

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR:** Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

### SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM AMERICA'S DECLINE:

**On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition):** "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

**On Race:** "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to Ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life, on an overcrowded planet."

### AMERICA'S DECLINE

Order No. 1007-\$8.50  
plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

376 pp., pb.  
ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

# Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$4.00

## LA VIA DOLOROSA OF ERNST ZÜNDEL

page 1

### ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

**SOUTH AFRICA AT THE PRECIPICE:** Treason Is The Reason, from the *South African Observer*, page 17; **F.W. DE KLERK:** South Africa's Kerensky?, from the *South African Observer*, page 21. **DESCENDANTS OF MARTIN KALLIKAT,** by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 23. **WHAT WE THINK:** Nationalist Comment on the Month's News, from *Spearhead*, page 26. **GERMANY 1945-1948:** Recollections and Reflections of an American Veteran, by Dr. Charles E. Weber, page 37. **ACTION OR REACTION?** by Travis Wayn, page 47. **LETTERS TO THE EDITOR,** page 52.

VOL. 17 - NO. 7

MARCH 1990

## Voice Of Thinking Americans

## LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA - Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

### ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints ..... \$ 3.00  
THIRD CLASS - U.S.A. only ..... \$25.00  
FIRST CLASS - U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only ..... \$32.00  
FIRST CLASS - All foreign countries ..... \$35.00

AIR MAIL - Europe-South America ..... \$45.00  
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa ..... \$49.00  
Sample Copy ..... \$ 4.00

### BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies ..... \$ 18.00  
50 copies ..... \$ 65.00  
100 copies ..... \$110.00  
500 copies ..... \$400.00  
1000 copies ..... \$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

### FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

### To my Friends Around the World!


On Feb. 5th, 1990, at 11 a.m., I had to turn myself in at the Toronto "Don" Jail because the Court had announced that they were ready to release the Judgement in my Appeal, which we had

worked on for over a year in 1988-89 and which concluded on Sept. 22 of last year. You remember the mountains of books and "Factums" we submitted then! Those of you who were with me in the courtroom will also remember the unfriendly attitude of at least two of the Judges, which was in stark contrast to the tone and attitude we had encountered during my first Appeal. That was the one we won! Maybe, just maybe, the difference then was that the Chief Justice of Ontario, Justice Howland, since retired, was himself presiding.

In my opinion, Ontarians, and Canadians generally, will be increasingly surprised by the kind of people they will encounter from now on in their institutions of learning, their courts, hospitals, tax departments and in their Social Services, the Immigration Department, etc. The almost insane, leftist, even Marxist policies of the late Sixties and Seventies, followed then by the oligarchy in power, are now showing their results. "The chickens have hatched and are now coming home to roost."

I knew in the early Eighties that if we had to go through these political show trials, that

## La Via Dolorosa of Ernst Zündel



we had to get through them quickly, because a wave of people were coming "on stream" who might find it difficult to keep their political biases or ideological inclinations, or even their "ethnic adherence and outlook" out of any proceedings inside the courts.

And so it turned out, pretty well! The anti-Nazi bias, so evident in the university textbooks and courses when I went to university in Canada, have, how could it be different, produced prosecutors, lawyers, court officials and media people with self-righteous attitudes and pre-conceived notions about that period of European history which they only know from ideologically slanted books, whereas those like myself, whom they accuse and sit in judgement of in cases like mine, and the worldwide hysteria of War Crimes Trials now coming up. Interesting, isn't it!

For almost 40 years, England, Australia, New Zealand, America, Argentina and Canada conducted no such trials, and only Zionist and Communist groups were seen and heard agitating for these trials of vengeance. The much admired former Prime Minister of Canada, John Diefenbaker, now deceased, stated it succinctly when he said that to hold these trials would be perceived to be "pandering to the Jews", or words to that effect. Well, if that was what it was then, what has it become now?

The answer is simple! John Diefenbaker and the generation of politicians then in power were largely "Old Anglos", as we immigrants called them, reared on WASP traditions and ethics. Today's oligarchy is composed of the sons of these people and the wave of pre-war, wartime or post-war refugees from the European conflicts who brought with them many of their prejudices and saw them reenforced in schools, universities, by tendentious television shows and one-sided news reporting.

Castro, you will recall, was an agrarian reformer, the George Washington of Cuba. Yugoslav dictator Tito virtually walked on water, and the Soviets were wonderful, progressive social innovators. People like the Soviet defector Gowzenko, who came over to warn the West, were ridiculed in public, their tales of horrors, gulags, tortures and death camps discounted to this day.

Anti-Nazis were given prominence, there was no story and accusation too wild, too vicious and too illogical. Movies, TV specials, novels, eye-witness accounts, etc., a whole genre of "entertainment", evolved, a whole new industry formed and it is against this background that the Zündel Story has to be seen. Anti-Communists became Fascists and Nazis.

So I was not surprised when I was treated the way I was by police investigators, politicians, reporters, teachers and later prosecutors, juries and even judges. I got a reminder of this when I appeared at 11 o'clock on February 5, 1990, outside the Toronto Jail, because even

2 *Liberty Bell* / March 1990

though I was a writer and publisher going to jail for what I believed in, and not even for what I had written, since the publication for which I had been convicted was written by an Englishman—there was no outcry by the Civil Libertarians, the protectors and defenders of Salman Rushdie were nowhere to be found!

The media has become less and less evident, the longer my ordeal in the courts has lasted and the more frequent my visits to jail for my ideas have become.

So it did not surprise me to see my friends and stalwart supporters out there in front of that jail and only one TV cameraman and some reporters, who either came late or must have watched from their cars or the distance to do their stories. The coverage was "sparse." Only the *Canadian Jewish News* of February 8 and February 15 once gave it some fair coverage. The *Toronto Star*, *Globe and Mail* and *Sun* coverage was

pitiful in content and prominence.

The media has to be embarrassed every night, for they really are the villains in this "persecution through prosecution" piece of modern witch-hunt. I saw my friends, some of them weeping, others sad, a few laughing nervously, as I tried to cheer them up on my way to jail, and could not help but reflect how this Canada had deteriorated in my 30 years here.

The authorities would at first not let me into jail because *my* demonstrators, I was told, were there. I had



*Liberty Bell* / March 1990 3

announced over the prison phone that "Political Prisoner Ernst Zündel was ready to enter the Canadian Gulag." Finally, police re-enforcements arrived, and I was escorted inside.

The "processing" of Thought Criminal Ernst Zündel had begun. I heard one of the white guards mockingly say quite loudly, "We got Zündel down there," to which a number of blacks, who had been eyeing me from their cells, said, where, where, where? The result? As they were led past my cell, where I stood in solitary, a mulatto cursed me and cussed me out as a dirty Nazi German pig and, although handcuffed to other prisoners, let loose with a stream of spit in my eyes and face. So within minutes of entering jail, I harvested the fruit of the mood created by the media and system in Canada. The rest was all routine!

Strip! Search for drugs! Issued prison clothing. Taken to cell! No mattress for a while, no blankets, no toothpaste, no pillow, no soap, and of course no freedom!

I wrote a letter while in prison, but could not find anyone courageous enough to take it or mail it out whatever the procedure was there, so in the end I took it out when I was released on bail. Naturally, it was written knowing that the Censors were going to copy it and pass it into my file, but I did want to convey to the outside world what I was thinking and I want to share it with you!

Towards the end of the newsletter I will reproduce Keltie Zubko's summation of my case and the tone and content of the Judgement. I will add a few observations of my own and ask you to help me one more time with some projects, and then I will have to go back to the humdrum of organizing my next moves! For I may only have three months before I begin serving my sentence. The Immigration Department already called, the Jews are clamoring to get me out of the country via deportation, and naturally, Canada's inefficient bureaucracy works smoothly and efficiently when the Zionist Lobby wants something done!

Toronto "Don" Jail, 8. Feb. 1990

### Letter From Jail to My friends!

#### Status Report

On Monday, February 5, 1990, at 11 a.m., I honoured the promise I had given earlier in writing, to voluntarily check myself in at this Toronto landmark, which is only about half a mile from my home. From my sunroof, where I spent many a summer's day writing and researching, I could see this old building in the distance, with its typically 19th century British colonial style.

I was met at the jail entrance by approximately 50 Zündel and Free Speech supporters, all of whom carried placards demanding an end to my persecution through prosecution. I saw representatives there from various newspapers, and at least two video cameramen were present. One team was there from City TV, which has been following my 10-year case very closely.

It took about 35 minutes before the jail door opened to admit me. There is apparently a policy not to open the gate while demonstrators are nearby. Police reinforcements sorted the matter out, and I was finally admitted. The heavy steel doors rumbled and creaked shut behind me, I handed over my bail papers, and my "processing" had begun. I was familiar with the routine.

First, I was relieved of all my pens, keys, and my trusted "companion" of many years, my U.S. Dept. of Justice issue heavy-duty Keflar and steel plate body armour vest. It was this vest which, you will recall, together with a plainclothes Toronto policeman, had saved my life as I had been assaulted on the courthouse steps, in front of Old City Hall Court House on December 29, 1983. The vest had protected my chest and kidneys, where I was furiously kicked by one of the attackers.

The vest and pens gone, I was put into a cell alone. As I sat there, a group of prisoners, all handcuffed, were led past me outside my cell. One of them cursed at me and let fly with a load of spit square in my face—so my baptism into my new fraternity had started. Luckily, I had brought along two handkerchiefs, and "mopped up".

Then it was time to take my "mug-shot", with my number in front of me, just like I had seen in the Auschwitz Album. The photographer was a Chinese guard. The man who did the processing was a Negro whom I had been processed by once before. He was efficient, matter-of-fact, correct!

Money was counted, items of clothing counted, my concentration camp garb caused a few laughs, then it was over to the clothing issuing counter. I was relieved of my civilian stuff and handed my blue navy denim shirt, light blue woolen socks, surprise, surprise, brand new running shoes and brand new pants, which had a superior design over the "old uniforms"—they had a velcro waistband and fly, making for a much better, more individual fit. I was grateful to whoever had had this simple, but for prisoners' comfort brilliant idea! Now I could walk to the various interviews with classification officers, prison officials, to the exercise yard, without "losing my pants".

This time, I was given two sheets, a towel, a comb, a toothbrush, toothpaste immediately. I figured by this that I was going to be "inside" for a while. I had judged the mood of the Judges at my appeal in Sep-

tember of 1989 correctly. They did not grant us a single Appeal Point and did not reduce the 9-month jail term by one day.

In a perverse way, I had been proven right over all the other liberal pundits and believers in the impartiality of the System etc. In fact, it seems that I could feel and judge the mood and mind-set of Canada's, or should I say Ontario's, ruling caste, much more accurately than the native-born, Ontario-raised friends and pundits.

A tall, good-looking, older Scottish-descent prison official, I don't know his function, came in the afternoon to bring me a copy of the court's lengthy, 95-page verdict. He was courteous, even a touching kind, I felt, considering the negative news coverage I have had in the last few years. He told me when visiting hours would be, etc., and I felt that this was a further indication of what the verdict would be. So I stretched out on my metal bunk, with the new mattress on it, and started to read, Justice John W. Brooke's, J.A. beautifully crafted judgement, point by point, page by page, for the rest of the afternoon.

I was immediately struck by the lack of typing errors, for the previous few hours I had spent studying the Crown's "Factum", which was in modern Canadian, which is to say, it mirrored the terrible decline in spelling and writing skills which has taken place in this province since the late 1960's. This was one of the chief reasons why I sent my two boys to terribly expensive private schools.

I read the Judgement and mentally allowed myself to be transported back to the courtrooms, the many months I had attempted in these places to defend myself against the accusation that I had intentionally set out, all the time knowing that I was lying, and for some 25 years had knowingly published, written or spoken nothing but deliberate lies, about my German people's history. In two long trials, some who were not the accused but just helped in the trials, called them ordeals, lasting 7 weeks in 1985 and almost 4 months in 1988; we are not counting now the many preliminary court appearances of 1984 where up to 400-500 screaming, punching, kicking and spitting demonstrators frequently barred my entry to the courthouse. We are not counting the beatings I suffered, the bombing, still unsolved, of my home in September of 1984, or the many court appearances since 1983, all to the background of vicious media reports about me, my alleged ideas, beliefs, etc.

All this flashed past me like some TV Special on my life, as I read that Judgement of Mr. Justice Brooke.

I wondered to myself if this Judgement would have sounded different if anyone in Canada, be it government, social agencies, or the Judiciary or even a Human Rights body, had ever bothered to enquire

what the German Canadians felt about "how they and their heritage, their people's history" were treated in Canadian school textbooks, news reports, novels and films? I reflected on the many missed opportunities, by the many individuals and government leaders I had contacted over the years, pointing to the inaccuracies, the negative stereotyping in books, and I wondered what was going through the minds of the reporters of the CTV, of CBC, of CHCH, all of whom have lengthy, in-depth programs "in the can" or on tape and who never saw them broadcast on their networks. I wondered about the high-ranking police officials whom I had shown my files of school texts, comic books, clippings and video clips, and who had shown such insight and understanding?

I wondered about the ministers, priests and schoolteachers, in whose churches and schools I had spoken over those last 31 years of my life in Canada, and I wondered what they thought about the latest turn of events in the Zündel case?

I wondered, as I was sitting there in my cell in Toronto's old, venerable and much-talked-about Don Jail, what impact, if any, my letters, visits with these people and interviews with them had had over the years. I wonder if they picked up the newspapers the day after my incarceration, or saw and heard the evening news that February 5, 1990, if it made them think back to our meetings of the mind?

I understand the satisfaction and vindication my opponents feel, for they are not plagued by anyone else's doubts or misgivings about the Zündel case; they won—I lost, that satisfies them, but what goes on in the minds of this vast land's majority? People who have always been decent and kind to me and have listened to my pleadings for relief from the constant media-born rehashing of W.W.II?!

I wondered if my 9 years of educational work in Quebec, and my hundreds of speeches, articles and press interviews, really had only the effect of me after 31 years in this place, the second-largest country in the world, having merely "spat against the wind"?

Those were my thoughts as I read the Crown's Factum and the Appeal Court's decision. Was this all I had to show for my 30 years of intellectual labor? What would all the thousands of my supporters from all across Canada, the US, Brazil, Australia and New Zealand, South Africa and Europe think, what would they think when they read all those negative news stories which would now appear about myself, with me unable to answer back?

Those were my thoughts! No—those are my thoughts every day. The only, very limited way I have to fathom what goes on in the hearts and minds of ordinary Canadians are the inmates and the guards at this

institution. Some of the prisoners seem to have made up their minds already, the guards and officials seem the way I have gotten to know Canadian Police, Court Officials and Prison Guards to have been towards me, correct, no-nonsense professionals.

I wish I could say the same about prosecutors and their bosses, and the arbiters in this drama, but I cannot, in all honesty.

The parole officer was just here; I am eligible for parole on May 3rd, 1990. For release without parole on August 3rd, 1990. After the tone of the Judgement, don't expect me out before August 3rd, or Christmas.

All the Best!  
Ernst Zündel

Ernst can be reached at 416-922-9850, or 206 Carlton Street, Toronto  
ONT M5A 2L1, CANADA

\* \* \* \* \*

## Zündel Appeal Decision

### A Summation by Keltie Zubko

At about 11:30 a.m. Ernst Zündel turned himself in to Toronto's Don Jail, so that the Ontario Court of Appeal would release its decision in his second appeal of his conviction for knowingly publishing false news, damaging to a public interest in racial tolerance. The appeal was unanimously turned down by three judges of the appeal court, Justices Brooke, Morden and Galligan.

Presently, Mr. Zündel remains in the Don Jail, in isolation, pending his possible release on bail while his lawyers Douglas Christie and Barbara Kulaszka seek leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada.

### The Decision

Although the decision covers 96 pages, it is very sketchy in its treatment of the numerous appeal grounds, and leaves out several extremely important grounds, for example, the trial judge's exclusion of the Leuchter Report and the inclusion of the booklet *Six Million Did Die*, by the Crown. There are very few actual reasons given, but extensive quotes from the trial transcript.

It appears that the judges could not attack Mr. Zündel personally, as he did not testify, but spent their emotion by attacking Mr. Zündel's lawyer, Mr. Christie, for daring to allege judicial bias on the part of the trial judge.

The court seems to take the lazy way out in many respects by failing

to apply their minds to the second appeal, and instead adopting the reasons of the first appeal court.

The first ground of appeal dealt with is that the trial judge wrongly took judicial notice of the holocaust, thereby removing the onus on the Crown to prove the booklet's falsity. The decision of the appeal court was basically that the trial judge "took judicial notice of non-contentious historical facts which were background and declined to take judicial notice of historical facts which the Crown had to demonstrate in proving that specific statements or allegations in the pamphlet were false..." Despite the confusion over the use of the term "holocaust," the court found that the charge to the jury was all right.

The second ground of appeal considered by the court was the admission of the evidence of Raul Hilberg, which was read in from the first trial, without the doctor coming back to testify and be cross-examined again by Doug Christie. The court held that the judge should have realized he had a discretion to exclude Hilberg's evidence, but that there was no prejudice to the accused by allowing its admission.

A very important ground of appeal was that which dealt with the accused's knowledge of falsity of the booklet, which the Crown said could be extrapolated from a finding that the accused had National Socialist beliefs. The court agrees with the appellant's argument that there is no logical connection between a person's being a follower of National Socialism and having knowledge that the statements in the booklet were false, but they fail to see the significance of the trial judge's instructions to the jury to the contrary. Their rationale on this ground upholds an earlier reason by the first court of appeal, in another context.

The next ground of appeal that is considered is that of the judge's instructions to the jury about actual knowledge (or falsity). Once again, this court does not dare (it appears) contradict a finding of the earlier court of appeal, in another context, when this argument was not considered, i.e., that the accused could not possibly have actual knowledge of falsity of the booklet, having been only six years old when the war ended.

The court deals very superficially with another important ground of appeal, that of the booklet being substantially or wholly opinion, and the judge's failure to adequately instruct the jury about this important aspect, since only statements of false fact can be caught by the section. Throughout their so-called reasons on this issue, the appeal court fails to note the nature of history as opinion, and the importance of the evidence called by the defence by its experts in linguistics that the booklet in question was a political opinion, or that it was the opinion portions of the booklet that would have any effect at all upon racial and

social tolerance, while the "facts" would have no effect.

Once again, in this ground we note that the second appeal court appeared loathe to criticize the first appeal court's finding that an expert historian can give opinion evidence to establish fact — an entirely unprecedented finding in law.

Another ground of appeal was the judge's answer to the jury's question during their deliberations, which many observers in the courtroom at the time could see had a profound effect upon the jury, because of the manner of the judge. The appeal court interprets what the jury "must" have meant by their question and finds that the judge's answer was proper.

The appeal court judges mount a massive attack upon defence lawyer Doug Christie when they deal with the last ground considered in their reasons (but certainly not the last ground argued) that of judicial bias. This is where they spend much effort to discredit Mr. Christie, by condemning him for his criticism of the trial judge. Indeed, they find that the "trial judge conducted this trial in an exemplary fashion." They quote excerpts of the trial out of context, and certainly without the benefit of hearing the judge's tone of voice or seeing his demeanor. Many people who sat through the entire trial would be sure to disagree with the appeal court in their findings, and see it therefore as merely an attempt to protect one of their own brother judges.

They contend that "nor is there anything to suggest that [the trial judge] would have had any reason to have a personal animus toward the appellant." The trial judge is lauded as fair, correct, restrained, etc. etc. etc. The appeal court in particular rationalizes the trial judge's shocking treatment of defence witness expert Dr. Fann. Having seen the trial, the appeal court's treatment of this whole subject appears to me to be highly biased, itself, when they find that "there is nothing to provide even minimal support for the allegation of actual bias against the appellant." They go further and comment on Mr. Christie, calling him irresponsible and reprehensible for complaining about this bias.

In the final pages of the appeal decision, the court unanimously upholds the nine month sentence for this word crime. They say he is not being punished for his political beliefs, but "for what he has done." □

\* \* \* \* \*

The remarks of the Court of Appeal judges do not bode well for the rights of defence counsel in trials where unpopular persons are accused. They decide, contrary to the evidence, there are no grounds to complain and then condemn the lawyer for complaining. Your letters to the Judicial Council about these judges' comments might be useful to remind

them of the need to protect the rights of defence counsel, at least to complain with impunity. Otherwise, defence counsel will find it unsafe to complain unless they have previously gotten approval to do so — which means not to complain at all! Though the judges need not uphold the complaint, they should not attack the lawyer for complaining.

The address of the Judicial Council of Canada is: Room 450, 112 Kent Street, Ottawa ONT K1A 0W8. Please write the following sample or similar letter to the Judicial Council and to other addresses given below. When writing, do not be abusive, please! Do not call people names; that could only harm Doug's career even more, and hinder a proper re-examination of my own case! Be civil, polite, firm! Get your facts straight. You can write in English, French or German. You must do it soon — time is running out.

Dear Sir

I wish to complain about the abusive language of the Ontario Court of Appeal in the case of Ernst Zündel, used February 5, 1990, against defence lawyer Douglas Christie.

The judgement (which has been widely reported) unfairly and improperly referred to Mr. Christie as irresponsible and reprehensible for complaining about the bias of the trial judge Thomas.

When a trial judge refers to the accused as "being a kook" and "maybe that is the best thing he has going for him," even before the jury is empaneled or any evidence is called in the trial, what do you call it? I call it bias and very blatant bias at that. And so do most reasonable people.

It seems that the court of Appeal says it is not bias, which is their right, but to attack the defence lawyer for complaining about it has a shocking and chilling effect on the rights of a lawyer to represent his client.

Mr. Christie has a tough enough job defending an unpopular client like Mr. Zündel without receiving abuse like this from the Court of Appeal. If you do not take steps to protect the reputation of defence counsel from such judicial intemperance, even the appearance of justice will disappear and the administration of justice will be brought into disrepute.

I demand this unfair attack be brought home to these judges who, because of their position, cannot be brought to correction in any other way than through your council.

Those justices are well within their right to find the bias of the trial judge of no significance to them but to insult the lawyer who complains about it in this way and to publicly humiliate him is totally unacceptable in a free and democratic society. What is he supposed to do, ask leave to appeal such bias, as is apparent in the trial judge's comments?

If you cannot rectify the justices intemperate remarks, I fear no one can remedy bias at all. The justices in the judgement referred to the trial judge's biased remark, which they could not deny, as merely "unfortunate."

I am of the opinion that counsel are under a duty to allege grounds of appeal which *their client* feels are justified. To attack a lawyer where such complaints are raised is unfair and results in timid lawyers who cannot represent unpopular clients. I believe the justices were intemperate and their remarks should be rectified by an apology. There is a prima facie right to complain without being attacked as irresponsible or reprehensible.

I trust you will take steps to rectify this frightening situation of judicial arrogance.

I would take this position regardless of whether I agree or disagree with Mr. Zündel's views or Mr. Christie's submissions about the alleged bias, and in the interests of justice and fair play in our judicial system.

\* \* \* \* \*

### HELP FOR DOUG CHRISTIE NEEDED!

*The Globe & Mail*, who used to cover the Zündel-Trial-I case quite well and was promptly visited by Zionist censors, and later got a public thrashing by the Zionists, has virtually stopped covering the Zündel controversy.

In a front-page article, on 14 February 1990, a lawyer called Doug Christie, of questionable ethnic adherence, who claims his mother attends church, and who, according to news reports, in my first trial was sent mail meant for Doug Christie and myself, which I never got sent by him, says he may have found a way to free himself of the mix-up with *our* Battling Barrister. He is quoted in the *Globe & Mail* as musing, "His [*our Doug's*] Achilles heel is that every time he comes to Ontario, he needs the approval of the Law Society of Upper Canada. They are charged with making sure the conduct of lawyers is not irresponsible!"

(The Appeal Court Judges had claimed in my case that Doug had acted in an "irresponsible" and "reprehensible" manner, by saying the Judge in my case had a bias against me!) The other Christie continues slyly, "Well, I have indicated to them that while I am not very subjective about the matter, I am curious whether somebody can come back to this province after being accused by the Ontario Court of Appeal of conduct such as that."

I don't think I have to spell it out for anyone what that means. The powers-that-be want to eliminate our Doug Christie, that's all!

He is the one man in Ontario—maybe even in the entire country—who stands between what used to be Anglo-Saxon legal traditions and the vindictive, niggling, mean-spirited political persecution of dissident thinkers who refuse to bow to the whims, wishes and pressures of the Zionist Lobby in Canada.

Persecution through prosecution, Israeli or Soviet style.

You can help save our Doug Christie's career and strike a blow for freedom by writing letters to the Judicial Council, the enclosed address of the Law Society of Upper Canada, plus the media and politicians' addresses given below.

#### Sample Letter:

In the name of justice, I ask the Canadian Judicial Council to reconsider the manner and conduct as well as the verdict of the Ontario Appeal Court in the case of Regina vs. Zündel, heard on Sept. 18-22, 1989, File No. 424/88, verdict released on Feb. 5, 1990, so that the Canadian Justice System does not fall into discredit through a politically motivated erroneous judgment. The circumstances of the Zündel case are a scandal and unworthy of a civilized nation, especially an alleged democracy.

Address your letters to:

Complaints Department

Law Society of Upper Canada

Osgoode Hall

Toronto ONT M5H 2N6, CANADA.

The Canadian Judicial Council

Room 450, 112 Kent St., Ottawa ONT K1A 0W8, CANADA

and to the following:

The News Desk

TORONTO SUN

333 King St., East

Toronto ONT M5A 3X5, CANADA

*Liberty Bell* / March 1990 13



The News Desk  
GLOBE & MAIL  
444 Front St., West  
Toronto ONT M5V 2S9, CANADA

The Newsroom, CFTR-RADIO  
25 Adelaide St., East  
Toronto ONT M5C 1Y2, CANADA

News Director, Global-TV  
81 Barber Greene Rd  
Don Mills ONT M3C 2A2, CANADA

The News Desk  
TORONTO STAR  
1 Yonge St  
Toronto ONT M5E 1E6, CANADA

THE CANADIAN PRESS  
36 King St., East  
Toronto ONT M5C 2L9, CANADA

The Editor  
HAMILTON SPECTATOR  
44 Frid St.  
Hamilton ONT L8N 3G3, CANADA

ASSOCIATE PRESS  
36 King St. East  
Toronto ONT M5C 2L9, CANADA

MONTREAL GAZETTE  
151 Sparks St.  
Ottawa ONT X1P 5E3, CANADA

PREMIER OF ONTARIO  
Legislative Buildings  
Queen's Park  
Toronto ONT M7A 1A1, CANADA

ONTARIO ATTORNEY GENERAL  
18 King St., East  
Toronto ONT M5C 1C5, CANADA

PRIME MINISTER OF CANADA  
Parliament Buildings  
Ottawa ONT, CANADA

## Canada

# Court accepts Holocaust as fact as Zundel's appeal is turned down

By  
PAUL LUNGEN

### TORONTO —

The Ontario Court of Appeal last week upheld the conviction of Holocaust-denier Ernst Zundel, ruling that the District Court trial judge acted properly in accepting the Holocaust as an accepted fact that reasonable people would not question.

The unanimous judgment by the three-man Appeal Court also criticized defence lawyer Doug Christie for raising "a virulent attack on practically every aspect of the trial judge's (Ron Thomas) conduct," including unsupported allegations of bias.

"To make unfounded charges of personal bias against a judicial officer, where there is a complete absence of reasonable grounds, is irresponsible and reprehensible," the judges stated. "Mr. Christie did so in this case."

Zundel, who turned himself in to police prior to announcement of the judgment, was in custody for about six days before being released on \$10,000 bail pending an appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada.

Court of Appeal justice James Carthy released Zundel on condition he not publish anything connected with denial of the Holocaust.

Zundel's application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada will be considered by three justices of the high court, who determine whether the issues raised are of sufficient national importance to warrant hearing legal arguments, said John Pearson, one of a three-man prosecution team in the Zundel case.

Pearson noted that the constitutionality of the Criminal Code section under which Zundel was convicted has not yet been tested in Canada's highest court.

Zundel was charged under Section 177 of the Code for wilfully publishing false information likely to cause damage to a public interest in social and racial harmony. The document in question, a pamphlet called *Did Six Million Really Die?*, alleges the Holocaust did not occur but was a hoax perpetrated by Jews to extort massive reparation payments from West Germany.

Charges against Zundel were initiated by survivor

Sabina Citron of the Canadian Holocaust Remembrance Association and were subsequently taken over by the Crown.

Zundel's eight-week trial began in January 1985 before a 12-person jury. He was convicted and sentenced to 15 months in jail.

That conviction was overturned by the Ontario Court of Appeal, which found the trial judge erred in the jury selection process, in decisions on admissibility of evidence and on the charge to the jury. But it rejected defence contentions that the law violated freedom of speech guarantees under the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. A new trial was ordered.

Zundel was again convicted by a jury, following an even lengthier second trial, and sentenced this time to nine months in jail. He had been out on bail pending a decision in the second appeal.

Zundel's launch of an appeal to the Supreme Court will also put a stop to another legal matter — a move to deport Zundel to his native West Germany. Proceedings to deport him were launched following his first conviction, but put

in abeyance pending the appeal and second trial.

An immigrant to Canada, Zundel has never taken out Canadian citizenship. Under Canadian law, a landed immigrant with a jail sentence exceeding six months is liable to be deported.

Following a criminal conviction, the Immigration Act requires that a report be written by an immigration officer after which the individual is called to an enquiry, Immigration Canada spokesman Carolyn Moore told the CJN.

Under the conditions of the Zundel case, she said, the report won't be written until the Supreme Court decides whether to hear Zundel's appeal.

In dismissing Zundel's appeal, the Court of Appeal upheld the trial judge's ruling taking judicial notice of the Holocaust. Thomas had instructed the jury that "the mass murder and extermination of Jews of Europe by the Nazi regime during the Second World War is so notorious as not to be the subject of dispute among reasonable persons."

Calling the judicial notice ruling "narrow," the Court of Appeal noted the defence was left with the opportunity to prove the truth of allegations of fact in the pamphlet while the Crown still retained the onus of proving that the pamphlet was false and that Zundel knew it to be false.

"We think the trial judge

took judicial notice of non-contentious historical facts which were background and declined to take judicial notice of historical facts which the Crown had to demonstrate in proving that specific statements or allegations in the pamphlet were false and that (Zundel) knew them to be false when he published them," the court stated.

The Appeal Court also approved Thomas's definition to the jury of a public interest, when the trial judge said: "It is not in the public interest to have one segment of the community racially or religiously intolerant against another segment of the community."

"An attack on one segment of the community is, in reality, an attack on the whole community. If one segment is not protected from criminal defamation and libel, accusations of criminal wrongdoing, criminal fraud, the whole community is vulnerable because the next segment is fair game, and then the next segment is fair game, until you have destroyed the entire community."

Thomas's description "was a graphic explanation of the evil that Section 177 was intended to prevent," the Appeal Court stated.

Turning to Christie's suggestions that Thomas was biased against the defence, the Court of Appeal stated "none of the charges of bias is made out."

"Not one of the incidents relied upon could lead to the appearance of an unfair

trial," the court stated. "Indeed, after reviewing in detail each of the specific allegations, much of the voluminous evidence and the charge to the jury, it is the opinion of this court that the trial judge conducted (his trial in an exemplary fashion. He demonstrated fairness, impartiality and remarkable restraint."

"The restraint was remarkable because it was shown in the face of Mr. Christie's refusals to obey his rulings and Mr. Christie's attempts to interject irrelevant issues into the trial. On the occasions when the trial judge reprimanded Mr. Christie, the record discloses that such reprimands were deserved."

Commenting on the case, David Satok, chairman of community relations for Canadian Jewish Congress's Ontario Region, said, "The court has reaffirmed that the activities of socially destructive neo-Nazi agitators like Zundel are criminal and have no place in Canadian society."

B'nai Brith Canada president Moishe Smith said: "We are particularly gratified that the court backed Judge Thomas, who treated the Holocaust as an established fact of history. (This) decision is another victory for historical truth."

# THE SOUTH AFRICAN OBSERVER

ISSN 0038-2523

Volume XXXIII No. 8

Single Copy R1,50. Twelve Issues R20,00

FEBRUARY 1990

A Journal for Realists

EDITOR: S.E.D. BROWN

P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria

Fax (012) 322-0215

Phone (012) 322-2950

Registered at the G.P.O.  
as a Newspaper

## SOUTH AFRICA AT THE PRECIPICE

### TREASON IS THE REASON

With the F.W. de Klerk government set to use Parliament to scrap the Separate Amenities Act which will destroy white community life, and thereafter to continue to whittle away at the Group Areas Act which finally will mark the end of the white nation in South Africa—and with the government stepping up its close collaboration with Nelson Mandela and the communist ANC as the main black players in its "negotiating" and "reform" processes, we would again warn our Defence and Police chiefs, together with our Ministers of Justice and of Law and Order, that the Suppression of Communism Act, the Internal Security Act, and the other protective anti-communist legislation still on the statute books are *still the laws of the land*, and that they have to be obeyed—particularly so by the nation-destroying government of Frederick Willem de Klerk.

Instead of the full force of these anti-communist laws being brought to bear on the ANC and the whole communist apparatus in the country, we today see the de Klerk government willy nilly breaking, bypassing or circumventing them, and intending to continue to do so—in its mad rush into its "new South Africa" and Azania.

### MAIN COLLABORATORS

The ANC and its communist allies have clearly emerged as the government's main collaborators in its revolutionary moves towards "normalising life" in the "new South Africa."

With the ANC and communists doing all the running in the "new South Africa" stakes—if not as the sole black players—we see an entirely new situation in South Africa with the ANC openly rallying or mar-

ching with its flag, and that of the SA Communist Party, flying proudly.

Having recruited black people and skilfully located them in key positions in the ANC, the SA Communist Party has risen—with the ANC—to become the main factor on the political stage.

They talk as if victory is already theirs and believe they need only keep up the defiance campaign, the boycotts and the other demonstrations, to bring down the White government as well as the existing order.

They are still intent on intensifying the “armed struggle” if their full demands are not met.

Furthermore, the ANC, just like the UDF, had already virtually “unbanned” itself when State President de Klerk in his shock announcement in Parliament on February 2 suddenly *unbanned* not only the ANC but the SA Communist Party and all other restricted communist organisations—and doing so by presidential edict, and in open defiance of the anti-communist laws of the land still on the statute books.

### HARD-PRESSED PEOPLE

Meanwhile, our hard-pressed and unfortunate Police Force has been instructed to “adapt” to the “new South Africa,” and perforce has to adopt a low profile when the communist-inoculated black hordes, intimidated and marshaled by ANC commissars, conduct their “peaceful,” government-sanctioned “protest marches” through all our main city centres—with the ANC flag and the red hammer and sickle flag flying high.

The Police Force has now been instructed to carry on its tasks in the “new political situations” regardless of their personal feelings and opinions!

“We are in a very difficult phase, which means that changes are being brought about,” our new Commissioner of Police, Lt. Gen. Johan van der Merve, said in an interview with the Johannesburg *Sunday Times*.

“The police will have to adjust and be ready and prepared to face any challenges that come our way.”

The police would adapt to “changes in the present government’s attitude, together with changes in our society and also many other political changes that may take place.”

He said the police were already adapting well to the changes and said all force members understood they were “only tools of the legislature” and could only apply the law.

“A policeman might not like to arrest a person for carrying an ANC flag—you cannot arrest a person merely for carrying an ANC

flag.

“But if together with that action there are some other actions as well, then it may amount to promoting the aims and objectives of the ANC and then it is an Offence,” General van der Merwe said.

### PLUMMETING MORALE

But there was not a word, or even a peep out of him, about the many offences being committed on a daily basis, by not only the ANC but by the de Klerk government itself, in not enforcing the anti-communist laws of the land.

Is it any wonder then, that the undercurrent of resentment and frustration in the Police Force is growing.

Is it any wonder that the morale of our highly-trained and highly dedicated Police Force is plummeting—with 12 policemen quitting the SA Police, a situation described by General van der Merwe himself as “alarming”?

A policeman is not a policeman anymore. He does not know when a law is to be applied.

He today is a missionary of “reform” who has to stand by with his hands tied behind his back and witness law after law being broken in front of his eyes, without being able to do anything about it.

And the rescue of a black prisoner from two policemen by a black mob in Durban recently, without a shot being fired, will also go down as a day of shame in the proud annals of the SA Police Force.

Inevitably by its actions the government is fast losing the respect and loyalty of its security forces.

### WARNING TO DE KLERK

The government’s actions in allowing the State of Emergency to *de facto* elapse while maintaining the fiction that it is still in forces, and its general refusal to maintain law and order according to the laws in the statute books, can mean only one thing.

It can only mean that the de Klerk government is encouraging the communist revolution, by crippling the last bastion of South Africa’s defence, namely the SA Police.

And by deliberately undermining the effectiveness of our Police Force, one of the finest in the world, de Klerk government is precipitating something which our communist enemies for the last four decades have been trying to accomplish.

We would again war Mr. de Klerk and his military and security chiefs—at this late hour—that the only consideration that their policy

of "negotiation" with Nelson Mandela and the ANC, and with other agents of communism within and without South Africa, might not be construed as treason, is that all our communist enemies are no longer to be considered as *enemies*.

But if they have now in fact become *not enemies*, then our National Party leaders and our military and our security chiefs have either deliberately lied to us about the dangers of communism, or have themselves been paranoid or wicked in stirring the witches' brew of the Cold War, since the days of Dr. Verwoerd.

These are the same men who have consistently warned us of the communist menace. Their rise to power has been built on it. They have used the threat posed by communism to justify the existence of the Special Branch, the setting up of the Bureau of State Security and then its successors, the National Intelligence Service and the National Security Council, and the vastly increased security expenditure.

### DEADLY DE FACTO ENEMIES

And the de Klerk government is today still using the threat of communism to stampede the electorate into supporting its whole "negotiating" and "reform" process, with the ANC and its communist allies as the main players.

These are the same men who are giving absolutely vital aid and comfort to communists inside and outside South Africa who are *de facto* enemies of the white man, whatever they might be *de jure*.

And if communists inside and outside South Africa are not *de jure* enemies of ours, then that in itself makes them more deadly *de facto* enemies.

If communism is a nightmare, which of course it is—ask Hungary, ask Czechoslovakia, ask Tibet, ask Vietnam, ask Cambodia, ask Afghanistan, ask Azerbaijan, and ask the other 1300-million people under the communist heel today—then deliberate strengthening and building up of their side, as our government is doing is tantamount to treason.

As for those who support it all this sorry business, we would again remind them that they might not know what misprision of treason is.

Misprision of treason is the crime of not doing something about treason when it is known to exist.

### SHOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS

It should have been obvious from the start that the Suppression

of Communism Act, the Internal Security act and all the other anti-communist protective anti-communist legislation still on the statute books, *are the laws of the land*—and that until such time as they have been repealed, by Parliament, all the collaboration with the communist apparatus that we today see taking place between the de Klerk government and Nelson Mandela and the SA Communist Party's terrorist front, the ANC, is a serious crime against not only the white nation in South Africa, but against every law-abiding and self-respecting Indian, Coloured and Black man—and its perpetrators must be brought to justice and punished.

Simply stated, it is treason—and deserving of the ultimate penalty.

It is one thing to deal with one's declared enemies because one has no alternative, while remaining determined to defeat and destroy them.

It is quite another thing to fraternise and collaborate with them as a permanent affliction to be endured and finally accepted and surrendered to.

That is the road of surrender, of sell-out at the top, to the forces of International Finance and the Zionist-communist world revolution.

For our part, we are still waiting patiently for the treason trials.

\* \* \* \* \*

## F.W. DE KLERK: SOUTH AFRICA'S KERENSKY?

By D.L. Du Bois

History tends to repeat itself, and since his accession to power Mr. F.W. de Klerk seems to have conducted himself in a way not unlike Alexander Kerensky, head of the moderate provisional government in Russia before he was ousted by the Bolsheviks in November 1917.

As a liberal regime tolerant of dissent and glorying in the slogan 'no enemies to the Left' Kerensky's government did not act against the Bolsheviks until it was too late.

During its short period in office it released terrorists and political prisoners; it ended discrimination on the grounds of class and national differences; it tolerated the dissemination of revolutionary propaganda.

In an almost bloodless coup in November 1917, the Bolsheviks, led by Trotsky, ousted Kerensky and his cabinet from the Winter

Palace in Petrograd.

When de Klerk became Head of the State, his government had already initiated a process of discussion with the SA Communist Party's terrorist minion, the ANC. Already convicted terrorists had been released from prison and others have since been released.

Laws concerning the protection of minority groups and their interests have been abandoned and the right of the White community to its own community life is being openly undermined.

Newspapers scramble to project the view and profiles of revolutionaries as though they were wondrous additions to the social scene.

The ANC have been punted as returned heroes enthusiastically welcomed by the ignorant and manipulated masses just as Lenin was greeted upon his return to Russia in April 1917.

Like the Bolsheviks, the ANC appears to have unbanned themselves and to be flouting the state of emergency regulations.

All the while F.W. de Klerk busies himself with rhetoric about a so-called "new South Africa."

He seems to believe that differences and disparities between the First and Third World communities can simply be cast aside and that somehow peace will prevail if appeasement is followed.

Like Kerensky, he appears to be pursuing an illusion while strikes abound, violence increases and inflation destroys the middle class, namely, the Whites, his own people.

Like Kerensky, de Klerk apparently does not know that the peace which the revolutionaries are seeking is not merely the ending of White political power and influence but an end of resistance to communism.

Just as Lenin directed the Bolsheviks from his hiding place in the months prior to the Bolsheviks' seizure of power, so Nelson Mandela, from the luxury of his confined quarters in Paarl directs the ANC and appears to be awaiting the moment which will thrust South Africa's Kerensky into oblivion and thus signal the beginning of the new RSA—the Republic of Soviet Azania.

Police have been instructed to adapt to the "New South Africa," and so Third World hordes, intimidated and marshaled by the ANC commissars, swarm through our city centres desecrating our national monuments, our statutes and hauling down our national flag.

This has all taken place while the banner of evil and tyranny—the hammer and sickle—has been freely displayed. □

The most distinguished encyclopaedia of the German-speaking areas during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries has been the *Brockhaus*, which has been published in various sizes and editions

## Descendants of Martin Kallikat

By

Charles E. Weber

down through the decades. In my personal reference library there is *Der Große Brockhaus*, 15th edition, published by F.A. Brockhaus in Leipzig beginning with the year 1928. After the publication of the 20 large volumes of the edition, a 21st volume, an *Ergänzungsband* (supplementary volume) appeared in 1935. *Der Große Brockhaus* corresponds fairly closely to the *Encyclopaedia Britannica* in the English-speaking countries, but with some notable differences. The *Brockhaus* has somewhat more characteristics of a large dictionary and its articles are unsigned. The 1928 edition of the *Brockhaus* abounds with colored illustrations of a quality much superior to those in contemporary editions of the *Britannica*.

In the *Ergänzungsband* of 1935 there are a number of articles which have to do with National Socialism and which reflect some influence of National Socialist thought. There are long(er) biographical articles on Hitler, Hess and other prominent National Socialists, which, of course, are by no means hostile to them and which present a wealth of organized factual information. One very striking example is a long article on German art (*Deutsche Kunst*). The six plates accompanying the article have the objective of illustrating the history of German art with an emphasis on its distinctive qualities by contrasting examples of German art with corresponding examples of the art of other nations. These illustrations are subsumed under the word *Wesensschau* (exposition of characteristics). While recently leaving through the Brockhaus *Ergänzungsband* I came upon a small article which I had more or less forgotten after quite a few years and which might be taken as an admonishment to the United States of our days. The article had to do with an incident (date unspecified, but perhaps of the eighteenth or early nineteenth centuries) which had grave consequences down through a number of generations. A soldier, Martin Kallikat, procreated a son with a feeble-minded young woman in a tavern. From this son there were 480 descendants during five generations. Of these descendants, 30% were feeble-minded, 10% quite normal, while the remaining were borderline cases and uncertain. Martin Kallikat later married a healthy farm woman who

bore seven healthy children. During the subsequent generations there were 489 of their descendants, of whom all were healthy except two and in a number of instances of considerable merit. The small article was apparently based on the second German edition of Goddard's study of the Kallikat family published in 1934. It is accompanied by an abbreviated diagram of the descendants with symbols indicating those who were genetically disadvantaged, those who were normal, and those who died before their reproductive years.

Oh, that every American pupil were to be compelled to read about this act of dysgenic procreation by Martin Kallikat that caused so much misery and expense in subsequent generations and to have its vitally important lessons impressed on their young minds! Faint hope, though! Social studies in American public schools are now as far from concerns with dysgenic problems as the equator is from the poles.

We Americans are now living in an age in which most "intellectuals" (in contrast to successful cattle breeders) simply refuse to face up to biological realities and their crucially important effects on our economic and cultural life.

I seem to recall a statement by a prominent National Socialist, perhaps Rudolf Hess or Heinrich Himmler, to the effect that National Socialism was essentially the application of knowledge in the field of biology and genetics. In any event, it is perhaps of significance that Himmler was an agronomist by educational background.

Although National Socialism stressed eugenic measures, such as the sterilization of feeble-minded and schizophrenic persons, Germany was by no means a pioneer in such measures. As I have pointed out in previous articles, the United States was a pioneer in eugenic measures to a much greater extent. Indiana had laws providing for eugenic sterilization as early as 1907 and quite a few other states subsequently enacted such laws and enforced them.

American political leaders and legislators now regard any mention of eugenic measures as an invitation to termination of their profitable careers. They would face the howls from their opponents and degenerate "intellectuals" about "racism" and their being "Nazis." The overreaction against National Socialism has done much to pervert and paralyze American thinking.

In his voluminous, well-known *Untergang des Abendlandes* ("Decline of

the West"), first published in 1918-1922, Oswald Spengler discerns phases of the rise and decline of a number of great civilizations in which he notes important parallels. Spengler designates the final phase of the decline of a civilization by his neologism, *Fellachentum*, which he constructs from the Arabic word for peasant and the Germanic suffix *-tum* (compare English *-dom*, as in "kingdom"). The word conjures up the image of very poor Egyptian peasants living in mud huts amidst the monuments of a remotely ancient, advanced civilization. Spengler's observations, however, include few, if any, conjectures on the biological *causative* factors involved in the decline of civilizations. In this regard we should refer to Elmer Pendell's *Why Civilizations Self-Destruct* (Cape Canaveral, 1977). This work emphasizes the dysgenic factor ("breeding from the bottom") in the decline of civilizations.

Some time ago the erstwhile Prime Minister of Japan, Yasuhiro Nakasone, had the candor—perhaps unusual for his race—to attribute American inability to maintain favorable balances of trade to the racial composition of the United States, with its large numbers of Afro-Americans and "Hispanics." Nakasone's statement evoked howls from journalistic wolves and stupid politicians, of course, and Nakasone felt compelled to apologize, but every concerned American should seriously consider Nakasone's explanation of our trade problems. He might have done us a favor.

Our country is declining economically and culturally, as any halfway intelligent, patriotic American willing to confront reality can discern. Instead of becoming angry about the allegedly unfair practices of our economic competitors, we should start to face up to the mistakes we have been making during the past decades with regard to immigration and welfare policies, policies that have caused an important but insidious decline in the quality of our population.

In past times our spacious country has had great economic successes, but how many millions of "Kallikats" can even a spacious, prosperous country afford to carry on its back? Anyone who doubts that "Fellachentum" has already appeared in the United States should take a drive through East St. Louis, Illinois or similar "black holes," but he should keep his automobile windows locked while doing so. East St. Louis was once a productive industrial community. □

Nationalist comment  
**WHAT  
WE  
THINK**  
on the month's news

### East European Update

In these columns two months ago [reprinted in *Liberty Bell*, January 1990] we made some attempt to cast light on the momentous events that have been taking place in recent weeks behind what was once called the 'Iron Curtain'. One thing that troubled us was the fact that these events were being loudly applauded by the very people and agencies we have learned

to trust the least: the leaders of the mainstream political parties and the commentators of the mass media in Britain, all of whom might be lumped together, for the sake of simplification, under the description of the 'liberal establishment'. In modern times there have been few historical developments approved by these people that could be accounted as good. It was for this reason that we counseled our readers to bear in mind that what is happening now in Eastern Europe may not be all that it appears.

For a long time now, our opinion-formers and leaders of politics have been preaching to us that the age of the nation-state is now past and that national sovereignty is an outdated, even regressive, concept. Yet what have we found but these same self-appointed 'experts' drooling over the various 'national liberation' movements that have emerged in East European countries. It simply does not add up.

At the time of our last analysis, only two East European communist countries had as yet been unaffected by the turmoil of change. These were Rumania and tiny Albania. Since then, the former country has been the scene of the bloodiest and most revolutionary change of them all. The last domino in the Soviet satellite system has fallen, and only Albania—for some time now outside the Soviet orbit—still retains its allegiance to Marxist ideology. And even there the signs of unrest are now gathering.

The Rumanian uprising culminated in the extraordinary circumstances of the death of President Ceausescu and his wife. As part of our quest for an understanding of the forces at work, we should remind ourselves of how this occurred. The former dictator was given a two-hour 'trial'. The 'trial' took place in complete secrecy. And then he and Madame Ceausescu were summarily shot a very short time afterwards. In view of the massive weight of evidence Ceausescu's captors claimed to have against him, the idea that he could be given a proper trial in the space of those two hours is ridiculous. Apart from this, the short notice of the trial, and its secrecy,

made it clearly impossible for the accused either to prepare a proper defence or to call witnesses. Then his shooting in the immediate aftermath of this kangaroo court equally clearly made it impossible for him to exercise any proper right of appeal. This procedure exceeded even the infamous Nuremberg 'war crimes' trials in its abandonment of all the basic conventions of European law. Yet all this was taking place in a situation in which, we were told, the forces of 'democracy' had been victorious!

This gruesome act had about it all the flavour of the murder of Czar Nicholas and his family at Ekaterinburg in the wake of the Russian Revolution. It looked not so much like an act of 'justice' as one of Talmudic vengeance.

And yet the western media raised hardly a murmur of protest against this outrage. The severest criticism heard in any British newspapers was that the manner of the President's and his wife's demise was 'unfortunate' but understandable in the extreme circumstances prevailing. Just contrast this with the howls of rage which the same organs emit when terrorists are executed, after due process of law, in South Africa.

Looking at all these tumultuous events that have taken place over a period of just a few weeks, the first thing one is struck by is the almost clockwork precision of their timing—one after another, in quick succession. Even if we grant that the peoples of the various countries of Eastern Europe had much over which to be discontented—as undoubtedly they did—it seems naive in the extreme to accept the 'orthodox' view of our mass media that these revolutions were 'people's uprisings', the expression of spontaneous revolt by the masses, and so on and so forth. If this had been the case, is it likely that they would have occurred almost simultaneously, as they did?

In truth, the manner of their timing suggests very strongly that they were the work of a single hand. The question then arises: whose hand?

As is often the case, Ivor Benson, writing in *Behind the News* (January 1990) is well worth listening to on the subject. This is what he had to say in the article headed 'The past explains the present':—

In East Germany, the task of transforming the Communist Party into some kind of 'liberal-democratic' party has been given to one Gregor Gysl, a 41-year-old Jewish lawyer and president of the East German Lawyers' Federation. The London *Jewish Chronicle* (December 15th) reports that Gysl is a member of 'We for Ourselves', a group of younger Jews who meet regularly to discuss Jewish problems. The London *Financial Times* (December 11th) says that members of the Politburo under Erich Honecker were more inclined to conceal their Jewish identity.

Czechoslovakia's 'No. 2', one of the two deputy prime ministers,

says the *Jewish Chronicle*, is Walter Komarek. In Poland, says the same paper, an American Jewish Congress liaison office will be run by Stanislaw Krajewski, 'a Solidarity activist and leader of the Warsaw Jewish community.

In Hungary, prominent among the reformers is Gaspar Miklos Tamas, who has been given a considerable build-up in the western media, and could emerge as a candidate for high office. Born in Rumania, his father was a Hungarian journalist and his mother the daughter of a Jewish cantor. Like Lenin, therefore, who also had a Jewish mother, Tamas qualifies as a Jew under Israel's 'Law of Return'. The fact that the Socialist Party (of Hungary) proposes to use a big American public relations company to help it fight the forthcoming elections (BBC report) tells us a good deal about the kind of people now jockeying for control in Hungarian politics.

As a postscript later on in *Behind the News*, Mr. Benson again quoted the *Jewish Chronicle* (December 29th) on developments in Rumania, which said that:—

Professor Silvio Brucan, a Jew, is a prominent member of the new leadership, the Council for National Salvation Front. Another member, Mr. Aurel Dragos Munteanu, has strong Jewish connections and speaks Hebrew. According to Rabbi Rosen, he has a Jewish mother. Others say his wife is Jewish.

Very recently, Brucan has in fact fallen out of favour and been removed from his post. But before this British TV interviewers were in the habit of going to him, more than to any other official in the new Rumanian Government, to obtain comments on the situation.

Of the others that Mr. Benson mentioned, Gregor Gysi, it will be remembered, succeeded Egon Krenz, who had taken over following the downfall of Herr Honecker in the DDR [GDR]. Immediately Krenz came into the limelight, millions must have been struck by how 'un-German' he looked. We are now informed by German-Canadian historical revisionist Ernst Zündel, a diligent researcher, that "Egon Krenz was identified as a Jew by a Jewish professor who appeared on TV recently." Evidently, Krenz did not measure up to the requirements of those who staged the East German revolution, for he was soon displaced. However, considering the DDR's tiny Jewish population, it seems extraordinary that first one Jewish leader, then another, should emerge in charge of affairs in the wake of the upheavals. Just how do they do it?!!!

We have also received information, as yet unconfirmed, that Vaclav Havel, the writer who has just taken over as president of Czechoslovakia, is wholly or partly Jewish. If we obtain further news on this, we will let our readers know.

The observation made earlier about the quick consecutive nature of

these revolutions in Eastern Europe reminds one of similar events in 1848, as documented by Mrs. Nesta Webster in her book *World Revolution* [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$8.00 + \$1.50 postage & handling]. Of these the authoress wrote:—

The outbreak of revolution in Paris had given the signal for the European conflagration. On the 1st March insurrection began in Baden, on the 12th in Vienna, on the 13th riots took place in Berlin, on the 18th a rising in Milan, on the 20th in Parma, on the 22nd a republic was declared in Venice, on the 10th April a Chartist demonstration was organised in London, on the 7th May troubles began in Spain, on the 15th in Naples, and during the course of the year no less than sixty-four outbreaks of serfs occurred in Russia.

Of course, in the pages of official history we shall find no explanation of this sudden recurrence of the revolutionary epidemic, which is once more conveniently ascribed to the time-honoured theory of contagious popular enthusiasm for liberty. Thus the *Cambridge Modern History*, describing the revolution in Germany, observes: 'The Grand Duchy of Baden was the natural starting place for the revolutionary movement, which, once set on foot, seemed to progress almost automatically from state to state and town to town.'

Precisely. But we are given no hint as to the mechanism which produced this automatic reaction all over Europe. The business of the official historian is not to enquire into causes but to present the sequence of events in a manner unintelligible to the philosopher but satisfying to the unenquiring mind of the general public.

And indeed there can be no doubt that this "unenquiring mind of the general public" of which Mrs. Webster speaks is the type of mind that is expected to accept the proposition that the recent outbreaks of unrest in Eastern Europe are 'spontaneous' uprisings of the 'common people' against tyranny. Tyranny there may indeed have been, and popular unrest there undoubtedly has been. But anyone who would maintain that these uprisings are not in some way co-ordinated and inspired from some central directing source would have to be accounted as having an understanding of politics on the level of the village idiot.

And if we accept that there is such a directing source, we must seriously doubt whether these uprisings are genuinely 'nationalist'. Unquestionably, they have received strong impetus from grass-root nationalist feeling everywhere they have occurred, but that is very much another matter from saying that nationalism constitutes either their underlying political inspiration or their ultimate political objective. If it were so, is it really likely that the mass media in the West, which is almost wholly internationalist in orientation, would give their blessing to such developments?

The references by Mr. Benson and others to the Jewish rôle in



these revolutions suggest that what we may well be witnessing is the wheel of history turning full-circle. Readers of this magazine should not need reminding that it was Jewish activists who formed the majority in the cadres that brought about the Russian Revolution in 1917—an upheaval which, at its time, was heralded as a step forward in human progress by good liberals everywhere. When later, in the wake of the triumph of the Red Army in 1945, this revolution was carried to most of the remainder of Eastern Europe Jews were again prominent among the leaders of the Soviet puppet régimes installed: Matyas Rakosi in Hungary. Anna Pauker in Rumania. Hilde Benjamin in East Germany—just to give three examples. David Irving, in his book *Uprising*, which deals with the attempted revolution in Hungary 1956, has said that, to many of the insurgents, the uprising was as much an anti-Jewish one as it was an anti-communist one, as it was found that the police-state apparatus against which the uprising was mainly directed was heavily staffed with Jews.

If we care to look back over events in Eastern Europe during the 20th century, we detect a familiar pattern. Phase One: Imperial Russia is vilified by western liberals in the mass media as a 'tyranny' whose people are struggling to be 'free'; Phase Two: Revolution breaks out in Russia and a new régime takes over in which Jews play a predominant rôle; Phase Three: the new Russia is greeted by liberals in the media everywhere as 'progressive' and 'enlightened' and the hope of free peoples all over the world; Phase Four: Liberals in the West begin to be disillusioned with the new Russia and instead refer to it, as they did the Czarist régime preceding it, as a 'tyranny'. The same epithet becomes applied to its puppet régimes set up elsewhere in Eastern Europe after World War II; Phase Five: Revolutions break out, first in Russia and then shortly afterwards in nearly all other Eastern European countries. These revolutions are heralded by liberals in the West as 'progressive', 'enlightened' and expressing the people's will to 'freedom'. In these various revolutions, Jews are found to be playing a leading rôle.

Isn't this where we came in?

At this point we may go back to Mr. Benson and take up another theme contained in his articles in *Behind the News*. Writing this time under the title of '1990—the Mould is Broken', he spoke of the red-carpet treatment given to President Ceausescu of Rumania when he visited Britain in 1978, and continued:—

Ceausescu's secret police infra-structure was not created a year or so ago when western media began to snipe at him; it was fully operative ever since the days of that ruthless tyrant Anna Pauker.

The suggestion here is that clearly something has happened in the intervening years to make it a matter of British and western policy to oppose the Ceausescu régime, whereas in the past it was accepted—indeed accepted to the point of conferring on Ceausescu, as Mr. Benson reminds us, the award of Knight, Grand Cross of the Order of the Bath. Here the operative word is 'policy'. For this is what present opposition to the former communist régimes in Eastern Europe amounts to. The opposition does not stem from moral disgust at those régimes' inner workings, as might be suggested by the current propaganda; it arises from the fact that those who wield supreme power in Britain and the West have decided that there is a change in the international situation, calling for a **policy change** on their own part. Gangster régimes in Eastern Europe which in the past, as a matter of **policy**, were tolerated, are now not to be tolerated but must be attacked by every weapon, overt and covert, that is to hand. And every encouragement must be given to their overthrow. When that overthrow occurs, it must be acclaimed as a triumph for the forces of 'freedom', 'decency' and all things good—even if in the process former leaders are given secret trials of two hours' duration and summarily shot shortly afterwards, with no facilities given to them to conduct a proper defence.

So, what we have here is a situation, not in which the morality of these communist régimes has changed—making them worse than they were before, but in which western policy has changed. Behind all the moralistic cant, this is the obvious reality.

For what reasons, then, has there been this change of policy on the part of those who control the West (and under whose power we are as much vassals as have been those in Eastern Europe under communist power)? There are two possible explanations. We cannot be certain at this stage which is correct. Perhaps, indeed, there is an element of correctness in both, with some variation depending on which country we are looking at.

For the first of these explanations, we go again to Mr. Benson. Speaking of Britain's former acceptance of the Ceausescu régime in Rumania, he said:—

The lesson of it is that there was no fault to be found with a cruelly oppressive communist régime so long as it was able to remain firmly in power and hold down its suffering population.

And again:—

There was no campaign of propaganda and pressure against any communist tyranny—but rather co-operation and support—until the

moment it began to fall apart—nothing like the continuous undeclared warfare against Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe), South Africa, Chile...."

Mr. Benson was, of course, reminding us here of what is common practice on the part of what has sometimes been called the 'International Money Power', which has been the main directing force in 20th century politics. That power always prefers to have régimes around the world that are stable and firm, rather than those who look like tottering. Stability and firmness here often matter much more than the attractiveness, in moral terms, of the régimes in question.

What is very probably true is that the International Money Power, based in the West, was well informed as to the fact that the various régimes throughout Eastern Europe were fast losing their support and credibility, and that pressures within the countries in question made some sort of change inevitable. It has always been an accomplished trick of the Money Power, when it sees forces for reform gathering in a particular country, to establish for itself a foothold among those forces so as to influence them in the desired direction—in a word, to **control** them.

If, in the case of Rumania, the downfall of Ceausescu was thought to be imminent, or at least not so very far away, it would make sense, from the standpoint of Western Money, that the successor régime would better be one of 'liberal' complexion and amenable to international manipulation by use of economic, financial and ideological remote-control systems, rather than a genuinely patriotic one, controlled by nationally-oriented leaders and dedicated to national purposes—in the terminology of modern propaganda, 'fascist'.

And, of course, the same may be said of other pending revolutionary upheavals elsewhere.

In our opinion, there is much force and much logic in this explanation of what is now going on. It may be, however, that to regard that as the whole explanation is to oversimplify.

A theme which we have sometimes touched upon in these pages is that of the tendency, often in history, for régimes that have been established by revolutions from the left to move, in the course of time, gradually towards the right. To detail the factors that give rise to this tendency would be to demand more space than is available in this short commentary. It must therefore suffice here merely to record it as a fact. Revolutions that have taken place against the background of slogans of 'democracy' have often evolved, over the years, towards new **autocracy**. Régimes that have been conceived in a spirit of internationalism have often, over the years, evolved toward **nationalism**. Régimes supported,

in the first place, by artistic and cultural 'radicals' have often, in the course of time, swung back to a support of cultural and artistic **tradition**.

Taking Rumania as an example, it may well be that President Ceausescu was something of a tyrant and that his régime was evil and corrupt. But, as Ivor Benson says, this must have been known many years back. What could Ceausescu have done recently to have changed his standing in the West? One possible clue may be found in the fact that, as mentioned in the small print of some reports of his demise, he had a policy aiming to rid Rumania of all foreign debts—in other words, he was not enamoured of the idea of having his country in pawn to International Finance—an essential ticket to global respectability! Another thing of interest is the news that the Rumanian President was averse to his people having a low birthrate and had introduced some measures aiming at encouraging Rumanian women to have more children, one such measure being the banning of abortion. Students of the modern world will, of course, know that, while the prolific breeding of the millions of Africa, Asia and Latin America has the full blessing of our global masters, the idea that Europeans should multiply is definitely one that belongs to the 'dark ages' and must be universally rejected. These developments in Rumania constitute just two of many that indicate a deviation of the original policies pursued by communists and their backers.

With such changes, of course, has to come a loosening of ties with the original driving forces of the revolutions in question, and a corresponding loosening of the control of those forces. The economic needs of the state in question, for instance, may indicate policies that involve some disengagement from the international economic 'order'. Internal social needs may dictate the adoption of policies designated as 'illiberal'. Or it may merely be that the emergence of a strong individual to a position of power in a communist state may give rise to the assertion by that individual of greater independence of action in national and international affairs.

For a variety of reasons, those international wire-pullers who have set off a revolution in a particular country may find that, as events unfold, the people taking power in the wake of that revolution may not be willing to be manipulated in the way originally intended. By such process, Frankenstein monsters emerge who escape the control of their creators.

There are many reasons for believing that, across much of Eastern Europe, just such a process has been occurring. The communist Frankenstein monster created in 1917 has started to behave in a manner not entirely planned or approved by its creator.

If we bear in mind the original source and inspiration of communism, if we remind ourselves of the fact that it was created, not in order to provide the 'workers' paradise' for all—as imagined in popular propaganda—but essentially to serve as a means for the control of nations and their peoples by hidden manipulators behind the scenes, it would follow that whenever the manipulators perceive that their creature is getting out of hand and venturing beyond their remote-control system, they should seek to take urgent action to restore their control—by dispensing with the original monster and creating another more amenable and more compliant.

Either or both of these theories could explain recent events in Eastern Europe. It could be that there are further explanations not touched upon here. We may not be able to unravel them until much more is known about what is happening.

We might finally say this: just because certain forces are at work which are attempting to manipulate events in Eastern Europe to their own advantage and purposes, it does not necessarily mean that they will succeed in their objective. There is much evidence, on the contrary, that in many areas such events are getting entirely out of their control and taking a wholly independent course. One such course, for instance, is the movement towards unification of the two Germanies. We can be quite sure that that is a development profoundly unwelcome to those who have been pulling the string of upheaval in the communist world.

Ivor Benson, in one of his *Behind the News* articles, summed it up in the title '1990—the Mould is Broken'. That is indeed what we are now seeing. The mould in which 20th century international politics have been cast, with their phony 'cold war', their division of Germany and their suppression of other nationalities, is now on the way to being well and truly taken apart. It could well be that, just as the 19th century began, in effective terms, in 1815 and ended in 1919, so the 20th century, that ghastliest and ugliest of eras of history, is ending now—a decade ahead of time. If so, hurrah for that! Ahead of us lies a new age. It will be an age of dangerous pitfalls, some of which have been pointed out in this analysis. At the same time it is likely to be the age of tremendous opportunities. For of one thing we can be sure: those powers that have dominated the century now ending are deeply worried. Everywhere the creations to which they have given birth are crashing down in ruins. The operations by which they are now trying to control events in Russia, East Germany, Rumania and elsewhere may well prove to be their last desperate fling of the dice. We shall see!

## More Nonsense on Inflation

Old superstitions die hard, and they die even harder when someone has a vested interest in keeping them alive.

Paul Johnson, one-time scribbler for *The Nutty Left* and nowadays an evangelical Thatcherite, was busy wielding his pen in support of one such superstition in the *Daily Mail* on January 15th. In an article headed 'Inflation: the real culprits', he slammed the ambulance drivers and the Ford car workers for pursuing wage demands that, in his view, were bound to set off a new inflationary spiral.

Clearly Mr. Johnson, like so many others of his kind, needs a few lessons on the basics of economic life.

His theory is, of course, based on the supposition that, if these demands are met, charges for the health services will go up and the prices of Ford vehicles will also rise, with the resulting knock-on effect on charges and prices elsewhere that we are told is bound to happen in such situations.

But the theory is, of course, nonsense.

If the Government maintained, as it should do, a proper mechanism of money supply by which the amount of money in the economy always corresponded to the amount of goods and services for sale, all that would happen through the charges on the health services going up or the prices of Ford motor vehicles increasing would be that there would be that much less money in the economy to spend on other things. Charges and prices elsewhere would therefore correspondingly come down. There would not be inflation.

But this is not the situation that exists. The Government is not maintaining a constant equilibrium between money in circulation and goods and services for sale. Constantly, the amount of money in circulation is **increasing** in relation to goods and services for sale.

Why is it increasing? Is the Government simply 'printing' more money than it should? That is a popular theory, but it is not correct.

What is happening is that the Government, instead of 'printing' money, as it should indeed be doing, is bringing more money into circulation by borrowing it from the banking sector and paying interest on what it borrows. Likewise, money is also being borrowed in the same way by hundreds of thousands of other bodies and individuals throughout the land. And the banks, when they 'lend' this money, are not simply transferring it from their vaults to the borrower, as is popularly supposed; they are **creating** it out of nothing—by the mere act of allowing credit!

And as the interest-rate for all this borrowing goes up so does the

nation's debt to the banks go up. This debt, *de jure*, has to be repaid (although *de facto* it can never be repaid under the present system). At least some part of it is being paid all the time, and that part becomes written into every item of goods and services for sale. That is what causes inflation.

Nearly everyone in Britain is being hit by this inflationary disease, but it hits the hardest those who have mortgages. All that the ambulance drivers and Ford workers are doing is seeking pay raises that will enable them to keep their heads above water in this inflationary situation. They are not being greedy; they are just trying to survive!

The process, of course, will continue — until we tackle the real cause of it. And the cause is not the workers but the bankers.

From *Spearhead* No. 252, February 1990  
P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW, England.

### DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swamping of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS** is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is **THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS**. For your copy (Order No. 03014) send \$9.50 (which includes \$1.50 for postage and handling) to:

**LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS**  
**Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.**

My interest in the history of the Second World War is a result not simply of my having served in the American army during that war, but also as a result of particular assignments in military service and my subsequent service as a War Department Employee in Germany during 1946-1948. My work in intelligence and "Denazification" put me in a position in which I had unusually good opportunities to hear many views on the war, not only of Germans, but also of people of such diverse nationalities as French, British, Latvian and South African.

### GERMANY 1945 - 1948 Recollections and Reflections of an American Veteran

By  
*Charles E. Weber*

My involvement in the Second World War developed as follows:

Like the vast majority of Americans, members of my family and I wanted no involvement in another European war. My father, a professor of law at the University of Cincinnati, despised Roosevelt, in particular as a result of Roosevelt's demagogic feud with the Supreme Court ("the Nine Old Men") and Roosevelt's Machiavellian stirring up of class hatreds. My parents, like so many patriotic Americans, were both active in the America First Committee. In spite of any efforts we made, we had to watch with distress as Roosevelt undertook one measure after another calculated to bring us into the war against Germany, a country approximately the size of Texas and certainly not a military threat to the United States. The outbreak of the war between Germany and the USSR in June 1941 strengthened the desire of many Americans who disliked Communism to stay out of the war.

In 1940 I had commenced my studies at the University of Cincinnati towards a bachelor's degree. During my sophomore year I became a member of the University of Cincinnati chess team and on 7 December 1941 our team went to the campus of the University of Indiana. Having defeated my opponent, I went downstairs to the lower floor of the student union building and heard on the radio that the Japanese had attacked Pearl Harbor, an event which was to have protracted influence on my life. Nearly a year passed before I received "greetings from the President" and on 13 January 1943 I was sworn into the U.S. Army with the serial number 35683098. After some service at Nichols General Hospital near Louisville, Kentucky I was assigned, on the basis of a rather lengthy examination, to the Army Specialized Training Program. While in the ASTP I studied under some outstanding professors at Ohio State University and the University of Chicago (Professors Bergsträsser and Kunstmann in particular). My chief (and prescribed) studies were in German language and area.

Having graduated after some ten months in the ASTP, I was assigned to McDill Field near Tampa, Florida. I served in various humble capacities at McDill from early 1944 to the fall of that year. In the evenings I would often take walks and watch the big B-17's going up on training flights. The officers flying them had all sorts of advantages, but I hardly felt any envy of them, since I realized that many of them would soon be flying over anti-aircraft installations defending western Europe, where scores of thousands of them were killed or captured. My interest in risking my life for the greater glory of Roosevelt & Co. was minimal. My attitude toward military service was somewhat analogous to that of the famous fictional Czech soldier Schwejk, who served in the Austrian army without any enthusiasm. (Novel by J. Hašek, 1921-1923.)

After an assignment at a Signal Corps installation near Fresno, California, where I was trained in radio monitoring of German communications, in early 1945 I was assigned to Camp Ritchie, which was located in a beautiful part of western Maryland. Camp Ritchie was the camp in which the American army trained its intelligence personnel. Many soldiers in Camp Ritchie being trained in counter-German intelligence were Jewish refugees assigned on the basis of their knowledge of the German language. In Camp Ritchie there were some standing jokes, such as "I em speakink sefen lengwitches, Angles de best." And then, what is the German word for "traffic jam"? Verkehrsmarmelade!

In Camp Ritchie I heard officers lecture on such topics as techniques of interrogating prisoners of war, map reading and obtaining knowledge of the German order of battle. (I still have the valuable handbook dated March 1945, *Order of Battle of the German Army*.) I was given the Military Occupation Number 631, that of an intelligence non-commissioned officer, (I had never applied for Officer Candidate School, and not just as a result of laziness or indifference to a higher pay scale.) Since I had no burning desire to lay down my life to further Roosevelt's criminal ambitions, I shed no tears when I learned of Roosevelt's death in April 1945 while I was still at Camp Ritchie.

In June 1945 I was transported on the huge, new *Queen Elizabeth* to England. Shortly thereafter I was sent to France. Later that summer I was transported in a dirty boxcar down past the badly bombed Bad Kreuznach. We were let out in Mainz, where I had my first close look at the terrible bombing destruction. I recall conversing with a German civilian, who expressed his doubts that there would ever be financing sufficient to rebuild the badly damaged cities. From Mainz we went to Bad Schwalbach northwest of Wiesbaden. Bad Schwalbach is an attractive old town in the western Taunus Mountains that is famous for its

mineral waters. (The famous Frankfurt engraver and publisher, Matthäus Merian, died in Bad Schwalbach in 1650.) Bad Schwalbach was the location of a pool of intelligence personnel.

My first notable assignment out of the pool was to a document center in Fechenheim, a suburb of Frankfurt. I was in a detachment of about a dozen men. Our duties consisted of going through captured records of the Oberkommando der Wehrmacht (German Supreme Command) in search of evidence against Germans who were to be tried in Nuremberg and other places. Nearly all or all of the other men in the detachment were Jewish. I can recall no evidence found in the Fechenheim deposits which would have a bearing on what is now commonly called the "Holocaust." The Jewish lieutenant in charge of the detachment did not like my presence and after some weeks he had me sent back to the intelligence pool in Bad Schwalbach. I believe that my departure from the Fechenheim document center left complete racial homogeneity in the detachment, which had been billeted in formerly German barracks in a northern suburb of Frankfurt.

My next assignment away from the pool (November 1945?) was to Internierungslager 75, as it was designated. This facility consisted of former military barracks in Kornwestheim, a city of modest size north of Stuttgart. The internees were mostly those who had held positions in the National Socialist Party or German government which were high enough to put them into the automatic arrest categories, as in the case of all former German diplomatic personnel, for example. One of the lieutenants in command of our small detachment boasted that the interned men in the facility represented the cream of German brain power and that when they were asked simple questions they could respond with outlines of doctoral dissertations. We were involved in interviewing the interned men in order to determine if they could be released, that is, if they would not come in conflict with the occupation forces and they were not likely to be "war criminals". One of the lieutenants was a half-Jew, whose father, a German dentist, visited us one time. The other lieutenant I recall being named Weil and having distinctly Semitic features and graduate degrees in psychology. Most of the men in the detachment were Jewish. The Jews did most of the interviewing. An Aryan comrade and I worked on the big files on the internees, of whom there must have been at least several hundred in the facility. It was only later that I was assigned to interviewing internees. I learned that one internee had asked for compassion for the internees since Germans had not had enough to eat for thirty years. One day some Soviet officers came to stay with us for a short time, perhaps in February 1946. They seemed to know little

English or German, but were assigned to "extraditing" men suspected of crimes against the Soviet Union. I recall that they took several.

On a beautiful spring day, 13 April 1946, I was discharged from the army at Seventh Army Headquarters in Heidelberg, one of the few German cities of any size to have escaped heavy bomb damage. To celebrate my new freedom, I went rowing on the Neckar river. Before my discharge I had arranged to take a position in Frankfurt as a War Department Employee in the Civil Censorship Division, which censored German postal and telegraphic communications. I was involved in the censorship of telegrams. We worked in a damaged building next to the old Palace of the House of Turn und Taxis, which had been involved in the postal system of earlier centuries. This palace was in ruins, like so much of the center of Frankfurt. Many of my co-workers were trilingual, well-educated Danes. The work was pretty dull and consisted of going through stacks of telegrams looking for violations of regulations of the occupation authorities, notably in manufacturing and commerce, as well as checking names against watch lists of persons under some sort of surveillance or another. After about ten months with the CCD I found a far more interesting position with an historical unit, located in Höchst and later near the I.G. Farben building in Frankfurt am Main. The unit was engaged in translating into English accounts of the German military units which had been engaged in action against the western Allied forces. These histories were written for the most part by former members of the German general staff, but in one instance that I recall well I was given a long paper on the civilian German bombing losses written by Prof. Percy Schramm of the University of Göttingen. As I recall, official Allied estimates placed the number of deaths at something like 300,000, but Prof. Schramm presented evidence that the total must have been more like 800,000. A friend of mine told me recently that some of my translations are still on file in Washington archives. One of the generals who wrote for our historical research, General Günther Blumentritt, wrote so much for us that we joked about his having a case of "literary diarrhoea." In the historical unit I had the pleasure of working under and learning from two fine civilian gentlemen, a wise, witty and well-educated Englishman by the name of Rose and later a sophisticated South African by the name of Helmut Heitman. He had spent the war in Germany, to which he had come for treatments of a tropical disease just

\* On conditions in Internierungslager 75, see *Alliierte Kriegsverbrechen* (Buenos Aires, 1953). On page 150 of the new edition published by Samisdat in Toronto, 1977 (available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$15.00, + \$2.25 postage), it is noted that conditions improved in the facility beginning in October 1945.

before the outbreak of the war. He was a good practical linguist who had been a court interpreter in South Africa. He seemed cynical about the flood of anti-German propaganda, even though he had been interned during the war. In the late spring of 1948 I was declared surplus from my position in the historical unit. I made some attempts to find another position, but my desire to remain in Europe was diminished by the growing and even ominous tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union. I did, however, make a trip to Berlin to be interviewed for a position there.

On 20 June 1948 the western Allies permitted German authorities to introduce a new currency in their zones of occupation to replace the old Reichsmark notes. This currency reform seemed to symbolize a new attitude toward Germany on the part of the British and Americans, but it was the pretext for the beginning of the Soviet blockade of Berlin. This cynically ruthless blockade, which continued for nearly a year, demonstrated to the world just how unscrupulous Stalin's régime was.

In Part II of this article I shall present my observations on a wide range of subjects which I could observe during 1945-1948 and on which I formed opinions and value judgements, either at the time or in later years on the basis of more information.

## PART II

As a young American, during the years 1945-1948, I witnessed with sadness, anxiety and incomplete comprehension what I considered and still consider to be the greatest tragedy which has ever befallen Western Civilization, at least since the Thirty Years War (1618-1648). This tragedy of the wartime damage to Europe, as well as damage inflicted on Europe, especially Germany and eastern Europe, *after the war* has seriously impaired what might be called "the might of the West," to use a phrase employed by Lawrence R. Brown as the title of his important book (1963). The psychological and economic damage to Western Civilization, including the United States, continues to this day, as I observed in *Bulletin 19* (republished in *Liberty Bell* of January 1988). Although I was young in 1945, I was well aware of the contributions of the German-speaking areas of Europe to the "might of the West" in such diverse fields as music, art, printing, theological thought, technology and the natural sciences.

In Part I gave an autobiographical account of my involvement in the Second World War, mainly my assignments in military service in Europe (1945-1946) and as a War Department Employee (1946-1948). I now turn to observations which I made in Europe during that time and my reflections on them, based not only on what I observed during 1945-1948, but also on a great deal of information which I have acquired during the

ensuing years, information which was not available to me at the time. I also spent time in Germany during 1951, 1954, 1955, 1970, 1983 and 1986. During 1986 I interviewed a number of German historians and commenced correspondence with them.

In my perception, the conduct of the war and the occupation policies in Germany after the collapse of the German defense forces provide insights on the real motivations for the British and French declarations of war against Germany on 3 September 1939; declarations which converted the German-Polish war into a global war. In my view, these motivations were primarily economic. Even as of this writing, when there is more than empty rhetoric about German reunification, many a British voice has been expressing fears that a united Germany would strongly dominate the European economy. Let us summarize the evidence that the primary objectives of the war declared in 1939 were the economic elimination of Germany as a strong economic competitor, notably in the struggle for export markets, an intense struggle in the 1930s.

The war provided the opportunity to destroy German shipping and German factories, as well as the homes of those who worked in the German economy. (We might note here, however, that production for the German armed forces reached its zenith during the course of 1944, when it was becoming ever more apparent that Germany would be treated very harshly in case of its defeat.) Then there were the dismantlings of German factories and subsequent shipments of the machinery to the USSR, where it frequently rusted on the railroad cars by which it had been transported. These dismantlings took place for several years after the surrender of Germany in keeping with the spirit of the Morgenthau Plan. Perhaps even more important is the fact that the Allied authorities continued the principles of economic controls that had been in effect during the war when there was far less currency in circulation. During 1945-1948 I was a day-to-day observer of the results of the Allied control of the German economy. Frankly, I am not certain whether the economic policies of the Allied occupation authorities were a product of stupidity or were calculated to paralyze the German economy, but I strongly suspect that they were mainly the latter, from what I could observe.

As I recall, the prewar circulation of the Reichsmark currency totaled around RM 6,000,000,000., a rather modest amount for the size of the German economy. By the end of the war that circulation had been increased to about ten times that amount and within a rather short time the Allied occupation authorities had just about doubled that circulation by the issuing of the "M" series of notes that they put into circulation. A Jew of Lithuanian origin, Harry Dexter White, an official of the

U.S. Treasury Department, saw to it that Russian authorities received the printing plates for the currency, which circulated throughout the four zones. (White later committed suicide when his loyalty to the USSR was revealed.) The Russian issues were, as I recall, distinguished from ours only by a small dash in front of the serial number.

In the face of this huge note circulation the old laws pertaining to wage and price controls were retained, at least in principle and largely in practice. This monetary situation resulted in a severe paralysis of the German economy. People had to stand in long lines in the hope of obtaining even just loaves of bread on the basis of ration coupons, which thus became the "money" that really counted. Such conditions wasted whatever economic strength that Germany had. Many desperately hungry Germans who had justifiably lost their confidence in the Reichsmark did what they could to obtain food, soap and clothing on the black market. This activity absorbed a great deal of time on the part of the civilian population, time and energy that could have been used to produce badly needed food and goods. The black market activity involved German city dwellers and peasants and American military personnel [as well as plenty of—as we now know, "gassed" and "resurrected"—Jews domiciled in U.N.R.R.A. Camps, who set up their "trading posts" at city street corners peddling American chocolate bars, Chesterfields, Luckies, and what-have-ye to us (nicotine)-"starved" youngsters at horrendous prices! —Editor, *Liberty Bell*]. It was bitterly claimed that German peasants were getting so rich that their only lack was Persian rugs for their stables, but this was rhetorical exaggeration in most cases. After some time the Americans were able to import privately items which had become the "currency" of the black market, such as cigarettes, coffee and cooking fats. They traded these favorably for jewelry, cameras, ornate beer mugs and the like. Packs of 20 cigarettes sold for as much as 100 Reichsmark or more, and the Allied notes could be exchanged at a rate of 10 cents for a Reichsmark, a gross overvaluation under the circumstances. While it is true that many Germans whose houses and personal effects survived the bombings used the black market to keep themselves from starving, they were living on their substance in an economy that was largely paralyzed by a lack of a realistically valued currency. It was not until the currency reform of 20 June 1948 that the conditions brought about by the enforced, continued circulation of the Reichsmark really changed, although its replacement, the Deutsche Mark, got off to a rather shaky start as a result of continued rationing and an understandable pessimism about the future value of the new currency. As I recall, the new "German" currency in the western

zones traded as low as about ten to the dollar in Switzerland during the summer of 1948. The chaos of the German currency system was vividly impressed on me on a streetcar one day. An elderly German woman was crying when she complained to a fellow passenger how she had saved her money over the years and was now experiencing its almost complete devaluation. Streetcars and trains were greatly overcrowded as a result of the fares, which were low in relation to the real value of the currency.

Since about 1870 the population of Germany expanded so much that it had to import food from overseas, as was the case with England and some other parts of Europe. During 1945-1948, for most German civilians the main economic problem was trying to live on the small amounts of food available on ration coupons. These amounts varied from time to time, from zone to zone, and from consumer to consumer, depending on occupation and ration class. Typically, during the first years of the occupation the ration coupons supplied only about 1000 to 1200 calories per day, and the quality of food was also not always especially good. American authorities eventually got around to sending a great deal of corn (in the American sense) into their zone. To Germans, "Korn" meant grain in general and they were not familiar with recipes for cooking corn, since very little corn is grown in a country as far north as Germany. I have seen some corn growing in little patches in Germany, but it is grown for fodder. I heard of some cases of German men who died from starvation in the American zone, but I believe that there were very few instances in which deaths could be directly attributed to starvation, as far as the civilian population was concerned, in contrast to deaths which occurred in considerable numbers amongst Germans in Allied prisoner of war camps, as the recent book by the Canadian author James Bacque, *Other Losses* has shown. (*Other Losses* was reviewed by Ernst Zündel in the November 1988 *Liberty Bell* and is available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$30.00 postage paid.) The lack of food, fuel and soap increased the susceptibility to various diseases, of course, but with a notable exception. The food available on ration coupons included so little milk, butter, cheese, eggs and meat that the lowered fat intake is reputed to have reduced considerably the incidence of heart disease. Many Germans, it is true, supplemented what little food could be bought for very small amounts of money (as it should have been realistically valued) and ration coupons by some sort of black market activity or another, but black market activities were time-consuming and wasteful from the point of view of the economy as a whole.

A large number of Jews were living in Zeilsheim, a suburb of

Frankfurt. Zeilsheim became well-known for large scale black market activity involving gold and jewelry.

While roaming around ruined German cities and witnessing the misery, poverty and humiliation of the German population, I frequently asked myself why Germany had fought on long after it was apparently doomed to defeat. The last eight months of the war had been especially destructive. No later than the liberation of France during the summer of 1944, if not long before that, perhaps as early as the conduct of American naval operations against Germany during 1941, quite some time before the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, it had become obvious that Germany was doomed to defeat. Most Germans themselves with whom I talked did not seem to have a clear grasp of this matter and those who opposed National Socialism were all too ready to ascribe the costly continuation of the war to a selfish desire on the part of leading National Socialists to save their own lives as long as possible. As the years passed, however, I began to piece together what I had witnessed and what I later learned. The main motivations for the continued resistance would seem to have been the following:

1. By the autumn of 1944 Soviet troops were beginning to push into East Prussia, where they committed mass atrocities against German civilians, as they had been urged to do by the Soviet Jewish propagandist, Ilya Ehrenburg. A notable example was the East Prussian village of Nemmersdorf. The German army temporarily recaptured Nemmersdorf and reported what it had found there. Of course, such atrocities stiffened the German will to fight on, thus increasing the mortality of Soviet soldiers.

2. Many German officers thought that the western Allies would never permit the Soviet domination of Europe and hoped that they would continue to advance to the east after occupying Germany if German forces could hold out along the eastern front. This illusory hope was founded on false assumptions. The USSR had a backward economy that was incapable of competing on world markets.

3. There was a desperate hope that new weapons might turn the tide.

4. Wars have a tendency to continue by their own momentum as a result of national pride and other factors.

5. The unconditional surrender demand made in January 1943 by Roosevelt frightened Germans who remembered what had happened to Germany in 1919 ff.

6. At Yalta Roosevelt & Co. promised harsh punishment or even death to Germans in high governmental positions.

7. The Morgenthau Plan, which was initiated by the incredibly irresponsible Roosevelt in September 1944 and which would have brought about an at least partial genocide of the German nation, be-



came known to the German government, which quite understandably publicized the information, just as it had earlier publicized the book by the Jew Theodore Kaufman, *Germany Must Perish* (1941) [available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$4.00 + postage], which brought forth a plan for the genocide of the entire German nation by means of mass sterilization. (See the important article on the Morgenthau Plan by A. Kubek in *The Journal of Historical Review*, Fall 1989.)

The last three of these factors were political decisions on the part of the Roosevelt administration. They could hardly have been better calculated for the purpose of prolonging the war.

After the war there were still reminders of the last desperate defense efforts in the form of inscriptions that encouraged the war effort. There were stickers with the inscription, "Totaler Krieg — kürzester Krieg" (Total War — shortest war). Destroyed locomotives rusting on side tracks still bore the inscription, "Alle Räder müssen rollen für den Sieg" (All wheels must roll for victory) or "Erst siegen, dann reisen" (First attain victory, then travel). On a bombed house I saw the inscription, "Nur ein siegreicher Friede kann diesen Schaden beheben" (Only a victorious peace can alleviate this damage). On a wall in Frankfurt I saw "Frankfurt bleibt fest" (Frankfurt is going to remain firm).

Any American who saw Germany in 1945 was overwhelmed by the results of the Allied bombings, largely results of fires started by phosphorus bombs. Not only buildings were bombed, but railway installations and bridges were especially hard hit in an effort to paralyze the movement of goods. It is easy to understand how conditions in German concentration camps deteriorated under the circumstances. After all, the bombings created bad conditions for friend and foe alike. Amidst the ruins of many towns there were still huge air raid shelters with very thick concrete walls. I remember a particularly tall one in Mannheim and one camouflaged to look like a ruined building near the main railway station in Frankfurt. Even today, many of these huge concrete shelters are still standing as grim reminders of a desperate defense effort made nearly a half century ago. Something like one-fifth of the dwelling space in Germany had been destroyed and some towns (e.g., Düren) were almost completely destroyed. *(To be continued.)*

Born in Cincinnati, Ohio, Dr. Charles E. Weber served in the U.S. Army during the Second World War. He worked in various intelligence assignments, including examination of the records of the German Army Supreme Command (OKW=Oberkommando der Wehrmacht) in the Fechenhelm Document Center in connection with the Nuremberg Trials. He earned his Ph.D. from the University of Cincinnati in 1954 and has taught at the University of Cincinnati, the University of Missouri, Louisiana State University, and the University of Tulsa, where he served as the Head of the Department of Modern Languages.

## ACTION OR REACTION?

### Separating the Wheat from the Chaff

By Travis Wayne

The tides of history and the events of man have been determined since the beginning to be either ACTIONS or REACTIONS. Understanding the past, present, and future is possible only if the observer can determine whether an occurrence was indeed an action or a reaction.

Americans have been conditioned to associate ACTION with aggressiveness, negativism, guilt; conversely, REACTION is associated with justification, naturalness, understanding. If the casual observer knows which classification applies to an event, he is able to form a viable judgment as to the positive or negative effect such an event has on his own existence or upon the existence of his people. If that observer's judgment is flawed, however, by the event being related to him as the OPPOSITE of what it actually was, then said observer's understanding and rationale are adversely affected; his ability to do any cognitive thinking will end until the deception has been corrected.

Many instances of an ACTION being presented as a REACTION, and vice-versa, are available for analyzation. The most glaring of these deceptions, intended to manipulate thought and opinion, always occur when a nation is no longer under the governing power of its own people but ruled strictly by special interests who have only their own welfare in mind. The terrible consequences of these deceptions are usually a blood-payment extracted from the ignorant victims of the manipulators. The best examples of nations so beguiled would today be England and, of course, the United States. Bear with me as I cite a few examples of these deceptions which have been quite disastrous, not only to specific nations, but to the Aryan in particular.

The Great Depression is understood by most to have been an ACTION, unexplainable, just one of those quirks of history that caused misery throughout much of the world. In reality, it was REACTION, caused by the manipulations of the super-rich oligarchy who feared the emergence of a strong and independent middle-class.

The German invasion of Poland in 1939 is taught to the dupes in all nations as an ACTION — an aggressive violation of an innocent nation's sovereignty, etc. The same may be said of the 1934 Nuremberg Laws passed by the National Socialist government of Germany, and denounced by the ruling elite in other countries as an unspeakable offense directed against the "innocent Jews of Germany." The TRUTH is

that both of these "ACTIONS" were indeed REACTIONS to extreme provocation and deprivation, and were the responses of a nation threatened by destruction from without and within. The Hitler government itself originated as a REACTION, reflecting the disgust of a people forced to believe that their choice of existence had to be under Jewish capitalism or Jewish communism (for you "conservatives," capitalism and free enterprise are in now way, shape or form, the same thing).

More recently, the "great deceivers" in control of the U.S. media exercised their power to the detriment of the nation in another glaring distortion of TRUTH. In 1968, Arab freedom fighters/"terrorists" based in Lebanon and Syria would raid Israel, then go back across the border for safety. Of course, these sorties were the only means of retaliation to a brutal and repressive assault upon the Arabs by the Zionists. The raids were effective, however, and attracted the attention of much of the world. The Israeli response was to bomb and napalm Arab villages, violating the sovereignty of both Syria and Lebanon, as well as to step up their already brutal treatment of the Palestinians within Israel. Predictably, the U.S. media headlined this Middle-East tragedy thusly: "ISRAEL STRIKES BACK." The average Joe seeing this headline and reading the accompanying tripe, saw the Israeli killing as a REACTION—therefore, justified and worthy of his sentiment and of total American support.

On the other side of the world at about the same time, Viet-Cong guerrillas were striking at the U.S. military from sanctuaries inside Cambodia, then returning to their safe havens. They knew American troops were forbidden to cross the borders from Vietnam into any neighboring country. This went on until Nixon ordered Westmoreland to go after the Viet-Cong and destroy their bases of operation. How was this incident presented to the American people by the same Jewish media that had so readily excused the Israeli excursions? The headlines read "U.S. TROOPS INVADE CAMBODIA"—a violent ACTION, American violation of a nation's sovereignty; the predictable reaction of the American "sheep":—burning the flag, demonstrations, campus riots, Kent State, national disunity. Why did the headlines not read "AMERICA STRIKES BACK"? Because this would have been supportive and unifying, something those in charge did not want.

These events show the power of the Zionist-controlled media, and how it has blinded most Americans to media duplicity as well as robbing them of the ability to interpret events and to then act in their own best interests.

Most recently, syndicated columnist Mike Royko devoted his article of 1/2/90 to the recent letter-bombings that took the lives of a federal judge and a black lawyer. Royko says that the evidence points to "white

supremacists" as the murderers, and proceeds to tell us all over again about the evils of racism (White racism only, of course) and classifies the perpetrators as cowardly idiots with a malignant complex (my words, not his) who have the postal service do their dirty work for them. He uses the same column to extol the virtues of military Chief-of-Staff, Colin Powell, the mulatto whom Royko holds up as the average black. The columnist in effect asks his readers whom do they consider "supreme", the crazed White loonies or the ambitious, decorated "black" who has earned his way to the top of his profession. He intimates that the specter of Powell really must be frustrating to those terrible white racists, as Powell proves their convictions hold no truth. Royko, naturally, wants you to understand that the letter-bombings are vicious ACTIONS directed against the respectable of our society.

Well, if I may, Mr. Royko, let me say this: first, the acts may very well be orchestrated by the C.I.A./MOSSAD to satisfy the aims of the corrupt ruling clique in keeping their herd of ignorant servants in line (remember those slayings in Atlanta of black children, when national attention was focused on what was probably the work of some white racist hate-monger? When the culprit was discovered to be a black homosexual, my, how quickly the national media dropped the story!). That is certainly not beyond the realm of possibility and is more common than you might think (nobody can paint a better swastika on a synagogue than a Jew). Secondly, if you are correct, and White racialists ARE doing these things, those attacks are a REACTION, and definitely not an ACTION, as you and your cohorts portray them and want us to believe. The bombings are a REACTION to frustration at a system that has done absolutely NOTHING for White people for decades. A judicial system that frees black rapists and murderers because an all-White jury is incapable of rendering a verdict void of racial discrimination; legislation passed that uses the White man's money to subsidize food stamps, housing, preferential job hiring and contracts, solely for "minorities"; no effort or legislation to protect the White farmer from being raped by financiers who steal his income and his land; no politician with an open ear for complaints of abuse from White folks, but a myriad of these prostitutes anxious to bust their butts to prove their dedication to the welfare of any and all non-Whites. We see a White policeman in Dallas trying to arrest a black thug getting his gun wrestled away from him. We see that same black thug standing over the policeman, listening to the chants of a gathering of his fellow Ubangi tribesmen scream "Kill him! Kill him!", and we recoil in horror as the goon does just that, at the behest of his brother savages. No "racism" here; no federal marshals or FBI sent down to investigate any

"civil rights" violation; no national outpouring of disgust or sympathy from talk-show hosts; no television documentary, a la the Howard Beach incident.

No, Mr. Royko, I don't think any White racial nationalist is the least concerned one way or the other about the abilities of your show-pig mulatto. Though I may not condone the tactics, if indeed they are being committed by my people, I certainly understand WHY they are doing it, which is more than I can say for you, apparently. It is the only way left to them to strike back (REACT) at a system—which, like it or not, you are a part of—bent upon the destruction of their people. This striking back is an instinctive REACTION to a threat to their very survival.

In conclusion, one must be aware of how the Zionists and their pimps manipulate thought and opinion by their classifying of ACTIONS and REACTIONS. If you have trouble with this, a good rule of thumb is, if the "Jew-S-media" or "Jew-S-government" portrays some crucial happening to you as an ACTION, it is, in all probability, a REACTION, a symptom, not a cause; and if they swear to you that something is a REACTION, you can bet that, most of the time, the TRUTH lies in the opposite direction. After all, what else can you expect from a deceiving, lying enemy, who knows that his continued dominance and control rests upon his ability to destroy YOUR capability to think for yourself? WHITE MAN, THINK AGAIN!

#### WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN?

##### SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undying purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. *Which Way Western Man?* is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of *Which Way Western Man?* send \$14.00 including postage and handling for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

**FOR MY LEGIONARIES.** The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, — perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive — was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb. \$8.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WWII. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!" — B.C.) **FOR MY LEGIONARIES,** Order #06003, single copy \$8.00, 3 copies \$21.00, 5 copies \$35.00.

**THE ANTI-HUMANS,** by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb. \$7.00 + \$1.50 for postage & handling) describes what was done to the young men whom Corneliu Z. Codreanu, the founder of the Legionary Movement in Romania, inspired, when seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented 'Pavlovian experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. "A sequel to Orwell's 1984" — R.S.H. "A searing exposé of Red bestiality!" — Dr. A.J. App). **THE ANTI-HUMANS,** Order #01013, Single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00, 5 for \$20.00.

**For postage and handling add:** On domestic orders, \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% of order total for orders over \$10.00. On orders from abroad, \$2.00 or 20% respectively. Sample copy of our monthly magazine *Liberty Bell* and copy of our huge book list containing hundreds of "Eye-Openers," \$4.00. Subscription for 12 hard-hitting, fact-packed issues \$35.00 (U.S. only). Order from:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS

Postoffice Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

From the F... Family:

If you didn't get a Greeting from us, it's because I (that's me - JoAnn is more responsible) sent any. The past few months have been psychologically chaotic for me. I am gradually going brain dead. JoAnn was after me about sending out Greetings but she soon stopped as I threatened to eat all of her favorite fruit cake.

I stopped teaching last June. People who hate me say that I have retired. I am really on an extended leave and collecting Social Security benefits. This was done because I needed a massive amount of time to complete the upper floor in this old house. It was a large attic with one light and lots of dust. I stuffed in heating ducts, water pipes, drains, telephone lines as well as TV cables and electrical outlets. Then came the walls, sliding doors, closets, shower, sink, cabinets, lighting fixtures and carpets. I am still working on window frames using some decent old oak that someone saw fit to cover with a million coats of cheap paint. Paint removal is something else! [All this reminds me only too well of what I had to go through in trying to remodel a more-than-120-year-old farm house in the "sticks" of West Virginia, during the past 21 years! - *Editor*]. I was not too happy with the available removers, so I formulated my own concoction. It is cheap and really works. The paint falls off the wood only slightly faster than the skin and fingernails are removed from your hands. I used plastic gloves at first but after they started to rot, I then violated all of the safety precautions. I hope to be finished with the whole mess before Spring. I don't mind the sweat, bashed fingers, missing patches of skin, slivers and bruises. The thing that rally puts me in a mood to kill, is the crap that is sold as raw material. Junk fixtures, warped lumber, split panels, etc., that have insane prices pasted on them. I hired no one since I have yet to find a painter, carpenter, plumber or whatever that could work any closer than the nearest foot. I am not an artist in this sense, but I do know a perpendicular when I see one.

The teaching thing was getting too wearing. Each new generation is lazier and dumber than the preceding one. The 1989 SAT National Average Verbal score was 412!! Imagine...that is only one step above a high level baboon. In 1965, the same score was 503. In my case, I was saddled with a bevy of students who scored in the low 200s (psychologists label this a "moron") and was expected to teach them Trig and Advanced Algebra. This nonsense eventually drives out competent teachers which are replaced by lessers.....and so it goes.

52 *Liberty Bell* / March 1990

**LETTERS  
to the  
EDITOR**

The dog had an abscessed tooth which was removed for 12 dollars. This is cheaper than the local dentists, so I'll probably start seeing a vet myself. Is there a real difference?

Save your teeth. Avoid dentists. About 5 years ago, a dentist sent Carolyn to one of those "there's gold in them thar teeth" ortho fellows. Her lower incisors came in in all different directions. We heard the usual story about deformed faces, poor mastication and earthquakes. We could avoid all of those horrors by enrolling in a lengthy plan of wiring, pulling, pasting and poking, all to the tune of a lengthy payment schedule. After a discussion with a trustworthy and obscure West Coast dentist, we decided to let nature take its course. Lo and behold, the teeth straightened themselves out, much to the envy of Carolyn's metal-mouthed friends. Last year we got another horror story about bicuspid growing out of the roof of her mouth. We were sent to a specialist who then sent us to another specialist. Oral surgery was needed together with wire loops about the teeth to "pull them up", braces, patches, poking and X-raying, invisible reweaving, and a lifetime payment schedule. Carolyn wanted to see what would happen if we did nothing. OK kid, it's your face and you are a great big teenager now. Guess what? Horrible, late and screwed up teeth are now coming in straight as a die. I suppose that the huge dental industry can only be maintained by selling services that are usually not needed.

Carolyn loves horses and skis. I tried to get her interested in cheaper sports such as bird-watching and checkers, but it didn't work. She has an exuberant time falling down the slopes and getting frostbite. She rides in horse shows once in a while and proudly displays her Reserve Champion ribbon along with the several Blues. She also has a nice Math trophy for her 1st place in the State Sigma contest. She insists that she hates math. She placed 7th nationally and 1st state-wide in a French language competition. She has accumulated a nice collection of medals, plaques and certificates for her academic work and managed to win two scholarships at secondary private schools. The public school for this district is a cross between a revolving insurrection and a halfway house, so we were happy to enter her into a private school. She is being noticed by the young fellows now, but she has managed to filter out the hormone types, much to my pleasure.

JoAnn is still at her formal job (ain't womens' lib great?) trying to save the wayward from getting into confrontations with the law. The way society is going, she'll never have to worry about a lessened demand for her services. She still makes the best apple pies this side of Grandma Cole's and the great bread keeps me from getting too appealing to the

*Liberty Bell* / March 1990 53

younger ladies.

All sorts of interesting things... a Canadian friend of mine invited me to a late lunch type of beer fest and introduced me to General Ernst-Otto Remer, the officer loyal to Hitler who rounded up those responsible for the bomb plot in July of 1944. He told several humorous tales about that period and concerning Goebbels, Hitler, and his capture and treatment at the hands of Rumanian Jews posing as American Officers. He is a very striking personality who holds his own with a beer stein, and it is easy to see why troops were loyal to him. Of course, the German side of WWII has never been told and the Hollywood version still parades about uncontested. Gen. Remer conversed mainly in German and I would have been at a total loss were it not for my good friend, who is also an Ernst. At one point, the General smiled and suggested that I learn German so that I could understand fully. I suggested that he learn English, but the suggestion was met with a smile and the statement, "Yes, but I am the General." My experience has led me to agree with Gen. Patton who remarked that the Germans were the only decent people left in Europe.

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year from all of us!

Bob, Carolyn & JoAnn  
New York State

#### Definition —

**Gas Chamber:** A theater showing the latest Holocaust Dogmadrama.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Yalta's 'skeletons' were 'removed from history'

Before Soviet glasnost exposes American crimes against humanity during and after World War II, Patrick J. Buchanan suggests that we bring our own skeletons out of the closet.

In his column of October 30, "What Comes After the Confessions?", Mr. Buchanan notes that in Potsdam the United States agreed to the expulsion of 16 million Germans from Eastern Europe, and that 2 million of them died. Having researched this whole matter in Poland in September 1946, and later in the German refugee camps throughout the year 1948, my conclusion is that the proper number of those who died on the way out is more like 5 million.

Just west of Gdansk [Danzig, an old German city. —Ed. LB.] within sight of the island of Westerplatte, I risked my life in September 1946 to witness an enormous concentration camp of Germans, none of whom ever reached the West. This prompted some systematic research.

54 *Liberty Bell* / March 1990

In my still-extant files, I have certified statements by witnesses that 5,000 Germans were machine-gunned to death in one horrendous hour in the main stadium of Prague; and that every evening on the way from Prague to West Germany for as far as the eye could see the telephone poles were strung with human torches, as men, women and children burned alive. Pregnant women were systematically clubbed in the stomach until they aborted or died. Catholic priests were made to run the gauntlet until their sexual organs were clubbed into hamburger. Their crime? Simply that they were Germans.

When I brought all this documentation to the United Nations' headquarters in Paris, in June 1949, the American Embassy ordered me never to reveal this information to anyone: and the military government in Germany, where I was a student, expelled me from the country. Eyewitness accounts in books by those who fled were systematically removed from even the Library of Congress. The results of Yalta and Potsdam were simply removed from history, not only by the Soviets, but by the American government.

Mr. Buchanan is the bravest columnist in America. But does he really know what he is saying when he concludes his column with the words: "Let us go back to Nuremberg and complete the work begun there — and bring all the murderers to justice." The modern generation of German youth knows nothing of such things, nor does the American. Perhaps it is better that way.

Robert D. Crane, Vienna, VA.  
From *Washington Times*, 15 Nov 1989

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Landsmann:

I wanted to send you a little Christmas donation but, like most Americans, I was broke. At that, I am better off than the average American homeowner who has \$20,000 in short term debt besides his mortgage. Anyway, I came into a few dollars and I am enclosing \$20 as a New Years present to show my appreciation for your good work.

Dinkins [the new black mayor of New York] was hardly installed in office when the financial boom was lowered on him with front page headlines that NYC was bankrupt again. All the austerity being imposed by the Jew bankers is apparently to be blamed on him. Dinkins is an open black racist. Not one white man has yet been appointed to his administration, only Spics, Niggers and women, plus Jews in every area of finance. What is more disgusting, not one white group or newspaper has dared to protest this or even mention this. It is exactly the same as

*Liberty Bell* / March 1990 55

during the carpetbagger era of the old South. Whites pay most of the taxes but have no say whatever. Blacks are supposedly in charge but the real rulers are the Jews who get most of the stealings. Already they are making service cuts and talking of more taxes. The economy has been so bad that tax collections have fallen off sharply.

AIDS is doing wonders here. A new program to test the bodies of the dead derelicts (some 8,000 unclaimed bodies are found every year) has revealed that 53% of them had AIDS. None of these were counted in the past. A recent double suicide of two AIDS fagots prompted the head of a "Gay" organization to say that there had been five suicides among his members in the last month. Most of these were not counted as AIDS deaths either. The city health department revealed that 27% of AIDS deaths were Spic and 33% Nigger. Of the remaining 40%, I estimate, 35% were Jewish and 5% White. Over the holidays, every hospital in the city was jammed full with emergency rooms having 800 patients laying on stretchers in the halls. Nobody dares complain that 20% of city hospital beds are now full of AIDS filth. Just now there was a big outcry when a Spic AIDS baby was refused admission to a hospital and died at home. But what could they have done for it at the hospital? The kid was three years old and already had been in the hospital 19 times. It was brain damaged and both its parents had already died of AIDS. A Texas legislator commented that appropriating money for AIDS treatment was pouring it down a rat hole and that AIDS carrying male prostitutes should be killed. Big outcry about this, but this is the opinion of roughly 90% of White men. In the future, such opinions will harden into action as the pressure on us continues. We owe AIDS carriers nothing but a bullet in the head and a can of gasoline to burn their bodies. I can feel compassion for victims of the plague, but they must be quarantined at once to prevent the spread of the disease. Anyone deliberately spreading the disease deserves to be killed at once. But I can applaud the Liberals' policy of no quarantine and no testing. It is allowing the plague to spread to every Jew, Nigger, Spic and faggot in the nation and will kill them all. So far it shows no signs of spreading to Whites except through injection and blood transfusion. As for a cure from the Jew-ridden medical racket, forget it. The two main anti-AIDS drugs are simply chemotherapy, the most worthless and vicious of all cancer treatments.

Amazing how many moral problems are clarified by taking a racial outlook. Abortion is a vicious crime for Whites, but for blacks it is a blessing and a public benefit. I wish they would *all* have abortions. And Jews too. Israel has 30,000 abortions per year. A toll greater than Hitler

ever inflicted. As a matter of public judgement on the relative merits of black and white, White babies are worth from \$10,000 to \$30,000 to childless White couples, while black babies clog the orphanages and are thrown in the trash bin.

I wish you a Happy New Year. The 1990s are going to be the decade of AIDS. Up to now the disease has just been nibbling. Now it will take big bites.

Incidentally, a Jewish publication of B'nai B'rith reveals there were only 3,600 Jews in Panama but they owned 90% of the stores looted by Noriega's trash militia. They are demanding \$1 billion in compensation from the US government! Any bets on whether they'll get it?

Sincerely,  
S.R., New York State

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Enclosed is a gift along with my book order.

I am new to White issues, and I have grown quite fond of my White race and its many heroes. I just regret the great divisions of our people. If only we could get our minds off of petty issues and focus on the major issues of our race and its survival.

I know of no one in my area who shares my views. I've never met a Klansman or a "Nazi" or any other racist, but I share their pride. I have run across many racist publications but *Liberty Bell* is my favorite. Keep up the good work.

C.H., Westminster CA

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. & Mrs Dietz:

Hope both of you are in good health and in some way enjoyed the recent holiday season. You certainly make many lives more enjoyable through your efforts with *Liberty Bell*. I thank you for your time, effort, and expenditures. May the enclosed donation help you in some way.

I see now that we had a famous fighting company of niggers in the civil war, on the Yankee side, naturally. Isn't it amazing what modern "historians" can come up with in the way of information that was hidden for decades, even centuries, from previous chroniclers of the past? I've even learned that Hannibal was a nigger, that there wouldn't have been any cattle drives in the Old West if not for the huge contribution of nigger cowboys and also that nigger combat units distinguished themselves through heroism in both World Wars! I can tell you, I'm certainly better off and more well-informed now that modern historians have enlightened me.

Keep up the good work. Maybe your "research staff" can dig something up to go into the *Bell* concerning our overwhelming debt to the niggers for their immeasurable contributions to our past! I'm sure it would be enjoyed by everyone!

R.G., Texas

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George and all the *Liberty Bell* Family:

Enclosed please find a contribution to your extremely important work of saving our White Race and spreading the truth. All *Liberty Bell* authors, especially Revilo Oliver, are excellent, and we have gotten much helpful information from your magazine throughout the years. When we read *Liberty Bell*, we are continually reminded to be very proud that we are German. Thanks very much for all your sacrificial efforts on behalf of our benighted race.

My best to you and your families. For our White Race and Truth,  
E.S., Missouri

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George:

The very best wishes to you and yours for a prosperous and healthy new decade—I see that once again it's *LB* renewal time. Please deduct the cost of another year's subscription from my contribution, which is enclosed.

The last few issues have been excellent. The more Professor Oliver contributes the better the issue. Mr. Carter's recent articles on "Minorityism" were quite pertinent to current events. Many savages use the excuse of oppression to justify their attacks on civilized people. I feel this will translate into more frequent and more vicious attacks. Of course, the legal and judicial criminals will have to work harder to ensure that the murdering savages are set free to continue their lifestyle. Wouldn't it be terrible if the predators were locked up and quit being a source of income for the legal and judicial criminals.

The natural drives of savages are being deliberately enhanced in order to spread more terror and destruction on society, as well as to enhance the incomes of our Executive, Legislative, and Judicial parasites. Motorists are often harassed by packs of savages while driving through a town near my parents, something that was unusual (except during the "King Years") until recently. I have heard of many recent examples of such behavior and my impression is that the savages are indeed getting restless, ready to really raise hell.

Unprepared, soft civilians will be unpleasantly surprised in such an event. The well-known incident in Miami, when a White woman was beaten to death

58 *Liberty Bell* / March 1990

and a rose placed in her mouth by rampaging Congoids shouting "get the honkey!", will be a familiar sight for many who will wish it wasn't so.

Preparation will benefit those who have the intelligence and ambition to get ready for the inevitable. Confused and angry Aryans will crave effective leadership. Such a time may be our last chance.

Upon such events depends our chance for survival. The success of "respectable Conservatives," who temporarily protect us from some symptoms of decay, will not benefit our people. I would rather see a coalition of obvious Congoids and mongrelized bandits in elected positions, as they will hasten the showdown. Postponement only weakens us.

The spread of the African Plague will probably help us, provided not too many innocent people, such as the lady doctor in New York who was infected in 1983, are forced to pay with their lives for the alternate lifestyles of queers and dope addicts.

We should hope for the best, but prepare for the worst. Individual preparations will vary, and should be done in secrecy. Intelligent planning will pay dividends.

The chances for German re-unification seem good, regardless of Jewish apprehensions. Maybe the media shysters will produce another blast of lies about baby-roasting or lampshade factories to combat these feelings of nationalism. The Jews sure dislike the Republicans [the Republican Party in Germany].

That's about it for now; I hope you and Mrs. Dietz continue to enjoy good health necessary to perform your services to thinking Aryans.

Hail Thor!

F.G., U.S. Army, Europe

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Thank you for the reminder that my subscription is a bit overdue. If I ever had to cut back on the literature I subscribe to, your's would be the last to go.

The four articles by Zündel, Stuart, Tyndall and Schmidt give a much needed perspective on the events in Europe that is impossible to obtain from our controlled press.

Reading about the events in Germany, and especially Tyndall's analysis of history, reminded me of some graffiti I saw on a wall a while back. "Destiny—the fact that no matter which road you take, you will arrive where you are going." As Tyndall stated, two World Wars, tens of millions of White lives and Germany is back where it is supposed to be: the center of gravity in Europe. England paid for its stupidity with the

*Liberty Bell* / March 1990 59

loss of her empire and Americans paid by losing control of their destiny to a bunch of Asiatic aliens from Eastern Europe.

Enclosed the cost of my sub renewal and some extra for your work. Also some addition to the above for four issues of January 1990 *Liberty Bell* so I can give them to friends.

Sincerely,  
T.K., Alaska

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear Sir:

I have just sent you a money order to renew my subscription, the balance is a donation.

Here in Europe we are witnessing the rapid collapse of Communism, which had kept half of our continent in subjection and things look more promising now than they have in a long time. Much success for the Nineties!

Yours Sincerely,  
P.L., Netherlands

\* \* \* \* \*

Dear George:

Enclosed is a money order for a 1st Class renewal...

The events in Europe and the Soviet Union give us hope, but I figure "democracy" has been such a good money maker and indoctrination tool for the Jews that they are exercising the preferable option for their aggrandizement. You can bet that they will be the middlemen between the U.S. and the eastern bloc and that part of the deal will be a suppression of "anti-Semitism."

If Germany, once reunited, can be such a powerful economic force that the U.S. can be aced out along with our Jewish control, perhaps Europe will have a chance. If this happens, of course, we can expect another war with the U.S. and Israel against Germany and perhaps the Soviet Union. — We shall see...

Sincerely,  
M.B., California

**THOSE WHO WILL NOT READ  
HAVE NO ADVANTAGE OVER THOSE  
WHO CANNOT READ**

## KEEP THE *LIBERTY BELL* RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ . . . . . for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property . . . . . for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE  
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**