



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE:*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$5.00

WITCH DOCTORS FOR CHRIST

by Nicholas Carter

page 30

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor Revilo P. Oliver

POSTSCRIPTS:

The New World Order, page 1;

The Koshers Kings, page 19;

Helping God, page 24.

Friedrich P. Berg

Screwball Revisionism, page

Allan Callahan

What True Equality Would Really Mean, page 52

Letters to the Editor

page 54

VOL. 18 - NO. 10

JUNE 1991

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

THE NEW WORLD ORDER

By the end of January 1991 many of the purposes of Bush's War had become clear.

One objective was not only obvious but realized at the very first: the ruin of Saudi Arabia, which had never been more than a third- or fourth-rate military power, but was the Holy Land of Islam and the capital of Semitic resistance to Jewish aggression. The Saudis had yielded, of course, to the American invasion, just as many an American has yielded to a request to deliver his billfold made by a practically-minded social reformer with the muzzle of his gat pointing at the boob's belly. The only question was whether the invaders would withdraw and leave the Arabs eventually to a bloody chaos or would occupy the new colony permanently to protect the future capital of the world.

Some observers had thought it likely that Bush's War was to be a repetition of Korea and Vietnam, i.e., an operation arranged to kill many young Americans, to demoralize the Army by forcing it to fight in ways that ensured the maximum casualties and eventual defeat, to squander American resources for a pretext to increase taxation and accelerate inflation, and finally to demonstrate to the whole world what contemptible idiots Americans are. That hypothesis was improbable from the first, although another bloody "peace-keeping" operation was not impossible.¹ It implied

1. This, of course, could have been done as it was done in the past. American planes, which are necessarily manned by White men, could have been ordered to fly over enemy territory at half-speed and an elevation of a hundred feet, and to circle every target for half an hour, dropping bombs of peanuts and peppermint candy, before finally dropping a bomb made for Fourth-of-July fireworks. This could have been explained as a humanitarian policy of disseminating Love in keeping with peaceful intentions, and the American boobs would have been delighted—except, of course, the parents or wives or children of the dead

that Bushy had arranged with his colleague, Gorby, to trap what was virtually the whole of the American Army after it had been placed in an exposed position, far from home, and it was hard to see how such a result could enhance the glory and displayed power of God's Race.

It was now clear that no such defeat to the glory of the fiction called "United Nations" was intended this time. The owners of the United States had a higher purpose in mind when they trapped Saddam and his Iraqis by assuring them that the "Americans" had no objection to his occupation of Kuwait. That the trap was thus set is now officially admitted, with the ridiculously feeble excuse that Bushy's boys were thinking of only a partial occupation of Kuwait, not the whole of that little spot on a map.

The only uncertainties, both of which have now been answered in the negative, were (a) Would the United States, as it has habitually done since 1945, avoid using its best and most modern weapons? (b) Would the Jews' other big colony, now managed by Bushy's colleague, Gorbachev, intervene, either openly or, as before, under a transparent disguise, sending only "technical advisers" and military equipment to the people who were being used to kill Americans and contribute to the profits of usurers and the eventual bankruptcy of the country the boobs gave away?²

aviators, but they wouldn't matter, any more than do the corresponding relatives of the men whom we abandoned in Vietnam to give the sweet Orientals captive bears to exhibit in their carnivals.

2. That, though unlikely, was still a bare possibility at the end of January. If Gorbachev, the lovey-dovey hero of gullible "experts" on Soviet affairs, is not being systematically made, step-by-step, a new Stalin, he will eventually be effaced as the "old line" Bolsheviks and the Army take over, undoing the much advertised "economic collapse" of Communism. They could have hoped that the Arabs and other stupid Semites would again listen to hypocritical professions of sympathy, this time seemingly validated by intervention to check "American" aggression. The feasibility of the operation would have largely depended on the still unascertained technological power of the Soviet, but something could have been done by arranging an armistice in time to save Iraq from annihilation by the Americans.

By the end of January it was clear that Saddam had been trapped in the way the patriotic government of Argentina was trapped and destroyed by Britain when that Jewish bastion arranged the war over the Falkland Islands to install a Jewish government in Argentina. Saddam was trapped for the same purpose, to please Yahweh's Master Race.

Since this time the American part of Bushy's army was not forbidden to fight a real war, the outcome was a foregone conclusion. Despite the best efforts of professional liars, it was clear that the war between Iraq and the invaders of Saudi Arabia was exactly like a game of no-holds-barred football between the toughest team of professionals and the boys of the sixth grade.

If all other things were equal, no one could imagine an even match between the United States, a country with almost 250,000,000 inhabitants under complete control, and a little nation of less than 14,000,000³ with uncertain loyalty to a comparatively recent and novel government.

Using the tattered scarecrow called United Nations to prevent stupid Americans from imagining they had won a war, a fairly large contingent of troops was obtained from the Judaeo-American subsidiary called Britain, and small contingents from other Islamic states were hired to advertise the shattering of the Moslem unity that had never been more

3. The actual population of Iraq is over 18,000,000, of whom only about 10,000,000 are males and females above the age of puberty. From the total of 18,000,000, however, one must deduct the numerous Kurds, who are internal enemies awaiting an opportunity to revolt again and stab the Iraqis in the back, the Turks, internal enemies who believe that the country belongs to Turkey, Jews, who control a part of domestic commerce and pretend to be anti-Zionist, and disaffected religious groups of various ethnic origin, including the Christians (chiefly Maronite) who want their god to strike down the wicked Moslems, the "Assyrian" (i.e., Nestorian) Christians who still expect their god to get busy, an enclave of Druses, and some other minor ethnic groups and heretical sects. These total about 5,000,000. — I assume that the two major sects of Islam, which divide the Iraqis, recognize that the American threat to their survival requires them to postpone their doctrinal antagonisms to a more suitable occasion, if they live that long.

than a tenuous possibility.⁴ The war thus became one between 310,000,000 and 14,000,000, about 22 to 1, on the assumption other things were equal.

The United States deployed 450,000± troops in the invasion of Saudi Arabia, which Iraq had to confront with its comparatively small army. One the Sheenies' periodicals in the United States affirmed that Iraq had "the third largest army in the world," and I happened to hear a professional liar claim over the radio that Iraq had a million men under arms. Any listener whose mind was not in cold storage must have wondered how so large an army could be recruited and maintained in a nation that had less than four million males above the age of puberty and below the age of senility. A probably well-informed source gave 79,000 as the size of Iraq's army, and that seemed quite plausible, as did the estimate of 85,000 from another source. Part of that force had to be used to keep the Kurds in check and to counter an actual or imminent invasion from Turkey. How many remained to face Bushy's bullies is conjectural.

But other things are far from equal. The United States, despite the sabotage of its industry and the financial slavery of its former owners, is still a rich and powerful country with all its resources at the disposal of the alien government to which it is slavishly obedient—contentedly obedient, except for a few fools who mumble or scribble impotent dissent. Iraq is a poor country, largely desert, with no important resource other than its petroleum, which it could no longer sell, and dependent, for most conveniences (e.g., automobiles, telephones, typewriters),

4. In addition to long-standing territorial, religious, and even ethnic antagonisms (the Semites of the Moslem world are by no means racially uniform, partly because they differ markedly in the amount of Arab blood they have absorbed), Saddam's occupation of Kuwait enabled Bushy to exploit the necessary antagonism between monarchical government by kings, emirs, and sheikhs, which is the form of rule natural to Semites, and awkward imitations of Western "democracy," to which the race is by nature ill-adapted. Saddam is, of course, a product of the latter, and, in the eyes of true Arabs, a tyrant in the original and specific sense of that word, a man who has *illegitimately* obtained power over a state.

all machinery, and all military equipment on imports, for which it has always had to pay very high prices.

The United States still has the capacity for major scientific discovery that is peculiar to the Aryan race, and the capacity for high technology that is shared only with the Japanese.⁵ The Iraqis are Semites of various ethnic strains and belong to a race that is temperamentally averse to all high technology, which it accepts only as a weapon against its Aryan and Jewish enemies, and perhaps is intellectually incapable of genuine adherence to the scientific method and hence of scientific discovery.⁶

5. The Japanese are a hybrid race, but largely Mongolian. The commercial prosperity of Mongolians who, e.g. in Hong Kong, imitate the Japanese depends on the Aryans' willingness to sabotage their own industry to import cheap products from potential enemies. The Japanese, of course, have profited greatly from the same suicidal lust in Aryan populations and the decrees of their alien governments. (For example, I note in the *Decatur* [Illinois] *Herald*, 15 January 1991, a report that 600,000 Americans were thrown out of work when organized crime in Washington designedly shattered the American Telephone & Telegraph Co., thus ending manufacture of telephonic equipment in the United States. Such equipment, even for the Army, must now be imported from more advanced industrial nations, chiefly Japan.) But even without calculated sabotage of the United States by its present owners, the Japanese, I am confident, would have made themselves a great industrial nation. It is a little known fact that after Europeans in the late Sixteenth Century taught the Japanese how to manufacture firearms and cannon, Japan quickly became a leading producer of such weapons of war, but, after the Christian infection of some Japanese had been brought under control and there seemed to be no menace of European invasion, such weapons were chivalrously abolished in Japan as cowardly and beneath the dignity of *bushidō*, the samurai code of honor. I have often remarked on the odd similarities between Japanese and Aryan character in some fairly important matters. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, October 1986, pp. 16 f.

6. The Semites' aversion from science is generally attributed to the mentally paralyzing effects of their religion, but although Christianity had the same paralyzing doctrine, the superstition did not prevent the rise of scientific inquiry in Europe, and that cannot be entirely attributed to the revivifying influence of the surviving débris of Graeco-Roman culture. The Semites, especially the Arabs, did use and perhaps partly develop some simple and essentially banal techniques, e.g., in navigation and construction of buildings, and there were a few men, such as Ibn Khaldūn, who showed a memorable capacity for logical thought and investigation, but they were rare exceptions and of uncer-

The Semites have had to hire Aryan technicians and Aryan teachers, who have succeeded in training only a very few native imitators. The Semites have had to import not only technicians, including engineers, and even skilled labor, but all the mechanical, chemical, and electrical equipment needed for their work, and even all of the materials, with the exception of bitumen and sand, for buildings and roads. This they were able to do only because the stupid British bestowed sudden wealth on them by giving them possession of the petroleum that British and American geologists had discovered, and British and American corporations had made productive in their territories.

Bush's army, though of dubious value in hand-to-hand combat, and apparently made ineffective by its nigger admirals and nigger generals (including, God help us! a female nigger general), was efficient, since the niggers had under them competent military men for the actual work of command, and its most important part, technicians and aviators, were necessarily Americans. The strategy used was to avoid hand-to-hand combat, in which the army of Iraq might have had a chance, and to clobber them from a distance with weapons against which they could not defend themselves.

The last two weeks of January made it obvious why American technology and American military equipment had not been sabotaged at the time when its heavy industry was wrecked by its alien masters.

Bushy's army was equipped with the most formidable weapons produced by the world's highest technology, some of which, though theoretically known to be possible, have been produced with a perfection and power unanticipated by ob-

tain genetic antecedents. What seemed to be a brief flowering of reason in the time of the *falásifa* under al-Ma'mún and his successors (750-847) was derived from Greek sources and imitative of them, and again one must be uncertain of possible genetic alloy of the Semites involved (some, reckoned as Arabs because they wrote in Arabic, were of Persian ancestry, which, at that time, implied a considerable admixture of Aryan blood). The stern repression of rational thought that followed was obviously congenial to the instincts of the race as a whole.

servers who had no secret sources of information. Iraq had only a relatively small amount of obsolete equipment that had been purchased, perhaps second-hand, at great expense from the Soviet and which required maintenance that was often not available. Despite all the exaggerations by liars and sensation-mongers, its offensive capacities were hopelessly inferior in quality.

Professional liars made some attempt to make the boobs believe that Saddam was keeping in reserve some potent weapon, perhaps biological, that might be effective against the overwhelmingly superior forces arrayed against him. There was particular mention of anthrax, the dire contagion that the unspeakably evil thing called Roosevelt and its half-English stooge would have used to massacre the entire population of Germany, had it not been discovered that the contagion would inevitably spread to adjacent territory. A moment's thought would have shown that even if he possessed the means of using anthrax, Saddam would not employ it for the same reason, since it would exterminate his own people and the Semites of adjacent countries, from whom he vainly hoped for assistance.

The enormous superiority of Bush's army in weapons produced by high technology and used by efficient technicians was ostentatiously advertised on television. That was the most significant event of January, and this was done even though it displayed for all to see the hopeless inferiority of Iraq and made Bush's war obviously an ignoble slaughter of opponents who were unable to make an effective reply or even defend themselves. Aryans find such slaughter objectionable—or did before their racial instincts were obliterated by poisonous superstitions and degeneracy. But, as Bush's invasion made obvious, the American people, having given away the country they once had, no longer have any influence in deciding what their masters will do with them and their property.

The crushing superiority of American technology was flauntingly displayed. American ground installations, fighter

planes, and the odd hybrid machine called "Warthog," could destroy with total immunity Saddam's obsolete air force. High explosive bombs were guided by laser beams with such accuracy that they could be lobbed into the front door of a hospital or similar structure. Two bombs could also be dropped on air-raid shelters so that the first would shatter seven feet of concrete and the second, dropped precisely into the hole thus opened, would reduce the people who had taken refuge in it to sizzling blobs of protoplasm. Anti-missile missiles, deployed to protect the Holy Race in its lair in Israel, although of doubtful efficiency against really modern missiles,⁷ destroyed all of poor Saddam's long obsolete "Scud" missiles, except when the operators of the American equipment were negligent.⁸ American tanks, moving at relatively high speed and carrying guns of long range aimed by infrared rays, destroyed the slow, lumbering, and obsolete tanks which Saddam had purchased from the Soviet at great cost, and destroyed them long before they could come within range to fire at the Americans.

The Americans probably had available other products of high technology that they are not known to have used. According to *Spotlight*, one of these was the most fiendish weapon that human ingenuity has ever devised, a laser beam that destroys the eyeballs but leaves the blinded wretch alive and otherwise unharmed.

The Americans simply slaughtered the hapless Iraqis at no risk to themselves. Theirs is the glory won by the crew of a tank who have crushed beneath the treads of their massive

7. See the *New Scientist* (London), 2 February 1991, p. 39.

8. One of the most amusing scenes ever shown on television showed hordes of scared Sheenies fleeing from Tel Aviv at high speed in automobiles that filled the broad highways, almost bumper-to-bumper, in terror lest some drops of the divine ichor in their veins be shed by one of poor Saddam's clumsy missiles. This was an instructive contrast to the resolution displayed by the inhabitants of Baghdad when their city, already half-ruined, was again under attack by American bombers against which they had no defence. I am told that the Sheenies are now demanding twenty billion dollars of heart-balm from their American serfs, perhaps in compensation for their fright.

machine a man who courageously stood in their way. The mentality peculiar to Aryans considers such an achievement disgraceful, but the pickled minds of most Americans would think it glorious if the victim had, like Saddam, said something that displeased God's Paranoid People. The net effect of the victory, however, was to make Saddam seem, in the estimation of persons who can judge dispassionately, an heroic figure, a man who fought for his nation against an overwhelmingly more mighty aggressor.

The arrogant display of American might, although moderated after it appeared that some of Bushy's subjects still had Aryan instincts,⁹ had a purpose.

When the American boobs were being conditioned for their insane foray into Europe in 1917, expert liars invented a story of German *Schrecklichkeit*, a policy of military terrorism, attributed to the nation that made extraordinary efforts to protect the civilian population of Belgium and other occupied territory.¹⁰ The Americans, who were indignantly horrified by that hoax in 1914-1916, have now committed

9. What may be another device to make Americans condone the disgraceful slaughter in Iraq was the despatch from Berlin, published in, e.g., the *Chicago Tribune*, 23 February 1991, reporting that Saddam had written, in Arabic and German, a book entitled *Unser Kampf*, obviously modeled on the famous work by Adolf Hitler and, like it, manifesting diabolical hatred of God's Perfect Pets. The book is said to have been published in Geneva in 1977. If there is such a book, printed in 1977 or more recently with a spurious date, it would not exceed the productive capacity of the C.I.A., which, as is now admitted, fabricated the *Penkovskiy Papers* in 1966. (I cannot resist the temptation immodestly to add that, so far as I know, I was the only reviewer who denounced the hoax when it was published by Doubleday. My article in *American Opinion*, April 1966, pp. 41-55, was enhanced by satirical cartoons drawn in Belmont.) What makes the present report so suspect is the claim that Saddam, who had in his own country excellent facilities for printing his book and disseminating it throughout the Arab-speaking world, should have had it printed in Switzerland at great expense and for no conceivable purpose.

10. The German efforts at conciliation sometimes approached the ridiculous. One thinks of the German general—I have forgotten his name—who gallantly halted an army column marching into Brussels to permit Belgian women to cross the street.

themselves to actual *Schrecklichkeit* to intimidate every nation that might otherwise seek to preserve itself from Bushy's New World Order.

Henceforth, in Egypt or Pakistan or India or China, if the natives show a velleity to resist the dominion of God's Race, a Sheeny, rubbing his pudgy hands, will tell them, "See how rabid our American dogs become when we sick them on someone! That's what our Americans will do to you *goyim*, if you disobey us, your God-given masters."

Bushy's New World Order is new only in the appointment of his American cattle to force it on all the rest of the doomed world.

The New World Order began operations in Russia in 1918, and it is a nice irony that two descriptions of its essential nature were published, almost simultaneously with Bushy's spiel about his righteousness, by two publications that cannot be suspected of bias in favor of Aryans.

Commentary, the official organ of the American Jewish Committee, published in its issue for October 1990 an article, "The Killing Fields of Kiev," by Marco Carynnyk. In England, *The European*, one of the many periodicals owned by a Jew who hides under a Scottish name, Robert Maxwell, published in its issue for 16 November 1990, "The Archaeologist of Terror," by Askold Krushelnycky. Both articles incidentally demolish a part of the Jews' Holofoax by demonstrating the responsibility for atrocities blamed on the Germans by both Jews and Soviets for forty-five years, but are chiefly interesting for what they disclose about the motivation behind the ghastly crimes committed by the Soviet Secret Police.

Mr. Carynnyk visited Bykivnia, a hamlet east of Kiev in the Ukraine and the site of some of the Judaeo-Communists' mass graves, some of which were so imperfectly covered over that boys in the streets now play football with skulls. Ten acres in a forest were reserved for such graves, to which each night convoys of trucks brought loads of corpses for disposal. The mass murders of Ukrainians began after Stalin in the early 1930s amused himself by forcing some nine or ten mil-

lion Ukrainian farmers to starve to death on their own land. The site at Bykivnia was opened in 1937 and the trucks continued to bring their cargoes until November 1941, when the Germans captured Kiev and the villagers entered the enclosure and discovered the mass graves, pits over which only two feet of soil had been shoveled.

After the Bolsheviks retook Kiev in 1944, they appointed investigators of "war crimes," who, though not so far below the level of rabid bestiality as the Americans who perpetrated the obscene murders at Nuremberg, docilely "proved" that all the corpses were victims of the "Nazis." In the 1970s some of the residents of the village and their friends, who knew better, began to protest, believing that Khrushchev's denunciation of Stalin's rule was meant to indicate a change; they were soon quieted by having their skulls split open by unidentified assailants or in other ways. In 1987, another protest led to another "investigation" and the erection of a monument inscribed, with Jewish veracity, "To the Eternal Memory....of peaceful civilians killed by the Fascist invaders in 1941-1943." The villagers, of course, remembered that the convoys of trucks, some of them leaking fresh blood, had come by night during 1937-1941 before the Germans arrived, and the truth at last became so obvious that the lie about "Fascists" was effaced from the monument, although it continues to bear the lie that the total of dead in the mass grave was only 6,239; the actual number is estimated at 250,000. The article contains less detailed information about the many other mass graves scattered all over the Ukraine, all filled with corpses by the Soviet Secret Police.

Not all of the victims were dead when they were dumped into the mass graves. There is record of one man who was carelessly shot through the neck and remained alive, shamming death until he had a miraculous opportunity to escape; he succeeded in returning home secretly, but was denounced to the Secret Police by a neighbor who had the mentality of an American 'yuppie,' and the executioner who then took care of him made sure of his handiwork. There is evidence

that some victims were alive when they were buried under corpses. One woman gave birth to a child in the grave, and another still had her baby at her breast. They may have been spared the fatal bullet and buried alive to increase the executioners' fun.

Mr. Carynnyk collected abundant evidence that the "Nazis" could not possibly have had anything to do with the murder of a probable total of millions of Ukrainians by the Soviet Secret Police in the period before 1941. A writer for *Commentary* could not be expected to remind his readers that the Secret Police, though known under various names and supposedly reformed at times, was always under the command of Jews, directed even locally by Jews, and largely staffed by Jews, although it included some especially vicious Mongoloids and Turkics (Tartars et al.) and Slavs, but he does remark that the murders, like the preceding starvation of Ukrainians, were specifically authorized by Stalin's brother-in-law, Lazarus Kaganovich (called the "Wolf of the Kremlin" by a writer who shamefully insults wolves¹¹), and expresses concern lest that lead to some feeling against his race. He does not speculate about the motive for the endless killings under Bolshevik rule.

Mr. Krushelnycky went to Drahobych, a town that was in Poland, some seventy-five miles southwest of Lemberg (Russian Lvov, Latin Leopolis), until it was taken by the Soviets in 1939, when the N.K.V.D., as the Secret Police were then called, went to work at about the same time they were mur-

11. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, February 1991, p. 7, n. 5.. It must be remembered that Kaganovich was the most important Jew in Russia, comparable to such Super-Sheenies as Baruch and Kissinger, who governed the United States. There is informed opinion that believes that instead of being Stalin's expert on transportation and murders, Kaganovich was Stalin's master, a Jewish satrap and the real ruler of Russia, who controlled Stalin much as Kissinger manipulated Kennedy and Johnson. According to this view, Stalin was a mere figurehead, indulged in the panoply of power and vicious luxury by his masters, although in the end he made a futile effort to emancipate himself and promptly perished. Kaganovich is now living in retirement, but at the age of 96 he may well have sated his blood-lust and be content to live quietly in unobtrusive comfort.

dering the officers of the Polish Army in Katyn Forest and elsewhere, and joyfully began murders that continued until the Germans arrived in 1941, and were resumed after the German retreat in 1944 and throughout 1945.

The "archaeologist" mentioned in the title is a resident of Drahobych who, with the help of friends, is exhuming the corpses buried under the town's "teacher-training college" and in other mass graves in the community. According to Mr. Krushelnycky, Drahobych is only one of the scores of sites in the Ukraine and the adjacent part of Poland where similar mass graves have been opened by the local residents during the precarious respite afforded by the much publicized "reforms" of Gorbachev.¹²

The author does not estimate how many persons were murdered by the Secret Police in Poland and the Ukraine between 1935 and 1941 and between 1944 and 1946, but does quote an informed estimate of one million murders between 1939 and 1941. In "Maxwell's" British publication it would not have been tactful to mention that the Judaeo-Bolsheviks, together with their British subjects, for forty-five years swore that the Germans were responsible for the murder of the officers of the Polish Army and many Ukrainians, but Mr. Krushelnycky has confronted squarely the question of the motive for the Secret Police's homicidal mania.

It is perfectly obvious, of course, that the more than a million victims, many of them women and young children, could not have been engaged in agitation or intrigue against the Soviet government. And if complete extermination of Ukrainians as a nation had been the motive, the work was done less than thoroughly.

An authoritative answer is given by the "archaeologist," who has examined anatomically the remains of hundreds of victims. By no means all of them had been killed, doubtless

12. It is probable that there are very many other mass graves throughout Soviet territory which, so far as is known, the local inhabitants, perhaps mistrusting Gorbachev and his "reforms," have not cared or dared to open. It is most unlikely that the Secret Police confined their fun to Ukrainians.

after torture, by specially made bullets of soft lead fired into their heads. Many were hacked to death with cleavers.¹³ Some were so totally dismembered that their scattered fragments could not be found and reassembled. The conclusion drawn by the expert is obvious: "These were not executions, they were sadism. *The NKVD enjoyed themselves in their factory of death.*"

There you have an intimation of a fundamental truth about the real world. Killing is for some persons the most exquisite of delights.

That fact transcends the Jews' eternal and unappeasable hatred of our and other races, which may account for the particular animosity of the Jews' Secret Police against Ukrainians.¹⁴ Although other species of mammals are incapable of sadism and kill only to satisfy hunger, to defend themselves, or rarely in provoked anger, all the varieties of anthropoids classified as human contain individuals who find killing a pleasure more intense and more addictive than the satisfactions given them by heroin, cocaine, and comparable drugs.

Of this there are innumerable examples. The word 'sadism' was derived from the name of the infamous Marquis de Sade, author of the dictum that "all men are created equal," whose spiritual satisfactions were derived from torturing individuals of both sexes and defiling them in all imaginable ways before killing them, if that was convenient.

13. Some of the victims whose corpses were found at Bykivnia had had their hands hacked off before they were shot. Women, if not very old, were certainly raped, doubtless with torture. Many victims had been strangled, evidently with care to inflict maximum suffering, which may have included the well-known device of reviving the victim when on the point of death several times before finishing him off. Some children of six or seven had two bullets through their skulls, as though one had not been enough to satisfy the murderer's enthusiasm.

14. The Ukrainians have always been hated with special fervor by God's Race, perhaps only because they are a nation that succeeded in remaining Aryan under the most adverse circumstances and at a time when the Slavs in Russia were partly mongrelized by their Mongol and Tartar conquerors.

There is an erotic element in sadism, but it should not be exaggerated.

Some of numerous crimes of this kind in the United States may still be remembered. The once famous Loeb and Leopold, a pair of homosexual Kikes, amused themselves by killing a young boy, but, since they selected as their victim a Jew boy whose family was, like their own, very wealthy, their sport was terminated by imprisonment. Also at Chicago, a seemingly normal man, said to be White, used homosexuality as a lure for an estimated number of fifty victims, whose dismembered remains he concealed in the cellar of a quite ordinary house and the garden behind it. A student at the University of Chicago wrote a diary in which he confessed that he could not prevent himself from indulging in the joyous game of surprising and killing women (easier and safer than attacking men; he was not interested in raping them). While the once noted gourmet, Albert Fish, doubtless relished the tender flesh of the children he ate, his gastronomic predilections obviously were not the reason why he felt such delight in torturing and mutilating children of both sexes before he served them up for dinner.

In the Congo, the secret society of "Leopard Men," whom the Belgians tried to suppress in the bad old days of colonialism, delighted in pouncing on victims chosen at random and ripping them apart with steel claws. In India, the Thugs, whom the British finally suppressed as part of their oppression of the Hindus, rejoiced whenever they lured a cozened victim into a place where he could be strangled and secretly buried. One prominent Thug, who confessed with impunity to crimes perpetrated before the British enacted a law to deny him exercise of his religion, boasted that there was no sport equal to that of hunting men by deceit, and that he experienced the most intense delight when he finally tightened his noose about the neck of one of his more than nine hundred victims.

It is a simple fact of the world in which we live that the pure joy of killing is probably the most intense and addictive

of all pleasures for persons who are genetically equipped to enjoy it, and a pleasure in which many would indulge themselves, if they were not timorous and restrained by fear of the possible consequences in a "reactionary" society.

Kaganovich and Stalin felt a profound spiritual joy in ordering the murder of some twenty million persons, but they had attained a position in which they could freely indulge their personal ideals. We may be sure that they were envied by our great War Criminal, who doubtless dreamed not only of ruling his American dupes by open terror, but of gloating over mass graves packed with rotting corpses of fools who had voted for him; and this may in part have been the motive of the assiduous efforts by which he started a war in Europe to exalt his spiritual brethren.

Few, however, attain political power that enables them to indulge their blood-lust freely. Their less fortunate peers must contrive means of satisfying their homicidal yearnings under the cover of specious pretenses.

Everyone who has thought seriously about Communism knows that the superstition, as expounded by Bolsheviks and the "Liberal intellectuals" who, ignorantly or maliciously, propagate slightly diluted versions of Marx's gospel, is merely a crude means of enticing a simple-minded majority into a trap in which it can be made to appease the sadistic lusts of the promoters.

Everyone knows that the Jews used Communist verbiage and agitation to capture the Russian Empire in 1917-1918 and thus made that hapless land their own colony. Few, however, are willing to see that there were two primary motives: a lust for naked power over *goyim* and a lust to torture and kill their victims. It is bootless to speculate which of the two complementary but distinct motives was paramount or even the stronger.

No sooner were the Jews and their few *goy* colleagues in power in Russia than they embarked on wholesale torture and slaughter of the best and most intelligent of their captives. Their ferocious savagery was observed and reported by

many unimpeachable sources, for example, in the report of the official U.S. Commission of Inquiry that was able to observe what had happened around Kiev in 1919, of which an extract is quoted in Paul Knudson's article in *Liberty Bell*, May 1984 (reprint available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$3.50 + postage). For the report's description of the fiendish methods of torture and murder, see that article, pp. 16-17.

For a computation of the number of persons thus "liquidated" during only two years, 1921-1922, as officially reported by the Bureau of Statistics of the Soviet Secret Police, see pp. 18-19. The 1,695,909 murders are itemized by the occupation of the victims, and you may be sure that a large part of the total consisted of persons who had naively taken Marxism seriously as a philosophical, economic, or social doctrine. One may, for example, be certain that many of the 1215 Russian university and college professors¹⁵ whose brains were scattered with crowbars or who were tortured to death in various ingenious ways had stupidly sympathized with the "downtrodden proletariat" or had not perceived the goal of yammering about "social justice" and "human rights." The befuddled professors doubtless learned how foolish they had been shortly before they experienced the consequences of such idealism. Similarly gullible persons today will have to learn in the same way during the last moments of their lives.

You should particularly perpend the fact that the Soviet Government officially stated in 1922 that the victims of the massacres were not guilty or suspected of any act of opposition to their new masters: they were "liquidated" solely because they had minds that did not think properly.

You may be sure that if the Soviet Secret Police thought it desirable to give a reason for their jollification when they filled deep pits and mass graves with corpses in 1937-1941,

15. When you consider that figure remember that it comes from a time when, in both Russia and the United States, a professorship was an honor that few attained. You should not compare that figure with the hordes of professors who now fill all the universities and colleges that thoughtless tax-payers have established wherever major highways intersect and at many ordinary crossroads.

that pretext was that their victims were likely to have improper thoughts. That is always a sufficient reason for "social engineering," in fact, the only primary reason.

Now the facts of the Jews' conquest of Russia and Ukrainia, including the continuous orgy of blood as they tortured and atrociously murdered *goyim*, were reported at the time and the undeniable facts were generally available in the United States in 1920 and following years. Those facts were undoubtedly known to the prating "Liberal intellectuals" who apologized for the atrocities by gabbling that "you have to crack eggs to make an omelet."

While many "Liberal intellectuals" have only parrot brains, their trainers certainly know what they are doing, and one cannot escape the conclusion that their idealistic inspiration came from the delightful aroma of the shed blood of their betters.

Among the blunders of American anti-Communists in the 1920s and 1930s was an obtuse determination not to recognize the true inspiration of the "subversives" whom they were opposing. They, for example, frequently reproduced a rather well-known photograph that shows a square in St. Petersburg when the Bolsheviks suddenly opened fire with machine guns. Some dead or dying Russians lie on the pavement, while the others flee or stand, staring in stupefied amazement. This was one of the photographs with which naive patriots thought to shock the "Liberals" and undermine their faith in "social justice." They never watched closely enough to see the glint of blood-lust that usually appeared in a great idealist's eyes for a fraction of a second before he remembered to babble that the photograph was not authentic or to palliate the murders by alleging that the perpetrators were correcting "social wrongs."

If you want a preview of Bushy's New World Order, as it will eventually be imposed on the United States, you have only to investigate what happened when that social ideal was imposed on the Russians and Ukrainians after 1918 and until at least 1946.

In *America's Decline* I mentioned the firm opinion of a close friend of mine who, a colonel in Military Intelligence, was convinced, on the basis of information he had obtained within the C.I.A., that by 1970 bulldozers would be pushing into lime pits the piled-up corpses of Americans who showed symptoms of intelligence.

The predicted date was, of course, an error, made by the common foreshortening that vitiates so many efforts to extrapolate from present to future, usually by measuring only one of the vectors of force that will act on the object under consideration. There were reasons for less drastic evolution that he did not perceive at the end of 1959; for example, if the boobs had been put in their place in 1970, who would have squashed Saddam and Arab opposition to God's Race in 1991? The Colonel made an error of more than thirty years in his estimate; what he predicted for 1970 is unlikely to happen before 2000 and may not happen until 2010 or even some years later.

When the Colonel made his projection in 1960, he was convinced that the American dolts had so enslaved themselves and addled the minds of their children that any effort to preserve them from the inevitable consequences of their folly would be utterly futile. An American who sought to oppose the wave of the future and appeal to some supposed residue of prudence and manhood in the boobs was, to use his metaphor, like a fish taken up in a net and trying to resist by wiggling its tail.

The Colonel was better informed and more perspicacious than I, who did not believe him in 1960.

I now leave you to meditate on what Americans accomplished when their official master, Bushy, ordered them to kill Semites who were wickedly wiggling their tails in an attempt to escape the blessings of the New World Order ordained by Yahweh and his godly brood.

THE KOSHER KINGS

In a letter to the editor of *Liberty Bell*, a reader quotes from Alfred J. Kolatch's *The Jewish Book of Why* (Middle Village, New York; Johnathan David, 1981):

"In England, the Royal House has a long tradition requiring that all male children be circumcised by the Jewish *mohel* of London."

The book also extols the expertise of the Yiddish penis-clipper.

The reader was reluctant to believe a statement so disgraceful to British royalty, although it was publicly and even ostentatiously confirmed when a son was born to the present Prince of Wales, and he particularly questioned the "long tradition." He asks, "How long could that tradition be?"

The reference to a "long tradition" certainly implies that the obscene and disgusting practice¹ was established before the partly Jewish Battenbergs (who changed their name to Mountbatten) married into the Royal Family.

It is possible, of course, that the "long tradition" is just one of the vast number of hoaxes, big and little, with which the Master Race habitually confuses the minds of its stupid *goyim*. Assuming that it is not, I do not know the answer to the reader's question, and I do not have time for the necessary research, but, on the understanding that what I shall say is mere conjecture, I will hazard a guess that the "long tradition" of sexually mutilating royal children may have originated with Albert of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha, who became the Prince Consort of Queen Victoria in 1840.

Albert was officially the son of Ernest, Duke of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha and Duchess Louise, but Ernest was noted for his antipathy to women, and it was rumored at the time that his treasurer, a Jew, had relieved the Duke of the distressing duty of engendering an heir. There was no suggestion of alternate parentage, for it was only after the birth of her two sons, at an interval of fourteen months, that Duchess Louise felt that she had discharged her duty,

1. On this survival of savagery, see Nicholas Carter's *Routine Circumcision, the Tragic Myth* (London, Londinium Press, 1979; available from Liberty Bell publications, \$8.00 + \$1.50 postage). Cf. *Liberty Bell*, October 1989, pp. 1-5; November 1989, pp. 7-10.

and no longer had to content herself with an *ersatz* husband, whom many gallant gentlemen gladly replaced.

Albert grew up to be a young man who seems odd to us. The year before he married Queen Victoria, Albert had a toothache so distressing that, according to his own avowal in an extant letter, he wanted to "sob out his pain in the arms" of his "beloved Thus [his old tutor, Florschütz]."

Despite his womanish longing for consolation in Florschütz's arms, Albert, almost as soon as his toothache was relieved, having ascertained that nothing better was open to him, manfully undertook the job for which he had been trained from childhood, that of fascinating and infatuating his first cousin, the girl who was Queen of England.

As we all know, Albert succeeded brilliantly, not only in captivating the girl, who was barely twenty, but in resolutely making her, of whom he was not even very fond, adore him throughout all the years of their life together.

Although Victoria imagined that she kept the rôles of wife and queen separate, and although there is record of a few rare instances when she and Albert disagreed about some policy for a few days, it is clear that Albert so tactfully and subtly used his conjugal ascendancy over his passionately devoted wife that, for all practical purposes, he was in fact, though not in name, the King. So talented was Albert that Victoria's devotion never waned from the time, after they were engaged but before they were married, when she hated "the abominable, infamous Tories" because they were reluctant to give her dear Albert all the money he wanted and the royal precedence she wanted for him. Victoria doted on her "adored one," her "Angel," the "noblest of men," so long as he lived and even after his death, when a clever swindler enabled her to communicate with her darling's loving ghost.²

2. See *Liberty Bell*, May 1984, pp. 1 ff.

The rumor about Albert's parentage doubtless influenced the members of the British aristocracy who were reluctant to welcome him in 1840,³ but he must have exhibited traits which seemed to confirm the belief that he was part Jew,⁴ for more than half a century after his death the gossip was still so prevalent that Frank Harris had to disclaim an intent to confirm it when he reported that Albert's eldest son, the late King Edward VII, with whom Harris had been well acquainted, "spoke German like a Bavarian Jew."

If one were willing to descend to the intellectual level of our contemporary "intellectuals," one could loudly assert that Albert's Jewishness was indubitably proved by the "social reforms" and foreign policy⁵ to which he discreetly lent the support of his great influence as an uncrowned king, most of which, in their later developments, served the Jewish purpose of undermining Great Britain and our race. Rational observers, however, will remember that many individuals who must have been Anglo-Saxon evinced similar sentimentality.

The best example would be the undisputed fact that it was Prince Albert who prevented Great Britain from consulting her own honor and interests when an act of piracy by a Northern warship gave Britain an ideal opportunity to help the Southern states defend themselves against the invasion and war of aggression that Lincoln had been elected to begin. The late Dr. Peter Peel, whose detailed study of anti-German propaganda in

3. They favored William, Prince of Orange, as a husband for Victoria, who seems to have wanted to emulate her great predecessor, the Virgin Queen—until Albert fascinated her.

4. By our standards. Even if Albert was the son of the Sheeny Treasurer, he would not have been a Jew by orthodox Jewish standards, according to which genuine Jews are produced only by Jewesses, regardless of the race of the father.

5. When he visited Germany in 1859 he was so distressed by "Prussian militarism" that he became ill! He undoubtedly did much to incite the British antagonism to the growing power of Germany with hypocritical denunciation of "militarism" by the nation which frankly (and properly) claimed for its navy absolute dominion over all the oceans of the world.

Britain you may remember,⁶ commenting on the British failure to take advantage of the *Trent* affair, recognized that tergiversation as the first symptom of British decline: "There was where we missed the boat," he said. But even this instance proves nothing. Many an English lunkenhead was ready to sacrifice his nation's best interests to humanitarian sentimentality.

Prince Albert's character was abnormal. He was undoubtedly unbalanced; he was superstitious and Puritanic, and was psychotic on the subject of sex, evidently regarding sexual intercourse with women a stern duty that fell upon a husband (a duty that he must have known how to perform manfully), but a mortal sin in all other circumstances. He was Prince Consort and a father of nine children when he learned with horror that his eldest son, the Prince of Wales, then a young man of twenty, had actually had sexual relations with a woman! Albert became hysterical—no milder word is adequate—and, telling his depraved son that he must "hide himself from the sight of God," fell into such emotional convulsions that Victoria believed her son's "disgusting conduct" to have been the primary cause of her beloved "Angel's" death three months later.

Now if Albert was part Jew—remember that even strong suspicions are not proof—he would have had his sons circumcised for obvious reasons. If he was not, his psychosis about sex would have had the same effect, for one of the early arguments for circumcision was a claim that the barbarous operation impeded or prevented sexual desires. It is even possible that his horror that his son had had sexual intercourse with a woman, which so affected Albert that he could not sleep for a fortnight and agitated him for months there-

6. *British Public Opinion and the Wars of German Unification, 1864-1871* (College Park, Maryland; International Research Institute, 1981). He notes that although Victoria would not have countenanced a war against Germany, her son, Edward VII, was violently anti-German and was partly responsible for the British alliance with France against Germany which resulted in the First World War.

after, was shock at the discovery that the godly precaution had been ineffectual.

The Victorian Age had a moral climate that was propitious to the adoption of the barbarous practice by civilized people. The many Marranos, who were considered Englishmen, undoubtedly had performed on their sons the operation that Spinoza considered the only means of maintaining their racial unity, and the many undisguised Jews in England were constantly intriguing and whining for the right, eventually granted them, to enter the Parliament and even the House of Lords. They doubtless suborned propaganda to defend the savage rite that was considered the talisman of their race.

In this they were aided by the "British Israel" craze.⁷ Begun in 1822 by a crackpot who was probably the first goy Zionist and declared himself to be the nephew (!) of Yahweh, miraculously born to lead all the Kikes back to Palestine, the hokum so whetted the appetites of British nincompoops that they bought 250,000 copies of just one of the many books devoted to such nonsense, making it the five-star best seller of the day. Fatuous Anglo-Saxons, instead of proudly tracing their ancestry to Hengest and Horsa, wanted to believe they were descendants of a scabrous tribe of freebooters who had invaded Palestine with the help of their ferocious god. I saw years ago a photograph of an elaborately illuminated parchment that set forth every step of a genealogy according to which Queen Victoria was a descendant of a bandit chief named David.

These are the considerations that underlie my guess—and remember that it is no more than a guess—that the sexual mutilation of males in the royal family of Britain began with Prince Albert.

HELPING GOD

You may have overlooked a despatch from the Associated Press that was reproduced as a fairly small and inconspicu-

7. Cf. my *'Populism' and 'Elitism,'* Part I, footnotes 45 and 46.

ous item in some newspapers, including the *Chicago Tribune*, 17 February 1991.

According to the press, a certain Paul H. Dunn, a man of 66, who is one of the ninety ranking Elders of the Mormon Church and one of that church's most popular speakers and authors, was wont to retail to his audiences edifying stories of his own personal experiences, which proved that his god was always on call to help deserving True Believers. His affiliation with the Church in Salt Lake City is not significant: he could have been a prominent authority in any other Christian church, from Free Methodist to Roman Catholic.

According to the press, his edifying reminiscences covered a wide range of activity, from playing baseball for a famous team to serving heroically in the Second World War, where his best friend died in his arms and was doubtless despatched to his god's heaven with suitable brio. A "freelance" journalist named Lynn Packer took it upon himself to investigate the edifying stories and discovered, it seems, that Dunn had never played professional baseball, had never served in the War,¹ and never had any of the other edifying experiences of God's mercy with which he was wont to regale and thrill his audiences.

After the Church failed to make Packer, who was a Mormon himself and so subject to discipline in both this and the next world, suppress his findings, Dunn, who holds from some university a doctor's degree in the brand of hokum called "science of education" and so doubtless had professional training in purveying sucker-bait, freely admitted that his edifying stories were fictions, i.e., lies.

1. You should note that this does not reduce Dunn to the level of old Ronnie, who had spent the War safely in Hollywood, but, while he was performing in the White House, loudly claimed he had served in Europe and had seen with his own eyes how the Germans had stuffed millions of sacred Sheenies into gas chambers. Ronnie was trying to help his masters put over their Holohoax, the swindle that is their chief means of extorting money and obedience from civilized men. Dunn, it appears, lied to support a moral code, and perhaps a religion, in which he had faith. That is an enormous difference.

He was not in the least abashed, however, since he lied for a noble purpose. His tales about what (in his opinion) could have happened were fabricated "to illustrate his theological and moral points," and he saw nothing wrong in inventing "stories that will better convey a [godly] message and capture an audience's interest."

That is what makes the news significant: it is a neat example of the inveterate religious technique of Lying for the Lord. One remembers, for example, the indignation of St. Jerome when a stupid Christian thought that it mattered that the holy martyrs celebrated in his edifying and tear-jerking tales of persecution by the wicked pagans, had never existed. His fictions (lies), he said, were sacrosanct and above criticism because he devised them to coax ignorant individuals into the True Faith.

In the absence of proof to the contrary, one must credit Dunn and Jerome with believing in the god they were peddling or, at least, in the moral code they foisted on that imaginary god. We thus give them a rank above most evangelists and ambitious dervishes, who are interested only in milking stupid cows and are probably atheists or, as a technician long associated with one of them said of his employer, "don't give a damn," and certainly have no inclination toward a moral code they constantly violate in their own lives and business.

Really enterprising Men of God, such as Zoroaster, Mahomet, et al., invent their own religion, probably on the basis of hallucinations engendered by drugs or overmastering emotions in their feverish minds. Reformers, such as Calvin or Tsong-khappa, who take an established cult and twist it into a shape they prefer, probably operate rationally, calculating what they can put over on True Believers.

All this raises a fundamental question. We must honor for his integrity the honest Lutheran clergyman who, around 1800, candidly told his congregation, "I declare every so-called revealed religion to be a lie."² He and his clerical col-

2. See *Liberty Bell*, May 1990, p. 18.

leagues doubtless considered it their function to recommend and dispense a system of ethics based on the 'natural religion' of Graeco-Roman philosophy, which was deduced from the moral values recognized by a consensus among civilized nations.

A comparable effort today is represented by the distinguished Professor Raymond B. Cattell's *A New Morality from Science* (London, Pergamon, 1972),³ which proposes a moral code rationally based on the facts of anthropology, ethnology, and psychology.

The question, of course, is whether a rational ethic can establish a national *ethos* adequate to control and discipline the masses.

Many acute observers have concluded that the majority of the members of any nation have minds in which reason plays so feeble a part that it cannot influence their conduct in ways that are requisite for a cohesive society; it is necessary, therefore, to enforce what is rationally desirable by myths about supernatural beings and forces, i.e., a religion based on carefully contrived lies. The late James Burnham, one of the best minds of our time, believed that the masses can be governed only by myths, and recognized the great danger inherent in that fact, i.e., that the élite (the actual aristocracy, whether or not hereditary) administering the nation may come to believe their own lies, as has happened disastrously in Christianity, a bundle of haphazard fictions that had been disseminated by crackpots and mountebanks without concern for their social value, and which the élite of Western civilization had to adapt to their own purposes as best they could. See especially his *The Machiavellians* (New York, John Day, 1943; paperback reprint, Chicago, Regnery, s.a. [c. 1963]).

If, then, it is necessary that a nation be given a moral consensus, a *nomos*, that depends on supernatural (i.e., fictitious) sanctions, we must ponder the possibility of devising and

3. An expanded and somewhat revised version was published in this country under the unfortunate title, *Beyondism: Religion from Science* (New York, Praeger, 1987).

propagating by calculated mendacity a rationally designed religion that will replace the patently absurd superstitions, such as Christianity and the various brands of similar hocus-pocus called New Age, that currently enthrall the members of our nation on whom must rest our only hope for the survival of our race and civilization, i.e., the descendants of the Aryans who once owned the United States and, maddened by poisonous superstitions, fatuously made of it a multi-racial jungle in which their race will, before long, become extinct.

This leads us, of course, to the paradoxical conclusion that the requisite ethical standards cannot be established by ethical means.

There would remain the further problem, how a superstition can acquire a reasonably absolute authority when it posits the existence of supernatural beings who, being fictitious, will be as powerless as all gods have been to enforce the sanctions proclaimed in their name. What undermined Christianity, even before it was recognized as historically and scientifically preposterous, was the observation by men not totally feeble-minded that old Jesus and his dad, supposed to be so powerful they had created the whole universe out of nothing, never were able to enforce their own laws and wishes, but had to rely on comparatively tiny, weak, fallible, and often corrupt mortals to do their work for them.

In the last half of the Nineteenth Century, Renan perceived that Christianity had evoked an "amazing moral effort" from our race of "good gorillas," and did not see what could replace the superstition that was no longer tenable, although it seemed that the stability of civilization depended on it. He saw the inescapable weakness of all religions: the power of holy men in India, for example, depended on the populace's belief that a *rshi* had the power to blast, by an act of thought, anyone who offended him, but, as a matter of fact, no holy man had ever blasted anyone and the superstition was thus patently without confirmation.

Renan once hoped or dreamed that there was a possible escape from this dual dilemma, that our biological knowledge would permit us to create, by systematic eugenics, a race of

supermen, whose mental and physical superiority would entitle them to be properly called *dévas*, and who would have at their disposal scientific equipment that would enable them ruthlessly to enforce their will on the masses and thus create a moral ethos that was sanctioned by irresistible force.

It is quite true that we are rapidly approaching the point at which electronic, chemical, and biological equipment will make it possible for rulers totally to enslave the masses under them by simply exterminating all opposition. But unfortunately, thanks to our own superstitious folly, that equipment is now in the hands of our implacable enemies.

We cannot blame the Parcae, we cannot even blame our enemies, for our own suicidal mania. In the meantime, however, we may pity poor old Dunn, who, so far as we know, meant well but was trapped in what may be a fatally insoluble moral dilemma. □

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.**

WITCH DOCTORS FOR CHRIST

by
Nicholas Carter

From Christianity to the next three largest religions, Hinduism, Buddhism, and Islam...from the classical worlds of ancient Persia, Mesopotamia, Egypt, Greece and Rome to the Celtic, Teutonic and Scandinavian lands...from Oriental Semites to the ancient American culture of the Mayas, Aztecs and Incas...from Australia to Zimbabwe...*thousands* of deities, transcendental tenets and ecstatic practices—including the *ten* Labours of Heracles, the *ten* Avatars of Hinduism, the four Noble Truths of Buddhism, the *ten* Gurus of the Sikhs, the five *Classics* of Confucianism, the five Pillars of Islam, the nine Enneads of Egypt, the eighteen blessings of Judaism, the myths of Marduk and Gilgamesh, the Bark Paintings of Australasia, and the ancestral Stools of Africa—have developed to shingle the metaphysical roofs of the human species. In the light of this knowledge, it should be abundantly clear to any thinking person that the *true* ruler of the human cosmos is the *witch doctor*.

The denigrative description “witch doctor” was coined by Europeans to be applied to the priests of primitive African congregations. The purpose: to demonstrate that, in principle, the tribal priest differs significantly from the “theologically sound” Christian priest, even though both the Christian and the African function as a similar bridge—agent or mouthpiece—between man and God.

The many witch doctors who rule tribalistic Africa are divided into two categories: the *fetishman*, and the *true Priest*. The fetishman deals with all gods and all spirits of every degree. The true priest devotes himself to one particular god, maintaining certain forms and ceremonies of worship. Clearly, the true priest is a fetishman, but the fetishman is not a true priest.

Essentially, the use of the term witch doctor to describe primitive religious practitioners enabled the Europeans to create the impression that tribal priests practiced a kind of witchcraft in which they were “in

league with the Devil.” Not necessarily so, of course. Not all fetishmen and true priests, by any means, can be said to work with their version of the Evil One—even though they may profess to hold communion with evil spirits, and to be able to frustrate their negative designs on human beings.

Few of today’s Christ-folk are aware of the fact that, from the beginning, the paranoid Christian world was obsessed with demons. The imps from hell were believed to be everywhere—hiding under rocks, sitting on thrones, causing all diseases known to mankind, and concentrating their heaviest artillery on fresh-baptized Christians and newborn infants. Everywhere, the poor sinner’s soul was, as Anatole France describes the phenomenon, “at the mercy of a lugubrious phantasmagoria of devils!” By the time of the Middle Ages—also known as the “Age of Singular Sweetness”—devotees of Christian righteousness had estimated that there were just 7,405,926 devils divided into companies, each under a captain. As that singularly sweet interlude unfolded, the Christian Establishment evolved into a vast cult of relics and holy places, martyrs and sacred symbols. It was an age in which monasteries bought milk purporting to come from the breasts of the Blessed Virgin. There were twelve prepuces of Jesus in European churches, with the one in the Abby Church of Coulombs believed to possess the miraculous power of rendering all sterile women fruitful. It was a time when every discovery of science, every invention of material benefit to man, was believed to have been secured with the Devil’s help.

In our pseudo-sophisticated modern world, some Christians are more cautious about Satanic powers than others. Certain Evangelists and Charismatics, for instance, freely admit that they do not want to venture “too far out on that limb” because of the fear of ridicule from the outside and by more moderate church-goers. In particular, Catholics in the Western world take a very laid-back approach to demonic possession and crusades against Satanism. Listen to any televangelist, on the other hand, and you will learn in no uncertain terms that the Prince of Darkness will eat you for breakfast if you have not been purified by the magical prayers and ceremonies available only with the help of your local Christian practitioner of the mystical arts. Indeed, the fundamentalist priest often appears to be so well versed in evil presences

and demonic hiding places that he might be presumed to have a tap on the Devil's phone.

In general, the *modus operandi* of tribal witch doctors involves the dispensing of charms and medicines, exorcising evil spirits and troublesome ghosts, treating the sick, making rain, and using music—usually drumming—to communicate with the spirit world. Frequently, they sacrifice animals (not unlike the sacrifices of the Old Testament); they communicate with the god, or gods, as the case may be; they sell charms and amulets that will prevent misfortune; and they roll cowrie shells to divine the future. With magical words, chants, signs, dances, ceremonies, and tricks, in other words, they mesmerize and control their congregations.

It is interesting to note that one tribal faith has developed over the centuries into a worldwide religion that now has an estimated 75 to 100 million followers. *Santeria*, meaning “worship of saints,” was first developed among the West Africa Yoruba tribe in Nigeria. Carried by slaves to the New World between the 16th and 19th centuries, Santeria survived hundreds of years of isolation from Africa by harmonizing to a degree with Roman Catholicism. The practice known as syncretism enabled the Africans to secretly identify their *orishes*, or deities, with Catholic saints who represented similar virtues. Thus, they were able to preserve their religion while appearing to Spanish Catholic priests as converts. (If you can't lick them, *pretend* to join them.) A pretense of this curious nature could scarcely have been successful, however, without the witch doctors of both faiths being more or less synchronized in principle.

A further similarity is worth noting. When the Santeros sacrifice live animals, there is a transfer of body and blood which is offered up to the Father-God and then consumed by the worshipers. The same principle applies with the emphasis on the ultimate sacrifice of the Christ on the cross to the Father-God during the Catholic mass, which is followed by the symbolic ingestion of the body and blood of the Christ by the brethren.

Question: Exactly *what*, or *where*, are the differences in principle between the theological exercises of the tribal priest and those of the Christian who preaches that Satan is a real, active being in the world...who conducts “deliverance ministries” to exorcise personal

demons...who claims that speaking in tongues, healing and other supernatural gifts were delivered to him personally by the Holy Spirit...and who, with magical scriptures, signs, and ritual formulas, removes the evil stain of original sin from the very soul of the believer, transforms mere bread and wine into “the body of Christ” and “the blood of Christ,” and changes plain water into holy water?

One more question needs to be addressed: How does the witch doctor *gain* and *maintain* his awesome power?

The first step in the establishment of a religious cult is to attract the attention of the people—as many of them as possible. The engineers of Catholic Christianity achieved that all-important end by developing and introducing the concept of a NEW salvation-deity called the Christ, and they supported the divinity of their man-god with miraculous events (the virgin birth, the resurrection, the Ascension) and prophetic declarations (ancient writings purportedly predicting the future appearance of the Christ). Their uniquely Jewish “anointed one,” supposedly a Savior—a divine Being who had descended from a higher world to rescue souls from their fallen condition—was born of a great miracle. After being murdered and entombed, he overcame sickness, sin and death by returning to life. Ergo—he could only be the representation of God Almighty on earth, the Son of God, God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten not made, co-substantial with the Father.

The next step is to establish a place for the witch doctor in the theological scheme of things. Remember what happened when the Jesus (Savior) triumphantly ascended from the grave? Did he *announce* and prove to the whole world that he was truly God? No. According to the biblical scenario, he huddled with his buddies, Peter and the twelve disciples, and instructed THEM to carry the message to the multitudes that, through his Son, Jesus the Christ, God had given the human species eternal life. (After the basic text of the New Testament had been forged, a passage specifying that Jesus had appeared to “more than five hundred” other persons following the resurrection was added to counter the objection that a mere handful of people were not enough to adequately spread the message.) Significantly, the distinction purportedly bestowed upon the disciples by the very Son of God secured a place for the witch doctor in the new

religion and paved the way for the development of the incredibly bloated hierarchies—Catholic, Protestant, Episcopalian, etc.—that were to follow.

The next step in this developmental journey is to establish an inexorable hold over the minds and souls of the people. This is invariably done by denigrating the flock, the “simple faithful”—relegating them in effect to worthlessness. They are poor, sinful wretches who cannot be saved or even begin to live reasonably decent lives from the cradle to the grave without the indispensable ministrations of the witch doctor.

The concept of original sin, the abstract contamination of the entire human species because of the mythical “fall of man” in the Garden of Eden (“In Adam, all die!”), has been the most effective weapon in the Christian arsenal. The power given to the Church by this one monstrous doctrine cannot be overestimated. ALL human beings who *have ever been born*, and who *will ever be born*, come into the world with a “disease inherited from their parents.” The individual’s greatest crime, therefore, is being born. He has not yet sinned on his own, but the seed of sin is within him because he has been conceived in sin. He brings his own damnation from the womb. Can the soul ever be liberated? Indeed, yes; but *only* through the sacrament of baptism, which can *only* be administered by the witch doctor.

What of the truly holy person, born from Above, full of grace? Can he or she conceive a child free of the disease of sin? No. In the entire history of the world, the Christian Establishment tells us, there has only been one Immaculately Conceived human being—Mary, the mother of Jesus the Christ. One can only speculate about when the subject of that conception first came up; but I suspect that, one day, during the early centuries of the Catholic experiment, one of the brighter members of the hierarchy suddenly stopped in his tracks, slapped his forehead, and muttered, “Holy Moses! The Virgin Mother wasn’t baptized!” “Holy Moses!” echoed his startled colleagues. The reason for the consternation? Baptism wasn’t a Christian sacrament when Mary was born. Ergo, she would have been branded with the stain of original sin when she gave birth to Jesus—a horrifying dilemma that simply could not be tolerated. So the “Mystical Body of Christ” (the

Church) put their collective heads together and, in due time, solved the problem with the canonical declaration that the Virgin Mary had been born through the grace of God without the blemish of original sin on her soul. (This is a prime example of Christian voodoo at work.)

Once the brush of baptism has scrubbed the graffiti of the very first sin from our souls, are we then worthy of God’s love? “NO!” thunders the Christian. “Man is still SINFUL and SEPARATED from God, so we cannot know him personally or experience his love. Man needs the BIBLE to speak to this need.” And right there, with Bible in hand and the promise of God’s Word and Love, stands the witch doctor, ready to bridge the gulf between the sinner and the invisible trinity.

Needless to say, one of the most important and jealously guarded duties of the witch doctor encompasses the interpretation and teaching of sacred writings. It was not given to “puny mankind” to understand “the deeper rhythms of holy writ.” For this very reason, Pope Leo III once forbade laymen to read or even to touch the Christian Bible. Not that the Catholics were breaking new theological ground, as it were. They were merely walking in the moccasins of their ritual-intensive predecessors, the Jewish rabbis, who fervently held that “You should learn from teachers and not from books.”

The final step in the formation of an “organized” religion is to increase the power of the witch doctor by the invention of additional mysterious rites, ceremonies, and rigid controls to be used as restraints upon the masses—“seeing that every multitude is fickle and full of lawless desires, unreasoning anger and violent passion.”

Anybody who knows anything at all about Catholic Christianity is surely aware of the fact that the hierarchy of the Christ-cult spent hundreds of years developing a plethora of ceremonial laws that were then codified into canons of religious and ecclesiastical practices—baptism, the Eucharist, holy matrimony, communion feasts, fasts and Sunday laws, penance and unction, priesthood and confession, ecclesiastical regulations and privileges, tithes, pilgrimages and shrines, rituals, incense and vestments, and so on. They even prepared a series of “penitential books” for the purpose of exploring the subject of sex, enumerating the misdeeds, and prescribing penalties for sexual sins

such as homosexuality, sexual intimacy with animals, and the greatest sin of all, masturbation. (So much for the attempt to build a Church on "pure faith.")

Nothing galls the Christ-folk more in our modern age than the fact that ever-increasing numbers of us refuse to see ourselves as inevitable evil-doers, sinners filled with guilt and shame and in need if not of a redeemer, then at least of the consciousness that we *require* redemption.

We refuse to toss reason, logic and science to the winds and accept as fact the story of a God who plants Himself as His only Son in the womb of an earthly female, with His third self, the Ghost, supervising the celestial impregnation, so that He can become human, perform great miracles, attract the multitudes, be tortured and murdered, and then ascend from the grave of death just to prove to the human species that He loved them so dearly, He was willing to sacrifice Himself as His only Son for their salvation.

We refuse to believe that the laws of the universe are fickle—that they can be suspended, reversed, or turned upside down, to provide a *natural* world with *supernatural* events such as a virgin birth, walking on water, and bringing the truly dead back to life. We refuse to believe that a great and good Creator would deliberately manipulate His "immutable" laws just to impress weak and sinful creatures who inhabit the universe.

And we fervently refuse to accept the arrogant assumption that the Gospel (counterfeited) and the Christ (fabricated) can reveal "the true end of life and the true nature of reality."

By rejecting the witch doctor's claims, we have "turned to false idols such as the flag, the marketplace, the universe, the laboratory," etc. thereby "creating our own transcendence and worshipping materialism."

Christians like to believe that the entire history of the world ran its course for the sake of the new religion that began to take root in the Graeco-Roman world around eighteen centuries ago. They are wrong. Christianity was neither a natural development nor a miraculous revelation vouchsafed after a long delay to a world that had been allowed to grow to the point where it was ripe and ready for the creed. Nor was it the one healthy influence in a completely evil world.

Christianity succeeded, not because it represented a great moral revolution or because it was divinely subsidized, but because (1) its promises of salvation—not by "justice" or wise and good conduct, but by some act of sacrifice or purification, intensified by adoration—appealed to the uneducated masses, and (2) the main articles of the faith, already latent in the existing savior-religions of the time, were familiar to the Gentiles of Western Asia.

Today, the rationalist stands on the shoulders of all the great thinkers of the ancient world from Aristotle to Epicurus to Seneca to Zeno. The Christian, on the other hand, stands on the shoulders of the poorest, the most ignorant, the most primitive-minded, and the most demon-ridden human creatures of that day and time.

The saddest era in the history of the Western World occurred when our people gave up the achievements of thinkers and scientists, of artists, philosophers, poets and statesmen, for the revelations of fanatical and wild-eyed prophets and a gospel of worldly renunciation—when they sold their minds and their metaphysical natures to parasitic witch doctors who spend their lives believing the unbelievable and defining the undefinable. □

**THE LATE GREAT BOOK:
THE BIBLE
AN ACCOUNT OF
CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.**

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

SCREWBALL REVISIONISM

The Diesel Lesson of Robert Frens

by
Friedrich P. Berg

Before anyone else jumps onto the bandwagon of praise for Robert Frens and his discovery that Diesel exhaust can kill, they might read my article "The Diesel Gas Chambers: Myth Within a Myth" in the Spring 1984 issue of *The Journal of Historical Review*. They may be surprised to discover that I never claimed that Diesel exhaust could not kill. On the contrary, I certainly did concede that Diesels could have been adapted, albeit with a great deal of technical expertise, for mass-murder. Among the people who have been surprised to learn about my true position on this subject were Ernst Zündel and Douglas Christie. The night before I was to testify in the last Toronto trial, they learned that my position was quite different from what they had imagined—apparently they had never read my work either. Their answer was an ultimatum: either I had to "be flexible" and state in court that "it was categorically impossible to kill with Diesel exhaust," or else I could not testify. I left the next day without testifying.

Although a Diesel-murder-method can be contrived, my argument has always been that any such method would have been "absurd" and "idiotic" because of the difficulty in determining and imposing the proper load on the engine and, even more important, because of the readily available alternative sources of carbon monoxide. The most obvious alternative today would be a conventional gasoline engine. During World War II however, there was an additional alternative, far better even than the gasoline engine. That alternative was the producer gas or *Holzgas* generator which was attached to hundreds of thousands of vehicles in Germany and German-occupied Europe. The generators burned wood or coal with a restricted air supply so as to produce a combustible gas which could then be burned in modified gasoline or Diesel engines. The combustible gas was an alternative to liquid fuel which

was desperately needed by the German military for combat vehicles. Wherever possible, liquid fuels were reserved for combat vehicles which needed for their very survival the higher performance that only liquid fuels could give. Nonetheless, by the end of the war, supplies of gasoline had been so reduced, particularly by the bombing of Germany's synthetic oil refineries, that even some of Germany's most formidable tanks, the Tiger tanks, were driven with producer gas.

Producer gas is poison gas. Each producer gas vehicle was a self-propelled, poison gas generator—each driver had to know that for his own safety. In producer gas the principal ingredient is carbon monoxide and the concentrations range from 18% to 35%—extremely lethal if blown into a space that is not even completely sealed such as a garage—and yet, it has never been claimed that producer gas was ever used by the Germans to murder anyone. Diesel exhaust, by contrast, contains less than 1/2% of carbon monoxide, and usually far less. A serious error which was put into my article at the last minute without my permission was the wording: "many thousands of drivers who drove these vehicles daily." The fact is that the number of such vehicles and drivers for most of the war was in the "hundreds of thousands."

I still regard the following as an important summation of my thesis:

The abundance of the gaswagons throughout German-occupied Europe and the intensity with which the Germans were developing ever newer vehicles and applications of the producer gas technology undermine the holocaust story in general. Had the Germans ever intended to commit mass murder with carbon monoxide, they certainly would have used producer gas long before they would have ever used anything as idiotic as Diesel exhaust.

The Diesel-murder-method has its origin, as best as I have ever been able to tell, in the Soviet propaganda of mid-1943. Shortly before that, the Soviets had been badly stung by the German revelations about the Katyn massacre and the open-

ness with which the Germans welcomed internationally renowned, forensic specialists to Katyn to make their own examinations of the victims. To counter the Katyn story, the Soviets staged show trials in Kharkov and Krasnodar a few months later with hapless German defendants making "confessions;" the Soviets refused, however, to allow non-Soviet experts to even visit the supposed massacre sites. From the very beginning of these trials, the Soviet claim was that the Germans drove civilians in trucks into the countryside, then parked the vehicles and directed the exhaust from the truck Diesels into the rear compartments where the victims died shortly thereafter. In these scenarios, the Diesels would have been operating, at the very worst, at a fast idle—those conditions would have produced barely enough carbon monoxide to cause a headache in half-an-hour. Some of the trucks were described as having been made by the Saurer company. After the war, a single document PS-501 appeared at Nuremberg, but of unknown origin, which implicated the Saurer company and Walter Rauff. The irony of these claims was that the Saurer company had established itself already before the war as the preeminent manufacturer in the entire world of producer-gas driven trucks. During the war, Saurer maintained an edge over all of its competitors—including Mercedes, Opel and Ford, all of whom also made producer gas trucks—with its own 4-1/2 ton truck designs, models BT4500 and 4BTDVS. More than 6,000 of these trucks were built during the war and most, if not all, used producer-gas-driven Diesels. How absurd then that anyone with any technical expertise would have employed the exhaust of Saurer Diesels for murder when the fuel itself was thousands of times more lethal.

For many reasons in addition to the above, PS-501 is a forgery, probably Soviet in origin. There are at least three different versions of the document. One version uses the wording "*Fensterläden anbringen*". Another version uses "*Fensterle den anbringen*" with "*den*" crossed out. A third version uses "*Fensterlä anbringen.*"

In "Death by Diesel" in the December 1990 issue of *Liberty Bell*, Robert Frens takes the position that I am correct about carbon monoxide, but that I have failed to appreciate the highly toxic effects of carbon dioxide in Diesel exhaust. Frens has included a few kind words about my work in an apparent effort to counterbalance everything else he wrote. I have no kind words for Frens. Contrary to the impression he has given at least some people, Frens' arguments and method are far from "scientific."

Already in 1982, Frens was writing people about a newspaper story which claimed a VW Diesel had been used to commit suicide. Frens now claims that a Rochester, NY paper "mentioned" a Mercedes Diesel as the vehicle involved in a suicide and that a *Los Angeles Times* story from 1975 also reported a Diesel suicide. Frens has been talking about Diesel suicides for eight years and still cannot identify even one news story as a reference. One should remember that newspapers often report details incorrectly. Any Diesel suicide stories should be rejected as nonsense unless they are verified by thorough forensic examinations by competent specialists. Diesel engines in any likely suicide scenarios would operate at or near idle when their exhaust is especially harmless because of the abundance of excess air in the exhaust.

On page 19 of the *Liberty Bell* article, Frens quotes me as follows: "At idle, Diesels operate with air/fuel ratios of 200/1. (p.25, Berg)." The actual words on page 25 of my 1984 article are: "At idle, Diesels operate with air/fuel ratios as high as 200:1." This simply means that "some" but not necessarily all Diesels operate with such high air/fuel ratios. Frens left out "as high as" and substituted "of." In the process, he changed the meaning.

Also on page 19, Frens writes:

The surveyed literature commonly refers to an air/fuel ratio of 40/1 as "very lean" and cites no performance data above this ratio. Ratios higher than 40/1 may be achieved by a decelerating engine under low

pressure conditions but this transient state is not pertinent.

That reads as if Frens must know something about the subject but it is rubbish. Air/fuel ratios in excess of 100/1 at a steady and stable idle are common and the sources from the SAE which I cited in 1984 as well as other sources are proof. The SAE papers which Frens cited or "surveyed" are not, as one might imagine, the same ones to which I referred; they are simply papers which he happened to choose for reasons known only to him. The SAE routinely publishes a great deal of highly specialized research, much of which has nothing to do with fuel/air ratios. If Frens had simply looked at Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 of my article, he would have seen many performance data points at air/fuel ratios above 100 to 1 under stabilized conditions.

The fact is that although many Diesels, especially among the two-stroke types, never operate near to 200/1—many others, especially among the four-stroke type, certainly do. One of many sources is *Diesel Engineering Handbook* by Karl W. Stinson, M.E. Professor of Mech. Eng., Ohio State University. The revised 11th Edition, 1963 states on page 47:

High-compression gas engines and diesel engines all ways operate with an excess of air over that required for theoretically-complete combustion of the fuel. In diesel engines the fuel/air ratios, by weight, may vary from 0.005 when idling to 0.06 for full power.

Air/fuel ratios are simply the reciprocals of fuel/air ratios. For example, a fuel/air ratio of 0.01 is just another way of saying air/fuel ratio of 100. A fuel/air ratio of 0.005 is the same as an air/fuel ratio of 200/1 or simply 200.

On page 22 of the *Liberty Bell* article, the following sentence appears:

I take issue with Mr. Berg and dispute his claim that "...the Diesel engine is nothing more than an unusual kind of blower..."

That is not my claim; that is a deliberate misquote. Frens has conveniently left out an essential part of my sentence. The entire sentence from page 25 of my 1984 article is:

As far as the excess air is concerned, the Diesel engine is nothing more than an unusual kind of blower or compressor.

The meaning of the complete sentence is totally different from the meaning suggested by my words taken out of context, and any sane person should know that. Excess air enters and leaves a Diesel engine without undergoing any chemical change whatsoever. It plays no rôle, chemically, in the combustion process. That is why it is called "excess air." Nonetheless, the excess air does do something rather important in the exhaust, and that is dilute the actual products of the combustion process, some of which could otherwise be extremely hazardous.

On the last page of his article, Frens writes:

When was the last time you were trapped in traffic, following a Diesel powered bus? Did those invigorating smells conjure up an image of a harmless "blower" in action? Do you really believe that you could breathe that stuff undiluted, for an hour or so?

Aside from his recurring, false claim that I regard Diesels as harmless blowers, the rest of his arguments are also false. The smell of Diesel exhaust, as bad as it is, has nothing whatever to do with toxicity; the smell bears no relationship to the possible presence or absence of carbon monoxide or even carbon dioxide. Carbon monoxide is odorless and carbon dioxide is nearly so. A room with a terrible smell may be toxic or it may not be toxic; it may contain a great deal of CO or even carbon dioxide—but, one simply cannot tell from the smell. A room full of angry skunks might seem like a dangerous place to anyone trapped inside, but the air would probably be breathable just as a room full of Diesel exhaust from a Diesel operating at less than 3/4 of full load would allow most people to survive for half-an-hour. That is not to say it would be

a pleasant experience or "harmless" or that the people trapped inside would not try to tear the walls apart—they probably would try to tear the walls apart which is, by the way, one more reason for why the Gerstein story is ridiculous.

How can anyone take Frens' lengthy dissertation on carbon dioxide seriously? The answer is that few people will bother to check any of the references—and of those who do some checking, few will read critically. Frens, for example, has apparently never read or found any of the references that I cited in 1984. He has ignored my references to the many therapeutic uses of carbon dioxide, some involving carbon dioxide concentrations as high as 50% in air, and to the fact that the US Navy used 3% carbon dioxide in US submarines for weeks at a time with the oxygen level reduced to only 15%.

Of the references which Frens did cite, the *Merck Index* (his Ref. D.) Section 23 of the Thirteenth Edition does indeed list carbon dioxide as a specific poison but gives no information as to toxic or harmful concentrations in air or any other information except that the symptoms are "dyspnea, weakness, tinnitus, and palpitations" and that the treatment consists of "respiratory support [and] oxygen." Among the substances included in this catch-all listing with minimum information are: aspirin, caffeine, fluorides, iron, moth balls, and tobacco.

Another Frens source (Ref. C) should be identified as the *McGraw-Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology*. The 6th edition, 1987, Vol. 3 says the following about carbon dioxide: "Under normal conditions, it is stable, inert and non-toxic." No information is given about any harmful effects at all. Why he even listed it as a source is anyone's guess. One can be sure, however, that more than one uncritical reader was deeply impressed to see such an authoritative reference cited.

The last source which Frens gives (Ref. E) as a possible medical handbook is *Chemistry in Everyday Life*. This appears to be intended strictly for laymen and high school kids. I could not even find it.

If one wants to be serious about carbon dioxide, one might consider the references which I cited in 1984. In Baker

and Mossman, (East Rutherford, NJ: Matheson Gas Products, 1970), the following information is given on pages 12 and 33:

SIMPLE ASPHYXIANTS

Description

The following gases are classified as simple asphyxiants: carbon dioxide, deuterium, hydrogen, nitrogen, nitrous oxide and sulfur hexafluoride. These gases are colorless and odorless.

Major Hazards

The members of this group are nonflammable (excepting deuterium and hydrogen) and nontoxic but they can act as simple asphyxiants by diluting the air inspired to such an extent that an oxygen deficiency results.

Effects in Man

The effects produced are the same as those produced by the helium-group gases. See section on Helium-Group Gases for description, see page 33.

HELIUM-GROUP GASES

Description

The helium group gases consist of argon, helium, krypton, . . .

Major Hazards

The helium-group gases are nonflammable and non-toxic but they can act as simple asphyxiants by diluting the air inspired to such an extent that an oxygen deficiency results.

Effects in Man (1)

The coordinating capacity of the nervous system is impaired by even slight degrees of oxygen deficiency; the subject can not think clearly or control his limbs accurately. The development of symptoms depends on the degree and duration of the oxygen deficiency and

also on the rapidity with which the deficiency is developed. In sudden and acute asphyxia, unconsciousness is immediate.

(1) Y. Henderson and H. W. Haggard, *Noxious Gases and the Principles of Respiration Influencing Their Action*, 1943, pp. 142-147, Reinhold Publishing Corp. New York, NY.

Henderson and Haggard is a classic and well worth reading. I referred to it twice in 1984 and will quote from it later also.

The following discussion, also in Baker and Mossman, on the effects of carbon monoxide shows how differently a chemical asphyxiant works:

CARBON MONOXIDE

Effects in Human Beings

Acute Effects

Carbon monoxide is classified as a chemical asphyxiant, producing a toxic action by combining with the hemoglobin of the blood to form a relatively stable carboxyhemoglobin. It thus prevents the hemoglobin from taking up oxygen to form oxyhemoglobin, and consequently the body is deprived of its needed oxygen. Since the affinity of carbon monoxide for hemoglobin is about 200-300 times that of oxygen, only small amounts of carbon monoxide in the air will consequently cause toxic reactions to occur.

Carbon dioxide is a simple asphyxiant and CO is a chemical asphyxiant. CO reacts chemically with the blood; carbon dioxide does not.

I did indeed write: "In general, if enough oxygen is available, a carbon dioxide level as high as 12% is not likely to cause death." Frens claims that that statement is ludicrous, but it is not ludicrous at all—it is more than reasonable. I must add, however, just as I did in 1984, that such a carbon dioxide level may cause cardiac irregularity and may, therefore, be dangerous—even fatal—for people with weak hearts.

Rather than attempt to explain in medical terms why my 12% claim is reasonable, which I would not feel competent to try anyway, let me quote from some genuine toxicological handbooks.

In *Taylor's Principles and Practice of Medical Jurisprudence*, Vol. II, edited by Smith, Simpson, Howard, Stafford-Clark and Nickolls (London: J. & A. Churchill Ltd., 1957), the following text appears on page 398 under the subheading "Poisoning by Carbon Dioxide:"

Degree of Toxicity and Fatal Dose. With regard to the fatal proportion of carbon dioxide in the air breathed, it is necessary to make a distinction between the contamination of air by the addition of carbon dioxide, and circumstances in which this gas is produced by combustion or respiration in a close apartment at the expense of the oxygen contained in the air. Every volume of carbon dioxide formed by combustion represents an approximately equal volume of oxygen removed.

When the gas is respired in its lowest lethal proportion—some 20-25 per cent—the symptoms come on slowly, and the transition from life to death is frequently tranquil except for terminal convulsive twitchings of muscle. . . .

. . . It is probable that no danger to life exists unless the proportion of carbon dioxide breathed is well over 25 per cent. At saturations of 60 per cent or more collapse and loss of consciousness may be sudden and develop without any warning.

In *Poisoning: Toxicology-Symptoms-Treatments* by Jay M. Arena, 4th ed., (Springfield, Illinois: Charles C. Thomas, 1979), on page 243:

Carbon Dioxide (Carbonic Acid Gas, Anhydride)

The symptoms of poisoning depend on the concentration and the period of exposure. As a rule no symptoms of note are experienced until the concentration in the air reaches 3%, at which time dyspnea, headache, ver-

tigo and nausea appear. Toxic concentrations are not reached until 10 vol% of the gas are present. At this level there are visual disturbances, tinnitus, tremors, hyperpnea, profuse perspiration, elevated blood pressure and loss of consciousness. With higher concentrations (above 25%), stimulation gives way to depression, leading to stupor, coma, convulsions and death.

In *The Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Poisoning* by J.D.P. Graham (London: Oxford University Press, 1962), on page 216:

An increase of carbon dioxide in inspired air from the usual 0.04 per cent. to 2 per cent. causes little disturbance but above that respiration deepens and then speeds up. Five per cent has a most powerful effect but above the concentration normally found in alveolar air (5.5 per cent.) it causes headache, dizziness, confusion and dyspnoea while continuing to stimulate. Higher concentrations overstimulate the nervous system and become intolerable, while inhalation of 20 to 30 per cent. carbon dioxide in air usually causes a sudden fall in respiratory minute volume due to the onset of inco-ordinated breathing or of convulsions. In anaesthetized persons in whom convulsions are less likely high concentrations of carbon dioxide deepen the coma. Somnolence as a complication of chronic respiratory disease has been attributed to retention of carbon dioxide. The paralysing central action of very high concentrations (40 to 50 per cent) is swift in onset. Amounts up to 10 per cent. cause vasoconstriction, stimulate the heart, speed the pulse, raise the blood pressure and greatly increase cerebral and coronary blood flow. Higher concentrations may cause cardiac irregularity which can bring about immediate collapse.

In *Noxious Gases* by Henderson & Haggard (New York, NY: Reinhold Publ. Corp., 1943), pages 149 and 150 give the following explanation as to how simple asphyxiants actually work.

... The simple asphyxiants are numerous and may be further divided into two types. Those of one type are entirely inert physiologically; they are such gases as hy-

drogen and nitrogen. Those of the other type have some slight specific action, but when they are inhaled in high concentrations they cause asphyxia before this drug action is manifest. The second type of this subgroup includes the lighter hydrocarbons of the aliphatic series such as methane and ethane, and some of the gases which, when administered with oxygen, are now used as anesthetics.

The simple asphyxiants of both types induce asphyxia entirely by excluding oxygen from the lungs; their effect is proportional to the extent to which their presence diminishes the percentage, or rather the partial pressure, of oxygen in the expired air. They all act identically, therefore, when present in equal molecular concentrations. Moreover, they must be present in considerable amounts before they exert any appreciable effect. They may decrease the oxygen of the air to two-thirds of its normal percentage—13 per cent of an atmosphere—before noticeable symptoms of anoxemia develop. A reduction of the oxygen to this degree necessitates the presence of the simple asphyxiants in a concentration of 33 per cent in the mixture of air and gas. When the gas is present to the extent of 50 per cent, and the oxygen is reduced by one-half, a man is soon rendered incapable of making a vigorous effort to escape. About 75 per cent is quickly fatal.

It should be obvious by now that my use of the 12% number in 1984 was quite reasonable. If there is any error on my part, it is that I may have been too conservative since any practical gas chamber would be expected to kill "all" of the people trapped inside, not just those with weak hearts or respiratory problems. I might have argued that the critical concentration of carbon dioxide should be 20%.

Although Diesel exhaust can be harmful, it is not "very harmful;" it is relatively harmless. Compared to any number of other kinds of pollution to which humans are exposed such as gasoline engine exhaust, Diesel exhaust is indeed relatively harmless.

As to Frens' challenge that I or anyone inhale Diesel exhaust, perhaps with a hose in the window of a car—my answer is that it is not a bad idea and that I have done it; it was a thoroughly boring experience. The important question for me has always been not whether a Diesel can kill at idle, but at what percentage of full load it will kill everyone in half-an-hour. A more reasonable but less dramatic test would be to take some laboratory mice and blow Diesel exhaust from an engine under varying loads into the mice cage. Something like this has actually been done by a revisionist medical doctor with no harm to the mice. The doctor, however, did not impose any load on the engine and, unfortunately, he does not want me to reveal his name either. Variations of the test can be performed, at least at idle, by anyone with a Diesel-powered vehicle who does not mind putting a stray cat or mice into the vehicle's cab or passenger compartment. A real test with humans and with significant loading of the engine could be an extremely unpleasant experience because of the smell and could move the experimentees to break-off the experiment quite early in the test. Anyone undergoing the test could be pulled out at the first sign of unconsciousness; at idle, however, they will probably be bored, even by the smell.

Without being too pious about it, let's remember that we are talking about life and death and heed some words of caution. All of my quotations from toxicological handbooks are based on thousands of tests on laboratory animals as well as many tests on humans and upon careful investigations of murders and suicides made throughout the past century. They are not just the result of abstract theorizing in ivory towers. It is precisely because the tests can be life threatening that one should first study the research of experts. Similar testing by amateurs may be disastrous and may prove nothing. Without realizing it, an important parameter may be changed by a reckless amateur. For an example of just how reckless one can be, consider Frens' own suggestion that someone take SCUBA gear filled with 12% carbon dioxide and dive under water. By itself, 12% carbon dioxide is not likely to

cause death—but, common sense should tell us that the dizziness, vertigo and disorientation caused by 12% carbon dioxide certainly can cause disaster underwater—and it would prove nothing at all. No one has ever accused the Nazis of sending Jews into underwater gas chambers with SCUBA gear.

Long ago, when I was only a few years out of Columbia University and still wet behind my ears, I thought that one of the older, far more experienced engineers was making some serious errors in his analysis of a problem. I was openly enthusiastic about my discovery but it soon turned out that he was right and I was totally wrong. I was embarrassed. Rather than pat me on the back to make me feel better, he rubbed in the fact that I had made a fool of myself by suggesting that I should "learn how to walk before I try to run." I have never forgotten those harsh words. Everyone makes mistakes—but, the shabbiness of Frens' work including his distortion of my words and meaning is extraordinary. My advice to Frens is that he not only learn how to walk before he tries to run; he should also do his homework and learn how to read. My advice to revisionists is that they should read much more carefully and much more critically.

John Demjanjuk's life is at stake today because of the Diesel gas chamber myth despite its utter absurdity. The enormous efforts which Demjanjuk, his family and friends have made for so many years deserve our deepest admiration and support. Inadvertently, Frens and his foolishness may have weakened the resolve and commitment of some of Demjanjuk's supporters. Inadvertently, Frens may have hurt an innocent man and his courageous family. □

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE
OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ!**

What True Equality Would Really Mean

by
Allan Callahan

The Jewish-American regime in Washington is committed to equality, there is no doubt about that; the only doubt is in regard to the depth of the commitment. In spite of huge efforts, and the doing of enormous damage, we still don't have equality. And there is some question as to whether or not we will ever achieve it. Still, it is instructive to look into the "Promised Land" of the future, this new egalitarian society that is being built for us, and see what true equality would be like.

Socrates said: "Define your terms." So, to really achieve equality, we must first see what it means, and there is no better authority than the dictionary, which defines it as "balanced," "uniform" and "evenly proportioned." We can see right off that we are still a long way from the goal of equality, because people are far from fitting the dictionary description. To be truly equal they would all have to look alike, and act alike, according to age and sex. This will take very carefully controlled breeding, over a long period of time, but white Americans may eventually go along with it, because not to do so would be discrimination, and this offends the tribal god that the Jews created for them. Can you imagine a beautiful, fair-skinned, golden-haired white girl not wanting to bring muddy-looking offspring into the world? Egads! If there is anything which gets the Big Jew in the Sky into a towering rage, it is this, while nothing is more pleasing to him than seeing a black buck squiring his white wife and mulatto offspring around town.

Now, some will protest that Washington only wants to bring about "equality of opportunity," but this is only a half-way measure, which will not work. Innumerable examples could be brought forth, but one will suffice. Suppose a 110 lb man wants to play pro football. There is no law which says he can't, but no team would hire him; no

coach would give him a second glance. He is way too small to compete; would get hurt out there on the field, or even killed. Yes, in a sense he has "equal opportunity," because he can go and apply at any team's office, but this is meaningless, because he can't make the grade; his size is against him.

However, if all males were the same height and weight, at a given age, and had the same abilities, any young man could play pro football. And look at the wondrous results that would obtain if all Homo sapiens were as alike as peas-in-a-pod. As they grew up, they would all develop in lock-step. Not one head would rise above another. In a math test of seventh graders, for instance, all scores would be exactly alike. In a foot-race between 15-year-old boys, all would cross the finish-line at exactly the same time. You name it. In any contest between true equals, there would be no deviation from the norm.

If there is one notion that Washington cannot abide, it is the idea of "white supremacy." The white man cannot be supreme anywhere. He must not live among his own kind, but must forever be bringing in non-whites to share his territory. The coloreds will see to it that whites are then both bred and squeezed out of existence. A new, dark mud-man will take their place. So, instead of "white supremacy," we will then have *mud supremacy*. The muds will control the U.S.; they being the only ones left. And they will all be equal, because they will all be physically and mentally alike.

Just think what this new society will bring about. There will be no more rivalries over race, because racial differences will not exist among the dark, peas-in-a-pod type Americans. There will be no more rivalries over mates, because all men and women will look alike. There will be no more rivalries over abilities, because all abilities will be the same. Truly, the Millenium will have arrived.

There is one thing wrong with this new society. It would be so boring, dull, uninteresting and stultifying that no one could stand it. □

PLEASE REMEMBER:

Your subscription to *Liberty Bell*, your book orders, and your regular contributions are our life-blood. Help us keep *Liberty Bell* ringing and proclaiming the truth. Your continued support is needed and will be greatly appreciated!

Dear Mr. Dietz,

I've been reading the article "Physician Extraordinary" by Dr. Revilo P. Oliver. While I'm interested in the entire article, of particular interest is the discussion on pages 11 and 12, footnote #14 regarding Britain. I'm just a beginner at learning about historical issues. Wish I had even a small fraction of the knowledge and understanding that Dr. Oliver has. But if a beginner doesn't have opinions, how will she learn?

The question of why Hitler allowed Britain to survive is interesting. I believe he had a good opinion of them. To me, the incident raises my estimation of Hitler. I think it's Britain that is the disappointment. From my Irish background I've never viewed the British as being among my favorites. But in regard to Europe, I think Britain could have adjusted to a changing Europe. Could have seen the value of working with Germany to both countries' mutual benefit. Britain hasn't had a leader comparable to Hitler.

The following comments are a general response to the article "What is a Hate Crime?" by Dr. Charles E. Weber in the January 1991 issue of *Liberty Bell*. Someone such as Dr. Weber could better put into words what I'm trying to say, But I'll try.

Would that every White family in America could have a copy of the article. This quote is by Dr. Weber: "The wise, gentle, suffering non-Aryan contrasted to the evil, ignorant Aryan has become a standard plot in motion pictures and on television."

I believe there should be information and support groups and organizations readily available to all White people in the United States. There isn't anything in this letter that I am saying that is anti-black. I'm not saying against black people.

Recently I worked for an agency of the Federal government. My purpose in mentioning this experience is to call attention to the fact that there is a need among White people that must be remedied. At the agency where I worked there appeared to be continued overwhelming pressures put upon the White management employees by blacks and other minorities.

54 - *Liberty Bell* / June 1991

LETTERS to the EDITOR

To deal with the situation, in desperation the management did what they could to appease blacks. They seemed to reason that it was government money and they would give as much as they could to blacks and do whatever they could to prevent blacks from filing a complaint against them. They seemed to be saying—I'll do what I can to keep my job. It's every White person for himself.

Whites need to find among themselves people who will show leadership in recognizing and solving the needs that Whites have throughout the United States.

Not long ago I joined the Ku Klux Klan. I'm at the beginning steps—a member at large. My main reason in doing so was not as an act against black people or any other race. Rather, my main reason for joining was to gain information and for moral support. And among other things I've become aware of since joining the KKK is what Dr. Weber refers to in his article about Aryans being portrayed negatively on television programs and the very real effect this propaganda has on White people.

In situations where it's a matter of "every White person for himself," and blacks are given preference because of alleged past discrimination, it's Whites who have no position of authority, power or influence who lose out. They are the ones who are sacrificed. These Whites especially need to have information and support to deal with their situation.

There doesn't seem to be any real sense of direction in the United States regarding the racial situation. But one thing is sure—there has to be a better strategy by the leaders in this country than simply one to single out White people in the population to be sacrificed to make up for alleged past discrimination.

Sincerely,
Mrs. M.S., Kansas

☆☆☆

Dear George,

I hope this finds you and the *Liberty Bell* family well in mind and body. *Liberty Bell* is, as always, a highly valued publication; I particularly like Dr. Oliver's writings. Enclosed is a \$15 contribution. My best wishes to you and the whole *Liberty Bell* family for 1991; I hope you can successfully overcome any enemy attempts to silence or

Liberty Bell / June 1991 - 55

harm you and that you can keep the *Liberty Bell* magazine coming.
For Truth and our Race, sincerely,
E.A.S., Missouri

☆☆☆

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Well, the year went so quick, and the first year's subscription was so good that I have to renew.

In April I'll be ordering a vast number of items. Take care, and remember that the whole of New Jersey is as bad as Asbury!

Yours sincerely,
D.T., New Jersey

☆☆☆

Dear George:

Greetings to you and family. As you know, your work is still the best and most informative.

To discuss our cause with some of the younger generation is enough to blow your mind. I feel sorry for so many of our good people who work so diligently at researching and writing.

Sincerely yours,
J.L.L., Minnesota

☆☆☆

Dear Mr Dietz:

...*Liberty Bell* is an excellent publication and one that should be in the hands of every U.S. citizen. To watch the Zionist/Fabian Socialist "complot" at work in the Persian Gulf area just as they did in Europe (in two wars), Japan, Korea, Vietnam, Grenada and Panama is truly frightening, with an outcome being highly predictable. *Liberty Bell* is one of the few publications that is telling us the truth on this side of the Atlantic.

With warmest personal regards, I remain,

Fraternally,
Dr. H.W., Maryland

☆☆☆

Dear Mr. Dietz,

...It's highly depressing how Americans merely repeat what the media spit out daily—I mean what's going on in the Middle East. The New Jersey fools are going butts with flag displays and yellow

56 - *Liberty Bell* / June 1991

ribbon fever! Are people as gullible in West Virginia? Well, I'm glad I'm not in my teens or twenties anymore.

Take care and know that a man in New Jersey is on the side of *Liberty Bell*!

Your Pal,
D.T., New Jersey

☆☆☆

Dear George,

Enclosed is \$100. for my 1st class renewal and for a 3rd class subscription for a friend. I would also like a copy of *The Anti-Humans*; please keep the change for expenses.

I just received a copy of *The Best of Attack* and *National Vanguard*. If I had only known that these kinds of publications existed, my life would have been different. I knew from personal experience in Berkeley, California that the Jews were devious but I had no idea of the extent of their onslaught against Western Civilization.

Trying to get the average American to read and think is, of course, frustrating. Most are so overwhelmed by the massive amount of evidence they refuse to examine it because to do so would be to admit to themselves that they had been suckered for the better part of their lives.

Thus they prefer to remain cowards rather than face up to the situation we all find ourselves in via the Jews. The wonder is that there is *any one* around at all who is willing to continue to try—day in and day out—to bring knowledge and understanding to a nation of fools and cowards.

But if there weren't there would be no hope at all as opposed to probably very little. Thank you so much for your efforts.

As I mentioned previously, I have just moved to the Medford-Grants Pass area of south-western Oregon. This area is beautiful, people are pretty sharp and independent—many having fled the urban centers of California. Should you find time to come out for a visit, George, I should very much like to visit with you. I think you would like this area. It is growing moderately, but will probably never be crowded because it is so spread out. The countryside looks quite a bit like Bavaria.

Sincerely,
M.B., Oregon

☆☆☆

Liberty Bell / June 1991 - 57

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Over the years you found some of my letters to you of interest to the readers of *Liberty Bell* and published them, but at the bottom you put only my initials to protect me from being detected by the Kikes and their agents. This precaution is not necessary in my case. I have never in my life let the Kikes think of me as a friend but, on the contrary, let them know exactly what my feelings were. In the future let my name be known, let the Kikes have it on their roster, although I am quite sure it is already there. I enclose a cheque for the renewal of my subscription.

Yours truly,
Gheorge Scurtu, Florida

☆☆☆

Dear George:

For the life of me, I cannot understand the concern of those shortsighted people who are constantly bemoaning the imminent demise of the White Race from planet Earth. In geologic terms, the loss will be meaningless. So we go the way of the dinosaur, so what? In time, an entirely new race will emerge that will be vastly superior to anything that exists today. They will be more skilled and better educated than the haphazard mass of humanity that is currently occupying space and consuming valuable resources without contributing anything in return. The anthropological record that our race once passed this way will be summed up by some future, man-like archaeologist with the following admonition to his post-mo-ronic graduating class:

So that, my dear children, was all that remained of our White ancestors. They represented the high-water mark of humankind during the mid-20th Century. But they were a biological anomaly. It was their misfortune to have been unable to compete in the very society they created, for in it, they sowed the seeds of their own destruction. They were overrefined, you see. They were too specialized to compete in the antipodal jungle they so willingly encouraged to develop in their midst. They called this practice, *Civilized Behavior*.

Now let this be my lesson to you. Should you ever hope to attain that highwater mark again, see to it that your progeny never drink Pepsi for breakfast, that they never wiggle to the soulful beat of Rap, that they never allow sexual deviates to mingle among them, and that they never, never succumb to the lure of the Holocaustorians who, with tearful mirth, will besmirch them with the fable of *Liberty, Fraternity, Equality!*, as they shackle them to the chains of economic servitude.

And alas, dear brothers and sisters, always stay with you own kind. Your forefather have spent the past 2,000 years in a careful selective breeding program to bring you back up the point of memorizing the alphabet. Most of you can now read *Little Red Riding Hood* and some of you have been able to master Nancy Drew novels. With luck, in another thousand years or so, you may be able to understand the writings of Professor Oliver, Nicholas Carter, and William G. Simpson. But you must be patient for now. Remember, Rome wasn't built in a day. With history to guide us, we shall never again make the same mistakes our ancient ancestors made during those fateful, waning years of the 20th Century.

Oh yes, there is one more thing I must tell you. Remember the Holocaustorians I mentioned a moment ago? Well, they had a very strange influence on our early White ancestors. They made them pig-headed and turned them into sheep, figuratively speaking of course. Yes I know that sounds strange, but it is true. They became a people who, under the total domination of these genetically flawed egocentrics, lost only their nerve, but their will to survive as well. Their loss could have been averted had they not been so pig-headed that it was impossible to reach them with any semblance of reason or logic.

Believe me, those pig-headed, sheep-like people were so intimidated by the blusterings of the Holocaustorians that they accepted Hell on Earth as they prayed fervently to escape it's reality for the solace of Eternal Life in the Hereafter. Not that many of them had ever thought much

about the consequences of a life of eternity, mind you, but they were constantly making plans to go there rather than concern themselves with the affairs of the world into which they were born. They had this thing they called *faith in the unknown* you see, something recent history has taught us to be very wary of lest we again fall victim to the ensnarements of capricious men.

And now, my precious little ones, I must not risk taxing your young, developing minds any further. Just remember all that I have taught you about that ancient civilization and continue to learn from their mistakes. The year is now 3991 and the earth is turning green again. By year 4991, which is a mere 1,000 years from now, our racial future should be very bright indeed. With that, I will leave you. Tomorrow you will be entering a new phase of your development so, *be all that you can be!* Thank you.

So you see, George, not to worry. Time has a way of solving everything and geologic time, as you know, is virtually endless. The moral? People will refuse to learn, see or hear until the price of slavery become so high as to be unbearable. And that time is well nigh at hand!

By the way, enclosed is my annual subscription fee for *Liberty Bell* plus an order for another book or two. Gosh I'm so glad I learned to read before "they" took total control of our educational system.

*Most sincerely yours,
Jess Malcolm, West Virginia*

**THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD
THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!**

AUSCHWITZ:

**An Eye-Witness Report
by Thies Christophersen**

**Foreword by Manfred Roeder, Order No: 01017
single copy \$3.00 + \$1.50 for postage, 5 copies \$12.50
+ \$1.50 for postage. Order from: LIBERTY BELL
PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty and White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**