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Bird by Koko, an Ape
During a recent visit to a luxury resort hotel in Mexico, I discovered a caste system in effect. The guests were almost all white: Americans, Europeans and white Latins. A few of the latter had a dollop of Indian blood, but you had to look closely to see it. Hotel employees were almost all mestizo. But on the beach, which was very dark and Indian looking. The irony of this liberal campaign. He might have mustered a few of the later had a dollop of Indian blood, but you had to look closely to see it. Hotel employees were almost all mestizo. But on the beach, which was very dark and Indian looking. The irony of this liberal campaign. He might have been aware to the very center of the black ghetto. I'd love to drive by that pyramid at the hands of you-know-who during his gubernatorial campaign. He might have been aware to the very center of the black ghetto. I'd love to drive by that pyramid.

The only blemish I can find in David Duke's magnum opus, My Awakening, is when he catalogs the abuses he suffered at the hands of you-know-who during his gubernatorial campaign. He might have mentioned the abuse his supporters suffered, comme moi: Having our names published in the newspapers for the high crime of having contributed money to his campaign, hence putting our very livelihoods at risk!

They just don't come any nobler than Clinton, according to Eleanor Clift of Newsweek. Margaret Carlson of Time was always a close second in her avid support of Bill, so it was a surprise to hear her say on Capitol Gang (Feb. 27) that Bill Clinton is like Mike Tyson—good at his profession but a despicable person.

How about something a little more upbeat for your covers? We had the producer Van Vechten in December, then the unappealing Gore for January. Why not a picture of one of our inventors or statesmen or athletes? The Learning Channel recently devoted some hours to people who attempted to climb Mt. Everest. For every five who attempt it, one will die. They said that most who try it go in groups of four or five and must oxygen above 15,000 feet. Then we were told of a Swede who bicycled from Sweden to Nepal and climbed to the top alone without oxygen! Maybe you could show someone like him.

What kind of zoo keeper would starve a lion, grizzly, crocodile and anaconda and put them together in one big cage? That's about what the West is doing in Africa. We've spent $2 billion keeping the Sudanese barely alive. Giving an African subsistence rations and an AK-47, there's your zoo!

Instauration (Primate Watch, March 1999) accurately reported that the new version of Psycho includes a scene of masturbation and voyeurism. The daggered woman in the shower is played by Anne Heche, an out-of-the-closet lesbian and boon companion of Ellen DeGeneres. The director, Gus Van Sant, is an out-of-the-closet homo.

Current popular support of Clinton is excused due to peace and prosperity, though 80% believe the charges against him are true. What was the excuse back in 1992 when he was named the Democratic presidential candidate over a bevy of others who were moral giants in comparison? It was plain even then that he was a draft-dodging philanderer whose only achievement was pushing Arkansas down from 48th to 50th in state ratings. In fact his shortcomings were clear from the start. The sad fact is that the public prefers scoundrels with the excuses just an afterthought dreamed up by pundits afraid to give an honest description of the voters.

Bill insists the American people want him to get to work on the real issues, such as education. Kids in Upper Slobbovia do their sums lots better than our kids. Problem: impossible to define standards that don't wind up flunking most blacks. So the National Collegiate Athletic Association tossed out minimum academic standards for athletes. Muhammed Shabazz can jump, so who cares if he can't spell or add?

Winston Churchill was fairly described by FDR as a "drunken bum," according to historian David Irving. Yet today the debauched, egomaniac Brit is sacrosanct, despite his responsibility for the Gallipoli, Dardanelles, Narvik, Dunkirk, North Africa, Dakar, Greece and Crete disasters. Despite all his whiskey-swilling, cigar-chomping, grave-digging of the British Empire, he scored an 89% rating in the polls, only to be soundly defeated in the post-WWII elections.
If you were falsely accused of rape, wouldn't your family leap to your defense? Or would they remain silent as Hillary and Chelsea have? Who'd believe them, you ask? Would that matter to your family or would they insist in getting it on the record?

My impression of the American establishment is very poor. New York lawyers and bankers like Clifford, McClay and Harriman—these are the people who gave us the 20th century.

Strobe Talbott and Bob Dole were just two of many politicians blackballed by the Chosen for higher office due to decades-old minor discords in their Hallelujah Chorus of praise. Hard to believe they'll pardon Hillary for proposing a Palestinian state.

A reporter quipped it would be easy to spot the Mossad spy rumored to be in the White House. He'd be the only person not desperately seeking work elsewhere.

Why is Clinton such an effective speaker? His chief speechwriter explained on the Charlie Rose show that it's because “he connects with the moral values of the people.” Now that's scary.

I've moved to North Idaho from South Texas. No more house and car robberies, no more walking outside to “breathe Mexico on fire,” no more dirt and filth in the streets, no more fear. My only gripe is all those fancy cars with California plates coming in. The ingathering proceeds apace.

Clinton intimate Dick Morris has often said Hillary is a lesbian. New York Mayor Giuliani's marriage is shaky. Maybe no skeleton in his closet, but at least a few bones. With the new sexual double standard, if the two run for the Senate, Rudy will be trashed, Hillary sanctified.

Bob Dole asked plaintively, “Where's the outrage?” Still there, Bob, just in different places. Example: On CNN's Crossfire, after it was mentioned that Monica had an abortion to get rid of a pesky fetus conceived during a Pentagon fling while still servicing Clinton, she was called a slut. How dare they? It isn't as if she smoked!

Regarding those unfortunate Anglo-Saxon safari tourists in Uganda—actually they did see the gorillas in the mist. Unfortunately, it was the wrong subspecies!

I was selling some books and bric-a-brac at an Idaho flea market last weekend. My two copies of Duke's, My Awakening, were sold in the first 30 minutes. Some wag was thumbing through one of them and came upon the passage stating that the most famous inventions of the Negro “were the traffic light and the paper bag.” He read aloud the passage, then opined, “Well, obviously they need the paper bag to put the wine bottle in and the traffic light to cut down on fatalities from drunk driving. Yo, it be good for de 'hood!” Much laughter was heard all round.

Whether you like Byron de la Beckwith or not, he manned a machine gun up front on one of those assault boats in WWII and continued firing through a hail of bullets until one bullet hit him in the thigh. I assume he was taken out on a litter. So they tell me. He was so dumb he faced almost certain death and he did his duty. You may laugh at him as a damned fool, but you cannot impugn his manhood.

I wonder if Yugoslavia will be Clinton's Waterloo!

Cokie Roberts' disdain was evident when she dismissed Pat Buchanan because he emphasizes “wedge issues” like immigration. If the liberal press favor something like abortion, it's a vital principle. If they're opposed, it's one of those dreadful “wedge issues.”

Randolph Reeves brutally murdered two benefactors, but his Nebraska death sentence was commuted by a court that ruled not enough whites are getting similar sentences. He may even be freed!

Who suffered most from two World Wars which so greatly sapped white pow? Germany perhaps? It's much smaller, true, but more prosperous than ever. The answer will surprise you: black Africans! Had there been no wars, Africa would have prospered under colonialism, instead of sinking ever deeper into chaos.

I have noticed a few expressions Instauration writers use from time to time. One of them is “right on.” This is an expression first used by Negroes and, like other words, mannerisms and dress, have been picked up by whites. I think the English expression, “spot on” should replace the ubiquitous “right on.”

I have seen a number of really good movies this year. Starting with Payback, then Letter in a Bottle, followed by Analyze This. What makes them unusually good is that not a single Negro appeared in any. A fourth good show was October Sky, which was marred by one Negro.

He dodged the draft, said he hates the military and demonstrated against his country. Hard to find anything good our Prez has done. I'm afraid to challenge Clintonistas to demonstrate that he's a patriot, fearing I already know their reply: “Who cares? Makes no difference.”

Palestine is not the only area the Jews invaded. How about the Hamptons?

When I go to the post office I have my fingers crossed, hoping I won't get the one black clerk. He has no idea what the postage rates are for anything but first class. I worry that telling him what stamps to use might violate some federal regulation.

Pity the poor citizens of Jasper (TX). As if being beset by assorted protesters, loudmouths, kooks and mediacrats isn't bad enough, now they have to cough up more tax money! Since the estimated expense of bringing the three white guys who did in the Negro to justice is more than $500,000, country authorities had to hike property taxes almost 8%!

Don't Jews ever do anything wrong?
Shame!

It’s nice to be able to stage bloodless wars. You bomb and blast your enemy and nothing happens to you. You suffer at most a small fraction of the casualties that your enemy endures. The home folks don’t have to worry about a lot of bodies or pieces of bodies littering their own landscape. The war is fought in the air but felt on the ground, the enemy’s ground. Nothing hits or hurts you, except wayward hunks of anti-aircraft shrapnel.

In some ways the U.S. is not fighting a war at all. We are simply turning countries like Iraq and Serbia into firing ranges to test our latest killing machines, as we transform a sovereign, independent nation into a shooting gallery.

It’s a nice war if you can get it and, boy, are the Serbs getting it! Whole industries wiped out, together with any man, woman and child unlucky enough to get in harm’s way. We lose one plane, not even its pilot. They lose a whole infrastructure.

How much longer can we get away with this bloodless (for us) war? Will there never be any voices raised against this imperialism run amok, this rain of violence from the sky?

At present it looks like there will be no end, no end to indecency, no end to bullying, no end to transforming our own country from a once interesting experiment in statecraft to a searing blot on human history.

Take a look at some numbers. The U.S. has 25 times the population of Yugoslavia, 40 times the annual industrial output, 90 times the area. To America’s high-tech arsenal add the military resources of the other 18 NATO countries and you don’t exactly have the conditions for a fair fight.

What you really have is a monstrous pounding and grinding day after day and night after night of a small, practically undefended country, a tiny geopolitical dot on the map of the Balkans. Whatever happened to fair play, chivalry, sportsmanship?

History is bound to catch up to America someday. Our descendants will pay dearly for “our” leaders having allowed us to become a disgrace to humankind. We sit back on the hither side of the Atlantic as our missiles land on Iraq and Serbia—latterly on Afghanistan, Somalia, Nairobi—earlier on Lebanon and Libya. The long and the short of it is the U.S. has become a gangster nation racking up deeds that would have outraged Al Capone.

Is there nothing to be done? Is there not one among us who will rise up and stop us from becoming the scourge of the earth? The times are bleak and unpromising, but some of us must try desperately to act before our manufactured night closes permanently over the West.
Genocide Or Population Transfers?

Searching for parallels in history for the troubles befalling ethnic Albanians, journalists and writers never fail to harken back to the experience of the Jews under Hitler. Ever since the destruction of Nazi Germany and the death of Der Führer, the leader of every perceived enemy nation (Idi Amin of Uganda, Muammar Gaddafi of Libya, Saddam Hussein of Iraq, Milosevic of Serbia) has been likened by the synchronized media to Hitler, and the segment of the population opposed to the despised leader is compared to innocent Jews threatened with genocide. These cartoon-like representations of good and evil are simplistic distortions of the facts.

Yugoslavia is an invention, an artificial construct of the U.S. and Britain after WWI. Previously the different peoples inhabiting the Balkans had been developing separately in different cultural milieux. The prevailing influence in Slovenia and Croatia had been the Austro-Hungarian Empire and Catholicism; in Albania, Islam; in Serbia, Russia and the Eastern Orthodox Church. Bloody wars between these states reach far back in history. In creating Yugoslavia, a brittle amalgamation of diverse and warring peoples, the Allies chose to ignore history, thereby planting the seeds for future discord, very much as the Treaty of Versailles set the stage for WWII.

The current preferred term for expelling an alien people from one's own territory is "ethnic cleansing." When the Germans tried to expel the Jews, many of whom had flooded into the country from Poland and points east after WWI, it was called "Nazi racism." After WWII, when the Allies expelled millions of ethnic Germans from their ancestral homes, it was called a "humane population transfer."

Objectively speaking, the attempted expulsion of Albanians from Serbian-dominated areas of former Yugoslavia resembles neither the expulsion of the Jews from Germany nor that of Germans from East Europe.

The Jews in Germany had years of forewarning. They had been encouraged to leave, not only by the Germans but by the Zionists. Prospective areas of settlement had been proposed (Palestine, Madagascar). Moreover world Jewry had already declared economic war on Germany. The Communist government in the Soviet Union, which at that time was heavily staffed with Jews, had its own plans for world domination. As a result of the outbreak of WWII, the Jewish question in Europe could not be resolved peacefully and the lives of countless Jews were ended or changed forever.

The expulsion of Germans from East Europe was the direct result of WWII. As implemented by the Russians, but with the tacit approval of the U.S. and Britain, some 10 million Germans, mostly women, children and the elderly were sent packing. Young German men were either dead, in POW camps or assigned to forced labor units in Russia and France. According to the Yalta and Potsdam Agreements, the "transfer" of these people to the West was to be carried out in a "humane" manner. In reality, well over two million refugees either died of exposure or were murdered. Hundreds of thousands of women were raped and countless refugees were brutalized during the expulsion.

For the U.S. to intervene in Yugoslavia on the side of the Albanians is ill advised because the Kosovo Liberation Army, which stirred up the trouble, had already attracted the more radical followers of Islam. Intervention there on the side of the KLA may well replicate the U.S. experience in Afghanistan, where we supported the radical Muslims against the Russians, only to withdraw our support when it was clear that Islamic fundamentalists would constitute an even more dangerous presence. It is true that Serbs are an imperious people, almost intractable, but it is also true that they have through the ages fought to keep Europe Christian. The Albanians, on the other hand, have always been sui generis, somewhat like the Basques. During the hegemony of the Soviet Union the Albanians preferred Chairman Mao's brand of communism to the Russian variety, partially because Russia traditionally supported Serbia.

As in the two World Wars, the U.S. appears to be interfering on the wrong side of history. The natural course of development in Europe after the turn of the century would have seen the ascendency of Germany as the dominant power. But because of the influence of the Anglo-American Establishment, the heavy financial investments made in Britain by American financial houses, and Britain's futile and obsolete attempt to maintain a balance of power in Europe, the U.S. rescued an exhausted and all but defeated England, thereby putting a damper on German expansionism. WWII was really a continuation of WWI, separated by a brief armistice, which ended the British Empire as well as thwarting Germany's will to power.

Britain and the U.S. are mercantile states. As such they see their destiny in international or globalist ventures. Most other countries have been more nationalistic, preferring ethnic integrity and development.

The expulsion of the Albanians is a human tragedy. But the development of diverse national groups proceeds at different rates and in different ways. As one group moves forward economically or militarily, another group is trampled under. The Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse—pestilence, war, famine and death—still hold sway over human affairs.
The Death of Western Art

In The End of Art (Princeton Press, 1997) art historian Arthur Danto really means the end of Western Art.

There is definitely a parallel between accepting virtually anything as art and the interracial, international egalitarian acceptance of all races and all people. A world without borders parallels art with no restrictions and no narrative history.

Art which was once beyond the pale is now within it. Art with no part in art history, which is a reversion to some earlier form of art, is now the order of the day.

The 1960s had a paroxysm of art styles, just as it had a paroxysm of hedonism and universalism. Today anything can be a work of art. Anyone of any race can be a citizen.

Six centuries of Western art have come to an end, apparently to be followed by the end of Western man. What Danto calls the “post-historical” period will be followed by post-white man, since the narrative history of Western Art was essentially narrated and created by white men.

Danto states his values clearly: “How wonderful it would be to believe that the pluralistic art world of the historical present is a harbinger of political things to come!”

The history of painting can be understood as artists getting better and better at representing reality. Photography might have taken over for painting in this artistic history, since it represented reality better. Painting history in fact ended with photography. Supposedly the only thing left to the painter was to make other rules, come up with other values for painting. Apparently painters did not want to—or could not—learn photography.

Some say the break in the Western narrative history of painting reality began with Manet; others say it came with Van Gogh and Gauguin. It was a slow death. Certain death occurred when any attempt to revive Western man by continuing the narrative history of Western art was shouted down as racist or fascist.

The affirmation of white culture in art ended with WWII. Internationalism and interracialism conquered nationalism. The concept of “no borders” was increasingly reflected in the artistic concepts of unreality and nihilism.

The move from the eye to the psyche and from mimicking reality to the expression of emotional states was intrinsic to the decline of Euro-American art and the rise of other races with more irrational forms of art.

Jewish art critic Clement Greenberg’s attempt to define “pure” painting removed race and nation from consideration in art history. Recall Tom Wolfe’s hilarious description in The Painted Word of Greenberg discovering “flatness” as the essential factor of painting.

We really cannot examine aesthetics and political philosophy until we heed that ancient Socratic command to “know thyself.” Socrates (Phaedrus) states, “[S]o long as that ignorance remains, it seems to me ridiculous to inquire into extraneous matters.” Art critics, who have not yet defined human nature the way sociobiology and evolutionary psychology now define it, will have huge gaps missing from their art philosophies. Survival and reproductive drives influence even examinations into the “purity” of art, which prides itself on being avant-garde and lags far behind modern sociobiology and evolutionary psychology.

Greenberg took all the power out of art by insisting that pure art must exist without relating to anything other than itself, with no message other than its own flat objectivity. When art is not perceived as meaningful or enhancing, it is difficult to affirm and easy to reject. Art has been used to affirm the power of religion, nation and race for centuries.

Greenberg’s “pure art,” which insists that art is “im-pure” if it contains an admixture of any medium other than itself, is not art. It takes the sociobiological base away from art, like cutting the roots away from a tree, leaving only a dead trunk.

Greenberg’s art philosophy led to his describing Jackson Pollack as the greatest 20th-century American painter, an absurd pronouncement and really hilarious when it is understood that Greenberg was serious and was being taken seriously by other New York critics! Pollack’s dripping of paint onto floor-level canvases was described as high art by Greenberg’s coterie. The absurdity makes sense only in sociobiological terms, only as Greenberg’s will to power as manifested by his determination to destroy all the basic elements of Western art. It is perhaps pertinent to mention that Jewish and Islamic tradition prohibits the graven image. Greenberg comes from this tradition.

The “tyranny of taste,” which many modern critics complain about, is often sociobiologically an objection to the task of another race. One race’s feeling and form cannot be foisted upon another race without arousing the feeling of “tyranny.” Critics who objected to Western artistic tastes were frequently not of Anglo-Saxon, Protestant or even Christian heritage.

What Greenberg was seeking to “purify” was precisely the elements in art which Western artists had used for hundreds of years.

Plato knew that art is a tool of power, when it is not
Plato knew that art is a tool of power, when it is not corrupted by aesthetics. But deeper than power (even Nietzsche did not want to go deeper than power) is the purpose for power, which has been increasingly elaborated upon by modern sociobiology and evolutionary psychology, namely, the reproductive drive of the genes for success. Art is not as much a tool of “power,” as a tool of the biological needs of the race. The history of art shows that the affirmation of the sacred—those things which each race holds of divine provenance—has been the formula behind the greatest works of art.

Danto’s big philosophical question concerning the difference between art works and real objects—a question which he thinks ended the history of art—is not as big a question as he thinks. It is reminiscent of the silly arguments of the scholastics about how many angels could dance on the head of a pin. There are more important issues to spend one’s time on than thinking about ways to regard art, but many are now taboo. Modern thinkers have not been allowed to examine the biological base of social behavior, which includes artistic creativity. Here is where the real thinking on art should be directed. Evolutionary psychology is beginning to develop in this field of study, but it is very tentative and still politically correct.

What did Western Art ever do to people like Greenberg and Danto to make them so violently anarchistic? It was the will to power of a group of people who did not conform and did not want to conform to Western art tradition. They wanted to blow it up—and proceeded to do so.

Edward Hopper and a few realist painters fought the last battle against what they called the “gobbledygook” of the Museum of Modern Art. They banded together “against the wholesale usurpation of the abstract.” The conflict was over in about six years. Greenberg and his tribe triumphed.

When the sociobiologically sanctioned affirmation of biology and race is left out of the definitions of art, only superficial classifications remain. Art cannot be separated from non-art on purely visual terms. Even when art is defined historically as representing better and better ways of depicting reality it is still not a deep and conclusive way to define art.

By dealing in superficial questions, Danto decided that because he could no longer understand the difference between art and reality (i.e., Andy Warhol’s Brillo Box), any art will now be acceptable. Any “artist” can do whatever he wants. Such slogans as, “Everything is an artwork” and “Everyone is an artist” became current.

Danto favorably quotes Jean-Paul Sartre’s idea of being “truly human,” which Sartre defined as having the “freedom” of no identity. The problem is that there is no such freedom. We have strong biological identities whether we admit them or not. Sartre arrogantly said we are condemned to freedom when we have no freedom to be condemned to. We have “essences” which we will have to validate before we can be “truly human” and those essences are biological and genetic. We can’t wish them away. Why would we want to? Our philosophy has to adjust to human nature, which should not be expected to adjust to philosophy.

When Pop Art took emblems from popular culture and tried to elevate them or transfigure them into high art, they were affirming the commonplace over the high art in Western history. This coincided with the civil rights movement of the 1960s which declared the equality of all races. It was all a political subterfuge and it was motivated by biological power drives which were probably not even known to their possessors.

Newt Gingrich states that what took place in 1965 was “a calculated effort by cultural elites to discredit this civilization and replace it with a culture of irresponsibility.” It was not calculated. It was simply the will to power in high
Cultural Purity

A major part of who we are as a Volk is derived not only from our bloodlines but from our culture. As our DNA is the core of our physical being, our culture is the soul of our Volk. It is not enough that we remain biologically pure. We must seek to cleanse ourselves of alien practices if we are to preserve our history and forge a future. Foreign influences hinder our own creative development and open the door for other sorts of cultural and physical contamination.

Culture can be defined as the factors which identify our social form and separate us from other civilizations. Our creations and inventions, morals and ethics, ideologies and philosophies, traditions and customs—all these identify us as a race. If foreign elements are allowed to mingle with our own culture, its very essence is threatened.

Certainly not all foreign elements are bad in and of themselves. The danger comes when we choose to adopt and incorporate them into our own lives. When this happens, our culture is violated and ceases to exist as a unique and separate entity. Alien components contaminate the very soul of our race as certainly as alien blood corrupts and debilitates our genetic makeup.

Racial purity and the acceptance of foreign ways and customs cannot coexist. They are mutually exclusive. As soon as one foreign element is introduced, there is immediate justification for acceptance of all other such elements, and all the benefits of racial homogeneity are compromised.

Consider Asian martial arts which some of us seem intent on incorporating into our lives. I'm not arguing that it is not a useful method of defense. Indeed it could be said that quite a few alien ways are equal to or, in some cases, even better than some of our own. That is not the point. The point is that they are foreign to our culture. Where do we draw the line? It should be drawn at the front door. If it isn't, then we extend an open invitation to minorities to waltz in and stunt our creative and cultural growth. We also extend to them a tacit invitation to racial mixing. After all, it is just one step from embracing another race's culture to embracing the people themselves.

As Majority members we should be striving to promote innovation and not imitation. There is nothing wrong with admiring some aspects of other cultures, but we must stop short of trying to adopt them. Our growth as a race must take precedence over all else. Anything which is not a part of our essential nature is a threat to our existence. The only way to retain and perpetuate our culture is to keep it as pure as our blood.

KENNETH LLOYD ANDERSON

M.B.
A British insider’s look at WWII

Autobiography of Major-General Sir Kenneth Strong

Having played a major part in some of the biggest operations of the European theater, General Strong had many insights to offer in his book, *Intelligence at the Top—The Recollections of an Intelligence Officer* (Cassell, London, 1968). Like any career army officer, Strong had hoped to command a line unit once WWII started, but his talent and experience destined him for the highest staff jobs.

As military attaché to the British Embassy in Berlin for several years, Strong, then a Major, came to know the German military very well. (He put Wehrmacht training far above that of the British.) Many of the younger German officers he knew and entertained spoke frankly of Hitler’s military build-up. Most did not want war, certainly not war with England. They evidently hoped he would convince London of the danger of Germany starting such a war, so that Britain would tell the Führer to back off. Strong writes (p. 49):

> From the military point of view, I never had the slightest doubt that the Munich decision was correct. Chamberlain was not stupid. He was aware that the months we gained as a result of that agreement could be essential for our survival later, however disreputable the apparent capitulation might appear at the time.

Unfortunately the British War Office did not put too much faith in Strong’s reports. Nor did it believe the plans for an invasion of France, which fell into its hands when a German plane crashed in Belgium. The French War Office had buried its own 1938 war-games scenario, which exactly predicted the result of a surprise German attack through the Ardennes.

What the British War Office did right was to send Strong to Algeria in February 1943 to serve as Eisenhower’s intelligence chief, when “lkus Africanus” was shaking up his command after Rommel’s defeat of American armor at the Kasserine Pass. That defeat came as a tremendous shock to Ike and haunted him for the rest of the war. His first question about the frightening Nazi breakthrough of December 1944 in the Battle of the Bulge was, “Is this another Kasserine?” Not only was Kasserine painful to Ike personally; it was generating ugly rumors about American cowardice and incompetence. As a result Washington was having doubts about Eisenhower’s own competence to lead the North African Allied Force Command. Strong’s (now a Brigadier) first task was to investigate the Kasserine debacle. He found the sharp criticism of green American forces coming up against the battle-hardened Afrika Korps to be unjust.

Strong’s immediate boss was Ike’s Chief of Staff, the brilliant but irascible General Bedell Smith. When told of Strong’s Kasserine initiative, Smith snapped, “Tell it to Ike!” When Strong did so, and in detail, Eisenhower listened quietly, asking no questions, merely nodding his thanks. Walking away, Strong wondered whether he should have left Ike his written report. But he had underestimated his boss, as he discovered the next day, when he found on his desk a copy of Ike’s report to Washington on the Kasserine affair. Everything Strong had told him was there.

This was one of Ike’s greatest strengths—being a superb listener with a remarkable memory. He was also a keen judge of character, which is why he liked having people report to him verbally, face-to-face. He displayed these qualities again in the most dramatic moment of his career, when Ike had to make the agonizing decision to launch Operation Overlord. Pacing quietly up and down, Ike listened to what his top commanders had to offer, then said, “We’ll go.” While the troops sprang into action, he went back to his office and wrote a brief communiqué saying that, since the decision to invade had been made on the best information available, its failure would reflect no discredit on the forces involved. He said the final responsibility for the decision had been his alone.

If the incident of the Kasserine report gave Strong a great respect for Ike’s ability, it also convinced Eisenhower...
of Strong’s fairness. This was very important. Friction between wartime allies can be dangerous, as it often was between the British and Americans. Strong played the peacemaker. He had to play this difficult role with Bedell Smith, not an easy man to work for, who was not very fond of the British. “Don’t tell me what other people report to you,” said the blunt-spoken Smith. “I want your assessment. And if you’re wrong too often, we’ll get someone else.”

Serving in both North Africa and Italy where he and Smith personally brokered the Italian surrender, Strong earned so much trust and respect that when Eisenhower was named Supreme Commander for the invasion of France he would have no one else for his Chief of Intelligence. Finding that the British War Office had other plans for Strong, Ike made a personal appeal to Churchill to let Strong stay on his staff.

Giving full credit to American generals, Strong writes, “In the breadth of their military thinking, Generals Bradley and Patton had more in common with Rundstedt and Reichenau than with Alexander or Montgomery.” Patton he particularly admires, calling him the finest tank commander on the Allied side. When Eisenhower called a hurried conference of his commanders about the German Ardennes breakthrough, Patton’s Third army was far to the south of Bastogne, heading away from it. Asked how soon he could turn his army 90°, Patton said, “48 hours.” The British officers laughed incredulously, but Patton did it in just two days.

Strong is especially good in his report on the Battle of the Bulge: the initial shock and confusion, the lack of information and the new-found respect for German arms which followed. He marvels at the Germans’ continued faith and endurance. He also pays tribute to Hitler’s masterful planning of the assault, telling how Der Fuhrer personally briefed the giant SS commander, Otto Skorzeny, on disruptive tactics behind the American lines, just as he had briefed him earlier on the daring hilltop rescue of Mussolini. Even this late in the day, and in failing health, Hitler was still very much in command. (Strong, who saw no credible dissident movement in Germany, had not been surprised by the failure of the Bomb Plot: “There was no serious alternative to Hitler as a German national leader.”) After the Ardennes fiasco, questions were asked as to why Allied Intelligence failed to anticipate the assault. Actually, Strong had circulated an intelligence report mentioning this possibility to all Army Group HQs just two days before the attack. Montgomery called it “alarmist,” while Bradley, who had three green divisions stretched over a 75-mile front in that sector, was skeptical of German strength. So thorough were German disinformation tactics that even Bradley’s forward troops suspected nothing until three entire panzer armies crashed through them.

Strong also offers insights on many other aspects of WWII. On the possibility of a German invasion of England, he told his staff in London, “If we held out for a week we should have done well.” To those who deny that the Third Reich had a great deal of help from Stalin right up to Barbarossa, he answers that in the autumn and winter of 1940-41, “Germans were much less vulnerable to the British blockade than in WWI, because they were receiving almost unlimited oil and grain from Russia.” As to those who did not believe Generalissimo Franco had enormously helped the Allies by refusing Hitler’s pleas to let German troops pass through Spain, he says, “The loss of Gibraltar and the consequent closure of the Mediterranean would have been nearly disastrous for us.” He quotes Goering as saying after the war that Germany’s greatest mistake had been failing to take Gibraltar. Strong also tells us that both he and Ike had advised against the bombing of Dresden, which they knew to be packed with refugees. Somebody else, apparently, thought it would please the Soviets by blocking German troop movements through the rail yards there.

Strong’s most shocking revelation, which even his diplomatic language cannot disguise, concerns the ignorance and naiveté of top Americans towards the Soviet Union after the war. Considering that both Ike and Bedell Smith had become friends of Strong and that they knew Churchill—who 26 years earlier had called the Bolshevik regime “the worst tyranny in history”—one might think that some of Britain’s long experience with Russia would have rubbed off on the Americans. Not so. Once the war was over, the old American distrust of British “imperialism” and the latent hostility bred into them since 1776 popped up again. (In Eisenhower’s case, it was accompanied by a rabid Zionism, though Strong does not mention this. Could his fellow cadets at West Point, who among themselves called him “the Swedish Jew,” have seen something that hardly anyone else suspected? This may explain that hatred of the Germans—a hatred seldom found among frontline troops—which made Eisenhower let hundreds of thousands of prisoners die of neglect in his “detainee” camps after the war.)

When Eisenhower’s Supreme HQ was dissolved in July 1945, Bedell Smith told Strong (p. 218) that, although their personal friendship could continue, his official cooperation would henceforth be with Russia. The U.S., he explained, regarded Russia as the country of the future, whereas Britain—old, tired and obsolete—was pretty much passé. (When Smith became U.S. Ambassador to Moscow in Stalin’s last years and saw the truth of the “evil empire,” he changed his mind in a hurry.)

Eisenhower must have undergone a similar conversion by the time he became Supreme Commander of NATO in 1951. But in November 1945 he had told a Congressional Committee (p. 230) that Russia had not the slightest thing to gain in a war with the U.S. “There is in Russia a desperate and continuing concern for the lot of the common man, and they wish to be friends with us.”

Who could have written that script for him? Was it Harry Dexter White, né Jakob Weiss? Faced with such skewed sentiments, General Strong was probably wise in rejecting an invitation to join the C.I.A.

PETER J. LORDEN
The Big Apple Slouches Towards Minoria

A
s this year’s winter died away, so did New York City’s brief Indian summer of comparative order and civility under Mayor Rudolph Giuliani.

By now, Instaurationists will have heard ad nauseam of the accidental shooting of a black peddler by four police officers in the crime-ridden South Bronx. While so far there is no evidence of police malice, the death of Ama
dou Diallo has resulted in exactly the sort of political grandstanding and media rush to judgement that the Rod
ney King thrashing in Los Angeles, the dragging death of James Byrd in Jasper, and similar instances of minority martyrdom have generated throughout the 1990s.

The cops, of course, will be tried for murder: they are white, and the dead man was black. The elite Street Crime Unit they were part of will be befeoffed by more non-white officers. Black and white lawmen will now scour New York’s meanest streets in full uniform rather than plain clothes. This means the SCU’s efficacy at confiscat­ing illegal weapons and stopping crime in minority neighbor­hoods will be badly lamed.

President Clinton and Attorney General Reno are needless to say mightily concerned. The Justice Dept. is pro­bing to determine whether the New York Police Dept. is to be placed under federal supervision. Chief overseer of this project is Justice’s Chinese-American acting head of its “civil rights” division, Bill Lann Lee—whose crime­fighting expertise is presumably based on his stint as a re­gional director of the National Association of Colored People’s legal defense department.

It is not so much the predictable agitation of the AI Sharptons and the Johnnie Cochrans, or the barricade preenings of the limousine left, or even the interventions of the white liberal votecatchers that toll New York’s inescapable doom. No, it’s the silence, the confusion, and the complicity of ordinary, “conservative” white folks in and around the city—from the governors of New York and New Jersey on down.

New York’s best effort at a non-kneejerk media—from the New York Post (Murdoch owned and normally quite combative in the newprint jihads against the shvartzes) to the grousefests on talk radio—has been defensive, reactive and apologetic. It’s as if almost nobody can make—or at least dare articulate—the possible nexus between non-white walls at aggressive policing and cleaner, safer streets. Over the decades the black “civil rights” move­ment has made it very plain that it prefers homegrown crime and squalor to whatever law and order whites in au­thority might import. But what about the rest of us? The public voice of Majority New Yorkers—and of the myriad of Jews who, whatever our wishes or their own, pass for white in the Megalopolis—seems to say that they’d rather face rape and mugging than be upbraided by a “civil rights” leader or cause a cloud to pass across the dusky face of some otherwise sunny minority television anchor.

If the Diallo affair were just an isolated instance of mi­nority rage at police malfeasance and official insensitivity, or if it were merely symptomatic of the ongoing black-white push-pull over civil rights in American cities, this obituary for what’s left of Majority New York might be premature, if not frivolous. It can hardly be coincidental, however, that the two biggest flaps of Mayor Giuliani’s law-and-order tenure have involved cops mistreating black immigrants.

In 1997 it was Abner Louima, arrested after a street brawl and then gruesomely sodomized, allegedly by po­lice. Louima is a Haitian immigrant. Like hundreds of thousands of other Haitian blacks, he came here allegedly to escape the terror of a Haitian junta. Like almost all the rest, he found cause to linger here even after a U.S. inva­sion installed the bright star of Haitian democratic hopes, Father Aristide.

Amadou Diallo came from Africa. The media made much of his alleged devotion to Islam. His wealthy family of globetrotting businessmen received ample approbation in the reportage (although none wondered why Diallo was supposedly working as a peddler on Manhattan’s seamy 14th Street, or residing in a wretched tenement in the Bronx). When it transpired that Diallo was an illegal alien who’d mocked up a phony story that he was a refugee from Mauritania, where the slightly lighter upper crust is fiercely oppressing the duskier underclass, that story was buried in the mid to back pages of the newspapers. No­body’s interested in the real story behind Diallo’s lies, be­cause the score of so bullets that took him down in the night is the only story.

Nobody in New York asks, in public, “Is there a shortage of blacks, that we need to import them?” The fact is that the city has been flooded with millions of foreign blacks—from the Caribbean, and increasingly from Afri­ca—over the past two or three decades.

Giuliani is complicit here: he’s the very model of an slavishly pro-immigration modern mayor, who hás thrown every roadblock in attempts to control the flood of Third World foreigners into the Big Papaya. His Catholic high school beadle’s attempts to enforce the law and impose decorum have inevitably bumped up against the grim truth that demographics is destiny. In the end he’s been no less New York’s gravedigger than Al Sharpton.

The future for whites in the moldered Apple? Staten Is­land, the last borough where the Majority is in the majori­
ty, talks of secession (if that brings a little bitter Schadenfreude to our Confederate friends, let them remember that the City was no Unionist citadel in the War between the States). Secession or the slo-mo ethnic cleansing of migration for the suburbs will, of course, be the only recourse for most, as it has been since the black influx, whether from the South or abroad, began.

The Majority will continue to work there, to visit, may even have its outspoken, minority say through such bold voices as Queens board of education member Frank Borzellieri. But from now on, color New York—Minoria.

MORIARTY

From Disloyal Mother to Unfilial Daughter

I have often thought that Instauration should select one male and one female as Majority Co-Renegades of the Year. On the distaff side there is no better living example of the ancient adage that “the apple never falls far from the tree” than the repellent Reeve Lindbergh. This truckling ingrate is a politically correct chip off the old Wall St. banker block. Her mother, Anne Morrow, is the daughter of the late Dwight Morrow, a lifelong crony of Jewish money-mongers in New York and London.

Anne Morrow was the social and political antithesis of Charles Lindbergh, the guileless Nordic hero from America’s heartland. Their respective fathers were poles apart as well. Charles Lindbergh Sr. was a fighting populist Congressman who energetically opposed the private bankers’ monopoly called the Federal Reserve System. Dwight Morrow was in the forefront of the successful Wall St. campaign to deceive, cajole and bribe the Congress of 1913 into passing the iniquitous Federal Reserve Act, which placed the American people in the hands of usurious financiers.

Some months after his famous flight, the younger Charles was asked by the White House to make a flying “goodwill tour” of North America. While in Mexico he was a guest at the embassy residence of Ambassador Morrow. In her diary Anne boasted that she decided to set her cap for the shy young aviator. She quickly hooked him, it is entirely probable that Daddy Morrow encouraged his daughter’s courtship of Lindbergh in order to keep an establishment eye on a budding populist leader. In a sardonic twist of fate, the son of Congressman Lindbergh found himself married to the daughter of his father’s old nemesis.

The subterranean enemies of young Lindbergh’s were determined that he not follow in his father’s footsteps. The murder of the Lindberghs’ first-born son under suspicious circumstances went a long way toward assuring the establishment that he would not pursue a political path. Revolted by the sensationalism of the murder trial, Charles, encouraged by Anne, fled America for Europe where the couple for lived for some years. Upon their return to the U.S. Charles, to Anne’s displeasure, overcame his reticence and became a principal spokesman for the America First movement.

Lindbergh’s wife, a bestselling author, made a pretense of supporting her husband’s antiwar activities, but as her published diaries show, she was not happy with his views about Hitler, Jews and the British elite—views which differed sharply from those of the Morrow family. Anne was careful to inculcate her children with her views, even if she could not appreciably alter those of her husband. She did, however, prevail on Lindbergh to virtually withdraw from public life during and after WWII and devote his energies to esoteric pursuits, such as the study of primitive savages in remote areas of the world. He was never again to speak in public on behalf of his beleaguered race.

After Lindbergh’s death in 1974, his devoted wife would lose little time in traducing his memory by first carefully removing all politically incorrect passages from the manuscript of his autobiography before allowing it to be published in 1977. Instaurationists will doubtless recall with disgust Anne’s interview on 60 Minutes with Chosenite Morley Safer, wherein she eagerly agreed with some of Safer’s snide remarks about her late husband.

Ultimately, Anne allowed complete access to her husband’s papers to a Chosen scribbler named A.S. Berg. So we can see why her youngest daughter is now following in Mummy’s footsteps with her own filial screed. The mismatched marriage of Charles Lindbergh and Anne Morrow provides a tragic example of how such a union can blight the potential of a promising Majority leader. One can only speculate on how different Lindbergh’s life would have been had he wed a truly supportive Nordic woman from his native Minnesota instead of the dark, short, devious offspring of a Wall St. plutocrat. Sadly such speculation belongs to the wistful real of the might-have-been. Zip Withheld
Shape Up, Zip 323

Zip 323 in the February 1999 Instauration announces he has given up on the losing struggle to maintain our race. What is the use, he asks, of rescuing an insignificant bit of organic matter on a tiny planet which is utterly lost in the vastness of cosmic spacetime? “If because of overpopulation and dysgenics,” he asks, “we cease to exist, so what?”

At first glance, Zip 323’s despair may seem justified. After all, no Jewish god is going to spirit us out of the Levantine wasteland to save us from our own lethargic drift back to the slime whence we arose. God is dead. Life, it seems, is meaningless. A tale told by an idiot. Might as well abandon it to those who are full of sound and fury, for it signifies nothing.

Not so fast, Zip 323. Let us use the intelligence and the wisdom of our rich genetic inheritance to look before we leap into the abyss. Imagine a mountain a hundred times higher than Mount Everest. On the rarefied tip is a single atom higher than all the others that comprises this gigantic mass. This highest atom, so parabolize our universe, is the universe. Just like all of the other atoms in that mighty mount, an infinite number of other universes most surely exists, each with its own set of natural laws, each set different from ours and from all others.

Our universe, however, is the one and only universe which brings forth life—real, organic life, not the imaginary sci-fi life forms dreamed up for the moronic masses and their minority masters. This, conclude the most advanced mathematical minds on our planet, is the actual, true state of affairs. They call this multi-universe understanding of nature the “anthropic principle” (from the Greek anthropos “man”), since this is the only one out of all the universes which could have produced us.

Within our own atom-universe, which was spawned 13 billion years ago in a spacetime warp called the “Big Bang,” first billions of galaxies, then our solar system with its earth, and finally organic life, came to be. Life is a form of incorporeal knowledge: the higher up in the food chain a being is, the more it knows. The fish knows the water. Mammals know the more complex land. Man knows vastly more than any other creature. The white man’s science and technology are actually an extenuation of this evolutionary process. By the way, let it be clear that the stupidity of the Negro and the mental rigidity of the Mongoloid make it impossible for non-Caucasoids to advance science in any meaningful way, Jewish diatribes notwithstanding. The other races are evolutionary dead ends. Science is a white thing. It lives and dies with whites and whites alone.

Man himself is, by virtue of his intelligence, the highest atom on this planet. But our species is subject to the laws of evolution which produced it. Within our kind there have developed geographical variations to which we English-speakers give the term “race.” Such geographical variations have resulted in differences in mode and quality of life among our species. Only one of these geographical variations has produced a truly advanced form of life—a form based on greater knowledge and wisdom than is found among any other human variation. We speak now of the envy of mankind—the white race.

The white race, however, has a fatal flaw: overintellectualization and overrationalization. This flaw has made it possible for it to abandon its struggle to become a higher life form. We rationalize our corruption as democracy, our addiction to mind-altering substances as “having fun,” our orphaning of our own children through divorce as their being “better off without us,” their parents. The white man’s flaw is, in other words, his tendency to eliminate cognitive dissonance by repressing the truth: his treasonous irresponsibility to life itself. Hearken, Zip 323.

All unbeknownst to modern man (that is, the intellectual white man) is the Mind of the cosmos which bore him. This Mind can sometimes be discerned in the workings of events that are deemed (or misnamed) “paranormal.” In general, perception of this level of nature is possible only in slow-brainwave consciousness (e.g., childhood, meditation, sleep or in the temporary brain-death of the near-death experience). It cannot be sensed by the fast-brainwave high consciousness so characteristic of the white man. Indeed, the very intellectuality of our more intelligent members makes it impossible for them to perceive the deeper states of being, the source of their life impulse. Fast-brainwave states perforce exclude the perceptory capabilities of slow-brainwave states. In addition, permanently slow brainwaves are characteristic of the slow-witted. Thus a typical white intellectual will deny that any such thing as the paranormal even exists, since he himself cannot perceive it or because he is afraid of being called a dimwit or insane. This is a little like a South Sea Islander denying that such a thing as snow exists, because he himself has never seen snow.

And yet snow exists. And so does the cosmic infra-mind. It is this Mind that undergirds the universe—indeed is the universe—and drives evolution, formulating itself into crystals of consciousness on our planet in our universe among the infinity of universes. This Mind is not some Judeo-Christian “Loving Father.” It is inexorable and absolute, the “Weird” of our ancient heathen forefathers. Its main technique for clearing evolutionary paths is the death and extinction of the unfit.
TV hypnotizees have no concept of what this means. But its meaning is clear to those who open their eyes. At this moment, for instance, the by far largest nation on earth, Communist China (one out of every five human beings is Chinese!), is preparing to take over control of the planet. The Red Asiatics have already bribed the highest echelons of the Democratic Party with massive electoral campaign donations, enabling William Jefferson ("the Traitor") Clinton to take over the White House, give the slant-eyed our highest military technology and enable one of their own kinsmen, Gary Locke, to become the governor of "diversity"-committed Washington State. The only foreign entity with greater power in U.S. politics is the parasitic state of Israel. Because of Jewish media control and white evolutionary turpitude, European Americans are mainly concerned about how best to exit from history amidst gladiatorial shows and pain-suppressing drugs.

When the Yellow Peril begins in earnest, the white man will once again—and perhaps too late—come to understand that the cosmic inframind is deadly serious about evolution. The law is—advance or die!

The universe did not come into being for nothing. Even though this law, man's raison d'être, is not currently viewed as politically correct, nor is it warm and pleasant to contemplate, it is the transcendent foundation of our being.

That, Zip 323, is why you should not give up.

O'REGAN

Why So Many Crosses?

I was in my car heading home one afternoon last December when I got the news about Clinton's bombing of Iraq. Nothing like bombs at Ramadan to let the Islamics in the Middle East know who's boss. The names that spilled out of the radio told it all: Cohen, Albright, Berger, Rubin—the usual suspects.

Next day I attended the funeral of an Omaha Beach infantry veteran who had fought from D-Day in Normandy to the Rhine. He was buried in a national cemetery, in a section where WWII crosses on marble headstones form ranks in eternal parade rest. Wherever you looked, there was the embossed symbol of the Christian faith and no other.

It was a beautiful South Texas morning, and shortly before the tributes began I happened to overhear two men behind me, one of them a veteran. The conversation went very much like this:

A. Well, our fearless leader has gotten us into another one.
B. Another one for Israel, you mean.
A. Yep. Just look at those hundreds and hundreds of crosses from the Big One. That one was fought for Jews, too. To save 'em.

B. My unit had a saying: "First to the bank, last to the front." But what else can you expect when our politicians are all in their back pockets.

This "vicious anti-Semitism" went on in the same vein until the obsequies began.

To contemplate Majority members dying in foreign wars to advance the agenda of aliens is sobering. We never seem to understand the mentality of the forces arrayed against us. It is exactly the same hate-obsessed neurosis that fueled the Jewish-dominated NKVD back in the 30s and 40s, the same hatred that fueled the venomous Levantine aggression which murdered 4,300 helpless Polish POWs in Katyn in 1940.

In the U.S. the apostles of hate rely more on agitprop than the big stick. But always there is that ancient and splenetic paranoia which spurs and spews like sulphuric acid from out of the depths of such groups as the ACLU and the ADL, searing and corroding everything that dares to cross its path. And just as its protective shield was once Bolshevist totalitarianism, today that shield is liberal democracy run amok. As liberals they claim to be the great lovers of humanity, to embrace tolerance for all. They made the same claim under militant communism. Won't we ever learn?

Most Majority members will never really fathom why those marble crosses are there, why there will be more and more of them in national cemeteries across the land and overseas. Knowingly or unknowingly, those headstones will always give the lie to the exponents and practitioners of the Big Lie.
Nazi Leaders Critiqued

Regarding letters from Zip 111 and 113 (March 1999), let me say (1) the Zwangsarbeitern had been shot in the back of the head; (2) their grave lay in full view of a prosperous country village not half a mile away whose people were certainly not starvings; (3) I'd neither seen nor heard of unburied German soldiers since the end of WWII, nor would we have allowed this. (Eisenhower's death camps, about which Americans are still in denial, were another matter;); (4) As to Hitler's final order, his surviving fans in Germany can say what they like, but his motto had been, Weltmacht oder Niedergang, and there is no doubt that he made the latter choice in the end. General Strong, who was Ike's Chief of Intelligence, says that only Martin Bormann and Field Marshal Keitel backed this monstrous order, which Admiral Doenitz promptly canceled on taking command. As to Hitler's loving his people, his regard for them seems to have been more like that of a mason for his tools. Field Marshal von Manstein tells us, "Any feeling of sympathy for the troops left him completely cold."

On a couple of other things for which previous critics attacked me, Reinhard Heydrich was not the ideal physical type of the Aryan. Broad-hipped, mean-mouthed and with his eyes set too close together, he was feared and hated by most of his underlings. He was also feared and hated by his boss, which is why Himmler arranged that Heydrich should not recover from the wounds he suffered in Wenceslas Square. On the other hand, the popular Wehrmacht poster of an ideally handsome young soldier actually used the photo of a Mischling who shouldn't even have been in the Army! So much for stereotypes.

For those who would deny that Adolf learned anything from the Bolsheviks, here's a quote from David Irving's The War Path (p. 181):

Hitler quietly admired how Bolshevism had subjected the Slav subhumans—as he called them—to the tyranny of a Jewish ruling clique, precisely the kind of elite leadership that he was struggling to invest Germany with.

P.J.L.

Dumbbell Males

Re Canadian subscriber's comments on anti-male TV commercials (Satcom Sam, April 1999). Lest the male become overly sensitive on the subject, may I remind Instaurationists of the Maggie and Jiggs, Blondie and Dagwood, Fred Flintstone, and Olive Oil and Popeye cartoons? The male was always portrayed as bumbling and inept and having to be bailed out by his long-suffering and more intelligent wife. I don't think we should read too much into modern anti-male TV ads. The old cartoons didn't seem to inflict much damage and I don't think the present-day ones will do much either. Most women are smart enough to put the whole matter in perspective. Remember the white woman is also, as a Canadian subscriber points out, being demeaned by the minority woman who is portrayed as the female with all the answers. Don't sweat the small stuff! Let's concentrate on getting the violence and perverted sex off TV.

Distaff Side

About Blondes and Blondism

I was taken aback by the blurb in "Elsewhere" (Feb. 1999) that stated Germany is 18% blond, Sweden 35% blond and England 65% blond fifty years ago, but is now down to 15%. I like to depend on Instauration as a reliable source of information, but the claims that 65% of the English people were blond five decades ago and that this proportion has been reduced by more than three-quarters in just half a century arouses an immediate presumption of error. What is the source of this information? How could a change on such a scale occur in so short a time? It could only be achieved by intermixture on a vast scale with a strongly brunet race. But the amount of such intermixture that has occurred, although calamitous in the long term from a racial preservationist perspective, has not been nearly large enough to accomplish such a change. Also, in my personal observations during my three trips to England (1986, 1994, 1997), although I saw many examples of intermixture among the unmixed indigenous population, I noticed no difference in the distribution of hair color among the different age groups, and a change of the magnitude claimed would require a very noticeable difference in hair color between those born before 1948 and those born recently.

Of course, one of the problems with comparing different studies of blondness is that they seldom adhere to a uniform standard. Carleton Coon, in The Races of Europe (1939), in which he relied on the studies of many different researchers, pointed out that he had no single source that studied the pigmentation of the entire British Isles with standard charts. One source might classify only light blond hair as blond while another might classify the faintest trace of blondism as blond. It is possible that the 1948 study behind this claim employed a very liberal definition of blondness while the more recent study employed a very strict standard. In surveying the distribution of blond hair in England in the 1930s Coon gives a high of 25% to 30% along the North Sea coast in the East (where the Scandinavian and Anglo-Saxon influence is strongest) and a low of 10% to 15% in Cornwall in the southwest. Overall he finds brown hair, the intermediate shades between blond and brunet, to be the most common English hair color. I might add that Coon's 1930 figures are consistent with my...
own much more recent personal observations. Coon does suggest that the percentage of blond hair among the English was probably greater in the more distant past before the Industrial Revolution of the 18th and 19th century, which saw a great increase in the more brutish working class population. He also finds that the English upper and middle classes were more typically blond than the lower class.

Regarding Sweden and Norway, Coon seems to be contradictory. In the same paragraph (p. 330) he cites one source to the effect that they are both about 75% blond, but then states “the most numerous category is a medium to light brown, with extreme blonds in the minority.” Again, it might be a matter of definition. If one defines blond according to a very liberal standard, the 75% figure would agree with the impressions I formed from my own observations that Coon’s extreme blonds would certainly be a minority, perhaps somewhere near the 35% figure cited by Instauration.

RICHARD McCULLOUGH

Kipling’s Words to the Wise

Instauration’s quoting the first two verses of Kipling’s The Stranger (Feb. 1999) reminds me of the lines that begin his short story, Beyond the Pale.

A man should, whatever happens, keep to his own caste, race and breed. Let the white go to the white and the black to the black. Then, whatever trouble falls is in the ordinary course of things—neither sudden, alien nor unexpected.

Nota bene, when Kipling uses the term “black,” he is referring not specifically to Negroids, but to all nonwhite folk.

Price’s Résumé

Here’s more on novelist Richard Price (Waspishly Yours, Feb. 1999). I have not read Freedomland yet, but have read The Wanderers (Price’s first novel, published in 1974, when he was 24). Ladies’ Man and Bloodbrothers are also highly readable, realistic portrayals of inner-city white ethnics. Cockers, which was the basis of a Spike Lee movie, was Price’s overlong (600 pages) saga of black drug dealers and white cops. The writer’s ear for dialogue has not been lost on Hollywood. His script credits include Sea of Love, New York Stories, Night and the City, Mad Dog and Glory and The Color of Money, for which he received an Oscar nomination. As to Price’s ethnicity, I can offer no info. He could be a Chosenite (Preiss?), but Italians seem to predominate in his novels.

J.H.

Healthy Exchange of Ideas

I was gratified to read Moriarty’s (April 1999) comments about my article on the Lindbergh baby kidnapping (Feb. 1999). I had never heard any viewpoint opposite to the one Moriarty proposed. I read Ludovic Kennedy’s The Airman and the Carpenter with curiosity to see what case could be made for Hauptmann’s innocence. Since the author was an Englishman and apparently had no particular axe to grind, I thought he might be somewhat objective in his analysis of the facts. I felt he made a plausible defense for Hauptmann’s innocence, but not an ironclad one. There seems to be so much controversy and murkiness surrounding the “evidence” that by picking and choosing “facts,” a case can be made either way. Nevertheless it is this kind of dialogue between Majority members that sets us apart. No subject is off limits for intelligent discussion and discourse. We are unique in that we don’t muzzle thoughts that are opposite to our own. We have open minds and can discuss and disagree on ideas without losing sight of the big picture—namely the resurrection of our race.
**Sexy Animals**

Posters depicting animals having sex have popped up in many parts of the U.S., part of an advertising campaign for an esoterically named brew, Steel Reserve High Gravity Lager. Public exposure of rhinos and giant turtles mating stirred up complaints in Harlem, where blacks seemed to feel that the illustrations have some kind of connection to Negro behavior. Why in the world should the coupling of wild animals be disturbing to blacks?

**Thanks But No Thanks**

Thanks to the German government’s sifting through former Stasi files, the FBI was able to arrest three Communist agents working in the Pentagon with secret-level clearances. Since the three were outspoken Marxists since their college days, the average American might well ask how could this happen. The answer is simple. Since the 1970s the Pentagon and probably other classified workplaces have been forced to operate under the “Levi Guidelines,” which have all but gutted government personnel security programs. The Levi referred to is President Ford’s former Attorney General, Edward H. Levi, who ordained that persons preaching subversion were immune from investigation unless they advocated specific crimes. How may other subversives are working for the government these days under the protection of the Levi Guidelines?

**Advisers Galore**

Senator John McCain (R-AZ) is running for president. So what does he do? Although a Republican, he goes to Hollywood and has lunch with Democrat Barry Diller and dinner with Democrat Michael Eisner. Veep Gore finds it politic to eat with and phone chat with Jeffrey Katzenberg, Steven Spielberg and David Geffen. All these Jewish moguls are important, not just because of their huge wealth, but also because of their commanding positions in the entertainment business. Their clout on what passes for American culture is immeasurable.

Jews are not as prominent in the Republican Party. Second-raters Richard Perle and Paul Wolfowitz were delegated to rush down to Texas to thrust their not necessarily called for advice on Bush the Younger. Since U.S. elections gravitate around money, the GOP spotlight is on Mel Sembler, Robert Prechter and Wayne Berman. Though these millionaires are not as rich and powerful as the Hollywood gang, when they preach, the Republican high command listens.

**Gore’s Gaffes**

To boost his ratings with the intelligentsia Gore claims to have practically invented the Internet. If that foot in the mouth wasn’t bad enough, he compared the polluted environment with *Kristallnacht.* To many Jews this sounded like he was alluding to the Holocaust, a great no-no. Nothing in history, no atrocity ever committed is allowed to compete with the Holocaust. Its sacred status puts it far above any other tragedy that ever afflicted humanity.

**Raspail Speaks Out**

On the occasion of his acceptance of The Ingersoll Foundation’s T. S. Eliot Award for Creative Writing last year, Jean Raspail, author of the prophetic *Camp of the Saints,* noted that, although frequently reprinted in many languages throughout the world, the book cannot be printed in France because of that country’s political correctness laws. In his acceptance speech Raspail reminded his American audience that Europe and the U.S. are products of Western culture, born of the same Greek and Roman civilizations, the Bible and the Gospels, Renaissance humanism and the great scientific discoveries. He noted further that our spiritual, moral, family and aesthetic values derive from our common heritage and that the “rights of man” stem directly from Christianity. Without intending to offend anyone, he reminds us that our civilization was created by the white race. Multiculturalism, he explained, does not represent the values of our civilization nor is it a substitute for it.

**Devolution of Nationalism**

It’s high time that the pundits who shudder at the thought of nationalism wised up. Today nationalism means something entirely different from what it meant in the 19th century when the word was coined. In those days nationalism stood for a strong central government and for coalescing provinces into a nation with a common race, culture and language. Today nationalism is a force for disengagement. The common theme is rebellion against centralized authority, whether it’s Quebec against Canada, Indians in Chiapas against Mexico City, the Soviet republics against Russia, European nations against the European Union, member nations against NAFTA or any sovereign state against the UN. Reading between the lines of world history, one can’t help but notice that history everywhere follows the same sine curve: disparate kingdoms are united, live out their life span, then break (sometimes drift) apart into petty fiefdoms. Contemporary nationalism is simply the vehicle for bringing about the inevitable breakup of large, unwieldy political entities into independent states. It will happen peaceably or violently, quickly or slowly—and the one-world/global-village types will do everything they can to forestall the inevitable.

**Renegade Jew**

I visit a local mall five days a week, where I have struck up a casual relationship with a 62-year-old Jew who has a stand selling security systems. He says he was run out of a middle-class neighborhood on Long Guyland by blacks, Latinos and other minorities who made the area untenable. “They’re like cockroaches,” my Jewish friend fumed. “You get a few and then you’re overrun by them. He refers to the Statue of Liberty as “that bitch in the bay.” “That’s not a torch she’s holding up. That’s the finger she’s giving the American people as she allows all the [scum] of the world to pour in.” When I ask him if he’s not concerned about being driven out of the Jewish/liberal club, he answers with a shrug, “I don’t care about that stuff anymore.” Wonder how many other Jews, if any, feel as he does?

**Crooked Preacher**

Reverend Henry Lyons, ex-president of the National Baptist Convention USA, has finally been convicted of swindling millions of dollars from his followers, including the theft of $250,000 contributed by the ADL for the rebuilding of burned-out black churches in the South. (No money, of course, was forthcoming to rebuild torched white churches anywhere.) Lyons’ co-defendant, Bernice Edwards, a convicted embezzler from Milwaukee, was acquitted on the racketeering charge. Both she and the Reverend face a further federal trial for tax evasion, money laundering and extortion. Lyons’ lawyers accused the prosecutors of acting as “moral police” and endangering religious freedom. They argued that Lyons’ highly questionable financial activities were merely attempts to save some failed business ventures.
Inklings

Mossad in the White House
Several weeks ago the N.Y. Post ran a story suggesting that President Clinton had been blackmailed by Mossad which had intercepted his steamy late-night dirty phone calls with Monica. Though the story was repeated the next day over early morning Washington radio, neither of the capital’s two important newspapers thought enough of the tale to carry it.

Liberty Captain Dies
Captain William McGonagle died in early March at age 73. He was in command of the U.S.S. Liberty during the ferocious air and sea attack (34 dead, 171 wounded) by the Israelis. To keep him from talking about what the N.Y. Times subheadlined, “an incident at sea,” he was presented with the Congressional Medal of Honor, the nation’s highest military award. He kept silent for 30 years, until 1997, when at a meeting of Liberty survivors in Washington he said it was time Americans got to know the truth about its so-called ally.

No Triple Jeopardy
Hopefully there won’t be any more trials of the suburban Pittsburgh cops involved in the asphyxiation death of Jonny Gammage, an out-of-control black who died while wildly resisting arrest. The first trial ended in a mistrial when a Jewish coro-}

nakan acquittal. A couple of months ago a judge ruled against a third trial, a decision endorsed by the civil rights division of the Justice Dept. The Gammage family should not feel neglected, having received $1.5 million from the various Pittsburgh suburbs that employed the police officers. Black agitators, however, have not given up. A small rent-a-mob in Syracuse (NY) is constantly demanding that the federal government take over the case.

Trigger-happy Cops
The press made a great to-do over the 41 bullets that four New York City cops fired at an illegal immigrant from Guinea. Only 19 hit the 22-year-old Muslim street peddler. The overreaction provoked one of those staged Harlem riots and rubbed some media sheen off Mayor Rudy Giuliani. The hectored police officers, who had to turn in their guns, will be prosecuted for second degree murder.

Clinton’s Muscleman?
The Democratic Party’s biggest “giver,” Nathan Landau, took the Fifth before Starr’s grand jury when quizzed about his relations with Kathleen Willie, one of the bevy of women that Clinton has grooped before and during his presidency. Landau or the private investigator he hired, or both, may have been obstructing justice. One strange character jogged by Willie’s home and asked her how her children were doing. Some hired vandal slashed all four tires on her car. Someone wanted her to keep her mouth shut.

Incredible Numbers
Paul Erlich, one of those Jewish social scientists who will do anything to get publicity, predicted in his 1986 bestseller, The Population Bomb, that 68 million Americans would die of starvation between 1980 and 1999. One of Clinton’s favorite gurus, Erlich added that the U.S. population would shrink to 28.6 million by 1999. He then out-Erelched himself by stating that England had only a 50-50 chance of surviving until the year 2000. (The above incredible numbers appeared in an article by Negro columnist Walter Williams.)

In Bed with the KGB
For ten years (1935-45) the secret love of saintly Albert Einstein was Margarita Konenkova, a KGB agent. The twosome’s love letters were auctioned at Sotheby’s in New York last year. Einstein is often considered to be the most brilliant man who ever walked the face of the earth, perhaps because of his Jewishness, perhaps because of his yen for publicity.

A recent issue of the American Spectator had a long article in which several prominent physicists seriously questioned the validity of Einstein’s Relativity theories.

No Loan, He Sues
A new practice has been adopted in some quarters of the banking business. If you don’t loan a person money, he’ll sue. This is what has been going on in Johnstown (PA). Sangev Patel, a motel tycoon, was turned down by a local bank which had already loaned him $6 million, when he asked for an additional $3.7 megabucks. Patel and his lawyer won’t reveal how much they want. “It could reach a million dollars,” his shyster explained. Only doubtfully could Patel, born in India, get away with this bald-faced blackmail in his homeland.

The Impossible Clintons
• The story of the rape of Juanita Broadrick leaves the reader retching. When she was attending a nursing home con-

vention in Little Rock at the time he was Arkansas Attorney General, Clinton arranged a meeting with her at her hotel’s coffee shop. Claiming there was too much clutter, he suggested they move to her room. She was naïve enough to agree. Once there, after a few moments of small talk, he threw her on the bed and raped her, in the process biting her severely on the lip, an old trick of serial rapists to scare victims into submission. When finished, he adjusted his clothes and informed her he was sterile due to a childhood case of the mumps. (If true, who is Chelsea’s father?) He advised her to put some ice on her bleeding lip and without further ado walked out the door.

• At a recent prayer breakfast in Washing-

ton, Clinton ventured into theology by explaining, “Even though Adolf Hitler practiced a perverted form of Christianity, God did not want him to prevail.” Jews were unpleased by this statement. A Hitler biographer felt that God had waited too long to prevail because it gave the Nazis time to kill off the Six Million.

• As for “that woman,” Monica, Clin-

ton said he wishes her well and trusts she “will be permitted to go on with her life. . . .

and I hope it will be a good life.” Then he reverted to the old refrain, “The important thing is that the American people are vir-
Native Born Only

Much of the sophomoric and scandalous sexual behavior introduced in the White House in recent years by immature and irresponsible playboy presidents, like Kennedy and Clinton, could be avoided in future if Congress passed a Constitutional Amendment requiring that candidates for the presidency be at least 60 years of age. To keep foreign affairs in American hands and directed exclusively towards the national interest, Congress could require the Secretary of State to be a native-born citizen. This would have excluded Henry Kissinger, who presided (with the aid of Congress) over America's worst military debacle (Vietnam) and opened the U.S. treasury to Israel.

Kissinger is praised as a conservative latter-day Count Metternich by his co-religionists in the media, Disraeli, in his day, enjoyed the same notoriety as a defender of British Imperialism. Today Britain has no Empire and almost one-third of the lords of the realm are Jewish. Such a law would also have excluded Secretary of State Madam Albright and some members of her Zionist entourage, who are bursting to get us in a ground war in Europe by having NATO support the establishment and spread of Muslim entities in Yugoslavia.

Money-mad Jackie

The marriage contract of Jackie and Aristotle Onassis is an eye-opener. Seven years after the marriage she was due to get $27 million. She would not have to sleep with him. They would have separate bedrooms. She was not obliged to bear his children. Even the vulgar Greek shipping magnate couldn't stand Jackie’s cold ways and outlandish price tag. He was beginning divorce proceedings when he up and died. True to her race-mixing inclinations, she ended her life as the mistress of a Jewish diamond merchant, Maurice Tempelsman. At least he wasn't a murderer like brother-in-law Teddy.

Holyoke Meanderings

Although his book, Fragments, has been disclosed as a complete front-to-back lie, the American Orthopsychiatric Association, 4,500 strong, has honored Benjamin Wilkomirski with its annual Max A. Hayman Award, which promotes works that “increase our understanding of genocide and the Holocaust.”

Sumptuous Kosher Diet

“Real estate rapist” Kenneth Ashelman, currently serving 89 years in an Arizona prison, now claims he has become an Orthodox Jew, an assertion the courts have finally approved. At huge expense to the prison, Ashelman now gets for breakfast two packages of cereal, boiled eggs, an orange, two glasses of 2% milk, all the coffee he can drink and three packages of sugar. For lunch: kosher roast beef, a carrot, a banana and a 16-oz. fruit drink. For dinner: kosher fish, a whole zucchini, an orange, two glasses of iced tea and two sugar packets. All this lavish chow must be cooked and served with special utensils.

Agitated Columnist

Columnist Richard Cohen wrote an emotional condemnation of the New Jersey State Police’s practice of stopping and searching certain nonwhite males on the basis of their statistical tendency to participate in the illicit drug trade. Admitting that “everybody else does it,” Cohen argued that there is a difference between a Madison Ave. shopkeeper refusing to admit “certain persons” on the basis of their looks and New Jersey police targeting minorities. Apparently blind to the irony of his statement, the columnist recounted an experience he had with U.S. Customs agents, who, in line with their own “watch profile” for Middle East terrorists, vigorously patted him down.

Reacting fiercely, Cohen lashed out that all such profiles are “undemocratic” and “racist.” In response to Cohen, New Jersey State Police Superintendent Carl Williams defended statistically based minority profiling as a key element in effective police work. He asked rhetorically, “Who else but minorities should we search when they are disproportionately involved in drug crime?”

The next day his question was answered. New Jersey’s renegade Governor Christine Todd Whitman fired the Superintendent and observed that even if minorities and crime are linked, “the remark was insensitive.”

Architecture of Fear

Tens of millions of Americans no longer feel safe in the streets. Almost as many no longer feel safe in their homes. To alleviate these fears architecture is turning backward to Medieval times when the nobility sought protection from marauding thieves and robber bands in high walls, deep moats and an inner keep which served as a “security room.” It's not much different today. The Desert Island community in Palm Springs is surrounded by a 25-acre moat. One-third of all new communities in California are gated. Some are guarded by seven-foot security gates with steel turnstiles.

Architect Bryan Murphy has designed and built what he calls a “stealth house.” Looking like an expanded shack from the outside, the inside is the height of luxury. Glancing at it, no self-respecting burglar would give it the time of day. Architect Nan Ellin summed it up by calling the new buildings and homes the “architecture of fear.” If the trend continues, many of us may soon be living in fortresses.

Thief Rewarded

Caught redhanded stealing Swiss bank records, which he handed over to Jewish organizations, Christoph Meille, instead of going to jail, became a “righteous Gentile.” Along with his family he was transported to America, interviewed on TV and given a doorman’s job in Zoo City. Thoroughly in the clutches of lawyers, Meille is suing the Swiss bank he robbed for $10.5 billion. Meille’s lawyer is adding $60 million in damages for his client plus $2.5 billion for thwarting justice. This ought to teach the Swiss a thing or two about Jewish power.

The witch wouldn't be Secretary of State

The marriage contract of Jackie and Aristotle Onassis is an eye-opener. Seven years after the marriage she was due to get $27 million. She would not have to sleep with him. They would have separate bedrooms. She was not obliged to bear his children. Even the vulgar Greek shipping magnate couldn’t stand Jackie’s cold ways and outlandish price tag. He was beginning divorce proceedings when he up and died. True to her race-mixing inclinations, she ended her life as the mistress of a Jewish diamond merchant, Maurice Tempelsman. At least he wasn’t a murderer like brother-in-law Teddy.
Black diva Aretha Franklin has complained to high heaven about a story in the Detroit Free Press that says since 1988 she has been the target of 31 lawsuits for stiffing everyone from limo drivers to music arrangers.

Boston College feminist philosopher Mary Daly, 70, refuses to let males take her course, “Introduction to Feminist Ethics.” Last year two male students were escorted from her class and warned that they were “not welcome here.” Daly is known for her ferocious anti-Catholicism. She has written seven books, one of them entitled, Outercourse.

Ex-boxing champ Mohammed Ali’s younger daughter, Lala Ali, 20, is a chip off the old block. She plans to enter the ring this summer. Her ailing father had no comment.

Seattle resident Melanie Edwards, white wife of black Carlton Edwards, managed to get a restraining order against her abusive and violent husband. It didn’t work. Edwards spotted her in her car and shot her with four copper-jacketed, hollow-nosed bullets. His fifth bullet was reserved for his two-year-old hybrid baby daughter.

Steven Spielberg was planning to make a film portraying Charles Lindbergh as a “gentle anti-Semite.” When the word got out, he was subjected to so much pressure from friend and foe that Spielberg decided to junk the project.

Not every son sues his mother. A flagrant exception is Larry Klayman, Jewish head of the neocon Judicial Watch. His lawyer charges that Klayman’s mother, Shirley Feinberg, spent for her personal care expenses of her mom, Yetta Goldberg.

In Statesville (NC) two blacks broke a bottle over the heads of two white women, presumably hoping to burn them with the flammable contents. In the midst of the head-bashing the blacks informed the victims that they “hated white people.” Also in North Carolina, a black judge in Winston-Salem imposed a severe sentence on a Negro who robbed two Hispanics. Interracial crime is helping to fill up prisons with longer-serving inmates.


Attending a dinner in Philadelphia in honor of millionaire builder Israel Roizner, still another Holocaust survivor, the Vice President was bursting with praise for the state of Israel. “She takes risks for peace,” Gore gushed. The Veep was given a megillah (Biblical scroll) by Roizner, who claimed it had been hidden from the Nazis in WW1.

Jewish CEOs of American Express, Time Warner and Travelers Group are grooming blacks to take their high and mighty perch when they retire. Hearing this news some stockholders decided to dump their holdings in the companies.

Fleeing anti-drug task force agents after sneaking across the Rio Grande, Abecnego Ortiz, 18, was shot in the back and paralyzed from the waist down. He is now being cared for in the Brooke Army Medical Center in San Antonio at a huge cost to U.S. taxpayers. Meanwhile he is increasing the cost by suing the government for $25 million.

Because he intimated that minority groups are playing a disproportionate part in drug dealing, Colonel Carl Williams, superintendent of New Jersey police with a spotless 35-year-old record, was fired by wimpish Governor Christine Todd Whitman.

For trying to burn two cars belonging to blacks, Frank Palano was given a sentence of 20 years. It was the first time Louisiana had used its hate crime law, which adds five years to any felony arising from race, gender, etc., etc.

Charles Ng of Santa Ana (CA) was charged with killing seven men, three women and two baby boys. All the victims of the Hong Kong-born mass murderer were presumably white. The women were tortured and humiliated before they were finished off. Not a very civilized way to die, but one in keeping with the manners and morals of a country that has the welcome mat out for the world’s riffraff.

American Majority members who put a lot of hope in ex-professional wrestler Jesse Ventura, the Reform Party’s governor of Minnesota, were taken aback when he was asked whom he would support for president in the next election. His choice was Colin Powell.

The Las Vegas Hilton is suing Larry Flynt for $3.2 million, the credit he was allowed to run up last summer and which so far he has not bothered to repay.

The two sons of Holocaust graduate Siggi Wilzig have put their castle in the Hamptons up for sale. It’s so grandiose it has a built-in discotheque in the living room. In Auschwitz, Siggi said he watched 69 members of his family die over a three-year period.

Race-mixer Karenne Gore Schiff and her Jewish doctor hubby, Andrew Schiff, have paid $2.5 million for a 4,000-sq.-ft. duplex in Zoo City’s Upper East Side. The monthly maintenance fee for the spread is $5,600.

A white Kansan, Amy Watkins, 26, who came to New York to pursue her studies in social work, was killed by a knife-wielder while walking from the subway to her apartment in the “safe” Prospect Heights section of Brooklyn. Much of last summer she spent in Israel working with the Israeli Army.

The N.Y. Times wrote an effusive obit on Sidney Gottlieb, the offspring of Orthodox Jews and a longtime CIA operative. Among Gottlieb’s gifts to civilization were a poisoned handkerchief intended for an Iraqi colonel, poison-permeated presents to Castro and a toxic dart to fire at a Negro leader of the Congo. None of the great man’s "inventions" panned out.

Onetime Chicago alderman Larry Bloom was known as the soul of honesty and a stickler for ethics in politics. Last March he was sentenced to six months in jail and fined $5,000 for cheating on his income tax. Bloom won his first election in 1979 when he ran as an anti-corruption candidate.

A black coach of a girl’s track team, Tony Ransom, was arrested and charged with raping six woman in the Oakland (CA) area. The race of the victims was not revealed.

Quashawn Donovan is a black eight-year-old who, with the aid, comfort and cash of New York City’s Legal Action Commission, is suing a Girl Scout troop in upstate New York for not permitting her to join. She is HIV-positive. Girl Scouts, are bound by law to admit almost any female applicant, even one with AIDS.
21 U.S. biggies signed a letter to Clinton for what amounts to war against Serbia. 11, more than half of the signers, were Jews. Non-Jews included such veteran Majority warmongers as Bob Dole, the Viagra hustler, and the very un­feminine Jeane Kirkpatrick.

63% of Americans think immigration levels are too high; 66% of Republicans and 60% of Democrats want less immigration. (CBS News/N.Y. Times poll, Sept. 1995) 89% of Latinos strongly support an immediate moratorium on immigration. (Hispanic USA Research Group survey of Hispanic Americans, June 1993) Too many wetbacks might recreate the mess they left behind.

There were 2.3 million soldiers in the Union Army, of whom 178,985 were black, of whom 37,500 died. Black troops fought in 449 engagements and 39 major battles in the war to establish a Southern ethnostate. (Stats supplied by various black organizations)

520 of 3,787 whites killed in 1997 were done in by blacks. 209 of the 3,648 blacks murdered in 1997 were killed by whites. (The Death Penalty Information Center, U.S. Dept. of Justice) In such computations, Hispanics are usually classified as whites.

Of the 7 filthy rich Americans who cashed in the biggest pile of stock options in 1998, 5 were Jewish. The 2 top "optioneers" were computer king Michael Dell ($33.5 million) and Showbizzer Sumner Redstone (Rosstein?) $10.2 million.

In the 1998 Forbes list of top-earning celebs, the 3 topmost were Jerry Seinfeld ($267 million), Seinfeld scriver Larry David ($242 million), Steven Spielberg ($175 million). All the above, it goes without saying, are 2%ers.

59 lawmakers have written Madam Albright asking (ordering?) her to speak out against anti-Semitic remarks made in the Russian Duma. Certain delegates— with some justification—blame Russia’s economic plight on “yids.”

A $300-million suit against the town of Chandler (AZ) for permitting a 5-day, semi-illegal sweep of illegal immigrants was settled for $400,000 and a promise by local authorities to behave more circumspectly in the future. When legal bills were included, the final cost to the town was an unaffordable $705,000.

5 of the 13 nations with the highest rates of government spending are (in descending order): Sweden, Denmark, Finland, Norway, Iceland.

Coolies in Disney sweatshops in China work up to 16 hours a day, 7 days a week and get practically no overtime pay.

Designer John Bartlett’s men’s sandals carry a price tag of $345. Queers swoon over them.

The budget proposal of the newly elected Demo governor of California, Gray Davis, allocates $60 million to the prenatal care of illegal aliens.

Surprise, surprise! Biggest news stories of the 20th century listed the Holocaust not as #1 but #7. Israel came in at #45; Kristallnacht #93. (Newseum, Arlington, VA)

Anthony Rizzo, a Fairfax Co. (VA) high school principal, fired for sexual harassing of teachers, received a disability allowance of $38,000 a year. His inability to keep his hands off women was ruled a “psycho sexual disorder.”

12% of the U.S. population is black, but 50% to 60% of those arrested for homicide are black, 50% of those for rape. Moreover blacks are 50 times more likely to commit violent crimes against whites than vice versa. (Body Count by William Bennett and John Dilulio)

Congress shelled out $1.6 billion or $20,000 each to 82,219 Japs interned in the U.S. during WWII. With few exceptions the much larger number of Japs in the Hawaiian Islands was not bothered. To be eligible for the payoff Japs had to be permanent residents or citizens of the U.S. from December 7, 1941 to June 30, 1949 and had to have been alive on August 16, 1988. Interned Jews would have gotten billions of dollars.

More than 1.44 million bankruptcies were filed last year (1.39 million by individuals, 44,000 by businesses), a jump of 2.7% over the previous year. Lawyers thrive on deadbeats.

In the Washington State referendum 75% voted against gun control; 70% said no to “gay rights”; 60% were opposed to affirmative action. Every important newspaper, TV station and major business took the losing side.

The 31 million Hispanics in the U.S. represent nearly 11% of the population. Early polls indicate that George W. Bush would beat Al Gore by 45% to 35%. Bush has already distinguished himself as a super groveler to Hispanics, which accounts for his ability to snare more than 50% of Latino ballots in Texas.

To get some idea of how playwrights feel about the Y2K scare, Hallmark Entertainment asked David Mamet, Neil Simon and Wendy Wasserstein for comments. Hallmark didn’t bother to release the names of the other 7. Perhaps 1 or 2 of them might possibly be non-Jews.

White females have the highest dropout rate in the Armed Forces, an alarming 54%. Could it be that they are not too happy about working and living with blacks and socializing with them to boot?

6 of the 119 active astronauts are black.

The World Council of Churches reports that 30 million children are fighting in wars around the globe.

In 1997, 45 months was the average time violent criminals spent in U.S. prisons.

Tod Aronovitz is the shyster suing Avis Rent-a-Car on behalf of more than 10,000 Jewish customers who claim they were denied cut-rates.

Of Northern European countries, Sweden has the highest rate of illegitimate births. Estonia has the highest suicide rate.

Back in March 1998, Monica Lewinsky hired a black female fitness trainer at $300-$350 an hour to get her in shape for her grand jury testimony.
The winners of Oscars make more money and accumulate more fame than Nobel Prize winners, which is not as it should be. This year the glittery, glitzy, semi-somniferous annual ceremony was a little less sleep-inducing than usual because of some voices from the dead or half-dead. The survivors of the Hollywood Ten, that nearly all-Jewish clique of analphabetic movie scribblers who never wrote an elevating line of dialogue in their lives, pretended to be horrified that some of their buddies had peached to the House Un-American Activities Committee. Elia Kazan, once a Communist himself and a pro-Semitic director of Greek origin (Gentlemen’s Agreement), did give out the names of a few outright party liners, who claimed the revelations had caused them to be blacklisted. Actually most of them suffered only a small dip in their $2,000-a-week salaries until they were rehired and went to work under false names. Ironic that Stalin, who by any count murdered at least four or five times more people than the Nazis, should have been worshipped by these ideological ultras. Rightwingers who appeared before the committee and named names drew no criticism at all. When most of the audience rose to applaud Kazan’s getting the Lifetime Achievement Award, a few oldtime Bolshevies and their supporters sat on their hands. Among those who remained seated was Holocaust spieler Steven Spielberg.

Despite their protestations, the Hollywood Ten were never dedicated to bringing peace and light to America. Their main mission was to exploit the Jews’ innate hatred of non-Jews and used it to help wreck the advanced civilization that made it possible for the Chosen to become the world’s most prosperous parasites.

O.J. Simpson’s murder of two whites, his bottle-blonde, breast-implanted wife and her Jewish “friend,” has never been labeled a hate crime, notwithstanding its racial implications. Indeed a large segment of the black community denies O.J. had anything to do with the crime. Some blacks who think he was guilty view the homicides as being politically correct, a sort of racial revenge. Be that as it may, Simpson has now been hired to churn out some TV spots for a legal services firm. What may we expect next from the black killer? A run for political office? A talk show host?

What was the day the walls of truth came tumbling down on TV? To some critics it was the day in March 1953 when the program, This Is Your Life, featured a Holocaust survivor, Hanna Kohner, a Czech Jewess who claimed to have been a graduate of three death camps—Theresienstadt, Auschwitz and Mauthausen. Host Ralph Edwards celebrated the occasion by wringing every possible tear he could out of the episode. One critic called the program “the weepiest show on TV.” From then on the heartstring plucking never stopped. As we were soon to learn, the Holocaust was the defining event in human history. Everything of importance that happened or had happened is measured against the Holocaust. No public figure can dare utter a syllable of criticism about it without immediately losing his respectability and foreclosing all future avenues for success in private or public life. Holocaust deniers are jailed in France, Germany and other European countries. Shoah business is the world’s biggest business, as demonstrated by the billions of dollars flowing into Israel and into the pockets of individual Jews. The egregious lie is now the sacred truth.

Whenever a Jewish character is portrayed as a baddie on TV he is described as a white. Whenever he does something noble, he is portrayed as a Jew.

Doug Tracht, the shocking shock jock, crashed through what is left of the taste barrier in his derisory comments about the singing of a hip-hopping Negress. “No wonder people drag them behind trucks.” This was not the first time Tracht lost control of his mouth. In 1986 he had commented on a broadcast on a Martin Luther King Jr. holiday that if four more deaths happened he could take the week off. Our advice to Tracht, “Get thee to Israel,” where such comments about blacks appear regularly in the Hebrew press. Tracht, first suspended then fired, had to kiss...
good-bye to a million-dollar contract. No matter how he crawled, groveled, bit the dust, begged for forgiveness and mea culpaed, blacks, taking a leaf from Jews, remained unforgiving. Following the axiom stated in the previous item, amid all the reportage about Tracht and his immeasurable vulgarity, not a word was said about his plethora of Jewish genes.

In a way the 65-year-old Larry King is more tasteless than shock-jock Doug Tracht, although more subtly so. In his latest solipsist foray, he made a full-blown TV production out of the 12-hour induced labor of his latest wife, a 38-year-old peroxide blonde—his 6th, 7th or 8th, the exact number being almost impossible to determine.

As King tells it, he practically supervised the birth of his son, Chance, cutting the umbilical cord himself, and watched carefully every phase of the painful and barbaric circumcision rite. He says his child—he has three others—will be brought up a Mormon, his wife’s faith.

If there is such a thing as a righteous Jewess, she is columnist Mona Charen, who occasionally says things that fearsome Majority members wouldn’t dare to utter. In a recent column she zeroed in on the famous tape of white cops beating Rodney King. She stated that the first 13 seconds of the tape, which showed King charging one of the white cops, had been edited out. This put a whole new slant on the affair, though people ever knew about the crucial era­sure. Charen also reminded her readers about Ted Briseno (an Hispanic), who snitched on his fellow officers and was the first to take an extra swipe at King when he was subdued. After his beating and after the billion-dollar riot, King has been arrested several times for various crimes and misdemeanors, including severely beating his wife and daughter. Crime has become a principal pattern of his conduct, just as crime became a principal pattern of Clinton’s.

A recent PBS edition of Tony Brown’s Journal complained that “the vast natural wealth of Africa has been exploited by outsiders for eons.” Say what? Most of sub-Saharan Africa was a blank spot on the map until a few centuries ago. So who was exploiting whom? Only during the relatively brief period of white rule was Black Africa productive.

Al Gore and William Kennard, the black head of the FCC, are trying to force advertisers and broadcasters to give more business to minority radio stations. This may well become a plank in the Democratic platform in the Y2K election. More money for minorities means less for the Majority. But this is the least of the Veep’s worries. His and the Demos’ election strategy is to concentrate on the minorities and ignore Majorityites who don’t count because they are stupid enough to split their vote. A solid minority vote and split white vote is and will be the key to the Democratic Party’s electioneering for some time to come.

David Mamet is a Jewish playwright in a country where playwriting is a lost art. His first TV drama, Lansky, focuses on the most brutal and murderous of all Jewish gangsters. Mamet treats his protagonist rather sympathetically. After all, Meyer Lansky (né Sucholjansky) was a Jew and it is the common habit of Jewish playwrights to dispraise Majority greats, while praising their own.

The favorite of Ally McBeal’s several suitors is a Negro doctor. A photo teaser features a drawn-out kiss of the interracial couple—not a kiss on the cheek or a flick on the lips, but a long, enduring smooch.

Sex on primetime TV has gone well beyond kissing. Half-naked white couples bouncing in bed are already comme il faut. Can it be long before Ally is writhing in the buff with her black paramour? Outright interracial porn in primetime is not far down the pike. Then what will the professional pornocrats do? Become presidents of networks? Smut king Larry Flynt, Clinton’s loyal booster, will most definitely be out-Flynted.

Linda (“Terminator”) Hamilton starred in the USA network cable special, The Color of Courage. Promos show her standing bravely next to the black neighbor she sticks her neck out for. As usual in these shows, the darkie looks 7/8th white.
We have to start somewhere, unless we want to revert to pre-history. Eve offered Adam a bite after she had eaten her fill; Monica hiked her skirt and snapped her thong. What we have learned from this nicotined tree of knowledge is that if Adam had only hired the snake as a lawyer and stonewalled Yahweh, he would never have been expelled from Eden. No wonder poor Eve was in a hopeless position. Naked, how could she ever have hoped to clothe herself with the righteousness of stains on an oversized blue dress? So why not cut closer to the chase and start with the genesis of the eight-year war between Iran and Iraq? First a little background.

After white Europeans had wiped out a generation at "Wipers" (Ypres) and the skeletal Allies had declared themselves victorious over the tons of skeletons of Verdun, the battle which creamed the crème de la crème of European manhood into vicissosity and led straight to Vichy a watered-down generation later, the despoiled invalids still standing after WWI divvied-up the bloody spoils which later stocked the barbecue of Dresden and cued the catastrophe of Stalingrad.

The French and the British, for example, made mincemeat of the Ottoman Empire in the Middle East and tried to cook the simmering tribal muddle into a Western-style melting pot; but the luckless potluck remained indigestible, for the native palate favored shish kebab, slaughtered lamb skewered on a spit. So the French skewered the Levant (including Lebanon and what's now called Syria) for themselves, while the Brits chopped up the remaining chunks into Iraq, Kuwait, Jordan, and Iraq almost became a state at this time, but who really cared about these rag-headed Sunni Muslims, who sank back into the bloody anonymity they're still trying to headline themselves out of now. Iraqi patriots vowed to regain territory lost in this "peace-making" process. The British also claimed a mandate (controlling authority) over Palestine. Nevermind how the Balkans, which are now unbundling, were also carved up and sutured together, regardless of tribal boundaries. Thus the more proximate grave-robbed roots of the Frankenstein monster which is likewise unravelling in Iraq, even as we speak.

For eight bloody years, beginning in 1980, the U. S. did its best to encourage the bloodbath between Iran and Iraq. We armed both sides, using Israel, our scavenging "ally," as a Middle Eastern middleman to skim profits from the top and drain Muslim blood to the very bottom.

After the war, Hussein demanded relief from Kuwait for a $1.5-billion debt. Foolishly, Saddam figured that since he had suffered a million casualties upholding the Arab cause against the Caucasian Shiite infidels, his Semitic brothers owed him.

April Glaspie, our ambassador to Saddam, informed him the U. S. had no camel in this race. Since April is the cruellest month, Sadsack Saddam fell into the trap and invaded Kuwait. Having built him up to bleed Iran, Bush, Scowcroft & Co. now found him expendable. We set him up for failure and tripped the trap for the sake of Israel and oil. The Iraqi people have been paying way above the market price for blood money ever since.

While slaughtering Iraqis in the Gulf War, we encouraged the Iraqi Kurds to revolt, but abandoned them when Turkey complained its Kurds would break out and seek independence and/or autonomy. Since we need our air bases in Turkey to kill more Iraqis, the Kurds had to be sacrificed in the name of Realpolitik. Chosenites "banknoted" what was going on and "donated" our shekels to the pols who will later be shaken down. All the Iraqis had to sacrifice for world peace was starving babies. The Kurds were doomed when the whole Iraqi house of cards (built by the British) began to collapse.

We kept moving the "goal posts" back so that Saddam could never comply with the so-called UN demands. Now our publicly avowed aim is nothing less than the death of Saddam and to make the world safe for Israel and oil by imposing an impossible burden upon Iraq's people. If we won't lift our sanctions on Iraq until we have accomplished our goal of "getting" Saddam, doesn't that guarantee an indefinite period of purgatory for Iraqis?

Our explicit policy now (as opposed to what has always been implied) is that sanctions will be imposed until Saddam is "eliminated." Since we haven't managed to accomplish that goal, even with our wag-the-dog, Monica-inspired mode of missile warfare, "elimination" devolves upon the people of Iraq.

We have a president who bombed an aspirin factory in the Sudan, an ancient CIA training camp in Afghanistan and Iraq itself in order to avoid impeachment. In any event, our peachy president was not removed. Meanwhile starving Iraqis are expected to revolt against what everyone describes as a brutal, merciless tyranny. Which brings me to the question:

If Americans through "democratic means"—"democratic" meaning turning Iraq into a firing range—if Americans haven't been able to rid themselves of a lying, reckless, sex-crazed white-trash draft dodger, who is now entitled to add rape to his resume of crimes—if Americans haven't been able to remove Clinton, how can we expect the Iraqis to remove Saddam?

V. S. STINGER
Adding to my remarks concerning David Irving’s biography of Goebbels, I should point out that neither he nor any other revisionist has so far written a biography of Himmler. That is strange, come to think of it, because Himmler was one of the most prominent Nazis. However, the reluctance of revisionists to write his biography is perhaps understandable. If they give him a fairly clean bill of health, they will initially be ridiculed. If they reflect the views of his many enemies, they will only confirm the bad reputation of the Nazi regime. Poor old Heinrich has had a bad press!

Even more remarkable is the reluctance of any prominent liberal or Jew to write a biography of Himmler. Why not, after all? He smells of sulphur already. Surely all that is needed is to pin a tail on him and crown him with horns. One reason for the silence is perhaps that the other prominent Nazis all had reservations about him. Hitler, for example, was told by his principal secretary that Himmler was very popular among the people and therefore ought to be considered as a possible successor as Reichskanzler. He replied that Himmler did not have the cultural background. The reservations of the other Nazi bigwigs are too many to mention—but then they had similar reservations about one another. Hitler liked to ensure that his principal lieutenants were all at daggers drawn. That way they were unlikely to combine against him.

But there is a much more important reason for the lack of biographical writing about Himmler. Because he organised the concentration camps, the reality of those camps would have to emerge. That would make any honest biographer Public Enemy #1. The camps were designed as factory plants, a much more efficient and much more humane version of the Gulag. Few people are aware that Auschwitz, for example, had a concert hall, a dance hall, a cinema and a swimming pool. If the inmates were going to be gassed, what would have been the point of all these luxuries? Thies Christophersen, who was employed at Auschwitz, found that the inmates of Birkenau were being ill-treated by the security guards employed by big firms like I.G. Farben. So he complained to the SS at Auschwitz, who promptly did something about it. The deterioration of conditions at war’s end resulted from unremitting bombing and the influx of ever more diseased refugees from the East. We should not forget that most inmates of Auschwitz chose to be sent westwards on foot rather than wait for the Russian troops. We should also remember that Himmler’s young daughter kept a diary, which shows a deep reverence for her father. He cannot have been a monster in his private life, whatever his other failings.

Himmler was a remarkable organiser. He created the SS, which saved Hitler when SA got out of control and threatened to replace Der Führer. When Hitler arrived by air in 1934 with a detachment of SS to have Röhm and his young catamite dragged from bed and shot, it was one of the most important events in the annals of Nazidom.

Before the war the SS was above all an elite organisation. It has been claimed that a majority of its officers were aristocrats. During the war a new SS elite rose from the ranks, as the previous officers were killed leading their men into battle. There was no gulf between officers and men, as there was in other armies.

It was during the war that Himmler distinguished himself most. His SS eventually numbered 1 million, 600,000 of them non-German. As the German armies melted away in Russia, they were replaced to some extent by SS volunteers from the countries occupied by Germany (the Netherlands, Belgium, Norway, Denmark, Finland, Croatia, finally France) and even from lands not occupied by Germany (Switzerland, Sweden). The Hitler Jugend also supplied a large and remarkably effective contingent. Boys were even more effective in the attack than seasoned troopers, though they lacked staying power after several days of battle. They cannot go for days without sleep, as some men can.

Otto Skorzeny was one of the many Nazis who strongly disliked Himmler and felt that he just didn’t look right, with his five-o’clock shadow and his flabby body. As a commander in the field, late in the day, he was not effective, but he knew how to choose effective commanders. Skorzeny provides some very telling evidence as to the effectiveness of the SS, of which he himself was a member. In 1957 the Israelis asked a wide range of military historians and senior officers (all non-Jews) to judge the relative effectiveness of all the forces involved in both world wars. In both cases the German army came first. The SS had the best units, even by comparison with American marines and British commandos.

It should, however, be pointed out that, from 1942 onwards, the German army in general, and the SS in particular, had one great advantage over their enemies when it came to covering themselves with glory. They were always heavily outnumbered, so they had a simple choice—become heroes or give up altogether.

The great secrets of the SS were: (a) good political training (for example, tours of mental hospitals, where healthy people are compelled to look after the incontinent, violent inmates); and (b) the combination, in the same units, of infantry and heavy weapons. At Arnhem the hard-bitten but lightly armed British parachutists were defeated by SS troops armed with a few Tiger tanks and light guns. But the heavy weapons of the SS were not backed up by air support, the lack of which was their Achilles’ heel. At the Battle of the Bulge the SS overran most of the American units in cloudy conditions, but became very vulnerable to the Mustangs when the sky cleared. Goering was a brave man but he was not a great organiser of the Luftwaffe.
Canada. From a subscriber. Canada’s contribution to the U.S. onslaught against the Serbs was six CF-18 bombers, later doubled to 12, plus a few air transport planes. Should NATO (cover name for the U.S.) decide to send in ground troops, the poorly trained, poorly equipped women, ethnic, homosexuals, transsexuals and misguided whites of the Canadian military might have trouble going head-to-head with Serb forces. Canada’s active complicity with the global elite’s ambitions in Somalia, Haiti, the Persian Gulf and Yugoslavia marks a profound change in its foreign policy, a change that has occurred almost entirely without debate.

As expected, all Canadian political parties support the current war. The left New Democratic Party and the separatist Bloc Quebecois have been especially bellicose. Only one MP, a Progressive Conservative, has taken a contrary stand, for which his party leader overruled him the following day. One searches in vain for any meaningful criticism of Canada’s military policy. Michael Bliss, a widely respected professor of Canadian history, pointed this out in a National Post column (March 26) headlined, “Ashamed to be Canadian”:

Canadian aircraft have bombed targets in Yugoslavia. Our country has committed acts of war against a sovereign European nation. We and our NATO allies are attacking a country that has not attacked us or any other country. We are not acting under the sanction of the United Nations or any other font of international law. We, in fact, are acting in direct contravention of the UN Charter. Nor has Parliament authorized our government to make war on Yugoslavia. What in the world is happening to us?

Professor Bliss ends by suggesting that some Canadian leaders should face war crimes charges in the international court they so enthusiastically helped establish.

Britain. A supermarket chain in Britain discovered that blonde cashiers attract 23% more customers than their brunette counterparts. The management insisted that this had nothing to do with race and everything to do with hair color. Like it or not, natural hair color has much to do with race. Even if a blonde checker presides over a long line, many customers would rather join it than wait in a shorter line headed by a non-blonde checker. A behavioral analyst had the following to say on the subject:

The stereotype of the blonde is gentle and calm. They have a less threatening aura than the rest of us. In the hurly-burly of the supermarket, shoppers would be subconsciously attracted to them.

One employee disagreed: “I don’t think it’s because we’re blonde. Blondes do have more fun, though. Particularly if they’re natural.” Sad to say, authentic blondes are being out-browned and out-blacked worldwide at a ferocious pace. Soon there will be very few blondes around in supermarkets or anywhere else.

Monica Lewinsky in her 19-city tour of Britain to promote her tell-all book received mixed reviews. One demonstrator at Oxford carried a placard saying, “Take Her Back, Bill.” A kinder sign proclaimed, “Welcome to the Second Lady.” Despite all the furor, sales of Monica’s confessional were somewhat disappointing.

In March, GQ magazine (British version) appeared with a list of the 200 most stylish men of the 20th century. One entry, “The Nazis,” was illustrated by a photo of Field Marshal Erwin Rommel. To quiet Jewish moaning and groaning, James Brown, editor of GQ, was fired.

From a subscriber. In April 1993, a young black, Stephen Lawrence, was murdered at a bus stop in London. The Metropolitan Police immediately described the incident as “a racially motivated killing” and speculated that Lawrence may have been a member of a youth gang. Somehow or other, surprisingly little is known about the young Negro who has singlehandedly (albeit posthumously) launched an all-out attack on British Common Law.

Five white youths appeared in court to answer the accusations in July 1993 and again in April 1994. In both instances the Crown Prosecution Service deemed the evidence insufficient to support murder charges. In April 1995, Lawrence’s parents took the unusual step of privately prosecuting the five accused. Their case collapsed within a week.

As time dragged on, the police continued to advertise for “new” witnesses (with assurances of immunity from prosecution). The blame ultimately settled on police “mishandling” of the case. In June 1998, buttressed by the likes of such foreigners as Nelson Mandela and Rev. Al Sharpton, Stephen’s mother, Doreen, accused the Metropolitan Police of “institutional racism.”

Eventually the frustrated Lawrences were seizing on any and all racial incidents as evidence that “nothing has changed.” During the most recent of many inquiries, 20 Nation of Islam activists forced their way into Parliament chambers and next day engaged in street fighting. It was into this highly charged atmosphere that Sir William MacPherson released his long-awaited report, only to discover that the first 1,000 copies had “inadvertently” published the names of confidential police informants. Despite the tragicomic blunder, the report drubs British society as the cankered repository of flagrant racial hatreds.

MacPherson’s wide-ranging recommendations exorcise the police, the judiciary, the school system, armed forces and immigration authorities. Previous definitions of “thought crime” in Britain were resurrected and melded with Kafkaesque perambulations to reach the conclusion that the police were indeed “unwittingly and unconsciously prejudiced against ethnic minorities.” The report recommends, “making the use of racist language in society a criminal offense.” It dramatically washes its hands of the accumulated grime of the Common Law when it takes the extraordinary step of recommending that Brits “reform the rule of double jeopardy.”

A new Racial and Violent Crimes Task Force has been set up at Scotland Yard headed by no less a powercrafter than the former chief of the Anti-Terrorist Branch, Denis O’Connor, who views the problem as a question of “social disadvantage and not just a race issue” (Daily Telegraph, Feb. 22, 1999). This theory contends that, regardless how diminished their prospects, whites are inherently strangers to “social disadvantage” and therefore sole catalysts and perpetrators of any incident in which race is a factor. Racists convicted of harassment could have their (criminal records) passed on to local newspapers under plans being considered by Scotland Yard. Ethnic minority communities may be told that a racist is in the area, in the same way that schools are alerted to the presence of pedophiles. (Times, Feb. 12, 1999)

France. As Jewish murderer Ira Einhorn roams freely about La Belle France while appealing a French court’s extradition order, one wonders what Senator Arlen Specter (R-PA) thinks about the situation. He is the Jewish lawyer who got...
Einhorn's pretrial bond reduced to $40,000, enabling him to jump bail and rush off to Europe where he has lived it up for years. Some of Ira's financial support came from Barbara Bronfman, wife of Charles Bronfman, head of the Canadian branch of the booze empire. Incidentally when Einhorn was first accused of the murder of Holly Maddox, a pretty young blonde from Texas, he informed police that her father was a high muckety-muck in the American Nazi Party. Not a word of truth in it, but the Chosen are not noted for their respect for truth.

A hard right party has tough going in France. This is particularly true in the case of Jean-Marie Le Pen's Front National which is currently being battered by seismic political blows. Le Pen's second in command, Bruno Mégret, has turned on his boss and taken away a slice of the party's membership. Could Mégret's Jewish wife have anything to do with this stab in the back? Though her husband's program hews closely to the standard FN anti-immigration stance, a lot of damage has been done. Hitler dealt with any top-ranking defectors in the Nazi Party by firing or killing them. Le Pen is more civilized, which is not the best way to be in knock-down political power struggles. Polls indicate that the FN, which usually gets 15% of French voters, now draws less than 12%.

Germany. A half century after their defeat in WWII and after having paid out some $62 billion in reparations and compensation, Germans are now being pressured to come up with still more deutschmarks for "slave laborers" in German industry during WWII. An estimated 10 million foreigners worked in Germany during the conflict. About 1 million were concentration camp inmates, mostly Jews, who labored in plants attached to Bergen-Belsen, Dachau, Auschwitz and elsewhere.

The German people have already had to pay indemnities to world Jewry because Six Million of them were allegedly gassed by the Nazis. To be sure, the number now said to have been murdered at Auschwitz has been reduced from four million to about a million and half. On the basis of official camp records confiscated by Soviet troops and recently released in Moscow, the number of deaths from all causes was further lowered to about 90,000. In view of the new claims made on behalf of concentration inmates in German industry, the question naturally arises: "Were the inmates in concentration camps really gassed or were they in fact working for the German war industry?"

From a subscriber. "Slave laborers," say Jewish organizations, helped Hitler and thereby increased Allied casualties. Anybody dragooned by Germans for work in WWII is therefore apt to be labeled by Jewish guiltmongers as pro-Nazi or even a "war criminal." Is it simply because they are Jewish that these "survivors" are not to be condemned, but compensated? If it's argued that Jews had no choice of how, when and where they went to work, neither did millions of Germans.

Haven't most survivors already been paid? Didn't West Germany from 1955 to 1985 give 5,000 gold marks each to upwards of 4 million Holocaust survivors, most of them Jewish? If fairness rather than pro-Jewish propaganda was the rule, these slave laborers don't deserve another pfennig.

That German companies are willing to bow to this blackmail is just another indication of how far German character has deteriorated since WWII. "Either at your feet or at your throat," Churchill said of Germans who, for the last 50-plus years, have been cowering at the feet of the Jews. The question is: Will they ever stand up again?

Thanks to an unpopular deal made between former Chancellor Kohl and the Central Council of Jews, the number of Jews in Germany is expected to increase from the 28,000 recorded in 1990 to 100,000 by the year 2000, with no cut-off date in sight. Against the stated objections of his coalition ally, the Christian Social Union, and the totally disregarded feelings of most Germans, Kohl agreed to take in an unspecified number of Soviet Jews, mostly from Ukraine, as so-called Kontingentfluechlinge (Contingency or Special Quota Refugees) which is a special privileged category for new arrivals.

A steady stream of 700 Jews a month is now entering Germany from the former Soviet Union. Somewhat similar to the Lautenberg Amendment in the U.S., Soviet Jews become virtual wards of the German localities to which they are assigned. They are entitled to public support and privileges that other foreigners do not have, including unlimited residence and work permits, welfare payments, subsidized housing, child support, German language courses, job training, advanced education and unemployment benefits. After seven years they can apply for German citizenship.

Austria. To make up for the FN's losses in Western Europe, Jorg Haider, head of the right-wing Freedom Party of Austria, won 42.1% of the vote in the province of Carinthia. This victory makes him a formidable contestant for the highest office in the land.

Russia. Whenever Jews want Congress to pass a pro-Jewish resolution, some bureaucrat writes it up and the House and Senate obediently pass it. It's not the same in Russia. When a pro-Jewish member of the Duma introduced a resolution condemning ex-General Albert Makashov for making anti-Semitic remarks, the resolution collected only 104 votes. It needed 276 to pass.

Only one of the eight leading bankers in Russia is not a Jew. Four of the eight are members of the all-powerful Russian-Jewish Congress. Grigori Yavlinski, leader of Yabloko, the largest Democratic Party in the Duma, is half-Jewish. (Long Island Jewish World, March 19-25, 1999)

Italy. Lest it be thought that Communists no longer exist or are no longer a threat in East Europe and Russia since the collapse of the Soviet Union, it should be known that many veteran Reds simply changed their party affiliation and still wield considerable state authority. When Pope John Paul II recently met with Prime Minister Massimo D'Alema, Italian Communists could only believe that the compromesso storico (Vatican accommodation with the Communists) predicted by Italy's leading ultra-left theoretician, the late Antonio Gramsci, had finally come to pass. D'Alema and his colleagues were all members of the former Communist Party, now renamed the Democratic Party.
of the Left. The gradual resurgence of the Communists was initiated by Romano Prodi, who emerged as leader of the Popular Party when the old and exhausted Christian Democratic Party imploded. When Prodi became prime minister in 1996, he brought nine Communist ministers into his cabinet of 20. Many oldtime Nazis languish in jail. Many oldtime Communists prosper.

Israel. Torture, a practice being litigated before the Israeli Supreme Court, is still a favorite technique of Israeli investigators, albeit in a slightly modified version. Instead of being strapped to a low stool and forced to remain in a painful, contorted position for hours on end, Palestinian “terrorists” are now strapped to an ordinary chair. Instead of a black hood being placed over their heads while being questioned, they are now allowed to wear oversized dark glasses. “Shaking” (in some cases shaking to death) has supposedly been eliminated. Not eliminated has been the $3 billion-plus the U.S. pays as tribute each year to Israel. Any other nation that practiced torture would be cut off from further U.S. aid snatcher. Israel, on the other hand, collects the most U.S. aid, yet there is still no viable movement from any quarter to reduce it.

For further proof, if any be needed, that Israel has become a safe house for Jewish criminals worldwide, Chaim Berger, who stole tens of millions of dollars from U.S. federal and state governments by setting up phony foundations and educational institutions, escaped to Israel before the Feds could nab him. Once again, a Jewish criminal is being protected from extradition from U.S. federal and state governments.

Black Africa. A bright and attractive American woman suffering unknowingly from an overload of altruistic genes, came to grief in the paleolithic country of Gabo a few months ago. Karen Phillips, who couldn’t do enough for people—black people, that is—joined CARE and the Peace Corps. Several weeks ago she was walking home after a party in Oyem when a savage jumped out of the bushes and stabbed her to death. In one brief moment her superior genes were obliterated by a creature still in the Stone Age. Everyone admitted that Karen was a fine model of womanhood, despite having no children of her own and devoting her life to helping kids and adults of other races. Without ever knowing it, she was probably as much of an enemy of the white race as the murderous throwback who ended her life.

South Africa. Allan Boesak, a leading anti-apartheid activist and darling of American liberals, has been convicted of stealing aid money from foreign donors. A onetime charismatic African National Congress leader, the black Boesak was found guilty of stealing more than $400,000. Among his many embezzlements, he pocketed $128,000 donated by Jewish troubadour Paul Simon intended for children’s charities and $226,000 from the Swedish government to help educate African voters.

Philippines. Imelda Marcos, widow of the late Philippine dictator, is suing her government for the $25 billion she claims was stolen from her and her late husband. If she should win, which is extremely doubtful, she would own some 150 of the country’s major corporations and control about half the Philippine economy. In a recent election Imelda, running hypocritically as an impeccable representative of the people, did poorly.

Australia. In Sydney a Madame Tussaud exhibition (110 wax figures of notable) had a problem. Bill Clinton’s fly was constantly being unzipped. The practice had become so common that security guards were assigned to check on “Bill” every three or four hours. But there was no letting up. Exasperated, the show manager ordered the fly to be sewed shut.

AR AUDIO & VIDEO TAPES
For those who missed Jared Taylor’s 1998 American Renaissance Conference in Washington D.C. last year, speeches of the participants are available on audio and video tapes.

ON VIDEO:
98-02 Prof. Paul Gottfried, “The Decline of WASPdom” and Jared Taylor, “What We Believe and Why We Fight.”
98-04 Michael Walker, “Nationalist Movements in Europe” and Sam Dickson, “Secular Benediction.”
$29.95 each, postpaid; 5 for $125, postpaid.

ON AUDIO:
T028 Dr. Samuel Francis, “Race and the Real U.S. Constitution.”
T029 Prof. Glayde Whitney, “The Biological Reality of Race.”
T030 Prof. Paul Gottfried, “The Decline of WASPdom.”
T031 Jared Taylor, “What We Believe and Why We Fight.”
T032 Prof. J. Philippe Rushton, “Ethnic Nationalism and Genetic-Similarity Theory.”
T033 Michael Walker, “Nationalist Movements in Europe.”
T034 Frank Borzellieri, “A (real) Conservative in New York City Politics.”
T035 Steven Barry, “The Army’s Equal Opportunity Commissariat.”
T036 Prof. Michael Levin, “Is There a Superior Race?”
T037 Sam Dickson, “Secular Benediction.”
$7.50 each, postpaid; 10 for $60, postpaid.