



**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

### AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

## THE RAPE OF THE WEST

by Nicholas Carter  
page 37

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

*Professor Revilo P. Oliver*

POSTSCRIPTS:

A Portend, page 1

Sanity in South America, page 5

Letters to the Editor, page 15

Allan Callahan

Was Rockwell Wrong?, page 25

Dr. Charles E. Weber

Developments in 'Holocaust' Revisionism  
Since 1983: A Brief Summary, page 29

Harold Covington

A Gap in the Passing Crowd, page 53

Crisis Paper #30

The "Principles" of George Bush, page 57

VOL. 18 - NO. 6

FEBRUARY 1991

Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY	\$ 4.00
THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only	\$35.00
FIRST CLASS-USA	\$45.00
FIRST CLASS-all other countries	\$50.00
AIR MAIL - Europe, South America	\$60.00
Middle East, Far East, So. Africa	\$65.00

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 COPIES	\$ 22.00
50 COPIES	\$ 90.00
100 COPIES	\$150.00
500 COPIES	\$600.00
1000 COPIES	\$900.00

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

## POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

### A PORTENT

By the time this note appears in print, Bushy may have wrought enough slaughter and destruction to enable him to strut in glory as a War Lord—under the watchful eyes of his superiors, of course. But as I write, in the middle of December, there are a few indications that would justify a provisional and cautious optimism about the viability of our species.

Despite the screaming of the Jews that the American boobs have a moral duty to conquer Iraq for Jewry,<sup>1</sup> the Americans, according to all reports that have come to me, were showing little enthusiasm to sacrifice their lives and property for the Master Race. It would seem, therefore, that they are not totally incapable of learning from painful experience.

In 1917, the nation was easily crazed with righteousness and rushed eagerly to fight (in a “war to end wars”!) to make the world safe for the Jews’ democracy. When the next world war was started twenty-one years after 1918, much less than half of the Americans were inspired with a righteous blood-lust, and it was necessary for the loathsome energumen called Roosevelt to arrange for the destruction of the American fleet at Pearl Harbor to work up the needed bellucosity. If Bushy is planning to start the Third World War, now overdue, since forty-five years have elapsed since 1945, he will have to arrange a much more sensational *casus belli*, if he thinks American approval desirable.

1. Particularly shrill screams emanated from the editor of one of the Jews’ most recent purchases, the once mildly American and respected *U.S. News and World Report*, which they continue to publish under its old and now mendacious name.

A French periodical published what purported to be the American plan to have twenty thousand American troops killed at the beginning of an attack on Iraq. Judging from the attitude of the public thus far, no matter how loudly the newspapers yell, it will take much more than that to whip up enthusiasm for ruining the country and sacrificing American lives.

What was, in present circumstances, truly astonishing, there was a major newspaper, the *Sentinel* of Orlando, Florida, which dared to be American. It published a series of brilliant editorials that pointed out the obvious fact that Americans have no conceivable interest in who controls Kuwait or the Persian Gulf, and no legitimate excuse for meddling in Arabian affairs.

The most significant gain, however, was the realization forced on the American people that they have nothing whatsoever to say about what will be done with their lives and their property. Their opinions and desires are all otiose and nugatory. If their masters want a war, a war they shall have, with all its consequences. That realization of our helpless servitude should have given pause to even the happiest moron among us, and must have made our more astute fellow slaves wish they had kept a country of their own, when they had one.

Americans can no longer refuse to see the ineluctable consequence of their fatuity since 1913 in obeying every gang of Jews or Jews' stooges who told them, "Come, little boobies, stick your heads in the noose and we'll do you lots of good." They now exist in the abject slavery to which they prepared to subject themselves in 1913, when they approved the White Slave Act, commonly called the Income Tax.

If the slaves aspire to freedom now, I do not know what they can do. No hope, of course, is to be placed in the Congress that the Jews boastfully describe as the best their money can buy, even though its members are a little miffed by the War Lord's contemptuous disregard of their vanity when he regarded them as not worth consulting. If Ameri-

cans show a reluctance to impoverish themselves and die for God's Race, Bushy, as I pointed out in the October issue, has only to say "Emergency," and terrorists from his own Criminal Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Intimidation will haul Americans suspected of rational thought from their homes and kill them, and perhaps their families, in the streets or, if more sadistically inclined, put them in concentration camps in which the offenders will learn what existence was like in the Soviet Gulag or in the camps to which German soldiers were confined, after 1945, by the foul mongrel called Eisenhower.

There were two significant events in Chicago on 8 December. The Populist Party, which I have mentioned several times, held a rally to protest the plans for a war in the Persian Gulf. A total of eight (8) stalwart members of the Populist Party appeared for the scheduled rally, and stood for a while, embarrassed, under the eyes of about fifty policemen, who had ready three "Black Marias" for prisoners. The police, according to one account, had been sent to overawe the Populists; according to another account, the police were sent to protect them from an anticipated riot by the screaming zombies our enemies can always call out on any occasion. I hope the second version is the correct one.

At the same time, a loose association of "leftist" (subversive) and other organizations also held a rally to protest the waging of war in the Persian Gulf. It was attended by a crowd which the press estimated at four thousand (4000). Some police were on duty, but there were no reported disorders.

A rather large but unestimated proportion of the four thousand consisted of niggers, who were naturally concerned for the welfare of their relatives in Arabia. According to a naval officer who professes to have observed the camps in Saudi Arabia on the border of Kuwait, 65% of the regular army consists of niggers.

Another source estimates that females form 25% of the regular army, more than the 17% given in official statistics.

What percentage of the females are Congoids or mulattas was not stated. The use of females as uniformed "soldiers" is characteristic of, and obligatory under, all Judaeo-Communist régimes, including the government of the United States. When the Americans still controlled this country, that was one of the aspects of Communism they regarded as most repulsive, but now that they are a subject people, they no longer have standards of their own.

The regular army may contain a few "élite" units, but if the war comes to hand-to-hand fighting, the multiracial and bisexual mass will run across the desert faster than a si-moon. That explains why it was necessary to rush to Arabia the almost entirely white National Guards of quite a few states to form a fighting force that will stand its ground.

I have seen a press report about the rally of four thousand, but have no certain information about it. I have no reason to suppose that it was planned to facilitate a tactical measure when the war is under way.

Unless you are fairly young, you will remember the time when a crook from Texas, who, supervised by his Jewess, had climbed into the White House, found in Vietnam a pretext for killing many young Americans, maiming many others with wounds or tropical diseases in a fake war, and squandering a large part of the resources of the American people to accustom them to ever increasing slavery as taxpayers. The boobs were told that the purpose of the débâcle was to "fight Communism," although the obvious purpose was to promote the Judaeo-Communist conquest of the world, as became obvious to everyone after the planned defeat that finally terminated a folly, which was, from any American point of view, simply insane.

You will also remember that during the years in which that obscene orgy was in progress, Americans who perceived that it was simply an act of treason by the government that was supposedly theirs were neatly prevented from public opposition by the clever tactic of inciting mobs of the mindless zombies produced in the public boob-hatcheries to "dem-

onstrate" and riot against the "War in Vietnam," which they denounced, not on any rational grounds or with the slightest consideration for the young Americans who were sacrificed by a military strategy designed to produce the greatest number of casualties, but because some precious Oriental enemies were being harmed.

I doubt that such a tactic will be needed this time. It is possible, of course, that Bushy's Jewish masters will be content with the ruin of Saudi Arabia, which assuredly cannot survive the massive American invasion of its territory and humiliation of its rulers. After the invaders withdraw, that unfortunate land will become a revolutionary chaos.

If, however, Bushy gets the real war he wants, he will have little need of, and probably will not even want, the approbation of his subjects. He has the power to rule the boobs by terror, as did those paragons of Jewish democracy in Russia, Lenin and Stalin, and as Bushy's colleague, Gorby, is preparing to do again.<sup>2</sup> That kind of rule, surely, would yield him the most fun.

## SANITY IN SOUTH AMERICA

You will be glad to know that the singularly courageous little periodical, *Temple*, which I mentioned in these pages in December 1984 and November 1988, is still being published by Dr. Gastón Ortiz Acha (Unión 1087, Oficina 501, Lima, Perú). No. 77 of the current series, which reached me in November, contains eight articles in its four tabloid-size pages.

The article that will first attract your attention is entitled (I translate, of course), "The Most Loathsome Hireling 2. Needless to say, all the gabble about an "end of Communism" and "liberalization" of Russia is simply a screen to hide the obvious fact that, as I pointed out from the first, Gorbachev is systematically concentrating in his own hands all of the powers that Stalin once enjoyed, perhaps appealing, as Stalin did, to Russian nationalism to facilitate his progressive seizure of one power after another. The only question is whether he is doing so with the approval of the Army, which has the power to depose him by a *coup d'état*, if it so wishes. That is a point on which I shall comment obiter in the next article.

in the World." The subject is Bush, "a bacillus in the bloated gut of the Jewish imperialism which, through its Marxist and Capitalist derivatives, keeps the entire planet in helpless subjection." The picturesque description of our War Lord, the servile vassal of both the Jews who reside in the United States and the Jews who reside in Israel and Russia, identifies him as part of the Trilateral Commission, "an organism created by the Rockefeller clan of the international vampires, the Jews who dictate the internal and external policies of the United States, a country whose inhabitants imagine that they are free, but which is only a jail filled with prisoners who are, just at present, well-fed, but whom international Jewry keeps psychologically captive, manipulating them to serve its every whim."

A second article, "The Case of the Persian Gulf and Bush's Hypocrisy," shows that the Vampire race simply ordered our War Lord to run his dogs into Arabia to ruin the authentically Semitic power of Iraq, which became formidable because the same Jews supplied it with arms and money to destroy a possible Islamic unity between Iraq and Iran, with the result that Iraq now has a large, battle-seasoned army. Bush is recognized as just one of the "American" Presidents who have sent their drugged and enslaved soldiers all over the world to fight and die for the Sheenies. Dr. Ortiz, however, still nourishes a hope that the American slaves will finally revolt and condignly punish their domestic enemies and the traitors who serve them. Well, *¡ojalá!*

As you see, Dr. Ortiz, from his watchtower in Peru, has perceived accurately the present condition of the United States, apart from his optimistic hopes, and his perspicacity extends to the rest of the world. There are articles on the activities of the Jews' hirelings in France and South Africa. In the latter there is a nice phrase about "That wretch, Mandela, who has even gone so far as to call the Sephardic Jew, Fidel Castro Ruz, a champion of human liberty, and

who is now riding in state on the necks<sup>1</sup> of American, French, and Canadian imbeciles, and is applauded by the Jews' figureheads, such as the Thatcher woman and the renegade Bush, and by the Jews' steward, Mitterand."<sup>2</sup> The renegade, De Klerk, who is now President of the self-doomed<sup>3</sup> land, is identified not only as a traitor, but specifically as paid by Oppenheimer and his gang.

There are two articles on Russia. Dr. Ortiz, needless to say, ignores the time-worn rumor, now put into circulation once more, that the Jews have lost control of their first total conquest. That canard was first invented in 1923-24 during the struggle over the succession to the bloody Jewish mongrel, Ulyanov, alias Lenin,<sup>4</sup> between three unspeakable creatures who were disguised as Russians, Apfelbaum, alias Zinoviev, Rosenfeldt, alias Kamenev, and Dzhugashvili, alias Stalin,<sup>5</sup> with the balance of power evidently held by the

1. Since *costillas* means both 'ribs' and 'property,' a *costillas* is a kind of pun here, meaning both 'closely beside' and 'at the cost of.' Our idiom 'on the necks of' approximates that meaning.

2. On Mitterand's use of "subliminal suggestion" on television to procure his reelection, see *Liberty Bell*, September 1990, pp. 1-3.

3. See *Liberty Bell*, December 1983, pp. 5-10, where I suggested that the nation's suicidal mania was the result of a rotting of Aryan minds by a disease comparable in its effects to kuru. Since the fatal infection produces no physiological symptoms, it must be spiritual, i.e., Christianity.

4. Everyone knows that "Lenin" had not even a drop of Russian blood; according to official records, he was the son of a Mongol (Tatar) by a Kikess (i.e., fully a Jew by orthodox definition, which considers the race of the father as irrelevant), and I think that is probably correct. There is no real support for the story that he was all Jew, son of a Goldman, adopted by the Ulyanovs, and it is negated by the fact that "Lenin's" brother had inherited the same murderous instincts, but was fortunately executed before he had much chance to put them into practice.

5. Dzhugashvili claimed to be a Georgian, but may have been at least partly a Jew; see *Liberty Bell*, February 1988, pp. 56-59, where I hoped that some reader would have a working acquaintance with Karthli and so could confirm or refute the statement that his name indicates Jewish ancestry in that language. His favorite butchers were two Jews, Yagoda (later Beria), who was head of the Secret Police, and his factotum, Kaganovich, a Yid who had not even assumed a Russian alias. On

vicious Jew, Bronstein, alias Trotsky (Rosenfeldt's brother-in-law). Since "Trotsky" had lived in New York, whence he had led a cargo of blood-thirsty vermin shipped to Russia in 1917 under the protection of Woodrow Wilson, no one could have mistaken his race, but some gullible persons, deceived by the Russian names assumed by the other three, assumed that some or all of them were Russians and so imagined a racial struggle within the Jews' first great colonial possession.

The canard gained credence in 1929, when Bronstein popped out of Russia, having either lost to "Stalin" a struggle for supremacy or, by agreement with him, emigrated to propagate his race's spiritual poison by having it vended in two flavors, "Stalinist" and "Trotskyite," for the delectation of simple-minded Aryan "intellectuals." And the canard has been repeated at every opportunity since then. It should be worn-out by now, but fish never lose their appetite for hooks concealed in worms. It now serves to facilitate a mass migration of Sheenies to the United States to join their fellow tribesmen in pauperizing their American boobs.

Dr. Ortiz ponders the question that has occurred to everyone: Why does not Gorbachev (whom Dr. Ortiz calls a Jew, as is quite likely, although I know of no valid evidence) simply use the overwhelmingly powerful Soviet Army to suppress, with delightful slaughter, any discontent in Russia, which is the heartland of the Soviet Empire and the only country that counts in it?<sup>6</sup>

the latter, see the recent biography by Stuart Kahan, *The Wolf of the Kremlin* (New York, William Morrow, s.a. [1987]), which discloses more than it conceals. Dr. Ortiz simply lists "Stalin" as a Jew.

6. Some reports from Russia affirm that the Army, "living on the fat of the land," is in fine fettle and eager for action; others contend that it was "demoralized and disunited" by the retreat from Afghanistan, although it left that country securely under the control of a Communist puppet-government. Current speculation centers about the recent prominence of Colonel-General Boris Gromov, who was in charge in Afghanistan. According to the *Sunday Times* (London), 9 December 1990, he was exalted by "saturation coverage on state television." and

His answer is that (a) Jews are so universally and deservedly hated by the Russians that some diversion of their anger had become necessary, and (b) the economy had become so disorganized and corrupt that it was requisite to put on a show to provide Gorbachev's colleague in the United States with a pretext for making his American serfs finance the Judaeo-Communist rule of Russia, as they have done so often before, beginning in 1921.<sup>7</sup>

Dr. Ortiz believes in the reality of the economic chaos reported from Russia, e.g., by a reporter named James Blitz in the *Sunday Times* (London), 9 December 1990, who reports that an abundance of food, theoretically to be sold in the state stores, is virtually all cornered by corrupt administrators and gangsters and can be obtained only in "black market" stores at 1500% of the official price, thus producing widespread hunger and starvation.

Dr. Ortiz suggests a possible eventuality that I have not seen mentioned elsewhere: a "conquest" of Russia by the efficient Jewish satrapies in "capitalist" England, France, and Germany, which will take over and provide "democracy," probably in some way that will satisfy the Yiddish lust for mass-massacres of *goyim*.

Many other conjectures about the future of Russia are possible, but we need to hold fast to the only certainty, that

now is "the most popular general in the Soviet armed forces." He has now entered the government as Deputy Minister of the Interior. Some experts, taxi-drivers in Moscow and professors in British universities, believe that, when the time comes, Gromov will use the elite corps of the Army for a *coup d'état* against Gorbachev. If that is so, then Gorbachev's "liberalization" and "democratic tendencies," with the economic chaos reported from Russia (e.g., in the feature article in the *Sunday Times* cited below), will have served the purpose of demonstrating to the Russian masses that everything was better under strict Communist rule, and this would fit the position taken by Dr. Ortiz. Alternatively, Gromov's rôle may be to help establish Gorbachev as an autocrat (note the recent resignations of some of his supposed opponents with a corresponding increase in his own powers) and virtually a new Czar. That would also fit.

7. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, February 1989, pp. 26-27.

Yahweh's Yids will remain firmly in control of the country they conquered by deceit and terrorism many years before they were able to start pushing the Semites out of Palestine and eventually Asia Minor.

Beyond that point, it will be hazardous to make assumptions concerning what is now happening in Russia, because, as always since 1918, we cannot ascertain how much of the "news" that is printed is organized lying by the prostitutes of the press. That lesson is emphasized by the recent publication of *Stalin's Apologist*, Walter Duranty, the *New York Times's* Man in Moscow, by S(ally) J. Taylor (Oxford University Press, 1990). The lady makes it quite clear that Duranty deliberately lied for Stalin in his despatches from Moscow in the 1920s and 1930s, and was congratulated by Stalin for "having backed him to win" from the first. She is certain that the mongrel rat<sup>8</sup> was not a Communist, since he had no 'ideology' and indeed no scruples or principles whatsoever, except self-advancement, and she indicts him for the crime of having misled the Western world about the horrors of Soviet rule, particularly Stalin's murder of millions of Ukrainians by forcing them to starve to death. She does not see that, aside from winning Stalin's patronage,<sup>9</sup> Duranty was pleasing his employers, the Jews who owned the *New York Times*, often called the Slushbuggers. She also failed to con-

8. It is evident from photographs of the creature's ugly and sinister visage that he was not an Aryan. Although Mrs. Taylor claims to have spent ten years in research for her long book, she does not inform us what genetic strains produced the degenerate; he could have been partly or even entirely Jewish. He was a drug-addict, amateur of occult and even overtly Satanic hocus-pocus, squat, bald, and ugly, but was nevertheless able to seduce a very large number of supposedly respectable women, who must have been devoid of both taste and self-respect. For many other details concerning the personality and career of this scabrous creature, see Mrs. Taylor's book. She incidentally notes that William Shirer, a champion liar about Germany, naturally called Duranty "the greatest of correspondents to cover Moscow."

9. Mrs. Taylor does not tell us whether Stalin paid Duranty in cash, women, drugs, or sadistic pleasures—or, perhaps, all four. Although the journalist professed to have been shocked by the carnage he saw in France during the First World War, it is likely he derived a spiritual satisfaction from human suffering and death.

sider the fact that there were still honest reporters in Russia in those years, the late Malcolm Muggeridge and, more importantly, Robert Wilton of the *London Times*, but the Jews had already attained such control over the press,<sup>10</sup> and the malice of fledgling "intellectuals" intoxicated with "social justice" and hatred of their betters was so great, that truthful reports about Jewish rule of Russia were discounted and ignored by the stupid British and Americans, who lapped up the swill put before them by their covert enemies. Had Duranty been an honest man, he would have been similarly ignored. He chose to please the Masters of the World, and there are many like him today.

In his article, "The Ferocity of the Jews in Palestine," Dr. Ortiz notes that the Yids, who, at the expense of their serfs in Europe and North America, are now raping Palestine for the second time with the sadism innate in their race, are merely repeating what every Christian knows they did before. "From the Bible itself it is obvious that the Jews are merely criminal usurpers of Palestinian territory. Jews who had come from Egypt, and from Babylon before that, took for themselves the land that was owned by the Arabs [i.e., the Canaanites]<sup>11</sup> just because they coveted it and without the slightest right to it."

10. A clear illustration of the extent of that control is shown by the fact that Butterworth, the British publisher of Wilton's book, mutilated it by omitting the most telling references to the world-conquering Sheenies, and Wilton had to set the record straight in his French version of his book, *Les derniers jours des Romanof*.

11. The term 'Arab' is now currently, but mistakenly, used to designate all Moslem Semites, who now speak Arabic or a dialect of it because that was the language of the Koran and is the language of their religion, law, and culture. The word should be reserved for descendants of the inhabitants of the territory that is now Saudi Arabia and adjacent lands when Mahomet founded his religion on the basis of Jewish myths. The rightful inhabitants of Palestine (and of Iraq) are Semites and speak a dialect of Arabic, but as a nation they are an ethnic conglomeration of various Semitic strains, in which Arabic blood is only a small fraction. — The ancient Canaanites were Semites, closely akin to the Phoenicians, but it would require much discussion and speculation to try to identify the ethnic strains within a nation that was divided into many small independent kingdoms.

That the Jews simply invaded and captured Canaan, a land to which they had no conceivable title other than the reported promises of a bloody god who was viciously egging them on, is clearly stated in the Christians' holy book, and so provides an excellent example of their proficiency in what Orwell called "double-think." Our ancestors escape the moral edge of that reproach: they credulously accepted the Jews' tales about an armed conquest of Canaan as an historical record, but having done so, they credited the Jews with the only valid right to a territory, the right of conquest. The gravamen of scorn falls on our contemporary salvation-peddlers and their dupes. They are forever chattering about the wickedness of "aggression" and "violent solutions," but hypocritically do not denounce or even deplore naked and barbaric aggression against the Canaanites by the world's trouble-makers, even though it is described in what they consider an infallibly accurate story.<sup>12</sup>

Dr. Ortiz's lead article is naturally devoted to his own country, which, he says, is so dominated by the Apristas that its only hope is that its military men still possess the resolution and power to save the nation, as they did so often in the past.

My older readers will remember the time when the Peruvian "Apra" (= *Alianza Popular Revolucionaria Americana*) set our simple-minded "intellectuals" atwitter with enthusiasm for a "native and genuinely American program of Social Justice" and a gorgeous "alternative to Communism." Its doctrine, supposedly invented by a professional trouble-maker who sonorously called himself Victor Raúl Haya de la Torre, was, as I wrote in 1960, just a "prescription specially compounded by Soviet pharmacolo-

12. The tale about a military conquest of Canaan is a patently implausible fiction, as was recognized by the Jews' great apologist, Philo Judaeus. One of the major historical problems before us is this: Whence came the marauders whom the Canaanites called Hebrews ('aliens')? What genetic stocks entered into their racial amalgam? What was their religion, and what language did they speak before they slithered into Canaan and stole their victims' gods, their victims' language, and their victims' country?

gists for the local market, with a strong racial flavoring to attract Indians and *mestizos*." It naturally also attracted American do-gooders, ever eager to meddle in the affairs of all other countries and to excite subversion, rioting, civil war, anarchy, and murder.

It would be pointless to summarize the "doctrine" of "Apra." It, like the "doctrine" of Mordechai, alias Karl Marx, is just sucker-bait concocted to excite childish minds of "intellectuals" who prize verbiage above reality.

There is some excuse for a populace's insatiable appetite for sucker-bait. They (including many persons who have advanced university degrees in some lucrative speciality) have received only a rudimentary education, usually have their minds muddled with superstitions, and have a slothful reluctance to undergo painful exercise of what powers of reason they may innately possess. Their naïve willingness to assume that the noises made by the mouths of politicians have some relation to the scoundrels' beliefs and intentions merely demonstrates the absurdity of the farce called "democracy." But when a nation is dominated by individuals who profess to have had a superior education and to possess powers of ratiocination, but are befuddled by the verbal hocus-pocus of Marx, his Peruvian imitator, and the whole jabbering horde of crypto-Bolsheviks called "Liberal intellectuals," one despairs of the future of a race that has become imbecile and is no longer viable in a world in which only the biologically fit will survive. □

THE BOOK THAT MADE THE JEWS SO MAD  
THEY HAD TO INVENT THE MOVIE HOLOCAUST!

### AUSCHWITZ:

An Eye-Witness Report  
by Thies Christophersen

Foreword by Manfred Roeder, Order No. 01017  
single copy \$2.50 + \$1.50 for postage, 5 copies \$10.00 +  
\$1.50 for postage. Order from: LIBERTY BELL  
PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA



## **WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE**

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, unifying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the rôles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$17.50 (which includes \$2.50 for postage and handling for the softback edition) (Order No. 22003).

## **DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?**

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980—except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling). Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**  
**Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

## **LETTERS TO THE EDITOR**

Dear Landsmann:

Well, we now have the war in the Middle East I spoke of for so long. I didn't know exactly when or how it would be done, but the genesis of it is clear: the 1973 war. All the previous wars were a lot of fun for Israel. In 1967 they massacred 119,000 Arabs for a loss of a mere 600 Jews. But 1973 was not so much fun. Nearly 10,000 Jews were killed and 30,000 wounded. In the first week of the war Israel lost over 100 jets and 800 tanks. They only won out because they were completely reequipped by the U.S. In the aftermath of this war it was decided that two things had to be done. First, the U.S. would have to be brought in to fight the next war for Israel. Second, the oilfields must be stolen from the Arabs.

From the time of the first oil hoax on, a plan to accomplish these two things was set into motion. First the Shah of Iran was overthrown by the U.S. and Britain acting in concert and Khomeini was installed. Then Iraq was egged on by the U.S. to overthrow Khomeini which led to the Iraq-Iran war, which the U.S. and Israel then prolonged for nearly a decade by supplying arms and money to both sides. At the same time Egypt was threatened with atomic attack by Israel and forced to make peace and put on the U.S. payroll. Next, Syria was chastised in the 1982 war and half of Lebanon stolen for Israel. At the same time the whole U.S. Army was redeployed and strengthened for the Mid East war with the setting up of Carter's RDF, which was gradually increased to the 6 division Central Forces Command. It is hard to tell now whether Iran or Iraq was the initial target of this force but the intent was clear: to put a U.S. Army in control of the Gulf oil fields.

About three weeks ago the hate propaganda of the Jewish press was put into high gear. King Hussein of Jordan commented on 21 July that it was just like the propaganda preparation for the 1967 war. At that time the Jew papers came out with the code words that Hussein of Iraq was the "new Hitler." In other words, he was designated Enemy #1 of the Jews worldwide. Even before

this the U.K. and U.S. took a number of aggressive measures against Iraq, cutting off exports and loans for commerce. It is clear now that Iraq was goaded into acting against Kuwait by its undercutting the OPEC price by selling oil as low as \$12 per barrel at a time when Iraq desperately needed money to fend off the Israeli attack Hussein knew was coming.

It is clear now that the U.S. attack on Panama was a rehearsal for Iraq. In particular, Bush was first humiliated by the Jews foiling his clumsy coup against Noriega to see if he was to be goaded into action by humiliation. He was, and the Israeli controller of Noriega dumped him and fled the country on an Israeli C-130. From then on it was only a matter of goading Iraq into action and then letting the pre-planned operation take its course. The secret Jew hand was what smoothed out all opposition and suddenly made the U.N. and even Turkey and Saudi Arabia agree to let American troops in. From the moment the massive U.S. invasion of Saudi Arabia began, the war was certain.

What next? At the time I write [11 August 1990], the first elements of the American invasion force are occupying the port of Saudi Arabia and the airfields of Riyadh and Dahrn. Iraq clearly never had any intent of occupying Saudi Arabia but the American invasion may force such a move as a defensive measure. If not, U.S. troops will build up until some incident is manufactured to begin the war. Iraq is doomed regardless. It will be "bombed back to the stone age," as North Vietnam never was. Kuwait and Saudi Arabia will be permanently occupied by Jewmerican troops. Sometime during the war Israel will invade and conquer Jordan and expel all the Palestinians into it. Probably the pretext will be to "help America" in the war or to "forestal an Iraqi invasion." Whatever the excuse, Jordan will become a Jew puppet state and a slave labor pool for Israel. As for the U.S., we've lost the war already. We will pay for the war, we will suffer the losses, we will suffer the oil hoax, and we will occupy the Mid East for Israel from now on. What use is the destruction of Iraq to us?

Right now Bush is posturing like Imperial Ceasar trampling on the Egyptians. The one question is, what happens if the U.S.S.R. puts a spoke in this game? If the U.S.S.R. intervenes,

Bush will go from posturing bully to a puddle of slime. Right now the Soviets are laughing at this lunatic U.S. war and profiting from it mightily as the #2 oil and gold exporters. They may well be letting Bush have enough rope to hang himself. I well remember that 4 of the 7 secret conditions for German reunification dealt with a U.S.-U.S.S.R. war in the Mid East.

That there is going to be a shooting war is certain. Having worked 15 years to set up the present situation, Israel is not going to allow the situation to be settled peacefully. It has already stated it will attack Jordan if any Iraqi troops enter it. They have thus already declared that they consider Jordan their property. At any time Israel can claim that it spotted Iraqi troops entering Jordan and attack. Who will ever be able to prove otherwise?

I personally knew that the war was near because of the economic situation. It is clear that the debt bubble began to collapse last September 15th when Campeau Corp. defaulted on its junk bonds. Since then the Junk Bond market and then real estate has collapsed. A third oil hoax and a Mid East war was needed to enrich the banks and justify the Jews' depression. The government was barely holding the markets up and I knew some action had to be taken soon.

The only question is whether the war will begin now, with an Iraqi preemptive strike (like the Boers launched in 1898 against the British in South Africa) to delay the U.S. build-up or whether Iraq will wait until the whole U.S. force arrives and the Jews manufacture a pretext for the fighting to start. Either way, Iraq is doomed. If they had any sense, they would strike first, before the U.S. build-up is complete. Iraq could easily push aside the weak Saudi forces and smash the U.S. troops and Planes there now. If they don't, the war will simply be delayed until Israel is ready for it. Make no mistake, there will be no peaceful solution. War is certain. Die for Jewry, American pigs! Again! Take a look at the media and you'll see that the fix is in. Not one media outlet dared mention that Iraq is only doing the same thing the U.S. did in Panama seven months ago. Not one Liberal "pacifist" dared question what the U.S. is doing invading the mainland of Asia 11,000 miles from the U.S. No Vietnam style treason will be

allowed here. This is the Jews' war! I haven't seen hate propaganda like this since WW II! In WW II nobody in Britain dared ask what business the Polish border was of England's. Now, nobody dares ask what business the status of Kuwait is of the U.S.'s. Incidentally, a look at the map will tell you that Kuwait is part of Iraq, split off by the British for their own purposes. And for this Americans are going to die? Use of nuclear weapons has already been mentioned since America's ground forces are pathetic.

Another thing the media don't mention is how the U.S. government, already running a \$300 billion deficit, is going to pay for this war. I estimate it costs \$50,000 to send one GI to Saudi Arabia. The papers are already talking of a 250,000 man force. Then there are the inflationary effects of the oil hoax. Oil is already at \$27 per barrel compared to \$18 a few weeks ago. Clearly the U.S. has no way to finance this war except through inflation and the theft of Arab funds (like Kuwait's). I estimate that inflation was already running at a 15% rate this year and this new stuff will come atop of that. Anyone who doesn't already own gold or silver should buy some. Anyone in debt had better get out of it quick if they want to survive the inflationary depression to come. If you want to see what will be done in America as the financial crisis unfolds, have a look at Brazil. The Jews usually practice whatever they plan to do on a small scale before implementing it. Panama was the practice for Saudi Arabia and Brazil was the practice field for America economically.

Please note—another thing the papers won't dare mention. It is Bush who is cutting off our oil, not Sadam Hussein of Iraq.

Sincerely,  
R.S., New York

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George:

I used to have the idea that Dr. Oliver was maybe an educated nut who didn't have the intelligence to handle knowledge he had accumulated, but after reading *America's Decline* I can see that I was wrong. He has it all! He is probably one of the most wonderful men in the world, if not the most wonderful. I have read an awful lot myself and he is always bringing up books that I have read. It seems that he has read everything that was ever

18 — *Liberty Bell* / February 1991

written and the most wonderful thing is his memory. He remembers what he has read...

Now I see in the *Spotlight* that Israel wants to have the computer it will need to make the H-Bomb and that Bush will probably give it to them. If he does, it will be the worst thing anyone has ever done to our country. It could be the death of every white person on the North American continent.

I wish you would let Dr. Oliver read the article I wrote and see what he thinks of it. He may not know about the underground Washington D.C. the Jews are digging down there. I have never seen one word anyplace except what you told us several years ago. I thought maybe you had been wrong but I asked my nephew who lives there. He said that the contractors are working feverishly on it and that they call it "Operation Foggy Mountain."

Well, I won't be here to enjoy it as I will soon be 88 years old and thank God I have no children to leave it to. I do think the white race is the greatest race on earth. If it had never existed, where would we be now? I doubt we would even have electricity. If there had never been a white race, how long do you think it would take the niggers in Africa to put a man on the moon. Probably never.

So keep up the good work, George. We need a lot of people like you and it is a shame that more people don't know you exist.

So long,  
A.H., Ohio

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz,

Thank you very much for printing Charles Weber's review of my book. *Liberty Bell* is the ideal format for a discussion of such a book, given its anti-Christian position. I'm enclosing a copy of my letter to Dr. Weber which was in response to his review. We have since become correspondents.

Thank you also for your book order. I hope you sell a lot of them.

It is apparent that the forced war in Arabia will bring about arrests of nationalists. You are probably as aware of this as anyone. My wife and I took a nine-thousand-mile trip around the country

*Liberty Bell* / February 1991 — 19

this summer on which I spoke to groups of men who have read my book. Our host in Ohio, Lee Hayes, a veteran of the *Pueblo* incident, was informed by a contact in the Columbus city hall that the Secret Service was investigating him for terrorism! We were followed in and around that city by agents, probably federal. I'm enclosing an article from a Medford, Oregon patriot newspaper which describes a near incident there. We were also followed in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho and my wife was nearly abducted in Post Falls while I was holding a discussion. We were tipped about the intended arrest in Medford. The patriots there had goofed and advertised my talk! FBI agents stupidly told a local talk show host that they would arrest me at the meeting. They'd gone to the radio station to obtain tapes of past shows in order to make voiceprints of the callers! The host is not friendly to us, but the word got out.

My current project is a book to be entitled *Our Struggle*, and will be much more direct than even *The New American Man*.

Yours truly,  
J.B. Campbell

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Sirs:

Someone said a democracy is a Jewish dictatorship.

With their money power and spider-web-like legal system the Jews are well protected in a democracy.

Strong nationalist leaders are anathema to them. Their paranoid shrieking about Hitler for fifty years or more attests to this. They fear any system that they cannot control.

What they want is a New World Order which means undermining the sovereignty of all nations and welding them into a One World Government with Jewish Nationalism as its core center. It is to be nationalism and racism for the Jews and internationalism and mongrelization for the rest of us.

It is doubtful that President Bush understands all this, which brings to mind Oswald Spengler's remark: "People today would be shocked if they fully realized the incompetence of their leaders."

Yours truly,  
J.J. McC., Washington

Dear Mr. Dietz,

...I may not agree with your views on Christianity but it is a pleasure to read articles and letters written by White folks with a genuine concern for the welfare and preservation of our race.

Best wishes,  
Mrs. P.L.R., Washington

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Sir,

Please renew my subscription to *Liberty Bell* as I don't want to miss out on any of the good news. Also I have enclosed a book order.

I pray for a new beginning....when men and women embrace one another with respect and stand together, firm, under one Leader, for one Reich, as one People. I pray for the time when the limitless blue sky will be our flag, and the revolving sunwheel will be our emblem. Because such is the destiny of those who remain pure. Such is the destiny of the whole world.

Thank you so much for your quality education.

Z.J.U., California

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed the galley proofs for *Bulletin 54*. I was pleased that you reproduced the Confederate note and that it came out well. The original was a somewhat worn specimen in my collection of historical bank notes. I have already had some good responses to *Bulletin 54*.

I was sorry to see Harold Covington attacking Ben Klassen and his Church of the Creator and I suspect that his attack is unfounded. [Anyone wishing to read the "attack," please send \$1 for postage & copying fees.] I hate to see such dissention amongst our small, pro-Aryan forces. I think that Klassen has written some valuable things.

Today [13 November 1990] I received a letter from a physician in Maryland who wrote: "As to *Liberty Bell*, it is considered a bible of revisionism and truth. The articles by you and Professor Oliver are of particular importance." Well, I suppose that should make both of us feel a little better.

Sincerely,  
Charles Weber

Dear Sir,

Your publication deserves a lot more than my small contribution, but I give it to you with all my heart.

Merry Christmas, A Happy New Year and all the best for you and your family. Keep up the good work!

D.M., New York

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear George Dietz & *Liberty Bell*

Thank you very much for your excellent and courageous periodical. I think it is one of the best and most plainspoken we can get at present. And the eminent writers, Professor Oliver, Dr. Charles Weber, Maj. Joseph Stano, Ernst Zündel, and all the others. It is a great pleasure to read their articles. (I beg your pardon for the errors I do in my English writing.)

Because I am only a number and a name in your subscription file, I must introduce myself a bit for you. I am a pensioner now, but in my young days I was a member of the Norwegian National Movement, and during WW II I was, together with about 8,000 Norwegian volunteers, in the Waffen SS by the Eastern Front, and was, after the German surrender in May 1945, taken as a POW by the US Army.

The day of the surrender, our division—the 16th SS Division “Reichsführer SS”—was under transfer from the front line by the Hungarian-Austrian border in southern Austria, and up to the battlefield south of Vienna for a last attempt to stop the Red Army. The beautiful city and cultural center, Vienna, was already bombed to the ground and taken by the Bolsheviks. When we got the message that the war was over we made our course westward to the Alpine mountains and over a high mountain pass where the snow lay 3-4 feet deep. It took us seven days to get over there because of the bad road on the top. It was a whole army on that march, hundreds of thousands soldiers, with trucks and cars. Our goal was the American occupied territory in western Austria, because we had very little desire for the Russian slave camps, and thought it would be better to go to the civilized [*sic*] Americans.

But life turned out to be hard enough in the American POW camps. In three months I went down from 75 to 55 kilogram in

22 — *Liberty Bell* / February 1991

weight, but saved my life, in spite of the fact that we had very, very little to eat, and all the time stayed outdoors on open ground and fields, without any shelter at all. In the end of our imprisonment we began to fear that the intention and the purpose was that we should be starved to death.

I suppose that we owe the good American General George Patton who—as I understand it, was in charge of the command in the Bavaria region, where we in the end were “encamped”—a debt of gratitude for the fact that we were set free (released) after only three months in POW-camps. A million or so of other German soldiers had to wait for years to be set free. And about one million German POW’s lost their lives in the slave camps, as we can read in the book by James Bacque, *Other Losses*.

We can now learn the truth from James Bacque’s book, that it was a planned starvation and death for the POW’s, after a scheme formed by the Wall Street Jew, U.S. finance-minister, friend and head adviser to Roosevelt, Henry C. Morgenthau. A plan that General Eisenhower agreed with and tried to carry into effect.

Here in Europe we have in the last time seen great events taking place, in the way that the East European countries are throwing off all the communist regimes. It could be wished that we had something of the same here in the western countries; that we could get a change in the Jew-controlled forgery of history, brainwashing, the race-mixing program, the rampant corruption, criminality, drug traffic, the stupefying, etc.

Respectfully,  
H.J., Norway

\*\*\*\*\*

Dear Mr. Dietz:

I know that many stupid Americans let their male children be circumcised, and I supposed that the same would be true with the Englishmen. I did not know though that the Royal family would do the same. Now I found out that they do.

I just finished reading *The Jewish Book of Why* (copyright 1981) by Alfred Kolatch, published by Jonathan David Publishers, Inc., 68-22 Eliot Ave., Middle Village NY 11379. On page 16 it says:

“In England, the Royal House has a long tradition requiring that all male children be circumcised by the Jewish mohel of

*Liberty Bell* / February 1991 — 23

London." It explained previously that "Although a mohel is not a medical doctor, he is a trained specialist with wide experience in his field, much more than any doctor or surgeon is likely to have."

At first I could not believe that, but after pondering for a while I concluded that that might just be true. There is one thing though that still does not sound kosher to me. It says "a long tradition." How long could that tradition be? It would be very interesting to know. Could Dr. Oliver or Nicholas Carter elaborate on that?

*Yours truly,  
G.S., Florida*

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Landsmann:

...Bush seems to be going ahead with a planned attack on Kuwait. If so, the U.S. will suffer a severe defeat. Only the USAF is fit for war and that may be nullified by the threat to Israel from Iraq's A-bombs. It would take 750,000 men to defeat Iraq and 2-3 years of war. Here in America the Bush oil hoax and the threat of war seem to have pushed the banks over the edge. There is a run on the banks and Chase Manhattan may disappear in the next few weeks. Indeed, there may soon have to be a general "bank holiday." That has been coming for a long time but the current events seem to have pushed things over the edge.

Here in New York AIDS is still doing wonders. Today a niggeress on the Board of Education admitted 3 members of her family had died of AIDS and another had it. Jew faggots are dropping like autumn leaves.

In all this the reunification of Germany has a vital part. I mean it has a vital part in the Mid-East war. I know that the agreement for the reunification of Germany between West Germany and the USSR specifically mentioned a Mid-East war and exactly what was to be expected of Germany during it (total neutrality and the internment of US troops!). There is something on the vastest scale occurring here. The whole post WW II settlement is breaking up.

*R.S., New York*

---

## WAS ROCKWELL WRONG?

by  
*Allan Callahan*

George Lincoln Rockwell believed that the best hope for a white racist movement lay in the United States, rather than Europe or any place else. I admire Rockwell greatly, and know that he did much, and suffered a great deal (eventually paying for it with his life), to get things off and running, but believe that he may have been wrong on this point. Europe, to me, seems to hold the most favorable opportunities.

Of course at the time he died the racial situation in the U.S. had not deteriorated so badly. The big changes started in the '70s and '80s (Rockwell was assassinated in 1967); Imbecilities like our accepting the refuse from Castro's jails and insane asylums had not yet taken place, the brown invasion from south of the border had not yet turned into a flood, and non-white immigration in general had not yet gotten out of hand. So he had reason to be more optimistic.

There is not much reason for optimism now. If present trends continue, America will become half colored by the middle of the next century, and whites will make up just 40% of the U.S. population by the year 2100. A few more centuries after that they will be only a tiny minority.

The situation in Europe is quite different. Already heavily populated, it isn't likely to open the door very wide for colored immigrants. Right now, the threat of massive *white* immigration, from the East, is setting off alarm bells, and the thought of hordes of dark invaders pouring in would be far more frightening to Europeans, no matter what they might say. Also, the race problem there isn't as bad. Some countries, like England and France, have quite a large percentage of non-whites, but they are still not as bad off as the U.S. The other Western European nations are better off, and the East Bloc nations are better off still, being mostly all white. Russia is an exception, having a large Asian

population in parts of it, but the old Russian heartland is heavily Caucasian, and nowhere is there any negro problem to speak of.

America, on the other hand, will let in any featherless biped that can stand upright and grunt, and the darker, the better. A Pandora's Box was opened up in 1965 when the old system of national quotas was abolished, and a new system put in its place, which stressed "family reunification." In practice it meant that the most recent immigrants had first choice on the available visas, and they used up nearly all of them to bring in close relatives from Asia and Latin America, and this became a huge tidal wave. Most Europeans were shut out.

It is obvious that the congressmen (and they are mostly still white, at this time) who make and vote on our immigration laws are ignorant of racial truths. And they are afraid. Afraid of being called "racists" or "bigots" (although these words are easily blunted, these worthies haven't the foggiest idea how to do it). And even though their own race is being both squeezed and mongrelized out of existence, they dare not lift a finger to stop it.

Most Europeans don't seem to be quite this brainwashed and intimidated yet, and more than a few of them may be snickering up their sleeves at the idiotic and cowardly way white congressmen are behaving over here. And while the racial situation is generally getting worse in America, there are a few bright spots (no thanks to our government). There are now said to be nine to ten "White Student Union" types of organizations on U.S. college campuses, while not many years ago there weren't any. And Afro-American studies departments are shriveling up at some universities. Also, a small but growing trend seems to be developing among blacks themselves to have their own colleges. They already have some, of course, but they say they need more, because integrated education is not working. Still, the U.S. is pressing ahead on most fronts to integrate the races, while the European countries are not so gung-ho about it. But the factor most favorable to the white man in Europe is the aforementioned population density. There are already plenty of people there, so it would be the height of folly to bring in swarms of blacks, browns or Asians.

To be sure, the more contact Europe has with America, the more chances there will be for the race-mixing virus to build up in the European genepool, but this threat is not shaping up to be anywhere near what it is over here. Most of the future contact Europe will have with non-whites (with the negrified U.S. Army scaling back and maybe moving out) will be with trade representatives, government officials, entertainers and tourists, rather than immigrants.

Europe is the homeland of the white man, and it may turn out to be his last bastion. At one time he spread his wings and flew far and wide, put down roots to establish colonies and countries, and planted his bloodlines here and there over much of the globe. But now he is in retreat, not so much because of the strength of his opponents, but because of his own muddled thinking. At one time both Canada and Australia offered high promise but now they, too, have changed their immigration laws.

History sometimes springs great surprises, and this is what I am hoping for, for my own people. They may yet wake up, and turn things around. But if they don't, I believe the white man will be gone from the U.S. within 300 to 500 years, except for traces here and there. Things are not as bad in Canada and Australia, but bad enough, as they have a lot of room for more immigrants, and I am afraid too many of them will be coloreds. Still, their situation is not as grim as it is here.

It has been predicted that by the year 2100 the white race will constitute only 1% of the world population. But if the racial makeup of Europe and the Russian heartland can remain pretty much as it is now, along with that of Caucasian Siberia, I believe the percentage will likely be higher than that.

While I think that Rockwell may have been wrong in believing America offered the best hope for a white renaissance, and I see very little to be optimistic about at present, it does not mean that things here are hopeless. In fact, the bad situation might spur us on to greater efforts, which allow us to turn things around, in the end.

We white Americans should start feeling like underdogs, and underdogs sometimes have the edge because they try harder. Even though our efforts right now may seem plodding at best, we should keep in mind the importance of maintaining a steady pace

(while remembering who the winner was in the Aesop fable about the race between the tortoise and the hare). Calvin Coolidge gave us some words worth remembering:

Press on, nothing in the world  
can take the place of Persistence.

Talent will not; nothing is more common  
than unsuccessful men with talent.

Genius will not; unrewarded genius is  
almost a proverb.

Education will not; the world is full  
of educated derelicts.

Persistence and Determination  
alone are omnipotent.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### FOR MY LEGIONARIES

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$10.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003; single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00

**For postage and handling add:** On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issue \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**

**Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

## DEVELOPMENTS IN 'HOLOCAUST' REVISIONISM SINCE 1983

### A Brief Summary

By

Charles E. Weber

Since the appearance in 1983 of my small propaedeutic book, *The 'Holocaust' / 120 Questions and Answers*, quite a few significant developments have taken place in correcting popular, widely propagated misconceptions about the history of the Jews in Europe during the Second World War.

The importance attached to maintaining these misconceptions is demonstrated even by the efforts of the United States government (often called Zionist Occupation Government by its critics) in this area. Let us mention two manifestations of these efforts which are especially noteworthy. One is the notorious judicial misbehavior of an agency of the United States Justice Department, the Office of Special Investigations, which has had a hand in causing the deportations of aged men accused of war crimes. Notable examples have been John Demjanjuk, a former worker in the automobile industry, who was deported for a show trial in the Jewish state in Palestine, and Andrija Artukovic, former Minister of the Interior of Croatia. The latter died in captivity in Yugoslavia. It is encouraging to note that there have been courageous Americans who have protested some of the abuses of the OSI, including even the widely read commentator and columnist, Patrick Buchanan. Buchanan, who is also widely viewed on weekly television broadcasts transmitted by the Public Broadcasting Service, has expressed his doubts about the evidence presented against Demjanjuk in Jerusalem. Demjanjuk's cause has also been aided by other American citizens, such as Mr. Jerome A. Brentar of Cleveland. In view of the positions of these men and what they have to lose, one can only admire their courage and idealism, traits present in a number of other men who have challenged the "Holocaust" material. The large salaries of the leading employees of the OSI are listed in our *Bulletin 30*.



A second manifestation of the efforts of the United States government in this area is the publication of a book which vividly demonstrates the subservience of the United States government to Zionist objectives, a subservience also now demonstrated in an ominous and frightening manner by the presence of hundreds of thousands of young American men in the area of the Persian Gulf, tens of thousands of whom might not be alive a year from now. The book to which I refer is *Days of Remembrance*, a guide for commemorating the "Holocaust" published by the Office of the Secretary of Defense. This book purports to be a directive for commemorative "Holocaust" observances throughout the American armed forces and is introduced by the portraits and endorsements of President Ronald Reagan and Secretary of Defense Carlucci. We described and criticized the contents of the first edition of this book (1988) in *Bulletin 25*. A considerably expanded edition appeared in 1989, this time with portraits and endorsements of President Bush, whose faithful service to Zionism is a costly burden to taxpaying Americans, and of William Howard Taft IV, Deputy Secretary of Defense. Both editions were "produced with the assistance and cooperation of the International Center for Holocaust Studies of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith and the United States Holocaust Memorial Council."

On 4 July 1984 a costly act of arson was carried out against the offices of the Institute for Historical Review in California. Its huge stocks of books were almost completely destroyed, along with records and manuscripts. The persons who committed this crime have never been apprehended and it seems that no great effort was made by authorities to apprehend them.

Not only in the United States have desperate, unscrupulous efforts been made to maintain the credibility of the "Holocaust" material in the face of growing doubts and criticism. In France Professor Robert Faurisson, a brilliant and fluent critic of the "Holocaust" material, was recently beaten by thugs to within an inch of his life. Such attacks demonstrate the importance which Jews and their organizations attach to silencing any critics of the Extermination Thesis. Brutal physical attacks and slander now seem to be the chief methods of intimidation for silencing such

critics, while open debate about actual historical facts is generally avoided by advocates of the Extermination Thesis. The governments in Bonn and Vienna, for complex reasons of their own, also use heavy-handed tactics against any historian who dares to speak out against the absurd distortions and falsehoods of the "Holocaust" material. Wilhelm Stäglich, the author of one of the most important books on the "Holocaust" question, *Der Auschwitz Mythos*, has been denied the use of his *doctor juris* title after a long legal process. Ironically, this denial was based on a law signed by Adolf Hitler in 1939 and never repealed. In 1986 an English translation of this important book was published. In another instance, a doctoral degree was denied to the French historian Henri Roques, who had written a dissertation on the fraudulent "confessions" of Kurt Gerstein. Although his dissertation had been accented, French education officials intervened to revoke his doctoral degree on procedural pretexts (*Journal of Historical Review*, spring 1988, pages 5-23).

It is hardly necessary to point out to the discerning observer that such unscrupulous, unfair or criminal acts only serve to emphasize the essentially fraudulent nature of the "Holocaust" materials.

We can speak of a vast "Holocaust" industry which has grown up in recent decades. It seems to me that the growth of this industry has been, in part, an attempt to provide a propagandistic and psychological counterbalance to the growing disgust throughout the world—even in some Jews—at the Jewish mistreatment of the Palestinians. The amazing dimensions of the "Holocaust" industry are described in a revealing book published by the United States Holocaust Memorial Council in 1988, *Directory of Holocaust Institutions*. A total of 99 museums and other types of institutions are described in the book, of which we gave a summary in *Bulletin 30*. The largest of such institutions is the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, with a listing of 45 persons on its staff.

Still another manifestation of the desperate efforts of Jews and their organizations to counter the critics of the "Holocaust" material are the tremendous—indeed, almost unbelievable—production costs (well over \$100,000,000.) of the two television series, *The Winds of War* and *War and Remembrance*. Ironically, the

chief actor in the two series, Robert Mitchum, disturbed his Jewish masters greatly by weakly expressing some doubts about the "Holocaust" material during an interview. We summarized and discussed these two series in some detail in an article in *The Liberty Bell* of May 1983 and in *Bulletins* 32 and 37.

In spite of the tremendous political and economic power and psychological factors lined up against historians who have dared to protest against the absurdities and falsehoods of the "Holocaust" materials, during the past seven years there has been quite a bit of activity on the part of honest historians who have objectively investigated the "Holocaust" question. Are they having any effect? An *ADL Research Report* of the summer of 1989 would seem to imply that they are. It mentions over 70 persons of various nationalities whom it alleges to have engaged in some sort of revisionist activities or another, including such well-known figures as Patrick Buchanan, David Irving and Phyllis Schlafly. All of these people should feel honored, even if that were not the intention of the Anti-Defamation League. (For details of the *Report* see *Bulletin* 42.)

During the past few years a great amount of research, information and opinion on the "Holocaust" question has been published in three periodicals that deserve particular mention: a weekly, *Christian News*, a monthly, the *Liberty Bell*, and a quarterly, *The Journal of Historical Review*. The courageous publishers of these journals deserve the gratitude of all fair and objective people who wish to learn the truth about the history of the Jews in Europe during the Second World War. A list of the articles in the *Journal of Historical Review* (1980-1987) was given in our *Bulletin* 17. Articles which appeared in the *Liberty Bell* since 1983 are listed in our *Bulletin* 43. The following *Bulletins* of our Committee are devoted wholly or in considerable part to the examination of the Extermination Thesis: 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 25, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 37, 38, 42 and 53. Of these, 2, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 25, 30, 31, 32, 34, 37, 38, 42 and 53 were republished in the *Liberty Bell*, 9, 13, 21, 25, 32 and 42 in *Christian News* and 31 in *The Journal of Historical Review*.

In 1983 and 1987 two large, noteworthy books were published which dispute the "Holocaust" material. The first one, by Walter N. Sanning, *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*, is a detailed statistical study of Jewish populations before and after the war. The second book, published by Liberty Bell Publications in 1987, is titled *Made in Russia: The Holocaust / A Collection of 'Eye-Witness' and 'Survivor' Fairy Tales* and written by a man going by the name of Jack Ketch. The author presents copies of many documents from Allied war crimes trials and points out their absurdities and improbabilities in short comments. He also demonstrates the crudely unfair trial procedures which violated legal traditions that have been respected in civilized countries for centuries.

There can be little doubt that some of the most significant developments in "Holocaust" revisionism since 1983 were the result of the trials of Ernst Zündel in Toronto. Zündel republished a small book refuting the Extermination Thesis, *Did Six Million Really Die?* For doing so he was prosecuted on the basis of an old, virtually unused law which provided for punishment for knowingly spreading false news likely to do social harm. I was a defense witness at the first Zündel trial in February 1985 and recorded my impressions of it in an article in the September 1985 *Liberty Bell*. A far larger work on the first trial also appeared in 1985, *The Great Holocaust Trial*, by Michael A. Hoffman II. The first trial resulted in a guilty verdict by a jury consisting of people who appeared to me to be subservient and not very bright. The first trial was so unfairly conducted that a five-judge appellate court unanimously set it aside. A second trial followed, again resulting in a guilty verdict (now being appealed) but also resulting in sensational evidence against the Extermination Thesis, the most important of which was the result of an on-site examination in 1988 of alleged locations of mass exterminations in Auschwitz, Birkenau and Majdanek. The examination of these sites was led by Fred Leuchter, an American expert on the construction of gas chambers used for executing criminals. Leuchter's conclusions concerning structures alleged to have been used for mass gassings were based on observations of the structures and brick samples which were later chemically analyzed for remnants of cyanide

radicles. So damaging to the Extermination Thesis was Leuchter's testimony that the renowned British historian, David Irving, changed his opinion on the "Holocaust" question to the extent that he reversed his previous writings on the topic in his books. Irving, however, has been criticized for his belatedness in assessing a mountain of evidence against the "Holocaust" material. Leuchter, alas, is now being harassed in legal proceedings which supposedly have nothing to do with his findings in Poland, but rather his professional status as an engineer. In an article by Charles Provan in the *Christian News* of 17 December 1990 his plight is described. (For further details on the *Leuchter Report* see *Bulletin* 35 and *The Journal of Historical Review*, summer 1989, pages 133-139.)

As a result of revisionist research the advocates of the Extermination Thesis have been forced to make concessions with regard to some of their more obvious falsehoods and exaggerations. A notable example is a book which we reviewed in *Bulletin* 38 by a Jewish professor at Princeton University: Arno J. Mayer, *Why Did the Heavens Not Darken?* (1988). Mayer infuriated fellow Jews by admitting that sources for the study of gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable. Although Mayer is an adherent of the Extermination Thesis, one of the merits of his book is the recognition that whatever happened or did not happen to the Jews took place under the influence of a desperate war. Another striking concession to reality was made by the directors of the museum in Auschwitz, who removed a tablet claiming four million deaths in Auschwitz in order not to subject themselves to ridicule. (See Hans Schmidt's commentary in *Amerika-Brief* 36, December, 1990.) This was after the Jewish historian Yehuda Bauer had conceded in September 1989 that perhaps 1.6 million died at Auschwitz, a less absurd figure than four million. Recently the Soviet government, in line with *glasnost*, made available death records captured at Auschwitz which list approximately 74,000 deaths from all causes there.

Recently the state of Illinois passed a law providing for the teaching of "Holocaust" courses in its public schools. It is gratifying to note that some parents would not allow their intellectually

defenseless children to be subjected to such indoctrination. There are even some instances of teachers who have had the courage and intellectual honesty to suggest to their pupils that there is more than one side to the "Holocaust" question. (See, e.g., *Christian News* of 17 December 1990, pages 21-22.)

Often accompanying the "Holocaust" material is the claim that Poland suffered huge population losses as a result of policies and actions of the German occupation authorities. These are grave and important charges, even if they are not given the publicity of the alleged losses in the Jewish populations. In 1983 the *Zeitgeschichtliche Forschungsstelle* in Ingolstadt published an important revisionist study by Dr. Otward Müller based on official Polish census data from prewar and postwar times.

More or less on the periphery of the "Holocaust" question as such are a number of genocidal actions on the part of Allied governments or their populations. The earliest of these that we might mention is the deliberate starvation of millions of Ukrainian peasants by the Soviet government in the early 1930s (see *Bulletin* 7), a topic about which very few Americans know anything very specific. It was encouraging to view a presentation of a film about this topic and a panel discussion by William F. Buckley in September 1986, transmitted through the facilities of the Public Broadcasting Service. Both Buckley and PBS are characteristically subservient to Zionists.

Further genocidal actions by Allied governments were the Bromberg Bloody Sunday (massacres of ethnic Germans by overconfident Poles in September 1939; see *Bulletin* 39), the massacre of some 15,000 captured Polish officers at Katyn and other localities (see Louis FitzGibbon, *Katyn*, 1979), the aggressive intentions and annexing of large territories by the USSR during 1939-1940 with atrocities against the annexed populations (see the article by Viktor Suvorov reprinted in the *Liberty Bell* of January 1986), the militarily unnecessary destruction of Dresden in February 1945, the expulsion of German civilians east of the Oder-Neisse Line resulting in the deaths of over two million victims (see *Bulletin* 23) and the apparently deliberate and unnecessary starvation to death of hundreds of thousands of Ger-

man prisoners of war under the command of General Eisenhower, a crime described by the Canadian journalist James Bacque in his book, *Other Losses* (1989). To all this we must add the infamous "Operation Keelhaul."

At this point we should note that seven German officers were tried in an Allied court and sentenced to hang for the massacre of Polish officers at Katyn, a crime which the present Soviet government has confessed to have been a Soviet crime. How many other Germans were executed for crimes which they did not commit? In this instance we see a circumstance which must cast further doubts on Allied War crimes trials in general. (See the *Montreal Gazette* of 5 November 1990.) This example of Allied injustice is all the worse because Allied authorities had already known that the Katyn massacres were committed by the USSR, as the German government had cried out to the world in the spring of 1943 after having brought in many foreign witnesses to the exhumations.

Even if the genocidal crimes of the Allies mentioned above have only a peripheral bearing on the "Holocaust" question, they must be considered in connection with it in order to understand the context of any suffering to which the Jews might or might not have been subjected. The frantic, continued, costly efforts to propagate the "Holocaust" material and the unscrupulous measures to silence the critics of it are an obvious attempt at what might be called "obliteration by contrast" in line with a general effort to present the Second World War as one with righteous objectives, "a good war." Then, too, these genocidal actions and genocidal threats (such as the book by Theodore N. Kaufman, *Germany Must Perish*, 1941, the use of mustard gas and anthrax bombs contemplated by the Allies, as mentioned in *Bulletins 52* and *10*, and the genocidal Morgenthau Plan of 1944) must be taken into consideration as part of the reality of a war in which several million Jews suffered along with hundreds of millions of Aryan victims of the war. In view of the rôle of Jews in instigating the war (e.g., by means of the "Focus"; see *Bulletin 12*) and prolonging it, Jews should be inhibited in complaining about whatever sufferings they endured as a result of the war. □

---

## THE RAPE OF THE WEST

By  
*Nicholas Carter*

From the beginning the established Roman Catholic Church was paranoid—always in conflict with those who did not believe, or with the nasty heretic who had differing theological viewpoints. When Constantius, the strongest of the three sons of the baptized Constantine, succeeded to the throne, he made himself the sole emperor. The first Christian-bred emperor, he called himself "His Eternity" and "Lord of the Universe." He closed the "heathen" temples in response to Christian entreaties, and he decreed that all who used them or offered sacrifice should be put to death. Thus, within fifty years of the time when the Christians were subjected to some persecutions, the new religion was defining heretics as "all those who even in a minor matter deviated from the opinion and path of the Catholic religion," and subjecting non-Christians to capital punishment. That such a book should have been written as the 21st of Augustine's *City of God* as early as the turn of the 5th century accepting as one of the articles of Christian dogma a hell of fire that would not only burn limbs, but also feed on them and nourish them, indicated in no uncertain terms the direction in which ignorance, superstition and blind faith were leading the Church.

In short order, criticism of Church or State was shackled by an overwhelming respect for authority—a respect motivated by terror. Human reason was forced to succumb entirely to the tyranny of the clergy and the wasting debility of insoluble theological ranklings. Any sense of value, purpose and mission was directed toward repressive ends—the establishment of the Christian religion and its God through force; the destruction of anyone or anything posing a threat to the faith; the paranoic notion that any opposing point of view existed as the direct result of the forces of evil (Satanism) to subvert and destroy Christians; and the unyielding perpetuation of the belief that the Church should be the final arbiter of all human

endeavors from faith to morals, from philosophy to science, from law to medicine.

According to Christian dogma, Jesus the Christ established his *universal* Church to be his continuing representative on earth—one completely competent authority to adjudicate between truth and error. Quick to pursue that adjudication following the nuptial tie between Catholicism and the Roman Empire, the Christians, in keeping with the “above-average morality and high religious principles” of the time, ordered that the great Graeco-Roman library in Alexandria, a monumental storehouse of the treasures and scientific writings of antiquity, be destroyed. Virtually the entire library—nearly half a million volumes or scrolls, many of them collected from the farthest points on earth visited by the ships of the time—were destroyed in that single barbarous assault on the precious products of the human mind. Greek mysticism (Neoplatonism) and Christian-Judaic apocalypticism joined hands in applying the torch that ignited that literary holocaust.

Galen the physician, Ptolemy the geographer, and Diophantus the mathematician, were the last in their respective fields to follow the classic tradition, as Lucian was the last exponent of Hellenic skepticism and Julian the last emperor to defend religious tolerance. In 529 Justinian closed the schools at Athens, the last to teach Greek philosophy. In the same century, Pope Gregory the Great condemned *all* literary and philosophical efforts. Prayer and exorcism over science, religious over geometrical theorems, and the Scriptures over any other literature, were beginning to define the Christian way of life. All experiments in chemistry, physics and medicine were condemned. By the time of the persecution of Galileo (*circa* 1632) mathematicians were denounced as the greatest of all heretics.

With the power of the state behind them and deeply convinced that only a belief in Christ would provide for salvation, the Christians began to enforce the baptism of their subjects *en masse*. According to Augustine, at least ten thousand Angli were forcibly baptized in one day. Heraclius baptized one hundred thousand people in one year. Charlemagne decreed that any who rejected baptism should be put to death. Scandinavia was Christianized dur-

ing two bloody centuries of warfare and it has been estimated that the Christianizing of the whole of Europe cost from eight to ten million lives.

“In cases where evidence is in conflict with logic, it is better to stick with evidence,” according to Christian apologists. Logic, it seems, can lead to the kind of *consistency* that can easily close the doors to greater truth. If, therefore, we concentrate on a few evil men and the negative experiences resulting from their abuse of power, we will miss the “greater truth” of how absolutely joyous it was to be a Christian in those early days—how they “experienced a joy unknown in any other religion on earth;” how they “evidenced a tranquility, simplicity and cheerfulness that had never been encountered elsewhere;” and how they “sang from the irrepressible overflow of glory discerned.” Among the intolerable burdens suddenly and dramatically lifted from their shoulders were “fear, guilt, and the cramping confines of the ego.”\*

Curiously, many of those same irrepressibly joyous Christians were quick to acknowledge the ages-old belief that “the fashion of this world passeth away; life is not worth living.” Hence—fanaticism blossomed early within the “Mystical Body of Christ.” Asceticism, a combination of spiritual megalomania and egotism, was quickly accepted as a prerequisite of, and a qualification for, supreme holiness. In their attempts to express divine philosophy, thousands of Christians accepted the belief that purification and detachment from things of the senses were goals to be achieved, with the true Christian ideal soon coming to be represented by poverty, celibacy and retirement from the world. “For I desire to suffer,” said Ignatius. “Suffer me to be eaten by the beasts, through whom I can attain to God.”

To destroy the flesh on which EVE had put the curse, Christians began to maim and torture the body in every way imaginable. Convinced that practically all the ills of the earth had been caused by a woman (“For your deceit,” raged Tertullian, “the very Son of God had to perish!”), hysterical monks fled into the wilderness to wrestle with Satan, to endure the most hideous  
\* “O what a goodly outside falsehood hath.” Shakespeare, William, *The Merchant of Venice*, Act 1, Scene 3.

forms of torture and self-mutilation—even castration—as they tried to erase their terrible fear of the *Bitch of Eden*.

Tertullian wasn't alone among the Catholic Fathers in despising womankind. Because they believed the myth that man had been created a pure being in the image of God and that he had been defiled by a woman, Catholics from the highest to the lowest turned against women with a hatred so bitter and intense no language could be found strong enough to express their horror. Clement was so disgusted at the thought of anything feminine that he felt that any woman ought to be filled with shame at the mere thought that she was a female. To Cyprian, woman was the instrument employed by Satan to possess men's souls. And St. John Chrysostom described woman as a "necessary evil, a natural temptation, a domestic peril, a deadly fascination, and a painted ill." By the time of the Middle Ages, the Christian era had evolved into the "most unfortunate period in the history of womankind." Women were considered to be naturally inferior because they had been fashioned from the lowly rib. The Monk would bend his knee to the Virgin, but deep inside he knew that woman was the gross betrayer, the despoiler of purity; and whatever place she had in the natural order of things, man should try to avoid that place as he would avoid a plague.

Throughout the Christian era women have paid heavily in the coin of pain, blood and death. Because *Genesis* 3:16 says of woman, "In sorrow thou shalt bring forth children," pain was ordained in childbirth, with the Catholics subsequently teaching that the prevention of pain during birth "was contrary to religion and the express command of Scripture." Even after the invention of anesthesia in the 19th century, the female had to suffer this pain. As late as 1921 religious zealots were still claiming that "the very suffering which a woman undergoes in labor is one of the strongest elements in the love she bears for her offspring."

Until relatively recently, only a few voices had been raised in defense of women. With the Bible in mind Elizabeth Cady Stanton wrote: "I know of no other books that so fully teach the subjection and degradation of women." Said Helen Gardner: "Every injustice that has ever been fastened upon women in a Christian

country has been 'authorized by the Bible' and riveted and perpetuated by the pulpit." And from Moncure D. Conway we have this powerful indictment: "There is not a more cruel chapter in history than that which records the arrest by Christianity of the natural growth to European civilization regarding women..."

Contemporary Christians claim that their Bible has withstood the assaults of its critics because it is in truth what it claims to be, the revealed Word of God. Ever since investigators unbiased by religious motives first applied themselves to the study of Christian problems, however, not one has failed to reach the conclusion that when the Western world became Christian, it walked from the light of the Roman and Greek civilizations into the universal ignorance, superstitions and depravity of the REGRESSIVE AGES—that period of time encompassing the Middle Ages, beginning in the 4th century when Catholicism became a state-sanctioned theocracy, and ending with the momentous events of the 15th century—the fall of Constantinople, which drove Byzantine scholars with the literature of Greece into Western Europe, the invention of printing, and the discovery of America—ELEVEN centuries of oppression and regression.

Where was the stimulus of multiplicity during those ages? Smothered by authoritarian orthodoxy. Where were innovation and emulation? Discouraged and denounced by the all-powerful Church. Everywhere, frantic zeal was more important than courageous curiosity. During the Regressive Ages, the intellectual energies of Europe cogitated about ghosts, goblins, demons, witches and heresies, and devised countless ways of interpreting God's puzzles. And whenever revolutionary agitation and civil wars were deemed necessary by the theological and temporal rulers, biblical texts could always be found that would inflame people with pious blood-lust.

There is in most people the belief that what *has* occurred in the past was, without question, the *best* that could have happened, even if the philosophical, political or religious systems of any particular era had been entirely different. At best, this viewpoint is simplistic and self-serving. By any and all reasonable standards, it is highly unlikely that the past sixteen centuries would have been as evil or destructive under any other system of belief for

the simple reason that we, the people of the Western world, hitched our wagon of theological yearnings to one of the most alien philosophies—alien to basic Western thinking, that is—on the face of the earth: *Judaism*.

We produced a religion significantly bound to the DESERT heritage of the Israelites—a religion of the lonely, solitary, childless, unwedded, unloved and remote Godhead, Yahweh, the world's most vengeful and merciless deity...a religion of the strong-beaked, terrifying Jeremiah...a religion smelling of desert heat and burning earth, hot stones, moistureless hills, lifeless vegetation and a harsh, unforgiving sun. For the revelations of angry, wild-eyed prophets and a gospel of worldly renunciation, we traded the achievements of thinkers, and scientists, of artists, philosophers, poets and statesmen. And we rejected the greatest champion of the human mind who had ever lived, Aristotle, for whom the ideal life was one of *self-fulfillment* (as opposed to Plato, for whom the ideal life was essentially one of *renunciation*).

If, on the other hand, we had created a religion rooted in our Western AGRICULTURAL heritage, a religion, not of a patriarch (nor of a matriarch, either), but of the family of *both* Man and Woman (both god and goddess)—a religion like a garden, deep and lush and drenched with colors...a religion of a strong, kindly, providing Father, and a warm, loving, caring Mother with the head and breast of a goddess and at her breast a beautiful infant...a religion presiding over the fructifying powers of the earth and smelling of fruits, pure water, good earth, warm winds, lush forests and fragrant valleys...a religion that could only have been compassionate and humane to a degree unheard of in Oriental Semitism—*we would be living in an entirely different world today*.

Think of it!

We would have conceived a more loving, less fearful, religion—a less paranoid faith, more secure in its ability to attract believers and to hold onto them. The great and enduring qualities of Caucasians—energy and enterprise, foresight and common sense, creative and innovative genius, tolerance and flexibility—would have been given much more latitude, with the overall re-

sults undoubtedly being a more tolerant, more flexible, more decent, more humane, and assuredly, a more productive society.

We would have “loved the stars too fondly to be fearful of the night.” We would have had the freedom, courage and initiative to “stretch our eyes” and examine not only the planets in our solar system, but some of the vast and uncounted galaxies that lie beyond the Milky Way. We might have probed the cosmos over 1500 years ago, and invented movable type far earlier than we did. Had it not been for that uniquely Christianized nightmare known as the Middle Ages, we might have been building skyscrapers and trains, automobiles and airplanes, and inventing the telephone, film, television, computers and lasers during those benighted centuries. And we might have walked on the moon at least 300 years ago.

It took the Catholics several centuries of conflict waged with anathema, excommunication and banishment, and aided by torture, poison, and even armies, to frame a creed that could not be undone by its enemies—a theocracy preaching that reason was of no avail and that disagreement or heresy doomed men to eternal torment. (Little wonder Tertullian was moved to exclaim—“*Credo quia incredibilis est!*”) Needless to say, all of the great achievements that might have seen the light of day much earlier were postponed until much later.

To understand the aberrant nature of the Christian-Judaic system and the seemingly endless conflicts that have racked the faith, one must comprehend the fact that there is a fundamental difference in outlook between Semitic and Western minds. Semites are essentially tribalistic and collectivistic. Caucasians, when given the opportunity, have a tendency to lean toward a pantheistic world-view (the doctrine that the universe taken or conceived as a whole, is God), which invariably allows for considerable emphasis on individuality, personal creativity, and innovative freedom in all things, including religion. Accepting Christism as the primary creed of the West has been our most enduring and injurious blunder. For hundreds of years we were virtually halted in our intellectual and creative tracks. When mysticism as an all-embracing cultural philosophy failed to survive

the Middle Ages, we cast off our chains, and our basically Aristotelian nature took over as we began to think, plan and create in an explosion of innovation and productivity that thrust us into the 20th century with the highest living standards and the greatest scientific achievements ever known.

The many apologists—both Jew and Gentile—for the Judaic “tradition” and its alleged effects on the West would have us believe that our ideas of freedom and individual vocation began, not with the great thinkers and philosophies of the Western world, but with Abraham setting out from his “city” for the unknown—a pathetically simplistic and historically inaccurate notion.

The humanly singular tradition of independent thinking—the process by which the individual is guided by his own independent judgement—did not begin with desert nomads folding their tents and striking out for greener pastures. Human beings had been making that decision for presumably hundreds of thousands of years. It began when *homo sapiens* began to think; and from that point, knowledge and independent judgement expanded into more and more complex areas, from the conquering of fire, to the planting of seeds in the ground, to the planting of the seeds of realism and reason in the fertile soil of Greece and Rome.

In the entire history of the world, only about a dozen civilizations capable of creating buildings, art, literature and philosophy still worth studying have grown up more or less independently. Only one—the *civilization of Western man*—did not halt and lapse into stagnation. Only in the West have people gone to the level of increasingly expanding science to a degree capable of exploring outer space and actually setting foot on the moon. To make the best use of the meaningful centuries of that development, needless to say, we had to vanquish most of the totalitarian efforts occupied by the “Mystical Body of Christ” to subdue, pervert and control the human mind and human nature.

Significantly, early Catholicism did at least try to move away from Judaism as it drew from all sources in the ancient world. For those who are naive enough to believe that the Semitic tradition was “diluted” by the Gentile tradition, however, I contend that the truth is far removed from that proposition. Rather than the

phenomenon of the mystery-religion providing a foundation for the Jewish element in Christianity, the Jewish Scriptures were maliciously utilized to provide the *miraculous* and *prophetic* foundations upon which the WHOLE of Christism stands—with the NON-Christianized portions of the Old Testament providing, in addition, an alien legal system governing human behavior (many more “Thou shalt Nots!” than “Thou shalt!”) sanctions for every form of cruelty in *God's very own words*, and 6,641 verses containing “signs of the times” prophecies (according to the convoluted interpretations of Christians) supposedly providing a detailed sequence of events antedating the Second Coming of the Messiah (the counterfeit *Son* rather than the *Servant* of Yahweh) and the utopian conditions to follow.

Thus, Judaism remained at the heart of the faith, with the West succumbing to the East. Moreover, the fateful attempt to integrate two inherently contradictory world concepts—the Semitic and the European, the Jewish and the Greek—whose institutional waters, regardless of any and all attempts to blend them, are still perfectly recognizable as they flow side by side in the same bed, evolved as the logical consequence of that surrender.

One of the more untenable Semitic contributions to that unholy union is the fact that the Israelites were the first people on earth to blend theology with aristocracy to the point of introducing racism and intolerance into the canonical equation, thus dividing the world into two kinds of people—the Jews and non-Jews, the good and the bad, the pure and the non-pure. As a result they have always preached that they are the Chosen people of God...that they are the true salt of the earth, intellectually and morally superior to all other peoples...that all good things of the earth should belong to them...and that Judaism is the perfect religion with the highest standard of wisdom and ethics developed by the human species.

Fully inheriting this aristocracy of religious emotions from the Jews, the Christians also divided the world into only two kinds of people—the Christians and the non-Christians, the good and the bad, the pure and the non-pure. As a result, the Christ-folk have always preached the immoral doctrine that Christianity is the only



true religion...that only devout Christians can be saved from damnation...that as many non-Christians should be converted (by force, if necessary) as possible...and that all who refused, or who threatened the faith should be punished or destroyed.

Well into the 20th century, the Catholics were still promulgating these intolerant attitudes. As late as 1956, the Very Rev. Francis J. Connel, was quoted in *Time* magazine as follows: "However much we may esteem our non-Catholic brethren personally, and admire their sincerity and fervor in the practice of their religion, we must remember that their religion is false and that its practice is opposed to the commandment of Jesus Christ that all men profess the one religion which he established." And ponder the measured, chilling words of one Cardinal Billot, spoken in 1922: "We must say that material force is rightly employed to protect religion, to coerce those who disturb it, and generally speaking to remove those things which impede our spiritual aim: nay, that force can have no more noble use than this."

What is the state of Christianity today?

In this most scientific and literate of ages, the vast proportion of Christian people have not allowed rational thinking to affect their conditioned beliefs in the virgin birth, miracles, prophecies, the death and resurrection of their savior-god, and a never ending parade of predictions advising them of the approximate day and hour of the glorious return—the RAPTURE. Unaware of the principles of Synoptic criticism, they have never read a single objective critical word about the living *magisterium* of the Church; and they would be incensed beyond words if they were to hear that every stone in the wailing wall of their faith is a calculated lie.

The simplistic wanderings of the mind to which those who are handicapped by credulity and tradition are eternally subject, have given life to, not only the countless sects and cults of Christendom, but also to such charismatic con-artists as faith healers and televangelists who are forever telling their gullible congregations about their private conversations with God.\* To their du-

\* A prime example of this phenomenon occurred on Pat Robertson's televangelistic program when he disclosed that "the Lord in his goodness gave me a couple of Scriptures." (Praise Yah!)

plicitous practices can be added the many books dealing with prophetology, angelology and demonology, written and sold by people who profess to solve the practical problems of civilization and theology outside of the boundaries of all principles of Synoptic criticism and historical interpretation.

Much of the ponderous inertia that has resisted change for the better in the world has always been, and still is, rooted in the mythology inherent in ALL faith-centered systems of belief. Imagine abandoning self, reason, knowledge, curiosity and extension of imagination just to be part of the mystical and magical worlds of Yahweh, the Holy Virgin, Zen, Jesus, Marx, Mary Baker Eddy, the Dalai Lama, Mao, and Jim and Tammy Bakker. To give up so much for so little, the ego must be faith-inflated to the point of blissful nuttiness.

Tragically, we in the Western world are still measuring ourselves to a disquieting degree by the superstitious, childish and sometimes evil explanations of the primitive or ancient mind...still enchanted with the "antique fables and fairy toys" of mind-numbing mythology...still continuing to believe in religious traditions and dogmas that insult man's mind and spirit.\* What we call Christianity today is a kingdom of lies and wishful thinking filled with people who believe in that kingdom through indoctrination and conditioning. It is a kingdom of deception, a perverse realm in which knowledge and insight have been warped, and in which spiritual megalomania and falsehood rule. *No one can ever begin to assess what the ravings of the prophets and the barren curse of renunciation have cost us—and will continue to cost us for just as long as so many of our people remain fixed and lost in the infantile obsessions of the Christian-Judaic faith.*

\* Are there any creatures on earth more pathetic than those white Gentiles—members of the same race that produced Aristotle, Shakespeare and Thomas Jefferson—who spend their lives preaching that the *beginning of wisdom* is the fear of the Jewish God?...or that the sins of the *unjust* can only be cleansed with the blood of the *just*?...or that the *cosmic powers of darkness* (Satan and his demons) are responsible for illegal drugs, pornography and the "militant force of the Islamic movement."?

Before terminating this little study, I would like to emphasize once again that orthodox Judaism did not play an ACTIVE role in the establishment of the Christian system. It did play an OBLIQUE role in that development, however, via the existence of the *Septuagint*. Remember, the legends that are supposedly "fulfilled" in the New Testament are nothing more than crude Christianized interpretations of ancient Jewish Scripture; and, until the Catholic had finished transcribing their own Christian-biased Old Testament, the *Septuagint*—a compendium of Jewish law, commentary, history, and folk-lore—was the Old Testament to the far larger part of the Christian Church. It was the chief source of the writings from which the Catholics drew their proofs and precepts.

And that brings us to this salient consideration: What would the Church have done *without* the *Septuagint*? Where would the New Testament authors have turned to find a miraculous foundation for the Jesus and the Christ stories? Without that treasure-trove of information from which they could extract, at any time, inspirational "prophecies" and "miracles" that might contribute to the Christian cause—what, indeed, would they have done? They might have been able to acquire some bits and pieces of Jewish Scripture here and there, although nothing comparable to the *Septuagint* would have been available to them. And it must be acknowledged that orthodox Judaism would never knowingly have contributed to the expansion of a heathen Gentile religion.

In truth, the value of Septuagint contribution to Christendom simply cannot be overestimated. Without it, the Christianity that we know could never have developed and succeeded. What conjugation of ethnic, spiritual and cultural principles might have evolved instead, no one can say; but it logically follows that *the Western world would have been spared the Jewish God, the cosmic Christ, the Christianized Old Testament, and the Christian-Judaic totalitarian system.*

On that, awesome note, I would like to close this testament with a few remarkably well-chosen words by two of the many Higher Critics who have my undying admiration and respect.

I do not doubt for a moment that Albert Jay Nock speaks for most of the great scholars who have persued the origins of Christism when he describes the history of the religion as

the most depressing study I ever undertook, and also one of the most interesting. I came away from it with the firm conviction that the prodigious evils which spot this record can all be traced to the attempt to organize and institutionalize something which is in its nature incapable of being successfully either organized or institutionalized.

Finally, no one has provided a more philosophically concise, literary period, to the intriguing study of Christendom than Charles Guignebert, for twenty-five years professor of the history of Christianity at the Sorbonne:

The rise of the Galilean prophet marks the beginning, however accidental, of the religious movement from which Christianity sprang. The lowly spring which pours a slender trickle over the stones neither promises nor foresees the great river to which it gives rise: nevertheless, from it the river has its origin and being.

#### HISTORICAL COMMENTARY

COULTON, C.G.: "Aquinas never erred worse, perhaps, then when he judged the ideal state to need a peasantry strong in arm, dull in intellect, and divided among themselves by mutual distrust."

FISHER, VARDIS: "If man is ever able to build a civilization worthy of that devotion which he seems richly endowed to give, he will first have to accept in the full light of his mind and soul the historical facts of his past, and the mutilations and perversions which his hostility to these facts has made upon his spirit."

FRANCE, ANATOLE: "The Middle Ages frightened us with a lugubrious phantasmagoria of devils snapping at a sinner's soul as it passed."

GREGORY the GREAT (Pope from 590 to 604): "The bliss of the elect in heaven would not be perfect unless they were able to look across the abyss and enjoy the agonies of their brethren in eternal fire."

GUIGNEBERT, CHARLES: " 'I am innocent of the blood of this just person, see ye to it'. And the Jews cried with one voice, 'His blood be on us and our children'. Few of the sayings of the Gospels have done more harm than these, and yet they are only the invention of the redactor."

**LAKE, KIRSOPP:** "The Church conquered the world by offering salvation through a redeeming Lord...Catholic Christianity conquered because it was popular, not because it was true, and failed for the same reason."

**LECKY, W.E.H.:** "The fierce declamations of St. Jerome afford a terrible picture of the disorders prevalent among those vowed to celibacy, and of the hideous crimes resorted to in order to conceal or remove the consequences of guilt...The Church of Rome has inflicted a greater amount of unmerited suffering than any other religion that has ever existed."

*Ibid.*: "For about two centuries, the hideous maceration of the body was regarded as the highest proof of Christian excellence."

**LEO X (Pope from 1513 to 1521):** "What profit has not that fable of Christ brought us!"

**MICHELET, JULES:** "Many women surrendered themselves to Satanism because Satan elevated them above the position assigned to them by the Church...The Church keeps her down at the lowest level of degradation—she is Eve, and sin incarnate."

*Ibid.*: "Women died of the terror of being walled up in the little black hole...One word recurs continually, like a bell of horror tolled, and tolled again, to drive the dead in life into despair—always the same word, *Immured.*"

**REINACH, S.:** "Monasticism was essentially the egoistic effort of the individual to ensure his own salvation while repudiating the duties and responsibilities of life."

**ROBERTSON, J.M.:** "If the merits of Christianity as a civilizing force are to be in any way determined by its influence in working bloodshed, its record in the matter of witch-slaying alone would serve to place it, in that regard, lower than any other creed. Classic paganism knew no such infamy."

**ROBINSON, JAMES HARVEY:** "For six or seven centuries (after 467) very few outside of the clergy ever dreamed of studying, or even of learning to read and write. Even in the 13th century an offender who wished to prove that he belonged to the clergy in order that he might be tried by a Church court, had only to show that he could read a single line."

**ROBINSON, VICTOR:** "It was a time (the Middle Ages) when the intellect of Europe was so clouded by monkish fables that the monasteries

were buying milk purporting to come from the breasts of the Blessed Virgin."

**SCOTT, E.F.:** " 'Spiritual' speaking with tongues has probably been commonly due to the loss of rational self-control on the part of primitive, ignorant, highly excited individuals in a crowd which has been stirred religiously to its depths...the outpouring of broken words and inarticulate sounds under the influence of uncontrollable feeling."

**SCHWEITZER, ALBERT:** "The critical study of the life of Jesus has been for theology a school of honesty. The world has never seen before, and will never see again, a struggle for truth so full of pain and renunciation as that of which the Lives of Jesus of the last hundred years contain the cryptic record."

*Ibid.*: "The word 'Jehovah' was made, early in the 16th century, by a friar, who was ignorant of the rules of synagogue reading. It never was and is not a proper name for Israel's God, though some Jewish scholars use it."

**SMITH, HOMER:** "For the sense of the dignity of man, fundamental to the precepts of the Stoics and of Cicero, Seneca, Marcus Aurelius and other Roman moralists...(Christianity) substituted the doctrine of personal inadequacy, the sense of guilt, and the habits of self-doubt and self-abnegation. In its cardinal doctrine of sin, for which it crucified the Christ, it promulgated a belief which was to crucify the whole of the Western world for centuries to come."

**SMITH, H.W.:** "The Church in the Middle Ages was a vast corporation ruled by the Pope and the Holy Congregation through coercion, force, fear and theological formulas...concubinage and its implied hypocrisy were the accepted rule, and indolence, mendicancy and extortion were characteristic of the clergy generally."

**WEIGALL, A.:** "Nobody in his senses now believes that Jesus ascended into heaven in 'His body, with flesh, bones, and all things appertaining to the perfection of man's nature'...ascension into the sky was the usual end to the mythical legends of the lives of pagan gods."

**WEISS, J.:** "Is it not a depressing thought that these fundamental facts of the Christian religion were no more than delusions, fancies, hallucinations?"

**FOR MY LEGIONARIES.** The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES**, Order #06003, single copy \$10.00, 3 copies \$25.00, 5 copies \$35.00

**THE ANTI-HUMANS**, by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented 'Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" —R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" —Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS**, Order #01013. Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00, 5 for \$20.00.

**For postage and handling add:** On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$5.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**  
Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

---

## A GAP IN THE PASSING CROWD

### Thoughts on the Death of Comrades Never Met

It has now been two years since a young man named Tony Wydra left his home near Fayetteville, North Carolina and never returned. He was murdered in a car on Highway 17 near Jacksonville, N.C. under circumstances which have never been satisfactorily explained and probably never will be. The two individuals with him at the time were shady characters who operated on the fringe of the White resistance movement and who later proved to have certain, sinister ties with the Zionist regime in Washington. Both of these men have been whisked off the stage into a quasi-legal, bureaucratic mass of spaghetti which effectively obscures and defeats any attempt to get at the truth behind Tony Wydra's death and several others, including the multiple homicide with which his brother, Robert Eugene Jackson, has been so cynically and falsely accused.

I have been asked by Jack Jackson to offer some remarks upon the second anniversary of Tony's murder. I'm at a disadvantage because I never met him personally. I don't know what he looked like, what his thoughts and beliefs were, what his personality was like. Everything I have heard indicates that he was a younger version of Jack. I do know that he voluntarily went alone and unarmed into a dangerous situation which put him in a car on a rural back road at night with men he knew he could not trust. He took this risk because he thought there was a chance he might gain information from them which would free his brother from unjust imprisonment. I think this tells us all we need to know about his character, and yet any observations I make must necessarily be of a general nature.

My first feeling when I contemplate Tony's death is one of anger and revulsion. I object to Tony Wydra's dying and I object even more to the manner of his death. Tony was murdered by an enemy so pusillanimous that he carries out his business of oppression and destruction of those who oppose him in the wee hours of the morning on a darkened rural highway. He later ties up his loose ends with a procession of paid perjurers and jailhouse snitches who parade up onto a witness stand and tell contemptible lies in order to punish innocent men for crimes carried out by the hirelings of the

United States government. We live under such a *petty, sneaky* little tyranny. No style, none of the bold panache of other regimes who flaunt their cruelty and wave the bloody shirt of their victims aloft in snarling triumph. No doubt our brothers in Eastern Europe and Russia would disagree with this, but I find the sneaking and senile tyrannies of bureaucrats in three piece suits to be far worse than the iron hand of the uniformed commissar.

My second feeling is one of loss. Not just the loss of a young man whom I wish I had known as a friend, but a generic loss to myself, my race, my Southern homeland, and my culture. There is now one more missing link in the chain of accumulated life experience and historical continuity which stretches back to the time when our race began moving out of the sea of grass and across the world, those thousands of years ago. There are so few Aryans left now, so terribly few, and in Tony's murder we have been robbed of one more little chunk of our birthright. There is one less tiny, clear drop of pure water in the shrinking, drying lake of our gene pool, a lake surrounded by encroaching shoals of oozing, polluted mud.

Those of us who are racially aware know of this depletion. We see it every day in the increasing number of black, brown, and yellow faces on the streets. We confront it far too often when we see some tragic White slut sashaying down the street with her congoid lover's black arm around her. We read it almost every day when the newspapers report another White child or maiden butchered by the congoid beasts. Now there is one more empty space in the crowd, the space where Tony Wydra should stand and walk. Soon enough it will be filled by a shuffling, bubble-lipped subhuman or a sly and grasping Oriental.

When one looks at all those black and brown and yellow faces whose numbers increase every year, (Raleigh is now almost a Third World city), and one realizes that every one of those faces should be White, then one starts to get an idea of the enormity of our racial loss. There are millions upon millions of our racial brothers and sisters who should be filling those gaps, but who no longer exist or who never existed because we have thus far failed to find the courage to do what we all know is necessary and take the required physical, bodily risk to bring about permanent change. Those gaps

in the crowd indict us every day for our cowardice and our sloth. We are missing the millions of descendants of the seven hundred thousand Aryans who died in the American Civil War. We are missing the countless untold millions who would have been born of those who fought and died during the two European Civil Wars of this century, the victims of monkoid crime, the victims of Communist murder, the infants ripped screaming from the wombs of weak and misguided mothers who didn't want to be bothered with the responsibility for their existence.

To contemplate this for any length of time is so terrible that it can drive one mad. How many cancer cures, how many Martian explorers, how many research scientists and poets and statesmen and great authors do we lack now, because we cannot summon the kind of simple, basic courage to face armed enemies which was the most common virtue of our ancestors? If Tony Wydra had to die, as it is certain all men must, then he should have died in open battle against Judah and his hirelings, his brothers and comrades about him. Instead, we let him go into that dark night and get into that car alone. Now he is one more gap in the crowd, and our shame is one more ounce the greater.

I can offer but this one small small crumb of comfort to Jack and to his and Tony's mother, to all those who see and understand the meaning of those gaps in the crowd. That is this: I know with utter moral certainty that one day the scales will be balanced.

This is not some kind of threat on my part, nor is it simply a wild peroration promising divine vengeance on sin. It is a simple, valid observation based on clear, historical foundations, on information readily available to anyone who, like myself, does not own a television and reads books instead of dulling his brain with society's electronic drug.

The simple, historically demonstrable fact is that ZOG doesn't have what it takes to survive in the long run, because the principles of liberalism and Zionism and the other ideological underpinnings of our oppressors do not have any foundation in the real world. They can exist and function only in an artificial, wealthy, and stable social environment of consensus and high prosperity to keep the masses doped up with toys and consumer goods. Those conditions

will cease to obtain very shortly, and Judeo/liberalism will collapse. At some point thereafter Aryan man will recover his collective wits and his ancestral courage, since we are genetically the same men our ancestors were, and when we have once more acquired the simple physical valor necessary to confront and defeat our enemies in armed conflict, the days of ZOG and all ZOG's allies are not only numbered, they are short.

This is not a prediction. It is not a prophecy. It is not a wild fantastic guess. It is a simple statement of something which is historically inevitable and which is going to happen, quite possibly within this decade if the Persian Gulf situation turns into as cataclysmic a disaster for ZOG as it may well do.

When that time comes, even if the New Order is not able to locate and punish those responsible for Tony Wydra's murder, then I would hope that at least the truth will come out and we will be able to learn from captured enemy files and so forth who gave what orders to whom, who made the decision to kill, what money changed hands, what was done to shield the killers and cover up the crime. It is not much consolation to those left behind, but it is some.

I don't blame ZOG for cracking down with "hate laws," show trials, thought police on the university campuses and all the other latest developments. They know what's coming even if some of us can't see it, and they understand far better than we the heavy reckoning they will have to pay. There are many Tony Wydras, and their corpses hang around the neck of Judah like albatrosses. For all their wealth, their luxurious lifestyles, and their arrogant power, I wouldn't want to be a servant of this regime. Payment may be deferred and deferred yet again, but someday the bill will come due.

When that terrible time has come and gone, and when the new day of an inconceivably glorious future has dawned for Aryan man, then Tony and all our dead will rest at last.

—HAROLD A. COVINGTON  
28 December 1990

\*\*\*\*\*

---

## The "Principles" of George Bush

In attempting to justify sending tens-of-thousands of American soldiers to the Persian Gulf and Saudi Arabia, President Bush stated that he was acting in accordance with traditional American values. He said: "Standing up for our principles is an American tradition..." Now whenever a politician speaks about "principles," you'd better count your change and check your silverware. Politicians appeal to principles only when they want to take advantage of us in some way, such as getting us to fight an unnecessary war.

The reason Mr. Bush gave for involving us militarily in the Middle East is that big, aggressive nations shouldn't gobble up their neighbors. Recall where the domino dilemma took us in Southeast Asia and the war with Vietnam. Bush's words sound familiar, don't they?

Our government's way out of a serious economic slump is to stage a war; this necessitates borrowing huge amounts of money, pumping up the economy with explosive force!

President Bush and VP Quayle, should be impeached and removed from office and made to apologize to the American people and perhaps to the world for what they have plotted against us thus far. Our soldiers should be brought home immediately, rather than being used as pawns for Israel, the international power brokers and the profiteers.

Saddam Hussein is no saint but he is no devil either. No one has ever accused Hussein of seeking to enrich himself. In fact he has carefully redistributed Iraq's oil wealth among the Iraqi people who enjoyed the highest standard of living in the Middle East. This is the reason why the Palestine people overwhelmingly support Hussein. He has promised to redistribute the oil wealth of Kuwait to all the oppressed Arab people.

Even without Middle East oil, the other oil-producing areas of the world can adequately supply the entire world's needs. There is nothing in our Constitution that assigns responsibility to the U.S. to protect the world's oil supply, or for the U.S. to be involved in any foreign war. Neither God nor the American people appointed President Bush to be the world's policeman.

Why should we care who sells us oil? Hussein is desperate to sell oil. We say buy Iraq's oil, stay out of the Arabs' disputes, bring our men home, stop this despicable war mongering against Iraq.

Kuwait and Iraq were one country during the 400 years of rule under the Ottoman Empire (1514 to 1914). As Turkish power waned, the British appointed the Al-Sabah family as the ruling Sheiks over the local Arabs who began moving into Kuwait seeking work.

In 1899 Mubarak Al-Sabah made a deal with England. In exchange for protection and trade, Britain would control Kuwait's foreign policy. Thus Kuwait became a vassal state under British rule for the next 70 years. This began England's policy of establishing Sheikdoms in Bahrain, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, etc. These are actual family dictatorships in which the few became billionaires while most of the Arab world languished in poverty.

After World War I, Britain seized all of Arabia including Iraq. In 1921, they installed King Faisal as their newest puppet to rule over Iraq. Iraq gained its independence in 1932. Kuwait continued to be ruled by the dictatorial Al-Sabah family under British military protection.

Kuwait is about the size of New Jersey. It is a flat desert area with no vegetation and no fresh water. To survive citizens must depend on desalinization plants. Oil and natural gas are their only resources; 1.2 million foreign workers are employed in this industry. There are some 700,000 Kuwaiti residents who are citizens, but they have no political rights. Sheik Jaber Al-Sabah has over \$100 billion invested overseas. He is one of the richest men in the world.

#### **Oil Discovered in 1938**

Kuwait suddenly became a prize to be cherished after oil was discovered. The British Petroleum Company (B.P.) and the Gulf Oil Company were given exclusive rights to begin massive drilling and the Al-Sabahs went from being millionaires to becoming billionaires.

It must be stressed that throughout this period Iraq continued to make public its claim to Kuwait.

The British were in the process of dismantling their empire worldwide when in 1961 they granted Kuwait independence. A few weeks later Iraq's ruler, Gen. Abdul Kareem Kassim, announced that his army was going to take back Kuwait. He declared: The people of Kuwait are the people of Iraq. The era of Sheikdoms is over.

This brought the British rushing back to the oil fields with troops and tanks to protect the holdings of Gulf Oil and BP. Iraq then broke diplomatic relations with the U.S. briefly when we backed up the British against Iraq's claim to Kuwait in 1961. They had their B.P. and we had our Gulf Oil!

Our young men must know that they are sweating it out in 120 degree, desert heat, placed there to fight and die for the purpose of defending the U.S.'s and U.K.'s billion dollar oil investments! There are no democracies in the Middle East and this includes Israel. The Palestinians on the occupied West Bank have absolutely no rights and those on the East Bank (Israel) have no equal rights.

Iraq is a dictatorship, there is no question about that. However, Christianity was long ago legalized there. In fact, there are over 200 Protestant and Catholic churches operating freely in Iraq! There is even one Synagogue in Baghdad. The local Jews have in no way experienced any persecution. (There goes the smear that Hussein is another Hitler.)

Women in Iraq have been granted full rights with men. They do not have to wear veils or be isolated from society, etc. If you want to order a mixed drink with a meal it is perfectly legal in Iraq while it is considered a serious crime in the Sheikdoms! Women in Saudi Arabia are not allowed to drive a car. They can carry no money and must have a man with them before they can purchase anything. When walking by a man on the street they must look down at the ground so that their eyes do not meet.

The British controlled the Persian Gulf after WW I and appointed all the "royal families" who today control the oil rich Sheikdoms of Kuwait, Qatar, Bahrain, Oman and the United Arab Emirates. Paid handsomely, they hold these lands for the big oil companies.

The House of Saud has clung tenaciously to Wahhabism, the puritanical strain of Sunni Islam. In keeping with the Wahhabi tradition, liquor, pornography and gambling are forbidden. Movies and dancing are also not permitted. Videos, books and publications are heavily censored; copies of Western news magazines are banned from the kingdom.

There are 200 "princes" in the phony entity of Kuwait. (All related to Sheik Jaber Al-Sabah.) Mr. Marshall W. Wiley, who assisted compa-

nies which once could carry on business with Iraq, says at least "You don't have to find a prince to bribe to obtain a contract in Iraq."

The Saudis enforce Islamic laws of justice to the letter. In the city squares, adulterers are stoned to death, murderers and rapists are beheaded, and the lesser offenders are flogged.

The U.N. Commission on Human Rights has condemned both Saudi Arabia and Albania as being two of the most brutal tyrannies in the world. If you are caught stealing in Saudi Arabia, they will cut your hand off. If you utter an insult about the king you can be beheaded. Thus we're certainly not fighting for justice in Arabia!

### **Why Are We In Arabia?**

There are at least four objectives that caused Mr. Bush's sudden brush with morality: 1.) To remove (kill) Hussein from Iraq because he is perceived to be a threat to Israel; Israel, with The Bomb, must remain the most powerful force in the Middle East, and, at all cost, the Jews must be allowed to maintain hegemony throughout the Arab world, and the best way to do this is to strangle the Arabs' only strong leader; 2.) Profits and to appease the international bankers so there will be collateral in the pipeline to back past loans, and to satisfy the Bush belief in the need to raise the price of oil from the teen\$ to the twenties\$; 3.) The Movement in step with the United Nations toward a World Government "The New World Order;" 4.) The need to avert an impending depression in America and to quickly shift America's attention away from domestic problems such as the S&L crisis, the race issue, Third World debt to American banks, foreign competition, etc.

### **What Principles?**

Recently you may have read of a Saudi "prince" who lost \$12 million gambling in Monte Carlo in one night. Are we supposed to fight and die to maintain his high living extravagance in countries where such "royalty" have left their own people living on the brink of starvation?

The Saudi government is a closed shop. There is not a single elected official and not a single political party. Where are these principles that President Bush is talking about?

*From Crisis Paper #30  
Box 2124, Metairie LA 70004*

## **KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!**

Please remember: *Our* Fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE  
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**