed, along with the one third to one half of all the patriotic American activists in this country who are also religious. Shame upon you! You should have more decency than to be endlessly impugning the integrity and intelligence of so many patriots, and more sense than to be thus promoting factionalism within the world of patriotic American activism. Why don't you get off this thing and stick to being constructive?

When it comes to discussion of nominal religious *organizations*, that is quite another matter, for many of them are not religious operations at all. Instead, many are outright subversive operations. One kind is these propagandists for international Judaism and for Israel, posing on the TV and elsewhere as Christian preachers. Another is what is now called the national Council of Churches that was set up around 1910 by the Zionist-Communist conspiracy to take over control of the "main line" Protestant churches. They now boast that they have control over some forty million Americans, and have extensively altered the Bible into subversive propaganda. This, indeed, should be exposed, especially for the benefit of the millions of people who are being gulled and misdirected and deceived.

This might be a good project for yourselves, including a list of all the church organizations that are thus affiliated and controlled. There was a book about this, *Collectivism in the Churches*, by Arthur Bundy, probably now out of print. Otherwise, I have never seen anything on this subject.

I am not religious myself, and just keep out of the whole thing, so long as no harm comes of it, which can result from someone injecting their religion into efforts at patriotic activism, and thus creating dissention with those who are not religious.

Old Time Patriot, New York

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**