Searching for Camelot
The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

☐ The U.S. Navy has hired racist Spike Lee—the black who called for shooting Charlton Heston—to make six TV shots designed to boost falling Navy enlistment. For every black it attracts it will chase away two whites.

☐ Much married, heart-troubled cadaverous Larry King looks as though he may soon be interviewing his Maker and none too soon.

☐ Hillary, whose poll numbers soared because of her "stoicism" during the public airing of hubby's sexcapades, is now going public with her feelings about it all. She's no doubt been told if she "lets it all hang out" she'll get more sympathy and ego more votes. If true, this will be one of the first times in history that a pol has been elected because of his or her "victim" status. I sincerely hope the other voters of New York (forget the solid Democratic Negro bloc in Zoo City) have the sense to turn thumbs down on this venal, monumental hypocrisy.

☐ Christianity is a blank check for Jews and Israel.

I still think Bonfire of the Vanities was the best modern fiction I've ever read. Tom Wolfe can score real bull's-eyes on racial matters. The book was so good, Hollywood had to bastardize the story and switch the racial roles to assure the movie's resounding and unqualified failure.

☐ The scorpion stings itself to death when surrender is inevitable. I don't fancy Masada as a template for my people or, for that matter, myself. I prefer the Alamo scenario!

☐ Clinton was fiercely opposed to the Vietnam War. He was overly enthusiastic about Kosovo. Ever heard Bill complain about Fidel?

☐ Religion is imagination without knowledge, with consequences!

☐ "One day," so said Tomas Borge, Interior Minister of Nicaragua, "we're going to take five to ten million Mexicans and cross the border into Dallas, El Paso, Houston, New Mexico and San Diego. Each will have imbedded in his mind the idea of killing ten Americans."

☐ I once made a small study of propaganda. There are several layers, but the most sophisticated variety requires some intelligence. The best way to deal with it is to swallow it all and regurgitate what doesn't suit you.

☐ While the Jews were concocting Yiddish, the Arabs invented the astrolabe (A.D. 850).

☐ There are only two things wrong with this country: (1) The people are abysmally dull, totally without intuition and don't have the vaguest notion of what thinking is; (2) Same thing.

☐ The Holocaust and all that goes with it is purely Talmudic and destructive. Now that we know more about it, it looks even worse. When Joshua "fit" the battle of Jericho, his men went into the city and slaughtered every living thing. They wanted that spring of good water. I don't think the Jews' state of mind has changed much.

☐ I didn't know much about Jews until after WWII, when I got curious and began to read and study. Lord, what a revelation! The more I read the worse it got.

☐ Now and then I reflect on a line from a David Mamet film: "Jews have been so persecuted everywhere, they must be at least partly to blame."

☐ A more accurate term for the "Silent Majority" is the "Silenced Majority."

☐ In at least one District of Columbia school it's dangerous to have your name appear on the Honor Roll, particularly if you are a Negro male and "acting white."

☐ Hebrew is not the Jewish language. Aramaic was replacing Hebrew 500 years before the birth of Christ. By 200 B.C. Hebrew was gone.

☐ Being quite capable of reading the American newspapers and seeing the handwriting on the wall, the Republic of China in Taiwan has taken initial diplomatic steps to safeguard its existence by...
The Safety Valve

saying the possibility of assuming complete sovereignty. After the revelations that the Communist mainland has had access to secret U.S. rocket and missile technology and that Communist money has found its way into the pockets of highly placed U.S. government officials, Taiwan is convinced the fix is in. They want no part of a one-China policy that is dominated by Communist bosses in Beijing. The present incumbent president of Taiwan has no intention of following the path into oblivion that Syngman Rhee, the Diem family, Ferdinand Marcos and Reza Pahlevi were forced to traverse.

This is now the land of skim milk and corn syrup. The only people who really love America anymore are the well-paid do-gooders who, for some reason, are draping themselves in the flag. We who sneer at them are traitors.

Whatever you may think of David Duke, he recognized that something had failed and there is a racial crisis. It continues.

I like the Vikings. They were pure brutes, cruel, merciless, acquisitive, shameless, with only the ethics of the pack. With the help of these attributes they stole everything in sight, made and sold slaves, created the Duchy of Normandy which conquered England and dominated the Slavs (the Rus). Those young men from the fjords were really on the make—and on the take.

The N.Y. Times had almost a full page obituary of black activist James Farmer. It recounts that although shielded from racism he became "determined to do something about it." Near the end of the obit it reveals that he married Lula A. Peterson, a white woman, and produced two hybrid daughters.

In June the President promulgated on CNN what he called, "The Clinton Doctrine": Whenever and wherever people are abused anywhere in the world we will intervene. We will do so in Africa, "if such things happen again." Huh? Hasn't the CIA told Clinton that such conditions obtain in most if not all sub-

Saharan Africa? Bosnia has cost us billions. Fellow taxpayers, get ready to pay the bill for Bill!

I agree that there may be hell to pay in this country eventually and somebody who can make sensible decisions will be necessary. That's about as far as I can go. What the famous Dispossessed Majority should do is push young white folks into universities and demand excellence. Is this happening?

If the Chinese are so smart and so good at math and science, why do they have to steal U.S. missile secrets?

It is a curious fact that Israel Shahak, along with other dissidents, is allowed to speak freely in Israel, but provokes demonstrations in the U.S. by Bronfman and his gang. Israelis in Israel are ferociously antiblack, much more so than the average American. Shahak's description of Talmudic views on Negroes is devastating.

TV is a waste of time. You run through that largely boring, vapid, terrifying, gruesome, violent, silly, asinine stuff in the hope of finding something "with redeeming social value."

Some jokester said the difference between the cops and the bad guys is the uniform.

America simply can't close its borders and expect things to get better. Mass deportations of illegals, Third Worlders and parasites must be undertaken to get this nation turned around.

Immigration is a weapon. The womb is a weapon. My firearm was the first constitutionally protected weapon, yet it may also be the first to be outlawed.

Every so often I think about how our people (the race that founded and built the U.S.) stand by and watch as racist minorities make us "uncomfortable" in our own homeland.

After I saw a CNN interview with Mrs. Bill Bradley on her new book, I put down my last cent betting on the former senator winning the presidency. Her topic was "The Holocaust," which she discussed with the proper mix of sympathy, anguish and enthusiasm, pointing out that the subject has hardly been explored! Tipper's psycho problems can't top this!

When Hillary is elected President in 2008, I wonder what she'll do in the White House. If what many close to her say, maybe she'd be not only the first woman President, but the first lesbian occupant of the Oval Office.

Civilization is pure illusion. When there are no longer enough sensible men to maintain the illusion, it dissolves into anti-civilization. We are already heading in that direction.

Tolerance in working-class America allows Joe Sixpack the time to swill another beer before having to pay the piper. Joe's glazed-over apathy has guaranteed inevitable anarchy.

Organized religion must be made aware that it is either for us or against us.

I have no particular brief to make for Herr Hitler. Although Plato and Hobbes both make powerful arguments for Leviathan, I am no fan of repression. I have no illusions about "democracy," especially the kind fostered by filthy lucre in America. Nor have I any illusions about "the people." In my experience most are no better than they need be.

The exaggerated injection of "diversity" on British TV jars more than it does in the U.S. because we Brits are not yet as "enriched" by dark skins as you Americans are. If diversity is so great, where are the laments that so few non-white countries enjoy it?

Many Jewish experts on the Holocaust aver that virtually all German Gentiles were anti-Semites in the Nazi era. Isn't stereotyping an entire group hate speech?
Camelot Ain’t So Hot

It wasn’t the death of Siegfried, merely the passing of a “hunk,” but the obsequies went on day after day, night after night, until any reasonable person had to hold his nose and cry, “Enough!”

Old Joe, the founder of the Kennedy dynasty, made his millions in the prohibition era when, according to those in the know, he was a super-bootlegger. He made so much money in this “profession” that he was able to set up the Kennedys financially for decades to come. Indeed he became so rich he was able to buy the sexual favors of film star Gloria Swanson, the Marilyn Monroe of her time. (Speaking of Marilyn, both JFK and brother Bobby shared her bed.)

Old Joe’s fortune played a large part in buying the presidency for son John. Clinton, it might be noted, was not the first one to turn the White House into a bordello. JFK escorted a Mafia moll, Judith Exner, into the family quarters of the White House when Jackie was off somewhere. Exner also happened to be the mistress of the murderous Chicago gangster, Sam Giancana. For a President to share a whore with a gangster chief was not exactly the kosher thing to do.

Brother Bobby, in his truncated run for the presidency, sucked up so pridelessly to Israel that he drove one dispossessed Palestinian, Sirhan Sirhan, to take justice into his own hands.

What a callous crew! Senator Teddy left his date to drown in the waters of Chappaquiddick. He was too busy saving his own skin to bother about Mary Jo. For contributing to someone’s death, for leaving the scene of an accident, for trying to cover up by cooking up some cockamamie story, an ordinary mortal would have landed in the slammer. Teddy never spent a minute behind bars.

As for other citizens of Camelot, David, one of Bobby’s sons, died of a drug overdose in a chintzy hotel in Palm Beach. Bobby Jr. was arrested for possession of heroin. And let us not forget Michael who, after confessing he had slept with his 14-year-old babysitter, bashed out his brains by slamming into a tree in a Colorado ski resort. Another cousin, William Smith, was tried for rape and acquitted in a trial attended by almost all the Kennedy clan. Then there was Joe Jr., another of Ethel’s 11 offspring, who took a girlfriend for a wild ride in a Jeep, which he managed to overturn, permanently paralyzing her from the waist down.

Mother Jacqueline is not the goddess she is cracked up to be. After burying JFK, she married Aristotle Onassis, who was willing to pay her millions to engage in a loveless, sexless marriage and become his trophy wife. He was preparing to divorce her when he died. Not too many years later Maurice Tempelsman, a sharp-eyed Jewish diamond trader, who supposedly increased Jackie’s $20 million tenfold, moved in with Jackie, a relationship never blessed by marriage. Tempelsman, incidentally, was not the only Jew to penetrate the Kennedy inner circle. Caroline, the late JFK Jr.’s sister, married New Yorker Edwin Schlossberg.

JFK Jr. may have looked good, but he was not too smart. It took him three tries to pass the New York State Bar Exam and, as events proved, he was not the best of pilots. His magazine, George, made a big splash when it first came out, but at last report, it was losing money by the barrel.

Did the death of JFK Jr. deserve such orgiastic and endless obsequies? Does anyone believe that the death of, say, President Eisenhower’s son would have crowded out the rest of the world’s news for almost a week? The late JFK Jr. was handsome, affable, but his achievements were meager. He was no Sir Lancelot. Piloting two superior Majority women to their deaths is hardly memorable.

The performance of a country can best be measured by its leadership. The Kennedy godfathers may not be as deceitful and sexually perverted as President Clinton, but they come awfully close.

When, if ever will we come to understand that America’s “Royal Family” is anything but royal?
Kosovo—Dangerous Precedent for Intervention

The totally inept and unqualified cabal of foreign policy advisors surrounding President Clinton has produced in Kosovo a sputtering fuse that could well nullify all the gains made by the West since the collapse of the Soviet Union. The use of NATO in the service of supranational powers to launch an aggressive strike against a sovereign European state not only violates the raison d'être for NATO’s existence, but also makes a shambles of international law. The official reason given for NATO’s air attacks was ostensibly to put a halt to alleged Serbian attempts to repress and expel Albanians living in Kosovo province. Instead, the rain of death from the skies has only aggravated and intensified the ethnic problem.

NATO’s mission has been subverted at precisely the time the West has been attempting to expand the membership of the alliance. Ideally that expansion would embrace and unite all the European countries, including the Baltic states, Ukraine and Russia itself. This, together with Siberia, would lead to a United States of Europe extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific, much as the U.S. spans North America. That goal has now been seriously jeopardized. In the future, European states will almost certainly want more control over NATO. Russia will be forced to strengthen its armed forces and its sub rosa military alliance with China.

While in time Serbian President Slobodan Milosevic may be forced to leave office, his deputies are reported to be even more hard-nosed. The quick-witted action of Russia’s Colonel General Viktor Zavarzin in taking over the Pristina airfield and injecting Russian forces into Kosovo ensures that Serbian interests will have some protection. General Zavarzin was awarded a medal for his action by President Yeltsin. Moreover the Russian military is now convinced that NATO is a threat to Russia’s interests. Moscow is now convinced that the U.S. and its allies, having failed to heed Russia’s plea to cease the bombing, only understand force.

The ill-conceived intervention by U.S. and NATO forces in the affairs of a sovereign state under the guise of protecting an endangered minority has now established a dangerous precedent for similar actions—not just for NATO forces but for Russian forces as well. In the Baltic states are hundreds of thousands of ethnic Russians who arrived with and after the conquering Soviet hordes. In effect, they replaced the hundreds of thousands of Lithuanians, Latvians and Estonians who were either murdered outright or sent to Soviet death camps. Now, as a result of NATO’s bullying intervention, the Russians have an excellent excuse for intervening in the Baltic states should they decide that the ethnic Russians residing there are being ill-treated or discriminated against.

Russia’s first large-scale military maneuvers since the collapse of the U.S.S.R., conducted in late June by Minister of Defense Marshal Sergeyev, were intended to demonstrate how Russia would react if a group of European states, including the Baltic republics, were in any way to discriminate against ethnic Russians residing in those countries. If some European states were to invade the Kaliningrad, Pskov, Belarus or the Novgorod districts, they would be met with the full offensive might of Russia, including tactical nuclear weapons.

Has everyone forgotten the origins of WWII? After WWI the “best” minds of the victorious allies decided to put millions of ethnic Germans under the control of such artificially constructed political entities as Czechoslovakia and Poland. Commencing in 1921 ethnic conflicts arose between the second-class German minorities in those countries and the Polish and Czech majorities. German property was confiscated and German lives lost, culminating in the infamous Bromberg mass murder of 1,000 ethnic Germans in Poland. Because the diplomats could not or would not resolve the Polish question peacefully, Hitler invaded Poland. The carnage on both sides continued for six years, extinguishing some 25 million lives.

Several important lessons should be learned about ethnic politics. First, foreign nationals should never be subjugated to another country as a form of punishment. Second, as far as possible, ethnostates are preferable to so-called “multicultural” states. Third, outsiders should not militarily attack another country to rescue an allegedly persecuted minority. Diplomatic and economic sanctions are the best means of settlement. In most cases, the host nation of an undesirable minority is only too happy to have other countries absorb the ethnics who wish to leave.

NATO rubble-makers at work
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Sherwood Anderson, a leading literary light of the 1920s, is not widely read any more. In bookstores one occasionally comes across *Winesburg, Ohio*, his collection of stories about life in a small midwestern town, but his other works are difficult to come by. Among these hard-to-find volumes is *Dark Laughter*, a 1925 bestseller that was popular enough to inspire a satire by none other than Ernest Hemingway. *Dark Laughter* is of special interest to Instaurationists and is ripe for closer study. At times, the novel appears hopelessly dated. At other times it reads as though it was written yesterday.

The novel concerns one John Stockton, a disillusioned Chicago newspaper reporter who assumes the name Bruce Dudley and returns to Old Harbor (IN), the Ohio River hamlet where he spent his boyhood. While there, he goes to work in an automobile wheel factory owned by Fred Gray, a WWI vet and the town's leading citizen. Later while working as a gardener at the Gray household, Dudley sleeps with the boss's wife and eventually runs away with her.

The "dark laughter" of the title refers to Negro guffaws at the expense of the silly white folks who needlessly complicate and clutter up their lives. As Anderson puts it:

> Perhaps white men's getting on so fast in life, having newspapers, advertising, great cities, smart clever minds, ruling the world, had cost them more than they had gained. They hadn't gained that much [p. 108].

Such a statement is debatable, but it would be a rare white man indeed who hasn't occasionally wondered if it isn't time to "give it back to the chipmunks," as a former boss of mine was wont to say.

Anderson’s portrayal of blacks doesn't even rise to the level of stereotyping. Writing at the time of the Harlem Renaissance, it is obvious that he was influenced by the black presence that was cakewalking towards the center stage of American consciousness. In the book, however, blacks are depicted as a force of nature, much as the Ohio River imagery that figures throughout the novel. There is not a single black character with a name in the whole novel. As the following passages make very clear, Anderson’s use of the "N" word is casual if not cavalier. Even when he doesn’t use the word, his imagery is less than flattering:

> Niggers on the docks, niggers in the city streets, niggers laughing. A slow dance always going on. . . Clean ships, dirty tramp ships, half-naked niggers— a shadow dance. . . .

> A brown woman having thirteen children—a different man for every child—going to church too, singing, broad shoulders, broad hips, soft eyes, a soft laughing voice—getting God on Sunday night—getting—what—on Wednesday night [p. 75]?!

> On Sundays—when they go to church, or to a bayou baptizing, the brown girls do sure cut loose with the colors—gaudy nigger colors on nigger women making the streets flame—deep purples, reds, yellows, green like young corn-shoots coming up. They sweat. The skin colors brown, golden yellow, reddish brown, purple-brown.

> Their thick lips were walls under which the tone hid. Unconscious love of inanimate things lost to the whites—skies, the river, a moving boat—black mysticism—never expressed except in song or in the movements of bodies [p. 106].

> You can't ever tell what a negro woman thinks or feels. They are like children looking at you with their strangely soft innocent eyes. White eyes, white teeth in a brown face—laughter [p. 266].

> When a nigger woman wants to go live with another man she does. Negro men and women "takes up" with each other. . . White women furnish negro women with endless hours of amusement [p. 291].
If you are a public high school English teacher with an eye on early retirement, the best way to achieve same would be to include Dark Laughter on your required reading list. Anderson is holding up the “natural” Negro as a foil to the hopelessly complex white man, but don’t expect that interpretation to get you off the hook when the school board stages an inquisition!

Anderson’s treatment of blacks, while not inaccurate, seems less than complete. His portrayal of white folks, however, is right on the mark. In fact, one is struck by how contemporary the white characters are. The Stockton/Dudley character is every inch the modern man, disillusioned with his job, but not sure in which direction to go. He’s sure there’s something more to life but he doesn’t know what it is. He’s married to a “modern” career woman who won’t give him a family and can’t give him what he wants—even though he isn’t sure what it is.

Fred Gray is the businessman wrapped up in his work. His wife has money, status and social position but she is still unfulfilled. But it’s doubtful if she could verbalize her desires. Everybody’s after something ineffable. One can’t help but feel that these characters are the advance guard of consumer society and the intertwining of desire and dissatisfaction that drives it. It is worth noting that Anderson worked as an advertising copywriter in Chicago for several years.

Along with a number of his Roaring 20s peers, Anderson felt that Americans were shackled by a Puritanical heritage. In the 75 years since he and his cohorts exhorted Americans to throw off their chains, the results have been less than encouraging. One can’t help but wonder what Anderson would think if he could experience America on the brink of the millennium. The national character is anything but puritanical. And the national color is definitely darker but it’s no laughing matter any more. If indeed it ever was.

JUDSON HAMMON

*The Torrents of Spring is perhaps Hemingway’s most inaccessible work of fiction—and with good reason. Dark Laughter itself is hard to find and anyone who attempts to read The Torrents of Spring without first reading Dark Laughter just won’t “get it.” Even if the reader does “get it,” the book is not exactly a laugh riot.

Episode 8, Star Wars

In their millions they come. From the ethical wasteland that is modern America, they come in search of a system that gives form to feeling. An inexplicable emotional twinge has reawakened a deep structure they were told did not exist. The barest glimpse of Jungian archetypes has ignited the primal need for a myth to live by. And so they come to experience a Gesamtkunstwerk, complete with musical leitmotifs, whose message is that emotion outranks reason and that intuition is more important than intellect.

In the moral relativism of our depraved and materialistic country, we have had a peek at medieval knightly Orders. Without any conscious knowledge or real experience to prepare them, the young males of America’s Dispossessed Majority have had their racial memories reigned. They have responded to a grand synthesis of epic journeys and spirit guides, presented as a religion without the historical baggage.

A transcendent idealism that underlies all action is a basic requirement in new religions. It has motivated church revivals and children’s crusades. It is the religious instinct in its purest form, prior to being contaminated by dogma and hypocrisy. Advanced theologies are generally known for their lack of innocence and passion. New religious narratives create a spontaneous energy and enthusiasm. That is why some in the audience have returned 40 and 50 times, until they have learned to recite the catechism of Star Wars by heart.

The narrative’s author uses modern reference points in order to make the story look familiar. His evil Galactic Empire is a thinly disguised rendering of robotic Prussian militarism. But the one-dimensional stereotype has an interesting twist. The central character of Darth Vader, who outwardly serves the evil Empire, openly criticizes the soulless materialism which animates it. Surrounded by nonbelievers he remains true to his “ancient religion.” It is that faith which ultimately makes him the facilitator (if not the instrument) of redemption. Likewise, Vader’s Order of the Jedi Knights, once hunted to the edge of extinction, endure their persecution and emerge triumphant. Great myths are full of such magical transformations.

Let us remember that the defining moment of our century was a world conflict between a soulless materialism, and a more traditional, organic view of life. By extending this parallel a bit further, Vader and his Jedi become the fictional and mythical representations of an actual historical figure, and his now reviled organization of elite warriors. Unlike the fictional Jedi, however, this real group of warriors—who guarded our civilization—will perish completely before any lasting legend emerges. That emergence may be two centuries in the future.

The early Christians conquered their temporal defeats by moving from life into myth. The elements of that triumph were present from the start. Only time was needed for the Christians’ example to be realized. Conditions are exactly the same right now. Martyrdoms remembered can produce victories of faith. From savage persecution comes death and transfiguration. It is the stuff that religions are made of.

Would the creator of Star Wars agree with any of this? Not a chance. But it is tempting to think that even George Lucas may not fully understand how his unconscious is working.
The Senate vote to restore the wartime ranks of Admiral Husband Kimmel and General Walter Short is a welcome step in the right direction. However the statement by Kimmel that “all the facts are in on this thing” is unfortunately false. The true background of Pearl Harbor as well as the many important pieces of the puzzle are still virtually unknown to the average American. In the Statesmen Journal (Dec. 7, 1991) of Salem (OR), Roger A. Stolley provides a sample of what has been concealed. He reveals that he had worked in a civilian capacity for Lt. Colonel Clifford M. Andrew, a former U.S. Army intelligence officer, who temporarily was assistant chief of staff, military intelligence, general staff, U.S. Army. According to Stolley, Andrew told him:

Five men were directly responsible for what happened at Pearl Harbor. I am one of those five men. . . . We knew well in advance that the Japanese were going to attack. At least nine months before the Japanese attack upon Pearl Harbor, I was assigned to prepare for it.

I was operating under the direct orders of the President of the United States and was ordered not to give vital intelligence information relating to the whereabouts of the Japanese fleet to our commanders in the field.

We had broken the Japanese code. . . . We'd been monitoring all their communications for months prior to the attack. . . . It was a lie that we didn't have direct radio communications with Washington, D.C.

It was at least 48 hours before the attack that I personally received the most tragic message of my life. . . . which was top secret and coded, which my radio operator handed to me. I had the code book and decoded it. The basic text of the message ran: “The Japanese will attack at [a specified time]. Do not prepare retaliatory forces. We need the full support of the American nation in a wartime effort by an unprovoked attack upon the nation in order to obtain a declaration of war.”

That message and my 40 file cabinets of top secret information on Pearl Harbor were taken out and burned by myself and two other witnessing intelligence officers so that the Congressional investigation could not get to the truth as to what actually did happen at Pearl Harbor.

Additional corroboration for Stolley’s claims comes from other retired Army and Navy personnel. In the San Francisco Chronicle (Dec. 17, 1981), former U.S. Army Colonel John W. Carothers told of an address he heard from Major Warren J. Clear, head of U.S. Army Intelligence in the Far East. Carothers quoted Clear as saying:

I had an excellent organization in Japan. It consisted largely of Koreans who hated the Japanese because Japan was then occupying their country. Regarding the Japanese army, which invaded the Philippines, I knew the designation and strengths of the units, the names of the ships on which they were to sail, the dates of departure, the names of the ports of destination in the Philippines. All of this information was on President Roosevelt’s desk 48 hours before the attack on Pearl Harbor.

In commenting on this important revelation, Dr. James J. Martin had this to say in the Journal of Historical Review (January/February 1995):

In mulling over this declaration there has been a revisionist assumption that if U.S. Army Intelligence had a full, detailed report on the Japanese Navy task force which had departed for the Philippines, was it not logical to conclude that the same Korean dock worker spies had also furnished the details on the Hawaii-bound fleet that had sailed a few days earlier? Perhaps this might have been taken up in the book Colonel Clear was writing about Pearl Harbor. But it was never published and the manuscript disappeared after the demise of the author in 1980. This was the fate of another Pearl Harbor book that never saw print, the work of U.S. Navy (Ret.) Commander Charles C. Hiles. It also vanished after it’s author’s death. From what has been said about them informally, the Clear and Hiles books “contained dynamite,” as the expression goes.
Kimmel and Short were left badly in the dark by the Roosevelt administration. For years FDR had been deliberately planning for war behind the backs of the American people. He had rejected without a hearing all the Japanese peace offers going back as far as January 1941. He had deliberately moved the Pacific fleet from its base at San Diego to Pearl Harbor over the express objections of Admiral James O. Richardson, who had specifically warned FDR of the danger of enemy attack. The Roosevelt administration was constantly increasing economic pressure on Japan through oil and precious metals embargoes. Japanese assets in the U.S. were frozen. FDR shared none of the intercepted Japanese diplomatic messages with the Pearl Harbor command. Neither Kimmel nor Short knew how dangerously close to war the U.S. and Japan had come. All they were given was a woefully inadequate and completely meaningless “warning.” The subsequent Naval Court of Inquiry found that the message sent by Admiral Stark to Kimmel “directed attention away from Pearl Harbor rather than toward it.”

Harry Elmer Barnes wrote in Pearl Harbor After a Quarter of a Century (pp. 63-64):

From the time Kimmel assumed command at Pearl Harbor in February 1941, both he and Short had frequently mentioned and discussed the possibility of a surprise Japanese attack. . . . [They] recognized the action and supplies needed to turn back such an attack. They had vainly requested the equipment required to effectively carry out adequate and continued reconnaissance and [were needed] to destroy or cripple any Japanese attack force approaching Pearl Harbor. . . . [But] they had received virtually nothing down to the Pearl Harbor attack. As noted earlier, on April 9, 1941, General Frederick L. Martin and Admiral Patrick N.L. Bellinger, commanders of the Army and Navy air forces in Hawaii, handed in a report about the feasibility and danger of a Japanese surprise attack on Pearl Harbor that was virtually identical to the plan [Admiral] Yamamoto actually carried out. . . . It was carefully studied by Short and Kimmel and was forwarded to Washington on April 14, but without any response directing appropriate defensive operations at Pearl Harbor or supplying adequate equipment.

Early in 1941, Admiral Bloch had asked for one hundred additional patrol planes that would be needed for effective reconnaissance, and Short had requested 130 B-17 bombers needed for both reconnaissance and attack on an approaching Japanese task force. These planes were promised but never delivered. None of the hundred was ever sent to Admiral Bloch for naval reconnaissance at Pearl Harbor, and a scant twelve B-17 bombers were sent to Short, only six of which were suitable for use after they arrived.

The nine postwar investigations of Pearl Harbor were a collective disgrace. While Admiral Kimmel was being condemned for dereliction of duty, George C. Marshall, Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army, was unable (or unwilling) to remember what he had been doing on the evening of December 6, 1941. He “could not recall” exactly what time he had gotten to his office on that fateful morning of December 7. Marshall was given the sweetheart treatment, while Kimmel was forced to take the heat. Many honest officers, such as Commander Kramer at the Naval Communications Center in Cheltenham (MD), testified that the famous “East Wind Rain” decrypt indicating a Japanese attack at Pearl Harbor had been received and forwarded on to superior officers for transmission to the White House. Commander Kramer was practically ordered to change his testimony, but he never backed down. Many of his fellow officers did.

The man truly responsible for Pearl Harbor, FDR, was as enamored of Stalinist Russia as our current President is of Red China. This man lied his country into WWII as casually and with as little conscience as our current President lied his way through depositions and the assault on Serbia. The man truly responsible for Pearl Harbor is a media hero, just like Bill Clinton. That is why the full story of Pearl Harbor will never be uncovered any more than the full story of Bill Clinton will ever be uncovered.
Deconstructing WWII

As we approach the end of this century, I’ve been looking forward to some interesting television documentaries showing those great events that have shaped the history of the past 100 years. In particular, I’ve been anticipating a serious televised review of that most cataclysmic event, WWII, especially in light of all the new information that has been uncovered in the past two decades. British authors such as David Irving and John Charmley have given us new insights into the minds and motivations of the famous war leaders on both sides. Declassified material from both Allied and Russian files has provided us with facts which now make a mockery out of earlier written histories of the war. In short, there are a lot of questions about this greatest of all conflicts which many of us would like answered but so far no television documentary has attempted to tread on this new and fertile ground. Instead we are peddled the same simplistic, flag-waving, grade-school history that we were being fed in 1945—almost word for word with no modern updates. Rather than delve into the intrigues, double-crosses, deceptions, treacheries and betrayals that have been revealed in recent years, those who produce televised reflections on that horrific and massive upheaval tend to put it into childlike Dick and Jane phrases that would not challenge the mentality of a 5-year-old.

Conventional TV histories of the war tend to go like this:

Adolf Hitler was a madman. He tried to take over the world. His armies overran Europe and threatened to invade Britain. But Winston Churchill rallied his people with stirring speeches and they stood alone against Hitler. Then, America joined in and the Allied armies slowly drove the Germans back until Berlin was surrounded. Raving insanely because he had lost the war, Hitler committed suicide in his bunker and the war was over. The End.

That’s strange. I could have sworn that I heard the exact same story in a Paramount movie newsreel at the end of the war when I was just a kid! Why are we not given a more in-depth look at this war that killed millions and re-shaped the history of the past 100 years. In particular, I’ve been anticipating a serious televised review of that most cataclysmic event, WWII, especially in light of all the new information that has been uncovered in the past two decades. British authors such as David Irving and John Charmley have given us new insights into the minds and motivations of the famous war leaders on both sides. Declassified material from both Allied and Russian files has provided us with facts which now make a mockery out of earlier written histories of the war. In short, there are a lot of questions about this greatest of all conflicts which many of us would like answered but so far no television documentary has attempted to tread on this new and fertile ground. Instead we are peddled the same simplistic, flag-waving, grade-school history that we were being fed in 1945—almost word for word with no modern updates. Rather than delve into the intrigues, double-crosses, deceptions, treacheries and betrayals that have been revealed in recent years, those who produce televised reflections on that horrific and massive upheaval tend to put it into childlike Dick and Jane phrases that would not challenge the mentality of a 5-year-old.

Conventional TV histories of the war tend to go like this:

Conventional TV histories of the war tend to go like this:

1. Did Rudolph Hess bring a peace plan with him when he flew to Britain in 1941? If so, why did Churchill have him locked up in isolation for the rest of the war?
2. Could Churchill have ended Britain’s role in the European war in the early years or did he keep it going in order to hang on to political power and to enhance his own historic legacy?
3. Was that smiling Irishman, Joseph P. Kennedy, the “mole” who was slipping British military secrets to the German Embassy in London before the war while he was America’s ambassador to the Court of St. James? Is that why President Roosevelt had him recalled?
4. Did Roosevelt enter the European war after Pearl Harbor was bombed in order to dissemble the British Empire, break Britain’s hold on much of the world’s trade and to ensure that America had a hand in controlling the fate of postwar Europe?
5. Did Eamonn de Valera allow German U-boats to refuel in Irish ports so that they could return to the North Atlantic and attack Allied convoys bound for Britain?
6. Did that long and bloody Italian campaign have any strategic importance or was it undertaken in answer to Joe Stalin’s charge that only the Russians were fighting Germans on European soil?
7. Did the Allies really have stockpiles of the chemical anthrax ready to be dropped on German cities if all else failed?
8. Could a peace deal have been brokered with Germany in 1944 or did Treasury Secretary Henry Morgenthau convince Roosevelt to press on with a policy of “unconditional surrender?”
9. At Yalta in 1945, did Roosevelt and Churchill secretly give Stalin the approval to keep all the captured territory his troops had overrun in Eastern Europe in order to ensure his military support until the war was over?
10. Finally, did we really defeat the German war machine in the conventional military sense or were we just lucky to have broken the Ultra secret which allowed us to read Germany’s coded messages?

As any documentary maker would be well aware, answering any of the above questions would reopen a lot of old wounds. Many would prefer to let sleeping dogs lie. This is particularly true of something like the Italian campaign. How could we now tell all those old G.I.’s, Tommies, Canucks and other Allied soldiers who fought their way through Anzio, Salerno, Monte Cassino and up and down a hundred muddy Italian hillsides and valleys that their sacrifices was all for nothing and that they were only sent there to placate Joe Stalin and show him that his troops were not fighting Germany alone?

What about the veterans of the air war? Do we tell those men who flew with the Eighth Air Force and Bomber Command that their terror-filled bombing missions through flak and fighter gun fire over the Ruhr Valley did only marginal damage to German war industries and contributed little to the final victory? It can, of course, be argued that both campaigns tied up a great many enemy
troops and resources and thus helped to weaken their war effort. Perhaps we should leave it at that; some truths are too painful to be explored. Maybe that’s why no present-day TV producer wishes to empty all of the skeletons out of the WWII closet. Obviously it is simply easier and less controversial for them to keep on running the same old newsreel clips and cover it with the same narrative that we’ve all heard for decades rather than do some hard research that might uncover a few very unpalatable facts. Any historic program which dared to venture down this forbidden path might very well stir up a hornets’ nest of protest and upset more than a few sponsors.

It can also be argued that viewers who are quite happy with the Jerry Springer Show and reruns of Seinfeld would pay scant attention to any such documentary. Schools and colleges of today tend to gloss over much of modern history, except for the Holocaust. Consequently today’s young people have little or no interest in an event that began 60 years ago. Of course, once all the WWII veterans are dead and buried, who will care if we learn something new and startling about that great conflict? Would you care if tomorrow you learned some hitherto unknown facts about the battles of Waterloo or Gettysburg?

Unfortunately I have no good answers to all of these questions. I certainly do not want to belittle the achievements of the men who fought that war nor do I wish to defame the memory of those who gave their lives in their country’s service. However, I do feel that where truth is concerned, we have to answer to a higher cause. It is doubtful that we can ever obtain total and absolute knowledge about any great historic event but it is within our power to uncover some long-hidden truths about WWII and pass them on to future generations. If we fail in this moral obligation and do not set the record straight, then this childish, simple-minded overview of the most calamitous event of the 20th century will be churned out ad nauseam and television viewers in some future century will be told, “Hitler was bad. Churchill and Roosevelt were good. They went to war. The Allies won. The Germans lost. The End.”

A CONCERNED SUBSCRIBER

---

**In Defense of Doug**

The alleged crimes of the indefatigable, unsilenceable, irreplaceable irrepressible ex-columnist Doug Collins:

(1) *Swindler’s List?* A harsh comment, but not altogether unfair to a movie so crudely propagandistic that some U.S. audiences (less gullible than ours?) were openly scornful of such a blatant plug for Zionism.

(2) *Jews run Hollywood?* When they own almost all the major movie studios, who’ll deny it? When they control the bulk of America’s opinion-forming media, when 57% of Canada’s daily papers are owned by a Jewish corporation based in New York, only an ignoramus would deny Jewish dominance in the mass media.

(3) *The Six Million inflated?* Of course it is! The Nazis never had that many Jews in their power, nor do pre- and postwar censuses of World Jewry allow room for an intervening drop of 6 or even 3 million. The Soviet-inspired figure of 4 million deaths in Auschwitz-Birkenau has now been replaced—but not accepted—by the figure of less than 100,000! And if European Jewry was indeed “annihilated”—as their historians claim—what of the over 3 million claims for compensation paid by West Germany to Jewish “survivors?” Were these claims fraudulent or is this the first case ever known of mass resurrection?

(4) *Cause of death in Nazi Camps?* When the concentration camps in Germany were “liberated,” Allied doctors certified that the dead they had examined died largely of typhus and malnutrition, both endemic in the chaotic conditions of the time. The bosses of the concentration camps had nothing to gain by losing workers. When the Allied troops arrived at Dachau, a crowd of people—all apparently in good health—marched out waving Communist banners. As to mass gassing in the Eastern camps, Trevor-Roper, premier British historian and acknowledged expert on Nazi Germany, is skeptical, while some other authorities flatly deny it. In any case, let’s keep the matter in perspective by remembering that the death total in Stalin’s Jewish-run Gulag system was many times that of all Hitler’s camps put together!

(5) *Holocaust denial?* Zionists tend to slap this label on any disagreement with their own views. But we have a perfect right to question the extravagant claims they make about that event in order to boost their own cause and induce the rest of us to feel guilty. In fact, there were more Holocaust deniers in the Jewish community than anywhere else! The one they deny is the Ukrainian Holocaust (Stalin’s Terror Famine of 1932-33), because it was mostly carried out and covered up by Jewish Bolsheviks.

(6) *Hate?* Here’s another label beloved of the Zionists, though nothing Collins has written deserves the charge. If Harry Abrams—author of the latest complaint against Collins and his publisher—is serious about aiming to “take the profit out of hate mongering,” he might well start with his own people! There are indeed some “hate sites” on the Internet. Lunatics will pop up in any medium. There are also many truth sites, maintained by historical revisionists determined “to bring history into accord with the facts.” Since this often involves exposing the lies of the Zionists, it’s natural that B’nai B’rith would be anxious to close them down.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER
Polluted Stream

Our southern border becomes fuzzier and less meaningful as endless waves of mud-colored mestizos from Mexico flood the U.S. They show up everywhere there’s stoop work to be done. Swarms of sullen, dirty, protein-hungry males fill labor slots all over the U.S.—putting up drywall, pouring concrete, picking oranges and apples, working in meat packing plants. They commit crimes, strain our resources, disturb us with their stupidity and slovenliness and infuriate us with their ceaseless demands for respect.

Politicians like Texas Governor George W. Bush accommodate Mexicans in a “New World Order” kind of way. Governor Jeb Bush of Florida is married to a Mexican. The bilingual brothers advocate compassion and irrational theories of equality for a people that has never expected mercy or even a fair shake from their betters. In spite of many revolutions, the people of Mexico have routinely exchanged one tyrant for another. They have not had and probably never will have anything resembling honest, representative government. It is shocking to see how the Mexican elite deal with the plight of their less fortunate countrymen.

Mexico is a place where social Darwinism continues to rationalize the iron-fisted rule of the affluent. The rich remain rich. The poor leave the country or wallow in passive stoicism induced by endemic poverty. Social and economic programs are unnecessary, as long as the U.S. continues to act as a release valve for the Mexican government’s incompetence, corruption and apathy.

Once the wetbacks have been given the opportunity of gainful employment by cold, condescending Anglos, the situation gradually changes. Mexicans working in the U.S. consume the available bounty like a starving dog gulps garbage, then frantically spins in circles looking for more. He usually finds more, whether from his own sweat or from the fruits of an indiscriminate and generous social welfare system. The Mexican soon learns that his employer needs him as much as he needs his employer. This is his first real taste of economic power. In the U.S., the land of hope, there is the prospect of more work, money and food, not available in his bedraggled patria. Soon comes the day when he resents his employer and the entire U.S. because he has been lured from his sorry birthplace to an opulent land that he feels should have been his, as it once was.

In Mexico hope is manifest in the Twelfth Night (Christmas) and the miracle of the Virgin of Guadalupe. Reality is underscored by All Saint’s and All Soul’s Day when the living honor the dead. Picnickers celebrate at gravesides in decorated cemeteries, eating candies and cakes in the form of coffins, skeletons and skulls. This melange of Indian Catholic paganism has taken Halloween to where it mirrors the quiet despair of daily life. The old Spanish proverb, “Life is nothing but pain, disappointment and death,” defines the inbred fatalism of most Mexicans.

When Majority members complain about the disquieting presence of these ubiquitous Untermenschen, they are called racists and un-American. Our elected officials willingly promote and cater to these superstitious, fatalistic interlopers from an alien culture.

Mexican girls work in NAFTA factories in towns just south of the border for $3.50 a day with no benefits. The Mexican men who are too lazy to cross the Rio Grande would rather be exploited by other Mexicans than deal with the Anglos in the U.S.

Many innocent, village girls leave their homes in the interior of Mexico, where it is impossible to find work, and migrate to northern border towns. There they live in makeshift shacks with faulty, dangerous and often pirated electrical service. Exploited by the factories, the girls are often victims of deranged macho predators. One border town, in only a few years, had over 200 unsolved murder cases. The towns reek of pollution, crime and degeneracy. The living accommodations are loathsome and life expectancy is short. Their lifestyle is nasty and brutish.

Mexican males bypass these delapidated, filthy industrial towns and head for pay dirt north of the border. They are not guest workers. They are unlawful, undocumented, illegal aliens. Many come here to amass as many dollars as possible, then return home. Others come here to stay.

Swarms of little brown mestizos enter the U.S. under cover of night and in desperate, daring raids in broad daylight. Some are caught by the Border Patrol and returned to Mexico. If not caught, they live looking over their shoulders. Once they make it here, they’ll stay till they’re ready to go back, if ever.

In the U.S., they will probably make around $7.00 an hour, with social and health benefits guaranteed them by sympatico federal judges. Judge Mariana Pfaelzer, with pompous Jewish flair, voided most of the provisions of California Proposition 187 that denied state benefits to illegals.

Many American employers treat these work-hungry illegals with more fairness and respect than they ever got in Old Mexico. Many U.S. employers refuse to pay citizens decent wages and prefer to take their chances with illegals. It is not exploitation by Mexican standards. The workers can leave the U.S. anytime they wish and return to their native land where true exploitation has been uninterrupted for 400 years.
These desperate wetbacks are willingly breaking our laws and they know if caught they will not be killed or held for ransom. They will simply be deported. As illegals in the U.S., they are protected by civil rights laws that they do not have and never will have in Mexico. The Mexican Constitution of 1917 compares favorably with ours, yet it delivers little of what it promises. In “free” Mexico, the working class are peons.

Slavery still exists in the U.S. It is forced upon some Mexican females smuggled into the U.S. by a crime family of illegals. The women, some as young as 14, were transported here to work off the cost of their travel as prostitutes. Most of the women thought they were coming here to work as domestics or in restaurants. Some appeared to have accepted their disgraceful occupation as the price for being in the U.S. In some regions of Mexico it is common to see children literally sold as sex slaves. Once impregnated the girls are abandoned. In turn, these muchachas abandon their own out-of-wedlock muchachas. So much for Mexican cultural and family values.

Demographers forecast a bleak future in which the U.S. population becomes more nonwhite than European. The Mexican government is responsible for the exodus of its citizens. U.S. corporations are to blame for foisting the “Mexicanization” on us. The hapless, unassimilable mestizos are guilty of breaking our immigration laws, breeding with impunity and infesting our country with their presence. They will continue to sneak into the U.S. as long as they are oppressed and economically deprived by haughty Mexican oligarchs.

Nevertheless the real blame for the invasion falls mostly on Congress for not stopping it. Liberals scoff at this influx. Majority members, not known for paranoia or delusions, call it what it is—La Reconquista. The smug, unalarmed whites who believe in multiculturalism, free trade and open borders should move to South Central Los Angeles and practice what they preach. After that they can take a trip and see what their future will look like. They can hobnob with over 20 million Mexicans in the ecological nightmare called Mexico City.

The American Majority will, in effect, be long dead before the mestizos dominate demographically. For the U.S. government to allow Mexican ascendency on American soil, by the sheer force of numbers, would demonstrate a lack of will to exist. The Mexicans will have effectively captured American territory by relentlessly spreading their language, lifestyle and culture through and by a proliferating population. The masses will swamp a decrepit Anglodom, thereby accomplishing more than General Antonio Santa Anna could ever do militarily.

High School Daze

Our high school is quite small and has five local housing projects where are domiciled the unkempt kids who overcrowd our classrooms. Like so many high schools all over the country, mine is overrun with these animals. I cannot walk to class without having to endure the chaos.

To completely empathize with my situation one must understand the danger facing a white racist in a contemporary American high school. Completely alone, I am totally dedicated to my beliefs, which adds to my problem.

Fortunately only trusted friends know about my thoughts. If they became known, my life would be in danger. I have always been very vocal in my opinions and have gained a reputation for being skilled in debate. So it is very hard for me to sit quietly during a Holocaust lesson in history class.

My views are becoming more and more obvious as they deepen and start to emerge in normal conversation. Once one’s eyes are open, race is everything and everywhere. Knowing you are the only person in an entire school who feels a certain way is very painful. How wonderful it would be to sit back and talk with a group of intelligent people who think as I do, not the head nodders and over-the-shoulder racists. I am sure you know them. They speak loudly and confidently about everything then suddenly stop and glance over their shoulder to make sure it’s safe to whisper “nigger” or “black.”

A face-to-face conversation with a group of Majority activists seems like an unattainable dream to me right now. I am sure there are many out there who are all too familiar with my situation. There are plenty of bleeding heart parents that would rather sit back and watch their daughters be raped by blacks than be called racists. Teachers and faculty chas­tise me for disagreeing with them about a Shakespeare theme, but will ignore black students who expose their testicles to white females.

If I wasn’t so committed to what I conceive to be the truth, I might have given up by now, as so many of us already have. It is all very maddening. Sometimes I think I am losing my mind. But I will never lose my faith. Once your eyes are opened, you can never shut them again.
Jewish Oil Sheiks in Russia

In early June the Russian press unearthed yet another secret ruler of Russia, oligarch Roman Abramovich. Once a protegé of the much publicized Boris Berezovsky, fellow Jew Roman Abramovich is now said to have overtaken his mentor in wealth and in power. Because of his preferred low profile, scant publicity and rare photos, Abramovich is sometimes referred to as Russia’s eminence grise. (Berezovsky, by the way, is known as the “Gray Cardinal.”) In case the reader might think the new oligarch is an old man, he is not. He was anointed by Berezovsky—only God and the secret police know by what machinations—at a very tender age. Now only 33, he already lives the life of a boyar.

Power in the new “democratic” Russia is a direct function of proximity to and influence over the Yeltsin family—Old Man, his wife, Naina Iosovnova, their daughter, Tatyana Borisovna Dyachenko, and one or two close friends. Influence over the family derives from control over its financial, real estate and industrial portfolio. The role of family manager is sometimes referred to as the “Family Treasurer.” Berezovsky has so far managed to fulfill this task, but now Abramovich is said to be winning the family’s favor.

The wealth the oligarchs possess is mostly in the form of ownership of Russia’s industrial assets, especially oil, obtained through the “good offices” of Yeltsin administration officials, who are bought and sold like shares on the stock market. The power of the oligarchs is based on their control of highly placed government officials, often appointed by the oligarchs themselves, who are beholden to the goodwill of the Yeltsin family. In the next-to-last shakeup of Yeltsin’s administration many of the appointments were made by the oligarchs, who also succeeded in getting rid of Yevgeny Primakov.

Berezovsky’s best governmental contacts, besides the first family, are reported to be Vladimir Putin, the head of the Federal Security Bureau, secretary of the Security Council and Yeltsin’s latest nominee for Prime Minister. Roman Abramovich is reported to control First Deputy Prime Minister Nikolai Aksyonenko and the head of the Ministry of Fuel and Energy, Viktor Kalyuzhny, as well as Yevgeny Adamov, the Minister of Atomic Energy, who allegedly has ties with big-time American and British industrialists.

Abramovich’s rising star is partially attributed to a shift in ownership of the oil industry in which Berezovsky’s share will probably diminish and Abramovich’s increase. Maneuvering for power has the oligarchs all steamed up in view of the forthcoming elections next June when a new President and Duma are to be chosen.

Abramovich is swimming in oil. He is the Moscow-based director and cofounder of Sibneft, as well as the founder of Oillimpeks, Forneft, Sibreal, P.K.-Trans, Multitrans, Serveg, Mekong, Petrotrans, Elita and other related companies. With the consent of the Russian Jewish Lobby,—Yumashev, Kokh, Urinson, et al.—Abramovich is said to be scheming to remove R. Vyakhirev as head of Gazprom, a company in which Viktor Chernomyrdin has a large interest.

Incidentally or not so incidentally, Yeltsin’s wife, Naina, and daughter, Tatyana, are Jewish. Throughout Communist history it was quite common for the wife of an ethnic Russian party leader to be of Jewish descent. Brezhnev had his Viktoria. Even Stalin is reputed to have had a Jewish wife for a time until he parted company with the Trotskyites.

The “Gang of 4,” as it is referred to by some people, consisting of Tatyana Dyachenko, Aleksandr Voloshin (chief of staff), Valentin Yumashev (former chief of staff) and Boris Berezovsky and/or Roman Abramovich, is now rumored to be attempting to parley the unofficial office of “Family Treasurer” into the all-powerful money-controlling position of Russian State Treasurer. The Gang intends to accomplish this by replacing the current head of the Central Bank, Viktor Gerashchenko, with its own man, Aleksandr Lebedev, currently head of the National Reserve Bank.

Berezovsky, the “family’s” oldest backer, may decide to run for a seat in the Duma, where he would be immune from any future criminal prosecutions. With the “charm” associated with the man, Berezovsky will run on the basis of his incorruptibility. As he puts it, “It is clear that if I become a deputy it would be impossible to buy me.” He voiced his understanding of the democratic process with the words: “Crudely put, capital hires the authorities for work. The form of hiring is called elections.”

Even as the Russian oligarchs, most of whom have no ethnic connection with the Russian people, work to further enrich themselves, the Russian people remain destitute and desperate. The similarities with Weimar Germany when non-Germans obtained hard dollars from co-religionists in the U.S. and proceeded to buy up the country for a song, are obvious. One great difference is that the rulers in Russia today have special security forces on the stand-by for any possible demonstrations or uprisings.

That Yeltsin sacked his cabinet for the umpteenth time in August is not likely to change things. Vladimir Putin, the new top man, is an old KGB hand and a member of Yeltsin’s “family.” Yeltsin thinks so highly of Putin that he has designated him as his heir apparent.

RUSSIAN CORRESPONDENT.
n the spring of 1955, before forced racial integration had ever come to the once proud and sovereign state of Texas, a poll was conducted, perhaps the most impartial and valid one ever held here. The “Texas Poll,” as it was called, was a telephone inquiry conducted by a completely independent organization which asked Texans statewide their views on the coming court-ordered school integration scheme.

For the sake of accuracy, the state was divided into seven regions, the returns from which were fed into a central, primitive computer. The results, when published later that summer, rocked Iberians both here and in D.C., although they had absolutely no effect on what the Feds were planning. The startling facts: 45% of all respondents stated they would accept no blacks in their schools under any circumstances other than the direct threat of federal force. Another 35% replied that they were unequivocally opposed to race-mixing, but would accept it as long as it was “minimal” and as long as the white students continued to make up the overwhelming proportion of the white public schools. In other words, the white kids would not be threatened by a black mob in their own school. Ten percent had no opinion one way or the other. Many of them were “Bible Belt” Texans who found race-mixing abhorrent, but refused to speak out because of their religious feelings. They apparently felt it was “un-Christian” to deny nonwhites access to the far better white schools. Finally, a mere 10%—urban white liberals, blacks and Hispanics for the most part—stated their desire to have the two races attend school together.

Consider the implications here. At least 80%—and it was probably far higher—of the population of a huge area of the U.S. was stridently opposed to the invasion of blacks into the white public school system, an invasion sponsored by a small clique of Washington liberals and Jewish lawyers whose agenda was anything but pro-white. Their brass-knuckled methods of persuasion were simple: threaten to send in the storm troopers if any white dared object to this “democratic” action.

Two years later we saw in Arkansas what might have happened in Texas in the fall of 1955. A Texas-born President who had shortly before denounced as “un-American” the integration of the U.S. Army, sent in the U.S. Army Airborne to force integration on the kids of Central High in Little Rock. It was a classic case of a President violating the Constitution and knowingly abrogating the will of millions of whites, but terrified of the leftist-skewed media.

White Texans have always been a law-abiding lot and, except for a few places like Mansfield in East Texas where the Feds had to bully the parents with federal marshals, school integration took place fairly quietly. The “Texas Poll” had shown East Texas to be the most rabidly resistant to integration because most of the state’s Negroes were concentrated there. Like Majority members throughout the country, white Texans had no idea that this was merely the tip of the federal glacier. Within little more than a decade and a half, even neighborhoods would be integrated and the laws forbidding miscegenation struck down by a federal government gone power mad. The 10% or so had had their way over the 80-plus percent who opposed race-mixing.

If all this sounds vaguely familiar, it’s because it closely parallels the Bolshevik takeover of Russia in 1917. At that time a tiny fraction of the population was able to impose its vicious will on the teeming tens of millions of ethnic Russians with horrific consequences. Just as in Texas in 1955, there was no vote, no referendum, no popular will, no democratic process—just a Supreme Court stacked with liberals to force through a social engineering program, a diktat, backed by the ballyhoo of a minority-dominated press. There would, of course, be no consequences for the good justices who passed this white-hating legislation. Their own children and grandchildren would never get close to a Negrofied school, public or private. It’s an educated guess that the liberals who foisted the current leftist utopia on us comprised just about the same percentage of the U.S. population as the Bolsheviks did in 1917 Russia.

This betrayal of Texans by their elected officials in Congress who acquiesced to this blatant violation of states’ rights has not occurred without disastrous consequences for the once almighty Democratic Party in Texas. Lyndon Johnson was the last Democratic presidential candidate to win with a majority of the Anglo vote. (Many Texans realized their mistake in 1965, a few months after the election, when the body bags from Vietnam started arriving back home.) The Democrats, more and more dependent upon minorities and liberals, have been reduced to name calling and to invoking the names of conservative Democrats long gone. How effective this has been was shown by the 1998 elections, which saw the Republicans sweep the state and the Pander Party reduced to a bunch of also-rans.
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Luis de Torres, who sailed with Christopher Columbus, was perhaps the first Jew to set foot in the New World. During the 1500s a few Jews migrated to the West Indies and South America, but in mercifully low numbers.

Sephardic Jews from Spain and Portugal came to America in colonial times. In 1654, 23 of them landed at New Amsterdam, despite the opposition of Governor Peter Stuyvesant. They appealed to the Dutch West Indies Company and were allowed to stay. (Some directors were evidently of the Jewish faith.) In lieu of military service they were ordered to pay taxes. One of them, Asser Levi, insisted on standing guard duty. His kin in Holland again ruled in favor of an ethnic cousin. But even with special privileges awaiting them, few Jews ventured to America in those far-off colonial days.

American history does not depict Jews as farmers, patriots and explorers. Sephardic Jews were craftsmen, shopkeepers and shlyoks when they lived in Spain and Portugal. They continued in these endeavors when they came to America. They had little money, but they had money-making skills that guaranteed them eventual prosperity. It might be noted that not one Jew signed the Declaration of Independence or helped write the Constitution.

Starting in 1815, German Jews, less sophisticated and less affluent than their Sephardic cousins, began to trickle into the U.S. These rootless and peripatetic Chosenites showed some pioneering spirit, as they dispersed across the country as tradesmen and professionals. They—the Guggenheims, Gimbel’s and Altmans—began as peddlers and made considerable fortunes as entrepreneurs.

The revolutions and European turmoil in 1848 drove more German Jews to America. Over half a million Jews were in the U.S. by 1880. In the Civil War, both North and South borrowed large sums of money from Jewish bankers. When the fighting stopped, Jews scallawagged and carpetbagged their way through Dixie. Mark Twain commented on the Jews’ sharp business practices and their proclivity for hiding their Jewishness, so as not to scare off business.

The bulk of Jews, the Ashkenazim, came to America from lands ruled by the Tsars of Russia. Between 1881 and 1920 two million Jews, one-third of all the Chosen in Eastern Europe, migrated to America.

Established Jewish communities viewed this massive infusion with mixed feelings. Eastern European Jews gravitated to the lower east side of Manhattan. About half of them found work and a springboard for prosperity in the garment industry. The American labor movement was started by Jews and provided many of them sinecures and soapboxes for their class war rhetoric.

Jewish families usually left the New York slums in one generation. Growing rich from trade and commerce, they wandered their way into newspaper publishing, movies, radio and television.

Around the turn of the 20th century many medical and law schools felt the professions would soon be swamped, if a cap wasn’t put on Jewish admissions. This worked for awhile, but Jewish influence and money eventually ended the quota system. Jews practiced their Old Testament religion, exercised their acumen in business and spread alien political philosophies throughout America. They also brought their age-old genetic attitudes—ethnocentrism, minority networking and chutzpah.

Franklin Roosevelt’s administration employed and promoted gaggles of Jews in the federal government. They encouraged FDR to silence America Firsters like Charles Lindbergh and to make war not love, with the Axis powers. Jewish support was an important factor in bringing about ultimate Allied victory in both world wars. Jews beat the war drums, but avoided the actual fighting, as the scarcity of Stars of David in military cemeteries attests. Today, Jews account for a mere one-third of 1% of American military forces. However, they are vastly over-represented in politics and the social sciences, though they represent only 3% or so of the general population.

Since the 1970s Jews have been arriving at an increasing rate from all points of the world, especially from the former Soviet Union and Israel. Some come with money and valuables. Some don’t. Regardless of their economic condition, they are taken better care of and get more perks than any other immigrant group.

Although we have been led to believe by politically correct social commentators that the current crop of incoming Jews are intelligent educated professionals, many languish on welfare rolls and live in ethnically cleansed, subsidized public housing. These latest arrivals are in general arrogant, rude, aggressive, irreverent, brutish and cunning. Their ruthless amorality is a great help in their march to the financial heights. Even established Jews feign embarrassment at the crude behavior of those who they themselves call “kikes.” All in all, nothing is going to civilize these uncivilized types or assimilate them into American society. Though there have been some noticeable defections, Jews stick to their Jewishness like jam sticks to bread.
Wrong Enemy

As a diehard Anglophile, the editor should ask himself this question: When did the British Empire ever do the U.S. a favor? H.L. Mencken wrote after the disillusionment of WWI that the interests of the U.S. had actually lain with Imperial Germany, not against it. The same could be said of WWII. I fear that this is the simple truth which you and your hired German-basher, Peter J. Lorden, are trying to evade. Your reluctance to apply the same critique to your beloved England which you apply to Germany is transparent. Nowhere in the pages of Instauration is there the slightest condemnation of the English intelligence operation in pre-WWII America. The same pious Wilmot Robertson who never misses a chance to point out the Zionist control of the U.S. media discreetly ignores the Anglophiles and their campaign to “save England” from the man who was trying to be their friend. The British Empire has the longest running record of aggression, conquest and crime of any modern nation. This fact is routinely covered up in the pages of Instauration, like other facts which displease the editor’s Britannia-rules-the-waves mindset. If the editor wishes to equate the survival of the white race with the resurrection of his favorite dead empire, please rest assured that his readers will never buy it.

"Manstein’s Hitler" (June 1999) is a very good piece by Peter Lorden on Hitler as military commander. On the whole very objective. There are some debatable points, however. It is true that Hitler consistently underestimated the size and number of the Soviet forces. Whether this error was based on faulty reliance on a mole is an intriguing question. Perhaps the truth will emerge from the Soviet archives. It is also true that Hitler frequently tried to do too much with too little, but that may have been a necessary concession to limited means. Lorden might wish to consult Panzers East, a book which makes the interesting argument that Hitler’s decision to direct the Wehrmacht south to seize the oil refineries in the Caucasus was the correct one. Soviet Marshal Zhukov said as much in his memoirs. Had the German generals not delayed and balked Hitler’s southward strategy, the war against the Soviet Union might have had a different outcome.

Check His Sources

If someone were to examine each and every book which Peter Lorden uses as references, it would be found that some at least do not contain the information he attributes to them. Further, I would consider some of the authors unreliable, to put it mildly. Being that perjury disqualifies a witness in court, it follows that Lorden should not be published in Instauration. His writings should be considered suspect. I have felt from the beginning that he is a plant. He may indeed be anti-Communist, but he invariably insinuates data which advance alien interests. His article, “Manstein’s Hitler,” strays so far from the subject that his motives are more apparent than usual. He should be asked for chapter, page and line for everything he writes.

Down With Lorden

Well, it has finally happened. I have an issue of my favorite magazine that I cannot finish. How in Odin’s name can this fellow Lorden get so much space? After I saw where he got his “sources,” I knew better than to go on. At least when you get Jewish propaganda from the Military Book Club, there are a few good pictures to entice you to buy the book. Look Lorden, I don’t need another middleman for Jewish history. Go write for the New Republic or, better yet, George. If ever there was a Passion Play, 1939-45 was it. Can you call America anything but a Jewish Empire? All Americans know is the latest ball score or stock quote. They don’t know history. By the way, does Lorden ever read the magazine?

Dictatorial Cook

As to Der Fuhrer’s vegetarianism, the explanation that the suicide of his niece brought this on seems a bit far-fetched. He was not a cannibal! My version is more plausible. His medical doctor in Austria was Dr. Ernst Zabel, a vegetarian. Hitler adopted the diet as a cancer preventer. As to Der Fuhrer’s vegetarianism, the explanation that the suicide of his niece brought this on seems a bit far-fetched. He was not a cannibal! My version is more plausible. His medical doctor in Austria was Dr. Ernst Zabel, a vegetarian. Hitler adopted the diet as a cancer preventer. He used to say, “Let’s do some Zabeln!” There were, however, exceptions to his diet. He had a resolute cook, a real mother figure, who once served up a big soup tureen when all the guests were assembled at the table. Hitler turned to her and asked: “What are we going to have?” Her answer: “Liver dumplings!” Hitler: “LIVER dumplings?” She: “This will be eaten, basta!” He had no other choice. He was a vegetarian who once in a while had to eat liver dumplings.

Evolutionary Deficit

I read with interest Judson Hammond’s recent article, “Aping the Negro,” (July 1999). He is to be congratulated for his insightful commentary regarding the love-hate relationship we have with Negro behavior. While common-sensical observations are often criticized for lack of objective rigor, an increasing body of hard science validates Hammond’s observations and provides an increasingly difficult barrier for the “Nurture über Alles” gang to overcome. As Kipling asserted, the black is indeed an incongruous blending of “half devil and half child.” Laboring under the deficit of some quarter million years of evolu-
tionary development, the Negro utilizes more readily those primitive areas of the brain associated with instinct and sensation rather than intellectual introspection. It is this very characteristic, the “half child,” that some find endearing. Yet when one considers the trade-off in having to accept the whole package, the reality is far less idealized.

In this same vein it should be added that the Negro’s lack of deferred gratification and retarded ability to conceptualize a long time horizon lead inescapably to the inability to grasp cause and effect relationships and to learn from experience. This is one of the major causal factors underlying criminal behavior and contributes significantly to the blacks’ massive overrepresentation in criminal endeavors.

Jewish Whitewashers

Regarding the howls of protest about an Academy Award for Elia Kazan, the protesters should see the contempt with which Russian-Jewish lawyer Arkady Vaksberg writes in Stalin Against the Jews about prominent American Jews whitewashing the Soviet Union in the 1930s and 40s. On page 118, he names Lion Feuchtwanger, Howard Fast, Sholem Asch and Lillian Hellman as pro-Soviet types “whose shameless lies praising Stalinism and Stalin it is impossible to read without revulsion.” Yet Hollywood still clutches these liars to its bosom.

Thoughtless Comment

The foolishness just keeps on coming. In his “Churchill and Hitler—A Balance Sheet” (July 1999), Zip 303 claims that of all the European peoples, Anglo Celts deserve the most credit for spreading the white race “over the entire globe.” What credit? The dysgenic effects of Britain’s colonial wars can be seen everywhere in the British Isles. The expenditure of young lives in distant lands has drained off the best elements in the Anglo-Celtic group, leaving behind only the shopkeepers and petit bourgeoisie to sire the next generation. During centuries of dominating ocean trade routes and foreign markets, British mercantilism and the perfidious politicians who served it exploited and enraged billions of nonwhites around the world. Now those people are taking their revenge. That is what the British have done for the rest of us.

Hitler’s Reasoning

In his comments on Hitler, some of which contained good insights (July, 1999), Zip 303 should have taken a number of actual situations into account. When he declared war on the U.S., he was simply recognizing a state of war which had existed for quite a few months in the form of attacks on German naval forces. By December 11, 1941 the U.S. was not only engaged in actual warfare against Germany, but was giving important support to Stalin, a far greater menace to the U.S. than Germany ever was or could be. Hitler’s long speech of December 11, 1941 made his decision seem far more rational. Has Zip 303 ever read it?

Hitler’s wartime alliance with Japan was a desperate measure against the Soviet thrust into western Europe, an effort which was a repetition of one which met with only partial success during 1919-1920, when the Western powers opposed the Communist putsch, notably in Hungary, where the rapacious Bela Kun was taking orders from Lenin.

The Anglo-French declaration of war against Germany, September 3, 1939, was a result of fear of German economic success, especially in the competition for vital overseas markets at a time when unemployment was an acute problem. The backward civilian economy of the U.S.S.R. was not a menace, hence the alliance with the Soviet Union against Germany.

Too Pro-Pope?

To Zip 323 (July 1999): Patrick Buchanan attacked David Duke just like all the other liberals. Pat doesn’t have a Chinaman’s chance of winning the Republican presidential nomination. You are only wasting your efforts, energy, resources and time on a McLaughlin groupy. Pat’s a Georgetown Catholic who supports Popery and bringing mental retards into the world. A moratorium of five years on immigration would hardly make things right, even if it had a chance of passage in the Kosher Senate and the House of Zionist Collaborators.

Get Off the Pro-Serb Kick

I finished reading the June edition of Instauration and must once again congratulate the editor on great pieces by Lorden, Hammond and Olvir. I was especially happy with “Bring Those Kosovars Here” (June 1999). We must get to know and care for these people. But sadly the magazine has taken an anti-Kosovar line. By being pro-Serb it is anti-Kosovar, though the Serbs have murdered, raped, robbed and burned the homes of thousands of Kosovars. The anti-Kosovar bias is the main reason I have not renewed my subscription. Please, change your stance to a pro-Kosovar one right away!
Assimilation Scorecard
While U.S. white-collar industry executive bandits are making greater profits by eliminating American blue-collar jobs and taking advantage of the cheap labor in Mexico, they have incurred unexpected losses through the activities of their Mexican counterparts, the famed banditos, who today operate as highwaymen hijacking and robbing international truck traffic.

The National Immigration Forum, headquartered in Washington (DC), reports that America's most recent immigrants, the Asians and the Hispanics, will gradually be assimilated. Of course in the case of Mexico, the term Hispanic more often than not refers to a mestizo who speaks Spanish. The key to assimilation, the study finds, is the duration of the newcomers' stay in the U.S. The longer they stay, the greater the chances of assimilation.

By the third generation, over one-third of Latino women marry outside their ethnic group. An impressive 40% of third-generation Asian women outmarry, compared to about 5% of black women. For those older Americans uncheered by the news, the most effective strategy would be to force their congressmen to change the immigration laws and make certain that illegals are deported as quickly as possible. In 1996 there was a 212% increase over the previous year in the number of Mexican immigrants who became citizens.

Zbiggy's Fateful Tome
Zbigniew Brzezinski has written a magisterial study on geopolitics, The Grand Chessboard, that recommends geostategic policies for the U.S. to pursue if America seeks primacy in the world. For those citizens who do not want to see a Pax Americana imposed on the rest of the world, the book may be seen as an invitation for America to assume a potentially fatal hubris. Brzezinski, however, is to be given the highest marks for his evaluation of Israel's role in American foreign policy, which occupies so much of the time and treasure of successive administrations.

Sacred CGineast
Stanley Kubrick, the highly overrated filmmaker, died a few months ago in England. Well-timed was the publishing of a new book on Kubrick by a fellow Jew and erstwhile friend, Frederic Raphael. Kubrick's last film, finished two days before he died, Eyes Wide Shut, starring Holly-wood power couple Tom Cruise and Nicole Kidman, was a box office bomb. Raphael's book is entitled, Eyes Wide Open. At one point in the book, Raphael quotes Kubrick as saying, "Hitler was right about everything." Kubrick also had some blasphemous remarks about Schindler's List. Apparently if anyone wants to get to the meat of 20th-century history, one has to resort to Jewish "experts." Non-Jews have been so turned off by accusations of racism they have been afraid to dig into what the Chosen have been up to.

Intraminority Conflict
Two Arab gas pumping were arrested for killing a black electrician in Detroit, once a white city now 80% black. The incident proved once again that the racial rift in the Motor City is not only between blacks and whites, but between blacks and 200,000 resident Arabs. In Los Angeles and Miami the conflict is between black customers on the one side and Koreans, Vietnamese and Cuban merchants on the other. The wisest course for the survival of Majority members in these racial "hot spots" is to divide et impera, as the old Romans used to do.

Evolutionary Theories
While most liberals are convinced they are descended from primates (some say apes, others chimps, still others orangutans), many conservatives believe that they are the products of divine creation. The French and Spaniards think of themselves as having ascended from the primate status. The Germanic people insist they have descended from the ape. H.L. Mencken took a middle position. He rejected the theory of evolution on the grounds that modern man's behavior differs little or not at all from that of the ape. Man had neither ascended nor descended.

If they are foolish enough to accept the Leakey theory, Anglo-Saxons can trace their ancestry back to a black lady called Lucy (Australopithecus) who lived on a mountain slope in West Africa 3.5 million years ago. The Chinese, who have always considered themselves the oldest and purest race, reject the "Out of Africa" theory entirely, saying that the Lucy hypothesis simply proves that more research has been done in Africa than elsewhere. Believing that they are "more evolved" than others, the Chinese opt for parallel evolution in different regions of the world.

Hate, the New Capital Crime
In Los Angeles, Buford Furrow shot and injured five Jews, three of them kids, and killed a Filipino postman. In the eyes of the media these were hate crimes. But since Furrow is a certified lunatic, having spent time in a psychiatric hospital, it would be more accurate to call his acts the crimes of a madman, considering the difficulty of defining hate. Whether the law allows it or not, the mere whisper of hate in a criminal trial could mean a higher penalty for the defendant. This harms Majority lawbreakers because, although blacks are twice as likely as whites to commit hate crimes, it seems almost every time a white kills a black, the media tries to make it a hate crime. When a black kills a white, however, it's simply a crime.

Effeminate Tarzan
Disney's politically refurbished, darker-skinned Tarzan lives in a jungle without natives or any real dangers except for a wicked monkey and an evil English hunter. Essentially a gentle person, Disney's Tarzan kills no beasts, human or animal, and fights only in self-defense. To liven things up, Hollywood has added its traditional comic vulgarity.

Seal De-Christianized
Although the U.S. is nominally a Christian country, the city fathers of Stow (OH), in tune with the times and at the command of a federal judge, ordered the masking of that part of the city seal which on its upper left quadrant depicted a cross and an open Bible. The operation was accomplished by duct tape. The townspeople were not pleased. Jews, the ACLU, some glib libs and other assorted non-anti-Americans were. The partially blotted-out seal looked completely out of place on city vehicles.

To show her opposition to the editing of the seal, one doughnut waitress sported a T-shirt inscribed with a takeoff on Marie Antoinette's famous dictum: LET THEM EAT TAPE! At last report Stow is awaiting a shipment of redesigned seals with the cross and Bible replaced by the words: IN GOD WE TRUST. Whose God?
Spielberg's Comeuppance
Retired Canadian columnist Doug Collins got into deep trouble for calling Schindler's List Swindler's List. The rap group, Public Enemy, has gone one step further with a new release, Swindler's Lust, which has the ADL in a dither. Here are some of the lyrics:

A dollar a rhyme but we barely get a dime
If you don't own the master
Then the master own you
Who do you trust from Swindler's Lust
From the back of the bus
Neither one of us
Control the fate of our soul
And Swindler's Lust

Is this a case of plagiarism? No, because Doug came up with Swindler's List well before Public Enemy got into the act. Is it a case of radically different mindsets running in the same channel? Might be. How refreshingly ironic it would be if over time all that remained of Schindler's List was Swindler's Lust. The mere thought must give Spielberg a hemorrhage.

Hillary's Fence-Mending
It has become a habit in U.S. presidential elections for New York politicians to be more concerned with Jewish than American issues. Though it may have been a slip of her tongue, at a gathering in Switzerland last year, Hillary Clinton let it be known that she thought Palestinians deserved a state of their own. American Jewry emitted a collective gasp. No American politician or wife of a politician is allowed to utter such heresy. To try to make up for it, the First Lady came out shrilly for moving the U.S. Embassy in Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, a move that could be seen as the embassy should be transplanted there. The mere thought must give Spielberg a hemorrhage.

Torture Trial Ends
New York's great police brutality trial ended with a jury of eight whites, three Hispanics and one black finding one white cop guilty of holding down Abner Louima, an Haitian immigrant, while another white cop, John Volpe, tortured him with a broom handle. The torturers had confessed before the trial began. Blacks felt let down because they had wanted all the cops who took part in the fracas jailed.

Almost every day somewhere in the country police are accused of making "tough call" arrests which the media inflate into acts of police brutality. The brutality of the criminal, especially if he is black, is glossed over. This one-sided reportage does little for law and order. Interestingly the Louima trial was one of the first in which some police officers cracked "the blue wall of silence" by testifying against each other.

Jewish Temples Afire
Seventy BATF and 30 FBI agents are investigating arson attacks on three synagogues in the Sacramento (CA) area. Damages were put at close to $1 million. Messages were left at one synagogue hinting that Serbs were the firebugs. They were apparently seeking revenge on Jewish groups who had been pressing hardest of all U.S. minorities for the obliteration of Serbia.

Nonstop Electioneering
Presidential election campaigns should take at the most three months. Instead they seemingly go on forever. What a squandering of time and money! The citizenry is exposed month after month to the promises and half-promises, the lies and half-lies of the candidates. No one with half a gene for honesty would partake in a charade which guarantees that the worst qualified candidate for the presidency lands in the White House. The fudger always wins. The few forthright souls have a chance.

Speaking of fudging, the prize so far goes to Texas Governor George W. Bush. He has announced with a straight face that although he is against racial preferences and quotas, he is also against California Proposition 209 which forbids quotas and preferences in state government and education. Bush is also against California Proposition 187 which bans financial aid to illegals and their broods. Yet he wants to tighten immigration controls. Bush obviously thinks he can have it both ways.

Where does this leave the majority of Americans who want to junk affirmative action? It leaves them without an electable presidential candidate who stands for what they stand for. Bush claims he is a moderate. A moderate these days is a pol who when push comes to shove lets the immoderates take over.

Beyond Belief
New Yorkers fawned on Edwin Sabillon, the 13-year-old Honduran who claimed he had made a 17-day, 3,200-mile hike from his native hearth to find his father in Zoo City. It was all a fabrication. His father had expired a year ago from AIDS and instead of trekking from Honduras Edwin started out from Hialeah (FL). Among his other whoppers, he claimed that he had barely escaped the horrible mudslides that were destroying his country. His fans in New York deserted him en masse when he was discovered to have TB.

Treed Escapee
Clifford Jones, a black murderer, escaped from the Huntsville (TX) prison last June. Unfortunately he found himself in an area known for its poisonous snakes, ferocious wild pigs and toothy alligators. When found three days later, he was perched on a tree, half of his body covered with insect bites. He intimated he couldn't wait to be recaptured.
The only evidence against black rapist Gary Curtis ("Babyface") Faison, a Dallas disk jockey already found guilty of one rape, was DNA. But that was enough to earn him a second life sentence.

If you are running a hotel and don't give in to every black wish and command, watch out. You're likely to be sued. That's what's happening to a Daytona Beach (FL) hostelry. Five black college alumni celebrating the riots in Spring Break claimed they were overcrowded and assigned phone-less rooms with pictures stripped from the walls for fear they might be stolen. What's more, the poor, discriminated-against blacks were deprived of valet parking service.

Thomas Jared Pearl, a personal assistant to Eunice Kennedy Shriver, sister of the late President, was arrested when he stepped off a plane in Salt Lake City in late June. Police said he used a computer in the Shriver home to set up a rendezvous with a 12-year-old girl he met on the Internet. Instead of meeting her, he was greeted by an undercover federal agent.

All her life Roseanne, one of TV's shoddiest vulgarians, promised herself to obey the old Jewish mantra, "Next year in Jerusalem." In June she finally made it. Only Jewish sites, however, were on her itinerary. She told reporters that Judaism exuded "peace and quiet." Always the comic, she opined, "The Bible is very funny. . . . It's like one giant sitcom." Listen, Roseanne, is what Yahweh did to the Egyptian first-born funny?

Bronx lawmen are holding Issaac Jones, a 30-year-old Negro, for trying to sell some stolen jewelry. While he was behind bars, it was revealed he was a suspect in 51 rapes. Per usual, the race of his victims was not mentioned in the news reports.

Seventy-year-old Marie Noe of Philadelphia finally got around to confessing she had smothered to death all eight of her children back in 1949-1968. She was given 20 years probation, the first five of which she was confined to her home. Psychiatrists said they wanted to study her to find out what inspires some mothers to kill their newborns.

Though suspected of killing at least six people, all of them white, "railroad freight car killer" Rafael Resendez-Ramirez (one of his names) was described as a normal, hard-working man by family members in his Mexican hometown. If the INS had not released him after a recent roundup of illegals, at least four of his victims might still be alive.

A ten-year-old Dayton (TN) boy was charged with raping a five-year-old male pickaninny. Both were black as pitch.

Charles Ng was given the death penalty for murdering six men, three women and two baby boys. He videotaped his victims begging for their lives before he did them in. We went to Vietnam to kill Vietnamese. Now some Vietnamese have come to America to kill Americans.

This is a sketch of the Bronx man suspected of raping several white 12-year-old girls—and one 24-year-old who looked like a 12-year-old. His modus operandi is to sneak into an apartment in the early hours of the morning, strangle the girls until they pass out, then go about his dirty business. Imagine the mental as well as the physical shock undergone by these girls on being introduced to puberty by a black rapist.

David Oringeroff, a retired army major, is high priest of the Sacred Well Congregation of Texas, which has branches in four military installations in the state. One of them, Fort Hood, allows the organization to practice its neo-pagan rites on the base.

The black culture vulture who directed Boyz 'N' The Hood was arrested for repeatedly smashing his fist into the face of a girlfriend who is the mother of one of his children. But John Singleton wasn't given any jail time. He is too much of a celebrity for that. An L.A. judge (race unspecified) gave him three years' probation and ordered him to produce a 15- to 30-minute film on domestic violence.

Carl Rowan is suing his paper, the Chicago Sun-Times, for letting him go. It's no longer a simple procedure to fire a black columnist, despite his somniferous prose, his tortured liberal hype and his obsessive antwhite-ism.

Bowing scrupulously low to the black and feminist vote, mega-millionaire Steve Forbes, who hasn't a chance of a snowball in hell of being elected president, appointed Alveda Vega, a niece of St. Martin Luther King Jr., national co-chairman of his presidential campaign.

Seven Hasidic Jews were indicted for running drugs for a Colombian drug ring. They were considered just right for the job since few Customs inspectors would be likely to search Jewish clerics wearing black hats, dark suits and sporting side curls. The Hasids specialized in smuggling Ecstasy, a drug popular in dance halls.

Georgia State Senator Diana Harvey, an obese black Democratic wheelhorse, has been convicted on five counts of mail fraud. She was apparently taking public money for her own use. She could get 25 years, but won't. Her skin color automatically reduces the penalty.

The recently expired Shel Silverstein started out as a New Yorker cartoonist, then switched to pornography for Playboy, then ended up as an illustrator and writer of kiddie books. Only a Jewish mind could engage in such somersaults.

KKK member Barry Black was convicted of burning a cross on private property owned by a person who heartily approved the "show." The prosecution called him an intimidator. The defense called the verdict a violation of the First Amendment.

Israeli jet-setter Eli Tisona got 19 years for drug smuggling. One of the 11 biggest Israeli mobsters, he owns a fish farm in Colombia. One of his "fish shipments" to Israel contained liquid cocaine.

Two black gang members, Christopher Davis and Gidongalay Berry, were arrested and charged with killing white 12-year-old Adriane Dickerson. Previous to the murder, Davis said he wanted to put his gang, a local affiliate of the Chicago Disciples, "on the map" by killing "some white folks." Adriane was gunned down in a Nashville (TN) supermarket parking lot.
Jeffrey Katzenberg, canned from the Walt Disney Co. some years ago, wanted $580 million for unpaid bonuses he said he was owed. Think of the average income of an American farmer or miner and compare it to the kind of money Katzenberg demanded—and got. He settled for a quarter of a billion dollars or thereabouts. Farmers and miners produce some of the necessities of civilization. Jeffrey Katzenberg, a Jewish entertainment whiz, produces little but kitsch.

Lawyers want $25 million out of the $1.2 billion that two Swiss banks have been blackmailed into paying 400,000 alleged Holocaust survivors and their heirs—and, for all we know, their heirs.

Nearly 800 guests crowded into a gala dinner held to raise money for The Center for Jewish History. Some of the biggest Jewish “bigs” were there. Featured speaker was Richard Holbrooke, whom Clinton finally managed to appoint as U.S. Ambassador to the UN, an appointment long delayed while the Senate looked into charges that while he was the State Dept.’s Balkan peacemaker he did a lot of double-dipping. Princeton President Harold Shapiro presented a Yemenite shofar (ram’s horn trumpet) to billionaire Ronald Perelman, the godfather of the Center. To keep American Jewry happy the U.S. government gave the Center $4 million.

Henry Lyons, one of America’s most prominent black reverends, has been sentenced to 51 months in jail for his various financial shenanigans. He must also come up with $5.2 million to pay for his back taxes and what he swindled out of several banks. He also took the ADL for a $250,000 ride.

Black police officer James Quinn was allegedly harassed and harassed by fellow cops for his gayness. To compensate for his hurt feelings, a Nassau County (NY) jury awarded him $380,000.

1998 was the first year that foreigners in the U.S. laboring for Ph.D.s in physics outnumbered American candidates.

The latest Forbes survey of the world’s most loaded folk puts Bill Gates at the top of the financial totem pole with a net worth of $90 billion. This figure is an obscenity. That any single human being should have $90 billion reveals a gigantic financial imbalance that sooner or later is going to cost the nation’s economy mucho grief. Extra work calls for extra rewards. No argument about that. But nobody on the globe needs or deserves that kind of money, which is an alarm bell for class war.

5 years ago 1,000 homes dotted the landscape of North Las Vegas. Today, 57,000. Las Vegas is the #2 destination for whites on the move. #1 is Denver.

In 1996-97, 75% of Hispanic students in the U.S. went to schools that were more than 50% minority.

A recent National Enquirer poll of 100 citizens found that 39% favored Bush for President, 24% pro-Gore. Who came in second with 37%? None other than the unofficially polygamous Donald Trump.

San Francisco Transit Supervisor Jeffrey Chow gets an annual pension of $92,400. If overtime had not been counted, it would have amounted to $211,121. In 1998 alone, 585 civil service workers in San Francisco made over $100,000.

More than half of American motels are owned by Asian Indians, most of them from one subcaste, all of whose members are named Patel.

According to one gloomy poll, 51% of the people believe the country is on the wrong track. Despite his highly touted popularity 67% of voters actually disapprove of Clinton.

We’ve been hearing a lot of racial crime stats of late. Here are some eye-opening ones from 1996, which show that black crime is as rampant as ever. Negroes were convicted of 54% of murders, 27% of sexual assaults, 66% of robberies, 43% of aggravated assaults and 29% of other violent crimes. Blacks were also responsible for 39% of property crimes, 53% of drug crimes, 58% of weapons offenses and 19% of other felonies. (“Felony Sentences in State Courts 1996,” U.S. Dept. of Justice, May 1999)

These days our mentors try to make racial differences class differences. This ploy doesn’t stand up because when middle- or upper-middle-class blacks take SAT tests, they do as poorly as poverty stricken whites. The latest attempt to avoid mentioning the racial roots of IQ differences is to blame the discrepancies on TV, which blacks watch 3 hours a day and whites 1.5 hours a day. The idea is that there is a relationship between the amount of TV viewing and SAT scores. The long and the short of it is that blacks simply cannot catch up to whites in higher or lower education. Blacks with one parent with a graduate degree fall 191 points below similarly educated whites on current SAT tests. Blacks with parents who have no high school diploma get 137 points less on SAT tests than whites with diploma-less parents.

Blacks comprise 15% of Florida’s population, while making up 59% of those infected with the HIV virus.

Journalist Bob Woodward reports that Hillary Clinton, when once asked to speak about her weaknesses, replied, “I can’t think of any.” Imagine the media reaction if Dan Quayle had made a similar reply to a similar question.

75% of “hate crimes” are committed by people who have no connection to any extremist organizations. A few weeks ago the Associated Press reluctantly put out a report under the headline, “Hate Groups, Crimes Said Rare in U.S.”

60% of the population of Dade County (Miami) is Hispanic, but only 5% of blood donors are Hispanic.

Latinos now represent 11.2% of this country’s population, but only 6.9% of the military.

If you reduce the population of the earth to 100 but keep racial and other proportions as is, you will get the following: (America on Line, June 28, 1999): 57 Asians, 21 Europeans, 14 from North and South America, 8 Africans 52 female; 48 male 70 whites; 30 nonwhites 70 non-Christians; 30 Christians 89 heterosexuals; 11 homosexuals

Willy Foster, a part-time man of the cloth, set up what amounted to a tax-dodging consulting service for his fellow blacks. He persuaded his “clients” to ask for tax refunds on the basis of a mythical agreement between the U.S. government and Negroes for reparations for slavery times. Foster himself got an IRS check for $30,085. One of his clients got a smaller check from another stumblebum IRS agent. Foster faces 17 years of jail time for setting up the scam. In 1996 a Liberian living in Dallas was sentenced to 3 years for persuading 200 blacks to ask for $750 or $1,000 “black tax refunds.”
Communist Marshal Tito (né Josip Broz in Croatia) was among those who fought and won a bitter four-year guerrilla war against the Nazis. After executing his rival guerrilla leader, Serbian General Draja Mikhailovich, Tito emerged in 1946 as the master of a mauled human landscape and promptly set about rebuilding the remnant of the ethnic tribes called Yugoslavia into another slavish state.

Tito had been supported by the Allies and the Soviets. Mikhailovich—royalist and nationalist—had been supported by the Allies and King Peter II of Yugoslavia and his government in exile. Although he fought the Fascists fiercely, Mikhailovich apparently considered communism the greater threat to Yugoslavia. Tito accused Mikhailovich of collaborating with the Nazis and, since the king and the Allies knew a loser when they saw one, they had abandoned Mikhailovich by 1944. He was shot by Tito in 1946 for his loyalty to king and country.

Who knows what "is" is, except that everybody loves a winner. Historians still argue about who was right, Tito or Mikhailovich. (Who was the greater threat, Hitler or Stalin?) It's clear that, as usual, expediency triumphed. Isn't it strange how the strange bedfellows we sleep with for the sake of convenience often repay our aid by giving us AIDS? The Taliban, our onetime friends, used our own guns against us. I wonder what surprises of cooperation and gratitude the KLA is planning for us now in Kosovo?

The "world" was outraged at the execution of Mikhailovich, considering the charges against him were trumped up to eliminate a rival. (Remember the "show trials" put on by Stalin in the 30s?) But the furor died down and the Western world was mollified when it became clear that Tito, the great marshal, did not intend to be a marshmallow of Moscow. Paradoxically, Tito and Yugoslavia eventually served a useful purpose as a buffer to the Soviets, thus serving our policy of containment. If not exactly our buddy, soon Tito was our hero. The Serbs were acclaimed for their defiance of Comrade Stalin.

But what happened when Stalin and the Soviets followed Tito into oblivion and we didn't need the long-suffering Serbs as a buffer any more, especially when they had become an obstacle to the expansion of NATO and our global economy? (Now that we've managed to insert ourselves into the Balkans, doesn't that make it much more convenient for us to "protect" all that black gold being mined in the Caspian oil fields? No, we won't have mind all that gobbledygook. If sanctions wouldn't bring those independent Serbs to heel, then cluster bombs would.

Isn't it curious that in 1999, Europe, pressured by Clinton, who in turn was pressured by Tony Blair, smashed Serbia to stop the "ethnic cleansing" of Kosovo? Why curious? Because in 1948, Europe (pressured by Truman, who was pressured by Zionist rabbis) sanctioned and encouraged the "ethnic cleansing" of Palestine by the socialist cum-Communist Holocausters. Then, as if once were not enough, the world watched while the Chosenites did more "ethnic cleansing" in 1967 and again in 1978, and yet again in 1982 while the world played the role of innocent bystander as the Chosenites chose to shell, cluster bomb and howitzer West Beirut into rubble, after cutting off all food and water into the city.

And after the Chosenites had rubble-ized Beirut for three months and inflicted 29,000 casualties, what did the world do after the PLO had been "ethnically cleansed" from West Beirut? Does Sabra and Shatila ring a funeral bell?

Arik Sharon only trucked the killers into Sabra and Shatila. It was Israel's Fascist allies, the Phalange of southern Lebanon, that actually pulled the triggers. General Charon only ferried the dead across the Styx.

The KLA, which is now enveloping Kosovo with the aid of NATO "peacekeepers," is largely composed of Fascists and Communists, ideological heirs of those who fought for and against the Nazis. Is this the making of Tito and Mikhailovich all over again, this time in Kosovo and with a Muslim face?

I'm sure that our G.I.s are going to have a lot of time to learn about the Balkans during the next 50 years. (Does anybody remember how long we've already been in Germany and the Sinai and in South Korea?)

True, we did get out of Lebanon pretty quick, leaving 241 dead Marines behind. Now we can all be grateful for having brought peace to the Middle East, just as we did to Bosnia, and doubtless also will to Kosovo.

So if bombing is the punishment for "ethnic cleansing," when are we going to bomb Israel? And if we bombed Belgrade to make the case for the return of the Kosovars, when are the expelled Palestinians ever going to return?
Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

The third English or British empire was likewise based on the courage and discipline of English sailors and troops, with the addition of Scottish troops, especially during the Napoleonic wars. In the 18th century the Southern Scots were slowly amalgamated into the British infantry, which distinguished itself again in the Peninsular War. Wellington once remarked: "I don't know what effect these men will have upon the enemy, but by God they terrify me." It was a Napoleonic marshal who remarked that everyone privately knew the British infantryman was the best in the world, but that fortunately there were not too many of them. At Talavera and Waterloo the Scottish Highland regiments were much in evidence, but the core of resistance to the French consisted of the English Guards and the regiments of the line with names in the low (i.e., English) numbers. It was Blücher's arrival on the scene (instead of Grouchy's) which decided the battle of Waterloo, but the British bore the heat of the battle.

By the Victorian period, the English middle-class ethos triumphed. Tennyson put it this way:

Kind hearts are more than coronets
And simple faith than Norman blood.

The land-poor Scots were the spearhead of the Empire, but the English took the best land and financed Anglo-Saxon expansion in North America. The rich ranchers of St. Louis who dominated the great plains were also English.

By the time of the Crimean war, the Irish were represented in the British army in much larger numbers. There is an Irish Gaelic song, frequently sung (to the embarrassment of Irish intellectuals) in the Dingle peninsula. It celebrates the achievements of Irish troops among those storming the heights at Sebastopol. The "thin red line" in the Crimea was composed of Sir Colin Campbell's Highlanders. In India, in the many battles in which the few defeated the many, the discipline of the British army (as opposed to the British Indian army) was decisive.

At the Somme the British army showed courage enough to win the battle, but their bone-headed leaders, obsessed with the idea that the cavalry arm was the queen of the battlefield, let them down. Ludendorff memorably described British soldiers as "lions led by donkeys." But the British navy, whose leadership was much more native Anglo-Saxon than that of the British army, was at least equally important in creating the three English empires. The merchants of Bristol soon profited from Strongbow's Irish conquests, and the English settled in the Pale round Dublin. English merchants profited from the acquisition of Aquitaine (through royal marriage) and the Scots only took over the Bordeaux wine trade after the English had been driven out. The East India Company merchants and soldiers were overwhelmingly English and the navy was English until the late 18th century, when the Scots were increasingly represented. (Two Scots within the same generation founded the Russian and American fleets.) At Trafalgar, Nelson made use of the open cannon made at the village of Carron, in Scotand, when his ship, The Victory, "crossed the T" and blasted the cabins of the Bucentaur.

Don't forget that the core of the American revolutionary forces was "Anglo-Saxon" with a large contingent of Presbyterian Scots. In the War between the States, Southern soldiers were better man for man, than the Northern "melting pot" soldiers, many of whom were of non-Anglo-Saxon origin. (This is not a covert crack at the Irish. The 60th regiment from New York distinguished itself in battle. The men fought under a green flag with a golden harp in the corner, not under the ridiculous green, white and orange flag of the Irish nationalists, which was invented much later.)

The superiority of Southern troops explains why the Northerners refused any exchange of prisoners during the war. At the Alamo, the Little Big Horn and many places elsewhere, American Anglo-Saxons showed they were willing to fight till they were all killed. (Yes, I know, they had no choice. But when cowards are surrounded, they lie on their backs hoping to have their tummies tickled.)

After the Civil War the Anglo-Saxons followed their historic destiny westwards. It was Anglo-Saxon settlers who made America. They were up against Red Indians, who proved to be very effective and tenacious fighters. In Germany the mythology of Karl May, with Old Shatterhand defending the rights of Red Indians against the greedy Anglo-Saxons, had a great vogue. The Anglo-Saxons were viewed as grasping and hypocritical. Winnetou became a mythological folk hero, and Oom Paul Kruger a real-life hero. Cecil Rhodes, who is often blamed as the founder of a secret society devoted to the promotion of Anglo-Saxon interests, saw the danger of alienating Germany and added the Germans to his short list of Rhodes scholars. Unfortunately he had allowed the Jews into his Round Table before the second Boer War. He needed money, you see. But they twisted the purpose of the society during WWI, when it became clear that Thodore Herzl's beloved Prussia was going to be on the losing side. (Prussia had been promoting Zionism since the early 19th century.)

The fact of the matter is that the Anglo-Saxons regarded courage as the cardinal virtue. By the beginning of the 19th century the Algerian pirates were a menace to Europe. The English forced them to give up all their English captives, but they refused to free their American ones. Stephen Decatur was sent to deal with the situation, using American marines. He sailed straight into the heavily defended harbour of Tripoli, blasted the gun emplacements and landed marines, who soon destroyed the defences. Nelson, no slouch himself when it came to courage, said it was the finest action he had ever seen. The absolute imperative to attack the enemy held fast in the British navy since 1757, when Admiral Byng was executed by order of the Admiralty on his own quarter deck for failing to relieve Minorca. As Voltaire remarked at the time, it encouraged the other English admirals.

(to be continued)
Although he was responsible for the network TV show that falsely accused the U.S. of using nerve gas in Vietnam, although he put on Newsstand, a program which turned out to be a memorable financial flop, although he lost (fired?) Lou Dobbs, whose business news program was a consistent moneymaker, Richard Kaplan, president of CNN/USA still retains his high-dollar job. What is it that makes him indispensable? Could it have something to do with raciology?

Howard Stern's syndicated TV show has lost 67% of its audience and the ratings for the cartoonish South Park travesty have fallen about as far and as fast. Nevertheless, TV moguls still push ahead for more dirt. In an Austin Powers episode, the titled character speaks of sipping a "diarrhea daiquiri" and we are told "gerbils appear to pop out of his butt." The dive for the cultural depths is ever steeper.

Negro rhythm and blues entertainer R. Kelly has a traveling show in which a bed is the chief prop. In the course of his suggestive routine he invites a female member of the audience to strip down to her underwear and climb into bed with him. This was a little too much for the elders of Cincinnati, who banned the bed from Kelly's July 23 performance.

Of the 26 new series to appear this fall on NBC, CBS, ABC and Fox, none featured a minority actor in a starring role. The NAACP is up in arms. President Kweisi Mfume is threatening to order a nationwide Negro boycott of the TV industry. Satcom Sal writes, "I am sick to death of this Negro whining and threatening. Truth is, it is hard to find a TV program in which the chief of detectives, the judge or the politician is not a black or a woman or both."

A new book, While America Watches Television and the Holocaust, by Jerry Shandler, traces the history of Shoah business on the tube. The author asserts that the Big H didn't get into high gear until 1957 when This Is Your Life featured a bio of Hannah Kohan, a graduate of several Nazi concentration camps and current wife of a Hollywood agent. After Jewish impresario Rod Serling weighed in with agitpropping dramas about the plight of Holocaust-stricken Jews, viewers were constantly exposed to Holocaust-related dramas, sitcoms and anti-Nazi punditry. NBC put on a 9½-hour Holocaust marathon. Steven Spielberg hit an all-time audience viewing record with Schindler's List, which has become a sort of sacred TV fixture. Rare is the day or night that the tube doesn't flicker with anti-Nazi and anti-German propaganda. There is practically no opportunity for TV viewers and movie fans to hear and see anything negative about the Holocaust. Any film producer who dared to put on such a show would be run out of TV before he could say Elie Wiesel.

From Zip 100. The Charlie Rose Show on PBS is an accurate barometer of politically correct attitudes. If you want to know what our rulers are thinking, listen to Charlie. Implicit on his June 22 show on gay rights was the assumption that homosexuality is on a par with heterosexuality. According to one guest it is a superior lifestyle. Had Rose been broadcasting two generations ago his spiel would have reflected the attitude that homosexuality is perverse. The sexual sea change didn't happen overnight. Brutally evident were harbingers similar to those now circulating about pedophilia. One example is the recent study blessing adult-child sex in a story in the ultra-snob New Yorker. The male protagonist finally comes to realize that sex with underage boys is just fine.

From Zip 915. PBS interviewer Charlie Rose recently asked an Israeli official if Clinton is doing each and everything Israel wanted. Anything left undone? Heaven forbid! The Israeli reassured the anxious, angstful Charlie that now and then the U.S. would be permitted to score less than 100% on the Israeli Loyalty Test. After all, the Chosenite noted, the U.S. is another country.

From Zip 020. Bill Press, former chairman of the California Democratic Party, is the leftissimo co-host of CNN's Crossfire. Press can't stop pressing the clichéd defense of Clinton: "Sure he lied, but it was just about sex." According to Jewish National Security Adviser Samuel Berger and half-Hispanic Energy Secretary Bill Richardson, Clinton lied about not knowing of China's theft of U.S. nuclear secrets. Haven't heard the silver-haired liberaloid argue that this lie doesn't matter because, "It's just about treason."

From Zip 466. Lincoln, the deluxe automaker, is sponsoring an advertisement on CNN called Voices of the Millennium. One voice is that of part-time Marxist and full-time black racist Angela Davis.

From Zip 300. Larry King interviewed former President Jimmy Carter (May 27) about his criticism of bombing Serbia. King, after he had noted that State Dept. spokesman James Rubin had dismissed Carter's views, turned to a panel to discuss the disagreement between Demo presidents. Included was CNN's chief roving reporter, Christiane Amanpour. Along with her husband, Rubin, she stoutly defended Clinton's policies. Not mentioned was the glaring conflict of interest.
Canada. Canada’s loudest-mouthed Jew, Toronto mayor Mel Lastman, talks first and thinks later, if at all. His most recent outburst contained a death threat. He mouthed it after a news leak revealed that his equally vulgar wife, Marilyn, had been caught stealing a pair of trousers in a suburban mall. Store officials and the police found a number of reasons to let her go—not the least of which were her marital connections. All parties agreed to hush up the contretemps.

Eventually, however, the media somehow got wind of the incident. Amid a crowd of witnesses, oblivious to any sense of public dignity, Lastman confronted a CBC-TV reporter in City Hall and angrily accused him of the leak. Despite the latter’s fervent denials, Lastman became furious, threatened to have him fired and screamed, “I’ll kill you!”

The tantrum was just another episode in Lastman’s odious career. Apparently unable to come to terms with the fact that running Canada’s largest city means more than self-aggrandizing media opportunities, he finds his job intensely frustrating. Last February he caused an uproar in a Toronto hospital when he showed up complaining of chest pains. In response to his loud demands for immediate attention and service, he was given priority at the service of humanity. “Highly uncommon,” he characterized the incident.

Lady Margaret Thatcher, former British prime minister, laments how America is disintegrating into a multicultural morass. Multiculturalism, she maintains (and few Americans would disagree), is more utopian than the Tower of Babel.

The U.S. is moving toward a system in which the government presides over a number of different social groups, some of which have their own language and type of education. This approach undermines social unity and allows construction of a society that is the very opposite of America’s previous practice. The government aims to supervise these different groups and keep the peace by redistributing income from one to another. Thus, the utopia of multiculturalism involves a bureaucratic class presiding over a nation divided into a variety of ethnic nationalities. The result, of course, looks awfully like the old Soviet Union. Such a system cannot work, and its failure is likely to inflict great damage on the people, their traditions, and their liberties.

In early July, BBC ran a chilling three-hour feature on the Soviet Gulag where, it was claimed, 50 million died. It may be some time before Americans see the program. It shrinks the uniqueness of the Holocaust. Even if the Six Million figure is accepted, the deaths in Russian camps were more than eight times as numerous. Also, if American TV should give too much time and space to the Gulag atrocities, people may start to wonder why old Nazis are hunted to the ends of the earth and old Communists die peacefully in bed.

Dean Thomas, arrested for assault, demanded that his trial be conducted in Jamaican patois so he could understand the proceedings. Otherwise, he complained, he would not get a fair trial. The judge turned him down. It’s possible, however, at the rate things are going that by the middle of the coming century “jive talk” will become the lingua franca of the British court system.

Sidney Gottlieb, a Jew working for the CIA, performed unspeakable experiments on German prisoners in WWII. Gottlieb’s torture sessions have been reported in The Times.

Authorities quickly slapped down an attempt by an English family to leave the organs of some of its members to “whites only.” “No apartheid in the National Health Service,” cried the media.

Germany. After the collapse of Communist East Germany, American publishers competed to buy the rights to the diaries of Victor Klemperer, described by some as a literary superstar but by most as a Communist hack. Ballyhooed in the West as a miraculous survivor, a brilliant Romance language scholar and a quasi-saint, he wrote memoirs dealing with the National Socialist era in Germany. They were published under the title, LTI: Linguar Tertii Imperii (The Language of the Third Reich), and unanimously acclaimed by establishment critics. Missing from the obligatory encomiums was Klemperer’s characterization of Josef Stalin: “Tremendously big beside Alexander, Caesar, Napoleon—but unlike them, however, only at the service of humanity. . . .”

Fighting and screaming like hell, a group of militant pacifists disrupted a public swearing-in ceremony of new recruits in the Bundeswehr in Berlin on July 20. The rioters, shouting “soldiers are murderers,” were eventually subdued by some of the troops, assisted by Chancellor Schroeder himself, who was presiding over the ceremony. The soldiers were being sworn in on the anniversary of the day a small clique of Wehrmacht officers attempted to assassinate Hitler. Schroeder wanted to demonstrate the new spirit the government hopes to inculcate in the armed forces. Whether the German people believe that the officers involved in the assassination attempt were patriots or traitors has not been ascertained. Following the lead of Clinton and Blair, Schroeder has put the German military at the disposal of the international community.

Switzerland. Of all the world’s nations, the U.S. and Israel alone boycotted a U.N. conference in Geneva to discuss whether Israeli settlements in Jerusalem and the West Bank violate the Fourth Geneva Conference. Meanwhile the Interna-
national Relations Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives criticized the UN for repeatedly showing the "dark side" of Israel.

Shortly after the assault on the Swiss banking and insurance establishments by whiskey billionaire Edgar Bronfman, Madame Ruth Dreifuss, the first lady President ever of Switzerland and a Jewess to boot, hinted that the end of Switzerland's hitherto independent banking system was in the offing. Incredibly the Swiss have whipped up and adopted a new liberal constitution that waters down their national sovereignty. More tellingly, they have gone off the gold standard. Now, like the U.S. and other countries that have abandoned a link—an anchor actually—to gold or silver, the Swiss will have fiat paper money. Welcome to the New World Order!

Serbia. Fifty-seven members of the FBI's Rapid Response team are meandering around Kosovo searching for war criminals and atrocity sites.

Israel. How strange it is that a foreign country of five million persons located in the far-off Middle East can command the full and undivided attention of the American press, as Israel did recently in its spring elections. German elections in a country with 16 times Israel's population and endlessly larger ethnic roots in the U.S., don't rate a fraction of media attention.

Such intense media focus on Israel should be of all-consuming interest to Americans since they ultimately pick up the tab for almost anything Israel does. In another sense, however, political transformations in Israel should be meaningless to the long-suffering U.S. taxpayer. Come what may, next year's contribution from Congress is guaranteed to be in the same megabillion-dollar category as last year's. Whatever Israel has to say about the EOA (Evil Old Arabs) is sure to become U.S. foreign policy, tightly controlled as it is by Clinton's ABC trio—Albright, Berger and Cohen. I mused on such matters a while back as I ambled by the lovely old Georgetown home of Secretary of State Albright. A black Caddy limo was dutifully parked at the door and a half-dozen Secret Service men sprinkled about the "outer perimeter" were talking furiously into their sleeves.

Also in the business of looting Uncle Sammy is a fast-rising comer at the other end of the population continuum—China. Over the past decade the onetime Celestial Kingdom has systematically filched precious U.S. nuclear secrets with the help of a willing cadre of Chinese technocrats. In post-WWII years, Russian Jews stole everything they could, first for the Soviet Union, later on for Mother Zion. Now that Israel practically owns our foreign policy, there is no longer any need to steal. The pawn shop crowd has graduated to the world of high-powered statesmanship.

Black Africa. AIDS, which has been around for 20 years, is now killing 25 million Zimbabweans and Botswanans a year; 20 million a year in Namibia, Zambia and Swaziland.

Zimbabwe. The black elite, President Mugabe's pals, are reportedly slated to take possession of some 1,500 farms expropriated from white farmers in 1997. In 1980, Britain, in concert with the U.S. and the former Soviet Union, brought down the government of Rhodesia, then under the presidency of Ian Smith, to placate Marxists, both black and white. At that time guarantees had been given by Britain and the U.S. that Rhodesian whites would not be unduly penalized. But that was long ago. Mugabe in his lust for power has not differed one iota from other black leaders in Africa. Once in power they stay until they die, are removed or murdered.

South Africa. South Africa, the country Instauration once called the White Tip, now has the highest murder rate in the world. It was all perfectly predictable, of course, when whites were forced under international pressure and the usual false promises to surrender their nation to the black racist African National Congress (ANC). As every modern multicultural nation is finding out, murder and crime are integral parts of the Third World.

The criminal-as-victim mythology of the collectivist defenders of democracy is floating to the top of the Third World cesspool. Andrew Selsky of the Associated Press blames the spiraling murder rate on the "former apartheid system [which] created a callous attitude toward the value of human life, and because economic apartheid remains...." Reporter Carrie Alex claims that the murder rate comes from "reaping the damage caused by decades of white minority rule." The collectivists who use race as a sledgehammer for social change must also possess some kind of magical measuring instrument that they plug into societies to discover such "truths." Basically these are nothing but ridiculous, incendiary presumptions and belong in Communist pamphlets, certainly not in news reports.

The liberal supporters of the ANC regime will apparently go to any journalistic lengths to defend the failure of its leadership. History demonstrates that genuine freedom cannot be invented or imposed among people who have no feel for it. Responsible self-government is not a prize or a trinket that comes with the mere will to rule, as Nelson Mandela and his fellow travelers have discovered to their dismay.

Mandela has taken to branding fearful emigrating whites as "cowards," though he knows perfectly well that South Africa cannot function without them and that the existence of his government depends on robbing productive whites of their wealth. After the worldwide liberal glamor surrounding Mandela's rise from prison to President and the honeymoon of his defective leadership, we finally see Mandela for the perfervid black racist he really is.

From a subscriber. South Africans are now going through what America experienced and continues to experience when teeming unrestrained masses who have never known freedom are let loose on the land. In the U.S. they call it "civil rights." In South Africa it's called "democracy." White South African farmers, their wives and children are routinely bushwhacked, tortured and butchered. The black murderers use the severed body parts in obscene ways for voodoo-like rituals. In the cities the problem is compounded. The horror is only partially expressed by the following report:

Last month a white Johannesburg high school student was dragged into a toilet at a train station and raped by two men. After her attackers left and she went for help, four other men seized her and she was raped again. A passing group of eight to 10 men saw what was happening and joined in.

Most whites and many blacks long for the days of apartheid, when South Africa was a civilized state. Listen to Bulelani Ngcuka, director of public prosecution, who knows whereof he speaks: "The people here live in agony, tormented by criminals and thugs."