

ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive

defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

376 pp., pb.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

Liberty Bell

ISSN: 0145 - 7667

SINGLE COPY \$3.00

ISRAEL'S PRESIDENT

by Foreign Correspondent Jim Taylor
page 19

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

POSTSCRIPTS BY PROFESSOR REVILO P. OLIVER: Everything Normal, page 1; Spooning Pays, page 4; Your Taskmasters, page 5; Right Because He Is Wrong, page 10; There and Back, page 16. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, page 18. ALLAN CALLAHAN: The English Language Amendment, page 48; The Feminist Movement in Classical Times, page 49. DR. CHARLES E. WEBER, Book Review: *Verschworung und Vertrat um Hitler*, by Generalmajor Otto Ernst Remer, page 52. ON THE WORD "HOLOCAUST," page 59.

VOL. 14 - NO. 10

JUNE 1987

Voice Of Thinking Americans

LIBERTY BELL

The magazine for *Thinking Americans*, is published monthly by Liberty Bell Publications, George P. Dietz, Editor. Editorial Offices: P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA – Phone: 304-927-4486.

Manuscripts conforming to our editorial policy are always welcome, however, they cannot be returned unless accompanied by stamped, self-addressed envelope. Manuscripts accepted for publication become the property of Liberty Bell Publications.

COPYRIGHT 1983

by Liberty Bell Publications

Permission granted to quote in whole or part any article except those subject to author's Copyright. Proper source credit and address should be given.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

SAMPLE COPY with several reprints	\$ 3.00
THIRD CLASS – U.S.A. only	\$25.00
FIRST CLASS – U.S.A.-Canada-Mexico only	\$32.00
FIRST CLASS – All foreign countries	\$35.00

AIR MAIL – Europe-South America	\$45.00
Middle East-Far East-So. Africa	\$49.00
Sample Copy	\$ 4.00

BULK COPIES FOR DISTRIBUTION:

10 copies	\$ 18.00
50 copies	\$ 65.00
100 copies	\$110.00
500 copies	\$400.00
1000 copies	\$700.00

These prices apply only to our standard 52-page editions.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH—FREEDOM OF THOUGHT FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

The editor-publisher of *Liberty Bell* does not necessarily agree with each and every article in this magazine, nor does he subscribe to all conclusions arrived at by various writers; however, he does endeavor to permit the exposure of ideas suppressed by the controlled news media of this country.

It is, therefore, in the best tradition of America and of free men everywhere that *Liberty Bell* strives to give free reign to ideas, for ultimately it is ideas which rule the world and determine both the content and structure of culture.

We believe that we can and will change our society for the better. We declare our long-held view that no institution or government created by men, for men, is inviolable, incorruptible, and not subject to evolution, change or replacement by the will of the people.

To this we dedicate our lives and our work. No effort will be spared and no idea will be allowed to go unexpressed if we think it will benefit the *Thinking People*, not only of America, but the entire world.

George P. Dietz, Editor & Publisher

POSTSCRIPTS

by
Revalo P. Oliver

EVERYTHING NORMAL

In the February issue of *Liberty Bell*, Dr. Charles E. Weber commented on the conduct of the American Association of Teachers of German, who devote part of their publication to teaching the teachers of the German language what swine the German people are and why they must crawl in humble penance to the feet of God's Race, whom they so grievously offended by their impious attempt to have a country of their own, instead of appreciating the blessings of life under Jewish ownership. The Association not only publishes viciously anti-German propaganda but insultingly refused to publish a paid advertisement for a book that exposed the Jews' preposterous Holofoax.

Dr. Weber considers the masochistic behavior of the teachers of German strange and ironic. But it was only what was to be expected.

The German-American National Political Action Committee was founded by Mr. Hans Schmidt, who reckons that there are fifty-two million Americans of German descent, and who sees that if half of those ethnic Germans could be inspired with only ten percent of the racial consciousness and loyalty of the Jews, they could quickly recover possession of this country for Aryans, despite all the terrorism that the occupation government in Washington is exercising ever more openly.

The Steuben Society is one of the oldest, and considers itself the most select, of the associations of Germans in this country. It is named, of course, for Baron von Steuben, who had been a distinguished officer in the army of Frederick the Great, and who, coming to the insurgent colonies in North America, made an army out of the rabble that George Washington had under his command. It is probable that Baron von Steuben contributed more than did any other one man to the eventual independence of the colonies and the foundation of the United States.

Mr. Schmidt was astonished when the Steuben Society at its annual meeting in 1986 had, as its featured speaker, a Jew from the Defamation League to spread the gospel of the Jewish

weasel, who was recently awarded a Nobel Prize, evidently for his declaration that Jews must always hate Germans. In a recent bulletin Mr. Schmidt reported that the Steuben Society is, like all organizations that elect honorary officers every year, run by its permanent secretariat, who, employed in that office continuously for many years, naturally instruct the annual officers and teach them what is to be done, and as naturally determine the organization's policies and usually decide who should be given the honorary offices each year.

Mr. Schmidt further reported that he investigated and discovered that the Steuben Society was run by its executive officer, the National Secretary, a Jewess who leaped into the United States in the late 1930s. Mr. Schmidt was surprised that a patriotic society of Germans was controlled by a member of the race that implacably hates Germans.

Mr. Schmidt was surprised by what he found. But it was only what was to be expected.

When God's Chosen Pirates invade a nation, they, with the instinct that makes wolves hamstring the caribou they intend to devour, immediately infiltrate and capture the Aryan institutions and organizations that might enable their destined victims to resist them, and even after they own all the channels of communication in the doomed nation, they remain vigilant and police their Aryan subjects. You could not organize a national pinochle club and enlist a substantial membership without having some Jew, perhaps disguised as a White man or woman, exhibit great zeal for pinochle and aid you with prudent advice and, of course, a stack of the dirtied paper that the Jews have now taught the boobs to use in place of money. And you would eventually discover that you had become just a dispensable ornament, a supernumerary in the club you had organized.

That, of course, is what often makes it so difficult to judge with certainty the morality of some ostensibly patriotic "leaders." One cannot be sure whether Robert the Welcher secretly founded the Birch Society as a diversionary enterprise to enlist and demoralize the Americans who in 1958 might conceivably have recovered possession of their country, or, instead, really began with the purposes he professed and unwarily accepted financial and political guidance from Jews who simulated devotion to his purposes until they had established ascendancy over him and began to tell their *goy* figurehead what he must do.

The Master Race, to whom, as all good Christians know from their reading of their Jew-Book, Yahweh promised domination over the earth, is ubiquitous and zealous, helping Yahweh carry out his repeated promise (e.g., *Isaiah*, 60.12) to destroy all nations that are not content humbly to serve his Chosen Predators.

Mr. Schmidt's surprise reminded me of a letter I saw some years ago in *Instauration*. I found it in the issue for December 1979. Here it is:

The writer of a letter in your August issue estimates the number of Jews in our country as "twenty million or more." He is probably right. I grew up in the 1930s in a small town in Minnesota that had a population of four to five thousand. When I went east to a graduate school, one of my professors was an amiable Jewish scholar, whom I came to know fairly well. In a conversation one day I remarked that I had spent my childhood in a town in which there were no Jews. He smiled patronizingly. "They were there," he said, "but you didn't know it."

Of course they were there, keeping watch on one of the herds in their new Promised Land. If the writer of the letter was unaware of the presence of an alien race, its soldiers were probably disguised as Scandinavians or Germans, whichever ethnic group formed the majority. That small town would have called for no considerable diversion of manpower. One of the holy men who dished out God's Word in the local churches and one or two prosperous business men would have sufficed for total control. So small a town probably had no newspaper, so its unsuspecting inhabitants probably took their news from the daily scandal sheet in a nearby large town or city, which Jews either owned or controlled through its advertising and financial structure. And when that polluted news was endorsed by respected and influential "citizens" of the little town, its unfortunate inhabitants knew what to think. Yahweh has taught his own to be thorough and leave nothing to chance.

I wonder how many of the estimated fifty-two million Germans will be disturbed by the findings of Dr. Weber and Mr. Schmidt. Will many be surprised by what they must be accustomed to regard as proper and only what should be expected? After all, most of them grew up reading the Jew-Book and believing the tales in it, although oddly refusing to have faith in Grimm's much more attractive fairy tales or the more edify-

ing Arabian Nights. They want to be righteous, love everyone, and adore their God-given masters. They want to be so stupid they can't discriminate between their race and its foes. Joshua Barnes' famous dictum can be improved by revision: Whom the Jews would destroy, they first make Christian.

* * *

SPOONING PAYS

You surely remember Uri Geller, the marvellous Jew-boy with an Einsteinian brain, which cerebrated so dynamically that he could bend spoons by just thinking about them. His phenomenal powers, scientifically called "psychokinesis" and scientifically tested and certified by the great scientists of the Stanford Research Institute, were exhibited to many suckers, for their spiritual edification and financial depletion, until the trick was exposed by James Randi, a professional magician, whose powers were far greater than Uri's.

Since the exposure of their gullibility, nothing has been heard of the experts in electronics and bioengineering at Stanford. It is likely they escaped embarrassment by going on a polar expedition and using their scientific gear to locate the factory in which Santa Claus makes toys for good boys and girls.

After Mr. Randi's devastating visit to Palo Alto, psychokinetic Uri faded, like Alice's Cheshire cat, from view in the United States, and you may sometimes have wondered, as I did, what became of him.

The Sunday edition of the (London) *Mail*, 19 October 1986, informs us that Geller has decided to adorn England with his presence, supercharged brain and all, and has just purchased a home overlooking the Thames, a mansion for which he paid 1,000,000 pound sterling.

Uri says he has netted 25,000,000 pounds out of bending spoons and using his big mind's transcendental powers as a "psychic." He also says that he is kept on retainer by "multi-national oil companies," who thus reserve the right to consult him at need. His minimum fee for a consultation, it would appear, is 18,000 pounds. The corporations managed by morons were not named.

The *Mail on Sunday*, by the way, has openly called Geller a fraud and offered to pay 20,000 pounds for just one demonstration of a psychic power in conditions that preclude the usual

cheating. The newspaper, needless to say, does not run the slightest risk of adding its mite to Uri's Solomonic income.

The next time anyone quotes the old chestnut about honesty being the best policy, even in a "democracy," you may point to the great spoon-bender in his million-pound mansion, where he is doubtless now sitting happily, looking out over the Thames, chortling over his twenty-five million pounds in the bank, and rejoicing over the infantile credulity of *goyim*.

* * *

YOUR TASKMASTERS

In *America's Decline*, pp. 263-280, I gave an account of a pseudo-historical novel about Marcus Tullius Cicero written as "conservative" propaganda by Mrs. Marcus Reback ("Taylor Caldwell"). *A Pillar of Iron* is written with great literary skill and vividly tells a story that will capture the attention and sympathy of all readers who know so little about Cicero and the last days of the Roman Republic that they are not offended by the many and gross anachronisms. Not a few such readers have even been taken in by the authoress's pretense that she was quoting Cicero's own words in the statements she attributes to him in her often wildly imaginative fiction.

Mrs. Reback's preface, in which she claims to have done years of research and found historical sources that are now lost or never existed, is merely a hoax, of course, of a kind in which quite a few writers have more innocently and learnedly indulged.¹ But she has made mischief, and one not infrequently sees some of her most preposterous inventions quoted as statements actually made by Cicero.

1. For example, the title-page of Francis Glass's *Vita Georgii Washingtonii* (posthumously published, 1835; reprinted, George Washington University, 1976) bears, in somewhat overwrought Ciceronian Latin, a passage that is identified as "Ciceronis fragm. xv, ed. Mail, p. 52." In it, Cicero quotes from the Sibylline Books a prophecy that clearly portends the military and political achievements of George Washington, many centuries later, and the birth of a new nation in a *tellus ingens atque opulenta* that was to be discovered across the Atlantic; Cicero even says that Accius alluded to the prophecy in his tragedy, *Nyctegresia* (now lost). It seems that the passage, which is the epigraph of the printed volume, was composed for it as an amusing *jeu d'esprit* by the learned Professor Charles Anthon, who intended only to entertain scholars, and although some well-educated readers probably were deceived at first by the adroit fabrication, they would have seen that it was a hoax as soon as they found that there was no such Fragment 15 on p. 52 or any other page of the then famous Cardinal Mai's editions of the fragmentary works of Cicero that he was the first to discover in palimpsests and publish. Educated readers, I say, might have been taken in by the hoax at first, because there really is a "prophecy" of the discovery of land beyond

I am not infrequently asked for the precise source of something Cicero could not conceivably have said, but which is innocently attributed to Cicero by some man who thought he was using a translation by Mrs. Reback. There are quite a few such spurious quotations now in circulation.

I have just been asked about an eloquent denunciation of bureaucracy as it now exists in the United States and all nations that have become diseased with "social welfare." Not only did Cicero never say anything of the sort: he could not have understood it. I amused myself for quite some time by trying to translate the supposed quotation into a statement in Latin that would have conveyed its meaning to Cicero. Even using the rather distant analogy of Ptolemaic Egypt as a point of departure that would have been familiar to Cicero, I could not express the idea in less than a typewritten page. Bureaucracy, you see, was an affliction of which the Roman Republic was happily ignorant.

In the Republic, men who held political office were personally responsible for all decisions they made, and their assistants were their own servants, slaves or *liberti* (slaves they had emancipated). It was assumed that a man's servants acted only on his orders, and when he left office he naturally took them with him, and his successor brought his own crew from his own household. There were few civil offices, and the Urban Praetor, for example, during his year of administering the domestic affairs of Rome, had to make all decisions in person, assisted only by secretaries who wrote to his dictation, scribes who produced fair copies of his decrees, and bookkeepers who kept accounts, all of whom were the servants he brought with him when he took office and, of course, took with him when he left.

Under the Empire, Republican terminology and forms were embalmed and carefully preserved as much as possible, so that thoughtless Romans of any generation perceived no change, except perhaps some minor "improvements," much as many Americans today imagine that they are living under a government established by the Constitution, just because they are still permitted to go through the vain exercise of casting votes for men

the Ocean in one of the tragedies of Seneca, and Anthon doubtless counted on that to aid his facetious mystification. Mrs. Reback, however, must have been well aware that many of her readers knew no more Latin than she did, and would be deceived by a solemn hoax that she perpetrated, not for learned amusement, but as propaganda for the Jews and the John Birch Society. That goes far beyond the limits of literary playfulness, and I am unwilling to forgive her.

men who will be called Presidents, Congressmen, and the like. It was a long time, therefore, before there appeared anything that can properly be called a bureaucracy in Roman government.

This must be distinguished, of course, from other forms of political corruption. Claudius, for example, was ruled by his *liberti*, who thus became enormously powerful and wealthy and were slavishly courted by real Romans whose greed overcame their self-respect. But that was because Claudius, although intelligent and even a scholar in his youth, was physically, mentally, and temperamentally unfit for the imperial rule that was thrust upon him by a mob of rioters who were "democratically" determined to prevent restoration of the Republic. Everyone remembers the opening of Book XII of the *Annales* of Tacitus, where the three great freedmen confer to decide whom they will have the old dunderhead marry after the death of Messalina, each naturally trying to overreach the other two by installing his own candidate as the next Empress, but all taking it for granted that the vain old numbskull they manage will do whatever they want.

One should not press the American analogy that comes to mind. Almost everyone has heard the quip that when President Wilson asked Mrs. Galt to marry him, she was so surprised she almost fell out of the bed. And quite a few know, from the memoirs of Colonel Sperling, that before Wilson could surprise the lady, he had to make a special trip to New York City to obtain permission from his supervisor, "Colonel" House. Now when the bogus colonel (his title was given him by the Governor of Texas for cooperation in political looting) gave permission for the marriage, he was acting as the agent of "Barney" Baruch, the Jewish satrap who had trained Wilson for the Presidency and whose fellow aliens had procured his election.

Claudius's *liberti* were not comparable to House. So far as we can tell or have any grounds for conjecture, the three aliens (race uncertain) who had been manumitted and "naturalized" (!) as Romans, Callistus, Narcissus, and Pallas, manipulated an incompetent man, who had grown gross and stupid, for their own personal profit and not as agents of any conspiracy. That is a fundamental difference.

A real bureaucracy was not fully developed in Rome until the debased and demoralized inhabitants of the Empire, of whom only a very few had even drops of Roman blood in their veins, had forgotten the Republic, except as an item of ancient

history mentioned in the schools, and knew that they were the subjects of a *Dominus et Deus* whose rule was absolute until he was assassinated and replaced by a new Lord and God. It was in that decadent society, of course, that the Christian plague became epidemic and eventually pandemic.

Rome did eventually develop a full-fledged bureaucracy, which served as a model for bureaucracy as it developed in the modern world, and which naturally presents some very striking analogies to the machine of rule under which we now suffer, and also a few significant contrasts. If you are interested, the only study of that Roman bureaucracy of which I know is by Dr. K. L. Noethlich, *Beamtenum und Dienstvergehen: zur Staatsverwaltung in der Spätantike* (Wiesbaden, 1981). I am sure there is no English translation of this work, and I know of no comparable study in English or, for that matter, in French, Italian, or Spanish. (I need not remind you that there may well be such a work, perhaps stimulated by the one in German, of which I have not seen a notice in the very limited time I can now devote to the learned periodicals.)

The subject is an intricate and difficult one, for while we know that there was a vast bureaucracy in the last two centuries of the doomed Empire, the many extant inscriptions which give the titles of bureaucrats do not define their functions; the histories, by late and decadent writers, are usually ambiguous in their few references to specific duties of bureaucrats; and Dr. Noethlich had to rely principally on imperial legislation, preserved in the great collections of late Roman law, which was designed to correct or prevent abuses of power by bureaucrats and to punish provable corruption. He was thus able to draw a fairly complete and satisfactory picture of Roman bureaucracy in the late Empire.

He begins his study in 313, when Constantine's lamentable victory over Maxentius opened the road to absolute power for the ruthless *dominus* who, ironically, is best known today for having first made use of the seditious Christian rabble to destroy his rivals and consolidate his personal authority, for which he was rewarded by having his reputation perfumed by some of the most brazen liars among the Fathers of the Church. It was Constantine's reorganization of the state and its finances that brought the bureaucracy to its full development, and there was little substantive change before 468, the date at which Dr. Noethlich ends his study, when Roman administration was largely disrupted by the convulsions that

preceded the formal end of the Roman Empire in the West with the deposition of Romulus Augustulus in 476.

I cannot summarize here the intricate bureaucracy that is so well described by the German author, much less criticize his work, which I am not competent to do, although I will remark that he may not sufficiently allow for the habit of great malefactors in government to shift guilt to the subordinates who carried out their orders. (If, after six years, you are finally caught in obeying secret Jewish orders secretly to supply Iran with all the munitions of war, look astonished and blame Colonel North!) I am not at all sure that the several Roman Emperors who deplore the acts of one or another bureaucrat were all *much* more honest than American Presidents.

I learned much from this book, but little that astonished me. One detail for which I was not prepared was the practice of the late Roman emperors in designating the service of their chief bureaucrats as *militia*. In other words, the work of civil administrators was assimilated to service in the army, which, by that time, was a force of mercenaries, chiefly barbarians who were recruited outside the Empire and rewarded with "Roman" citizenship when they were retired at the completion of their service. Their allegiance was only to the Emperor, whom they obeyed without question or compunction so long as they did not sell him out to a rival who promised better wages.

Although the army did defend the frontiers of the Empire against foreign nations (except, of course, the international nation of Jews, who were snugly ensconced in the Empire and naturally battering on it), the army was always potentially and often actively the enemy of the citizens who were taxed to pay it. If the great engine of oppression that the Empire had perforce become had not had the mercenary army at its orders, it could not have endured for a fortnight.

The great engine of oppression also depended on the *militia* of the bureaucrats, who were in fact a vast corps of mercenaries, and, although recruited from the citizen population, were equally at the orders of their master, to whom they gave allegiance, since, in that "cosmopolitan" age, there was no longer a true nation with a racially homogeneous population and hence no possibility of patriotism, which is allegiance to such a nation. There was, of course, an endless babbling of vapid talk about "serving the people" and the rest of the drivel that is used to conceal governmental realities, but the bureaucrats were, like the soldiers, the enemies of the citizens,

whose taskmasters they were and from whom they extorted taxes, other services, and ovine obedience to "regulations."

Bureaucracy in the decaying "Roman" Empire was, in all essentials, what it is in the Soviet Union and what it has become in the United States, a *militia*, a huge and ever more numerous army of mercenaries, armed with "regulations" and laws and, whenever they wish, with the weapons of the police forces they control, owing allegiance only to their employers, the masters of the vast engine of taxation and oppression they serve ruthlessly and without compunction. They are your taskmasters and, in fact, your enemies. You do not pay them; they are paid with the *ersatz*-money they extort from you at the behest of their commanders. They may be Americans in the sense that they were born in the United States and many are even Americans by race, but they cannot have a loyalty to a nation that committed suicide and no longer exists.

Some old-fashioned Americans do not like to cringe before their bureaucratic herdsmen, but I do not know what they can do, except belatedly regret that they listened to the holy men and malicious "do-gooders" who persuaded them to exchange their nation for a multi-racial cesspool and to damn their children to "democratic" degradation and equality in mindless slavery to their implacable enemies' hirelings.

* * *

RIGHT BECAUSE HE'S WRONG

No Federal judge, unknown outside his district, attained national fame overnight before Brevard Hand of the District Court in Mobile handed down a decision forbidding the use of some fifty textbooks in the public schools because they, in violation of the First Amendment, taught "secular humanism," which the judge identified as a religion. The Jesus-boys are singing hosannahs to him, and "Liberals" are behaving like Jews when they mention Hitler at their Wailing Wall.

I do not know when Mr. Hand was raised to his bench, and so I cannot say whether or not he may be one of the judges whose appointment was secured, according to Mr. Sutphen, through clandestine intrigue by the gang of shamans and their dupes called the "Moral Majority." (See *Liberty Bell*, September 1986, p. 13.) It doesn't matter, anyway.

From Mr. Hand's decision it is obvious that he was high on Jesus-juice. He was really working off his resentment of the su-

perior court's reversal of his earlier decision that moppets in the public schools should be taught that "God is great; God is good; we thank Him for our daily food." It is not clear, however, precisely what the sapient judge meant by those three phrases.

The first of those phrases is a translation of the Moslem cry, *Aláhu akbar*, but Mr. Hand probably meant it to apply to some Christian *rafacimento* of Yahweh. 'God,'¹ except in the Christians' verbal trickery, is a common noun denoting one of a class of real or imaginary beings, i.e., a god, but even so the phrase is a tautology, since a god, if one exists, is by definition great in comparison with human beings, just as the giant Ymir, if he existed, must have been very great indeed.

The statement that the unnamed god "is good" should have given pause to Mr. Hand, since it certainly cannot apply to the vicious deity whose petty spite, insane caprices, and bloody crimes are narrated in the "Old Testament." But what god can he have had in mind?

As for a deity who "gives us our daily bread," Mr. Hand's phrase has the gender wrong. It must be a goddess. Gaia (Ge), the earth, is always female; so is Demeter, the fructifying force in vegetation; so is Cybele (Kybebe), who presides over fertility and hence over the animals whose flesh we eat. No, if we are to imagine supernatural beings who provide us with our meals, we should remember that that is always woman's work.

The three phrases together affirm the existence of superhuman beings for which there is no factual evidence, since nothing can be created out of verbiage and hot air. And it is obvious that Mr. Hand wishes there were some legal way to have children's minds filled with Jesus-jargon. But that does not mean that his decision was wrong.

The farraginous doctrine inculcated upon the minds of helpless children in the public schools does give lip service to the theory of biological evolution, which is the only rational explanation of the existence of the innumerable species of organic life on earth, but only lip service, after which the schools irrationally deny its implications. But nevertheless Hand and the rest of the godly folk want to run in the irrational hokum called "creation science" and to make moppets' eyes grow round as they hear the wondrous tale of how the lazy old Jew-God

1. Norse, *goth*; Latin, *deus*; Greek, *theos*; Sanskrit, *deva*; Old Persian, *baga*; Sumerian, *en*; Babylonian, *el*; Hebrew, 'el; Arabic, *iláh*; etc.

ribbed his Adam instead of mixing up another mud pie to create a female.

What they also want is "prayer in the schools," in which, they say with a great and hypocritical show of legality, they intend to leave every brat free to address the spook of his choice. They should take warning from what happened in British Columbia, according to the *Peninsula Daily News*, 3 April 1987.

It seems that the legislature of the province wasted some of the taxpayers' money by fitting up a room in their building for anyone who felt an itch to pray in public. The room filled up with many varieties of neurotics and crack-pots. There were people who staged fits of lomania and uttered "charismatic" animal cries. Others howled out what they thought were holy chanties. Feminists addressed in shrill and probably scolding tones a Big Mom up in the clouds, to the pointed exclusion of a Big Daddy, so the patriarchally-minded tried to outpray them, insisting that the Big Spook was male. (How else could he have 'knocked up' a virgin?) Imitation Hindus intoned mantras they probably couldn't pronounce. And there was even a genuine witch with an historic Irish name, who invoked some god, perhaps Mannanan or his son, Lugh.²

The chief shaman of the holy crew called "Prayer Canada," who had lobbied for the foolishness, tried to close the joint, but the godly exhibitionists told him to shut up: a god had taken charge; the god was named Jesus according to some, while others wanted to find "Jesus's baby sister." When he was howled down by the more pious folk, the man from "Prayer Canada" called the cops, but, if I understand rightly the ambiguous news item, the people talking to or yelling at their respective super-ghosts refused to be intimidated, and the cops refused to throw them out bodily. So the man of prayer had to put his hands over his ears and cower in a corner of his disorderly house until the religious fervor was exhausted and the votaries staggered away to count their blessings when they got home—or perhaps to black eyes when they got out in the street.

2. Readers who are not familiar with the Celtic mythology of Ireland may wish to know that Lugh was one of the very many gods throughout the world who became incarnate as a mortal for a time. He, however, did not traipse around the back country to stir up the peasantry and end by being crucified. Accomplished in all the arts, he was also a great warrior and the hero of the Tuatha De Danann, whom he led to many victories before he shed his mortal raiment and returned to his divine father in the sea. He had no morbid aversion from women and so left a son to carry on his work.

The promoter of prayer seems to have locked up the dive when it was empty, and told the press he didn't know what he was going to do—he evidently didn't think of praying for help himself. As a group called "Militant for Christ" observe in their current bulletin, professional holy men, like the vendors of snake oil, never think of using themselves the nostrum they peddle to others.

The "Moral Majority" should take to heart the lesson of the Canadian attempt to sneak in a dose of non-sectarian religion at the taxpayers' expense. God-fearing or god-loving folk are apt to become (literally) enthusiastic about their divergent cults, and starting them on prayers is a good way to start a riot.

The vagaries of the heavenly-minded are faintly amusing, but do not alter the fact that Judge Hand was right in the essentials of his decision. What is taught in the public schools is a religion. The "educators" claim to impart scientific knowledge is deceptive propaganda. They pretend to teach biological evolution, but they strenuously deny its necessary consequences, and they do so on religious grounds.

The schools trample on the malleable minds of children to inculcate superstitions about "all mankind" (a term like "all ungulates" or "all Felidae," but one to which they give a mystical meaning, charging it with a praeternatural significance), "One World" (distorting the obvious fact there is only one globe to make it seem a moral imperative ordained by some supernatural power), "human rights" (which, of course, do not exist in nature and so presumably were bestowed by some god), pacifism (which is suicidal unless there is some celestial power that defends nations too cowardly to defend themselves), and, above all, the poisonous hallucination about the equality of all anthropoids (which necessarily implies that some god stopped biological evolution a hundred thousand years ago).

The mere failure to name specifically the god who is supposed to have wrought all those marvellous cancellations of natural law does not prevent the cult which teaches such superstitions from being a religion like all others. It affirms the truth of myths for which there is no sanction or evidence in the world of reality. And the sheer impudence of the fraudulent pretense that the myths are facts ascertained by objective science makes the "Liberal" religion the most pernicious of all.

Judge Hand, whatever his motives, was certainly right in ruling that the inculcation of such a religion is an open viola-

tion of the First Amendment, a part of the Constitution that has not yet been officially abrogated.

The source of the "Liberal" superstitions is obvious to anyone who will think about them for a moment. "Human rights" must be the rights which Yahweh, according to the "Old Testament," bestowed on his little tribe of predators, to the exclusion, of course, of all the races which he ordained to be their prey; Christians who neglected to read their Bible are often astonished when they learn that the Babylonian Talmud specifically states that only Jews are human, while all other races are mere animals, like pigs and dogs. "All mankind" is patently the absurd notion that theologians fabricated from scattered passages in the "New Testament" from which they argued that when Yahweh lost his temper because his Chosen had crucified his son, he extended the privileges he had theretofore bestowed only on the Jews to every anthropoid, regardless of race, that was able to believe the tall tales about the crucified christ and was soused in holy water. "One World" is the world which Yahweh gave to the Jews to exploit.³ Pacifism is obviously the doctrine that theologians concocted from talk by the itinerant rabble-rouser they call the "Prince of Peace," although, according to their selected gospels, he specifically declared that he brought "not peace, but a sword," and according to their favorite Apocalypse, he is going to drench the earth in blood and atrociously slaughter the entire population of the world, except his chosen coterie of 144,000 homosexual Jews. And Equality is merely that agitator's appeal to proletarian malice by promising that the rich and the learned will be tortured forever *post mortem*, and, according to his own Apocalypse, that when his pets pop out their graves, they will all be of the same sex, the same age, and the same physique, as alike as the bees from a hive.

3. The injunction that "the gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all the world" probably comes from some christ who wanted to inform all the colonies of Jews, scattered throughout the world wherever parasites could prosper, that Yahweh said the time had come to put the accursed Aryans in their place. Such preaching of the gospel must have preceded the great Jewish attempt to destroy civilization in A.D. 117, which, as the eminent Jewish historian, Heinrich Graetz, boasted, must have been the work of a carefully planned and directed conspiracy (see his *Geschichte der Juden*, 4. Auflage, Leipzig, 1908, Vol. IV, p. 113: "Die Juden...verbreiteten den Aufstand über einen großen Teil des römischen Reiches.... Eine solche Einmütigkeit setzt einen wohlberechneten Plan und kräftigen Führer voraus.") The Jews struck at the strategic moment, when Roman resources were stretched to the limit, and their worldwide strategy was obviously directed by commanders far more important than the itinerant plebeian who wanted to become a christ by rousing the rabble without

The development of the "Liberal" cult is historically certain. When the *sanglants abrutis* of the French Revolution, as Léon Daudet so aptly termed them, wanted to destroy the churches, which at that time had been made compatible with European civilization, they naturally pretended to alter the religion only by eliminating the Biblical nonsense and promising to carry out the more fantastic parts of its program. They would give the world *real* equality (which old Jesus had promised) to replace the hierarchical structure of contemporary society, etc., carrying out all the Christian doctrines while denying the myths from which those doctrines had been derived. How much of the revolutionary propaganda was concocted to win converts and how much was sincerely believed are questions that must be asked for each individual revolutionary. It is possible for ideologues to overheat their brains and actually believe in a Christianity deprived of its supernatural foundations.

The belief of most educated men at this time, when many natural processes remained unelucidated, was a deism which was based on Graeco-Roman Stoicism (from which, incidentally, the Jews got the idea of making their religion a monotheism), but in the ambience of a culture saturated with Christian 'ideals,' the Stoicism was contaminated with a residue of the superstition it was to supersede. As I noted in *'Populism' and 'Elitism,'* even Thomas Jefferson, when he assembled edifying excerpts from the "New Testament," included the Drivel on the Mount, with its injunction to stop thinking and have bird-brains, taking no thought for the morrow!

The christless Christianity was systematized by a cunning Jew, Mordecai, alias Karl Marx, whose Reformation has, for all practical purposes, superseded the earlier reformations by Luther, Calvin, et al. It comes in three superficially different packages, videlicet: (1) orthodox Communism, (2) the cult of "Liberals," who shrink from identifying themselves with the mass-massacres and blood-thirsty sadism of the Bolsheviks in Russia, which John Maynard Keynes attributed to the "beastliness of the Jewish and Slavic natures," and (3) the great majority of Christian churches today, from Catholic to Methodist, in which the shamans decorate their Marxism by shaking streamlined fetishes and making their modernized

the sanction and indispensable support of the prudent Prince (*Resch Golah*, 'exilarch') in Babylon, who, according to Graetz, had authority over all the Jews in the Empire.

Jesus a merely human precursor of Marx. Only the "fundamentalists" and the Mormons have retained anything of the Christianity of the Nineteenth Century.

It is a nice irony that the rampant Christers' complaints about the schools are merely sectarian squabbles within their own religion. To "fundamentalists" the doctrines of the Marxian Reformation understandably seem as un-Christian as Calvinism seemed to Roman Catholics or the Mormon sect seemed to Calvinists. I have received swatches of cuttings from the religious press about Hand's decision, but the simple truth was simply stated by the *St. Louis Review*, 13 March 1987, which pointed out that the schools could not "adequately teach the cherished American doctrine of the equality of all people" without "reference to God who created us in His image and likeness."

Of course, they can't! The notion is contrary to all human experience and to observed reality; it is intellectually on a par with a delusion that a sliver of stale bread and a mouthful of cheap wine are the flesh and blood of a god and are impregnated with a mana that can be absorbed by the cannibals who devour them.⁴ One can believe in the equality of men and races only on the basis of Tertullian's *certum est quia absurdum est; credo quia impossibile est*. And that is madness. It is an arrogant denial of reason and reality; it is the insane fanaticism of a mind diseased with hatred of civilization. It is the faith of a nation bent on suicide; it is the death-wish of a race that has lost the will to live.

* * *

THERE AND BACK

There is a great value in books by men who, like Tolkien's diminutive hero, have "been there and back." One of the most potent antidotes to modern superstitions is William G. Simpson's *Which Way, Western Man?* (available from Liberty Bell Publications).⁵ Mr Simpson grew up with an implanted

4. One major motive of cannibals in many tribes is a determination to absorb the admired qualities of their victims. One remembers the example set some years ago by the chieftains of one of the nigger "emerging nations" in Africa: they so admired the intelligence of their "ambassador" to the "United Nations" (probably a half-breed) that they roasted and ate him, hoping thus to absorb his brain-power.

5. For a review of Mr. Simpson's book, see my *America's Decline*, pp. 355-367. (Available from Liberty Bell Publications.)

faith in the tales of the "New Testament," and, unlike most Christians who are content with a sentimental befuddlement, he had a faith so strong that he tried to live according to the grotesque gospels of the Jewish christ named Jesus. His practical experience of the doctrine enabled him to recover from it, and, unlike most ex-Christians, he had an intelligence so lucid that he emancipated himself from all varieties of the superstition, including the Marxist and "Liberal" cults, which are only superficially disguised versions of the Judaeo-Christian hoax.

A remotely comparable book, which may have some value for one class of readers, has been published in Buffalo by Prometheus Books, *Salvation for Sale*, by Gerard T. Straub (\$19.95 + \$2.00). Mr. Straub, who was, in the theatrical sense of the word, the producer of one of "Pat" Robertson's razzle-dazzle shows on the Jews' boob-tubes, claims to have fallen for the "fundamentalist" hokum and actually believed the boob-bait he purveyed to the stupefied audiences. Having recovered from his hypnotic trance, he now discloses many of the sordid details of the evangelist's operations.

Addicts of the particular brand of spiritual narcotic dispensed by Robertson may be helped to break the habit by Mr. Staub's exposé. If you are making a comparative study of the fifteen or twenty leading gospel-hucksters who are competing for fools' gold, you will be interested in the description of Robertson's own variations on the basic evangelical technique that is used by all rabble-rousing con men and must be drearily familiar to every alert observer of the electronic racket.

Although Robertson is near the top in the highly lucrative business of milking suckers, he seems to have larger ideas than the dairy men with whom he competes. He is certainly a nuisance and may be a danger. Mr. Straub credits him with an ambition to mobilize his dupes for a Judaeo-Christian *coup d'état*.

This book is not really comparable to Mr. Simpson's admirable work. Its author's recovery from religious fever is sadly incomplete. He no longer believes in the "fundamentalist" brand of holy hokum, but he has replaced it with an equally blind faith in "One World," "All Mankind," "Democracy," "Equality," and the rest of the Jesus-dope as it is now repackaged and relabeled for gullible "Liberal intellectuals." □

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

A letter from Germany: GROWING RESISTANCE IN BERLIN

The decline and misery of our German nation one may experience first hand in the *Reichshauptstadt*. On 13 August 1986 the 25th anniversary of the *Mauer* [Berlin Wall] was celebrated as an "antifascist bulwark of peace" by the Communists who arranged military parades on that occasion. On the western side, the *Demokraten* made the usual tittle-tattle speeches assuring everyone that the existing facts should not be changed and that "shrill national tones" must be avoided. However, a new feeling of national identity is emerging. To the horror of the establishment mafia, there were militant actions against the *Mauer*. Obviously, the mind of young nationalists is undergoing change. They no longer will adhere to the rituals of the elder armchair strategists who take any opportunity to kowtow to the ruling system and solemnly affirm their loyalty to it.

But it is not only the Wall which reveals the decay of Germany. The Wall has been erected by force and could easily be demolished at a given historical moment. Worse than the visible division of our nation is the state of mind, the ideological intoxication to which our people are exposed. Seemingly helpless, they must accept massive immigration of mud races, carefully planned by well-known destructive forces, since 1943, in order to undermine the biological and cultural foundations of our nation. You probably cannot imagine a place in the world which would be less appropriate for a huge refugee center than the split-up, fenced-in, over-populated and polluted *Reichshauptstadt* with its most sensible geopolitical position. But any kind of mental deficiency and criminal plot is made possible by the present ruling powers.

When *The Camp of the Saints* [available from Liberty Bell Publications] was first published, most of the readers did accept the book as thrilling science-fiction far away from reality. The book is describing the arrival of an armada of a hundred scapheaded ships with a million of seedy and depraved Asians

continued on page 43

ISRAEL'S PRESIDENT

By Jim Taylor
(Foreign Correspondent)

Iraq is not the only country gravely offended by President Reagan's callous disregard for human life in the arms deal with Iran. The great damage done to U.S. relations in the Arab world is incalculable. What little credibility the U.S. had left with Arab states has been shattered beyond repair. Also, our prestige in Europe plummeted even farther.

Although Iraq is the only immediate victim of Mr. Reagan's treachery in slavishly carrying out the edicts of Israel and her ruling war criminals, the long-term effects reach round the globe. The idea of sending badly-needed, massive amounts of arms to Iran had nothing at all to do with freeing any American hostages--that was just an afterthought. Those arms were sent for the real purpose of breaking the resistance of Iraq.

The U.S., for purely political reasons, likes to call Jordan a "U.S. ally in the Mideast." This is, of course, a gross exaggeration of the facts. The U.S. is no ally of any Arab state. And the U.S. has never done anything to assist Jordan. Israel will not permit it.

King Hussein has made it very plain that he is deeply offended by the actions of President Reagan in Iran. And who has a better right to feel betrayed than Jordan? For many years Jordan has done everything the U.S. has asked, even including not exposing Israel. The U.S. diplomatically promised in return to sell arms to King Hussein, knowing full well that our Zionist-operated Congress would never permit it. Bear in mind that King Hussein has gone out of his way to be friendly to the U.S. But he never did get those promised arms.

Now turn to the other side of the coin. Iran is not at all friendly to America. Iran has done everything possible to foment hatred against our country. In other words, Iran, under the madman Khomeini, is our most rabid enemy. But nevertheless, Iran has for over six years received all kinds of sophisticated weapons from America. Our sworn enemy gets everything. But the friendly little nation of Jordan, which has never done or said anything against us, has received no arms.

Friendship with Washington does not pay. Our deadly enemies get the best of everything. Our friends get the run-around.

King Hussein has every right to be angry at the sheer deceit of the United States. He said that our double-dealing President sold weapons to Iran while at the very same time he assured Jordan that the U.S. was doing all it could to prevent any weapons, from any nation, from reaching Iran. Mr. Reagan deliberately lied to the diminutive but very courageous Jordanian leader.

It is bad enough to send arms to your worst enemy in the false hope of bettering relations. But to lie to a friend like King Hussein at the same time is unpardonable. It exhibits Mr. Reagan as a man who has no principles whatsoever. There is just no other way to characterize his sly and deceitful conduct, which benefitted Israel, while it harmed the U.S.

When asked directly by the press if Mr. Reagan had lied to him, the king replied, "He certainly did." And he also stated that he has never received any apology from Mr. Reagan, nor even any kind of an explanation.

About American hostages. King Hussein stated clearly, "I do not believe it was a question of hostages for arms." Neither does anyone else. It was done solely to assist Israel and to help to defeat Iraq. And it must be clearly understood that Mr. Reagan was sending massive shipments of arms to Iran long before any American hostages were taken.

"Needless to say," King Hussein told the press, "what has happened is in complete contradiction to everything that we've been assured was the policy of the United States at the highest levels over the past four years."

So what else is new?

No one should be at all surprised that Israel was found to be behind the Iran arms deals. The Zionists have been in the business of selling American arms at high prices since 1969 when the CIA and the Israelis set up a firm called Associated Traders. This company's millions of dollars of yearly profits in arms sales went to two Israeli brokerage firms, Shimon Ltd. and the Sherwood International Export Corporation. The shipments all originated illegally in Israel. Sherwood is just a cover name. This company is a branch of the Israeli government and is run by Israeli defense officials. Michael Kokin is president of

Sherwood. The Shimon group has a main office in the Cayman Islands, which were made a banking center to facilitate secret and illegal financial transactions throughout the world.

Israel has arms dealers all over the world. Many of the U.S. shipments to Iran went through Portugal and Spain. When the American arms reached either of these two countries, the Israelis took over and delivered them to Iran. But the Zionists would move not these arms one inch off the docks until the U.S., through the CIA, paid them in advance exorbitant prices for doing so. The money had to be deposited to Shimon's bank account in Luxemburg.

In 1984, the Italian government seized an Israeli freighter, oddly named the *Viking*, which was loaded with weapons destined for the infamous Red Brigade of Terrorists. Sherwood and an Israeli shipping company called Merico, handled this nefarious deal, which was kept secret by the U.S. press for fear of "offending" Israel.

When I was in Africa last year, I learned that Israel was then selling arms to various black Marxist states, such as Botswana and Mozambique, with U.S. approval. These shipments are flown in on a regular schedule from the Israeli port city of Ashdod to Lagos, Nigeria. From there, feeder airlines take the weapons to black Marxist groups who raid the Republic of South Africa.

In spite of all this evidence, the President and others in Washington are still able to keep a straight face when they tell you that Israel can do no wrong. The Chosen Ones are not bound by national or international laws as the rest of us are. God and the United States of America have given them total immunity on all fronts. They operate all over the world without any fear of being challenged. Although at first sight it seems inconceivable that either the United States or Israel would provide weapons for their professed enemy, the Ayatollah Khomeini, this clandestine U.S.-Israel arms supply operation has actually been going on at a rapid pace for over six long years—not one month or even the one or two years now admitted by the White House, but six years.

The Italian government is angry at the U.S. and Israel for another reason. Earlier this year, an Italian court formally opened an investigation into the breach of Italian sovereignty

when the Israeli nuclear scientific technician, Mordecai Vanunu, was spirited out of Rome onto a plane for Tel Aviv after he had been kidnapped in London by Israeli terrorists, assisted by the CIA and British Intelligence.

Mr. Vanunu was tried in Israel for treason because he gave the *Sunday Times* of London complete information about Israel's secret nuclear weapons plant at Dimona.

Naturally, Israel refused to cooperate with Italy after Italian Prime Minister Bettino Craxi asked for information about Mr. Vanunu as to how he was brought to Rome and thence was flown to Israel.

As I have stated repeatedly, all Israeli officials act for their own country without any regard whatsoever for the interests of their colony, the United States, of America, whence flow all weapons of war and funds for the imperial Zionists. This I can understand to some extent even though it does seem traitorous to me for the Israelis to mistreat their sole benefactor.

Also, the thousands of Jewish hate groups in the U.S. and throughout the world act along lines identical with those of the Israeli government. These people care only for Israel and seem to hate everything American. This is a more or less predictable reaction from people who hate the non-Hebrew-thinking world and believe that the world outside Israel hates them in return. I can't fully understand such action against the best interests of the country in which (and on which) they live, but I can see why they do it.

However, what I will never be able to understand or condone is the fact that nearly all American officials also put Israel before their own country. This is not logical enough for me to ever understand. It continually shocks me.

Let me mention some examples. Every Republican leader has asked and even begged Mr. Reagan to apologize to the American people for helping the living devil Khomeini. He refused. In his opinion, it is unnecessary. He cannot apologize to the people who elected him because that would be an admission in his own mind that his assistance to Israel by helping Iran was wrong. And I can assure you that Mr. Reagan will never admit that his serving Israel is wrong, no matter how great the peril to America and Americans. Israel first! Always first! He should inscribe that motto in Hebrew on a Star of

David and wear it around his neck. Your President is a dedicated Zionist. He has proved many times that he is not a dedicated American.

Now let me bring readers up to date on this apology business.

1. Mr. Reagan refused to apologize to the American people.

2. Mr. Reagan refused to apologize to Iraq for saving Khomeini and Iran and for enabling the Iranian armed forces to kill many civilians in Baghdad with American missiles.

3. Mr. Reagan refused to apologize to Jordan and King Hussein for selling vast amounts of arms to Iran (our enemy) while at the same time refusing to sell any arms at all to Jordan (our friend).

4. Mr. Reagan refused to apologize to Saudi Arabia and Kuwait for strengthening the hand of their close neighbor, Iran, so that Khomeini now threatens the security of those two Arab states, friendly to us.

Now it is time to let all readers know to whom Mr. Reagan did apologize. You understand that he steadfastly refused to apologize to his own fellow citizens who put him in the White House, where he has violated all rules of international law and civilized behavior. Although Mr. Reagan stands strong as a rock against both the U.S. and the Arab states, he did issue a lengthy apology--to Israel! Yes, our not-so-brave leader became a snivelling and subservient adopted Son of David when he wrote the Prime Minister of Israel a letter stating how sorry he was that his assistance to Israel's arms-for-Iran project leaked out to the world press. He apologized profusely to the Zionists for the ensuing bad publicity. To Hell with the Star-Spangled Banner. He might as well run up the Star of David over the White House, just as it has been pictured many times in political cartoons all over Europe and in a few brave American newspapers.

And President Reagan is not the only non-Jewish American whose sole allegiance is to a strong foreign power--Israel. And remember it was Israel alone that lured Washington into the strange and almost grotesque alliance which led us into the Iranian quagmire.

Take Mrs. Jeanne Kirkpatrick, the well-known Arab-hater who served as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations. She

has been going across the country making anti-Arab speeches full of falsifications of the worst sort as she continually praised the heavenly-bound Israelis and condemns everything in the Arab world. She comes on big with a statement that Syria and Libya are waging "war" against Israel "at the U.N." She claims that the U.N. has rebuked Israel and that the Israelis are excluded from certain important committees.

She exclaimed to an audience of Arab-haters, "Israel has made the greatest sacrifice and the most strides for peace since Camp David of any nation in many centuries." Of course, none of what she says is true. But Americans fall for it anyway.

Even during her stint at the United Nations as an official of the United States government, she was working for such groups as the United Jewish Campaign. Actually, she was practically Israel's second ambassador at the U.N. She certainly never represented American interests in preference to Israel's.

And then we have New York's Cardinal John O'Connor, another very vindictive Arab-hater, who went to Israel and met with Zionist leaders in defiance of a ban on such meetings by the Vatican, which does not recognize Israel diplomatically. When the Cardinal isn't passing out condoms on street corners or sanctioning so-called "church weddings" of queers at St. Patrick's Cathedral, he works for a variety of Jewish organizations.

Leaders of no fewer than fifty-four American Jewish organizations rallied round the pompous Cardinal upon his return to New York from Israel. In defying the Pope, he acts the part of a New York rabbi. All he needs is a beard and a black hat. His statements on the Holy Land were not released to the public through normal channels of the Roman Catholic Church or even by the regular press in New York. Strange as it may seem, his report was given out publicly only by Mr. Morris B. Abraham, chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations. And this marked the first time I have ever noticed that a Jew has been the one to act as a publicity agent for the Catholic Church. But that's what happened. Mr. Abraham gave the press the statements made by the Cardinal. And, get this, the Cardinal admitted that he had *not seen* the statements before they were released.

The Cardinal, like Mr. Reagan, played to the American Jewish community by repeatedly stating that Israel is not to blame for the millions of homeless Palestinians. Then just who does the Cardinal think stole their land out from under them, grabbed their bank accounts, and ran them in droves out of their native land into the desert?

Of course, the Jews did think that he over-stepped the bounds of propriety when he said, "The Holocaust is an enormous gift that Judaism has given the world." Jewish leaders didn't approve of that public statement by the good Cardinal. They don't like people going around saying how they have used wild claims of a Holocaust to their own advantage for forty years after World War II. But the Cardinal may be right about one thing: that his "gift" has enabled the international Zionists to gain everything they have ever wanted, including the White House. Mr. Reagan's page-boy naivete about Israel, which causes him to worship everything Zionist, should reveal to all Americans just who runs their country. And now, at least in New York, the Jews seem to be running the Catholic Church. Cardinal O'Connor seems to be a power grabber on the order of Pope Alexander VI, who in 1501 made his bastard son, Cesare Borgia, a cardinal at the age of 17, and then a duke.¹ Another of Pope Alexander's four children, Lucrezia Borgia, was married at a high mass ceremony at the Vatican. So when Cardinal O'Connor goes against church doctrine, I suppose one might logically say that he is only following the traditions of the Catholic hierarchy.

Before going into the facts about Israel's world-wide campaign of terror, I should like to say a few words about Lieutenant Colonel Oliver North. In my opinion, this Marine officer is not the hero Mr. Reagan called him the day before he fired him. You may have wondered just why the President would fire an officer he liked and trusted so much. Well, he had to do it. It was a move to prevent Colonel North's commit-

1. [Strictly speaking, Cesare was made Duke of Valentinois in 1499 by Louis XII of France in return for a Papal bull that divorced him from Queen Jeanne and left him free to marry Anne of Brittany. It is true that after Cesare's conquests in central Italy, his holy father made him Duke of Romagna. The career of the Borgias is but an episode in the long history of scandals that has marked the Papacy from its earliest days. The latest scandal, if one excludes the financial swindles (one of which is said to have made insolvent one of the largest banks in Chicago), is provided by the strong circumstantial evidence that indicates that Pope John Paul I was murdered to make way for his successor, the present Vicar of God. -Editor]

ment to Israel from surfacing. Perhaps Colonel North should resign his commission and accept one in the Israeli Army, since he has ceaselessly worked for Israel ever since his appointment at the White House.

As you already know, Colonel North and the Iranian arms merchant, Manucher Ghorbanifar, did a lot of "horse trading" back and forth about arms for Iran. Colonel North did not trust Mr. Ghorbanifar. And, naturally, Mr. Ghorbanifar had no reason to trust Colonel North, since he knew that the Marine colonel was working night and day for the benefit of Israel. Perhaps this is why he was at one time a "hero" in the crossed eyes of Mr. Reagan.

Anyway, Mr. Ghorbanifar was given a lie detector test by the CIA, which he failed. But on direct orders from Mr. Reagan, Colonel North dealt with this very untrustworthy Iranian anyway. The two had many disagreements, with Colonel North constantly trying to get a better financial arrangement for Israel on the arms sales and Mr. Ghorbanifar trying to stuff his own pockets with as big a rake-off as possible. And neither man cared specifically about any American hostages. That was pure camouflage, just a precaution taken to appease the gullible American taxpayers in case any word of the deal leaked out to the public.

When negotiations came down to the nitty-gritty, Colonel North grabbed Mr. Ghorbanifar and threaten to kill him if he ever leaked information to the press anywhere in the world. Here are the colonel's words on that subject, as told to the UPI by eyewitnesses to that particular conversation. He said, "I'm going to give you a chance to become a martyr--a very dead one, if you talk to the press about this." Now that's a pretty nifty threat for a Marine officer to make.

Colonel North may possibly be a hero to Israel, but not to the Americans. I think the Zionists should issue him one of those cheap medals, like to one they bestowed upon another well-known American--the Virginia holy man, Reverend Jerry Falwell.

Now we come to the real reason why Mr. Ghorbanifar got teed off and contacted *Middle East Magazine* in Beirut to blurt out his version of the necessary dealings forced upon the U.S. by Israel. Two well-known Communist Israeli arms dealers, working with Colonel North, tried to cheat Mr. Ghorbanifar out

of his share of the profits. He should have known that it is just human nature for the Israelis to cheat anyone in any sort of deal. It is in their genes. And they are not likely to change because they have been that way since the beginning of recorded history. Perhaps tomorrow the Israelis will change into good guys. But it has never happened before, so I would not bet on the miracle's happening now--or ever.

Allow me to outline the extensive world-wide Israeli commitment to the Iranian arms sales.

David Kinche, director of the Israeli foreign service, made his country's position quite plain when he told American journalists, "In order to survive, you must play the game by the rules others play. We are as moral as any other state; we try to live by our moral lights, but sometimes we must do things we would rather not."

Now that's what I call an unusual justification, even if true.

Mr. Kinche is a radical London-born Jew who emigrated to Palestine as a terrorist trouble-maker in 1946. He speaks with an Oxford accent and he appears much more sophisticated than such other Israeli war criminals as Begin and Sharon. But beneath his buttoned-down style, he is just another crooked Zionist trying to make a buck by fleecing the U.S.--the primary business of all citizens of Israel. And this man had a lot to do with instigating the ill-fated Iran deals of the Reagan administration.

Mr. Kinche is the man who approached Robert MacFarlane, who was then Reagan's National Security Adviser, and told him the outright lie that he had many "moderate" friends inside Iran who were interested in improving relations with the U.S. in 1985. Then in March of 1987, the Israeli government issued an official statement saying that there were never any moderates in Iran, and that all people ever contacted were extreme radicals. This means that, by admission of the Israelis themselves, Mr. Kinche simply lied to the U.S. government in order to get increased quantities of weapons of war sent to Iran, because in 1985 Iraq was about to defeat Iran, which to the Israelis would have been a disaster. Of course, weapons were being sent by Mr. Reagan for at least three years prior to this initiative of the Israeli representative in 1985. The Zionists merely wanted to increase the flow of arms to Iran.

hence the officials of the U.S. government believed--or pretended to believe--every word that Mr. Kinche told them, despite the fact that he was feeding them nothing but undiluted hogwash. Mr. Kinche knew America's weak points and played upon them by also mentioning hostages.

At that time, the CIA was frantic to get back their chief officer in Lebanon, William Buckley, who had been captured while on a secret mission to murder Lebanese patriots who were opposing Israel's Iron Fist policy in that war-torn and divided nation. The CIA and the White House were afraid that, under torture, Mr. Buckley might reveal all the evil plans made by the U.S. and Israel for the complete destruction of Lebanon and eventually Syria, which he probably did before he died at the hands of patriotic Lebanese, who took him into custody. As I mentioned briefly in a previous column, Mr. Buckley's job was to assist the Israelis and carry out their wishes and he paid for it with his life.

It was this same Mr. Kinche, the fast-talking little London Jew, who brought Mr. Ghorbanifar, the part-Jewish Iranian con man, into the picture. Mr. Ghorbanifar's best friend and business partner was a greedy Israeli arms dealer named Yaacov Nimrodi, a slick little master trader who became wealthy by getting American arms for nearly nothing and selling them at high prices to Iran.

The CIA, the National Security Council, and Mr. Reagan, desperate to rescue Mr. Buckley, jumped at Mr. Kinche's offer. Then Adnan Khashoggi, the eccentric Saudi Arabian billionaire with a somewhat shady past, was brought into this highly secret operation. And there you have the outline of this intriguing episode in international crime, devised by the Israelis and carried out by the U.S. to please them. Kinche said to the press, sometimes it is necessary to do things you would rather not--or at least would prefer not to be caught doing.

Since every writer in America, except me, goes out of the way to never mention Israel's part in the Iranian affair, let alone blame the Israelis for anything, I want to list the facts that link the world-wide network to every single phase of this untidy mess.

First, I will quote Mr. Reagan as recorded in the Tower Report. The President testified that "Robert MacFarlane told

me that the Israelis 'damn the bastards,' had sold 500 TOW anti-tank missiles to Iran without U.S. knowledge," White House mouthpiece Don Regan backed up this statement. They were quoting MacFarlane as saying "damn the bastards." Under no conditions would Mr. Reagan ever use such words to describe his Zionist mentors. Then Mr. Reagan said that he, as usual, simply decided to ignore this major breach of diplomatic relations by Israel, except to express his "extreme displeasure" to the Israeli government, quietly, while "keeping the channel open," whatever that may mean when translated into plain English. I stated that Mr. Reagan "said" he expressed his extreme displeasure to the Israelis. This doesn't mean he actually did so. And it does not mean that I believe that he did so either. You are free to make your own judgment.

To show you what Mr. Reagan really said that day, as best anyone can ascertain, contrast what he said about that fateful meeting with Mr. MacFarlane from statements by the latter about that same conversation, at the White House. The day preceding his attempt to commit suicide with valium, Mr. MacFarlane told the press, "The President was elated at what I told him because the hostages might be released after Israel had sold Iran the missiles, and this would boost his status with the public."

I don't think Mr. Reagan has ever said publicly which of these two versions of that meeting is correct, or whether either of them is the right one. Nor do I think he ever will comment on it.

Just peruse the following summary:

THE ISRAELI NETWORK

England: Former National Security Adviser Robert MacFarlane, acting on behalf of President Reagan, met secretly in London three times with Israeli officials and some Iranian arms dealers.

Canada: CIA Director William Casey testified that he first heard about Iran arms sales and funds for the "Contras" from Israeli officials when he was in Canada.

Texas: Some of the weapons for Iran were sent directly from Kelly Air Force Base in San Antonio by

the Israelis from a storehouse of CIA arms controlled by the Zionists. (Who's running this country anyway?)

Miami: The Miami-based Southern Air Transport cargo airline shipped out arms to both Israel and Iran on orders from the Israelis through their American branch, which we call the CIA.

Germany: At a meeting in Hamburg, hostages were first mentioned by the Israelis as a means of getting the U.S. more involved when they discussed arms deals with Iranian representatives.

Switzerland: Billions of dollars from Israeli arms sales to Iran passed through Swiss banks. But no one seems to know who got the payments. The CIA, Israel, and the "Contras" all deny ever receiving any of this money. (Where did it go?)

Iran: The Iranian government has acknowledged receiving arms via Israel for more than six years. The U.S. and Israelis claim it was just under two years. Whom do you believe?

Lebanon: An Israeli disinformation campaign in Lebanon denied that any hostages were exchanged for arms to Iran.

Syria: The ranking American foreign service officer was ordered to return to Washington because he was reported to have dealt directly with the Israelis without approval from Washington.

Portugal: Arms for the Contras from Israel were picked up in Lisbon by the Southern Air Transport line.

Honduras: Clandestine arms from Israel were deposited at a Contra base at Agucate.

El Salvador: Clandestine Israeli arms shipments were transported to a Contra base at Ilopango.

Nicaragua: Israel has sent American funds to assist Jews in Nicaragua.

Costa Rica: The U.S. ambassador to Costa Rica resigned amid reports linking him to the Israeli supply network.

Panama: Both Iran and Saudi Arabian middlemen made deposits into Panamanian banks and registered

Lake Resources accounts in Switzerland, set up by Israel.

Saudi Arabia: The Saudis paid for some of the Israeli-owned arms sent to Iran.

Brunei: Assistant Secretary of State Eliot Abrams asked the sultanate of Brunei to donate ten million dollars for Israel's arms shipments.

Israel: Israeli experts told American officials that Iranians were willing to renew ties with the U.S. if enough arms were sent to Iran by the Israeli government.

And this is just a brief synopsis of the world-wide Israeli plan to destroy Iraq while making it appear that the Zionists were merely helping the U.S. regain the "friendship" of Iran.

This proliferation of narrow, suffocating Zionist zealotry, masquerading as "helping America," apparently fooled the hell out of Washington officialdom. And did you notice that during all the Iran mess, not one single Jewish member of Congress ever uttered one single word about it? All thirty-four of them have kept quiet as mice. Normally, when even small events occur of this nature, these same Israeli-first Congressmen are the ones who yell the loudest. They are always the most coarse and obnoxious on television when they denounce the White House for everything from the Marcos regime to possible arms sales to Jordan. But not one of them has been interviewed or even come forward with a single statement about the Iranian scandal. This is unusual. It would be upsetting, if I did not know the reason for it. It is totally abnormal for them. It is against their nature. Their entire lives are spent berating America for faults, real or imagined. There is only one reason for these thirty-four tongues, suddenly struck dumb, unable to speak in either hall of Congress or to the press. Israel is *undeniably* at fault and they know it. So they keep silent rather than say anything about the international crimes of Israel. The professional Zionists in Congress have heard the music, but they don't like the refrain.

This is very sad, even painful. But it illustrates world Zionist policy. Now is the time for all real Americans to place the ignominy of Washington's surrender to Zionism on their

mental loom, where it will weave a strange fabric of grief and sorrow for patriots. I may add that Mr. Reagan is also very silent, along with his co-Zionists. As always, this man is doing his best to break the last resolve of the American people. Under Zionism, the American mind is not meant to be free.

It was the Stars and Stripes that inspired America's destined march to shining victories; but it is now the Star of David that enshrouds this betrayed and degenerated nation, now on the verge of death. These are indeed days of mortification, such as you probably watched on television in the ABC television mini-series called, appropriately enough, "Amerika." That program was termed fiction. In a way, it wasn't.² It was a mildly accurate prediction of the future of everyone reading this column, if the American people allow it to come about.

Since recent polls show that over 60 percent of Canadians and 53 percent of Americans would surrender without a fight and be content to live under Communism, we no longer have even a bare majority of people willing to do anything to save their own land. For the first time in over 200 years of our national history, we have a majority of cowards as "citizens" of the United States of America. Patriotism is in short supply and is growing shorter day by day. We are rapidly reaching the point of no return. The "Better Red Than Dead" theory is engulfing our thinking. The ABC program was set ten years hence. The actual take-over will not be that soon if you are waiting for a time of total, *undisguised* foreign rule in Washington. But in about 20 years you will be under such domination, if there is now no sudden injection of patriotism into the backbones of Americans. However, I do not believe it will be total Red rule from Moscow. We are already controlled by Zionists. The Red Star and the Star of David will be combined. And our own flag will no longer wave over what was once the land of the free and home of the brave.

2. The fairy tale called "Amerika" may serve as some sort of warning to Americans. The plot of the film unfolds as though there were not a single Jew living in the United States when it capitulated. Thus there is no explanation of how or why the Jewish Lobby, which now controls Congress, permitted the Soviet-U.N. take-over of the country. What happened to all the millions of Jews in Washington and New York who presently run this country? Did they migrate from the doomed country before the take-over? Or did they watch, with a beaming satisfaction not shown on the film, while the invading army acted in their interest in a country which they had set up for surrender?

Many people have asked me at talks I have given at colleges and churches just when the American people will come to their senses, revolt, and march on Washington. Well, it will not happen so long as the average Joe Citizen still has pro football to watch on TV, plenty of beer, and a boat to haul out to the nearest lake on weekends. It seems to me that to most Americans that is *all* they care about. As long as you don't take these small pleasures away from them, they will not fight back, no matter what happens in Washington, No matter what happens to them. They will endure all other hardships, taxes, and loss of freedoms, so long as they can watch professional sports and be free to drink beer and throw the empty cans out along the highways from their pickup trucks. But the very day that these things are taken from them, then you will have a big problem. Only then will they see anything wrong. And by that time, it may be too late.

To get back to the present situation in Washington, the contrasts so apparent in the ways of the ruling Zionists overwhelm me. They scream and publicize the slight roughing up in Moscow of Jewish protestors by unarmed KGB agents in front of the U.S. television network cameras.³ But in the occupied West Bank, when Arabs protest, the ruthless Zionist oppressors don't just rough them up—they shoot them, including young girls in classrooms because they were accused of having thrown rocks at Israeli jeeps. A Jew in Moscow rates the front page and large headlines. You've seen it almost daily recently. The world is kept well informed about the lives of every Jew in the Soviet Union or elsewhere. If one of these forever-beloved creatures breaks a shoestring and is not immediately provided with a new one, then all America reads about it. However when a lowly Arab, on the verge of starvation, is murdered on the streets of his own native land or dies in the wretched camps of the U.S., it rarely is even mentioned in the U.S. news media.

When it comes to covering things up, the Israelis are the acknowledged world experts. Of course, they have a great deal of help from U.S. officials and the clown princes of the closed

3. This raises the interesting question whether or not the scene was staged for the cameramen. It is now known that many scenes showing mistreatment or oppression of Blacks in South Africa were performed by Blacks hired to enact a scenario provided by the directors of the television crews who recorded the scenes for broadcast to the United States.

press. The U.S. Department of Justice issued a rare condemnation of Israel and called the Zionist officials a bunch of liars in connection with the Jonathan Pollard spy case.

U.S. prosecutors, who admittedly have a hard time administering justice when Israelis are involved, were extremely upset because of outright lies, and perjury calmly committed, in the Federal court by four high-ranking Israeli officers. One ranking Justice Department official, who quite naturally asked not to be quoted by name, said, "the Israeli witnesses, whom we promised complete immunity for their testimony, not only lied in court but did it so badly that the contaminated steam emanating from the courtroom fouled the air. It was the worst example of clear perjury I have ever witnessed in my entire career."

Brigadier General Avi Sella has been described by both the FBI and the Justice Department as the spy who was Mr. Pollard's main contact when this American Jew betrayed secret information to Israel. General Sella was made commander of a major Israeli air base after Pollard was convicted.

Israel denied the U.S. request to extradite the general and the other three Israeli officers for trial in Washington. The Zionists claim that the U.S.-Israeli extradition treaty does not include the crime of treason or espionage.

All four officials have been named as co-conspirators with Mr. Pollard and his wife. Mr. Pollard is a U.S. Navy intelligence analyst, who was arrested at the gates of the Israeli Embassy in Washington in November, 1985, and who pleaded guilty as charged in June, 1986, to selling U.S. military secrets to Israel.

The other three Israeli officers now under indictment are General Rafael Eitan, who has commanded Israeli terrorist squads and is responsible for the wholesale murder of thousands of innocent Arabs; Colonel Joseph Yagur of the Israeli Consulate in New York; and Colonel Irit Erb, formerly attached to the Israeli Embassy in Washington.

U.S. officials said the four Israeli spies lied deliberately and withheld crucial information in the Pollard case, especially the information stolen by Pollard which enabled the Israelis to bomb the Palestinian headquarters in Tunis.

This is just one of many instances where the Israelis have been unmasked as proven liars as well as common thieves and

criminals. Such acts have always run in the blood of these Khazars who have held responsible positions in the Israeli government since its inception.

It is not an isolated case, unlikely to happen again, as both Mr. Reagan and the Israelis maintain. On the contrary, it is only commonplace and is repeated daily all over the United States. And not only in espionage. Take the case of the five Israelis who were arrested in New York for operating the largest drug ring in the U.S. One of these master criminals bragged right in the courtroom that their arrest would not stop Israeli traffic in drugs, which is world-wide. Yet few Americans realize that illegal drugs is one of the chief enterprises of the outlaw state of Israel.

Mr. Alan Nadel, Federal prosecutor with the Special Narcotics Bureau of the Manhattan district attorney's office, agreed that these arrests would not even slow down the many illegal Israeli drug operations, which usually enjoy immunity throughout the United States. And so it goes.

Israel is very proud of Mr. Pollard, whom they call a master spy. And he has been given special privileges when visiting Israel. The *Jerusalem Post* called him a hero and said that without the essential data provided by Mr. Pollard, the terrorist raid on Tunis could not have been carried out. Israeli reports pointed out proudly that Mr. Pollard was not a small-time agent with limited access to U.S. secrets, as the U.S. seems to want the American public to believe; but that he was a master spy who stole over 1,000 secret documents and gave these to Israel, some of them hundreds of pages long.

Here is a partial list:

1. Maps and satellite pictures of Iraqi and Syrian weapons factories and storage facilities, including the layout of eight Iraqi chemical factories.
2. Information about Soviet ship movements and arms deliveries to Syria and other Arab states, including information on SS-21 ground-to-ground missiles and SA-5 anti-aircraft missiles.
3. Satellite photos of Pakistan's nuclear facilities outside the city of Islamabad and information about the Pakistani program for building nuclear weapons.

A report in Israeli newspapers in February, datelined Washington and written by Wolf Blitzer, foreign correspondent of the *Jerusalem Post*, said that Pollard had top-secret clearance and a courier card that enabled him to take information out of any American archives without being checked by security guards. Mr. Blitzer also wrote that Israeli officials were overjoyed with the work of Pollard and consider him as one of the Jewish state's most valuable spies ever. When he gets out of prison, if he is ever actually sent to a Federal prison, the Israelis plan a huge welcoming ceremony for him and plan to honor him as an outstanding citizen of Israel. This disclosure and the many other reports of convicted spies for Israel in the U.S. over a long period clearly confirms once again my belief that almost any Jewish person working for the U.S. government is a security risk with loyalty only to the state of Israel. Yet President Reagan and most other American officials do not agree with me and seem to believe that Mr. Pollard didn't do anything very bad. Due to the labyrinthine paths of Washington politics concerning Israel, Mr. Reagan, with his customary perspicacity, told the press that Mr. Pollard didn't mean any harm at all to the United States. And, for all I know, most Americans may agree with him.

In this country right now, we have many well-organized and well-financed groups who protect the rights of queers, blacks, Jews, Cubans, Mexicans, and every other minority you can find. But no organization with any power at all stands up for Americans.

Mr. Hans Kaufmann, my European press representative in Munich, who translates my articles for publication in several countries, has written an informative book about the harassment he has endured from Zionist agents in West Germany. *Cherem, Israel's Terror Squads at Work*, is the title of the 165-page work published in 1983. It has been translated into English, with an attractive cover showing a web with a black widow spider that bears a Star of David instead of the usual hour-glass red marking. The web takes in the entire world in a very accurate depiction of absolute Zionist power, which, of course, actually exceeds the combined power of the Soviet Union and the United States.

Mr. Kaufmann maintains that neither the police nor any other West German authorities have ever done anything whatsoever to protect him from such things as tapping his telephone and even bombarding him with radiation at the hands of the clever Zionists.

Here are a couple of excerpts from the book:

"Whenever I approached someone, some newspaper or the authorities for help, the first reaction was always a demand for proof; 'give us proof.' Harassed by the world's most cunning secret services, it isn't exactly easy for someone deserted by his fellow citizens and left to his fate by the powers that be, to furnish absolute proof."

"Another Talmudistic rule says that the victim must be warned before celebrating the *cherem* imposition. This rule was disregarded in my case."

The author states that the word *cherem* means to isolate a banished person socially. But in his case, it seems to designate a more ruthless method of destroying an adversary.

* * *

Well, everything finally comes out, doesn't it? At least, it does when the closed American news media are forced to print facts about the Iranian arms scandal after it has become public knowledge all over the world. Only when there is no alternative does the controlled U.S. press allow American citizens to read the truth. Little by little, line by line, you are now reading in your daily newspaper every word that I wrote in my column about the Iranian arms deals back in 1981.

The reason I was able to provide my readers in 1981 with exactly the same news which you are reading today in 1987 for the first time in *The New York Times* and *The Wall Street Journal* is not because I am smarter than they are about international affairs. The Associated Press and the large American dailies have people working for them who are smarter than I am and they also have many world-wide news-gathering services that are not at my disposal.

Why then, you may ask, was I able to print all the facts about the Iranian scandal six years before the established press of America? It didn't happen because I was able to get this information and they were not. And it was not because I may work harder. The facts are that the A.P., *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and *The Wall Street Journal* all had the same information about the sales to Iran that I reported and got printed in one courageous U.S. paper, *The American Sunbeam*, back in 1981; and they could have printed it in 1981, as I did, had they wanted to do so. But they chose to cover it all up and keep you in the dark so the American taxpayers would not know what was going on, just as they concealed the deliberate destruction by the Israeli terrorists of the U.S.S. *Liberty* in 1967. These "American" news sources, all Zionist edited and regulated, kept this startling news from you for one reason: to avoid embarrassing Israel. They did not hold it back to avoid embarrassing the President of America.

In January of 1987, *The New York Times* finally printed a story that the Reagan administration's clandestine dealings with Iran began in 1983. This took it back four years instead of the one-month, then one-year, and finally two-year periods as stated to the press from the White House spokesman. But even this story did not go back far enough. *The New York Times* called it "Project Democracy." I would call it treason at the highest levels.

"The deals with Iran grew out of a well-concealed program established at least four years ago to conduct covert foreign-policy initiatives," said the *Times*.

Then on February 15, 1987, *The Wall Street Journal*, the chief promotion-arm of Zionism in the U.S., broke a story much closer to the truth than had any American publication of the regular media up to that time--although it was merely a copy of what I had written in 1981. Here is the *Journal's* three-column, double-decked headline:

**ISRAEL SAID TO HAVE SOLD WEAPONS
TO IRAN SINCE 1981
WITH TACIT APPROVAL OF THE REAGAN
ADMINISTRATION**

The article was written by John Walcott and Jane Mayer, described as staff reporters of *The Wall Street Journal*. It was

datelined from Washington, D.C., and here are the the first few paragraphs.

"WASHINGTON--The Reagan administration has known about and given tacit approval for Israeli arms sales to Iran since 1981, according to current and former administration and intelligence officials.

"Israel made the sales to Iran and other countries with the understanding that Washington would replace free the weapons in Israel's stockpile, said the sources.

"According to intelligence sources, in 1981 and 1982, Israel sold the Iranians badly-needed tires and brake assemblies for the F-4 jet fighters, as well as other spare parts. Iran, locked in a war with Iraq, desperately needs parts to service the U.S. weapons it acquired during the rule of the late Shah, Mohammad Reza Palevi, who was ousted in 1979.

"U.S. acquiescence to the Israeli sales never was exposed in 1981 and 1982; no papers approving the sales were prepared or signed and thus the sales were cloaked in layers of deniability. The White House did not officially admit the sales to Iran until last fall."

So you finally, after six years of total blackout of this entire sordid affair by the regular news sources, got the truth or a close facsimile thereof from the Zionist-controlled press. Actually, Mr. Reagan authorized the first Israeli shipment of arms to Iran during the first week after he was sworn into office in 1981. So don't allow yourselves to be taken in by any of that nonsense he puts out about not learning of the sales until last November. If you remember, Mr. Reagan's press statements last fall, you will recall that he also lied about the Danish shipment of Israeli arms to Iran, telling us this was not happening; but at the same time the Danish government produced papers showing the exact dates of all shipments covering the six-year period of sales. So, unfortunately for all Americans, Mr. Reagan even lies when he knows we have absolute proof of facts that he denies. Is this any better than the Kremlin press releases?


The sales of armaments to Iran by Israel did not begin with Mr. Reagan's administration in 1981: they were going on during Carter's administration too, according to Jody Powell, the presidential adviser and spokesman at that time. And, no doubt, even then what Israel *sold* to Iran was replaced *free* by American taxpayers.

Some of the so-called Washington "experts" have stated in the press that vanity rendered Mr. Reagan unable to "remember" even one of the many papers he had read for the past six years concerning the Iranian arms deals. I do not think it was vanity, nor yet his age which brought on this sudden loss of memory. Mr. Reagan has his faults, as we all have. But excess vanity doesn't seem to be one of them. The reason for the President's sudden "loss of memory" was his desire to protect Israel. If the Zionists were not involved, he would gladly step up to the microphone and admit his mistake or at least his poor judgment. Also, if only the U.S., and not Israel, was involved, Mr. Reagan would admit it and gladly apologize to the American public. You see, Mr. Reagan cannot admit his own guilt, and America's, without at the same time admitting that Israel was at fault. And that's something he will never do as long as he lives on this earth. Vanity has nothing to do with it. □

This article first appeared in the June 1987 issue of Liberty Bell. Annual subscription \$25.00. Sample copy & booklist \$3.00

Reprints of Jim Taylor's articles are available at the following prices: **THE NEXT VIETNAM** (Sept. 1986 issue): 4/\$1.50 10/\$3.00 50/\$13.00 100/\$25.00. **HAMMERING THE U.S.** (Oct. 1986 issue): 5/\$1.00 50/\$9.00 100/\$16.00. **BUSH AND ZION** (Nov. 1986 issue): 3/\$1.50 10/\$4.50 50/\$20.00 100/\$35.00. **REAGAN AND ZION** (Dec. 1986 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. **ANTI-AMERICANS** (Jan. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. **MORE OF REAGAN'S LIES** (Feb. 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. **HOW ZION TRIUMPHS** (March 1987 issue): Single copy \$1.00 10/\$8.00 50/\$35.00 100/\$60.00. **FRIENDS WE BETRAYED** (April 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. **THE GREAT TERRORIST** (May 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00. **ISRAEL'S PRESIDENT** (June 1987 issue): 2/\$1.50 10/\$6.00 50/\$25.00 100/\$45.00.

For Postage and Handling include \$1.00 for orders under \$10.00, 10% for orders over \$10.00. Order from: **LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV, 25270 USA.**



ABOUT THE AUTHOR: Dr. Revilo Pendleton Oliver, Professor of the Classics at the University of Illinois for 32 years, is a scholar of international distinction who has written articles in four languages for the most prestigious academic publications in the United States and Europe.

During World War II, Dr. Oliver was Director of Research in a highly secret agency of the War Department, and was cited for outstanding service to his country.

One of the very few academicians who has been outspoken in his opposition to the progressive defacement of our civilization, Dr. Oliver has long insisted that the fate of his countrymen hangs on their willingness to subordinate their doctrinal differences to the tough but idealistic solidarity which is the prerequisite of a Majority resurgence.

SOME QUOTABLE QUOTES FROM *AMERICA'S DECLINE*

On the 18th Amendment (Prohibition): "Very few Americans were sufficiently sane to perceive that they had repudiated the American conception of government and had replaced it with the legal principle of the 'dictatorship of the proletariat,' which was the theoretical justification of the Jews' revolution in Russia."

On Race: "We must further understand that all races naturally regard themselves as superior to all others. We think Congoids unintelligent, but they feel only contempt for a race so stupid or craven that it fawns on them, gives them votes, lavishly subsidizes them with its own earnings, and even oppresses its own people to curry their favor. We are a race as are the others. If we attribute to ourselves a superiority, intellectual, moral, or other, in terms of our own standards, we are simply indulging in a tautology. The only objective criterion of superiority, among human races as among all other species, is biological: the strong survive, the weak perish. The superior race of mankind today is the one that will emerge victorious—whether by its technology or its fecundity—from the proximate struggle for life on an overcrowded planet."

AMERICA'S DECLINE

ORDER No. 1007—\$8.50

376 pp., pb.

plus \$1.00 for post. & handlg.

ORDER FROM:

LIBERTY BELL PUBLICATIONS, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

FOR MY LEGIONARIES



Salut pe ai ce merg
spre marea biruință
Legionară. Corneliu Z. Codreanu
1938

The Legionary Movement in Romania, commonly known as the Iron Guard, —perhaps the oldest anti-Communist movement in the world, still alive—was founded by Corneliu Z. Codreanu in 1927. *For My Legionaries* (353 pp., pb., \$8.00), Codreanu's stirring work, is a complete and authoritative account of the ideals and principles of the Legionary Movement which shaped the character of young Romanians before WW II. Control over the communications media and the normal channels of book distribution by our international enemies makes it impossible to reach the broad market this unique book deserves. We are certain that the rapidly deteriorating political conditions will preclude a second edition, and *For My Legionaries* will soon become a collector's item. This book also provides the 'missing

pieces' of the drastically censored *The Suicide of Europe* by Prince D. Sturdza; the identity of those who masterminded Romania's takeover and who are now engaged in carrying out the same program in the U.S. will no longer be unknown to you. ("Solzhenitsyn would appear to have not the slightest inkling of who conquered HIS country!"—B.C.)

THE ANTI-HUMANS

by D. Bacu (307 pp., hb., \$8.00), describes what was done to the young men whom Codreanu inspired, when, seven years after his brutal murder, Romania was delivered to the Bolsheviks. They were subjected to what is the most fully documented Pavlovian 'experiment' on a large number of human beings. It is likely that the same techniques were used on many American prisoners in Korea and Vietnam. *The Anti-Humans* is a well-written document of great historical and psychological importance. Reading it will be an emotional experience you will not forget. ("A sequel to Orwell's *1984*"—R.S.H.; "A searing expose of red bestiality!"—Dr.A.J. App).

FOR MY LEGIONARIES
ORDER No. 6003
\$8.00.

THE ANTI-HUMANS
ORDER No. 1013
single copy \$7.00, 3 for \$15.00

plus 10% for postage and handling

No Anti-Communist library should be without these two companion books! Order your copies from: Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy, WV 25270 today. Please include 10% for postage and handling.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR, continued from page 18

at the shores of France. Like an army of locusts and grasshoppers, they are invading Europe, annihilating its culture. They meet no resistance, because the common sense and healthy instinct of the European "natives" have been mollified by the permanent brainwashing and gabble of "human rights and tolerance." The flood of brown masses cannot be stopped, not because it is too strong or victorious, but because the white people fell asleep in agony.

During July and August, we did experience this 'science-fiction' turning into reality. There are significant differences, however. Whereas in the book of Raspail the hordes of emaciated and ragged invaders keep themselves alive by looting and pillaging, in Berlin well-fed figures with bag and baggage and an expensive Aeroflot ticket in the pocket emerge from the tubes of the underground by the hundreds just by simply using the key word "Asyl, Asyl!", which is sufficient in our country to guarantee a comfortable lifestyle at public expense. Carefully they are guarded and escorted to the nearest collection point, given food according to their special "cultural requirements," new clothes, medical aid, etc. Volunteers of Red Cross prepare best possible accommodation, install all sorts of facilities, make the beds, fetch toys for the kids, and so on. The asylants have never been seen to lift a finger, they become quite agile, however, for demonstrations, as for instance we were able to witness at the *Gedachtniskirche* on 28 July 1986. These Iranians shortly after their arrival demanded: television and radio, cash money and the "basic right" of political activity. And, of course, those claims are vociferously supported by the enemies of our nation, anarcho-Marxists, lunatics, and 'bleeding hearts.'

Alas, the majority of our people accept this madness without batting an eyelash, yokels that they are, fallen victim to the official line of 'make-believe.' But the multitude has always been nothing but driftwood in the stream of history anyway. It is the responsible and intelligent part of a nation to set its course and direction.

We realize that common sense and sound instinct begin to revolt. More and more people make themselves heard, write to newspaper editors, accuse politicians, block the quarters of asylants. The annoyance and vexation about the increasing number of parasites is now evident. So even the puppets of our

government now feel an inclination to pacify the people by promising remedies. This is the usual eyewash and trickery. More and more Germans are aware of this; they will not be duped any longer. When sports arenas were confiscated and tents pitched to lodge the asylants, some one-hundred of adjacent residents banded together and arranged a demonstration to show their indignation in front of the gates. Young patriots came to assist them, unfurled banners, and shouted *Deutsche fasst nun endlich Mut, stoppt die Asylantenflut!* The Burgerinitiative "Demokratie und Indentitat" (a citizens action committee) was given a boost by many young newcomers and activists. Information booths were set up in the streets, crowds of passers-by stood in line to sign petitions which demanded an immediate halt to the foreign immigration. A week later, empty tents, provided to lodge asylants, were burnt down. A demonstration in front of the municipal offices was organized. A group of almost one hundred youngsters marched down the streets shouting *Deutschland den Deutschen; Auslander raus!*

Since July, groups of 10 to 20 young patriots are always on the move to make it clear that resistance is necessary and possible. Up to now, 600,000 flyers, leaflets, and brochures have been distributed. But, likewise, other methods and the 'hit-and-run' tactics have been used to indicate signals of national revival: The writing is on the wall!

For everyone engaged in nationalist politics, it was an encouraging and surprising experience, to see such a great potential of young people with still enough sound senses becoming active. Today's youth has been subjected to much brainwashing, miserable education, foul degeneracy, and a rotten environment. Nevertheless, you now witness a considerable amount of healthy substance hidden beneath the surface. This gives hope! The syndicate of priests, the bugs in the press, in television, churches, trade unions, and boards of education are shocked by this development and try all kinds of repression and defamation. Many of these enemies of mankind have fallen victim to their own ideological dogma, which contents that mind, reason, and behavior may be arbitrarily manipulated by environment and education; that a human being is something like a ball of wax which can be kneaded into any shape. Now the *Apparatschiks* have to realize limits even to the most subtle manipulation: The heritage of healthy instincts, alert intelligence, and profound reflection, the yearning for truth. Active

signals are now required to wake up and mobilize these dormant capacities and talents.

Of course, many more young activists could be motivated if the many diverse nationalist groups would accept battle and face publicity instead of crouching around the pennants of their tiny clubs discussing armchair strategy and personal problems. We often hear them say, "There is no use to do something extraordinary; it's not worth it that we get involved, we must wait and see!"

National resistance is not like a study course of industrial management where you've to learn that any investment must pay off in profits. In the struggle for survival, the tenacity, endurance, and a sustaining boldness is far more effective. To preserve biological and cultural treasures, we are under the command of our conscience. Everything possible must be done to keep alive the glowing embers underneath the ashes. Signposts must be driven into the ground to show following generations the way to go.

We remember well Leonidas and his loyal Spartan followers, who in 480 B.C. defended the pass of Thermopylae against the attacking Persian army. From a rational point of view, they should have surrendered thus saving their lives for a while, because the situation was hopeless. But they did not surrender, they did their duty in obedience to a higher law. As a shining example, their deeds are engraved in the everlasting tables of men's history.

Igamon, Berlin

* * *

Dear Mr. Dietz:

Find enclosed my order for several books. My order is a modest one; I wish it could be bigger, but I am not a wealthy man and have to be modest in my purchases.

Purchasing from you, though, gives a good feeling, as there is no doubt about your sincerity. I will continue to place orders with *Liberty Bell*, and, as I have cash available, contribute money to support your works, as well as make your publications known to acquaintances (I am pleased to discover that I have apparently "sold" two subscriptions to *Liberty Bell* along with a substantial book order. In each case the "customers" were satisfied with product and service: All of this and I wasn't really trying to "sell" *Liberty Bell*, just giving a personal endorsement!). You would be surprised, however, how many people

on our "wave-length" have never heard of George Dietz or the remarkable selection of books and pamphlets available from Liberty Bell Publications.

I therefore think it is a great pity that your publications receive only limited marketing. Even for me, most of the titles on your list are unfamiliar to me. However, when one has a chance to browse through fascinating titles, and read a few paragraphs here and there, he will be stimulated to buy. . .

With highest regard,
Karl Braun, Ohio

* * *

Dear George:

I enclose a check to cover the cost of the books listed above and I hope that you have my previous order in hand since I always look forward to your special type of reading material; it would be a dull world without it and with which the perishing ADL is always trying to interfere. We have the very same circumstances right here in good old New Zealand. The latest issue of *Liberty Bell* I just received had been "inspected" by the international darlings of the New Zealand postoffice; in spite of your extra paper seal, they just opened the other end. There is no justification for this behaviour, but until we can find some means whereby we can eliminate these creatures, we have to endure it.

I received a letter from Manfred Roeder, which was a reply to one I sent him. His son Albrecht was brought to my house by another friend and we had quite a good and interesting conversation. It's a very sad business really; I believe the man has been in prison for five or more years [in September it will be seven years that Manfred Roeder has been incarcerated] so far, on some trumped-up charge which to me always leads to other speculation regarding this same old ever-lasting Jewish set-up. I am in my eightieth year, and I can well remember exactly how it all started to go very wrong. It was the foundation of the so-called British Broadcasting Corporation. The world has never been the same since then; the whole business was Jewish right from the start. One needs to be very careful these days, especially in this country. The B.B.C. is very much in charge here, in fact, I have recently had very deep thoughts about all this so-called confrontation between the East and the West. I am sure that deep down this is nonsense; they only argue about who will rule when the West is finally handed over to Israel

(which appears to be quite in keeping with Ronnie and Maggi's intended policies). Somehow we have to get the ear of the younger generation, especially a connection between American N.S. parties and Germany. Germany must be rescued from the existing evil influences which mainly stem from British (?) occupation and troops on the phony excuse of protecting us from Communism. Those who control both Britain and America are in fact Communists by association. We know that Communism is Jewish; we also know that all television is Jewish (it is a notable fact that South Africa began to come unstuck immediately when they installed international television.)

I trust you will receive this letter o.k. Once again, all the best and Heil Hitler!

J.L., New Zealand

* * *

Dear American friends and comrades:

I wonder if you could send me some issues of your newspaper and some information about your organization. Here in Sweden we have a newly started pro-white newspaper called *Fort Apocalypse* which gives the readers the comfort that they were helping to destroy the anti-white Communist-Jew system. But now some negroes have prohibited us and call us "Nazis." And because Sweden's government is ruled by Jews we are now prohibited. But we will carry on the fight to keep Sweden Swedish, no matter what they do to us. No immigrants shall go to my country and tell us what to do, so we are going to fight until the final victory, the banner of White Power is holding high for Sweden. I wish you good luck in the future. Kind regards,

R.B., Sweden

* * *

Dear Comrade Dietz:

I have been reading the *Liberty Bell* since 1981. Through the years I have noticed a steady increase in the quality of the publication. By quality, I not only mean the superior printing techniques, but also the more intelligent writers that contribute to the *Liberty Bell*. Their wisdom and knowledge is so refreshing compared to the idiotic babble in the Jewspapers! They should get a medal for what they write, and you, sir, for printing it.

Sincerely, W.J.C., Rhode Island

ALLAN CALLAHAN

THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE AMENDMENT

As the racial darkening of America picks up speed, some of the white lawmakers in Le Grande Cesspool, D.C. are getting downright uneasy. They see non-whites, especially the massive numbers of recent times, as tending to cluster together, creating myriads of societies within a society, while retaining their own customs and languages; and even, after a fashion, their own economies. This they want to stop. And they have a plan.

To understand how the plan will work, imagine a doctor, on discovering lumps of cancer in a patient's body, doing nothing about eradicating them. Instead, he breaks up the lumps so that the cancer will spread throughout the body.

The vehicle for doing this will be the English language. Make all the non-whites learn English, and they will be more likely to abandon their enclaves and spread all through Caucasian society. English will need to be made the official language of the United States, and if this is done by a proposed 27th Amendment to the Constitution, then the courts cannot foul things up by demanding bilingual classes in Spanish or other languages, bilingual ballots, etc.

Getting down to specifics, Representative Norman Shumway has introduced an "English First" Amendment (H.J. RES. 96) in the House and a similar resolution has been introduced in the Senate by Senator Steve Symms (S.J. RES. 20).

Advocates say that America's vaunted "melting pot" is not working so well anymore, tending instead to be more and more like a salad bowl, with each element remaining distinct. And some of these elements are getting so large that they are taking on identities of their own. One example is Miami. Mayor Maurice Ferre stated that "you can go through your whole life without having to speak English at all." Miami has eight Spanish-language radio stations, three Spanish-language television stations, three Spanish-language newspapers, around half a dozen Spanish-language political and gossip tabloids, plus a batch of magazines; some of these its own and others that are Spanish-language editions of English-language magazines.

More "Miamis" are going to spring up in the future. And bad as the situation is there, it is better to have these brown-skinned aliens living among themselves in a concentrated area than to have them spreading all out through white society and mixing their blood with ours. Language is the key. If the non-whites *have* to learn English, they will be less likely to stay put.

Most whites seem to favor the "English First" Amendment; at least this was the reaction of those in the audience of the Phil Donahue Show when this issue was discussed some time ago. No doubt much of this support on their part is caused by white anger and frustration over the massive brown invasion that is taking place in the U.S. today. Many 'Hispanics' don't want to learn English, and Caucasians therefore think we can somehow "get back" at them if we *make* them learn it.

And, of course, there is the economic argument. It is said that if we don't force them to learn English, many will not be able to get jobs and will have to live off welfare, not to mention the extra cost for schools to run classes in Spanish and other languages, the cost of bilingual ballots in elections, etc.

But one factor the "English First" sponsors overlook is the fact that, when the non-white enclaves get large, they tend to create their own economies. These do not cover everything, but they go a long way. However, there *will* be more welfare money paid out, no doubt about that, and there *will* also be other extra expenses for bilingual ballots, classes in school, and what-not. But, bad as these things are, I still think all this is much preferable to creating a whole army of mixed-blood offspring to have to deal with. Also, when the white man sees that the "melting pot" isn't working very well anymore, maybe he will finally come to his senses and really *come to grips* with the race problem.

The real problem isn't that many non-whites want to live to themselves and not learn English. No, the real problem is the *darkening of America!!* And making everyone learn English won't solve this problem at all; on the contrary, it will only make it worse.

* * *

THE FEMINIST MOVEMENT IN CLASSICAL TIMES

It is amazing how many parallels there are to the Classical civilization and our own. Rome had a civil service, Federal

Land Bank, Agricultural Adjustment Administration, Farm Credit Administration, Home Owners Loan Corporation, Price-and-Wage Act, Public Works Administration, Farm Debt Conciliation Committee, Food Relief.*

The Classical Civilization also had a feminist movement, which first began in Greece, later spreading to Rome. It was not that the Greek or Roman woman wanted to go out and work in an office or factory somewhere, as neither Greece nor Rome had much in the way of offices or factories as we know them today. Rather, her traditional sense of values was undermined. The Greco-Roman woman began to look upon childbearing as being beneath her talents, and this trend became pronounced under the Empire of the Caesars. And while the higher types of women were having fewer children, alien elements were having more. The ratio of whites in the population was decreasing while that of the Orientals and mixed-bloods was increasing.

This revolt against maternity was not entirely the fault of the superior stock of white women; in fact, it may have been more the fault of the men. The noted Classical thinkers (all men) did reach great heights with their philosophy, but one bad side effect was that the creative power of the *blood* was downgraded at the expense of *ideas*. (One example, in Greek mythology, is Athene's birth from the forehead of Zeus). Thus women were, to a certain extent, psychologically "put down" with a loss of status and social position. They felt that they were inferior to men; or *incomplete males*. To fight back against this trend, the more sensitive and superior woman cared less about motherhood, and this drastically lowered the birthrate of the higher type Aryan elements.

The first feminist movement recorded in history began in Greece at the time of Euripides and gained more sway later on in Rome. The upper class women of Greece and Rome were at first proud and influential mothers who held dignified strongholds within a patriarchal society; later they became indifferent to their prime biological function. They were more interested in their seductive assets than their worthiness as mothers. Abortion was popular, and infant exposure was a common way of getting rid of unwanted children. The women tried to become more like males and compete with men on men's

* See *The New Deal in Old Rome*, by H.J. Haskell, Alfred A. Knopf, 1939, Chapters I and II.

terms. Here they failed, because they made no significant contribution to the Classical civilization, nor did they achieve any direct share in political power. Worse still, they also failed to produce enough of their own kind.

The higher type white women in the Western world today are making the same mistake their sisters made in Classical times. It was fatal in the past and will be fatal in the future also, if not corrected in time. □

OWN A PIECE OF AMERICA!

72 ACRES, mostly pasture w/some woodland & timber; well fenced. Located 5 miles west of Reedy, off Seaman Fork. Owner will sell on Land Contract with \$5,000 downpayment. **\$25,000.**

125 ACRES, mostly woodland with some fine timber, ideal for hunting/vacation camp, or investment, located in Reedy District. **\$40,000.**

20.6 ACRES woodland with some fine timber and several good homesites in Reedy District. Mail & schoolbus route, electric & phone lines run by property. **\$12,500.**

5 ACRES, 2/3 of it level bottom, balance in timber. Mail & schoolbus route, phone & electric lines run by property. Beautiful Homesite! **\$10,500.**

3-BEDROOM RANCHER in Reedy. Huge livingroom, country-size kitchen & large, paneled familyroom. Wall to Wall carpeting throughout. Natural gas forced hot air heat & central air, city water. All of this on 3/4 acre level lot. Store, churches close by. IT'S PRICED RIGHT AT **\$36,500.** CALL TODAY!

2 STORY BRICK BUILDING on Main Street, Reedy. 2 BRs, LR, kitchen, bath, sundeck on 2nd floor; Store and efficiency apt. on ground floor. Also included, older, 2 story, multi-room building next door with block garage. Both apartments presently rented out. **\$25,000.**

LARGE HOME in Spencer, on U.S 33, with 3 bedrooms, 2 livingrooms, 1 dining room, 2 kitchens, 2 baths, presently set up as a two-apartment dwelling. There is also a garage apartment w/1 bedroom, livingroom, kitchen & bath. Also a two-car garage. City water and sewer. This is an ideal income or commercial property for the handyman who can do some minor fixing-up. **THE PRICE IS RIGHT AT \$35,000.** CALL TODAY -- WE HAVE THE KEY!

DIETZ REALTY

THE PROFESSIONALS -- GEORGE P. DIETZ, BROKER
MAIN STREET -- REEDY WV 25270 -- PHONE: 304-927-4486

BOOK REVIEW

Generalmajor Otto Ernst Remer (retired)

Verschwörung und Verrat um Hitler / Urteil des Frontsoldaten (Conspiracy and Treason Around Hitler / A Combat Soldier's View) Preussisch Oldendorf: Verlag K.W. Schutz, 1984 (Third Printing). 336 pages plus 20 pages of illustrations. Price \$25.00; available from Liberty Bell Publications.

reviewed

by

Charles E. Weber, Ph.D.

Every thoughtful, well-informed man who was involved in the Second World War as a soldier must have asked himself at one time or another how the course of the war would have been altered if the revolutionary conspiracy which attempted to assassinate Hitler would have succeeded. Certainly, the period between 20 July 1944 and 8 May 1945 was the most tragic and destructive of the war. In that period great European cities, such as Dresden, Berlin, and Warsaw were severely damaged in addition to whatever damage they had already sustained. During this period battlefield casualties were especially high on both sides. The paralysis of rail and highway traffic by Allied bombing was responsible for deteriorating conditions in the concentration camps which led to large numbers of deaths of inmates. Millions of German civilians fleeing Communist massacres (e.g., Nemmersdorf) died in some way or another. Furthermore, the ever-increasing costs of the war in terms of blood and treasure created bitterness which made the post-war settlements, such as they were, even more difficult for the defeated Anticomintern powers.

Would the death of Hitler have shortened the war, the course of which was becoming ever more ominous for Germany in July 1944? Was the sabotage carried out by dissident elements in Germany decisive in the outcome of the war in Europe? Without sabotage and indifference, would the Germans have developed an atom bomb which could have brought about a German victory at a late hour? What were the motiva-

tions of those Germans who sabotaged the German War effort? If the war would have been shortened, would the present dominance of nearly half of Europe by the USSR have been prevented or at least mitigated? Were the Allied powers merely attempting to put an end to National Socialism or were they carrying out an essentially genocidal action against the German nation? Would the Morgenthau Plan, with its obviously genocidal objectives, have come into existence if Hitler had been killed? Why did Germany, much like the Confederate States of America exactly 80 years earlier, keep fighting to the bitter end of its strength?

These are basic questions which have to do with some of the most important aspects of the history of the Second World War. They have been answered in various ways by various factions in Germany itself, as well as by historians beyond the borders of Germany. Balanced, objective answers to such questions are crucial for the understanding of the essential nature of the war, its outcome, and its results. Its origin, outcome, and results are not simply topics of interest to historians, but matters which cast their shadows over the United States, Europe, and indeed all of mankind even today.

Most of the readers of the *Bulletin* are aware of the position of the Bonn government with regard to the conspirators against Hitler. It considers them heroes and distributes abroad literature which praises them. Examples of such literature distributed abroad are *20. Juli 1944 / Deutsche gegen Hitler*, Bonn, 1969, and (in English!) *German Resistance to National Socialism*, "Published by: Press and Information Office of the Federal Government, Bonn" (55 pages; final page lists related works in English only). The Bonn government has even commemorated the conspirators with a set of eight postage stamps issued on 20 July 1964. The motivations of the Bonn government are not difficult to understand in this area. Any government has a tendency to claim superiority over its predecessor, but the Bonn government also has special motivations for its attitudes. It is keenly aware that Jews with great economic and political influences could instigate another boycott of German exported goods, as they did in 1933, thus exacerbating the unemployment problem.

There are, however, views on the questions posed above which differ sharply from those officially propagated by the Bonn government. These views are well summarized in the thorough book by General Remer that we are considering here. We can only indicate here some of the chief contents and conclusions of the book. General Remer, as many readers will recall, played an important rôle in putting down the rebellion against the National Socialist government when it reached its zenith on 20 July 1944 with the attempt on Hitler's life. The attempt took place in Hitler's command headquarters on the eastern front, the Wolfsschanze. The bomb blast resulted in the deaths of several men who were standing around a heavy table in a conference with Hitler, who was scarcely injured. Col. von Stauffenberg, who planted the bomb, hastily fled even before he ascertained if Hitler had been killed. Assuming that Hitler had been killed, Stauffenberg relayed a false report of his death and thus caused the attempt of the conspirators to carry out their further plans. Scores and scores of them thus revealed their identity and were later tried and executed.

General Remer, who was never a member of the National Socialist Party, is presently involved in the publication of a monthly periodical, *Der Bismarck-Deutsche* (Postfach 1210, D-8950 Kaufbeuren, West Germany). This periodical deals not only with historical questions but also contemporary economic and political questions.

The three introductory sections of the book (pp. 7-23) analyse the present debilitating psychological and political effects of the resistance (Widerstand) to Hitler and official Bonn versions of it. A wide variety of forms of opposition to Hitler are described in the lucidly organized subsequent chapters: Remer's rôle in Berlin on 20 July 1944 (pp. 24-39), events in the Bendlerblock command area in Berlin (pp. 40-58), a penetrating but hardly flattering sketch of Stauffenberg's life and psychological development (pp. 67-83), other attempts on Hitler's life (pp. 84-95), events in Paris on 20 July 1944 (pp. 96-111), the military developments in the west after the Allied landings on 6 June 1944 and sabotage of German defence efforts in connection with them (pp. 112-139), the deaths of three generals assigned to resisting the Allied advances subsequent to the invasion, Stulpnagel, Kluge and Rommel (pp. 140-158), the question of the moral character of the conspirators (pp. 159-

171), guilt in the defeat and capture of the Sixth German Army at Stalingrad (pp. 172-187), the grave consequences of Richard Sorge's betrayal to the USSR of Japanese intentions in late 1941 (pp. 188-194), the treason which took place under Admiral Canaris, the chief of intelligence (pp. 195-210), the military betrayal of times set for attacks (pp. 211-229), treason in the Foreign Office (pp. 230-241), espionage camouflaged as resistance (pp. 242-245), the "Rote Kapelle" ("Red Orchestra," the term used for the Communist espionage network; pp. 246-263), the advantage of the German engineering developments over Allied developments and the exploitation of German inventions and inventors after the war (pp. 264-268), the betrayal of the research installations at Peenemünde and their subsequent destruction (pp. 269-280), and the betrayal and sabotage of the development of the German atomic bomb (pp. 281-301). Remer's concluding remarks (pp. 302-311) have to do primarily with the events of 20 July 1944 and their consequences.

While reading Remer's discussions of the German defensive battles in western France following the Allied landings of 6 June 1944, a number of thoughts and questions occurred to me. Bearing in mind that hypotheses are not history, let us nevertheless consider several of them. Supposing that by a quite judicious and determined use and development of reserve divisions German forces would have pushed the Allied invasion forces back into the sea and that German forces would have been able to stabilize the eastern front along a defensive line something like Königsberg-Warsaw-Budapest-Lake Balaton (Plattensee)-Agram well into 1945, what then? There is no reason to assume that the brutal bombing of German cities would have been halted, or that atomic bombs could have been produced by Germany (cf. Remer's pp. 281-301), or that the critical shortage of motor fuel could have been overcome, or that the western Allies would have been willing to make some sort of compromise on their demand for unconditional surrender, short-sighted though it was. Then, too, there is no reason to assume that the American production of atomic bombs would have come about any later than the summer of 1945, as was in fact the case. Would Germany have then become the first target of atomic bombs rather than Japan? Or even the target of anthrax bombs, which were already being produced?

I remember a number of conversations which I had with well-informed Germans after the war who told me something that was rather astonishing to me as an American, namely that many members of the German armed forces had assumed that after the collapse of their own armed forces, at least in the west, the Anglo-American forces would have kept advancing in order to destroy the scourge of Bolshevism. For Germans, this was not such an absurd assumption in view of the experience which Germany and its allies had had with Soviet brutality and living in the shadow of Bolshevist power since 1917. This assumption is mentioned by Remer at various places, e.g., p. 151. It was, however, a severe miscalculation of Anglo-American intentions. The vindictive and, in many cases, pink Jews who had a strong influence on President and Mrs. Roosevelt and their successor, President Truman, would never have advocated such an advance into eastern Europe. The good sense and good will typical of most Americans had been distorted and perverted by years of the most effective, mendacious pro-Soviet propaganda which our Jewish media masters could produce. Moreover, as far as England was concerned, the USSR had never been an economic threat to the British export trade as a result of the backwardness of Soviet production of consumer goods. When Germany and the USSR invaded Poland in 1939, England declared war on Germany but not the USSR. England thus revealed the true nature of its war objectives as early as 1939.

While discussing the moral position of the conspirators (p. 159), Remer makes the following observations (in my translation):

Precisely from his experiences on the eastern front, every thinking soldier knew what would happen to us if we were to lose this war.

German soldiers were quite deeply convinced of the necessity of this struggle in the interest of the survival of our continent. We had not attacked Russia as a result of a pure zeal to conquer. Rather, we were forced to act because the Soviets had deployed superior forces of over 256 divisions in order to invade Europe at an opportune hour. They had calculated that we would attack England.

Remer's view of Soviet intentions is confirmed by a mass of evidence presented in the important article by Viktor Suvorov, "Who Was Planning to Attack Whom in June, 1941, Hitler or Stalin?". This article was originally published in the *Journal of the Royal United Services Institute for Defence Studies* in London in June 1985, thus after Remer had written his book. It was reprinted in the January 1986 *Liberty Bell* [Reprints of this article are available from Liberty Bell Publications]. Further historical evidence is also on Remer's side when one considers the events of 1918-1921 in Poland, Germany, and Hungary.

One of the most "ponderable quotes" in Remer's book (p. 310) is the following:

The question as to what would have happened if the undertaking of the 20th of July 1944 had been successful is a superfluous one. The German eastern front, which was involved in extremely serious defensive battles at just that time, would undoubtedly have collapsed as a result of the civil war which would necessarily have broken out and the attendant interruption of supplies. A collapse of the eastern front, however, would not only have meant the deportation of further millions of German soldiers into the death camps of Russian captivity, but would also have prevented the evacuation of innumerable women and children who had their homes in the eastern territories of the Reich or who had been evacuated to those areas as a result of the terror attacks from the air by the western Allies.


Remer expresses a similar sentiment on the bottom of page 44, where he states that the Russians would presently be on the Rhine.

One theme which appears in various parts of the book is the question of psychological motivations of those who became disloyal to Hitler. An aspect which is strongly emphasized is the hostility of the nobility toward Hitler. The chapter heading on Stauffenberg (p. 67) pointedly gives the count's full title. Quite impressive is the statistical argument on p. 324; of 161

persons involved in the revolt of 20 July 1944 listed as having lost their lives in connection with it by suicide, execution, and shooting, 70 were officers, and of these, 55 were members of the nobility. The valuable appendix on pages 320-324 lists the names, positions, and causes of death (execution, suicide, etc.) of the 161 conspirators. I found this appendix very helpful, as was the index of names on pp. 326-334.

Remer's bibliography (pp. 335-336) indicates his familiarity with the literature in the area with which his book deals. He frequently mentions David Irving's works but disputes them in some instances, such as the question of Rommel's disloyalty (pp. 146-158; certainly one of the most important sections of the book). Remer's intimate knowledge of German military life makes his arguments against some aspects of Irving's biography of Rommel seem quite convincing.

Within the confines of this book review, I have been able to give only rather brief hints of the contents of this rather large book. Anyone who wants to grasp the most important aspects of the military and political history of World War II, especially in its later stages, should be familiar with the contents of this book. There have been many propagandistic distortions of the matters which it covers. Neither the information nor arguments in this book can be lightly dismissed. They have been written by a perceptive and articulate man who was close to the action and who has, since 1944, been forced by personal circumstances to devote much thought and research to the historical, psychological, and political problems in this area. □



To bear
Witness
To the
Truth!

LIBERTY BELL

NETWORK

COMPUTER INFORMATION NETWORK
AND DATA BASE

The Hot-Line

West Virginia

304-927-1773

Chicago

312-863-4347

NO I.D. REQUIRED
FREE ACCESS

NOTHING BUT HARD
FACTS! NO GAMES —
NO FICTION!

ON LINE 24 HOURS
300/1200 BAUD SERVICE

ON THE WORD HOLOCAUST

by
Isaac Rosenbloom

As we pause to reflect upon the 6th day of the 6th month when our troops landed upon those shores during that 6-year-war during which 6 million *innocent Jewish people* perished, we should, perhaps, let our memories drift back to the 6th year before that war commenced. That was the year when the one and only Samuel Untermyer announced our *Holy War* against Nazi Germany on the 6th day of the 6th month of the Jewish year. Since that time, few actually realize the origin of the Holocaust Legend had its roots in a rather obscure corner of Canada.

Dr. Yeshuva D'Talma, a lesser known anthropologist, was busily studying the nocturnal habits of the Algonquin Indians. This was in 1934 during a rather hot summer. For some reason or other, the Algonquins reminded the doctor of the Germans. At least, that is what we have been told. There were two curious features of those Indians that puzzled kindly Dr. D'Talma. The first being their strong tendency to travel in groups of 6. The second feature was the continual utterance of "ho-lo, ho-lo." Being an astute researcher, it didn't take long for the good doctor to lay bare this enigma. In fact, it took him exactly 6 months to complete his notes.

The Algonquins were not specialized farmers, but they did manage to grow profuse crops of beans. Following the harvest, they concocted an edible mush by mashing boiled beans with wild leeks. On this concoction they gorged themselves. Harvest time was a period of extreme flatulence and the Indians were heard to frequently mutter "ho-lo, ho-lo." As Dr. D'Talma discovered, the term "ho-lo" is equivalent to the English "I've been gassed." The germ of an idea had entered the Doctor's mind.

The idea of being gassed was certainly a good one, but "ho-lo" lacked a necessary forceful quality. If one was going to be gassed, it must be done with malicious corrosiveness, something utterly caustic. "Holy pawn shops!", the doctor cried, "That's it! Ho-lo-caustic." Thus, on the 600th day following

the famous Untermeyer speech, a new adjective was born which was later to appear as the noun *Holocaust*.

As I dwell on these tales of the past, here in the 6th decade, I cannot escape the feelings of unfairness when we discuss that myriad of "survivors." Certainly, some "survivors" must have "survived" more than others. It is therefore fitting that I propose 6 classifications of the title *Survivor*.

1. **Survivor with Distinction:** Anyone who was gassed 6 times at 6 different delousing centers.
2. **Survivor with Honors:** One who was gassed 6 times at the same facility.
3. **Survivor 1st Class:** Gassed on at least one occasion and being personally acquainted with 6 more who were gassed.
4. **Survivor 2nd Class:** Nearly gassed and having 6 relatives who were nearly gassed.
5. **Survivor 3rd Class:** Heard at least 6 rumors concerning at least 6 people who were nearly gassed.
6. **Survivor Apprentice:** Spent 6 months at Fort Dix during the 6 year period 1939-1945, counting blankets.

While the work is sketchy, I would hope that it might provide the seminal framework for a fair classification that would endure for at least 6 centuries. Perhaps we could form 6 committees of 6 members each to study the proposal.

Anyway, my biology teacher said that 6 fingers was a dominant trait among the *goyim*. □



THE "HOLOCAUST" — FACT OR FICTION?

Were six million Jews really gassed
... or has a colossal hoax been
perpetrated on the world?

Professor Butz has carefully investigated the alleged extermination of 6 million Jews during WW II and has written a book which thoroughly documents his startling findings. His book strips away the cover of fraud and deceit from this emotion-charged topic and lays bare the full and complete truth.

THE HOAX OF THE 20th CENTURY
ORDER No.: 8012 0 \$7.00

HELP US SPREAD THE TRUTH!

Liberty Bell Publications, Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA

KEEP THE LIBERTY BELL RINGING!

Please remember: *Our* fight is *Your* fight! Donate whatever you can spare on a regular—monthly or quarterly—basis. Whether it is \$2., \$5., \$20., or \$100. or more, rest assured it is needed here and will be used in our common struggle. If you are a businessman, postage stamps in any denomination, are a legitimate business expense—and we need and use many of these here every month, and will be gratefully accepted as donations.

Your donations will help us spread the *Message of Liberty* and *White Survival* throughout the land, by making available additional copies of our printed material to fellow Whites who do not yet know what is in store for them.

Order our pamphlets, booklets, stickers, and—most importantly—our reprints which are ideally suited for mass distribution at reasonable cost. Order extra copies of *Liberty Bell* for distribution to your circle of friends, neighbors and relatives, urging them to subscribe to our unique publication. Our bulk prices are shown on the inside front cover of every issue of *Liberty Bell*.

Pass along your copy of *Liberty Bell*, and copies of reprints you obtained from us, to friends and acquaintances who may be on our 'wave length,' and urge them to contact us for more of the same.

Carry on the fight to free our White people from the shackles of alien domination, even if you can only join our ranks in spirit. You can provide for this by bequest. The following are suggested forms of bequests which you may include in your Last Will and Testament:

1. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the sum of \$ for general purposes.

2. I bequeath to Mr. George P. Dietz, as Trustee for Liberty Bell Publications, P.O. Box 21, Reedy WV 25270 USA, the following described property for general purposes.

**DO YOUR PART TODAY -- HELP FREE OUR WHITE
RACE FROM ALIEN DOMINATION!**