



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

THE MESSIANIC AGE

by *Nicholas Carter*

page 48

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Professor Revilo P. Oliver
POSTSCRIPTS:

Democracy in Action, page 1;
A Cringing Lord, page 6;
Hero à la Mode, page 16.

Dr. Charles E. Weber

Letter from a German Correspondent, page 19;
A Noteworthy Prophecy, page 22.

The Threat of Massive Immigration
of Jews From Russia, page 44;

It was the Law in a Saner Time, page 45;
Book Review; *The New American Man*, page 46.

Major Joe Stano

An Open Letter, page 23

VOL. 18 - NO. 3

NOVEMBER 1990

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, has been published monthly since September 1973 by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor, Editorial office: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA—Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

©Copyright 1988

by Liberty Bell Publications.

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| SAMPLE COPY | \$ 4.00 |
| THIRD CLASS-BULK RATE USA only | \$35.00 |
| FIRST CLASS-USA | \$45.00 |
| FIRST CLASS-all other countries | \$50.00 |
| AIR MAIL - Europe, South America | \$60.00 |
| Middle East, Far East, So. Africa | \$65.00 |

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| 10 COPIES | \$ 22.00 |
| 50 COPIES | \$ 90.00 |
| 100 COPIES | \$150.00 |
| 500 COPIES | \$600.00 |
| 1000 COPIES | \$900.00 |

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor/publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavour to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of our Western culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change, or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

DEMOCRACY IN ACTION

In the June issue of this periodical, pp. 37-46, I discussed briefly the progress toward economic democracy made by the recent looting of the Savings and Loan agencies. My principal source was a short article that was an extract from a book that I have now read: *Inside Job*, by Stephen Pizzo, Mary Fricker, and Paul Muolo (New York, McGraw-Hill, 1989.) The negotiations for the publication of this book were evidently concluded a number of years ago through the authors' literary agent and the publisher's two book-editors. Judicious readers will perpend the authors' casual remark (p. vii) that: "We are certain that had they [agent and editors] not embraced this project early on [i.e., before the scandal broke], *this book might never have seen print.*" I emphasize the phrase that hints how conditions have changed since Bushy began to rule us. The authors could have added that if the book had not been published late in 1989 and had been delayed until later this year, it almost certainly would never have been printed.

Eight years ago, in 1982, the senior author was editor of a weekly newspaper,¹ *Russian River News*, in the tiny town of Guerneville (population 1700), sixty miles north-northwest of San Francisco. Belonging to the small minority of Americans whose common sense has not become atrophied, he took notice

1. Cf. my observation in August 1989, pp. 3-6, that some vestiges of honesty have survived in some weekly newspapers that have not yet been modernized. Incidentally, one of the small papers that I mentioned, the *Polish Post Eagle*, was not so small that it escaped the vigilance of the cowboys who ride herd, on the livestock that God's Race raises on their Promised Land in North America. The Defamation League undertook to squash the insolent Aryan dogs, but Poles are evidently less unmanned than Americans and a majority of the newspapers' advertisers rallied to its support. What the Jews will do to them is not yet known, but you should be able to guess.

when the miniature town's small Centennial Savings and Loan Agency began to throw millions of dollars around the landscape and give fantastically lush and expensive entertainments for hundreds of local suckers.

Despite threats from the thug who was president of the Centennial agency, the editor continued to publish unflattering comments about it from time to time, and meanwhile he undertook a covert investigation that soon extended to Savings and Loan agencies throughout the country. He was not astonished when Centennial collapsed a few years later and it was found that \$165,000,000 had evaporated, leaving no residue.

The three authors joined forces and worked in collaboration to produce the 455 pages of their book, which will supply you with hundreds of incidents in one grand looting of American taxpaying animals, and with the names of scores of distinguished and outstanding thieves.

For the general atmosphere of democracy in action, you need read only the report on page 2 of one little party given in Las Vegas by McBirney, the panjandrum of the Sunshine Savings and Loan in Dallas, for executives (and executioners!) of other agencies in the business. The party naturally included the services of a contingent from Las Vegas's famous Whore Corps, which is said to equal Washington's, especially since professional women in the District of Corruption are suffering from competition by the now more fashionable male perverts.

Now although some of the guests at that party, many of whom had been brought to Las Vegas on McBirney's private 747 plane (the old 707 is too small for big men, like McBirney and Bush²), doubtless claimed to have been innocent fun-makers, it is obvious that they cannot have been so feeble-minded that they did not perceive that they were enjoying the fruits of high-level rapine.

Americans have long had as their ideal the "open society," which is so perpetually stirred up that the dregs on the bottom

2. You doubtless noticed in the press that the taxpaying animals have just supplied their War Lord with a 747 that is doubtless even more luxurious and expensive than was McBirney's. It is only appropriate that the bigger operator should have the best.

become the scum on the top—in keeping with old Jesus's promise that "the last shall be first." Some of the more prominent characters in the looting of the Savings & Loan agencies will be known to you. There was Super-Sheeny Ivan Boesky, hero of the "junk bond" swindle that was devised not only for theft but to ensure the bankruptcy of many banks and insurance companies; there was high-flying McBirney, whom we have already mentioned; there was Lord Bushy's son, Neil, who, the press is now frantically assuring us, was as innocent and intelligent as a new-born lamb; Andrew Cuomo, son of the Italianized Kike who misgoverns the state of New York; and other blossoms on the Upas-tree of American democracy.

A typical flower was a Yid named Hellman, who early and no doubt instinctively discovered that, as he said in his "autobiography," *Wall Street Swindler*, which he later published to make more money from his crimes, "the [American] investor, the buyer of stocks, is a sucker. He's just a turkey waiting to be plucked." Hellman accordingly plundered the suckers with racial verve and impunity until he made the mistake of plucking some members of the Mafia. He was accordingly prosecuted and was to be sentenced to six years in prison when he disappeared. The U. S. Marshals, one of our rulers' terrorist agencies that you may have overlooked, supplied him with a new identity, a new name, a new "Social Security" card, a new birth certificate, a new driver's license, new school records, new personal history, and, as the authors remark, with everything except a new circumcision. What emerged from the conversion was a Michael Rapp, who, however, retained under his new guise his old ethical standards, which ideally qualified him to guide Savings & Loan agencies and banks to extinction while he looted them joyously.

Since the resounding crashes of so many "thrift" agencies could not be kept secret, the Federal government had perforce to make arrests and prosecute individuals. Hellman, reborn as Rapp, was convicted and sentenced to forty-two years in prison by a sternly upright judge whose lecture on probity was reproduced and lauded in the press, after which he reduced the sentence to two years in a hotel-style prison. But an experi-

enced prosecuting attorney remarked, when Rapp was finally sentenced in February 1989, "he'll be out this summer; you watch." This book evidently went to press before the prediction could be verified, but I am certain that the deserving son of Zion will not suffer for his obedience to God's Law about *goyim*, as stated in the holy Talmud.³

Unless you want to accumulate examples of the utter corruption of the country that once was ours, the long book will become monotonous, since the thieves might all have been cut from one pattern. The authors prudently do not identify the race concealed by each of the various names, except when that is notorious, although they give us occasional hints by referring to the "Israeli Mafia" or telling us that a person named Walker took refuge in the Holy Land.

Their reticence does not really matter, for you may be sure that, in addition to God's Own, Judaized Aryans appear in the list, and probably Aryans who did not need to be Judaized. We must always remember that our race includes a very large number of persons with criminal instincts which are kept in check only by the pressure of a civilized society, such as was created and maintained by a select minority of our race until the Chosen enfeebled and destroyed it with "democracy."⁴

3. Hellman/Rapp may be an agent of the C.I.A., which is reported to have obtained secret presidential pardons for some less notorious thieves. However that may be, it is clear that the Criminal Intelligence Agency, which the boobs so lavishly finance, was deeply involved in the looting of the Savings & Loan agencies, perhaps in connection with its vending of cocaine, heroin, and similar drugs. The authors suggest that some of the loot was taken by the C.I.A. It must be understood, of course, that no money was involved in these transactions, only the trading stamps issued by the Federal Reserve Swindle, which the boobs contentedly use in place of money—and for many of the operations, not even trading stamps, but only the vaporous fiction called credit, which usurers and other thieves create and abolish at will.

4. I cannot too often emphasize the simple fact that barbarism and even no little savagery is the natural state of man, including our race, and that culture and civilization are the work of a comparatively small part of the population who have acquired ascendancy over the rest. What is remarkable in human history is not that civilizations have disintegrated and perished, but that they came into being at all.

What you need to learn from this book is that, as the authors clearly indicate but prudently do not state explicitly in a few words, the looting spree, which has robbed *every* individual American of more than two thousand dollars, was, like all major governmental operations since the "New Deal," planned from the first, with the complicity of the Congress that the Jews describe as the best their money can buy.

As the authors remark (p. 306) "It was clear that Congress and federal regulators knew *in 1976* what kind of people were out there just waiting for an opportunity to victimize financial institutions if given the slightest opening." With that knowledge clearly in mind, the Congress in 1982 virtually invited the thieves to loot the Savings & Loan agencies at the expense of American taxpayers. And the wonderful "deregulation" was officially celebrated by old Ronnie at a festive lawn party for two hundred guests in October 1982.

Whether Ronnie knew what he was doing when he signed the new law does not matter. He is a stupid and superstitious man with just enough intelligence to obey directions from the producers of the shows in which he acted in Hollywood and Washington. But the same tentative apology cannot be offered for the Jews' Congress of the United States. They devised and willed the débâcle.

It has long been apparent that, not only in such notorious acts as giving away our Panama Canal and constantly increasing the trillions of the bankrupt country's national debt to increase the loot taken by the usurers, but in virtually every act of the slightest importance, such as most recently the Federal law to spread the epidemic of "AIDS" as rapidly and widely as possible, the Congress has consistently worked to injure and destroy the American people, i.e., the nation of fat-headed Aryans who, with Christian fatuity, gave their country away decades ago.

Sooner or later you will have to face the fact that you are living in an occupied country and that its Congress and all of its government are your enemies, bent on your destruction. (I say all of the government, although we must except a few of its

employees, such as those who secretly provided the authors of this book with vital information at the risk of their jobs and livelihood.)

The cage that the American people, full of Christian hokum, patiently built for themselves step by step since 1912 is now almost complete. All that is lacking to the perfection of "mature democracy" is a Gulag, camps into which Americans who show signs of intelligence can be thrown and there done to death in conditions approximating those that the Americans inflicted on the German people and German soldiers after the United States gave the Jews victory in the last phase of the Chosen People's perpetual war against the Aryan race. As soon as our War Lord gets his own Jewish war really under way, and the boobs have been pumped full of righteousness, as they were in 1917 and 1941, that defect will be remedied.

A CRINGING LORD

Boys who have a streak of cruelty in their character often take earthworms or similar small animals and impale them on a pin to enjoy watching them twist and writhe as they slowly die. God's People enjoy capturing an Aryan—currently a hapless Ukrainian named Demjanjuk—and taking him to their Holy Land and impaling him on legal fictions to enjoy watching the creature twist and writhe, doubtless chuckling as they observe what he will do and say in the hope of saving his life.

One form of torture used is one of the subtlest devised by the Holy Inquisition. You may recall a memorable description of it in a short story by Villiers d'Isle-Adam. The victim of applied Christianity is condemned to death and then given opportunities to escape from his dungeon and the fortress; each time at various points along the route to freedom he narrowly escapes discovery and has to remain in mortal terror for a time before he can go on to the next point; of course, it is at the last point, when he is on the very verge of effecting his escape, that he is discovered and hauled back to his dungeon to recuperate until he is in condition to perform in another comedy for the pleasure of godly sadists.

The governments of the Jews' principal possessions in North America, Canada and the United States, have established, at the expense of their taxpaying animals, official gangs of terrorists to corral an abundant supply of victims for the show in Jerusalem, which will probably be made a three-ring circus as soon as Demjanjuk is disposed of. Whether he is finally murdered or dismissed as a dehumanized but living husk of tortured flesh and blighted mind is not important.

Keeping the arena supplied for the entertainment of God's Own is only one secondary purpose of the terrorism.¹

Primarily the "Nazi-hunters" are charged with a triple function: first, to make a mockery of all the principles of Roman and Germanic law and teach their Aryan swine that there is no law except the pious whims of Yahweh's Yammering Yids; second, to show the rest of the world how despicable are the Aryan curs who will do anything their masters command, and who fawn on the masters and lick their boots even after having been kicked in the snout; and third and most important, to stage show trials at which teams of godly perjurers can tell fancy stories about how they witnessed the awful "Holocaust" (and miraculously escaped). Their lies will impose on the boobs and make them believe that the Holohoax is more than a gigantic swindle by the Masters of Deceit.

An unlimited supply of witnesses is always at hand. (As everyone knows, the Holy Talmud provides that every means of exploiting the lower animals is approved and ordained by the ferocious god of the Christians' "Old Testament," and, even if that were not so, God's People at an annual ceremony revoke and absolve themselves of all oaths they may take during the coming year.) The witnesses will probably be rehearsed before each show to prevent enthusiastic perjurers from claiming that they saw the wicked Germans touch lighted matches to baby Kikes and make them blaze like torches of pitch pine soaked in kerosene, or from affirming that they could tell from the color of the smoke whether the sacred Sheenies then being incinerated came from Hungary, Poland, or some other coun-
1. Cf. *Liberty Bell*, August 1988, pp. 1-8.

try. Despite the best efforts of schools and boob-tubes, there are still Aryans intelligent enough to be unconvinced by such exuberant exercises of malevolent fancy.

Probably because some Englishmen are beginning to feel misgivings about the Holofoax, Prime Ministress Maggie, the well-known mannequin created by a pair of malodorous Sheenies whose parents crawled into England from Iraq in 1945,² and her staff of Jews and prostituted Britons decided to bless the demoralized survivors of a once great nation with a terrorist gang, similar to the "Office of Special Investigations" in the United States. The pimps in the House of Commons obediently enacted the revocation of British law by an overwhelming majority. But the treasonous measure was rejected by the House of Lords, also by an overwhelming majority. That was noteworthy, even astonishing at first sight.

Despite what you probably heard from a "Liberal" gasbag, if you took a course in "Political Science" or Modern History when you were in college, the House of Lords has always been the moderator that preserved, as best it could, the stability of Great Britain and the vaunted liberty of Englishmen. It may be compared to the governor that prevents a steam engine from running ever faster until it destroys itself. It is true that the Lords sometimes failed when they should have acted, but the decline of Great Britain may be measured by the successive reductions in the power of the House of Lords. What may have astonished you was that that body still has a sense of responsibility and integrity.

The doom of Britain, then Great, was made inevitable in 1911, when, with the shameful connivance of the new and weak king, George V, the British constitution was irretrievably shattered by stripping the House of Lords of its power to veto deleterious legislation (it now can only delay it for a short time), and by permitting the members of the House of Commons to pay themselves from the public treasury. That made possible the rise of thugs like Lloyd George and eventually 2. See *Liberty Bell*, July 1986, pp. 1-7.

scum like Harold Wilson. And it made possible the suicidal folly of the First World War.³

What is left of the hereditary aristocracy of once-great Britain, now largely polluted by infusions of Jewish blood through miscegenation,⁴ has become demoralized and effete. Some have renounced their rank (see the listing of the peerage in the current issue of *Whitaker's Almanach*); many were impoverished in one way or another by the Jews' sabotage of the British Empire and Britain itself; some have been reduced to strange expedients to maintain themselves; and almost all have been deprived of their ancestral homes and their dignity. Most of the survivors seldom take the trouble to attend sessions of the House of Lords, of which they are, of course, members by heredity.

The House of Lords includes a considerable number of undisguised Jews and a Lordly Rabbi, the peer of the Archbishops of Canterbury and York, who, corrupt as they are, must wince when they have to look at him. Many members are Jews pretending to be British or *Mischlinge*. The active majority of the House is now largely composed of prominent politicians who have been boosted into the peerage for disservice to their country. (E.g. Anthony Eden became the Earl of Avon and Harold Macmillan was transformed into Lord Stockton.) A particularly influential group within the House is formed by the "Law Lords," ennobled jurists and eminent judges.

3. The work of substantive treason was carried out by the Liberal Party, a pack of male ideologues and sentimental females, headed by Asquith, a moral weakling who loved peace so much that he precipitated the First World War, much as another weakling, Chamberlain, completed the suicide of Britain by beginning the Second World War. Asquith is credited with an asinine justification of his war: if Britain did not destroy Germany, British industry would have to work harder to retain its dominance of the world's markets. The Jews, needless to say, worked zealously, as usual, to subvert and destroy Britain, but, so far as I know, no one has made a detailed study of their part in contriving the fatal "reforms" of 1911.

4. See *Liberty Bell*, November 1983, pp. 1-4, with the reference to 'Populism' and 'Élitism,' pp. 60-67. The eminent Jewish ethnologist, Dr. Alfred Nossig, may be right in claiming that even "a single little drop" of Jewish blood will pervert and derange an Aryan's mind.

According to John Tyndall, the present House of Lords "consists of much the same collection of wet liberals, Jew-lovers, and Hitler-haters as the Commons." There is, however, the crucial difference that members of the House of Commons, like American Congressmen, know that if they disobey their Jewish masters in even the slightest matter, they will never be reelected, whereas the Lords are not subject to that kind of control. Furthermore, the peerage is still a mark of distinction, and a member of that order is encouraged to retain his self-respect. The Lords, including *Mischlinge* and, it seems, even some Jews, were not willing to descend to notorious prostitution by open treason, formally revoking all British law and the very concept of legality. Thus the overwhelming majority refused assent to the outrageous act of the Commons.

One who spoke in favor of law was Lord Hailsham, who had been the Lord Chancellor and head of Britain's legal system, which he did not wish to see subverted and annulled. In his speech, he denounced the proposed legislation as legal nonsense, but then he saw a Jew frowning at him, and despite his security as a Lord for the rest of his life, he cringed and cowered before his masters, and said, in abject apology:

"Sometimes when I look at my Jewish friends, I wonder how they can possibly regard me, as a Christian and a Gentile, with other than detestation."

The noble lord need not wonder. 'Detestation' may not be the *mot juste*, but he may be sure that his Jewish "friends," behind their bland smiles and lubricated words:

1. Regard him with contempt as a specimen of an inferior species of mammal. As is implied in the "Old Testament"⁵ and explicitly stated in the Talmud, only Jews are human beings,

5. In most of that collection of tales, written or edited during or after the fifth century B.C., when the Jews' religion was drastically converted from a polytheism to a misogynist henotheism, the Jews are the exclusive concern of Yahweh, a god superior to the gods of inferior races, whom he can beat up when they get into the way of his darlings, but when, probably before the first century B.C., the Jews converted their religion to a monotheism, it followed that they were the *only* race esteemed by the *only* god. From that position, the doctrine in the Talmud logically follows.

while dogs, cats, Aryans, Mongolians, Semites, pigs, etc. are animals that cannot own property and are at the disposal of the only race of humans.

2. Regard him with contempt for being so gullible as to believe their patently fictitious Holofoax;

3. Regard him with even greater contempt for his moral imbecility. Believing the canard that the Germans gassed or steamed or vaporized six million Kikes, he is so fatuous as to be emotionally upset.

A morally sound and rational Aryan in his place would have done no more than wonder whether the Germans had not been a little too severe in exterminating six million enemy aliens, members of a parasitic race that had officially declared war on them in 1933 and was whole-heartedly determined to exterminate all Germans, but would also reflect that the Germans, after all, had not only given the invaders every opportunity to withdraw, but had made great efforts, even financial, to help them emigrate. Viable nations and races never feel responsible for what happens to other races.

The Jews, who, however much we may dislike them, are now the biologically superior race, whose intense racial solidarity and the hatred of all other races that unites them enabled their small tribe of barbarous nomads to conquer the world in less than three thousand years, would be only delighted by extermination of six or sixty million Aryans or Semites or Mongolians.

The Japanese, who are a great nation and think of themselves as the Yamoto, a "special race" (*shido minzoku*), would never do more than shrug their shoulders, if they had exterminated six million Americans or Vietnamese or Turks or Arabs or Chinese, although they might privately wonder whether the policy had been mistaken and diminished their commercial prosperity, and in the presence of foreigners their habitual courtesy would make them say, "Very sorry. Excuse, please."

What is truly remarkable, the Chinese Communists, after the United States installed them in power, notoriously and systematically murdered at least twelve million of *their own*

people, but even anti-Communist Chinese do not have moralistic tantrums and imagine a racial guilt, although they denounce the policy as having been destructive of Chinese culture and of the genetically better part of the population.

The fact is that Aryans are the only race afflicted by a silly superstition about the "sanctity of human life" and given to moralistic fits and snivelling about the losses of other races, even today, when it is obvious that the overcrowded planet can be saved for human life only by exterminations on a scale hitherto unknown and unimagined.

For that matter, even Americans become idiotically hysterical only when the Sacred Race is slighted. As I remarked a moment ago, when the Chinese Communists were put in power by American traitors employed by the Jews, they murdered many millions of the Chinese, including the best part of the nation, but although the Americans really had a moral responsibility, since they delivered China to the Communists, they did not really care. A few Americans expressed disapproval of the massacres, a very few perceived that China had been made a potentially formidable enemy that would attack them when that became expedient, and even fewer called for preëemptive action to avert future disasters.

When Franklin Roosevelt's accomplice, Stalin, murdered twelve or more million Ukrainians with special brutality, forcing them to starve to death by confiscating their crops, some Americans expressed disapproval, and some, who did not know that they were themselves being surreptitiously subjected to Communist rule, remarked on the nature of Communists and feared them, but no American was really worried, although the victims were fellow Aryans, members of our minority and endangered race. No American felt guilty, although he had a moral responsibility as a member of the nation that had saved and established the Judaeo-Communist tyranny in Russia,⁶

6. In 1921 the United States made the first of its many and costly efforts to subsidize and perpetuate in power the Judaeo-Communist régime that had been imposed by Jewish bankers on the unfortunate Russians. See *Liberty Bell*, February 1989, pp. 26-27.

and he, as a taxpayer, had worked hard to endow and sustain the inhuman butchers.

4. Lord Hailsham may be certain that his Jewish "friends" regard him with special contempt because he, an Englishman, citizen of a nation which has for centuries coddled its invaders, feels guilt for what he imagines the Germans did to the Kikes who had invaded their country.

Only the British and the Americans are so mentally confused and morally perverted that they feel a *racial* guilt because (as they have been made to believe) their fellow Aryans, the Germans, exterminated a few millions of aliens of an enemy race on their territory. The extermination may have been ill-advised and even cruel, but that would be a question for Germans to consider and none of our business, since we in no way participated in the supposed action.

That morbid perversion of morality merits the contempt of everyone, no matter what his race, who has not lost all contact with the real world. Although the Jews happily profit from moral idiocy, they recognize it as one manifestation of the biological inferiority of their victims.

If the recently ennobled Lord Hailsham⁷ wanted to feel guilty, he, as an Englishman and Aryan, could have assumed rationally an unsupportable burden of guilt for atrocious crimes:

1. As one small example out of thousands, he shared national guilt because a Sheeny in British uniform had subjected Richard Höss to fiendish tortures for three whole days to extort from a broken and dehumanized mass of quivering flesh a lying "confession" that could be used in putting over the mondial swindle called "the Holocaust."⁸ The Sheeny, to be sure, was merely obeying the sadistic instincts of his race and the venomous hatred of all other races that is the secret of his race's amazing power and bloody triumphs, but he was able to

7. He was the Right Honorable Quintin McGarel Hogg before he was made a Life Peer, i.e., given a kind of second-class nobility which will not be hereditary and pass to his heirs.

8. See Dr. Robert Faurisson, "How the British Obtained the Confessions of Richard Höss," *Journal of Historical Review*, VII (1988), pp. 389-403.

do that only because the British permitted, encouraged, and supported a sadism that undegenerate Aryans instinctively regard with repulsion as savagery. Now multiply that example by a few thousand specific instances of comparable guilt.

2. As an Englishman he shared the guilt for one of the most heinous crimes of recorded history—the blood-guilt for the death or mutilation of all the British civilians, men and women, who were killed or crippled by the bombing raids carried out by German planes and rockets. Of the facts there is no possible doubt. The Principal Secretary of the British Air Ministry, J. M. Spaight, boasted in 1944 of Britain's brilliant strategy in carrying out secretly intensive bombing of German open cities in order to kill so many German non-combatants, innocent men, women, and children, that Hitler would be forced to retaliate with bombing raids that would kill enough British civilians, innocent men, women, and children, to generate enthusiasm for a contrived war against the Germans, who would thus show themselves so barbarous that they bombed open cities, in gross violation of scores of solemn treaties between the nations of Europe and all the canons of civilized warfare sanctioned by our racial sense of decency and honor, which requires us to spare non-combatants in war.

Of the ghastly truth of Spaight's boast there can be no doubt; the facts are established by the relative dates of the bombing attacks on open cities in Germany and Britain.

There can be no crime more vile, more revolting, than the crime of a government which contrives the death and mutilation of thousands of its own people to obtain their willing participation in a war for their own destruction. And by this atrocious and nauseating crime, the Judaized British forfeited all claim to be a civilized nation.⁹

9. The terrible crime was carried out secretly and without the knowledge of the British, it is true, and the nation as a whole could have escaped moral responsibility for it by hanging Churchill, Air Marshall Sir Arthur Harris, Mr. Spaight, and other authors of the "grand strategy" when the facts became known. This the British did not do; they thus assumed the guilt as a nation and involved in that guilt every Briton who was adult at that time.

3. As an Englishman, he was guilty of the deaths of hundreds of thousands of English men and women who, as soldiers or civilians, were killed in an insane and suicidal war to appease the monstrous egomania of a half-English sot, who served as a lackey to his Jewish masters, and who kept secret all the offers of peace and preservation of Britain and its Empire made by Adolf Hitler in his anxiety to avoid the destruction of an empire that he recognized as indispensable to the world's equilibrium. The guilt of Churchill included the foul treachery by which Rudolf Hess was lured to Britain, imprisoned and tortured, in violation of all the practical standards of war, observed even by barbarians, which guarantee the safety of an invited envoy.¹⁰ To call Churchill a beast is to defame all quadrupeds. The inexpiable guilt was augmented by that of all the succeeding governments of Britain, who kept Hess incarcerated under inhuman conditions until the government of Prime Ministress Maggie finally murdered the aged and almost helpless old man in a vain effort to preserve the heinous secret.¹¹

4. And if the burden of guilt for satanically depraved crimes against his own people was not sufficient for Lord Hailsham, he specifically shared guilt for the agony and death of all the thousands of his fellow Aryans, innocent men, women, and children, who perished in the fiery holocaust of Dresden¹² and other open cities bombed by Englishmen who had ceased to be human.

5. As an Englishman, he furthermore shared guilt for all the lives lost in that war, which the Jews and their monster in the White House could not have contrived without use of Great Britain as a cat's paw.

6. He shared the guilt—but why continue? A chronicle of British guilt for what they as a nation actually did to

10. See David Irving, *Churchill's War*, Volume I (Bullsbrook, Australia; Veritas, 1987; available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$30. + postage), pp. 557-562.

11. See *Liberty Bell*, June 1988, pp. 8-9.

12. See David Irving, *The Destruction of Dresden* (New York, Holt, Rinehart, Winston, 1964).

members of their race abroad and to *their own people* would require a résumé of British history since 1914.

But of all the real guilt that Lord Hailsham could have taken as a crushing burden on his own shoulders, he said not a word, but preferred to feel an idiotic guilt for something that had never happened and in which Britain was not even said to have had a part! And, so doing, he groveled like a mangy and famished cur at the feet of his alien masters.

One of the miserable hirelings who scribble for the Jews in newspapers that are British only in the sense that they are printed in Britain, quoted the Lord's self-abasing words, which I transcribed above, and opined:

"He was surely speaking for every thoughtful person of the Christian tradition."

There could be no clearer proof that the Jews' mystery religion, a spiritual syphilis, has rotted the minds of our race and induced paralysis of our will to live.

HERO À LA MODE

On 25 July 1876 a regiment of cavalry, sent by General Terry in advance of his troops for reconnaissance, and under the command of Colonel George Armstrong Custer,¹ entered the valley of the Little Big Horn in what is now southeastern Montana. Custer, probably deceived by his scouts and eager to win a brilliant victory before his commander arrived, rashly divided his regiment, which was far below full strength, into three detachments, retaining some 250 men under his immediate command, and sending the other

1. His rank was Lieutenant Colonel, although he held a command normally given to a full Colonel. Custer was an experienced soldier, having fought with distinction throughout the invasion of the South in 1861-1865, and attaining a certain fame because of his youth. He became a brigadier general when he was twenty-four, and a major general by brevet when he was twenty-six. When the Northern Army was greatly reduced in numbers after the conquest of the South, all ranks were necessarily reduced to a peace-time footing. I shall not enter into the endless controversy about Custer's character. His complete and efficient defeat of the Cheyenne at Washita in 1868 was a brilliant victory; whether he unnecessarily abandoned a small party of his own men is a question that could be answered only by someone who has the divine power to know precisely what was the situation *and the commander's understand-*

two detachments to what he thought was the rear of the Indian horde to prevent its escape.

Some four thousand well-mounted Indians, led by a chief named Crazy Horse, surrounded Custer and the small body of men he had kept with him (including his younger brother) and, after hard fighting, killed all of them. The two other detachments of the ill-fated regiment succeeded in defending themselves until General Terry arrived with the main body of his troops.²

The result of a battle with such disparity of numbers was never in doubt, but you are seldom told that the firearms in the hands of the Indians were much superior to those used by the American cavalry. Greedy traitors had sold to the savages the latest and much improved rifles and carbines, while the total corruption of the Republican administration in Washington extended to the War Department, which left our troops equipped with obsolete weapons.

A monument, surrounded by graves, and erected when the United States was still a nation, now marks the site of "Custer's Last Stand."

The newspaper called *USA Today*, in a feature article on 19 July 1990, reports that a mountain near the town of Custer in South Dakota is being carved into a huge figure of the great hero, Crazy Horse, riding with "dignity" on his stallion. The carving on the mountain will make a monument taller than the Washington Monument in the District of Corruption. It will be the largest sculptured monument in the world. We are glowingly told that a ten-storey building could stand between the savage's out-

ing of that situation at every moment during the battle. As for the "Liberal" pests who yelp about Custer's "massacre" of the savages, they are beneath contempt.

2. Far be it from me to enter into the wrangling about the conduct of Major Reno, who not only saved the detachment under his command but probably also ensured the survival of the detachment under Captain Benteen. Even if the unverified allegations made against him were true, they did not justify the persecution to which he was subjected.

stretched arm and the mane of his horse, and that a five-room house could be placed in the horse's flared nostril. The design and engineering of the monument was the work of an immigrant, the late Korczak Ziolkowski (who may or may not have been Polish), and the huge task is now under the direction of his widow. No date has been set for completion of the monument, placed derisively near the town that was named for ill-fated Colonel Custer. The project is enthusiastically endorsed by the Governor of South Dakota, a politician named Mickelson, and is being financed by contributions, most of which, no doubt, come from stupid Americans.³

So Crazy Horse is a great hero? With four thousand savages, equipped with superior weapons, he destroyed a party of about 250 white men. How heroic! you will exclaim satirically, but you miss the point. He slew white men, Americans, and, in the estimate of our degenerate contemporaries, that godly work is enough to make him a great hero.

Crazy Horse? Crazy Americans. □

3. The term 'American' properly applies only to Aryans in the United States, members of the race that took the country from the savages. The term could etymologically apply to every bit of land and every inhabitant of the Western Hemisphere, from the North Pole to Cape Horn, but it is ours by prescriptive right: we took it for ourselves, having no distinctive name (such as 'Canada' or 'Brazil') for our country. Applying our name to other persons and peoples can only cause confusion.

THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE
OVER THOSE
WHO CANNOT READ!

LETTER FROM A GERMAN CORRESPONDENT

We have received a copy of an interesting letter from a German correspondent who wishes to remain anonymous. He has, however, given us permission to quote from the letter, which was written in a fluent English and which we find of considerable significance with regard to current discussion of the "Holocaust" question.

The writer of the letter served in the German armed forces and saw service in Unkraine and eastern Poland during 1943-1944. He points out in a letter to me that "Stalin's killer gangs" killed some 600,000 to 800,000 German soldiers in Poland and the USSR in spite of the existence of the special security forces (Einsatzgruppen). In this connection we call attention to the important book on this subject by Rudolf Aschenbauer, *Krieg ohne Grenzen / Der Partisanenkampf gegen Deutschland 1939-1945* (War without Limits / The Partisan War against Germany 1939-1945), Leoni: Druffel-Verlag, 1982.

The letter was addressed to the well-known English historian, David Irving, and dated 8 July 1990. Irving has lectured to audiences in North America and Germany and Austria, where he lectures in German. The following are selected parts of the letter with some minor editing:

—Charles E. Weber

You may or may not be aware of the fact that Swiss-born Revisionist of long standing, Dr. Max Wahl of Winterthur, has taken you severely to task for your Graz, or rather Leoben lecture. Initially, I was rather angry at Dr. Wahl and thought that he had gone a bit too far in his criticism, so I obtained the audio-cassette in order to come to my own conclusions. I had heard you on various occasions in this country [Germany], in Toronto and on video-tapes from Vancouver and other places, and I thought that what Dr. Wahl said in his article could not possibly be true. I heard you in Porta Westfalica and in Munich on 21 June, and I was greatly impressed by what you had to say on these occasions....

I have no words either in English or German to describe properly how DISGUSTED I was when listening to your Graz tape. My initial impression was that "there is a man who—for whatever

reasons—ventured out into the field of Revisionism for a while, thought twice about it in the meantime, and is now desperately struggling to sneak back into the safe harbour of Exterminationism.”

Mr. Irving, what is the use of saying that “O.K., the gas chambers did not exist, but the ‘Holocaust’ did happen after all and Hitler and the Nazis committed “übermenschlich große Verbrechen während des Zweiten Weltkriegs und auch vorher?” [superhumanly large crimes during the Second World War and even previously]. In saying this, you are precisely in the same boat with Dr. Raul Hilberg, who said to a large U.S. newspaper that “perhaps Leuchter is right and those facilities in Auschwitz and Maidanek were, in fact, unsuitable for mass killing, but it is IR-RELEVANT!” Exactly, the “good news” that the gas chambers did not exist is indeed entirely irrelevant if even a renowned Revisionist like David Irving says: “Ich kann nicht leugnen daß...etc., etc.” [I cannot deny that...etc., etc.] Hilberg has now known for quite a while that the gas chamber yarn does not really hold water, so he keeps on harping on those Einsatzgruppen. He said in Toronto in 1985 that the Einsatzgruppen alone murdered some 2.5 million Jews. However, he “forgot” to mention that even during those infamous Nuremberg show trials the prosecution alleged that some 90,000 people were killed by the Einsatzgruppen. It is well known that Dr. Ohlendorf and Oswald Pohl were brutally tortured and that Ohlendorf’s “confession” is not worth the paper it is written on, in short, that even the figure of 90,000 was greatly exaggerated. I said that this is well known, although I must say that the subject of the Einsatzgruppen has not yet been sufficiently dealt with by the Revisionist school of historiography.

As you are aware, *perestroika* in the Soviet Union has brought about a significant Revisionism, not only in the Soviet Union itself but also in all East European countries. Mass graves with tens, even hundreds of thousands of victims of Stalin’s bloody regime, of Tito’s Bolshevik killer gangs (see enclosed news item). Mass graves are also being discovered on the territory of the German Democratic Republic (Buchenwald and elsewhere), but again, *these are not Hitler’s victims*.

It is therefore not unreasonable to ask: “Where are all the mass graves of those 2.5 million Jews killed by roughly 3,000 members of the Einsatzgruppen?” Were the Bolshevik authorities under Stalin too sensitive not to hurt the feelings of the German people, so that they tactfully refrained from opening those mass graves and presenting them to the Nuremberg prosecutors as evi-

dence? The answer is quite simple: Those mass graves never existed and those mass killings never happened! The story goes, however, that the tiny outfit operating under the designation of “Einsatzgruppen” for a limited period of time (they no longer existed in early 1944) not only summarily killed their unfortunate victims at the edge of mass graves which the victims had dug themselves, but that the Einsatzgruppen piled them up in there like sardines in a tin can and that, further, they later exhumed them all again on Himmler’s orders in a top-secret mission so that “posterity” would not learn of the crimes!!! [By way of contrast, when the Germans found the mass graves at Katyn in 1943 they immediately exhumed the bodies of the Polish victims and attempted to inform the world about the crime. — Editor]

The most insidious part of your Leoben speech was your repetition of the oft-told cock and bull story that the Germans went around shooting 5,000 to 20,000 (or more?) alongside of pits and neatly packed them like sardines to “save space.” This is so absolutely absurd and incredible that it does extensive damage, not to the reputation of the German nation (for even the inmate of a lunatic asylum would not fall victim to such a yarn), but to the reputation of David Irving and, to a certain extent, to Revisionism, if one erroneously believes that David Irving belongs to that category of historians: I tell you quite frankly that after your Leoben “revelations” I personally have my doubts about that.

Euthanasia: It is correct that Hitler signed the euthanasia decree and back-dated it to 1 September 1939. Being a frontline soldier of the First World War, Hitler still had horrible visions of overcrowded field hospitals with insufficient medical equipment and insufficient personnel, while on the other hand precious hospital space was occupied to perpetuate the suffering and in many cases next to unbearable pain in places like Bethel near Bielefeld. Did you ever visit such a place? So, as the term “euthanasia” (=Gnadentot, mercy death) suggests, was it really such a terrible crime to relieve those people of their suffering *after careful medical examination and a doctor’s decision in every single case?* I personally think not, and besides, Hitler stopped the Grandentot Operation after heavy protests from Church circles. So why mention these things more than 45 years after the events when the subject of your lecture was the most gigantic lie that was ever meted out to the whole nation?

AN OPEN LETTER

Maj. Joe Stano, USAF-Ret.
260 Navesink Ave.
Highlands, N.J. 07732
(201) 291-0110
26 September 1990

Mr. Sal J. Foderaro
The Asbury Park Press
3601 Highway 66
Box 1550
Neptune, N.J. 07754

Dear Mr. Foderaro:

After *Asbury Park Press* Staff Writer Steve Giegerich wrote a lengthy article branding Lt. Cmdr. Ed Toner and myself as "the Shore's resident fascists" — an actionable slander — Aaron Schectman was permitted an episode of bad-mouthing in "Viewpoints," and sixteen year old Marni Fruchtman was allowed a childish tantrum in the reader's "Forum."

Any reputable newspaper would have allowed us a response if it had published this *Press* tirade against us — journalistic integrity would have demanded it. As we both know, the *Press* is not a reputable newspaper and the *Press* "don't do integrity." However, I have nevertheless attached my response to Schectman's howl about a Holocaust "numbers game," confident that it will be censored like all my other responses to personal attacks in the *Press*, and all my other attempts to correct the outrageous assaults on history that routinely fill the pages of the *Press*.

As usual, this article will be published elsewhere, thereby contributing to the growing reputation of the *Asbury Park Press* as the most inaccurate and highly censored newspaper in the whole of the United States. Indeed, Mr. Foderaro, Ed Toner and I have brought the *Asbury Park Press* this well-deserved fame, and people, all across this broad nation of ours, have become

War crimes: I am not saying that during the war the Germans were all angels. War itself is a crime against humanity and disgusting things happened on both sides. But, Mr. Irving, have you forgotten that the war was only two days old when the Poles committed horrible crimes against the German population in Bromberg and other places, killing thousands of them only because they were Germans? [See *Bulletin 39*, which was republished in *Liberty Bell* of October 1989.] Now do you think the British army or occupational authorities would have reacted in a similar case? Was it the Germans, or the Poles and Soviets who turned the campaign in the east to what it became in the end? Have you never heard of Stalin's Bolshevik hordes who even out-did Genghis Khan's Mongols of bygone days?

After all, the Germans, who valiantly fought for their country and, in fact, for the rest of Western civilization and succeeded in preventing Stalin's hordes from watering their horses on the Rhine or on the Thames were the same people who fought with Rommel in Africa and who were lauded for their fairness even by the British enemy. Was it a different section of the German nation who committed these "übermenschlich große Verbrechen" in the East?

To put it in a nutshell, a Holocaust did, in fact, occur but not in Auschwitz, Maidanek, Treblinka, Kiev and elsewhere at the hands of the Germans, but in Hamburg, Dresden, Hiroshima, Nagasaki and other places by British gentlemen and Roosevelt's Christian soldiers. In terms of "war crimes" Adolf Hitler was a Sunday School teacher in comparison to Churchill, Stalin and Roosevelt. MORE PEOPLE DIED IN LESS THAN 3 HOURS IN DRESDEN THAN 5 YEARS IN AUSCHWITZ!

* * * * *

A NOTEWORTHY PROPHECY

On 29 June 1941, former President Herbert Hoover made the following prophecy in a radio address:

"If we go further and join the war and we win, then we have won for Stalin the grip of communism on Russia.... Again I say, if we join the war and Stalin wins, we have aided him to impose more communism on Europe and the world. At least we could not with such a bedfellow say to our sons that by making the supreme sacrifice, they are restoring freedom to the world. War alongside Stalin to impose freedom is more than a travesty. It is a tragedy."

well aware of a journalistic aroma clinging to the east coast of New Jersey.

Mr. Foderaro, you may not believe it, but even super-market tabloids routinely allow a response to anyone appearing in their pages. It's true—take my word on it. The *Asbury Park Press* could learn a great deal about journalistic integrity from these superior publications. Publications like *The National Inquirer* and *The Star*. And given, let us say, three or four years of intensive effort, the *Press* might even become nearly as accurate as these cash register tabloids.

Of course, any movement by the *Press* towards accuracy and integrity, would require the *Press* to fire its staff of censors and propagandists, like Sunday Editor, and censor, Gary Schoening, your Jewish propagandist who routinely censors anything that doesn't agree with the Jewish viewpoint or the interest of the State of Israel. One does not need propagandists—professional liars—on a newspaper with integrity. Yes, I realize that integrity doesn't come cheap and the *Press* will probably have to fire most of its staff; however, becoming a reputable newspaper will be worth it.

If, for some reason, you doubt that censorship on the *Press* is as rampant as I say it is, I have, on file, scores of letters written to the *Asbury Park Press* by reputable individuals and organizations that were summarily censored by Schoening and others just like him because they did not agree with the Jew-view of history that pervades the *Press*.

My latest example is a classic: On 2 September 1990, *Press* Staff Writer Derek B. Lipscombe had his massive article—with five colored pictures—published by the *Asbury Park Press*. This *Press* tome was entitled: "Black unit fought in segregated Army."

Amongst the many gross historical inaccuracies one found in this World War Two fiction was that former members of a black tank unit that Lipscombe had interviewed claimed they had liberated Dachau Concentration Camp by smashing through the gate.

Of course, this was all nonsense. Anyone familiar with this aspect of the Second World War knows it was units of the 45th

Infantry Division (specifically, the 157th Infantry Regiment) that liberated Dachau. It was a division famous for its "Thunderbird" Indian emblem and the large numbers of American Indians in its ranks. Not surprisingly, the 45th had once been part of the Oklahoma National Guard, ergo all the Indians.

Lt. Cmdr. Ed Toner could only contact one of the four black heroes of Dachau. The fellow immediately retracted his liberation of Dachau story—he never was in Dachau. Instead, he reflected, with some pleasure, on how he had "liberated" the property of a Bürgermeister's [mayor's] house. Of course, he referred to it as "the Bugle-meister's house." But I guess that's close enough for "government work."

Ed Toner wrote the *Asbury Park Press* with these facts and directed the attention of Staff Writer Lipscombe to the book, *Dachau*, written by Col. Howard A. Buechner, Medical Corps, A.U.S. Ret., who was actually at Dachau during the liberation and not out looting some Mayor's house.

For his efforts to correct the gross distortion of well documented history that was published in the *Press*, Ed Toner got a nasty letter from Sunday Editor, and censor, Gary Schoening.

Schoening found that our black hero's recanting of his tall tale to be "without merit." The fact that the fellow now admits he lied is "without merit"? Suspicions confirmed: On the *Asbury Park Press*, a lie is just as valid as the truth.

Schoening also said that the *Asbury Park Press* "did not have the resources nor are we prepared to research your presentation of history." Well...World War Two was such a small war, and that's why they have no records of it at the *Press*.

Clearly, Schoening was quite enamored in having black troops liberate Dachau—even if it was a complete lie. Or, to quote the immortal bard, "The play's the thing." And Hollywood is so much more interesting than history. And with Hollywood, one can easily rewrite history....as in Holocaust. So Schoening quickly shoved Ed Toner's letter down the ol' Orwellian Memory Hole.

It is most interesting to note that a two page letter from Michael E. Gonzales, Curator of the 45th Infantry Division Museum

in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, met with the same fate as Ed Toner's letter: down the monstrous *Asbury Park Press* Memory Hole. Perhaps that's what happened to the record of World War Two at the Press?

One would think that the curator of a museum would have been considered a reputable authority. Perhaps he would be on a reputable newspaper; however, the *Press* much prefers Hollywood to history. The response of *Press* Staff Writer Lipscombe was most amusing. He kept insisting that he "had tapes" of his black heroes to prove his story. If having a tape of someone telling a "war story" is sufficient proof of an historical event, one could easily tape a thrilling tale from one who witnessed the 7 December 1941 Jap bombing of...NEWARK! Had Lipscombe bothered to consult a hundred different reference books on World War Two he could have documented a hundred times over that the war stories he was getting were all lies. But, like Schoening, he fell in love with the tale of black troops smashing through the gates of Dachau, in their tanks, to free all the Jews inside. The fact that it was all Hollywood and not history did not matter to Schoening or Lipscombe, it was a new tale to add to all the other tales of the Holocaust, and both of these "journalists" were quite prepared to cover up the truth.

Nothing new, Ed and I run into this routine all the time—it's typical Holocaust. Lipscombe cooks up a juicy piece of Holocaust fiction; Schoening swears that it's true; Lipscombe and Schoening cover up the truth and try to stonewall it behind their anti-Semitic shield; if troublemakers like Ed and Joe point out the truth, *Press* Staff Writer Steve Giegerich can always call them "fascists" and count on the *Asbury Park Press* to deny them the right to respond to the slanders. A citizen of New Jersey dealing with the *Asbury Park Press* is much like a Palestinian dealing with the Israelis: they've got all the guns, and you are never allowed to shoot back.

If the *Asbury Park Press* was a reputable newspaper instead of a propaganda rag it would be grateful to Ed Toner for doing the research that its staff writers should have done. If there was even a scintilla of journalistic integrity in the *Press* organization,

jokers like Lipscombe, Schoening and Giegerich would be fired for "creating" news. However, the *Asbury Park Press* tirelessly documents itself, year after year, as the most unreliable rag in the United States.

My documentation of the *Press* as a horrible example in the field of journalism goes back some seven years. Back to the time when your Executive Editor Jobson, was so frightened of the New Jersey Jewish Federation, that he accepted their Blacklist of Americans who would be banned from even having their letters published in the reader's "Forum" of the *Asbury Park Press*. Jobson was so clearly terrified of the New Jersey Jewish Federation, that one suspects he left a trail of small puddles everywhere he went.

Now, "fess up," Mr. Foderaro, when you went to journalism school, your professors had no more horrible example of shoddy, yellow journalism than the newspaper on which you now serve—am I right? Well, your rag will get my personal Booby Prize when I publish my book entitled: *The Asbury Park Press: Jersey Rag!*"

And do remember, old boy, I can document—again and again—the reason why Budgies, all over New Jersey, choke...gasp...swoon...and flutter to the bottom of their cages, in a dead faint, when their owners thoughtlessly line the bottom of the cage with...GASP!...the *Asbury Park Press*!

So, keep up the good work. After all, where would I be without the *Asbury Park Press* to kick around?

Cordially,
Joe Stano

Holocaust figures skewed

I see that once again, along with my friend Maj. Stano, I have become a whipping boy for the *Asbury Park Press*. A little background, now.

In response to a Steve Giegerich column in which he alluded to the deaths of 6 million Jews during World

War II at the hands of the Nazis, I sent a clipping to him from the *Press* dated July 18. The AP wire service item, buried on page B10, stated very clearly that plaques overstating the number of deaths at Auschwitz-Birkenau had been removed. Instead of the 4 million, the number has been reduced to

somewhere around 1.1 million, a saving of some 2.9 million lives from the inflated figure of 6 million, so dearly held by so many in Hollywood.

This revelation was made only after an Israeli professor of Holocaust studies, Dr. Yehuda Bauer, said it was so. Never mind all we "revisionists" who have known this for years. Now it could be told. The 6 million exterminated Jews is in fact a myth, "Shoah" not withstanding.

By means of a hand-written note, below the AP item, I chided Giegerich about his continued use, in his Libitzky story, of the erroneous figure of 6 million.

Asbury Park Press/Friday, August 24, 1990

For my trouble, I am labeled as one of two fascists in the Shore area. (Howell is shore? Steve's geography is as weak as his arithmetic.)

I have to wonder why it is that the news of 2.9 million lives, instead of corpses, is such sad news? News like this belongs on page 1, not B10, along with as many details as possible, as well as the good news that the other "death" camps also severely exaggerated their casualty figures — to the extent that more than 6 million Jews survived, not died, during this "holocaust."

EDWARD J. TONER JR.,
Howell Township

V IEWPOINTS

Asbury Park Press/Friday, September 7, 1990

Trivializing tragedy with a numbers game

I regret to note that the letter by E.J. Toner Jr., appearing in the Aug. 24 Asbury Park Press, received bold headlining: "Holocaust figures skewed." The Press, by so doing, gives credence to the claims made by Toner.

He is evidently beating the drum that has sounded ever since the end of World War II, complaining that not enough Jews had been killed as a consequence of that war. By arguing about numbers, he attempts to triv-

ialize the impact of the event that singled out one religious minority for punishment for imagined crimes of decide and racial impurity.

What Toner and other vocal anti-Semites try to accomplish is to make this stupendous criminal act the object of bickering about magnitude so as to conclude that it never happened, and who cares, anyway? If the numbers can be reduced, so the claim goes, then who can believe the event ever hap-

pened?

A careful reading of history, not the hysterical claims of the haters, will show many differing uses of the statistics that came out of that conflict. The numbers, whether they be 2.9 million or 6 million, never included the countless other lives that were diminished, both Jews and non-Jews. The figures generally accepted have been that 6 million Jews and 6 million non-Jews were slaughtered as a result of the attempt to subjugate Europe by "fascists."

It is interesting to note that many non-Jewish Americans and Europeans who have been caught up in the events in Kuwait and Iraq have been treated

in the same way as the Jewish victims of Hitler's racial policies. Two lines were established for those detained. One line was for individuals and groups who were passed on. The other was for the Jews who were destined for degradation and destruction. The American and European hostages in Kuwait and Iraq who have been thus singled out have powerful friends who are doing something to aid them. The Jews in Europe in World War II had none.

AARON H. SCHECTMAN,
Chair, Judaic Studies Committee,
Monmouth College,
West Long Branch

Maj. Joe Stano, USAF-Ret.
260 Navesink Ave.
Highlands, N.J. 07732
(201) 2910110

19 September 1990

AN OPEN LETTER:

PLAYING THE NUMBERS GAME

Amongst the great herd of sacred Holocaust cows that graze upon the gullibility of the American people, nothing seems to infuriate the keepers of the sacred herd more than when a non-believer boots their bovine beast of "numbers" in the rump roast.

Reputable historians know that the difference between History and Hollywood is documentation. History is carefully documented and all the numbers add up. When one is dealing with Hollywood: anything goes, nothing is documented, and the numbers never add up.

When Lt. Cmdr. Ed Toner and I attempted to introduce some well-documented numbers into an undocumented Hollywood Holocaust scenario produced by Asbury Park Press staff

writer Steve Giegerich—for the sake of historical accuracy—Mr. Giegerich did not challenge any of our numbers. He responded with a long, hysterical article in which he anointed us as “the Shore’s resident fascists.” Alas, Mr. Giegerich is Jewish, with a strong aversion to playing the Holocaust numbers game.

Initially, Ed Toner was tempted to send Mr. Giegerich a map. Highlands, where I live, is indeed on the Jersey Shore; however, Ed Toner lives in Howell, a fifteen and a half mile drive from the beach. Of course, sending Mr. Giegerich any kind of documentation—like a map of New Jersey—would only produce another tantrum, so Ed Toner spared Giegerich another bout of hysteria.

Since Howell has now been Hollywoodized by Mr. Giegerich and the *Asbury Park Press* as the “Jersey Shore,” the residents might consider exploiting their new found status by selling beach badges to the tourists, so they might frolic in the sand and surf of Howell’s beach. One could point them east and send them off lugging their beach umbrellas, blankets and beer coolers, with the explanation that the tide was out, making Howell’s famous beach one of the widest in the world.

My fellow “beach bum,” Ed Toner, was allowed to respond to Mr. Giegerich’s long tantrum with a short letter in the *Press*. Unfortunately, he again made the mistake of quoting some documented numbers—which clearly infuriated Mr. Aaron H. Schectman, who lists himself as “Chair, Judaic Studies Committee, Monmouth College, West Long Branch.”

In a letter responding to the horrors of documented numbers being bandied about (copy attached), Mr. Schectman assumes two preposterous positions:

First of all, Mr. Schectman says that we “trivialize” the Holocaust by even dealing with numbers. Now, how could that be? The Holocaust is a number. And that number—as we’ve all been told endlessly—is “six million.” Why, without the “six million” the Holocaust would not be the same thing. It would be Panama without the canal; Niagara without the falls; modern dentistry without the Tooth Fairy!

Mr. Schectman’s second contention is that one should not try to certify the scope of the Holocaust, since the scope does

not effect the result. A silly sophistry one often hears where one is told that it matters little if six million Jews died or only one Jew died, in that, the results are precisely the same. Analogous to a fellow falling out of his row boat on a duck pond, and the *Titanic* going down in the North Atlantic—the results are precisely the same? Well...perhaps...amongst persons who have lost their ability to reason.

The American public, having been subjected to so much hysteria concerning Holocaust “numbers,” should be allowed to play the “numbers game” that they’ve heard so much about, and sample some of its numerical wonders. After all, we supposedly live in a free country, with a free press, where the people are allowed to decide issues for themselves, so what have we to fear? Surely not the truth? Therefore, let’s play the NUMBERS GAME.

NUMBER ONE:

Let us start with the longest list of numbers: the claims as to how many Jews were allegedly killed by the Germans in the Concentration Camps.

There were claims of fifty million. Forty-one million. Thirty-six million: Olga Lengyel’s claim in her book, *Five Chimneys*. Twenty-six million. Twenty-five million: the so-called “Gerstein Confession.”

We pause at this point to examine three very special numbers: eighteen million, twelve million and six million. These numbers represent the three decreasing claims made by Jewish organizations at the United Nations after the war. These were the claims made to justify the creation of the State of Israel.

The first claim of 18 million, and the second claim of 12 million, were dismissed as preposterous—amid the usual howls of “anti-Semitic.” Then, as now, any disagreement with any facet of the Holocaust, produces that age-old Pavlovian response: “Anti-Semitic!”

It was pointed out to the protesting Jewish organizations that their very own World Jewish Congress was fond of having a census of world Jewry, and in 1938, and again in 1939, the WJC listed 15 million Jews on the planet earth. Clearly, that elimi-

nated the 18 million claim. Also the 50, 41, 36, 26 and 25 million claims.

As for the 12 million claim, if 12 million Jews had died in the camps, only three million Jews remained on earth. With over six million Jews in the United States alone, the 12 million claim was clearly impossible.

In order to end any debate on the issue that would have dropped the numbers even lower, B'nai B'rith demanded that the Truman Administration and the Congress accept the new claim of 6 million. And they did—to no one's surprise. So, without a shred of documentation, the fabulous six million victims of the Holocaust became part of American folklore—as it would require documentation to make it part of American, or world, history.

It still didn't sell at the U.N., where some persons with a deep and abiding respect for historic truth demanded a world census of Jewry before acceptance of the six million figure.

Amazingly, even though the Jews had a census since the days of King David, and the WJC had a census in '38, and again in '39 before the war, a census was refused by Jewish organizations all over the globe, on the astonishing grounds that a census was now, and always had been, against their religion!

Not to fear, we still have a documented census. The World Council of Churches had a census of world Jewry in 1930 and came up with a figure of 15 million—the same numbers as the World Jewish Congress. Although no census was taken in 1940, because it was a war year, the World Council of Churches took a census of Jews in 1950 and came up with 15.3 million. With a near static population that had maintained the 15 million figure for generations, one was hard-put to find any massive losses in the Jewish population during the war. In fact, forty years later, the world's Jewish population is still estimated at little more than 18 million.

Of course, a census today, even at this late date, and research by demographers, would still be able to prove or disprove the 15.3 million figure taken after the war and even

document the losses sustained during the war. However, Jewish organizations still insist that a census is against their religion.

NUMBER TWO

The Germans in 1942 estimated 3.25 million Jews in all the occupied territories of the Third Reich. And this was at a time when they were winning the war and they occupied the most territory. Demographers, working after the war, came up with an estimate of 3.5 million Jews—maximum—under the control of the Germans during the war—most of whom survived the war. So, it would seem that the German numbers were quite accurate.

However, Jewish organizations demanded that the documented numbers be changed, raised to 9.5 million Jews under the control of the Germans to accommodate the 6 million undocumented "victims" newly awarded them by our generous American politicians. One simply doesn't bargain with documented historic numbers. Columbus set sail for the new world in 1492...PERIOD! One does not try to "make a deal" for another date. Historic numbers are not negotiable! That's Hollywood, not history.

NUMBER THREE

The fabulous, and completely undocumented six million Holocaust victims awarded Jewish organizations were carefully parceled out amongst all the former Concentration Camps—camps that stretched from Holland in the west to Poland in the east. And five of the fabulous six million were allotted to the camps in Western Europe and Germany, as a kind of guilt trip to inspire these nations to vote for the creation of a Jewish State at the United Nations. As we all know, it worked, and all the nations lumbered with these fabulous victims generously voted to establish a Jewish state out of Palestine—a nation they did not own.

This successful tactic soon backfired, when scientists and scholars in the free nations of Western Europe investigated the alleged "Death Camps" on their territory and found them all to be "Work Camps"—sans "gas chambers." By 1960, even the Yad Vashem Holocaust Memorial Center in Tel-Aviv, was forced

to admit there had never been any "Death Camps" or "gas chambers" in all of Western Europe or Germany.

In Orwell's book, *1984*, the hero, Winston Smith, was made to believe that 2 plus 2 equaled 5! When Western scientists and scholars proved that the five million victims allotted Western Europe and Germany had never existed, and all the "gas chambers" in these nations were complete frauds, one might assume that only the remaining one million alleged victims that had been allotted to the Concentration Camps behind the Iron Curtain now had to be checked. One would be wrong.

In a classic example of Orwellian mathematics, the original six million victims, minus the five million victims now proven to have never existed, still equaled...SIX MILLION! Or, 6 minus 5, equals...SIX!

Dachau had been famous for having a gas chamber disguised as a shower room, where 238,000 Jews were alleged to have been gassed. The plaque listing this "genocide" had to be removed when the fabulous "gas chambers" turned out to be nothing more than a shower room, and the fabulous 238,000 victims turned out to have never existed.

As with so many other Holocaust tales that were exposed as complete frauds, the mythical gas chamber of Dachau, and the mythical 238,000 victims, were simply tossed over the Iron Curtain into Poland, where the communist government could protect the fragile Holocaust myths from western scientists and scholars. Treblinka, Chelmno and Sobibor had all been Transient Camps, where Jews had been sent by the Germans to await resettlement in the newly occupied territories of the Soviet Union. In point of fact, these camps were closed in 1943, simply because the Russians had taken back the territory that had been held by the Germans, thereby negating any need for the camps.

With a severe housing shortage for the five million victims that had been allotted Western Europe and Germany, the camps in Poland, which had previously been allotted only one million victims, now had to make room for these five million refugees from the truth. So, Treblinka, Chelmno and Sobibor simply

had to be changed from Transient Camps to Death Camps, just to accommodate some of the refugee victims from the west.

The fabulous 238,000 Jews that had been gassed in the infamous Dachau "shower room," the victims that were the subject of so many tearful wreath-laying ceremonies at Dachau over the years, were unceremoniously dumped over the Iron Curtain into Treblinka, and now became part of the alleged 900,000 gassed Jews that had been newly allotted to Treblinka.

The Holocaust has become a Hollywood exercise in moveable history. Given this remarkable geographic fluidity, one might easily have the Boxer Rebellion fought in the streets of Newark, New Jersey—next Thursday.

NUMBER FOUR

Auschwitz, which is listed in my 60s *Encyclopedia Britannica* as a camp where "estimates as high as one million Poles, Jews, and Gypsies died" (old Hollywood Holocaust scenario), now had to accommodate three million more refugee victims from Western Europe and Germany. Naturally, a new monument was erected denoting the new four million victims.

How's this for a numbers game: Of the four million victims listed on the monument, the Poles claim four million victims; the Jews claim four million victims; and the Gypsies, not wanting to be left out, claim a modest one million Gypsies—far more Gypsies than there were in Europe.

Of course, it's considered rather bad form and very borish to blurt out, "Why...that makes nine million victims!"

Not true. No! Definitely not! In Hollywood Holocaust numbers, 4, plus 4, plus 1, equals...FOUR! And if you don't believe it, you're probably a fascist like Stano and Toner.

NUMBER FIVE

In his letter to the *Press*, Mr. Schectman claims that "The figures generally accepted have been that 6 million Jews and 6 million non-Jews were slaughtered..."

Accepted by whom, Mr. Schectman? Please name them. In point of fact, today no one will touch the fabulous six million with a barge pole. Prof. Raul Hilberg, "Shoah" star and self-professed world-famous expert on the Holocaust, has had his re-

treat from all his previous Holocaust claims turned into a rout. I understand his latest contention—with crossed fingers—is that almost one million died at Auschwitz. Unfortunately, he doesn't mention where the other three million went. So we seem to be back to the original scenario, with no home for the three million refugee victims from Western Europe and Germany who had taken up temporary residence in Auschwitz.

The truth about Auschwitz came out with the recent release by the Russians of the Auschwitz "Death Registers." After holding on to these Death Registers for forty-five years, the Soviets have, at long last, agreed to give these ledgers to the International Red Cross. These ledgers are similar to those one might find in hospitals, or prisons, or the military. In the U.S. forces we call them "Graves Registration."

In the ledgers, according to Valentina Fatyukhina, head researcher at the Soviet Red Cross, "The deaths of over 74,000 people were neatly recorded, day after day, hour after hour, in 46 huge volumes. Their names, the birthdates, and the names of parents were written down."

With typical Teutonic precision, the Germans recorded the names, back one generation, to insure that one would not make a mistake in the death of persons with the same name.

Doesn't sound much like the usual Hollywood Holocaust "death camp," where Germans indiscriminately slaughtered Jews—by the millions—just to get rid of them as quickly as possible, does it?

The Death Registers are the only real documentation of the numbers of persons that died at Auschwitz. All the other numbers being bandied about are completely undocumented and have been plucked from the same thin air that produced 50 million, 41 million, 36 million....

SS-General Oswald Pohl had been in charge of all the Concentration Camps. He was tortured after the war in an outrageous violation of the Geneva Convention, until he broke and "confessed" to the preposterous murder of "forty million persons" in the camps.

Before he was executed for his fantastic crime, Gen. Pohl stated, for the record, that a total of 200,000 to 250,000 persons had actually died in all the Concentration Camps, and most of these deaths occurred in the latter months of the war when food and medicine were in short supply and epidemics raged in the camps. A fact confirmed by the International Red Cross.

Given the recent release of information by the Soviets, most scholars think that Pohl's estimate will probably be proven quite accurate. A maximum of 250,000 deaths is a far cry from Mr. Schectman's "...6 million Jews and 6 million non-Jews..."

NUMBER SIX

In keeping with our number six: If the reader has noticed in our numbers game that we seem to be always dealing with the number six or some multiple of six, this is no accident. This, fans of horror movies, is the...KABBALAH! The Kabbalah is a collection of Jewish myths, magic, curses and numbers—lots and lots of numbers. In point of fact, the Jewish religion is a religion of numbers. Not complex numbers, mind you. Simple, silly numbers.

For example: All Hebrew words have been given a number value. A value of such importance, that one judges the numerical value of a statement rather than its intellectual value. So, a precise, fact-filled statement during a debate does not win the argument, if one's opponent counters with an absolutely silly statement containing words of higher numerical value. A brilliant reposte like, "Mine aunt Minnie has a red pencil box," may have a far higher numerical value than the most reasoned argument. One must never underestimate the value of Jewish gibberish.

In the Jewish numbers game, the number six is considered most profound. That's why the claims by Jewish organizations at the United Nations were for 18 and 12, the multiples of 6, and, lastly, for the sinister six. It was the fabulous 6 and not the fabulous 5, or 4, or any other number.

The triple six, 666, is considered a very sinister number indeed. In fact it stands for...Beelzebub...Old Nick...THE DEVIL! The devil is also known in Jewish circles as "The Angel of

Death," a name often given to Germans and others the Jews don't like. (moi?)

Not surprisingly, my hate mail abounds in sixes — call me Mr. 666. And some carry a fictitious return address with the sinister (and very, very silly) 666 as a street number. This, I am told, is a terrible curse, guaranteed to have one struck down by a bolt of lightning — so says my burning bush.

Now, I'm quite sure that most people can't believe that modern, educated Jews really believe in these silly numbers games with its curses and spells. After all, it's something that one would associate with Medieval witchcraft. Right? Wrong!

Just last year, Messrs. Seymour Seigler and Jack Needle, both of whom teach a course on the Holocaust at Brookdale Community College in Lincroft, New Jersey, sent out a mass mailing, naturally at the expense of the college and the long-suffering taxpayers. Profs Seigler and Needle were pleading for "tax deductible" contributions to the Center for Holocaust Studies at Brookdale. These two professional Holocausters desperately needed many thousands of additional dollars just to battle an unspeakable horror that existed in New Jersey. The horror that made their blood run cold, was the occasional letters that Ed Toner and I managed to get published in some of the local papers. And, mind you, this was long before we became "the Shore's resident fascists."

Well, it's nice to know that one's work is appreciated; however, demanding many thousands of dollars in "tax deductible" contributions just to censor our First Amendment rights seems hardly necessary; after all, the head of the New Jersey Jewish Federation banging on editors' desks and screaming threats has never failed in the past.

The contribution slip contained in the Seigler/Needle mass mailing had spaces where the contributor could check-off his tax deductible contribution towards eliminating what little remains of freedom of the press in New Jersey; apparently, a very worthy cause in the eyes of Messrs. Seigler and Needle.

One could contribute \$18, or \$36, or \$72, or \$144, or even \$288 to this great cause: a tax deductible contribution towards eliminating the First Amendment from the Constitution.

Not the standard \$5, or \$10, or \$15, that one might find in a non-Jewish mailing. All contributions were in multiples of the magic 6, so one got a curse with each six-buck investment. Ergo: 2 for \$18 one gets three curses, for \$36 one gets six curses — or curse² — and the really big spenders could launch 48 curses on the terrible twosome of New Jersey.

Let it be known that Ed Toner and I have yet to experience the usual plagues: boils, serpents, locusts, etc. etc. And it's a completely unfounded rumor that either of us had our backyard crop of Jersey tomatoes destroyed by a celestial rain of hail. Not true. In addition, I swear on my honor that I am not now, nor have I ever been, up to my buttocks in FROGS! If Seymour and Jack's sure-fire guaranteed curses, for any occasion, were cars, they would all be recalled — they just don't work. One can't find a more inferior service — it's a scam!

I can't say that I'm overjoyed at seeing my tax money going to Brookdale Community College, where full financial support is routinely given Seigler and Needle to aid them in hawking their terrible (and impotent) curses to their fellow religionists. I do not see it as a proper use of our tax money.

However, I haven't a scintilla of a doubt that Messrs. Seigler and Needle have the full support of their fellow Witches, Warlocks, Soothsayers and all the other Professors at our Medieval College and Coven here in New Jersey. And any attempt to change the curriculum, would only incite scores of toothless hags, on staff or doing post-graduate work, to take to the streets with broomsticks raised on high. And we can't have that, can we?

Perhaps the only way we could enhance the image of this tax-supported institution of higher learning, would be to add a course in natural medicine, where an African Witch Doctor could do medical consultations, while squatting in his on-campus thatched hut. For the standard price of one goat. He would be most impressive: decked out in beads and feathers, his face

painted white (the African mask of the dead), wearing a splendid headdress of cow dung. One can well imagine the wondrous prognostications he might make after casting his collection of disgusting and revolting...bits...and pieces...of things...into the dust of the hut, to divine one's medical complaint. No doubt the *New England Journal of Medicine* eagerly awaits his first paper.

As taxpayers, we sustain Seigler and Needle on a well-defined intellectual plane at Brookdale; therefore, I see no reason why we shouldn't add a Witch Doctor to the Medieval brew — as a full and tenured professor — it could only enhance the prestige of Brookdale Community College:

NUMBER SEVEN

One would think that, with so many examples of past Holocaust numbers that simply don't add up, the Holocausters would be wary of introducing more numerical absurdities into contemporary times. Not true, the Holocaust numbers game gets wilder, and wilder, and wilder, with each passing year.

As in these very contemporary numbers: The West German Government now has more than five million — that's right, million — claims for compensation from Jewish "survivors" of the Holocaust. One would think that after 45 years that this is a truly amazing number of "survivors."

Why should one be satisfied with amazement, when one can be dumbfounded! According to the West German Government, most of the five million claims actually represent a single claim by a family of "survivors." If we assume a modest mean of three persons per claim, we could easily be dealing with fifteen million, or more, Jews who survived the Concentration Camps over 45 years ago. Or, according to the census of the World Jewish Congress, every Jew on the planet earth in 1939 spent the war in a Concentration Camp, and, most importantly, they are all alive and well today!

But wait, we've forgotten the fabulous six million Jews that died in these concentration camps....giving us a Holocaust number of 21 million Jews.

Of course, the fifteen million claim for compensation only reflects the survivors in the west, we have yet to hear from all the

survivors in the east. Since the East German Government has finally been pressured into paying compensation to Jews, after having refused to do so for forty-five years, we must assume that there are at least another 15 million survivors in the east awaiting compensation.

Let me see now...that makes 36 million Jews in the terrible Concentration Camps during the war.

Just a moment! Surely, some of the survivors must have died during the forty-five years of this post-war period? If we assume a modest 4 million deaths, we now have an accurate Hollywood Holocaust number of forty million Jews crammed into the terrible Concentration Camps during the war.

Crowded, isn't it?

Mr. Schectman refers to the "hysterical claims" of Ed Toner and myself. Not true. The only hysteria in the Holocaust numbers game comes from Mr. Schectman's side, where anyone who can add and subtract is branded as "anti-Semitic," or a "fascist" by his hysterical mob.

Mr. Schectman need only sample some of the telephone calls that I've gotten from his cool and collected counterparts to understand where the hysteria really lies. Now, I've received ...screams shrieks...howls...bellows...belches (I think)...lots and lots of unintelligible gibberish...and some undefinable animal sounds that would make a dandy sound track for a horror movie.

Alas, Mr. Schectman, that's not hysteria you think you hear from us, it's unrestrained laughter. You guys really break us up with your silly numbers.

NUMBER EIGHT

Now, for the eighth and last number in our Holocaust numbers game. One could easily do a thick tome of these silly numbers. However, let me just recount the tale of the gassing victims whose names are now enshrined in the Yad Vashem Memorial Center in Tel-Aviv. Enshrined in a building that supposedly represents a stylized...GAS CHAMBER! Charming.

The Yad Vashem is now the only "gas chamber," stylized or otherwise, that is associated with the Holocaust; in that, all the

other alleged gas chambers of Europe—on both sides of the Iron Curtain—have all been tested and proven frauds.

Before building their Jewish Gas Chamber, the keepers of the Yad Vashem sent out questionnaires to all the Jewish organizations on the globe, asking them to have their members list the names of all the Jews that they know who were gassed, so that the names of these Jewish martyrs could be properly enshrined in the new Yad Vashem.

They got back lists of 2.5 million “gassed” Jews, whose names were then tearfully enshrined in the Yad Vashem.

Ya know, there are times when nothing seems to go right. When the Yad Vashem was forced to admit there were no “death camps” or “gas chambers” in all of Western Europe or Germany, it presented certain insoluble problems in the field of reason. In that, the Yad Vashem now had long lists of Jews who had been “gassed” in every one of the non-existent “gas chambers” of Western Europe and Germany...enshrined!...as MARTYRS! OY VEY!

Things got worse when scholars started finding the bodies of great numbers of “gassed” Jews buried in unlikely places like...Brooklyn, New York.

Even worse! Numbers of “gassed” Jews started turning up, alive and well, basting their “bods” on the beach at Miami—a traumatic experience that seems to have turned their hair...BLUE!

The greatest horrors were the numbers of Jews who turned up as tourists at the Yad Vashem...demanding to see their names! OY DOUBLE VEY! Not knowing of anyone who was “gassed,” these Jews had generously contributed their own names to the cause, and now they wanted recognition.

Recently, the Archives Director at the Yad Vashem, Shmuel Krakowski, stated that “over half of the 20,000 testimonies from Holocaust survivors on record at Yad Vashem are unreliable.” In short, lies! Scholars consider the other 10,000 “testimonies” as much the same. One suspects that admitting to half a fraud at this time seemed the lesser of two evils, and the Yad Vashem will “drop the other shoe” some time in the future.

One would think that Archives Director Krakowski would not be the least bit surprised at having his “testimonies” proven as lies. After all, the poor fellow has seen a great many Jews that he had certified as “gassed” and enshrined as martyrs, walk through the door demanding to see their names.

As always, there is an explanation by the Holocausters for all of these monumental assaults on reason. After all, these are the same folks who cried, WHOOPS!, we really meant to put those 238,000 Jews who were “gassed” in the “shower room” at Dachau, and wept over by tourists for all those years, into a brand new “gas chamber” that we just invented in Treblinka, Poland. And they did it with a straight face!

According to the “scholars” at the Yad Vashem, there is nothing strange about all those “gassed” Jews turning up in other locations...or alive...or even claiming to have been “gassed and cremated”!

Now get this one: It seems that all the Jews on the planet earth—every one of them—were “PSYCHOLOGICALLY GASED”—and that’s the same thing as being actually gassed!

One now begins to understand why the West German Government has from 5 to 15 million claims for compensation from “survivors.” These Jews were psychological survivors, from psychological Concentration Camps, where many of them were psychologically gassed and even psychologically cremated. Poor devils. The West German Government should pay them in kind...with psychological compensation.

What next, “psychological whiplash”? Will we now have great numbers of Jews claiming they were psychologically hit in a psychological fender-bender and demanding monetary compensation for all of their psychological pain and suffering? Wait a minute! We’ve had that one already, haven’t we?

Happy Holocaust numbers, Mr. Schectman. □

The Threat of Massive Immigration of Jews From Russia

The periodical *Insight on the News* has published a long article on emigration of Jews from Russia and its historic background from a Jewish point of view. The article, contained in the *Insight* issue of 21 May 1990, presents some statistics and projections which should be of grave concern to anyone who cares about the welfare of the Aryan component of the population of the United States.

On the cover of this issue of *Insight* is the statement that a million Jews may be leaving the Soviet Union, where their situation is alleged to have become difficult. The opening paragraph of the article states that "nobody really knows how many Jews live in the Soviet Union" and that "estimates range from 1.5 million to 4 million." By the way, these are rather remarkable statistics in view of the claims that six million Jews were victims of the "Holocaust."

From past experience it must be assumed that a very large percentage of the emigrating Jews will not make their permanent homes in the Jewish state in Palestine but will come to what has become their promised land, the United States, as if the six million or so members of this shrewd, generally detested race were not enough of a burden on Americans. Most Jews find greater economic opportunities when living in the midst of a prosperous host population than when living amongst other Jews.

In 1989 71,000 Jews emigrated from the U.S.S.R. William Korey, an official of B'nai B'rith, expects the emigration of Jews from the U.S.S.R. to reach close to 200,000 in 1990.

For many years the Soviet government loved its Jews so much that it would permit only very few to leave the boundaries of the state which was largely a creation of Jews. Now that seems to be changing, largely as a result of pressures applied to the U.S.S.R. by ZOG to let its Jews emigrate freely.

Jews played a leading part in the creation of the debilitating, genocidal hell for the Aryan population of Russia during its earlier years, as we can read in *The International Jew / The World's Foremost Problem* [available from Liberty Bell Publications; 4-volume-set, approx. 1,000 pages, \$26 + \$3.90 Postage], which was published as early as 1920-1922 under the sponsorship of the far-sighted Henry Ford, one of the most ingenious Americans who ever lived. *The International Jew* contains detailed statistical tables which portray the dominance of Jews in leading positions in the U.S.S.R. Americans concerned about the future of their country should do whatever they can to prevent the flood of Jewish immigrants being welcomed, indeed even solicited, by ZOG.

— Charles E. Weber

It was the Law in a Saner Time

The races of mankind have developed in biologically different ways for tens, if not hundreds of thousands of years. It has long been recognized that a biological mixing of the races (miscegenation) has produced undesirable results in the children thus procreated. Since there are profound, genetically transmitted differences in the human races, including differences in their mental abilities and temperaments, mixing the genes of different races can lead to incongruities, even, for example, in their skull structures. Psychologically, the children that result from miscegenation have the disadvantage of not being able to identify themselves with one group or another. By the way, designating the human races simply by color has the misleading tendency to imply that racial differences are only a matter of skin color. Terms such as Caucasian, Aryan or Afro-American are preferable.

Generations ago, there prevailed much greater lucidity and common sense with regard to the disadvantages of miscegenation. Many states of the United States enacted laws which forbade miscegenation. The legislature of Oklahoma, for exam-

§ 12. Miscegenation prohibited.—The marriage of any person of African descent, as defined by the Constitution¹ of this State, to any person not of African descent, or the marriage of any person not of African descent to any person of African descent, shall be unlawful and is hereby prohibited within this State. R. L. 1910, § 3894.

¹ Const. art. 23, § 11.

Laws 1907-08, p. 556; C.S. 1921, § 7499; St. 1931, § 1677. Section declaration of state policy. Scott v. Epperson, 141 Okl. 41, 284 P. 19.

Marriage of person of African descent with a person of Indian blood. Long v. Brown, 186 Okl. 407, 98 P.2d 28; Baker v. Carter, 180 Okl. 71, 68 P.2d 85; Blake v. Sessions, 94 Okl. 59, 220 P. 876.

Conflict of laws. Eggers v. Olson, 104 Okl. 297, 231 P. 483; Ross v. Bryant, 90 Okl. 300, 217 P. 364.

⁸⁵ A.L.R. 126; Miscegenation ⇨1.

§ 13. Penalty for miscegenation.—Any person who shall marry in violation of the preceding section,¹ shall be deemed guilty of felony, and upon conviction thereof shall be fined in any sum not exceeding five hundred dollars, and imprisonment in the penitentiary not less than one nor more than five years. R.L. 1910, § 3895.

¹ Section 12 of this title.

Laws 1907-08, p. 556; C.S. 1921, § 7500; St. 1931, § 1678.

Section does not affect marriage legally created prior to statehood between Creek Indian and person of African descent. Scott v. Epperson, 141 Okl. 41, 284 P. 19.

Section merely directory. In re Love's Estate, 42 Okl. 478, 142 P. 305, L.R.A. 1915E, 109.

Miscegenation ⇨1.

ple, passed such laws in 1910, the texts of which are given below and taken from page 1425 of the 1941 edition of the *Oklahoma Statutes*:

By 1969 so much confusion had been promulgated in thinking about racial matters that the Oklahoma legislature repealed laws pertaining to miscegenation.

For further reading on these topics we recommend the following:

The Biology of the Race Problem (1962) by Wesley Critz George, Ph.D., who was Professor of Histology and Embryology, emeritus, formerly head of the Department of Anatomy, University of North Carolina Medical School.

Race, by John R. Baker, New York and London: Oxford University Press, 1974.

— Charles E. Weber

* * * * *

BOOK REVIEW

John Bruce Campbell, *The New American Man / A Call To Arms*, The Press, Carmel, California, Copyright 1983, 1988; 6 + 244 pages, \$10 + \$1.50 for postage, available from Liberty Bell Publications.

Campbell served as a volunteer in the Rhodesian defense forces (1973-1974) and he offers many fascinating perspectives on the history of the twentieth century from the point of view of a military man. As a civilian he has long been employed by the petroleum industry. The earlier parts of the book are an examination of conspiratorial actions of people whom the subsumes under the terms, "The Group." The later parts of the book examine what action could be undertaken against a government which has become the enemy of Aryans. On page 221 he states his objective in writing the book: "This book is a basic instrument of patriotic revolution against the government. Its focus, however, is on the Group because The Group controls the government."

One of the most commendable historical perspectives in Campbell's book is his condemnation of Roosevelt & Co. for prolonging the Second World War by the demand for unconditional surrender and refusing to listen to Japanese requests for peace made as early as 1942. He quite appropriately observes (page 233): "The Group's demand for Unconditional Surrender against the Axis powers led to terrible and unnecessary bloodshed and destruction and to ensuing communist takeovers." There is hardly one sentence ever written that more effectively summarizes the conduct and results of the Second World War.

Even though Hitler was defeated in a war that had catastrophic results for Aryans, both physically and psychologically, to many Aryans Hitler and the swastika have become pro-Aryan symbols. Campbell's relatively few references to Hitler and National Socialism are not especially positive, although Hitler carried on a bitter struggle against communism, a system which Campbell detests.

Strangely, Campbell avoids the word "Aryan," although it is a convenient word with a respectable etymology and semantic development, as I pointed

out in an essay entitled, "Should We Use the Word "Aryan?" (*Liberty Bell*, June 1984, pages 1-3.)

Campbell's attitudes toward Jews are summarized on page 155: "This book should not be taken as a diatribe against Jews but as a psychological defense against their assaults on us." Campbell gives considerable space to what he calls the "Holocaust Hoax" (p. 138), which he characterizes as the "greatest piece of black propaganda ever devised." He contrasts it to the reality of Operation Keelhaul, which he also mentions on pages 4, 8 and 39.

Campbell has a good deal to say about the effects of Christianity on the Aryan psyche. He refers to Christianity (page 143) as a "passive, demoralizing discipline" and refers to himself as a "heathen" (page 152). He expresses his belief that Christianity inhibits the Aryan struggle for survival.

The merits of Campbell's book are considerable, but it is, alas, marred by a number of factual errors, of which the following are examples: Leon Trotsky was not a "German chap," but rather a Jew (page 19). Much of the gasoline at Germany's disposal during the Second World War was distilled from natural petroleum from various sources (for example, Rumania) and for that reason it would be hard to justify the statement on page 28: "Hitler's entire war machine ran on leaded synthetic fuel..." On page 32 we are misinformed that the "majority of the [not "a"] German army was destroyed and/or captured at Stalingrad." On page 155 Campbell points out that the trial of Ernst Zündel in Canada demolished the myth of the "Holocaust" and continues: "Few of us know this because the Canadian legal system clamped a blackout on the historic trial, so devastating was the evidence presented." I was myself a witness at the Zündel trial in 1985 and I saw that Canadian newspapers and television were bringing extensive and even fair reports on the revealing proceedings in the Toronto courthouse.

Does Campbell intend the subtitle of his book to be taken literally? If so, we must pause to consider the present realities. If the Jews' media have so thoroughly stultified the majority of American voters that they will not elect pro-Aryan legislators and officials within the safety and secrecy of voting booths, is there any prospect that any meaningful number of them would take up arms for the purpose of physical acts against Zionist Occupation Government? Unless American men are *motivated* to take action against Z.O.G. tyranny by realizing and understanding their present plight and their unpromising future if present trends continue, most of them cannot be expected to act against the Z.O.G. even just by ballots, let alone by bullets. Campbell's book ("Written for men Only" page 97) explains why Aryan Americans should be angry for what was done to them in two world wars and is well worth reading as an explanation and manifestation of justified anger.

— Charles E. Weber

THE MESSIANIC AGE

by
Nicholas Carter

The artfully Christianized New Testament tells us that a brand new mystery religion called Christianity was suddenly thrust from the chaotic bowels of Palestine nearly 2000 years ago. This unusual cult—unique in the sense that the new salvation-deity called Jesus the Christ was the first Jewish savior-god in the history of Western Asia—appeared and developed in the space of one brief lifetime. Supposedly, the new Jewish-born Messiah, the *Son* rather than the *Servant* of the Jewish God, Yahweh, was a pre-existent God who became human via a virgin birth. He then performed great miracles; he was acclaimed by the multitudes; he preached a gospel of salvation—among other things; he was murdered; and then, miraculously, he rose from the grave and ascended to heaven.

What makes this Gentile-conceived plot totally impossible in any objective sense, is that it is inconceivable that a Galilean Jew would under *any* circumstance have believed himself to be a savior-god in the tradition of the Gentile mystery cults. Under no conditions, however unique or remarkable, would he have accepted the validity of the virgin birth of a heathen Christ-child, or engaged in the obscene body and blood ceremonies; nor would he have allowed himself to be offered up as a scapegoat for the sins of not only Jews, but Gentiles as well.

The “anointed one” of the Christian salvation-sect can be described as a philosophically schizophrenic creation of Graeco-Roman theologians who were indifferent to, or ignorant of, deeply ingrained Jewish traditions. The Nazarene Jesus is portrayed as being pro-Jewish and anti-Jewish, pro-Law and anti-Law, liberal and reactionary. He is sufficiently a Jew not to contest the lawfulness of the function of the teacher of the Law, which is to “sit in Moses’ seat” and explain and comment on the Law. He is enough

Among the important mystery religions of the day were the cults of the Cappodocian Men, Eleusinia, the Phrygian Sabazios and the Great Mother, the Egyptian Isis and Sarapis, the Samothracian Cabiri, the *Dea Syria* and her satellites, and the worship of the immemorial Persian sun-god, Mithra.

of a racially exclusive Jew to forbid his disciples to declare to the Gentiles the coming of the kingdom of God. He never disparages the Sabbath, nor does he speak of doing away with it. And he regards himself as having a message for *no one but the Jews*—“Go nowhere among the Gentiles...but go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel.”

In another part of the New Testament forest, we find a Gentile Jesus liberalized almost to the point of radicalism through the stressing of the principles behind the Law along with a measure of freedom in its application of life. He represents views and attitudes that are less ascetic and less representative of a closed, secretive, exclusivistic outlook. At times, he is even ministered to by women, something no orthodox Judean of that day and time would have considered or allowed. He is portrayed as being more peaceful in spirit than militaristic, more concerned with moral and spiritual values than with the ceremonial aspects of sacred days, seasons, strict conformity to the solar calendar and ritual ablutions. And he manifests attitudes emphasizing the loving of one’s enemies rather than hating them, along with an espousal of the Stoic belief in the brotherhood of all mankind as opposed to the practice of national and religious exclusivity found among the Jews.

Scarcely anything could be less indicative of the formalistic rigor of the strict Galilean Jew than the Gentile portrait of Jesus just drawn. Considering the fixed and complicated ritual of Palestinian Jews in which the Mishnah laid down no fewer than 39 principal classes of prohibitions, and in which the rabbis counted 248 classes of things to be done and 365 things forbidden, the possibility of a messianic-pretender or a radical religious cult espousing these two alien and conflicting lifestyles and then attempting to live them simultaneously is so far beyond the sunlight of reality that mere words cannot describe it. The principle of mysticism invariably leads to the combination of irreconcilable attributes, which are accepted nonetheless on the grounds of faith.

From the time the *Habiru*—the Semitic people who emerged from the wilderness beyond the Jordan river—became a One-God-centered nation (“The Lord our God, the Holy ONE of Israel; ONE, and no oneness like him...”), the Judaic emphasis was on

God's creation of a special people to serve him, to proclaim his name and identity in the world, and to exhibit knowledge of him. They were the special people of Yahweh because all other nations had refused the wisdom offered by the Holy One. According to rabbinical teaching, the Ancient of Days had given nine of the ten measures of poverty to Babylon, nine of the ten measures of magic to Egypt, and nine of the ten measures of folly to Greece. Nine of the ten measures of *wisdom* he had given to Israel.

Hand in hand with the struggle to make the Jews into an exclusive people with a single god belonging to Israel were the efforts of the prophets to prevent anyone—even high priestly rulers like Alexander Jannaeus—from changing, or compromising in any way, or introducing heathenish ideas from the outside world into, the rigid Jewish way of Life. Everything indicates that the Israelite/Judeans feared and opposed compromise—theological aristocracy, exclusivity, and nearly total tribal unity. For these reasons, Judaism could never have compromised—even to the smallest degree—with a heathen mystery cult.

The era that produced the New Messianism, which in turn led to Catholicism, was one in which the spirit of subjection was for the masses far more influential than self-reliance. Conditions were so primitive that men used scythes and sickles for mowing; their ploughs were of wood, rarely shod with iron; and threshing was done laboriously with the clumsy flail. Small, undersized oxen, hardly as large as modern calves, dragged the ploughs back and forth, barely breaking the surface of the earth. As for the misfortunes faced by the poor, the peasants were incapable of theorizing about the reasons for suffering or for “divine punishment.” It was sufficient explanation of evil to say that “the anger of God was kindled.”

Palestine during that chaotic age had more than its share of quacks, vagrants and messiah-pretenders, who endlessly prophesied that the crash and doom of the world was at hand. The less educated masses listened to their chatter and raving until their minds were reduced to a chronic state of fear and confusion. Along with the sick and suffering, the physically stunted or deformed, and the deaf, dumb and blind, there were abnormal mental conditions of every sort—a veritable goldmine of pathology for every messianic-

pretender with hand-on experience in the field of abracadabra who could miraculously eliminate demonic possession, or awaken people who appeared to be dead, but who was never known to replace a wooden leg with the real thing.

Everywhere the cry for salvation was loud, persistent and universal as people sought deliverance from the burdens of grief and sorrow; from the oppressive tyranny of fate, the consciousness of guilt, the wasting of disease, and the tedium of life. Because our modern scientific attitude of mind was of course unknown, the supernatural realm was conceived to be far more important than the natural world. Most people tended to think of events as the result of the more or less capricious activities of spirits and demons. Thus, fancy, ritual, mysticism, unsound science, and the miraculous were commonplace. Rabbis, priests of the mystery cults, and messiah-pretenders regularly performed miracles such as exorcising satanic spirits and “magically” raising the dead. As late as the 5th century Augustine was declaring that in his own diocese of Hippo, 72 miracles, including five cases of restoration of life, occurred in the space of two years.

Everywhere human beings were plagued by demons. Man had no real privacy because every phase and form of life was ruled by them. They sat on thrones; they hovered around cradles; they caused all diseases, sickness and infirmities; they turned life into a living hell. The 2nd century theologian Justin Martyr was impressed with the demonology to the degree that he believed that the new Messiah had been made mortal to destroy demons. Indeed, this was a time marked by a state of great mental fermentation, the political agitation of centuries having reduced multitudes, especially in the East, to a condition bordering on actual hysteria. It was an age steeped in Oriental mythology, with the masses of ignorant people expecting the end of the world any day. Even mighty rulers weren't immune to superstition. Tiberius was the slave of astrologists; Domitian lived in fear of the fulfillment of Chaldean prophets; Aurelius surrounded himself with magicians.

I trust that the reader can begin to comprehend by now how difficult it is for the modern scholar to project himself into a day and time that is light years away from the 20th century; and how

difficult it is to determine with some degree of certainty what actually happened more than 1900 years ago. We do not know for certain who killed President Kennedy a mere quarter of a century ago; and yet every priest and preacher in Christendom tells us that he knows exactly what happened during a primitive, superstitious and ancient age in which the masses were willing to accept any mystical or magical voice of revelation that offered to solve the mysteries of life and death.

The study of history reveals an intimate connection between crushing oppression and hopes of people for divine intervention. It was characteristic of Judaism in all of its manifestations that it looked to the future for the full realization of its hopes. *Two* great hopes characterized the religion of the Israelites in pre-Christian Palestine: the hope for the arrival of the Great Day of Yahweh and the powerful earthly king (the Davidic Messiah) who would usher in for them a period of national history; and the hope for the eventual salvation of all good and faithful Jews. Secure in the belief that they would be rescued from foreign rule, the Judeans saw the heathen strangers crushed and conquered, Israel consoled, and the Messianic Kingdom with its center in Jerusalem suddenly bursting upon the world. The Kingdom of God, or the sovereignty of Yah in the world, was to be a kingdom of Jews, a pure *murim*, or miracle, because their chronology led them to believe that that they were on the cusp of the 6th Millennium—the threshold of their long-awaited Golden Age.

Everywhere in Judea during that turbulent era, rabbis eagerly solved the riddle of redemption as they determined the exact hour of the Messiah's advent. Intricate calculations based on the writings of the prophets were authoritatively declared throughout the land, with some of them causing the migration of whole communities of people. In fact, a huge apocalyptic literature developed during the two eventful centuries leading to A.D. 1. In that of Enoch, the Messiah is described as a super-mundane, semi-divine person, as well as a "son of man" (meaning, perhaps, "son of Adam," since son referred only to succession or descent). In the Psalms of Solomon, he is described in the manner of the prevailing prophetic ideal: a man of the earth, of the seed of David.

The Sons of Israel all knew that before another century would pass, the glorious king commissioned by Yahweh would come to deliver the island of the innocent out of darkness, out of shadows, out of death. But whether the Coming One would come like a light and like thunder out of the East, or on clouds of glory, or riding on an ass, or whether he would rise from some ancient tomb, nobody knew. They knew only that he would come—and that all nations would then be the footstool of Israel.

Even though it was dangerous to speak of a King-Messiah or a political Messiah in the days of Roman rule, each visionary who arose could count on a following who would be ready to hail him as the long-awaited Coming One. Significantly, the Judeans were obsessed with the messianic concept to the point that, while Roman soldiers were actually preparing to fire the Temple, a self-proclaimed prophet could assemble large numbers of men, women and children in its courts and porches to await then and there a miraculous deliverance from heaven.

There was also a great spread of messianic ideas over the Gentile world during the first century preceding the Common Era, since neither ancient religion nor philosophy drew a hard-and-fast line between the divine and the human. Hence the widespread belief in the deliverer, or savior, in an incarnation of divine power in a human form on earth, in order to save and regenerate perishing humanity. Virgil's *Messianic Eclogue* reflected very clearly that state of the public mind. The Roman poet blended the ancient yearning of the East with Greek and Etruscan divination when he prophesied a savior who would descend upon earth as a divine child, wipe out the sins of the past and introduce the golden age. Among the Greeks, Plato had confidently declared that "We will wait for One, be it a god or a god-inspired man, to teach us our religious duties and to take the darkness from our eyes." Everywhere except in Israel people seemed to hunger for a truly DIVINE being in human form rather than a man born of man like the Davidic Messiah with the SPIRIT of divinity upon him. What commanded the allegiance of the mystery cultists was a son of a god by a daughter of earth; and she, on the analogy of many myths, and earthly *Kore*, a maiden made fruitful by the divine

touch. As the fruit of the marriage of earth with Heaven through the spring Sun and Rain, a Babe would be born who would then become King and save the world. All the savior-gods of Western Asia and beyond were created in that image—with the second god always being the son of the great god.

There was nothing unusual about the fact that many men became gods and many gods became men in the mythology of the ancient world. And it simply isn't coincidental that Gentile Christianity drew heavily on the mythology of the mystery cults. Many aspects in the life of the Jesus of the Gospel belonged to savior-gods all over Asia. The Egyptian Pharaoh of old was born a god and the son of a god. The *Chronicon Paschale* revealed that the Egyptians deified a child-carrying virgin, and adored a child in the manger. As a typical tale of a god "who walked on earth unknown," the beloved hero Krishna was a perfect prototype of the Christ to come. The descent of the savior-god into the underworld as a representation of his symbolic death appeared in Babylonian and in Egyptian religion, with the ascension into the sky being the usual end to the mythical legends of the lives of the deliverers. Baptism preceded initiation into the mysteries of other cult-figures, Isis and Mithra, for example, and it was chosen as a similar symbol—"Die and become!"—by the Catholics. The sacrifice of the Eucharist, or love-feast, common to both East and West at the time, had its fullest significance when the god himself was the victim. In eating and assimilating the god, his worshipers were (and are) deemed to assimilate his virtues. The Catholic Eucharist has no other significance.

As indicated earlier, this convoluted transformation into Catholicism occurred later in the development of the theocratic system that eventually wreaked so much havoc within the Western world. It isn't beyond the realm of possibility that some of the Hellenic participants in the New Messianism began to see the value of a salvation-deity during the first century, perhaps during the latter decades. It is Nana, the virgin mother of Attis, conceived by putting a ripe almond or a pomegranate in her bosom. Zeus visited Persephone in the form of a serpent, and she bore him Dionysus. Poseidon, the god of the seas, was known for having impregnated women by whispering into their ears—the knowledge of which enabled Christians to solve their own celestial impregnation dilemma: "God spoke to an angel and the Virgin was impregnated through the ear..." Thus spake Augustine.

must be noted, however, that most of the scholars and theologians involved in studying the origins of the faith have concluded that what I have termed the New Messianism was solely a product of orthodox Judaism. Typical of the comments to that effect are these: "The originators of Christianity stood wholly upon the ground of Judaism." "All the oldest Christian theology is Jewish." "Nothing indicates that Christians desired to break away from Judaism." "Christian origins can be traced directly back to Jewish origins."

These conclusions are not only illogical, they are absurd. In particular, Jewish *origins* have absolutely nothing to do with Christian *origins*. One of the more remarkable and little known, or little realized, facts about the Christian conundrum is that the historical figures involved as philosophers, organizers, and teachers throughout much, if not all, of the 1st century, were *Hellenic Jews*—NOT *orthodox Jews*.

What, then, of the many Fathers of Catholicism whose names are etched within the annals of Gentile Christianity? Surprising as it may seem, *they all came later*: Justin Martyr, Irenaeus, Tertullian, Clement of Alexandria, Polycarp, Melito and Ignatius of Antioch, in the 2nd century; Cyprian, Jerome and St. John Chrysostom in the 3rd; Augustine, Ambrose and the notable church historian Eusebius in the 4th. The most eminent Doctors of Catholicism were Augustine, Clement, Jerome and Gregory the Great, who served as Pope from A.D. 590 to 604.

To digress for a moment, Christians skilled in the art of revising history in order to disguise their motives, frequently employ the psychological phenomenon known as rationalization for the purpose of giving the absurd and the nonsensical a more relevant façade. Consider the repulsive, offensive, or just plain ridiculous passages in the "revealed" Word of God, for instance. In the language of apologetics they are simply "common bits of understanding at the time of writing," parts of the "earthen vessel," as it were, and not of the "divine treasure" of revelation. By carefully selecting the biblical passages that he wishes to be known as "revealed truth" and discarding the rest, the artful Christian subordinates reality to his whims.

*Whom the Gods destroy they first tempt to deny reality.

One area of duplicitous selectivity involves the ominous components of the mystery religions. Non-Catholics cavalierly dismiss them as negative elements of *Catholic paganism*, because Protestantism “eliminated the pagan doctrines that had burdened the faith for so long” when it developed as the “true” faith—a judgment partially true and wholly misleading. The Eucharist, the rosary, holy water, relic worship, among other things, were discarded. Everything that remained, however, including the virgin birth, baptism, original sin, the concept of the savior-god, and the death and resurrection of the god, was just as heathenish as the elements eliminated.

The more scholarly rationalizers would have us believe that the anti-mind, anti-body philosophy, which ascetic Christendom found so alluring, was derived from the Gnostic” sect. Not true. It was Augustine in the company of the Neoplatonists of the 4th century who forged the philosophic fabric that enabled Christianity to blend more thoroughly and more quickly with the Greco-Roman world—and with an assault on reason and human nature that eclipsed the primitive supernaturalism of the Israelites.

To the mystical Greek philosopher Plato, the “apparent” world of sense was more important than the “real” world of ideas. The material world, he declared, was only an imperfect appearance of true reality, a semi-real reflection or projection of it. Any investigation of nature was to be disdained and avoided. “We must be free of the body,” preached Plato, “and use the eye of the soul alone to behold the actual realities.” This blind faith in supernatural absolutes was the element of Academic philosophy that by way of Neoplatonism was transmitted to the early Catholic Church. *Contempt for the world of matter, belief in the liberation of the soul through asceticism and mystic revelation, the subordination of reason to faith, and a blueprint for turning human beings into beehive drones*, made this philosophy congenial to the mystics who were building the new religion.

“What was Gnosticism? “It drew into itself elements from many sources, Babylonian, Persian, Phrygian, Syrian, Egyptian, Greek. It had affinities with the Babylonian dualism of an upper and a lower world, with the Persian dualism of light and darkness, with the Greek dualism Of matter and spirit,” says J.E. Carpenter. By the by, the Christians did “borrow” the doctrine of atonement from the Gnostics.

Unable to completely ignore his Greek heritage, Plato exhibited a certain respect for reason, a respect which was implicit in Greek philosophy; but the *worst* elements of Plato, not the *best*, came to dominate Christianity and the Western world.

Turning once again to the subject of orthodox Jewry, it is essential to be *equally* clear in our minds about who they were and what they taught. Intense psychological conditioning along national-religious lines motivates a definiteness of purpose, persistence and a burning desire for exclusivity. To the Israelites, Yahweh was *their* God alone; they were his ONLY chosen people. To them their religious truth was unique in all the world; their Torah was without parallel; their ethics could not be equaled in any other nation. Most significant of all is the fact that the devout Sons of Israel were willing to engage in a civil war for the purpose of maintaining their exclusivity, their rigid desert ways, and their contemptuous outlook for all heathen nations. For these distinct and irrefutable reasons, *orthodox Judaism did not play an active rôle in the origins of the New Messianism*.

And that brings us to one of the more provocative questions in this study: Who were those Hellenized Jews who were involved in developing, organizing and teaching an ethical/religious philosophy during the first century?

Logically, they were the descendants of the Letzim who led the movement to Hellenize Israel, which provoked in turn the disastrous War of Independence known as the Maccabean War. Approximately 150 years following that conflict, the descendants of the radical Letzim were established in numerous Greek-tintured communities located primarily within the boundaries of Eastern North Africa and Southwest Asia bordering on the Mediterranean: Alexandria in Northern Egypt, Antioch in Southern Turkey, Thessalonica and Athens in Greece, Caesarea in Southern Palestine, Damascus the capitol of Syria, the Ionian Greek city of Ephesus, Corinth in Peloponnesus, Tarsus in Asia Minor, Philippi in Macedonia, the Phoenician port of Tyre, and the Greek colony of Cyrene.

These are a few of the important cities that Stephen and Saul may have visited during their missionary travels.

Greek thought was the supreme factor that influenced the Letzim to settle in neighboring countries where Hellenism was prominent. While an attitude of intolerant prejudice was characteristic of Palestinian Jews, the Judeans of this minor dispersion regarded the larger world with a tolerance that permitted an association with their Gentile neighbors. In the light of this cultural indoctrination, it is well within the periphery of possibility that the descendants of the original Letzim were responsible for initiating a movement that was geared to converting both Gentiles and Jews to a theological way of life devoid of despairing visionaries, extreme moralists and angry prophets—the first time in their history, perhaps, that some of the Habiru had attempted to blend their hopes and aspirations with the Gentile ethics in a canonical milieu.

The three most important names in that movement—the only names that we can be reasonably sure of—are Philo, Stephen and Saul of Tarsus.

HISTORICAL COMMENTARY

CUMONT, FRANZ: “To the sophisticated Gentile tourist, who with his own eyes had looked upon the Rome of marble left behind by Augustus, the chaster beauties of Athens, the strange enclosure at Baalbek with its stones of unparalleled size, and the huge agglomeration of gloomy temples at Egyptian Thebes, the little Jewish shrine (the Temple) must have appeared poor and even tawdry.”

FRAZER, JAMES GEORGE: “Man has created gods in his own likeness and being himself mortal he has naturally supposed his creatures to be in the same predicament.”

GUIGNEBERT, CHARLES: “[In the age of Messianism] people were then generally incapable of distinguishing a *subjective* experience, an hallucination or even a dream from a really *objective* experience... in the history of religious enthusiasm nothing appears more contagious than visions.”

JACKSON, F. & LAKE, K: “There is no proof outside of the Gospels that any city of Nazareth existed in the time of Jesus.”

JEFFERSON, THOMAS: “The day will come when the mystical generation of Jesus, by the Supreme Being as his Father in the womb of a virgin, will be classed with the fable of the generation of Minerva in the brain of Jupiter.”

LATOURETTE, K.S.: “In art again the debt of Christianity to pagan cults is certain. There can be little doubt that representations of Mithras shooting at the rock or Mithras mounting the chariot of the sun directly influenced Christian representations of Moses at Horeb or the ascent of Elijah...pagan prototypes of the Christian basilica.”

McCOWN, CHARLTON C.: “When once it comes to be recognized that almost every heathen shrine boasted its miracles, that stories of miraculous healings were told of almost every heathen deity and semidivine hero, and also that the Jewish rabbis worked miracles by calling upon divine aid...the problem of the Gospel miracles is subjected to a new illumination.”

REINACH, S.: “In the days of her infancy...Christianity borrowed much from the rivals over which she was in the long run to reign supreme...When a heathen temple was taken over by the Christians it was frequently dedicated to some saint, who was honored with precisely the same rites and prerogatives as had been given to the old god.”

RHYS, JOCELYN: “The idea of a man-god born of a virgin was conceived so early in the history of mankind that it was carried into America in that remote age when men first migrated into that continent.”

ROBERTSON, J.M.: “The Christian cult adopted the very terms of the heathen practice, and its initiates were called *mystae*, like those of all rival religions.”

SCHMIDT, N.: “It was the transformation of the coming Messiah into a god that made the Christ cult possible.”

SCHWEITZER, ALBERT: “The messiahship of Jesus, as we find it in the Gospels, is a product of early Christian theology correcting history according to its own conceptions.”

SMITH, G.: “I believe the legend of Jesus was made by many minds working under a great religious impulse...Jesus has

been made the receptacle into which every theologian pours his own ideas."

SCHECHTER, SOLOMON: "The student of the Talmud finds that such marvels as predicting the future, reviving the dead, casting out demons, crossing rivers dry-shod, curing the sick by a touch or prayer, were the order of the day, and performed by scores of rabbis."

**THE LATE GREAT BOOK: THE BIBLE
AN ACCOUNT OF
CHRISTIAN AND BIBLICAL ORIGINS.**

Nicholas Carter feels that it is time for us to sit down and do what many Christians rarely do: Study the Holy Bible. In doing so, we may discover whether or not the Bible is indeed "Holy" and the "Word of God." In strict biblical order, the author methodically, and scathingly, examines the various claims made in the "Holy Book" and shows how many of them bear no relationship to reality whatsoever. For your copy of *The Late Great Book: The Bible* (Order No. 12006) send \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA**

**WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?
SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE**

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$16.50 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS
Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.**

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our Fight is Your fight!* Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month—and will be gratefully accepted as donations .

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, and, most importantly, our reprints of revealing articles which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors, and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our "wave length," and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY—HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**