

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive

defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM <u>AMERICA'S DECLINE</u> On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong so wive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007-\$8.50 plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg. LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

TRIAL BY JEWRY

by David McCalden

Chapter Two - page 25

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS, by Professor Revilo P. Oliver: It May Not Be Too Late, page 1. The "Liberation of the Camps": FACTS vs. LIES, by Theodore J. O'Keefe, page 11. ISRAEL IS HERE, by Foreign Correspondent Jim Taylor, page 13. VICTORY!, by Harold A. Covington, page 56.

VOL. 15 - NO. 10

JUNE 1988

Noice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA – Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS – U.S.A. only	¢25.00
FIRST CLASS – U.S.ACanada-Mexico only	•••••\$25.00
FIDER OF ADD - U.S.A. Callada Wexico Olly	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS – All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL – Europe-South America \$45.00	
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa\$49.00	
Sample Copy\$ 4.00	

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00
These p	rices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH–FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people. To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world. *George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher*

POSTSCRIPTS

by Revilo P. Oliver

IT MAY NOT BE TOO LATE

When I saw the column by Jim Taylor that was published in the February issue, I perceived that two of my recent "Postscripts" were closely connected. The long footnote which summarized an article in *Die Bauernschaft* made them fit together like two pieces of a jig-saw puzzle. And that suggests a possible escape from an historical dilemma.

Ι

In January, under the rubric "The Business of Deception," I commented on the furor excited in England by the publication in Australia of Peter Wright's book, *Spycatcher*, which will shortly be published in this country, and which I have not yet seen.

The government of Prime Ministress Maggie had viewed with complacency the publication of Chapman Pincher's *Their Trade is Treachery*, in which the journalist, on the basis of information supplied by Peter Wright, merely added a fifth Englishman, the late Roger Hollis, to the list of four who had already been identified as traitors and Soviet agents at the time they served in MI5, the heart of British Military Intelligence, during and after the war that resulted in the destruction of Germany and the liquidation of the British Empire. The same government, however, had hysteria and made frantic efforts to prevent the publication of Peter Wright's book in Australia; to suppress it after it was published; and to prevent copies from reaching Little Britain, where its revelations might start some Englishmen to thinking. The efforts failed, and evidently left Maggie and her bosses in a frenzy of frustration.

I commented on an article by an anonymous former member of MI5, who declared that Chapman Pincher's book had been produced to divert suspicion from Lord Rothschild, a Jew who had been given a title that was honorable when it was restricted to British noblemen. And I pointed out that, whatever the author professed as his purpose, the net effect of his article in *Private*

Eye was to show that Rothschild had not only been a power in MI5 at the time Hollis was its head, but had openly and with impunity threatened to disclose to the Soviets Britain's most vital military secrets, thus exposing the absurdity of expecting a Jew to feel loyalty to any nation but his own.

Chapman Pincher, perhaps fancying himself a doughty knight riding to Maggie's rescue, has rushed into print with another book, *The Web of Deception – The Spycatcher Affair*, of which excerpts, published in advance of the book, filled two pages in the *Sunday Express* (London), 15 November 1987. Pincher's purpose is to blunt so far as possible the effect of Peter Wright's revelations, and the excerpts are an odd and rather malodorous pot pourri.

Pincher even descends to descanting on the income that Wright received as his share of the royalties on Pincher's earlier book, and to implying that Wright wanted to make even more from *Spycatcher*, but he fails to explain why it is fine for Pincher, a very prosperous journalist, to make money from books, while it is a sin for Wright, now an elderly man in retirement on a small pension, to do the same thing.

Pincher distracts attention with what is intended to be an amusing story. In 1974, when Harold Wilson, the thug who was head of the Labour Party, was Prime Minister, he, Chapman Pincher, entertained his fellow guests at a luncheon by repeating gossip that MI5 had information that Wilson and his dear secretary, Marcia Williams, were members of Communist Cell No. 10. Pincher's gossip was reported to Wilson and sent him into such a panic that he became "paranoid" with fear of surveillance. He sought help from Sir Maurice Oldfield, the [reportedly Jewish!] head of MI6 (the arm of MI5 for operations abroad, i.e., espionage, which is euphemistically called 'counter-intelligence'). Oldfield later, meeting Pincher, told him of Wilson's panic, whereupon Pincher, in a book published in 1976, declared that "certain officers inside MI5....were actually trying to bring down the Labour Government." But, you see, that was just Pincher's gossip that had come back to him. Ha, ha, ha!

Before you roar with laughter, reflect that everyone who has even the slightest knowledge of Communist operations knows very well that a Bolshevik conspirator who attained the rank of Prime Minister would long before have ceased to be a member of a Communist cell, if (as is most unlikely) he had ever been such a member and thus in a position to be fatally compromised by a

2 Liberty Bell / June 1988

defector. Then consider what conclusions should be drawn, if at some luncheon you had suggested that the F.B.I. had learned that old Ronnie Reagan was a member of the gang that imports cocaine to demoralize Americans, and your speculation was carried to Ronnie and threw him into such a panic that he became "paranoid" and called in the head of the C.I.A. to rescue him. We need not complete the analogy by supposing that the head of the C.I.A., perhaps having become senile and childish, does not inquire about Ronnie's sources and never thinks of inquiring whether the F.B.I. has in fact been investigating Ronnie's activities. The mere fact of paranoid panic in either story will suffice to remind you of the oft-quoted aphorism in the Jew-Book about those who "flee when no man pursueth."

What is important in Pincher's story is what he reveals obiter:

(1) Wilson did use his authority as Prime Minister to prevent MI5 from investigating two of his pet Sheenies, Rudi Sternberg and a woman named Beattie. And, by the bye, Sternberg soon became Lord Plurenden, Beattie became Lady Plummer, and Wilson's secretary, Marcia Williams, became Lady Falkender. One is reminded of the authentic British nobleman who, a few years ago, renounced his hereditary title with the explanation, "Who the Hell would want to be a peer these days?"¹

(2) When Sir Martin Furnival Jones became Director General of MI5, he found that "very many members of Parliament were in contact with Soviet bloc intelligence officers." The nationality of those Members of Parliament was unstated, so you will have to guess whether most of them were Jews or Judaized Englishmen. But this opens an entirely new area of treason in Britain.

(3) Lord Rothschild, long before Wright made any disclosures, was exercised by the danger of letting a man who knew so many vital secrets live in far-away Australia, where his silence could

1. One is reminded of the brilliant and ill-fated English poet, Rupert Brooke, who traveled in the South Seas in 1913, spending some time in Tahiti and Samoa, and wrote home that he would remember the brilliance and lush beauty of the tropics when he returned to the gloom of London, "where everything's too grey, and there's an amber fog that grips your throat—and another Jew has bought a peerage." (Quoted in the biography by Arthur Stringer, *Red Wine of Youth* (Indianapolis, Bobbs-Merrill, 1948), p. 195.) Before the First World War the invaders of Britain bought rank in the peerage; now they just give it to one another.

not be assured by hustling him off to Soviet-style imprisonment under the Official Secrets Act.

(4) When a Member of Parliament, Jonathan Aitken, having been given information by the C.I.A., and having confirmed it by consulting two former members of MI5 and MI6, warned Maggie of the danger that Hollis's treason might be exposed, she snubbed him, and Rothschild tried to discourage further inquiry.

(5) When the efforts to throttle Wright in Australia failed, Sir Michael Havers was "branded" (unjustly, according to Pincher) "as the most dishonorable Attorney General [in] this century," and Maggie promptly and blithely promoted him to Lord Chancellor.

All these details add up to something, don't they?

Pincher, although disputing one or two of Wright's statements, had to admit that Wright disclosed a mass of evidence that proved that "much treachery and incompetence inside both MI5 and MI6 had been concealed."

Admitting the truth of almost everything in Wright's book, Pincher tries to prove that it should have been suppressed, and his argument merits notice. In 1985, an agent of MI6, Oleg Gordievsky, who had attained high rank in the Soviet KGB, was "spirited out of Russia" when the KGB began to suspect that he was a double agent, and if a man who knows the details of this operation were to write a book about it, he "could make millions" but would probably "threaten the lives" of some of MI6's spies who are still in the Soviet Union and unsuspected. That would be awful, wouldn't it? And it's got to be prevented.

That, mind you, is adduced as the reason why Wright's book, which deals with *treason* that took place *in England* many years ago and was covered up by more treason, should have been suppressed—and why Maggie's government was justified in trying to protect Rothschild et al. from embarrassment by making every possible effort "to pursue Wright and his publisher to the limit of the law, depriving him of all profit, if possible." A man who tells the truth about the extent of treason in Britain is a "renegade" who must be given "relentless treatment." Pincher stops short of suggesting assassination.

The extracts from Pincher's forthcoming book published in the *Sunday Express* are clear evidence of frantic efforts to cover up and conceal from Englishmen the scabrous character of the alien government under which they have lived and suffered for decades. If a journalist who has presumably read Wright's book is

4 Liberty Bell / June 1988

correct, it proves that there were more than *two hundred* enemy agents, aliens or British traitors, ensconsed in British Military Intelligence during and following Britain's suicidal war against Germany.

Maggie's gang now has even greater woes. Publishers in Great Britain and the United States agreed that the stupid Aryans should not be permitted to learn the truth about the vicious old drunkard, Winston Churchill, who served as Roosevelt's patsy to destroy Germany, make the Soviet a world-power and supreme in Europe, and abolish the British Empire; they accordingly broke contracts to prevent the publication of David Irving's thoroughly documented study of Churchill's filthy and disgusting career. All other major publishers in both countries evidently agreed that the book should be suppressed, since truth is bad for Aryans. But the eminent historian found a publisher in wicked Australia, and no legal method is yet available to prevent copies of it from reaching England.²

Π

In a special article, "Tomorrow May Be Too Late," in April 1985 I drew attention to the historical problem created by a respected British physician, Dr. W. Hugh Thomas, whose book, *The Murder of Rudolf Hess*, was published with a commendatory introduction by Rebecca West, a novelist who has been commonly regarded as an authority on the secret operations of intelligence and espionage agencies since the publication in 1964 of *The New Meaning of Treason.*³

There had always been some mystery about the aged man, said to be Rudolf Hess, whom the vicious victors held, a solitary prisoner, under inhuman conditions in the fortress of Spandau, with the most elaborate and expensive precautions to prevent his liberation. The first mystery was why they had not murdered him at the time that they tortured and murdered so many honorable and civilized men at Nuremberg and elsewhere. There was, of course, no question of compassion or justice. The Jews' janis-

2. Copies of the first volume of *Churchill's War* are available in the United States from the Historical Review Press, P.O. Box 2010, Decatur, Georgia; \$31.50 postpaid. The second and concluding volume is expected from Australia in the autumn of 1988.

3. On this book, see my immediately following article on "Rebecca West."

saries had repudiated all the conventions by which civilized nations had tried to mitigate the horrors of war. The British and Americans had reverted to the primitive savagery of Apaches, making it the more odious by sophistication and hypocrisy.

Dr. Thomas produced an astonishing explanation. He reported that he had made a thorough physical examination of the prisoner and found on his body no trace of scars remaining from the wounds Rudolf Hess had suffered in the First World War-scars which Mrs. Hess had seen on her husband. Thomas, furthermore, had used X-rays to examine the lungs, and had ascertained that the prisoner's lungs did not have the scar-tissue that would necessarily have marked the location of another wound suffered by Hess, according to the German military records. It followed, therefore, that the prisoner was not, could not be, Rudolf Hess.

For this *fact* Dr. Thomas accounted by an ingenious *theory*, which he supported by considerations that I summarized in my article. Hess, while on a solo flight, had been murdered by German traitors, and an agent who resembled Hess had flown to England, while Hitler was given a forged letter, purportedly left behind by Hess when he undertook to go to England to negotiate a peace. The coming of a 'double' who claimed to be Hess perplexed the British secret services, whose bizarre behavior was thus explained.

What Dr. Thomas told us as fact was amazing, but he reported observations about which no medical man could have been mistaken, and there was no apparent motive for mendacity.

It was quite obvious that, as I pointed out in my article and emphasized in a subsequent "Postscript," unless Dr. Thomas's report was verified or disproved by a team of eminent physicians whose neutrality could not be suspected, history would be burdened by another wildly debatable mystery as soon as Hess died and his body was, as planned, immediately cremated by the barbarians before anyone could see it.

A week after the announcement of Hess's death I wrote, under the rubric "Now It Is Too Late," a note that was eventually published in the issue of *Liberty Bell* for March 1988. In it, I tried to account for what had obviously been the murder of the aged and helpless man, and the strange departure from the official plan to cremate his body, which, instead, was taken to a hospital and Hess's widow and children were permitted to give the victim a conventional burial. There could, of course, be no

6 Liberty Bell / June 1988

suspicion that his inhuman jailers had been motivated by human sentiments. I advanced the most logical explanation that occurred to me in the circumstances.

Mr. Taylor in his article pointed out that at various times in the past the government of Little Britain had professed a willingness to release the prisoner, and that, as a matter of fact, the Russians had been willing to accede to that much of human decency; it was the Jews' United States that had always insisted on continuing the outrageous cruelty to the old man, while, with the hypocrisy and mendacity that one expects in Washington, blaming its Soviet allies.

The footnote to Mr. Taylor's article related the murder of Hess to a contemporary event that was made notorious by the press. As everyone knows, ever since the celebrated terrorist and murderer, Leib Bronstein, alias Trotsky, left Soviet territory in 1929,⁴ it has been customary to disseminate, at intervals of a few years, the canard that the Communist régime in Russia has significantly changed or has "mellowed." This perennial device helps keep Aryan boobs confused, excites the childish minds of genuine "Liberal intellectuals," who never weary of their favorite nursery tales, and enables crypto-Communist agents and governments to continue the steady approximation of Western nations to the Soviet until the former can be merged with the latter.

As we all know, the old act was scheduled for another performance in 1987, and the titular boss of the Soviet came to the United States for a "summit meeting" with his American colleague, at which the plan to make the United States defenceless and helpless was advanced as much as seemed prudent at that time.

4. It is still uncertain whether Bronstein-"Trotsky" was expelled from Russia by Dzhugashvili-"Stalin" to rid himself of a rival, as is generally believed, or, as some men in American Intelligence were convinced, left Russia on a mission to confuse American (and other) dunderheads by providing them with an "anti-Stalinist" and more righteous brand of Bolshevik barbarism. The murder of the old terrorist in Mexico proves nothing, for by that time, when Stalin's attack on Germany was imminent, Trotsky had had to order his mutton-headed disciples to work for suddenly righteous Papa Stalin; and disposing of Trotsky was the only way to prevent the ploy from becoming obvious. Whichever explanation is correct, for many years the acrimonious and endless arguments between "Stalinist" and "Trotskyite" gabblers provided intelligent American observers with continuous amusement. Mr. Taylor's article reported that Gorbachev intended to effect the release of the prisoner in Spandau, and that he did indeed so intend is certain, although, as Mr. Taylor reported, the Jews' stooges, who misgovern Germany from Bonn, forced the press to modify its report of his intention. The release of the prisoner would have been a brilliant stroke of propaganda: it would have commended Gorbachev to all decent-minded Aryans and acquired for him celebrity as a great humanitarian; this, in turn, would have greatly facilitated the further undermining of America at the "Summit" performance. It is hard to imagine why the Zionists or their agents in Washington would have objected to Gorbachev's bid for world-wide popularity, even though release of the prisoner alive would perhaps have enabled him to explain his long imprisonment and disclose the secret of his flight to England.

The article in the October issue of *Die Bauernschaft* gave a lucid and logical explanation of the murder of the prisoner; for the details, see the footnote to Mr. Taylor's article or, if you are fortunate enough to have a copy of the excellent German periodical, go directly to it. The important point is that Gorbachev's intention to release the prisoner, who was Rudolf Hess, excited panic in the government of Maggie Thatcher, which secretly had Hess murdered to avert the revelations he would make, if liberated while alive.

The explanation in *Die Bauernschaft* makes sense and even accounts for the odd fact that Hess's body was not secretly cremated and the murder concealed by an announcement that he had at last died a natural death, a story that no one would have questioned.

As the panic over Peter Wright's book makes patent, Maggie's gang is desperately afraid of the effect on the surviving English *men* of belated disclosures about the war in which Britain ruined herself. When the creatures who now rule Britain learned of Gorbachev's plan, they knew they could not veto it. Britain has reduced itself to the status of a country like Greece or Morocco, and it no longer has real influence in the counsels of the rulers of the United States and Soviet Russia, who are leaving Britain to rot in her own filth. A secret murder of Hess was the only alternative, and the arrangements for it were kept secret from the Russians, who would have prevented it rather than lose the anticipated triumph of propaganda, and kept secret from the "American" government until the murderers were at their stations and the necessary permission requested from the C.I.A. (and, of course, Mossad), who felt under no great obligation to enhance Gorbachev's prestige.

The foregoing account of the murder was given some corroboration by the pointed refusal of the Soviet to join in the announcement of the death of Hess and the hurriedly trumped-up explanation of it. Gorbachev was evidently vexed by the act that spoiled his planned gesture, which he had probably intended to associate with the Christmas that means so much to Christians.

Now this, of course, directly impugns the thesis of Dr. Thomas's book. Dr. Thomas's theory about the fate of Rudolf Hess has now been conclusively refuted. In the first volume of Churchill's War, which the enemies of our race were unable to suppress, the most eminent and trustworthy historian of the calamity called the Second World War has proved from incontrovertible documents that Rudolf Hess did indeed reach Britain, lured by British Intelligence with a treachery of which civilized nations and even prudent barbarians would have been incapable; that Hess did indeed bring an offer from Hitler of a peace that would have saved the British Empire and saved the British people from the demoralizing hardships, disasters, and great slaughter that prolongation of the war inflicted on them: and that Hess was arrested and held incommunicado at the order of Winston Churchill, the half-English drunkard, who had to conceal Hitler's generous offer from the British people in order to prolong the war, ruin England, and kill many men, women, and children to gratify his monstrous egotism and to earn his stipend from the Jews who had hired him.

That terrible truth is now out, and I do not see how Maggie and her band of chattering *fantocci* can conceal it from the British people. Their murder of Hess was therefore a futile crime. The only question now is whether there is left in England and Scotland enough intelligence and manhood to act in the light of truth about the cause of their present destitution.

There still remains, however, what Dr. Thomas alleged to be a *fact*.

In "Now It Is Too Late" I deplored the negligence or malice that would afflict future historians (if there are any) with a puzzle like that of the "Man in the Iron Mask." Though it now seems certain that the prisoner was Hess, that consequence will still be likely so long as Dr. Thomas's asseveration remains unrefuted. Historians who learn of it will use it to formulate ingenious

8 Liberty Bell / June 1988

theories to gratify their own vanity or to advance some cause dear to their hearts or their paymasters. They will argue that, as I have been assured by an expert in forensic medicine, even a half-competent physician could not have been mistaken in the observations Dr. Thomas reported, assuming, of course, that he was not intoxicated by alcohol or hallucinatory drugs.

It is now too late to examine the corpse of the prisoner, but we may still have a chance to avert historical doubts and arguments. It should not be too difficult to ascertain whether or not Dr. Thomas, a British physician stationed in Berlin, was an agent or employee of some British intelligence service and charged with the task of making the prisoner in Spandau seem less important than he was, of making it seem that he could not disclose information embarrassing to the British government, and of indirectly denying that Hitler had offered Britain a chance to save her honor and her empire. \Box

WHICH WAY, WESTERN MAN? SURVIVAL MANUAL FOR THE WHITE RACE

William Gayley Simpson has spent a lifetime of keen observation, careful analysis, and deep reflection developing the principal thesis of his book: that the single, undving purpose of all human activity should be the ennobling of man. In support of this thesis he looks at the foundations of Western Society, at the structure of our government, at the effect of technology and industrialization on man, at the roles of the sexes, at economics, and at race. The book goes to the roots of the problems facing the White Race today, and it shows the ways in which White society must be changed if the race is to survive. Which Way Western Man? is an encyclopedic work whose conclusions can be ignored by no one with a sense of responsibility to the future. For your copy of Which Way Western Man? send \$16.50 for the deluxe, clothbound edition (Order No. 22004), or \$13.50 for the softback edition (Order No. 22003) including \$1.50 for postage and handling to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

10 Liberty Bell / June 1988

The "Liberation of the Camps": FACTS vs. LIES

Theodore J. O'Keefe

Nothing has been more effective in establishing the authenticity of the Holocaust in the minds of Americans than the terrible scenes U.S. GI's discovered when they entered the German concentration camps at the close of World War II.

At Dachau, Buchenwald, Dora, Mauthausen, and other work and detention camps, horrified American infantrymen encountered heaps of dead and dying inmates, emaciated and diseased. Survivors told them hair-raising stories of torture and slaughter, and backed up their claims by showing the GI's crematory ovens, alleged gas chambers, supposed implements of torture, even shrunken heads and lampshades, gloves, and handbags purportedly made from skin flayed from dead inmates.

U.S. government authorities, mindful that most Americans, who remembered the atrocity stories fed them during World War I, still doubted the Allied propaganda directed against the Hitler régime, resolved to "document" what the GI's had found in the camps. Prominent newsmen and politicians were flown in to see the harrowing evidence, while the U.S. Army Signal Corps filmed and photographed the scenes for posterity. The famous journalist Edward R. Murrow reported, in tones of horror, but no longer of disbelief, what he had been told and shown, and Dachau and Buchenwald were branded on the hearts and minds of the American populace as names of infamy unmatched in the sad and bloody history of this planet.

For Americans, what was "discovered" at the camps—the dead and the diseased, the terrible stories of inmates, all the props of torture and terror—became the basis not simply of a transitory propaganda campaign but of the conviction that yes, it was true: the Germans *did* exterminate six million Jews, most of them in lethal gas chambers. What the GI's found was used, by way of films which were mandatory viewing for the vanquished populace of Germany, to "re-educate" the German people by destroying their national pride and their will to a united, independent national state, imposing in their place overwhelming feelings of collective guilt and political impotence. And when the testimony, and the verdict, at Nuremberg incorporated most, if not all, the horror stories Americans were told about Dachau, Buchenwald, and other places captured by the U.S. Army, the Holocaust could pass for one of the most documented, one of the most authenticated, one of the most proven historical episodes in the human record.

A Different Reality

But it is known today that, very soon after the liberation of the camps, American authorities were aware that the real story of the camps was quite different from the one in which they were coaching military public information officers, government spokesmen, politicians, journalists, and other mouthpieces.

When American and British forces overran western and central Germany in the spring of 1945, they were followed by troops charged with discovering and securing any evidence of German war crimes. Among them was Dr. Charles Larson, one of America's leading forensic pathologists, who was assigned to the Judge Advocate General's Department. Dr. Larson performed autopsies at Dachau and some twenty other German camps, examining on some days more than 100 corpses. After this grim work at Dachau, he was questioned for three days by U.S. Army prosecutors.¹

Dr. Larson's findings? According to an interview he gave to an American journalist in 1980, "What we've heard is that six million Jews were exterminated. Part of that is a hoax."² And what part was the hoax? Dr. Larson, who told his biographer that to his knowledge he "was the only forensic pathologist on duty in the entire European Theater,"³ informed *Wichita Eagle* reporter Jan Floerchinger that "never was a case of poison gas uncovered."⁴ Neither Dr. Larson nor any other forensic specialist has ever been cited by any Holocaust historian to substantiate a single case of death by poison gas, whether Zyklon-B or any other variety.

Typhus, Not Poison Gas

If not by gassing, how did the unfortunate victims at Dachau, Buchenwald, and Bergen-Belsen perish? Were they tortured to death? Deliberately starved? The answers to these questions are known as well. As Dr. Larson and other Allied medical men discovered, the chief cause of death at Dachau, Belsen, and the other camps was disease, above all typhus, an old and terrible scourge of mankind which until recently flourished in places where populations were crowded together in circumstances where public health measures were unknown or had broken down. Such was the case in the overcrowded concentration camps in Germany at war's end, where, despite such measures as *continued on page 49* **ISRAEL IS HERE**

By Jim Taylor (Foreign Correspondent)

This month I am going to reveal a conspiracy which will never be reported in any American establishment newspaper; not even years from now. The U.S. press finally admitted the secret sale of arms and munitions of war to Iran, fully six years after I had exposed it, but this scandal is different.

I can confidently assure you that neither the New York Times nor the Washington Post will print this story, even six years after the publication of this column. It would be catastrophic for these great journals of record to do so. They would never dare!

Before I get into this messy situation, I want to make it quite clear to all that I have heretofore always admired the White South Africans who want to save their hard-pressed nation from becoming a typical Black Marxist jungle. I have in the past tried to help the Republic of South Africa.

As regular readers know, I spent a lot of time in that besieged country and even went into Marxist Angola to assist the government of South Africa. I have been the most pro-South African of all wellknown American journalists.

However, I happen to disagree heartily with South African officials about their close and binding partnership with Israel, which now has reached into every facet of life in the last white European-ruled nation on the entire African continent. In my opinion, to allow the Zionist entity to run the Republic of South Africa, as is now the case, is every bit as outrageous as to turn it over to half-literate jungle Blacks. There is no good side to either development.

I fully realize that in writing the truth about present-day South Africa, I am making myself *persona non grata* in that country; just as I already am in Israel.

Most astute readers already know about the joint nuclear pact, and testing of these weapons by Israel and South Africa. Now you are going to learn the full extent of the close coöperation between these two allies. This brotherhood will eventually end in the death of one or the other of the partners—the more gullible one—which means it won't be Israel.

12 Liberty Bell / June 1988

Miguel Rivero of the staff of *Prisma Magazine* called Israel and South Africa "as thick as thieves." That's a pretty good description. But they are more than that. They are entwined in a desperate death grip. When any nation is stupid enough to enter a partnership with an outlaw state such as Israel, that is not like entering into such an agreement with a civilized country. Dealing with the powerful Zionists is like becoming friends with a nest of cobras. It may last for a while, but eventually the deadly fangs of the treacherous Zionists will destroy their hosts. It has been thus for centuries.

It is not surprising, however, that such an alliance has formed between Pretoria and Tel Aviv.

The diamond trade is of vital importance to both countries. And, in this area, as in military affairs, the two governments complement each other. South Africa is, of course, the world's major producer of diamonds. Israel, in turn, has become the chief center for cutting and polishing precious stones. As always, the Jews act as the middlemen in these transactions because that's where the profit lies. They are never producers of anything in the business world. But any company or any country that produces a valuable product has to go through a vast world-wide network of Jewish businessmen and bankers to market it. Or else they are shut out of the world market.

Israel now has over 15,000 skilled workers employed to handle South African diamonds. The export of these diamonds from Israel provides the major source of income of the Zionist state, outside welfare payments from the American taxpayers.

South African diamonds can now reach the international market *only* through Israel. There is no competition. Israel has should ered out the Netherlands, once the center of diamond cutting. And this closed market gives Israel about one billion dollars per year in easy profits.

Theoretically, some Western countries have applied sanctions against South Africa—but never have there been any sanctions against the other half of this team, namely Israel. Every liberal loudmouth in the U.S. demands that the United States not trade with South Africa in any manner whatsoever. But I find it exquisitely ludicrous that not a single one of these radicals has ever called for sanctions against Israel, or demanded that Israel also break off trade and join the boycott against South Africa. And that is exactly why sanctions against South Africa don't work. The two nations are so close that in reality you can't boycott one without boycotting the other. When you harm one of these two partners, you harm them both. It can be no other way.

In simple terms, that everyone can easily understand, it means that it is simply horrible for the U.S. to do business with South Africa; but

14 Liberty Bell / June 1988

it is perfectly righteous for Israel to do so on a vast scale. I will never be able to understand this way of thinking. It is like boycotting a man's right hand, but not his left. And, I don't have to tell you that no country outside the Arab world has the courage to boycott Israel.

Israel is the chief outlet for nearly all South African products, which are, in turn, marked up substantially and sold freely in the very same over-righteous countries that claim they are boycotting South African merchandise. It is a clear deception and a complete farce. In order to fool the general public and to cover this great deception, the Israelis simply cut off the "made in South Africa" labels and replace them with "made in Israel" ones. But who complains?

Recently, the South African Foreign Minister praised "the advantages of using Israel's good relations with the European economic community in order to strengthen commercial links and make use of this potential route of trade into the vast U.S. market too, via the Reagan free-wheeling trade agreement with Israel, making that small country a special status nation." That agreement between the U.S. and Israel has now come into full effect. And what helps Israel in this manner also helps South Africa. Now do you know why I said you would not read about this matter any place else? So the pious fools who trade with Israel, while at the same time they are picketing the South African Embassy in Washington, are actually trading with South Africa and don't know it. Isn't that ironical?

According to the present laws of the Economic Union, 40% of exports from Israel must be manufactured entirely in Israel. The United States, at the behest of President Reagan, has lowered this to just 25%. But who keeps accurate records on it? And South Africa is fully exploiting the many advantages of this, until now, carefully hidden triangular trade agreement involving the U.S., Israel, and South Africa.

I wonder how long it will take for the powerful Black political leaders, such as the Rev. Jesse Jackson, to catch on to this scam. And whether they will dare mention it, if they do.

The so-called secret Pretoria Zionist Federation is considered the greatest per capita contributor to the state of Israel, excepting, of course, the American taxpayers. And I would like to point out to the Arab world that during the 1973 Arab-Israeli War (the "October War"), South Africa alone gave Israel a war bonus of thirty million dollars for use in that conflict. Is it any wonder that the Arab world hates South Africa almost as much as they do the U.S. and Israel?

And here's another shocker for you. Using money donated to Israel by the world's most ignorant and stupid people-the United States taxpayers-Israel and South Africa formed in 1975 the Binyan

Mortgage Company, which constructs housing on Arab land in the West Bank and other places for the Zionist colonizers of the occupied Arab territories. That's right folks! You taxpayers furnish the money, South Africa does the construction work, and the Israelis receive nice free homes on Arab lands, where they make virtual slaves of the Arab population.

Now we come down to revealing something which I know beforehand is going to cause me to receive more than the usual number of death threats from Israeli terrorists. But I intend to do it anyway. It concerns the vast extent of military coöperation in the field between South Africa and Israel. It has been carried out very discreetly indeed, but not discreetly enough to prevent me from learning about it when I was in South Africa, Mozambique, and Angola. In general terms, it is based on a certain division of labor. Believe it or not, the Israelis handle nearly all the intelligence and technological side of all of the South African government's military activities. This gives South Africa free access to all the most sophisticated weaponry of the U.S. and Israel. The South Africans, on the other hand, contribute to this joint war effort by providing steel, money, and skilled labor. In essence, this means that when the Arabs are fighting against Israel, they are also going up against the U.S. and South Africa. As I say, it amounts to a sort of three-way joint venture which, until the very moment you are reading this, has been kept secret from most Americans.

For the first time in any publication that I know about, I shall announce that South Africa's Centurion tanks have been modernized by the Israelis. You read it first here: The new armor-plating for these tanks is made at South Africa's Iskoor plant with the assistance of Israeli specialists. Both countries use this new type of plating, the finest ever produced anywhere in the world, including the Soviet Union. The front of the Israeli tanks has about one foot of solid steel protection. The South Africa tanks have only about eight inches at the front.

Here is another first! The South African Air Force has tested the Israeli Arava STOL aircraft in both Southwest Africa and in Angola.

Over 20,000 Israeli Army technicians and other military specialist reside permanently in South Africa to assist in this joint war effort. And, just as in the U.S., Zionist civilian supervisors are in every political office in South Africa, from President P.W. Botha's on down the scale. It was Israeli "advisers" who last fall caused the complete change of attitude of a high South African official, Mr. Chris Heunis, Minister of Constitutional Development and Planning. Suddenly reversing himself in anticipation of the possible release of the radical black Marxist Nelson Mandela from Prison, Mr. Heunis had the gall to announce to the people of South Africa that Mandela was a vastly changed person, no longer an arrogant Marxist, but through some kind of miraculous transformation he had become a leading candidate for elevation to the rank of saintly being. People were amazed at this turnaround on the part of a South African official. They had no way of knowing it was caused by Israeli "advice."

The large Israeli military contingent in South Africa consists mainly of army training specialists and instructors for all the vast array of sophisticated weapons used by the South African Army. The Israelis accompany the South African Army on raids and frontier patrols near the black Marxist countries.

The electronic fence, backed up by micro-wave and infrared detectors and anti-personnel mines, which South Africa has installed on its borders with various neighboring states, was made in Israel.

Approximately one hundred Israeli special force "advisers" are stationed permanently with the South African forces at the various crucial border points; and they always take part in joint operations to raid the territory of the Black Marxist neighbors to stop infiltration by Black terrorists.

On the other hand, it is no mere coincidence that during the last decade Israel has accelerated her penetration of the Africa continent politically to act as a "friend" of many Black-ruled states. As usual, the Zionists always play the treacherous game of using both sides against the middle.¹

From 1983 to 1986, Tel Aviv established diplomatic relations with Liberia, Zaire, Ivory Coast, and Cameroon. And President Reagan has quietly encouraged this increased Israeli presence in Africa.

Now, admittedly, Black Africans may not be the smartest people in the world, but I seriously doubt that even they are dumb enough not to know that behind the sly winks of Mr. Peres and Mr. Shamir there is lurking in the background the fact of close coöperation between the Zionists and the Republic of South Africa. Black rulers would have to betotal dunces to believe Israel's hypocritical claims of having a new policy of friendship toward all Africa.

1. You have seen above a clear example of this method. The Jews incite their American cattle to impose economic "sanctions" on South Africa, thus increasing and consolidating South Africa's dependence on Israel and thereby perfecting their control of their South African cattle. Both herds, of course, are slated for eventual liquidation. This is the technique of covert conquest that the race has used for centuries, but the victims are too stupid to notice it. Intelligent Jews must have utter contempt for goyim who are so easily herded and so gullible they will believe even the Holohoax.

Sam Nujoma, SWAPO's leader in Southwest Africa ("Namibia"), was courted by the Zionists at the very time the Israelis were assisting South Africa against his forces. How is that for the double-cross? It's truly Barnum and Bailey time on the Dark Continent.

Joe Slovo, a Lithuanian Jew in the pay of Moscow, is the only "White" commander of the Black Marxists in Angola. He was profiled a few months ago by CBS on the 60 Minutes show. The Black Marxist armies in Mozambique, Botswana, and several other African states have a marching song about how much they love old Joe Slovo, which is not his real name anyway. This Jew has also been their chief fund raiser and many American Jews have donated to his cause. The Black Africans claim that he is the only white man who understands them!

I'd like to point out once more that it is not unusual for the world Zionists to back both sides in a war. They have consistently done so throughout history. You only need to go back as far as the time of early World War II for a classic example of this duplicity. Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir, a man greatly admired by the aging Nazihater in the White House, was once a would-be ally of the Nazis himself, although I doubt if Mr. Reagan has ever found this out about his dear Israeli buddy.

In the files of the Nazi government now stored in East Berlin, which have not been purged of such documents as was done in West Germany, there is a special memorandum from Mr. Shamir. He was then a terrorist leader of the infamous Stern Gang in Palestine; and he sent the Führer an appeal in 1940, asking that Germany provide military training for Zionist fighters in Poland. Mr. Shamir boldly promised the Nazis that the world Zionists would then fight on the side of Germany. He further promised the German government that the Zionists would like to sign a treaty with the Third Reich that would be in the interest of the future German position by strengthening that country' power in the Middle East. This offer to fight on the side of the Nazis during World War II has been, of course, covered up and "forgotten" by present-day Israel, which is the mortal enemy of both Germany and Germans everywhere. And, I am sure, Mr. Shamir, who has been a major war criminal and proven liar all his adult life, will deny that his group ever made such an offer. But the official documents are extant to give him the lie.

Outside of hunting down former Nazis, the terrorist government of Israel enjoys hounding Libya more than anything else. And it brings the Zionists great happiness when they achieve success in this dastard-

* * *

18 Liberty Bell / June 1988

ly scheme. The most recent *coup* in this respect happened late last year when world Zionist thugs persuaded the government of Australia to crack down on General Secretary Robert Pash and his International Green March Movement. Mr. Pash has been a tireless worker internationally in striving to prevent the world at large from believing all the many propaganda lies about the Libyan Jamahiriya that are invented and put forth by the U.S. and Israel.

Let me analyze briefly the vast campaign of outright falsifications that have been conducted against Libya and Colonel Qaddafi since President Reagan took office.

First of all, it is important to know that the Israelis asked President Nixon to close the embassy of Libya (People's Bureau) in Washington. He refused because Libya had never done anything at all to warrant such expulsion. The Israelis then tried the same ploy with both President Ford and President Carter to get the Libyans out of Washington. But neither one fell for it. So, as you can see, we had three straight pro-Israel presidents who nevertheless refused to obey such international blackmailers as inhabit the Israeli Embassy and great pressure from American Jewish hate groups which infest Washington like the plague. All three of these chief executives refused to be pressured into such a move since Libya had never done anything at all to harm the United States.

But all this changed overnight when Mr. Ronald Wilson Reagan took office in 1981. Again a powerful Zionist delegation traipsed over to the White House to tell Mr. Reagan that the U.S. should close the Libyan Embassy. This time, on the first try after three previous failures, it worked. According to Jewish publications, Mr. Reagan's answer was "you want it, you've got it." Then he promptly carried out the wishes of the Zionist hate groups by ordering the Libyans out of the U.S. in just four days. The President gave no valid reason for such an expulsion, except to please Israel. His quick move caused European nations to complain about his rash decision. But that was of no importance to him, compared to satisfying the whim of the world Zionists.

As usual, this favor was not enough for the arrogant Zionists. They then urged Mr. Reagan to prove once again his undying loyalty to Israel by asking Mrs. Margaret Thatcher to close the Libyan Embassy in London. She hesitated, since there was no real reason for it and because Britain had a large number of workers in Libya. A little later, the unfortunate incident of a British policewoman's being killed at the Libyan bureau in London while it was under heavy siege by Israelihired thugs, gave Mrs. Thatcher the excuse she had been waiting for. She then expelled the Libyans.

The next move on the part of the Zionists against Libya was to order both Mr. Reagan and Mrs. Thatcher to use their considerable influence to get Australia to kick out the Libyan Bureau there. This attempt failed to sway government officials in Australia until last year, when Prime Minister Robert Hawke was elected. Mr. Hawke, also an ardent Zionist, pulled the same arrogantly slavish trick as Mr. Reagan had done in Washington. He ordered the Libyans expelled.

But all this still did not satisfy the world Zionists, because the headquarters of the International Green March of Libya was still operating from Australia, under the outstanding leadership of the aforementioned Mr. Pash. Here is a statement directly from Mr. Pash about what happened:

"As you are no doubt aware, in May 1987, the Australian government moved to cut all diplomatic relations with the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and close the Libyan People's Bureau (Embassy) in Canberra. This action was taken as a result of an intensive Zionist-orchestrated media campaign directed against Libya and our organization. During this period we were subjected to what amounted to a media witch-hunt. Following the closure of the Libyan's People's Bureau (Embassy), the Australian government, through its intelligence agency (ASIO), moved against the International Green Guard Movement. At this same time, in July, we took a large delegation of members to the Great Jamahiriya to discuss the situation with our Libyan brothers. The Australian government took advantage of the absence of the leadership of our movement and froze all our bank accounts, under the false and unsubstantiated pretext that we had been receiving funds from Libya. This caused all our credit facilities to be withdrawn and our office in Australia was forced to close. The Australian government, at the prompting of the Zionist lobby, thought that by freezing our finances they would put an end to our work. Unable to pay our creditors due to our financial situation, we were then subjected to a number of legal suits. All of this was carefully designed to eliminate the International Green Guard Movement.

[signed] Robert Pash, (General Secretary)" As you can see, the almighty Zionists have so much power worldwide that it would not surprise me at all, if the very dedicated Zionistfighter, Mr. Pash, is soon arrested on some trumped-up charge in Australia. And perhaps, if the American cocker spaniels don't wake up, people will eventually be arrested right here in the good old USA for criticizing the Zionists. And I may be one of the first ones. As I have always maintained, the Zionists work on a world-wide basis, from South Africa to Australia to my own city of Phoenix, Arizona, U.S.A. And let me inform all readers exactly what is now happening in the Phoenix area. Unknown even to most people living here, a great transformation is in process. Jewish influence has always been minimal in Arizona; but that is changing rapidly.

In a recent Sunday issue the Arizona Republic, a feature story, complete with a page of photos, stated that 5,500 "political refugees" from the Soviet Union have been settled in the Phoenix area. The newspaper referred to them as "refugees" and never once mentioned the fact that they are all Soviet Jews. With names like Al Shapiro and Saul Goldenbaum, they could hardly be Russians, now could they?

These newcomers to the Arizona Valley of the Sun are not only not Russian, they are not even refugees in any true sense of the word. The New York-based Tolstoy Foundation, a privately-owned Jewish resettlement organization, sent these people to Phoenix. David Lockey, director of this group's Phoenix office, complains constantly to the press that you taxpayers aren't doing enough to support these new colonizers in Phoenix. He lamented to the press that under his official U.S. government contract with the State Department, he is given only \$500 per "refugee." He wants more money. And did Congress ever refuse any such request from Zionists?

The U.S. government finances the Tolstoy Foundation in this country through secret appropriations, according to Mr. M.N. Trabant, a reporter for the *Arizona Republic*. Your tax money is also being sent to the Phoenix Catholic Social Services and the Phoenix Refugee Center. These funds supply complete financial support for the Jewish immigrants until they can get on the general welfare rolls while "training for employment."

One of these so-called refugees, Mr. Jonas Simokaitis, a Lithuanian Jew, said he sought to migrate to Israel. To the best of my knowledge, Phoenix is not yet an official part of Israel. So what is he doing in Phoenix? Well, you know it was easier just to settle in the United States. This same pattern fits them all. They apply for permission to settle in Israel; but somehow none of them ever reaches the Zionist state. They all invariably end up in the good old USA. Very strange. These people seem to have a poor sense of direction.

I mention this "refugee" problem because it is part of the overall plan to Zionize the Phoenix metropolitan area. Jewish "refugees" are arriving from South Africa as well as the Soviet Union. And 10,000 Jews from the Miami area have recently moved into the Scottsdale resort area east of Phoenix, in order to get away from the Cubans, who

now run everything in South Florida. Miami and Miami Beach, once a haven for transplanted New York Jews, is no longer the place where Jews want to live when they retire.² Once they had Jewish mayors in Florida. But not anymore. The Jews in the Miami area have surrendered most of their political dominion to the rapidly increasing horde of Cuban "refugees," who have taken over.

So by defaulting to the very aggressive Cuban population in Miami, the not-so-fragile Jews had to be relocated to create another Zionist haven. They selected the Phoenix area as their next target and base of operations. You can, in the near future, expect both Phoenix and Scottsdale to have Jewish mayors, as soon as these new settlers get organized. Of course, Arizona, by its close proximity to Mexico, already has a large Mexican population, which we are now told to call 'Hispanic.' Since that word is properly applied only to Spaniards, not mestizos from Mexico, I fail to see why the word should be thus misused. But that is beside the point. Mexican immigrants are not, in general, as intelligent or as aggressive as the Cubans in Miami, who are much more politically astute. So the Zionists figure that they can overrun and easily shepherd the Mexicans in Arizona, despite the fact that they failed to control the Cubans in Florida. As for the White and non-Jewish population in Arizona, they are too pusillanimous to stand up against any invaders, be they Zionists or Mexicans.

In Scottsdale, there has already been a Jewish candidate for mayor, who lost by a wide margin. But that won't be the end of it. Scottsdale is now about to vote on a measure limiting the terms a mayor can serve to a total of four years. This way, newly-arrived Jews can get rid of the present very popular mayor, whose approval rating is a huge 94 percent. This means they don't have to defeat him because

2. The Jews, naturally, had swarmed into Miami and adjacent parts of the eastern coast of Florida and Judaized them years ago, forcing decent Americans who could afford it go elsewhere; it is thus a ravaged territory that they are turning over to the criminals and desperados that their government in Washington is importing to afflict Americans. See Samuel Roth's Jews Must Live for an early instance of the Kike's strange racial compulsion to push their way into pleasant places in which they are not wanted, pollute those places and render them unfit for Americans, and then move on to destroy another region in which Americans have taken refuge from them. Atlantic City was once a very pleasant summer resort for middle-class Americans; then the Jews swarmed in, blighting it with their loud-mouthed vulgarity, their churlish arrogance, and their tawdry amusements; well-bred Americans fled the infestation; and when Atlantic City was ruined for the hated goyim, the Jews moved on to ruin another refuge, and the businessmen of Atlantic City went bankrupt. Roth's chapter on Atlantic City is included in the incomplete reprint of Jews Must Live, available from Liberty Bell Publications, \$5.00 + \$1.00 postage. they can just get rid of him with the new restriction on serving more than four years. So it goes.

The largest Israeli newspaper is not in Israel. It happens to be in the U.S. Where else? It is called the *New York Times;* that name is not only deceptive; it is a misnomer. The paper should be re-named the *Israeli Times*, for it is certainly the chief Zionist mouthpiece in the U.S.

Now if the American people were blessed with the reasoning power of a columnist of the *New York Times*, Tom Wicker, critics of the U.S.-Soviet arms agreement would be able to comprehend, as he does, why the weakening of our nation is very much in the national interest of the United States. Mr. Wicker, with his infinite knowledge, had the audacity to write that being a strong nation is so provocative that it has caused us much of our troubles. Here are his words of wisdom:

"The primary American weapon to be eliminated, the Pershing 2, is particularly destabilizing because from launchers in West Germany it can reach far into the Soviet Union. Thus it threatens the Russians with a devastating strike against their command system and important military bases; which means, in turn, that those U.S. missiles offer a tempting target for a preëmptive first Soviet strike."

According to Mr. Wicker and his Jewish bosses at the New York Times, the enemy is not an aggressive Soviet Union bent on intimidating Western Europe and the United States. Oh no, the total danger comes from the provocative United States, which causes tension among Soviet rulers. If our weapons make the Russians jittery, what makes sense to Mr. Wicker is for us to just get rid of them. Then there would be no more tension and peace would reign over the entire world.

That makes a nice fairy tale, if one believes in the Easter Bunny and the Tooth Fairy. But I don't think the Soviet leaders believe in Mr. Wickers fairy tale. Would you?

* * *

Readers who have heard me on radio and television talk shows may be interested in what has transpired behind the scenes on such programs. I have appeared on about eighty such shows during 1987. Generally speaking, I have to say everything I can get in on my first appearance on one of those programs, because telling the truth about Israel and World Zionists is not a very popular pastime in the United States. Therefore, I almost never get on a second time any place.

Jewish hate organizations see to that. They always picket the station when I am on, and they threaten the management with economic ruin and violence. Only one brave station has had me on more than once.

I mention these things not to promote myself or my activities; but to let all true Americans know how very close your own "land of the free" is to blanket censorship and complete suppression of the fact about the terrorists of Israel. At present, I am one of a kind. By that I mean that I am the only talk-show guest in the U.S. who tells the truth about Israel for every citizen to hear. In Washington circles, including the White House, this is considered treason. When my voice is stilled, no others will replace it. There is no other choice. There is no alternative, so to speak. Unfortunately, I am your only hope for informing America in broadcasts all across the country if you believe in truth, justice, and that rarest of all quantities today—freedom of speech. I wish it were not that way, but it is in today's world.

After I debated and easily defeated the head of the National Jewish Congress on a station in the Los Angeles area last summer, an intensified campaign to prevent me from speaking on radio and television has gotten underway. I am harassed and picketed every time I go on the air. The stations are intimidated and threatened. It is getting more difficult for me to speak in my own native land.

When I appeared on a Phoenix station last fall and revealed that money from Israel was paid to 16 members of the 26-member committee of the Iran-Contra Hearings, Miss Victoria Jones, a very talented British announcer, said she would like to arrange a debate between me and a spokesman from the Israeli Embassy in Washington. I told her right on the air to go ahead and do just that, but that I was certain that no person from the Israeli Embassy would be dumb enough to do this, because I would present the true facts which would make any Israeli official seem rather foolish in trying to deny the truth. I am certainly no great shakes as a debater, as I have said many time, but with truth and justice on my side, it is difficult to go against me. I told this wellmeaning lady to go ahead and arrange for such a proposed debate. I never heard another word about it, meaning that she was unable to arrange it.

The Israelis do not want me to divulge that the Iran-Contra Chairman, Senator Daniel Inouye (D-Hawaii), an Arab-hater who staunchly supports Israel, received a payment of \$48,500 from Israel just before the hearings began. That's why no mention of Israel's part was allowed. Any time the word 'Israel' came up, you saw the chairman throw up his hands and demand a secret session where neither the press nor the continued on page 37





THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIALS IN TORONTO 1983 - 1988

by David McCalden

CHAPTER TWO

The Preliminary Part One

Just before the Preliminary trial got under way, the Crown prosecutors realized that they still didn't have any verbal admission from Ernst Zündel that he had indeed published the offending booklet *Did Six Million Really Die?* and the flyer *The West, the War, and Islam!* Consequently, a fortnight before the Preliminary was due to begin, two police officers went to Zündel's home/office at 206 Carlton Street.

At 7:00 P.M. on 29 May 1984, Sergeant Williams and Sergeant Luby visited Zündel, after first informing his lawyer, Mrs. Lauren Marshall, that they would do so. (In the meantime, Mrs. Marshall called Zündel to warn him of his imminent interrogation, but he appears not to have paid much heed.)

Zündel met the two policemen at his side-door, and invited them in. (He was not obliged to do so.) Sergeant Luby asked Zündel if he would mind answering a few questions which the Crown Attorney had wanted cleared up. Zündel replied that his lawyer had instructed him not to talk to the police; but he would be "glad to just have a chat."

Luby asked Zündel if he was the publisher of the two items, and he said that he was. They asked if he had distributed both publications within Canada; he said that he had, and elaborated on the extent of his distribution. They asked if he was an officer of Samisdat Publishers Ltd. and he said that he was the President. They asked if his printed signature appeared on both publications, and he said that it was indeed his.

After the formalities were done with, Zündel and his guests discussed his earlier political aspirations, his interest in UFOs, and his views on the Holocaust. At approximately 8:00 P.M. the two officers left the premises and went out to their car, where they made extensive notes of Zündel's "chat." The policemen and their notes would be the opening act at all three of Zündel's forthcoming trials.

The Crown exhibited eleven prosecution witnesses at the Preliminary, which began Monday 18 June 1984. Looking back, it is interesting to study the government's gradually deteriorating case; witnesses who were exhibited at the Preliminary were so weak, or so biased, that they were not presented at the main trial. And witnesses who were presented at the main trial were not re-exhibited at the re-trial.

TRLAL BY JEWRY The Great Holocaust Trials in Toronto 1983 - 1988

by David McCalden

First Edition 1988

© Copyright 1988 by Liberty Bell Publications ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Additional copies available from: Liberty Bell Publications P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA or Truth Missions

P.O. Box 3849, Manhattan Beach CA 90266 USA

Printed in the United States of America

Revisionist experts were flying in to Toronto from all around the world, ready to do battle with the forces of tyranny. When I arrived on the Monday evening—after having my Butz book seized at the Toronto airport—I joined Dr. Robert Faurisson from France, and Bradley Smith from Los Angeles, who were already working on a defense strategy in Zündel's attic library. They had both arrived during the weekend.

We immediately set to work laying down questionnaires for the "Survivor" witnesses, and detailed arguments to put to the Holocaust "experts." Although Faurisson and Smith were (and still are) associated with the Institute for Historical Review, which has sued me for "unfair competition," they agreed to suspend any internecine hostilities for the sake of Ernst Zündel.

Even though the Preliminary was held at the same venue as the indictment hearings—Old City Hall—it attracted none of the earlier JDL riots, which was probably just as well. Winter clothing tends to provide thicker insulation against blows and kicks. June in Toronto is sunny and very warm.

Zündel asked me to act as Mrs. Marshall's bodyguard, so each morning I would escort her from her adjacent office building into the side-door of the court-house. Because she couldn't read Zündel's scrawled notes, and because Faurisson's English was not up to par, Mrs. Marshall further requested that I should be sat in the courtroom as her assistant. This caused something of a tizzy among the prosecution staff, particularly after they heard about the commotion at the airport the day before, but eventually the arrangements were approved.

As soon as I arrived at "Hate House" at about 5 o'clock on Monday 18 June 1984, Faurisson, Smith, and I formed a kind of "Revisionist Brains Trust" in Zündel's attic library. Here, the three of us would figure out the next day's courtroom strategy, while Zündel busied himself mostly with domestic chores.

Right away I was struck by Zündel's personal charisma and charm. Over the years, he had managed to attract to his banner the most impressive collection of dedicated followers I had ever seen. Ranging from their late teens up to senior-citizen level, these men and women volunteered their own commitment. Ernst Zündel gives no orders. Truly. He makes it quite clear how he would like things done, and that is usually the way things get done. He is always conscious of morale, and is careful that every outdoor activity is videotaped, and then immediately shown on TV when the team returns to base. A positiveoriented debriefing follows, and then coffee and cake. Alcohol is not encouraged at "Hate House," though it is served on special occasions and for special visitors. Smoking is also discouraged, and is confined to the basement barracks.

My fellow Los Angeleno (and also a man of the world), Bradley Smith, remarked to me that it was quite astonishing that there was so little vulgarity at "Hate House." Normally, such a barrack-room environment would encourage feigned virility, phoney machismo, and artificial vulgarity, but not here. We agreed that the explanation was in Ernst Zündel's genuine humility. He does not lead by instruction, he leads by example. He would think nothing of running around the Zündelhaus soliciting dirty underwear and smelly socks so as to make up a wash-tub load.

The cost of his legal battles is met in several ways: (a) subsidies from his graphics arts business (now largely defunct), (b) donations deposited into his Stuttgart bank-account (seized twice by the West German authorities), (c) direct donations to him in Toronto, from Canada and the U.S.A., and (d) a hefty re-mortgage on "Hate House."

In contrast to a lot of other, U.S., organizations, Ernst Zündel's set-up has never been troubled by *agents-provocateurs*, supergrasses, or plea-bargainers. Although Professor Stanley Barrett's excellent book, *Is God A Racist?* (University of Toronto Press, 1987) quite correctly describes several instances of Canadian provocateurism, not one case is remotely connected to Zündel. This is because Zündel's organization is not an organization. There is no membership list. No membership fee. And no expulsion procedure; you cannot be expelled from something you never paid to join.

By building a natural, organic structure based on true loyalty founded on respect and admiration, rather than meek obedience to rules and regulations, Zündel has created a structure where he leads by example, not from behind. In the tired old organizations of the European and U.S. "right-wing," followers are expected to subscribe to meek "obedience" to their task-masters; an acquiescence which they are supposed to reject in the case of *government* tyranny!

Curiously, although Ernst Zündel's "Hate House" was, and is, essentially Anarchistic, and although he privately acknowledges that he is an Atheist, at each of his court-room testimonies he would adamantly swear on the Jews' *New Testament* that he would speak their truth, and that he was not a racist.

Zündel's Preliminary Trial opened with the Crown's accusation that he had published *The West, the War, & Islam!* during 1981, and *Did Six Million Really Die?* during 1983. (In fact, *D6MRD?* was republished by Zündel at the turn of 1979/1980, but neither the Defense nor the Crown ever paid attention to this discrepancy.)

Mrs. Marshall asked for a publicity-ban during the Preliminary as is usual—and the judge, Wally Hryciuk, granted it; not only is such a ban quite common at Preliminaries, but Hryciuk was also a drinking buddy of Lauren Marshall's at Las Vegas legal conventions. At times, it seemed to me that both of them found their rôles quite bizarre, as if they were uncomprehending "extras" in a Mediæval morality play.

Ģ.

The Crown was represented by Peter Griffiths, a particularly inept toady of the Zionist government Establishment. (Just as Mrs. Marshall would later be replaced by the dynamic, battling B.C. barrister Doug Christie, so would Griffiths be worn out and replaced by another Hitler look-alike, John Pearson.)

Griffith's first witness was half of the dog-and-pony act composed of the Masonic police combo, Ron Williams & John Luby. Williams testified as to their dinner-time visit to "Hate House" on 29 May 1984 (with Luby) at which Zündel had admitted that he was the published of *D6MRD*? and *TW*, *TW*, & *I*!

Amazingly, little attention was paid to the subject of who had written *D6MRD*? and *TW*, *TW* & *I*! Neither the author of the former (Richard Verrall) nor of the latter (Eric Thomson) was ever subpoenaed to appear—even though Thomson was Zündel's right-hand man, and frequently attended the court-room proceedings.

Without protest from Mrs. Marshall, Sergeant Williams testified on a hearsay basis that his buddy, Sergeant Luby, had asked Zündel whether or not he was the publisher of both D6MRD? and TW, TW &I! According to Williams, Zündel had boasted that he was indeed the Canadian publisher of D6MRD? and that it had been mailed out to large numbers of Canadian Federal, Provincial, and local politicians.

According to Williams' uncontested hearsay, Zündel was then asked if he were the author of *The Hit Ruby Loved and Why* by "Christof Friedrich." Apparently, Zündel generously corrected Williams, and pointed out that the correct title was *The Hitler We Loved and Why* and that "Christof Friedrich" were his middle names. (Williams' mistaken rendition of Zündel's book-title gives us a graphic indication of the intelligence level of Toronto's police and Freemasonry.) Next, Williams testified—again on a hearsay basis—that Luby asked Zündel if he was the author of *The West, the War, & Islam!* and if this had been distributed in Canada. According to Williams, Zündel told Luby: "Yes, but not any more."

"We asked: 'Why not any longer?""

"He said: 'I am not a stupid man, I am cautious. I realize what I am up against."

Through Williams, the Crown submitted public records to show that Samisdat Publishers Ltd. was directed by Ernst Zündel. Then, Sergeant Williams startled a hushed court-room by revealing that he had gone to the public library and looked up a volume of the "Compton Encyclopædia – I'm sorry – Chambers Encyclopædia" to photocopy an article entitled "Jewish History" which had been referred to in D6MRD?. Stunned by Sergeant Williams' awe-inspiring research abilities, Mrs. Marshall only asked him, during cross-examination, for the call number of the particular volume, before sitting down.

The next Crown witness was a Nuremberg Trial Expert[®], Dr. John H.E. Fried of New York City. His status as an Expert[®] Witness was not challenged by Mrs. Marshall. Fried was one of that particularly smug breed of Jews (e.g., Raul Hilberg, Sigmund Freud) who fled Vienna to avoid Nazi rule, with the presumed hazards of imminently being turned into lampshades. Like so many of his emigrés, Fried spent the war years suffering multiple paper cuts and tongue abrasions, stuffing envelopes and licking stamps in the United States, while young American goyim went off to Europe to give their lives and limbs in the Jewish crusade against Hitler. Also very typically, after the war Fried was invited to work at the Nuremberg trials of "Nazi war criminals."

However, Fried seemed to try to skate over the fact that he did not work at the International Military Tribunal, but at the twelve subsequent American Nuremberg Military Tribunals. Since few of the American judges could speak German, they had to call upon the services of German-Jewish and Austrian-Jewish emigrés like Fried to coordinate evidence.

Fried obviously assumed that the defense team were a bunch of stupid goyim whom he could baffle with his curriculum vitae; he was to be proven sadly mistaken. Dr. Faurisson and I made voluminous notes of his testimony, and then briefed Mrs. Marshall on which points to attack. In the case of this particular witness, she performed remarkably well; so well in fact that Fried was not re-exhibited by the Crown at the main Zündel trial.

Through Mrs. Marshall's careful cross-examination of the arrogant Fried, Faurisson and I were able to trip him up on a large number of points, forcing him to retract several of his major points. In his direct evidence he had claimed that the London Conference which set up the International Military Tribunal (IMT) at Nuremberg did "not create new law" (Preliminary transcript, p. 22), but we later forced him to

25

admit (p. 52) that "crimes against peace" and "crimes against humanity" were very much unheard of, either before and since!

Fried claimed (p. 26) that the Nuremberg defendants were able to select their own defense lawyers, when, of course, they were assigned by the court; Fried was vague when we questioned him (pp. 81-82) about Rudolf Hess, who tried to dismiss his lawyer several times, and represent himself, but was refused!

The witness originally insisted (p. 35) that there was no torture or maltreatment, but when he was confronted (p. 96) with Rudolf Hoess's autobiography where his confessions under torture are fully described, Fried tried to dodge the issue.

Fried's biggest lie was his claim that "affidavits...were never used without the affiant testifying in open court" (p. 43). In cross-examination, we presented Fried (p. 71) with the IMT transcript (Vol. 3, p. 569) concerning the affidavit of Wilhelm Hoettl (PS-2738), who was never produced in person, even though he was lodged in the prison right next door to the Nuremberg court-house! (We might also have asked him about the infamous statement (PS-1552) of Kurt Gerstein, which has become a cornerstone of Exterminationist mythology; after signing this "confession," Gerstein disappeared and was presumed dead.)

With direction from Faurisson and myself, Mrs. Marshall launched an attack on the precepts of the International Military Tribunal (which I had vigorously condemned in my early book, *Nuremberg & Other War Crimes Trials; a New Look*, published by Historical Review Press, under their house nom de plume of "Richard Harwood"). She challenged the IMT's most outrageous principles, such as §9 Guilt by Association (membership in a "criminal" organization); §6 A War Crime If You Lose ("crimes against peace"); §19 Even the Shoddiest Evidence Admissible ("probative value"); and §21 Indisputable Facts (no challenge allowed to the "Truth" of the Holocaust).

In an effort to undermine *D6MRD?*'s citation of Judge Wennerstrum's condemnation of the Nuremberg trials, the Crown asked Fried about Wennerstrum's own rulings in his NMT case #7 ("Hostages Case"). Did Judge Wennerstrum "set out any of his complaints in his judgement" in NMT#7? "No, sir" (p. 34). Later, when we asked Fried to therefore explain Wennerstrum's extremely critical remarks about Nuremberg to the *Chicago Tribune* (23 February 1948), the witness replied that "it was absolutely known in the Nuremberg court-house that Wennerstrum was an...anti-Semite (in his personal views, but not in) his professional capacity as a judge" (p. 61). In a way, Fried was correct. Like many folks in public service, Judge Wen-

 $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

nerstrum was simply going through the motions, in carrying out his duties: there is nothing unusual about this. Time and time again we continue to encounter ex-Presidents, ex-Congressmen, ex-Senators, who publish bitter regrets for their craven, supine, pro-Zionist posture while they were in office; it is only when their careers are finished that they suddenly discover candor. No doubt, Judge Wennerstrum would have felt at home in their company. Although ardent Zionists such as Dr. Fried would classify such rediscovered sincerity as *per se* "anti-Semitic." I have only been able to discover one incidence of a former Nuremberg staffer becoming so disenchanted that he turned to actual political advocacy against the Jews: Francis Parker Yockey.

Yockey was something of a maverick. Having just missed the War, he volunteered his legal services to the Nuremberg Tribunal, only to quit in disgust; he ended up feeling more sympathy for the defendants than for "Justice." However, he somehow felt at home in Europe and relocated to London, where he settled down for a year or two, making close contact with various Mosleyite factions. Sir Oswald Mosley had been the aristocratic, but populist, leader of the pre-War British Union of Fascists. In the interest of preserving "Democracy" he was interned without trial during World War Two. (Disgusted with Britain, he spent his post-war years living in France.)

However, Mosley's influence lived on in Britain even after his voluntary exile. Yockey hitched up with some Mosleyites in London and was very quickly drawn into their interminable internecine squabbles. Dismayed, he moved temporarily to Ireland, where he decided to write a book. Working completely without notes at Brittas Bay, County Wicklow, near Dublin, in 1948 he threw together a turgid manuscript of notions largely lifted from Spengler and Hitler. He named this great epic *Imperium*, but as he was still without immigration papers, Yockey felt it more sensible to use a pseudonym for his new work: he selected the perfectly ordinary name, which was likely picked out of a phone book: Ulick Varange. However, just in case anyone should ever dare to pirate, or claim authorship over, his great tome, Yockey cleverly encoded not just one, but two, pages with his initials (p. 480 and p. 498)!

Thanks to a generous patron, Yockey published 200 copies of *Imperium* in London, before returning Stateside. Here he continued to float around the perimeter of "right-wing" society, fraternizing with the rather ethereal American Nazi leaders, H. Keith Thompson and Eustace Mullins, and also with a shabby Jewish underworld *schill*, who went by the names of Alex Scharf and Michael Taylor, but whose real name was Benjamin Junger.

Scharf/Junger, a "survivor of Auschwitz and Buchenwald," had met Yockey at the Reno gaming-tables, where they were both trying to better their fortunes. Since Yockey expressed an interest in visiting the San Francisco area, Scharf/Junger invited him to stay for a while at his Oakland apartment, not far from his place of employment: the Temple Beth Abraham School, where he was a teacher.

In Oakland, Yockey told his host that his U.S. passport had been illegally withdrawn by the State Department, and wondered if the shabby Scharf could help him. No problem. Scharf quickly volunteered his other persona "Michael Taylor" to validate Yockey's application for an Irish passport which remains one of the easiest passports in the world to obtain.

Before long, Scharf was paid a visit by the FBI, and within days, Yockey was placed under arrest, and held on \$50,000 bail, for "passport fraud." It seems that ever since the "Zündelizing" of his passport in 1952, Yockey had been quietly taking out passports in various names, under various "flags of convenience." Unfortunately, his suitcase containing several of these documents had gone astray at Dallas Airport, was examined by the authorities, and immediately the wires were buzzing to the FBI office in the Bay Area.

Scharf/Junger quickly went on the lam to Batista's Cuba□at that time a notorious haunt of the Jewish Mafia□before returning to San Francisco, where he "co-operated" with a federal grand jury by explaining to them all about Yockey's unusual travel budget, whereby Yockey would "lose"his travelers cheques to an accomplice (we wonder who that could be?) and reporting the "loss" so tardily for reimbursement that the "thief" had already cashed the "stolen" cheques. Following this dramatic confession, Scharf/Junger disappeared from sight; no doubt he will eventually turn up, either as the head of some "Holocaust Museum" or else wearing concrete boots at the bottom of the Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal.

In the meantime, news of Yockey's dramatic arrest appeared in the San Francisco newspapers, and attracted the attention of one Willis Alison Carto, an Indiana debt-collector who was temporarily residing in Sausalito, just across the Bay. Carto had been discharged from the U.S. Army after suffering a minor flesh-wound during the invasion of the Philippines. He now fancied himself as something of an Expert on international affairs, and was quickly drawn by Yockey's charisma; indeed, he had already stumbled across one of the rare copies of *Imperium*, and had absorbed it favorably.

Carto wangled a visit with Yockey at the San Francisco Jail, and afterwards religiously attended his indictment hearings. Afterwards he wrote: "The expression on his face□pensive, sensitive, magnetic□this was the unforgettable thing. It was his eyes, I think. Dark, with a quick and knowing intelligence. His eyes bespoke great secrets and knowledge and such terrible sadness...he spoke to me with his eyes. He knew that I would not desert him."

However, Yockey was not as impressed with Carto as Carto was with Yockey. Carto had promised Yockey that he would reproduce Imperium under his own imprimatur, the Noontide Press of Sausalito. One week later, Yockey committed suicide.

Yockey's closest relative was his sister, Alice Yockey-Spurlock, a radiographer at the Wheeler Hospital in Gilroy, about 75 miles south of San Francisco. She took responsibility for the disposal of his remains, and so he was buried at the Roman Catholic St. Mary's Church in Gilroy, where the resident priest, Father John T. Dwyer, very kindly faked the church records to show that Yockey had died at "Brooklyn, NY" rather than as a suicide in the San Francisco jail. (The Roman Catholic church does not look too favorably on suicides.) Yockey's remains lay undisturbed for four years. Then, on 23 July 1964, Mrs. Spurlock ordered her brother's remains to be exhumed, transported to the local Oakhill Crematorium for cremation, and then re-buried at the same grave.

Some years later, Mrs. Yockey-Spurlock moved away from Gilroy and decided to have her brother's ashes scattered at sea. So, Francis Parker Yockey was dug up a second time, and his remains are somewhere at the bottom of the Pacific Ocean. His grave has been re-assigned and is no longer locatable.


As for Mrs. Alice Yockey-Spurlock, she has disappeared.

Monsignor John Dwyer moved to another nearby church in Saratoga, to the Church of the Ascension, but he declines to answer mail on the subject of Yockey. Apparently his parishioner Alice Yockey-Spurlock prevailed upon him to respect her wishes in regard to her brother's odd beliefs.

As for Willis Carto, he first moved to Boston to work for the John Birch Society. But, after purloining their mailing-list he relocated to Washington D.C., where he founded his own "Liberty Lobby" which continues to this day.

Over the years, Carto has attracted several Yockey-cultists, such as Keith Stimely (Assistant-Director of the IHR 1983-1985). However, Stimely quickly became disenchanted with Carto's intellectual abilities, and his fidelity to Yockey's beliefs, and resigned, mailing out a massive denunciation of Carto to all and sundry. Stimely is still in the process of publishing a definitive account of Yockey's career, including his encounter with Willis A. Carto.

To be continued in next month's issue



ISRAEL IS HERE, continued from page 24

public could hear it. Of course, this brought forth no protests from the Zionist-controlled press. But former Senator John Tower of Texas, in his report, sharply criticized the Hearings for ignoring Israel's part. Tower, who served in the Senate for twenty-four years, said he was surprised that Israel was not accused at all. Here are his words: "Israel was very much involved and started the entire sales. But if you think Congress is going to touch that hot potato, you're going to be waiting a long time." But would Mr. Tower have been so forceful in blaming Israel if he were still sitting in his Senate seat? I think not!

My appearance on WRC in Washington, D.C., on December 17, created such a sensation that the Israeli Embassy and some Congressmen called in to attempt to prevent me from speaking the truth. But Beverly Smith, the hostess of the program, held fast. I was scheduled to be on for one hour. But both of the station's switchboards were jammed within one minute after I began speaking. It has been estimated that over 15,000 persons in the Washington, D.C. area tried to call me on that program. When it was announced that I was to be on this program, people in nearby states who some way learned about my scheduled appearance, hired buses and traveled to Washington to hear my viewpoint.

Now my point is that if the American news media were printing both sides and not favoring Israel in the Mideast dispute, then people could just read the true facts in their daily newspapers. It would not be necessary for them to hire buses and travel hundreds of miles just to hear the truth from me. This instance alone proves that the U.S. news media never will cease to be controlled by the world Zionists.

You have to understand that Israel is not the real seat of the Jewish state. There are many times as many Israelis in the United States as in Israel because almost every Jew in this country also holds citizenship in Israel. So the small Jewish state in the Mideast is not the real Israel. The true headquarters of the world Zionists is in the U.S., not Israel. In essence, the United States of America is the world's largest Jewish state. This *is* ISRAEL.

You are living in Israel if you now reside in the United States. There is no other logical way to look at this perilous situation. You people reading this report are living in Israel's land. You are the interlopers, not the Jews, in America. YOU are the intruders, so to speak, in your own land. You cocker spaniels are now no more than the lap dogs of the powerful Zionists. It is not your country anymore. You have given it away. No one wrested it from you. You people voluntarily gave

away your nation. And the sad part is that there is no chance of ever regaining it. It is much too late for that. All you can do now is make the best of your predicament. You must resign yourselves to being ruled by others for the rest of your lives. You have no other choice.

* * *

The Israeli Embassy demanded and got equal time on the Washington station to attempt to refute and discredit me. I did not hear the program so do not know what was said about me. But I am sure it was not complimentary.

I did have an interesting time on a large South Florida radio station. The owner of this station happened to be vacationing at Hilton Head in South Carolina when he heard me speak on a station near there. He then called me and asked if I would go on his station for an hour of prime time at 8 P.M. local time. After I had been on his station for an hour that night detailing carefully the many fully-documented cases of Israeli atrocities still going on in Lebanon and the West Bank, and then presenting absolute proof that Israel is now sending more arms to Iran than before the fake Iran-Contra Hearings last summer, this owner told me that I was doing just great and could I stay on for another hour? Well, I spoke from 8 to 10:10 P.M. about the illegal acts of Israel. But all hell broke loose because hundreds of Zionist-mad Jews heard the program. You can well imagine how it was received by them. Their anger at the station for allowing me prime time to destroy their carefully-crafted myths and propaganda lies caused one old crying Jew to phone in and say to me that even if my words were true I shouldn't say them because it would destroy Israel. I tried to explain to him that I didn't have the power to harm Israel that much, because most Americans would not believe me anyway. Would that I possessed such power! It would be a blessing.

Then the besieged owner of the station panicked and to extradite himself and lay all the blame for this uprising of Jewish sentiment upon me, he told them: "I didn't know what Mr. Taylor was going to say beforehand or I would not have invited him and he will never again appear on this station."

Of course, he was lying through his chattering teeth as he stammered in abject fear, begging his way out of his predicament. He had known exactly what I was going to say. He had heard me in South Carolina and that is exactly why he had me on his station. And he asked me to stay a second hour which even ran ten minutes overtime. This he did after he had heard everything I had said the first hour. He wanted me to give the other side of the Mideast situation. But, in the end, he did not have the courage to stand up for his convictions. That did not really bother me. I am used to being on the receiving end of such demonstrations. I don't really blame the poor fellow. He had certainly underestimated Jewish reaction to the truth. For this, he had to pay a price. I thought it was comical, in a sense. But it wasn't funny at all to the owner.

Another very interesting development occurred in Phoenix. After I had been on for about 40 minutes, the switchboard was flooded with phone calls from Los Angeles, over 300 miles away, where it would be absolutely impossible to hear any radio station in Phoenix. This particular station could not even be heard 50 miles distant from Phoenix. So I knew that no one in Los Angeles, the home of about 250,000 Israelis and one million American Jews, could hear me in Phoenix. The station manager couldn't understand it. He was talking about a possible atmospheric condition which might have caused it. But I knew better.

I knew immediately what had happened. The Jewish leaders in Phoenix had called their people in Los Angeles, who are especially trained in Zionist propaganda lies to fool the gullible American public, to find out what they should do about me. With radios in Phoenix placed by the telephones, the Jewish radicals in Los Angeles could hear me. Hence the calls from Los Angeles to the Phoenix station. They wanted to condemn me so that people in Phoenix would not believe me. That's how far they will go to prevent the truth from being broadcast.

It goes without saying that the world Zionists resent greatly people like the readers of this magazine who are intelligent enough to know the truth about Israel. They want the younger generations of Americans to be kept in the dark and in total ignorance about world affairs to make it so much easier to control the United States. Here is how the plan to accomplish this will work. The scenario being put forth by Mr. Lewis J. Perelman of an organization called the National School Boards Association will amaze you.

Here is the gist of his plan. He wants to do away with grades in U.S. public schools and the struggle to keep up with the smartest students. Under this clever plan, most American boys and girls would end their formal schooling at 12 or 13 years of age.

There will be no more sorting of children the way eggs are classified in A, B and C categories. Mr. Perelman says that American schools have in the past been run like nineteenth-century factories. What he means is that hereafter only "selected" students will use computers and other advanced technology in their development. The rank

38 Liberty Bell / June 1988

and file will not do advanced work and can get along in life-long menial jobs with practically no education. That way your grandchildren won't know enough to challenge those who will then be openly running the country.

The so-called U.S.-Soviet conference in Washington last December can be summed up in a word—Jews. About 250,000 of them from various countries marched to the White House. Every news story and commentary about the Summit mentioned the Jewish problem. The problems of other groups and the welfare of the United States seemed to be secondary in importance to the welfare of the Soviet Jews. All I heard was about Jewish solutions. Even President Reagan, according to his own words, was perfectly willing to hold off on saving the world from nuclear destruction until after the Jewish problem was taken care of in all details.

Fortunately for mankind in general and especially Americans, the Soviets informed Mr. Reagan that the Jewish problem in the Soviet Union is a domestic one and not the business of the United States government. We should thank the Soviets for not seeing it Mr. Reagan's way and dumping another million or so Soviet Jews on us. We have more than our quota already.

* * *

In The Life and Times of Menachem Begin, a new book by Amos Perlmutter, it is revealed that the Nazis were not the first to intern Jews in Poland during World War II. On page 38, Perlmutter states that Polish Nationalists tried to intern all Jews during the battle for Warsaw.

Another interesting quotation from the book, on page 66, is one by Aba Achimeir, Jewish leader in Russia, who said: "Zionism is an immoral movement." Page 118 reads: "The Polish Army laid every imaginable obstacle in the path of the Jews wanting to serve in its ranks. It discriminated against them, and so strongly discouraged Jewish recruitment that Polish representatives in the USSR and in London were greatly embarrassed. So Polish Jews joined the French Army instead, because they were welcomed by General DeGaulle."

But Mr. Begin joined the Polish Army despite discrimination and deserted it in 1943 to go to Palestine, where he joined the British Army, from which he also deserted. Mr. Begin doesn't mince words. On page 137, he is quoted as having written: "The terrorist government of Eretz Israel conducts an unheard of campaign of terror." I couldn't have stated it better myself. Again on page 141, Mr. Begin is quoted: "I believe in the establishment of Zionist power and in terrorizing the Mandatory (British) to achieve that goal." Thus on page 145, Mr. Begin continues by saying: "The only choice was the gun and the hand grenade. We have no right not to use terrorism."

And what of the Arabs? Well, to Begin they were not human beings. "The Arabs," he said, "would be frightened away from the main battle between the Jews and the British. The Arabs of Palestine are not serious rivals."

Certainly, according to the book, the British never came down with full force on Mr.Begin's terrorists. Such suggestions from the British military officers were rejected. There was no serious collective punishment, no real destruction of Jewish property, and no mass exile of Jewish terrorist or their leaders, as there was with the Arabs of the 1936 revolt.

During World War II, Jews in Palestine suffered very little. They were merely a little disturbed about the supposed slaughter of Jews in Europe. No more. Later when they learned of its great publicity value in the U.S., the story was used more frequently for political purposes. Jews in Palestine did little or nothing to help European Jews. It was only after they learned of the shock value of tales about the Holocaust that their own propaganda made use of it.

Mr. Begin, on page 163, writes: "There was an air of optimism all around when Roosevelt, who had steadfastly refused to take direct action to rescue the Jews of Europe, died. His place was taken by Harry Truman, who was much more sympathetic to us and to Jews in general."

On July 25, 1945, Begin robbed the Agrobank in Tel Aviv. "Operation Chick" was the name he gave to the bombing of the King David Hotel. His goal was to make Palestine ungovernable for the British and the United Nations. Only General Bernard Montgomery called for stern measures against the Jewish terrorists of Mr. Begin. But the British government vetoed his plan.

By March of 1946, Mr. Begin had carried out sixteen terrorist bombings of major British targets, including the British Officers Club in Tel Aviv, and the kidnapping of several British officers, who were foully murdered. The only hope for the British was the U.S. But under Truman, the government refused all assistance. According to Mr. Begin's views, if Roosevelt had not died when he did, there might not be an independent Israel today. I don't necessarily agree with that assessment by Mr. Begin, but it makes an interesting theory.

Mr. Begin has always stated that the terrorist days when he was nothing but a common murderer were the most glorious epoch in the history of the Jewish nation. When the British failed to persuade the

40 Liberty Bell / June 1988

Americans to join in the fight against Jewish terrorism in the Mideast, they relinquished their infirm hold on Palestine. Mr. Begin then proudly stated: "Without Jewish terrorism, the British would never have left Palestine and there would have been no Jewish state." He is, I believe, partly correct in that statement. In summing up this belief, it is quite obvious that terrorism and the ill-conceived notions of Mr. Truman had a lot to do with the creation of Israel on land that had never rightly belonged to them. It is said that a Jew named Eddie Jacobson, a bootblack and once a partner of Mr. Truman in his men's clothing store, greatly influenced the president from Independence, Missouriif he needed influencing.³

Mr. Begin posed as a "rabbi" named Israel-Sassover to avoid British police when he was wanted for murder with a reward of £50,000 (\$200,000) on his head.

When Mr. Begin announced his total rejection of the UN decision to partition Palestine into two states, one for Jews and one for Arabs, he said: "The division is unlawful. We will never allow this because we want *all* of Palestine." So far Mr. Begin has had his way. But some day it may be different.

Mr. Begin is very proud of the fact that he ordered the murders of all the people in the Arab village of Dir Yassin. Here is his official statement to and about the "brave" men who shoved their bayonets into the stomachs of the pregnant women at Dir Yassin, which I wrote about in an earlier column. He said, "Accept my congratulations for this wonderful achievement at Dir Yassin, for this was a wonderful conquest. Tell all the men, the fighters and commanders, that we shake their hands. We are all proud of your magnificent offensive. Tell the men that you have made history in Israel by your offensive and act of conquest. Until victory, as in Dir Yassin and elsewhere, we shall storm and annihilate the enemy. God, God, to conquest you have chosen us, your people." And this inhuman criminal named Begin is the person who is worshipped the most in the United States, so much so that an effort in the Dallas area to name a new high school for him almost succeeded. Are we the dumbest people in the world or not? I leave it up to the readers.

In closing, I should like to recommend highly two books. In recent years, I have received hundreds of books from people wanting me to mention them in my world-wide column and push them when I am on radio and television programs. Most of these books are not worth 3. Truman was the son of a Sheeny by a White woman.

* * *

42 Liberty Bell / June 1988

reading and I would not even recommend them to my worst enemy. A great many of them, although supposedly written by people who call themselves Christians, contain pure myths and blue sky religious nonsense about amazing Hebrew prophecies, such as Hal Lindsey's collection of tripe entitled *The Late Great Planet Earth*. He even identifies Gog as some kind of evil entity that flourishes in such diverse places as Russia and Libya. Any country not in good standing with the outlaw state of Israel gets short shrift.

So when, by chance, I do receive a book or two that are exceptions, I think it proper to give them mention in case readers may want to purchase them.

Dr. Margaret E. Stucki, who is a graduate of Barnard College and Columbia University and now lives in the state of Washington, has written a marvelous book of political satire in prose and verse, entitled *Gullible's Travels*. Aside from this achievement, Dr. Stucki is also a well-known artist, listed among America's outstanding modern painters. Her portraits have especially won praise from art critics.

The varied topics covered by Dr. Stucki range from the phony import-export banking practice to how Americans won't accept Communism except gradually in small but very potent doses under the label of modern Socialism. The caricature drawing on page 9 of Tip O'Neill is worth the price of the book.

I like the fact that the book is dedicated to the late publisher of the international *American Sunbeam*, Mr. Delamer Duverus. This man really believed in a free press and proved it. Although I did not agree with all of his ideas, I will always be grateful to him because at one time, before my column was published world-wide, he was the only publisher who was not afraid to print it.

The biggest defect in *Gullible's Travels* is that Dr. Stucki's name is not on the cover in bold type. You can order it for \$29.95 + \$3.00 postage from Liberty Bell Publications.

The other book I recommend is *The Score*, by Rudy ('Butch') Stanko, who details how he was singled out for persecution, mostly because of his old-fashioned Americanism. Courage, honor and love of one's country are not exactly prized in Washington today. After reading the 1985 indictment against Mr. Stanko, I have to conclude that in some types of cases, especially ones that concern the welfare of minority groups, our judicial brand of government is no longer neutral. Or perhaps social justice requires that Americans who wickedly compete with Jehovah's Jews be put out of business by the Federal government.

Anyone interested in reading this one can order it for \$15. + \$1.50 postage from Liberty Bell Publications.

* * *

There is one fact about Senator Inouye that should be known to all American taxpayers. Not only does he receive large amounts of money ifrom Israel; he actually has committed one of the gravest crimes against the American people since the days of Benedict Arnold.

This little Japanese-American from Hawaii, who claims to be both a war hero and an American patriot, actually sold out this country when he sneaked in a provision attached to the appropriations bill to require you taxpayers to finance and support an exclusive private school in Paris for the children of wealthy Jews from North Africa. These are white Jews from Morocco and Algeria—not the so-called black Jews from Ethiopia.

Neither the French government, the U.S. State Department, nor the United Nations High Commission for Refugees considers these Jews, most of them millionaires, to be legitimate refugees of any kind; they moved to Paris ten to twenty years ago and have done quite well for themselves. But Mr. Inouye wanted to do the bidding of these Zionists anyway.

A large Jewish contributor to Senator Inouye also sits on the board of directors of the Ozar Hatorah Foundation, the agency that would be given your money to build this school. These Jewish millionaires wanted a well-endowed private school to teach lessons in Hebrew to their children, but didn't want to use their own money to pay for it. So Mr. Inouye came bravely to the rescue. He told them just to leave everything to him and he would let the world's dumbest and most gullible people foot the bill—the uncomplaining, uncomprehending American taxpayers.

There is more. Your money was not only to be given to build the school, but by a built-in provision of inflationary increases each year, the bill made certain that you taxpayers would be bound to continue being swindled for this outrageous scam, not just for the rest of your natural lives, but for the next millennium or until the Second Coming of Christ, whichever comes first. In other words, you were to be stuck with it forever.

A group of well-heeled Jews in Paris first approached the French government. French officials flatly refused to have anything to do with their project. The French must be a lost smarter than we are. The French stated that their government is prohibited by law from building schools for religious groups. Of course, our U.S. laws also prohibit

44 Liberty Bell / June 1988


this, but since when did American legal statutes ever take precedence over the wishes of Jews? The U.S. Congress could not build a parochlal school in America or anywhere else. No Congressman would think of even suggesting such a thing. But Congress can build a Hebrew school in Paris and force you taxpayers and your posterity to subsidize it forever.

That is treason. It is treason even though Inouye had qualms when the clause he hoped no one would notice was spotted by some American observer, and he withdrew it. Yes, Inouye failed to accomplish his purpose. So did Benedict Arnold. But that does not save Arnold's reputation.

Mr. Innouye lied about receiving \$48,500 from Israel. Now the man has proven that he is not only a liar, but he is also a thief. It's YOUR Money!

I get sick at my stomach when I hear Senator Inouye, Representative Jack Kemp (R-N.Y.), and various and sundry American Jewish leaders—all of whom are working in different ways to extort more and more money from the American taxpayers for the benefit of Israel and the world-wide Zionist Empire—trying to pass themselves off as so many George Washingtons. Mr. Kemp, as head of a group called Christians for Israel, even sent out four-page letters from his office begging Americans to send more money to Israel. These swindlers make the ignoble claim that doing all this for the world Zionist entity is the same as General Washington's fighting the tyranny of King George III.

There is a difference—a big difference. Washington fought to make this nation independent. Those sleazy political hacks are just working for their employers, the international tribe that now owns your country and you.



This article first appeared in the June 1988 issue of Liberty Bell. Annual subscription \$25.00. Sample copy & booklist \$4.00. Reprints of Jim Taylor's articles are available at the following prices: THE NEXT VIETNAM (Sept. 1986 issue): 4/\$1.50 10/\$3.00 50/\$13.00 100/\$25.00. HAMMERING THE U.S. (Oct. 1986 issue): 5/\$1.00 50/\$9.00 100/\$16.00. BUSH AND ZION (Nov. 1986 issue): 3/\$1.50 10/\$4.50 500/\$20.00 100/\$35.00. REAGAN AND ZION (Dec. 1986 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. ANTI-AMERICANS (Jan. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00. 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES (Feb. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. HOW ZION TRIUMPHS (March 1987 issue): Single copy \$1.00 10/\$8.00 50/\$35.00 100/\$60.00. FRIENDS WE BETRAYED (April 198 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. THE GREAT TERRORIST (May 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. ISRAEL'S PRESIDENT (June 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. YOUR ENEMIES (July 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. THE END OF A LOST EMPIRE (August 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. ZION'S HITMEN (September 1987 issue): Single Copy \$2.00 10/\$15.00 50/\$60.00. THE RISING SUN (November 1987 issue): single copy \$1.50 5/\$6.00 10/\$10.00 50/\$45.00. THE CRIME OF CRIMES (December 1987 issue): single 10/\$10.00 50/\$45.00. WHO OWNS 5/\$6.00 copy \$1.50 WASHINGTON? (February 1988 issue): single copy \$1.50 5/\$6.00 10/\$510.00 50/\$45.00. OUR SILLY SPIES, (March 1988 issue): single copy \$2.00 5/\$7.50 10/\$13.00 50/\$50.00. WHAT MAKES RONNIE RUN? (May 1988 issue): single copy \$2.00 5/\$7.50 10/\$13.00 50/\$50.00. ISRAEL IS HERE (June 1988 issue): single copy \$2.00 5/\$7.50 10/\$13.00 50/\$50.00.

For Postage and Handling include \$1.50 for orders under \$10.00, 15% for orders over \$10.00. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV, 25270 USA.



46 Liberty Bell / June 1988

THE SCORE by Rudy "Butch" Stanko, 389 pp., hb., \$15.00 plus \$1.50 for postage and handling, available from Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

Rudy Stanko and his companies were a rising power in the U.S. Manufactured (ground) meat business.

Their turnover was running at a rate of \$200 million per annum. Debts were minimal. They supplied 45% of U.S. Army ground beef and were the dominant supplier for the school lunch programme. Their profitability was amongst the highest in the business.

The Stanko's knew the business after three generations of involvement. They had a consistent record from a list of satisfied customers. Life was good.

In three days Rudy Stanko's business was destroyed.

Why?

Because he had underestimated the cartel which controls the U.S. meat industry. He had only seen them as competitors, and as such he could handle them.

They were more. They were part of a "family" of cartels operating in many industries, in the media, in banking, and reaching into Government itself, which protects and promotes its interests at every level. A people strangely prepared to say and do whatever is necessary, without limitation, to increase and protect their power, and to whom the Mafia is a fifth rate power.

As opposed to his business, Rudy Stanko the man, proved to be more resilient. In time he discovered what had been done to him, and who did it, and determined upon telling his story.

At heart a rugged, western cowboy type, and as a former light-heavyweight champion wrestler, a man of fighting disposition. Rudy tells his story in *The Score* "with no holds barred."

Those who have reason to want to know who really controls the U.S. meat industry and much more, have no option but to read his book. Order this "eye-opener" today!

-Eustace Mullins Historian - Author Staunton, Virginia

48 Liberty Bell / June 1988

The "Liberation of the Camps" continued from page 12

systematic delousing, quarantine of the sick, and cremation of the dead, the virtual collapse of Germany's food, transport, and public health systems led to catastrophe.

Perhaps the most authoritative statement of the facts as to typhus and mortality in the camps has been made by Dr. John E. Gordon, M.D., Ph.D., a professor of preventive medicine and epidemiology at the Harvard University School of Public Health, who was with the U.S. forces in Germany in 1945. Dr. Gordon reported in 1948 that "The outbreaks in concentration camps and prisons made up the great bulk of typhus infection encountered in Germany." Dr. Gordon summarized the causes for the outbreaks as follows:

Germany was in chaos. The destruction of whole cities and the path left by advancing armies produced a disruption of living conditions contributing to the spread of the disease. Sanitation was low grade, public utilities were seriously disrupted, food supply and food distribution was poor, housing was inadequate and order and discipline where everywhere lacking. Still more important, a shifting of populations was occurring such as few countries and times have experienced.⁵

Dr. Gordon's findings are corroborated by Dr. Russell Barton, today a psychiatrist of international repute, who entered Bergen-Belsen with British forces as a young medical student in 1945. Barton, who volunteered to care for the diseased survivors, testified under sworn oath in a Toronto courtroom in 1985 that "Thousands of prisoners who died at the Bergen-Belsen concentration camp during World War II weren't deliberately starved to death but died from a rash of diseases."⁶ Dr. Barton further testified that on entering the camp he had credited stories of deliberate starvations but had decided such stories were untrue after inspecting the well-equipped kitchens and the meticulously maintained ledgers, dating back to 1942, of food cooked and dispensed each day. Despite noisily publicized claims and widespread popular notions to the contrary, no researcher has been able to document a German policy of extermination through starvation in the German camps.

No Lampshades, No Handbags, Etc.

What of the ghoulish stories of concentration camp inmates skinned for their tattoos, flayed to make lampshades and handbags or other artifacts? What of the innumerable "torture racks," "meathooks," whipping posts, gallows, and other tools of torment and death that are reported to have abounded at every German camp? These allegations, and even more grotesque ones proffered by Soviet prosecutors, found their way into the record at Nuremberg.

The lampshade and tattooed-skin charges were made against Ilse Koch, dubbed by journalists the "Bitch of Buchenwald," who was reported to have furnished her house with objects manufactured from the tanned hides of luckless inmates. But General Lucius Clay, military governor of the U.S. zone of occupied Germany, who reviewed her case in 1948, told his superiors in Washington: "There is no convincing evidence that she [Ilse Koch] selected inmates in order to secure tattooed skins or that she possessed any articles made of human skin."⁷ In an interview General Clay gave years later, he stated about the material for the infamous lampshades: "Well, it turned out actually that it was goat flesh. But at the trial it was still human flesh. It was almost impossible for her to have gotten a fair trial."⁸ Ilse Koch hanged herself in a West German jail in 1967.

It would be tedious to itemize and refute the thousands of bizarre claims as to Nazi atrocities. That there were instances of German cruelty, however, is clear from the testimony of Dr. Konrad Morgen, a legal investigator attached to the Reich Criminal Police, whose statements on the witness stand at Nuremberg have never been challenged by believers in the Jewish Holocaust. Dr. Morgen informed the court that he had been given full authority by Heinrich Himmler, commander of Hitler's SS and the dread Gestapo, to enter any German concentration camp and investigate instances of cruelty and corruption on the part of the camp staffs. According to Dr. Morgen's sworn testimony at Nuremberg, he investigated 800 such cases, in which over 200 convictions resulted.⁹ Punishments included the death penalty for the worst offenders, including Hermann Florstedt, commandant of Lublin (Majdanek), and Karl Koch, Ilse's husband, commandant of Buchenwald.

In reality, while camp commandants in certain cases did inflict physical punishment, such acts had to be approved by authorities in Berlin, and it was required that a camp physician first certify the good health of the prisoner to be disciplined, and then be on hand at the actual beating.¹⁰ After all, the camps were throughout most of the war important centers of industrial activity. The good health and morale of the prisoners was critical to the German war effort, as is evidenced by a 1942 order issued by the SS-Brigadeführer Richard Glücks, chief of the office which controlled the concentration camps, which held camp commanders "personally responsible for exhausting every possibility to preserve the physical strength of the detainees."¹¹

Concentration Camp Survivors – Merely Victims?

U.S. Army investigators, working at Buchenwald and other camps, quickly ascertained what was common knowledge among veteran inmates: that the worst offenders, the cruelest denizens of the camps were not the guards but the prisoners themselves. Common criminals of the same stripe as those who populate U.S. prisons today committed many villainies, particularly when they held positions of authority, and fanatical Communists, highly organized to combat their many political enemies among the inmates, eliminated their foes with Stalinist ruthlessness.

Two U.S. Army investigators at Buchenwald, Egon W. Fleck and Edward A. Tenenbaum, carefully investigated circumstances in the camp before its liberation. In a detailed report submitted to their superiors, they revealed, in the words of Alfred Toombs, their commander, who wrote a preface to the report, "how the prisoners themselves organized a deadly terror within the Nazi terror."¹²

Fleck and Tenenbaum described the power exercised by criminals and Communists as follows:

... The trusties, who in time became almost exclusively Communist Germans, had the power of life and death over all inmates. They could sentence a man or a group to almost certain death ... The Communist trusties were directly responsible for a large part of the brutalities at Buchenwald.

Colonel Donald B. Robinson, chief historian of the American military government in Germany, summarized the Fleck-Tenenbaum report in an article which appeared in *The American Mercury* shortly after the war. Colonel Robinson wrote succinctly of the American investigators' findings: "It appeared that the prisoners who agreed with the Communists ate; those who didn't starved to death."¹³

Additional corroboration of inmate brutality has been provided by Ellis E. Spackman, who, as Chief of Counter-Intelligence Arrests and Detentions for the Seventh U.S. Army, was involved in the liberation of Dachau. Spackman, later a professor of history at San Bernardino Valley College in California, wrote in 1966 that at Dachau "the prisoners were the actual instruments that inflicted the barbarities on their fellow prisoners."¹⁴

"Gas Chambers"

On December 9, 1944 Col. Kirk and Lt. Col. Edward J. Gully in-

50 Liberty Bell / June 1988

spected the German concentration camp at Natzweiler in Alsace. They reported their findings to their superiors at the headquarters of the U.S. 6th Army Group, which subsequently forwarded Kirk and Gully's report to the War Crimes Division. While, significantly, the full text of their report has never been published, it has been revealed, by an author supportive of Holocaust claims, that the two investigators were careful to characterize equipment exhibited to them by French informants: as a "so-called lethal gas chambers," and claim it was "allegedly used as a lethal gas chamber"¹⁵ [emphasis added].

Both the careful phraseology of the Natzweiler report, and its effective suppression, stand in stark contrast to the credulity, the confusion, and the blaring publicity which accompanied official reports of alleged gas chambers at Dachau. At first, a U.S. Army photo depicting a GI gazing mournfully at a steel door marked with a skull and crossbones and the German words for "Caution!! Gas! Mortal Danger! Don't open!" was identified as showing the murder weapon. Later, however, it was evidently decided that the apparatus in question was merely a standard delousing chamber for clothing, and another alleged gas chamber, this one cunningly disguised as a shower room, was exhibited to American congressmen and journalists as the site where thousands breathed their last. While there exist numerous reports in the press as to the operation of this second "gas chamber," no official report by trained Army investigators has yet surfaced to reconcile such problems as the function of the shower heads: Were they "dummies," or did lethal cyanide gas stream through them? (Each theory has appreciable support in journalistic and historiographical literature.)

As with Dachau, so with Buchenwald, Bergen-Belsen, and the other camps captured by the Allies. There was no end of propaganda about "gas chambers," "gas ovens," and the like, but so far not a single detailed description of the murder weapon and its function, not a single report of the kind that is mandatory for the successful prosecution of any assault or murder case in America at that time and today, has come to light.

Furthermore, a number of Holocaust authorities have now publicly decreed that there were no gassings, no extermination camps in Germany after all! All these things, we are told, were located in what is now Poland, in areas captured by the Soviet Red Army and off-limits to Western investigators. In 1960 Dr. Martin Broszat, who is now director of the Munich-based Institute for Contemporary History, which is funded by the West German government to *support* the Holocaust story, wrote a letter to the German weekly *Die Zeit* in which he stated categorically: "Neither in Dachau nor in Bergen-Belsen nor in Buchenwald were Jews or other prisoners gassed."¹⁶ Professional Nazi-hunter Simon Wiesenthal wrote in 1975 that "there were no extermination camps on German soil."¹⁷ And Dachau "gas chamber" No. 2, which was once presented to a stunned and grieving world as a weapon which claimed hundreds of thousands of lives, is now described in the brochure issued to tourists at the modern Dachau "memorial site" in these words: "This gas chamber, camouflaged as a shower room, was not used."¹⁸

The Propaganda Intensifies

More than forty years after American troops entered Dachau, Buchenwald, and the other German camps, and trained American investigators established the facts as to what had gone on in them, the government in Washington, the entertainment media in Hollywood, and the print media in New York continued to churn out millions of words and images annually on the horrors of the camps and the infamy of the Holocaust. Despite the fact that, with the exception of the defeated Confederacy, no enemy of America has ever so suffered so complete and devastating defeat as did Germany in 1945, the mass media and the politicians and bureaucrats behave as if Hitler, his troops, and his concentration camps continued to exist in an eternal present, and our opinion makers continue to distort, through ignorance or malice, the facts about the camps.

Time for the Truth

It is time that the government and the professional historians revealed the facts about Dachau, Buchenwald, and the other camps. It is time that they let the American public know how the inmates died, and how they didn't die. It is time that the claims as to mass murder by gassing were clarified and investigated in the same manner as any other claims of murder are dealt with. It is time that the free ride certain groups have enjoyed as the result of unchallenged Holocaust claims be terminated, just as it is time that other groups, including Germans, eastern Europeans, the Roman Catholic hierarchy, and the wartime leadership of America and Britain stop being scapegoated, either for their alleged rôle in the Holocaust or their supposed failure to stop it.

Above all, it is time that the citizens of this great democratic Republic have the facts about the camps, facts which they possess the right to know, a right that is fundamental to the exercise of their authority and their will in the governance of their country. As citizens and taxpayers, Americans of all ethnic backgrounds, of all faiths, have a basic right and an overriding interest in determining the facts of inci-

52 Liberty Bell / June 1988

dents which are deemed by those in positions of power to be determinative in America's foreign policy, in its educational policy, in its selection of past events to be memorialized in our civic life. The alleged facts of the Holocaust are today at issue all over the civilized world: in Germany, in France, in Italy, in Britain, in the Low Countries and Scandinavia, in Japan, across our border in Canada, and in the United States of America itself. The truth will be decided only by recourse to the facts, in the public forum: not by concealing the facts, denving the truth, stonewalling reality. The truth will out, and it is time the government of this country, and governments and international bodies throughout the world, made public and patent the evidence of what actually transpired in the German concentration camps in the years 1933-1945, so that we may put paid to the lies, without fear or favor, and carry out the work of reconciliation and renewal that is and must be the granite foundation of mutual tolerance between peoples and of a peace based on justice, rather than on guns, barbed wire, prisons, and lies.

NOTES

- 1. Crime Doctor, a biography of Larson by John D. McCallum, Mercer, Washington & Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 1979, p. 69.
- 2. Wichita Eagle, April 1, 1980, p. 4C.
- 3. Crime Doctor, p. 46.
- 4. Wichita Eagle, April 1, 1980, p. 4C.
- John E. Gordon, "Louse-Borne Typhus Fever in the European Theater of Operations, U.S. Army, 1945," in Forest Ray Moulton, Ed., Rickettsial Diseases of Man, Am. Acad. for the Advancement of Science, Washington D.C. 1948.
- 6. Toronto Star, February 8, 1985, p. A2.
- 7. New York Times, 24 September 1948, p. 3.
- 8. Interview with Lucius Clay, Official Proceeding of the George C. Marshall Research Foundation, cited in "Buchenwald: Legend and Reality," Mark Weber, The Journal of Historical Review, Vol. 7, No. 4.
- 9. International Military Tribunal, Vol. XVII, p. 556; IMT, Vol. XX, pp. 489, 438.
- 10. Cited in *The Theory and Practice of Hell*, Eugen Kogon, Berkley Books, New York, 1910, pp. 108-109.
- 11. Nuremberg document NO-1523.
- Buchenwald: A Preliminary Report, Egon W. Fleck and Edward A. Tenenbaum, U.S. Army, 12th Army Group, 24 April 1945. National Archives, Record Group 331, SHAEF, G-5, 17.11, Jacket 10, Box 151 (8929/163-8929/180).
- 13. "Communist Atrocities at Buchenwald," Donald B. Robinson, in American Mercury, October 1946.
- 14. San Bernardino Sun-Telegram, March 13, 1966 (cited in *The Man Who Invented* "Genocide," James J. Martin, Institute for Historical Review, IHR, 1984, pp. 110-111.
- 15. "Concentration Camp at Natzwiller [*sic*]," RG 331, Records of Allied Operations and Occupation, Army Headquarters WW2, SHAEF/G-5/2717, Modern Military, National Archives, Washington, D.C.
- 16. Die Zeit, Hamburg, Germany, August 26, 1960.

54 Liberty Bell / June 1988

17. Books & Bookmen, April 1975, Vol. 7, p. 5.

18. Leaflet, Memorial Site Concentration Camp Dachau, The International Dachau-Committee, Dachau, Germany, n.d.

Theodore J. O'Keefe is the editor of *The Journal of Historical Review*. Educated at Harvard, he has studied history and literature on three continents, and has published many articles on historical and political subjects.

The conclusions of the early U.S. Army investigations as to the truth about the wartime German concentration camps have since been corroborated by all subsequent investigators and can be summarized:

1. The harrowing scenes of dead and dying inmates were not the result of a German policy of "extermination," but rather the result of epidemics of typhus and other disease brought about largely by the effects of Allied aerial attacks.

2. Stories of Nazi supercriminals and sadists who turned Jews and others into handbags and lampshades for their private profit or amusement were sick lies or diseased fantasies; indeed, the German authorities consistently punished corruption and cruelty on the part of camp commanders and guards.

3. On the other hand, the representations of the newly liberated inmates to have been saints and martyrs of Hitlerism were quite often very far from the truth; indeed, most of the brutalities inflicted on camp detainees were the work of their fellow prisoners, in contravention of German policy and German orders.

4. The alleged homicidal showers and gas chambers had been used either for bathing camp inmates or delousing their clothes; the claim that they had been used to murder Jews or other human beings is a contemptible fabrication. Orthodox, Establishment historians and professional "Nazi-hunters" have quietly dropped claims that inmates were gassed at Dachau, Buchenwald, and other camps in Germany. They continue, however, to keep silent regarding the lies about Dachau and Buchenwald, as well as to evade an open discussion of the evidence for homicidal gassing at Auschwitz and the other camps captured by the Soviets.

For a sample copy of our monthly magazine, *Liberty Bell*, now in its 15th year of publication, and our complete book list, send \$4.00. Additional copies of this article may be obtained at the following prices plus postage:

10 copies: \$2.50 - 50 copies: \$10.00 100 copies: \$15.00 - 1000 copies: \$120.00 For postage add: orders under \$10.00/\$1.50; orders over \$10.00/15%. Order from: LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25260 USA

~

VICT_{by}ORY!

Harold A. Covington

It would be difficult to underestimate the importance of the verdicts rendered in Fort Smith, Arkansas earlier this month [April]. A jury composed of White, working-class Southerners was confronted with a case so obviously fabricated and so blatantly political as to insult the intelligence of a ten-year-old. They replied in a manner that is still ringing in the air-conditioned warrens of the Federal bureaucracy and the conference rooms of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith. In its own way, their reply was as loud as the shells which crashed into Fort Sumter 127 years before, almost to the day. Twelve White Southerners told the Federal government of the United States where to get off. Twelve Southerners called Uncle Sam a liar to his face. It was no coincidence that after the acquittals, the Texan Louis Beam repeated his defiance from the foot of the Confederate memorial.

For the first time in the long history of Federal persecution of White political dissent, the United States threw the rule book completely out the window. Always before, when our people were seized and tried and cast into living hell, there had always been a pretext, however thin, that they had actually done something. But now, for the first time, White men were on trial for their very beliefs, for the thoughts in their head, for their refusal to bend the knee and salute Gessler's cap. For the first time, White men were on trial because of words spoken in the privacy of their own homes or casually during telephone conversations with people who later turned out to be Federal agents. For the first time, the régime was attempting to establish a legal precedent of guilt by association, as eleven heroes of the Order were dragged from their places of torment and shoved on trial beside the three actual defendants. For the first time, the United States Constitution was openly mocked and derided by those who claim to be its guardians, in a disgraceful exhibition of hysterical hatred against White Americans and their spokesmen who refuse to suffer in silence and who will not betray their heritage or their God. The Union flag, the flag of corruption and putrefaction, the flag of President Jesse Jackson, at long last flew its true colors.

And wonder to behold, the South rendered its verdict! The South listened and looked and understood the message of the Federal

~

In the persons of twelve laboring men and women, the South saw and heard. Like the character in Shakespeare's MacBeth, the jury "....said the less, but thought the more." And on April 7th, the South reached its verdict. Acquittals all across the board, and to hell with the United States of America and the horse it rode in on! The fallen of Shiloh and Spotsylvania Court House smiled from beyond the veil.

Oh, to have been a fly on the wall in the headquarters of the Anti-Defamation League on that Thursday night! In the statements issued by the ADL leaders one can almost hear the screams of rage and the flecks of foam on their rubbery lips as they rolled on the plush carpets of their office floors in a bellowing paroxysm of hatred and fear! Where now was the power of all their money? Where were the millions of dollars spent on "Holocaust" seminars and propaganda, on draft statutes for "hate laws" which their toadies in state legislatures passed so obediently? Where now their network of spies, informers, and provocateurs? Could the unthinkable now be happening? Could the previously docile American goyim now be in revolt? They knew that on the West Bank the Palestinians were no longer afraid, and that was bad enough. Palestinian youth daily charged the Israeli machine guns, bulldozers, and flamethrowers, dying on their feet rather than live on their knees any longer. Could the American goyim in the South be losing their fear of Jewish money as well? Why wouldn't that jury in

government of the United States. The South watched with cold contempt the procession of shambling, broken, degraded rodents whom the prosecution called as witnesses, the bitter and twisted ex-wives, the paid perjurers whose lies were as thin as tissue and whose tongues seemed to fork even as they spoke. It all came out, the whole sorry story of government deception and vileness. The plea bargain deals, the coaching of witnesses, the bribery, the money changing hands, the threats and intimidation against families, the violation of every Constitutional right and guarantee, the goons in three-piece suits with badges who harassed suspicious-looking Whites in the corridors and scowled menacingly at the jury in the courtroom. On one occasion Judge Arnold, one of the few remaining jurists on the Federal bench who appears to have some inkling that the U.S. Constitution exists, called the prosecution lawyers to one side and read them the riot act in no uncertain terms. Never in all his career, he told them, had he seen such a disgraceful procession of lunatics, Liars, and obvious criminal perjurers paraded before him in a court of law. Unless the Fed cleaned up their act forthwith, he would declare a mistrial on grounds of prosecutorial incompetence.

⁵⁶ Liberty Bell / June 1988

Fort Smith do as they were told? Had the Union lawyers not explained to them where their duty lay?

. We have had few enough victories in our time, my brothers and sisters. Let us savor this one to the fullest.

But the danger is not over, and let no one among us be so foolish as to believe that it has passed. A wounded animal is always dangerous, and ZOG was badly gored at Fort Smith. Henceforth we must be doubly Prudent and well organized in our political work. Desperate people do desperate things, and Judah is now becoming desperate. This is good, because a nervous and desperate Jew makes mistakes, but it increases the danger.

The Fort Smith heresy trial is a glorious landmark in the history of our movement, and it is also a convenient watershed which we can use as a turning point. It is time—it is more than time—that the transformation of the movement to serious revolutionary politics which has already begun be completed. Much excellent work in this transmutation has already been done by leaders such as Tom Metzger, Dr. William L. Pierce, and Rick Cooper. (Significantly, the Federal prosecutors more or less admitted at Fort Smith that, once convictions were obtained there, Pierce and Metzger were next on the government's "hit list" of White dissident.) But more needs to be done. The movement needs to adopt the following measures as matters of urgency:

- Genuine security measures must be taken to weed out informers and provocateurs, including background checks, polygraph tests, and a national system of referencing. Every group must compartmentalize its operations and tighten up its act.
- The practice of street-walking must cease. There are a dozen excellent and pressing reasons for this. Street-walking by tiny handfuls of White racialists who are pelted with rocks and bottles and sometimes forced to flee by hordes of blacks, Jews, and Communists present an utterly atrocious and disastrous image of weakness, incompetence, and cowardice to the White public. Public activities, where we are mocked and spat upon by our enemies who are protected by the police from retaliation, are almost as bad. They make us look WEAK, and that above all is what we must avoid! At every White street-walk, photographers and observers from the FBI, the ADL, the Southern Poverty Law Center, and every political police in five states descends on the area. They take license numbers, names, photographs, and every one of these ends up on the central computer banks in D.C., New York, and Jerusalem. Some-

day people are going to die and disappear in the night, because some exhibitionist mini-Führer wanted to strut his stuff and scream in the streets and get his face on the six o'clock news. I think we must be the only revolutionary movement in history that has begun its activity By handing a list of our members and supporters to the enemy. If the I.R.A. in Ireland tried operating the way we do here, they'd last about a week.

Finally, we have been doing this mess for forty years now and we get no forrader. Street-walking *doesn't work*. It doesn't work because White people have seen this tactic co-opted by the blacks and the Communists and all the others that they despise. They will never respond to it in sufficient numbers to offset the drawbacks. It is stupid, it is irrational, and it is irresponsible. For Christ's sake, let's finally get the message and desist.

- Casual dealings with the media must cease. Among the first of the prosecution witnesses against the Fort Smith defendants were reporters and camera crews who were invited into the Michigan and Idaho to cover events there. We need a small number of identifiable national spokesmen and a few more on state and local levels, who will operate under agreed procedures in dealing with the media. No cowboys, no maverick mouthing off to reporters, no grandstanding or stupid publicity stunts involving guns or wild talk; none of this must be tolerated. Running mouths in front on TV cameras leads to people going to jail and tyrannical laws of oppression going on the statute books. The media are the enemy and must be treated as such.
- We must learn and practice the arts of secrecy and the political underground method. We must learn to keep our butts down and our profiles low, all the while engaging in practical and extensive political organizing. It is possible, of course, to keep too low a profile, to let security become an excuse for paranoia and inactivity. This mustn't happen either; we must start learning how to strike the balance between common sense security precautions and bona fide political work. We have to learn how to function effectively without laying ourselves open to the enemy. The "bull in the china shop" technique, the "lets-go-out-on-public-property-and-do-somethingwild-and-crazy-that will-get-us-arrested" attitude must be deepsixed.

⁵⁸ Liberty Bell / June 1988

Secrecy not only imparts security, it imbues us with *power* and psychological *strength* as well. There is nothing that rattles the enemy more than the idea that we're all out there somewhere, subverting away and preparing his doom, and he *doesn't know who we are* or what we're getting up to. The White racist who sashays down the street wearing a comic-opera outfit is a figure of ridicule. The White racist that the Jew doesn't see but who periodically makes him aware of his presence with subtle hints and subterranean activity, *that* White racist is, ten feet tall and covered with hair in Yehudi's mind. Covert activity and psychological warfare do far more harm to the enemy's peace of mind and morale than any do-se-do down a public thoroughfare with the cameras clicking.

2'Di Disagree? Your prerogative, of course. But take a good long look at our history since 1945. Do these old and outdated tactics work? Occasionally, to be sure, we've managed to get thousands into the streets and parks. Where are they now? Where are the ten thousand Whites who rallied in Marquette Park in Chicago ten years ago? Where are the thousands who briefly arose in Forsyth County, Georgia? Where are the hundreds in camouflage who marched behind Glenn Miller? What good has any of this done in the long run except target our best people for victimization? What has come of any of it except Fort Smith and ADL "model statutes"?

God has given us a great gift at Fort Smith. He returned three of our best and bravest to us, and he laid a nasty little thunderbolt on a certain office building in Silver Springs, Maryland. Let's read the message of this wonderful Providence loud and clear.

> From Confederate National Congress Bulletin P.O. Box 1321, Raleigh NC 27602 CSA

DOES THE WEST HAVE THE WILL TO SURVIVE?

¹That is the obvious question posed by Jean Raspail's terrifying novel of the swampjing of the White world by an unlimited flood of non-White "refugees." But there is also a less obvious and even more fundamental question: Must Whites find their way to a new Morality and a new spirituality in order to face the moral challenges of the present and overcome them? *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS* is the most frightening book you will ever read. It is frightening because it is utterly believable. The armada of refugee ships in Raspail's story is exactly like the one that dumped 150,000 Cubans from Fidel Castro's prisons and insane asylums on our shores in 1980 — except this time the armada is from India, with more than 70 times as large a population. And it is only the first armada of many. If any book will awaken White Americans to 'the danger they face from uncontrolled immigration, it is *THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS*. For your copy (Order No. 3014) send \$9.00 (which includes \$1.00 for postage and handling) to:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA.

60 Liberty Bell / June 1988

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: Our fight is Your fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular-monthly or quarterly-basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense-and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and-most importantlyour reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$.... for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!