KENNEWICK MAN

He's No Indian
The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicators will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

☐ William Anthony Donohue, head of the Catholic League for Religious and Civil Rights, wrote in a recent letter to potential contributors, "The Catholic Church gets a bad rap for many things these days. Even worse, it continues to get a bad rap for things it was never guilty of in the first place. Take the Holocaust..." Later he told a New York Observer reporter, "I'm sick and tired of hearing how Catholics didn't do enough." As to Hanukkah, he said it "is a relatively insignificant day on the Jewish calendar, so the question is, why is it given such high prominence in terms of display?"

☐ It's nearly impossible to discuss serious issues with friends and neighbors these days. They're uninterested, apathetic and uninformed, but hate to be scolded about it!

☐ Yeah, songster Jewel is, well, a jewel. But chances are the father of her first child will either be a Chosen press agent or a showbiz black.

☐ Al Capone committed every conceivable crime, but they only got him for tax evasion. Clinton bombed innocent Sudanese, ignored the sale of missile technology to China and blasted civilians in Iraq. But he only got in real trouble because of Monica.
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☐ The Kennewick Man controversy has provided an interesting insight into the differing mindsets of primitive and modern peoples. The "Native American" spokesman seemed genuinely puzzled when asked if his tribe had not had enough curiosity to investigate the ancient remains. Why should they? They know their ancestors didn't migrate across the Bering Strait, but sprang from the ground, so what's to investigate? Such people will never go to the stars, nor even develop a decent slot machine.

☐ Muslims must pray to Mecca five times daily. The Chosen expect us to apologize for the Holocaust at least that often.

☐ Please don't use the word "gay" to denote homosexuals. This is just what the liberals want and what George Orwell warned about.

☐ Re Gore's AIPAC speech, I would not have believed he was such a repulsive creature. I don't think he is capable of handling the Presidency. How in the world did he ever become Vice President?

☐ The Spielberg Foundation's aim is to bring about what its president, Michael Berenbaum, called "The Americanization of the Holocaust."

☐ Clinton seems to thrive on the excitement of getting into hot water and escaping without being scalded. After avoiding impeachment conviction by the Senate, it's hard to imagine what he'll do for an encore.

☐ Isn't it odd that there is no popular despair among Gentiles in European countries like Poland over the fact that their postwar culture is no longer enriched by millions of Jews?

☐ When I first became aware of the nature/nurture controversy, the nurturists were at the apex of their power. Accord-
The Safety Valve

ing to these people human traits are determined exclusively by environment. Low IQ? The child wasn't read to as a child and there was a dearth of books, magazines and other reading material. In the past 10-15 years there have been cracks in the nurture lobby as scientists and medical researchers have found that not only are our physical and mental traits determined by genes, but also our diseases and afflictions. The genie of genetics is not only out of the bottle; he is stomping up and down in the world's most prestigious laboratories of the world, with emphasis on those in the U.S.

- There's talk of Hillary for President, but how to get rid of Al? Simple. Hillary comes out as a lesbian with AIDS and the Democrats will forget about the primaries.

- Asked if the attack on Iraq was linked to impeachment, Clinton replied that no one would believe that a President would do such a thing. Not the best defense for a President who is setting records in doing what none of his predecessors ever did.

I had occasion to visit the George Bush Presidential Library and Museum, which opened a little more than a year ago in College Station (TX). Being aware of the Jews' role in defeating Bush's bid for a second term because he refused to green-light America's annual tribute to Israel, it was both ironic and amusing to see a letter of commendation from an association of New York rabbis, who thought that old George had been doing a bang-up job as America's Ambassador to the UN during the early 70s.

The latest statistics tell us that the population of South Africa is 42,834,520 (1999 World Almanac). There are some six million whites in a sea of over 32 million blacks with a little less than 4 million coloreds. There are two million taxpayers in the country, the majority of whom are white. The rand is in a state of collapse with a national debt of $58 billion. Approximately 25%-35% of the debt is foreign based, which means that as the rand weakens, the debt grows. Since May 1994, when Nelson Mandela's government took power, there have been more than 2,000 attacks on white farms, resulting in 570 murders. During the first eight months of 1998 alone there were 590 attacks and 104 murders. Many of the victims died only after being savagely raped and tortured for hours. A recent issue of Aida Parker's South African newsletter was devoted entirely to the campaign of terror that has gone virtually unreported in the U.S.

Common decency has been under attack via the popular culture for some time now. With the way things are going, the slippery slide into total debasement seems to be well greased and inevitable. A recent news byte found in the Sunday Florida magazine of the Orlando Sentinel gives a poigniant example of popular American culture. Actress Meg Ryan has taken a break from her role as "America's sweetheart" in such films as Sleepless in Seattle and You've Got Mail. In her latest flick, Hilarity, she plays the part of a drug addict who performs oral sex while her eight-year-old daughter watches.

As bad as the entertainment industry is—and TV sitcoms, TV news, radio, novels and movies are, for the most part, very bad—they are made worse by obnoxious commercials, rude advertisements and stupid public service announcements. The entertainment moguls in Hollywood and New York have succeeded in pandering to the masses and mentally colonizing them. Sadly these victims have never been aware of the deadly cancer known as popular culture.

Clinton takes credit for bringing peace to Bosnia, but there is no peace. He takes credit for peace in Northern Ireland, though there is none there. He takes a bow for the Wye accords, part of his "journey of atonement." No lasting accord and the Israeli government fell. The only thing that sticks is high poll ratings from all those neat photo ops and PR balloons!

Surgeon-General Joyce Lyn Elders was fired by Clinton when she advocated the benefits of masturbation. When she was asked by a TV interviewer what Clinton had done for blacks, she replied he had done so much. Name one thing, the interviewer persisted. Joyce Lyn couldn't. The interviewer then related that Clinton had been governor of Arkansas three times while the state was consistently 49th in health care and education—directly affecting blacks. Grinning, Elders agreed, saying, "Thank God for Mississippi!" (the only state that ranked lower than Arkansas).

In the 1998 elections Republicans got 51% of the vote, retained control of Congress, won most of the gubernatorial races, including in key states like New York, Florida, Illinois and Texas, and also outdid the Dems in state legislative contests. But the almighty press never tires of telling us that this was all a stunning defeat for the Republicans.

A mere eight months ago on TV I saw with my own eyes Clinton aver that the goals of the U.S. and Cuba are the same. Nothing would give Bill and Hillary more happiness than receiving Fidel with open arms and putting him in the Lincoln Bedroom for the night.

Gore's prediction that history will judge Clinton to have been one of our greatest presidents is totally absurd. Clinton has shown that people will believe anything and tolerate anything, so long as the media convince them that we enjoy peace and prosperity. All the while real income is declining, there are record-level bankruptcies and on-going conflicts in Africa, the Balkans, Iraq, Northern Ireland, China, North Korea and Russia.

NBA star Shaquille O'Neil commented on Michael Jordan's retirement: "I can tell the 10 kids I've had with different women that I played Jordan."

Did you ever see a big domino exhibit? Thousands of 'em lined up. Topple the first one. An hour later the last one falls. The Soviet failure in Afghanistan was the first domino. A bit later came the Berlin Wall, then the U.S.S.R., then Yugoslavia. Way down there at the end, years away, maybe decades, the last domino is the U.S. Watching one of these shows, if you only could see the last part, you'd have no inkling that one by one those dominos are going down and that we are definitely at the end of the line.
An Ancient White in America

CBS’s un-Jewish-looking Leslie Stahl intoned on Sixty Minutes (Oct. 25): “It was one of those things no one ever doubted. The first people on this continent were the Indians, period. No one had any reason to doubt it. Until now.”

In July 1996, near Kennewick in Washington state, two college students stumbled on a skull and a partially fragmented skeleton scattered along a shallow bank of the Columbia River. The country coroner called in a forensic archaeologist, James Chatters. Together they assembled the bones on a table. Chatters commented, “He looked immediately like a white European settler,” except for a prehistoric stone spearhead embedded in his hip.

In no time Kennewick Man went to the lab for radiocarbon dating. It was 9,000 years old! Chatters: “It is one of the oldest human skeletons ever found in North America, a scientific treasure.” He told Leslie Stahl how excited he became when lab results confirmed the spearhead clue: “I don’t believe this!”

Kennewick Man was very tall and “old” for his time. At 5’8” he was one inch taller than the most popular American Revolution uniform. As for his age, he was in the 40-55 year range. “He had quite a bit of poise,” Chatters deduced from bone and ligament evidence. He had been injured many times, like a battered football quarterback, but he refused to limp or favor one side as he walked. “He carried himself evenly; there was no grimacing which affected his facial musculature.”

Chatters suggested that, based on his skull, Kennewick Man may have looked like British actor Patrick Stewart, the captain in Star Trek: Second Generation.

Not everyone was pleased at the discovery. Armin Minthorn, a Umatilla Indian religious leader, demanded that the body be immediately interred. “We regard human remains as sacred, period.” The Umatillas said he had to be one of their ancestors. If so, there was a 1990 federal law which mandates giving any Indian remains found after that year to the Indians. The man is not an Indian, Chatters countered, “which means Indians may not have been the first people in North America.”

Kennewick Man’s skull, Chatters explained, “would stand out instantly in an Indian group” with its long, dolichocephalic Northern European-shaped head. The next expert Stahl interviewed was Doug Ousley who had flown in from the Smithsonian in Washington and who agreed that the find was “terribly significant.” They decided to fly Kennewick Man to the Smithsonian post haste. But there was a hitch.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which controls the area where Kennewick Man was unearthed, refused the request to ship him east for further study. The remains were to be turned over to the Umatillas for burial. “Ousley was beside himself,” Stahl reported. The scientist filed a lawsuit against the politically correct Corps of Engineers, saying, “Otherwise, the Umatillas would have buried him in a secret grave . . . .”

Stahl to Indian medicine man Minthorn: “You don’t even see what the curiosity is?”

“No.”

“If you don’t let the studies go forward, how can we find out?” Minthorn: “We don’t want to find out.”

Testifying before Congress the medicine man said: “We know what happened 10,000 years ago. . . . It’s fact to me. . . . The scientists do not consider my lifestyle, they do not consider an Indian’s lifestyle.”

Ousley responded that the Umatillas are forcing their religion on others. “People are free to believe what they want to believe, but this is a case of resisting them forcing their faith on others.”

Just a question of faith? Or is it also control of history, casino money and white guilt trips?

Chatters: “They’ve got a history right now which fits their present-day political needs quite well.”

Stahl chimed in: “There is the fear that if someone else was here before them, their status as sovereign nations and all that comes with it—treaty rights and lucrative casinos on Umatila reservations—would be at risk.”

Actually it is a fight over “dibs.” Are they the only “Native Americans” (making all others interlopers) or can Indians no longer pontificate: “We Were Here First?” If both whites and Indians lived here in ancient times (which has always been a Mormon theory), how and why did the whites disappear?

A federal judge ordered the skeleton locked up in a
The Culture of Subversion

The Culture of Critique, written by Kevin MacDonald, Professor of Psychology at California State University, Long Beach, is a magisterial work that demonstrates in scholarly detail that Jewish intellectuals have been at war with Gentile society throughout much of Western history. In this age of mass communication and "anti-anti-Semitism," the age-old tradition of Jewish intellectual warfare in the guise of "reputable authority" is particularly pernicious.

The book opens with a detailed study of the Franz Boas school of anthropology. MacDonald makes clear that Boas was no scientist and that his manic environmentalism and his fuzzy theories of cultural relativity were merely a cover for his radical political ideas—a point which has been made by some right-wing authors, but which deserves special consideration when coming from a reputable professor of psychology. Boas is shown by MacDonald to be an intolerant commissar with a "toe the line or get out mentality."

The chapter, "Jews and the Left," provides almost all the information one could ever hope for on the overwhelming Jewish background of communism and radical socialism. MacDonald pulls no punches on the fact that communism in America, from its earliest days, was staffed and run by New York City Jews. There are lengthy descriptions of how Communists strove to conceal the Jewish preponderance by recruiting Gentile fronts. A particularly instructive section examines the psychological mechanisms of Jewish Communists, who resorted to rationalizing and reconciling their orthodox heritage with Marxism. Ilya Ehrenberg, Stalin's anti-German mouthpiece and a former anti-Zionist, now lies buried in Israel. Many of these Jewish Reds simply substituted the party for their belief in Moses. To quote one of them: "I believed in Stalin and in the Party as my father believed in the Messiah."

Sigmund Freud, like Franz Boas, is portrayed as a Gentile-hating Jew who makes war on bourgeois values through the use of pseudo-science. Like Boas, Freud provided no scientific evidence for his postulates. He also tolerated no dissent and liked to reinterpret critiques of his theories in terms of the "psychosis" of his critics. The chapter on "The Frankfurt School of Social Research" is instructively subtitled, "The Pathologization of Gentile Group Allegiances." Translated into plain English, this means that if you look up to your parents, adopt their values and strive to emulate their example, you are in serious trouble. But only if you are a Gentile. If you are a Jew, such behavior presents no problem at all. The notorious work of T. W. Adorno, The Authoritarian Personality, is pure intellectual sleight of hand. Professor MacDonald is explicit that all such efforts are political, part and parcel of the Jewish warfare against Gentile society that is carried on by labeling its values and practices "sick."

The final chapters of The Cultural Critique deal with the New York Jewish "intellectual elite" who has foisted nonsense disguised as science on the American public. Some of these elitists will be familiar to readers, others will not. Most of the names are associated with university faculties. Instauration readers should remember that most
peoples’ ideas are formed during their college years. Thanks to the influence of the charlatans who infest our institutions of higher learning, our youths are being brainwashed from the word go.

The chapter, "Jewish Involvement in Shaping U.S. Immigration Policy," contains 60 pages of heavily documented information that makes it abundantly clear that almost from the date of their first arrival at Ellis Island, Jews have had a long-standing, never-ceasing ambition to open the doors of America to every race on earth. MacDonald demonstrates that there has never been any popular movement to alter the basic white racial composition of the U.S. The entire drive has come exclusively from Jewish pressure.

It is not possible in so short a space to cover the entire history of Jewish immigration reform legislation. However a few key points can be noted. The 1924 law restricting immigration largely to the countries of Western Europe, was never based on any notion of “Nordic supremacy,” a piece of mendacity repeated ad nauseam by alien propagandists such as Stephen Jay Gould. The intent, rather, was to preserve the racial status quo as of 1890 and to prevent Southern and Eastern Europeans from swamping the Northern European contingent. When the McCarran Act of 1952 was being debated in Congress, almost all the opposition came from the American Jewish Committee, which cloaked its open-door immigration strategy with appeals to universal brotherhood and to end discrimination, rhetoric which persists to this day.

MacDonald astutely notes that at the same time Jewish organizations were trying to dissociate Jews from commu-

Hoopster Rap Sheets

As one wag has put it, "The sports sections of the papers read like a crime report." Basketball star Latrell Sprewell first chocked his coach, then returned shortly thereafter to throw a haymaker at the coach's head. The Warriors canceled Sprewell's three-year, $32-million contract, only to have it reinstated by an arbitrator who reduced the suspension to one year.

After a federal judge dismissed his suit last July 30, Sprewell filed a second suit claiming that NBA officials had destroyed evidence and committed fraud. Sprewell also filed suit against his former agent, blaming him for failing to negotiate a personal-conduct salary protection clause in his contract with the Warriors.

Then there's the ugly item about Larry Johnson and Stacy Augmon. Johnson, a New York Knicks forward, was accused by Laura Tate, a 28-year-old model, of pressuring her to abort their unborn child.

After Tate refused, she says she received a call from Augmon, a Portland Trail Blazers guard, a teammate of Johnson. Augmon allegedly told Tate that if she kept the baby, "I will get you."

The list of lawbreakers goes on and on, as any reader of the sports pages can verify. Chris Webber, a former No. 1 pick in the NBA draft, was charged with second-degree assault, marijuana possession, and driving under the influence of drugs. Allen Iverson charged with possession of marijuana and a 45-caliber pistol received three years' probation. Isaiah "J.R." Rider pleaded no contest to possession of marijuana and two stolen cell phones.

The morals—or lack thereof—of NBA players is shown in the startling number of illegitimate children they spawn. Larry Johnson supports five children by four women. Shawn Kemp of the Cleveland Cavaliers supports seven children by six women. Sprewell fathered three children by three women before he turned 21. Len Elmore, an ESPN broadcaster and former NBA player, says:

I would guess one (out of wedlock) child for every player is a good ballpark figure. For every player with none, there's a guy with two or three.

This deification of criminal athletes is a dreadful example of the sickness of modern U.S. society. The only redeeming(?) quality of these performers is that they possess a certain ability to play boys' games. No scientists, doctors, professors, inventors, or religious leaders can be found in their ranks. Yet we pay these immoral, frequently low-IQ, physical freaks millions of dollars a year to chase after assorted shapes of balls.
Maverick Journalist

I was flipping through the International Herald Tribune the other day and saw something that made me spit my tobacco plug clear across the room. It bounced off my favorite portrait of Teddy Kennedy and fell—kerplol!—into the beer mug I had made out of the skull of a major TV mogul.

The IHT is the product of an unholy alliance between the Washington Post and the N.Y. Times. To top it off, it is published in Paris, no doubt by a gang of effete Gore Vidal-like homos, wearing black berets and sitting for hours each day in sidewalk cafes, sipping coffee and cheap red wine, while snubbing decent American tourists wearing Bermuda shorts, baseball caps and Bigfoot truck racing T-shirts. This high-toned act doesn’t keep the editors from publishing a half-page of classified ads for European, South American and African whores peddling their various services. Clinton would have done better scanning these tempting offers than cruising the White House intern pool. These ladies would have kept their mouths shut.

Well, in any case, you get the picture. Most guys on this paper are not our buddies. This is why I damn near choked to death on an editorial by one William Pfaff, who normally writes for the Los Angeles Times. In an article titled, “The Danger of Seeing the Past Through Today’s Prism,” Pfaff has the incredible nerve to suggest there might be something unseemly about the gang bang of Swiss banks by Edgar Bronfman & Co. Pfaff compounded his unforgivable crime by going on to give a rational, reasonable explanation for the actions of the neutral nations during WWII. Simply put, Pfaff has had the gall to float the idea that the conflict may not have been the black-and-white “Good War” of the Hollywood fabulists. Maybe some of the neutrals had good reason for not joining the crusade against Nazi Germany. Maybe the Swiss do not deserve to be pilloried, hanged, drawn and quartered for handling gold deposited in their banks by the Nazis.

Pfaff’s editorial is the finest short explanation of this subject I have ever seen anywhere. With its logic, grasp of historical fact and blunt honesty it is essentially unanswerable. He points out that Swiss, Turks, Spaniards, Portuguese and Swedes had excellent reasons for adopting and following their respective foreign policies during WWII.

If one does not buy the argument that everybody on the face of the planet was morally compelled to engage in an horrific war for years in order to avenge wrongs allegedly done to a few million Jews (until the war was turned into a fight to the death by Winston Churchill, these wrongs did not include death at German hands for any but a handful of Jewish Communists and other malefactors), then one cannot argue with the decisions taken by these neutral governments. Their citizens should get down on their knees and thank God that their statesmen moved heaven and earth to keep them out of the real holocaust of the 20th century, the long nightmare called WWII.

Pfaff makes a persuasive plea for people of different eras to be judged not by today’s standards, but by those of their times. He then goes on to say: “The U.S. at exactly the same time [as the neutral nations trading with Hitler] was allied with Stalin and supplied and financed the Soviet Union’s war against Germany. Does that make it guilty by association for Stalin’s monstrous crimes? Well, yes it does, but Pfaff can hardly say that. True, the neutrals sold war materiel to Germany and carried on trade, but they did not actively participate in the German war effort as allies. We were Stalin’s allies and much of the blood he spilled will be on our hands for all time.

Pfaff explains in pithy, succinct detail why the neutrals may have seen their interests as lying more with the Axis or in total neutrality. Sweden was in many ways sympathetic to Germany and feared the Soviet Union. The same could be said of Turkey and Spain. Portugal had a rightist, authoritarian government, hardly prime material for joining a leftist jihad against the Nazis. Switzerland, totally surrounded by Axis territory, had no choice but to cooperate with its German neighbors, to say nothing of the Italians. Any other course would have meant national suicide.

A cold look at WWII shows that almost anybody who wasn’t a Jew, a leftist, a Communist or somebody right in the path of the German bulldozer (such as Poles), had more reason to root for the Germans than for the Allies. A white, middle-class American had nothing to fear from Hitler. We all learned soon after 1945 what we had to fear from Stalin. The canard about German plans to invade the Americas was cooked up by British intelligence, men who in their ignorance were busily destroying the empire their forefathers had built, all for the benefit of alien Semites.

Pfaff’s most significant comment comes at the end of his editorial. Read it, if you dare:

Does American policy today, or Israeli policy, display the lucidity and moral courage in the pursuit of Middle Eastern peace and justice that the U.S. demands of the wartime Swiss and Swedes? I think that is an appropriate question, and I think the answer is “no.”

Writing and publishing this editorial took real moral courage. I am afraid that retribution will not be long in coming. Somewhere an earlocked gargoyle in a greasy caftan is muttering and mumbling a Talmudic chant. I predict the days of William Pfaff as a major journalist are numbered.

N.B. FORREST
Mi Casa es su Casa?

Parade magazine (Jan. 3, 1999) featured a piece on the illegal and industrious 12-member Marcelino Ramos family, touted as an exemplary immigrant success in a hostile environment. Regardless of their prosperity, they defied our laws and insulted both oldcomers and newcomers that do obey the laws of the land.

The sympathetic Parade reporter felt compelled to mention that the interview was conducted with Marcelino in Spanish. Apparently his English needs improvement, even after 32 years of living in the States.

According to the puff piece, all 10 Ramos children are leading productive lives. All have college degrees or are in the process of getting them. All 10 siblings and all their children are bilingual. Nothing is said about the Hispanic multitudes that do not speak or care to learn English while also being functionally illiterate in Spanish. The article failed to mention the high school dropout rate for Mexicans in this country. While balking at the gauntlet of citizenship, they whine incessantly about their foreign language rights and the Anglo oppression that keeps them down. The rags-to-riches Ramoses are very proud of their achievements, yet they lack the acumen to realize that they are economically and culturally superfluous in the Anglo imperium.

When he first came to this country, Marcelino was making $50 a week. That amount is still more than the average Mexican makes today in the booming NAFTA towns just inside the Mexican border.

Señor Ramos was obviously an economic refugee, too poor or perhaps too lazy to gain lawful entry into the U.S. Whatever the reason, Marcelino was perceptive enough to realize there was no work, food or future for him in Mexico. Though lured to the states by the abundance and availability of stoop labor, he was nevertheless an uninvited invader, an unlawful alien. His difficulty with English may be forgiven, but not his disrespect for U.S. laws and customs. Though his awareness of our way of life was minimal, he selectively and cleverly used what knowledge he had to his advantage. He knew when to hide and what he had to do to beat the system.

In 1970 his wife, also an illegal, gave birth and the couple were now the lucky parents of an American as well as a Mexican citizen. They both managed to pick up Green Cards, which gave them “legal” status. Now the Ramoses could work and play, without undue interference of the Border Patrol and, let it be added, receive a cornucopia of economic and social entitlements from that hyper-altruistic gringo, Uncle Sam. These sweeteners were not discussed or even hinted at by the magnanimous Parade reporter.

Even though $50 a week was very promising pay for unskilled labor back in 1967, it was by no means the income needed to raise 10 children. The required services were supplied gratis by the local health department or government-subsidized clinics. Eventually the couple became U.S. citizens (by default), along with their brood of 10, eight more than the statistical average for a Majority family.

Fecundity, tight-knit family values and the practice of religion, if not birth control, provided the basis for the family’s success. Ironically all of these attributes when possessed by Majority members are sternly criticized by
the mass media.

The Ramos children's academic success proved to be the exception to the general rule of low achievement among Hispanics sojourning in the U.S. If they had remained in Mexico, the children would have spent their days toiling in the fields to glean subsistence living. In America they worked after school to get ahead.

Hector, now 33, became a medical doctor, making suspect the bootstrapping mechanisms of weighted test scores, affirmative action and quotas. Being of Hispanic working-class stock, these young Ramoses had everything going for them in California. No one knows how many Majority members were displaced or discouraged by the preferential treatment given the Ramos siblings in college admissions and jobs. With the force of current administrative law behind him, Hector most surely bumped a native-born white out of a medical school slot. How good were Hector's grades compared to non-Hispanic applicants? How much did his ethnicity outweigh his academic credentials? These politically incorrect musings were not aired in the Parade article. They are automatically "insensitive" and broach the unpleasantness of reality.

Señor Ramos and his family were showcased as hard-working, studious, God-fearing Hispanics ever ready to serve their adopted country. There's a paradox in such portrayals of illegal Mexicans. Mexicans as a group are shown as victims of birth and circumstance. Their homeland was defined as a black hole of opportunity. Yet, as individuals, they are depicted as paragons of the Protestant work ethic, eager to enrich us with their presence. Anglos, on the contrary, are denounced as exploitive and indifferent to the plight of the noble brown man. Unmentioned was that Anglos built the country where Mexicans can find the opportunity denied them by their own compadres back home. They love what this country can do for them, but they don't love us.

Once a wetback crosses the border into the U.S., he is imbued with inalienable rights that far surpass his immediate understanding. With some study and with guidance from the liberal-minority coalition, he learns to mimic victimization and twist the laws to his benefit. They achieve through stealth and persistence what they could not obtain by merit, fair play or by force of arms.

Is it merely academic to make the distinction between legal and illegal Mexican immigrants? Both are alien, unassimilable and deleterious to the Majority and the Majority's future. Unless Anglos awake and dislodge these parasites, this country will continue to be consumed by baseborn, gate-crashing interlopers.

The Generation Scam

The "generation gap" is back, lately rearing its unlovely head in politics and popular culture. Television news anchor Tom Brokaw glorifies the Americans who came of age in the Depression and WWII as the "greatest generation any society has produced." Meanwhile their children's generational stock is heading south farther and faster than the Brazilian real. Even Dan Quayle, who presumably needs at least some of the votes of the "baby boomers," lashes out at "the 60s generation... the generation of greed, do-your-own-thing," as he begins his presidential campaign.

The current preference for the self-sacrificing G.I. generation over the self-indulgent Pepsi generation was not always thus. Twenty or 30 years ago—let's say a generation—the loudest voices in media and the academy were scolding the "greatest generation" as reactionary, materialistic and out of touch. Those of us who grew up (if it is allowed we ever did!) in the 60s, "the Age of Aquarius," were lionized by those same heralds as the antidote to our elders' poison.

What goes on here?

Judson Hammond, writing in Instauration (Backtalk, Dec. 1998), was on to something when he saw the recent hoopla over Steven Spielberg's Saving Private Ryan (which has played a key role in creating the "greatest generation" mystique) as "an allegory for intervention," part of a propaganda campaign to prepare Americans for future wars in the Middle East and Balkans. But re-inventing the mythos surrounding America's part in WWII goes further than that. It enables the rewriting of history as ideology, even theology. Spielberg and the rest of his Hollywood tribe, and their literary counterparts back east, have doubtless been racking their brains for some time to give the Majority Americans who actually fought the war—and thus their descendants—some kind of supporting role in the grander drama of the sanctified Six Million. Then, too, the philo-Semitism and multiculturalism that was anathema to most...
of America’s WWII generation can be insinuated into such films, and thus wrapped in the flag that the tastemakers of New York and Hollywood were not so long ago wearing on the seat of their pants or dragging in the gutter.

As the Spielbergs and the various ventriloquists that mouthpieces and mannikins like Brokaw and Quayle rely upon for their ideas must remember, the septuagenarian vets now being hyped as the greatest generation of all time were just a few years ago mostly regarded by our tastemakers as reactionary curmudgeons clubbed up in their ethnically homogeneous American Legion and VFW dens.

Let it be recalled that this was not without cause, either. The American fighting men were not only overwhelmingly non-Jewish, non-black and non-leftist, they were heavily anti-Semitic, anti-integration and anti-Communist. “Tailgunner Joe” McCarthy, George Wallace, George Lincoln Rockwell and Byron de la Beckwith, to name just a few of the American “crusaders for democracy,” didn’t see themselves fighting to stave off the Holocaust or to usher in the United Nations. A joke of the time told in dozens of different versions ran: “The first American to sink a Jap battleship? Colin Kelly. The first American to shoot down five Jap planes? Butch O’Hare. The first American to get a new set of tires? Abe Cohen.”

Which is not to argue that the men who triumphed at Midway, who took and held Guadalcanal, who drove with Patton to Czechoslovakia, were an army and navy of Klansmen and Jew-baiters. Yet had our soldiers, sailors and airmen been told up front that they were risking and losing their lives to turn their country over to Bill Clinton, Vernon Jordan, Janet Reno, Dennis Rodman, Norman Podhoretz, Alan Dershowitz, Jerry Springer, Geraldo and their ilk, then the LST’s might have disgorged their troops on the banks of the East River and the Potomac, and the big guns of “Mighty Mo”—the U.S.S. Missouri—might have thundered their 16-inch wrath at Hollywood and Beverly Hills.

If that generation wasn’t the breed of anti-fascist interventionists that Brokaw, Spielberg and the rest newly make them out to be, then an Instaurationist is tempted to ask: “What went wrong?” But the answer to that question is unlikely to be generational.

Generations, of course, are made up of individuals. Few members of a generation have very much power, and most of them, eventually, find themselves largely busy with the business of begetting and rearing the next generation. It is unfair, indeed impractical, to fault one’s father for not risking court-martial to make a citizen’s arrest of President Truman after Hiroshima.

If you think about it a little, the concept of a “generation” is a rather arbitrary one. After all, human beings aren’t like laboratory animals, that can be bred in tidy cohorts. In the absence of great social cataclysms, populations tend to breed in a continuum: babies are constantly being born.

Now and again, the word “generation” has usefully denoted a small number of men of similar age whose lives and mentalities have been crucially shaped by a key event. Thus Ortega y Gasset’s “generation of ’98,” which arose in reaction to the general national decay manifested by Spain’s defeat by America, included Unamuno and Baroja, but not a coeval janitor in Barcelona or even a future bank president of like age in Seville.

Until recently, American “generations” denoted merely parts of generational populace. There was Hemingway’s “Lost Generation,” most of it abroad in Paris. And who would assign George Bush (b. 1924) to Jack Kerouac’s (b. 1922) “Beat Generation?”

It’s only rather recently that ambitious mongers of merchandise have taken to characterizing whole “generations.” The trick is not simply to target the intended audience, but to get the would-be consumers to identify with and to internalize the product—like a drove of branded cattle. Needless to say, pushing such commodities as Pepsi-Cola and “not your father’s” Oldsmobile wasn’t enough to satisfy more ambitious social engineers. Imposing ideas and attitudes and behavior was their goal. Think of Alan Freed, the crooked disk jockey who did so much to impart a race-mixing “spin” to the 1960s by the rock and roll platters he spun in the 1950s. Ben Wattenberg, the Jewish pollster and “futurologist,” whose disembodied gargoyle’s head often leers uninvitingly above his newspaper columns, is a more visible example than most of the current umans, is a more visible example than most of the current generational populace. There was Hemingway’s “Lost Generation,” which was heavily anti-Semitic, anti-integration and anti-Communist, didn’t see themselves fighting to stave off the Holocaust or to usher in the United Nations. A joke of the time told in dozens of different versions ran: “The first American to sink a Jap battleship? Colin Kelly. The first American to shoot down five Jap planes? Butch O’Hare. The first American to get a new set of tires? Abe Cohen.”

Which is not to argue that the men who triumphed at Midway, who took and held Guadalcanal, who drove with Patton to Czechoslovakia, were an army and navy of Klansmen and Jew-baiters. Yet had our soldiers, sailors and airmen been told up front that they were risking and losing their lives to turn their country over to Bill Clinton, Vernon Jordan, Janet Reno, Dennis Rodman, Norman Podhoretz, Alan Dershowitz, Jerry Springer, Geraldo and their ilk, then the LST’s might have disgorged their troops on the banks of the East River and the Potomac, and the big guns of “Mighty Mo”—the U.S.S. Missouri—might have thundered their 16-inch wrath at Hollywood and Beverly Hills.

If that generation wasn’t the breed of anti-fascist interventionists that Brokaw, Spielberg and the rest newly make them out to be, then an Instaurationist is tempted to ask: “What went wrong?” But the answer to that question is unlikely to be generational.

Generations, of course, are made up of individuals. Few members of a generation have very much power, and most of them, eventually, find themselves largely busy with the business of begetting and rearing the next generation. It is unfair, indeed impractical, to fault one’s father for not risking court-martial to make a citizen’s arrest of President Truman after Hiroshima.

If you think about it a little, the concept of a “generation” is a rather arbitrary one. After all, human beings aren’t like laboratory animals, that can be bred in tidy cohorts. In the absence of great social cataclysms, populations tend to breed in a continuum: babies are constantly being born.

Now and again, the word “generation” has usefully denoted a small number of men of similar age whose lives and mentalities have been crucially shaped by a key event. Thus Ortega y Gasset’s “generation of ’98,” which arose in reaction to the general national decay manifested by Spain’s defeat by America, included Unamuno and Baroja, but not a coeval janitor in Barcelona or even a future bank president of like age in Seville.

Until recently, American “generations” denoted merely parts of generational populace. There was Hemingway’s “Lost Generation,” most of it abroad in Paris. And who would assign George Bush (b. 1924) to Jack Kerouac’s (b. 1922) “Beat Generation?”

It’s only rather recently that ambitious mongers of merchandise have taken to characterizing whole “generations.” The trick is not simply to target the intended audience, but to get the would-be consumers to identify with and to internalize the product—like a drove of branded cattle. Needless to say, pushing such commodities as Pepsi-Cola and “not your father’s” Oldsmobile wasn’t enough to satisfy more ambitious social engineers. Imposing ideas and attitudes and behavior was their goal. Think of Alan Freed, the crooked disk jockey who did so much to impart a race-mixing “spin” to the 1960s by the rock and roll platters he spun in the 1950s. Ben Wattenberg, the Jewish pollster and “futurologist,” whose disembodied gargoyle’s head often leers uninvitingly above his newspaper columns, is a more visible example than most of the current crop, a Catskill tummler for the idea that the graying whites of Europe and America can only be rescued by hordes of nonwhite immigrants.

A whole nation has use of generational categories, now and again. An unhealthy one, particularly one infested with a growing and unassimilable minority presence, needs to beware the categorical nonsense urged on its Majority. Contrived “generations” divide the blood and split the breed, even as they submerge healthy individualism in a docile herd.

MORIARTY

PONDERABLE QUOTE

CHRISTIANITY HAS EMPTIED VALHALLA, FELLED THE SACRED GROVES, EXTINGUISHED THE NATIONAL IMAGE AS A SHAMEFUL SUPERSTITUTION, AS A DEVILISH POISON, AND GIVEN US INSTEAD THE IMAGERY OF A NATION WHOSE CLIMATE, LAWS, CULTURE AND INTEREST ARE STRANGE TO US AND WHOSE HISTORY HAS NO CONNECTION WHATSOEVER WITH OUR OWN. A DAVID OR A SOLOMON LIVES IN OUR POPULAR IMAGINATION, BUT OUR OWN COUNTRY'S HEROES SLUMBER IN LEARNED HISTORY BOOKS.

HEGEL
Nationalism: A Call to Home

The 19th century saw a redefinition of man. He was no longer defined by his deeds or by his thoughts, only by what he produced, consumed, possessed or wanted to possess—economic man. Whether this creation was the capitalist of Adam Smith or the Communist of Karl Marx, man would never again be viewed in the same light. The capitalist robber-barons' self-righteously exploited the weak, using as their justification a self-serving social Darwinism. The Commmies enviously sought the destruction of the rich and powerful, expounding that they were enemies of the people. Capitalism and communism were supposedly mortal enemies, polar opposites standing at different ends of the political spectrum. In reality both systems, based on economics and conflict, existed in a twisted sort of symbiotic relationship, each using the shortcomings of the other to justify its own repressions and excesses. Both have as their major tenet the reduction of man to a replaceable, materialistic unit and his subservience to the abstract concept of economic determinism. Like it or not, both systems portend a soulless future for all.

The controlled media in the West like to moralize about the virtues of the capitalist system, explaining it as the new philosophy, the moral equivalency of good, triumphing in a long struggle over Eastern communism. The kosher-conservative TV talkmeister and columnist, George Will, goes so far as to say, “Capitalism is the great solvent of tyranny,” which is true only in the sense that anarchy is a solvent of order. Capitalism imposes its own forms of repression, which the media elite serve to perpetuate. “Look how it has empowered us,” mediacrats boast. Yet many of those allegedly empowered were, till the 1950s, followers of, or at best apologists for, a system that murdered upwards of 25 million souls.

In reality the fall of the supposedly monolithic Communist block was less a victory of capitalism over communism and more the triumph of an enslaved people against their alien oppressors—a reawakening of emotions long dormant, which speak to us from long ago and deep within. As Ernest Gellner once stated: “Nationalist sentiment is the feeling of anger aroused by the violation of a principle, or the feeling of satisfaction aroused by its fulfillment.”

Nationalism seeks as its end not reductionism, not the lessening of man nor his subservience to an economic or any alien ideology. Nationalism seeks as its end, its goal, the reason for its existence, the upward development of man himself, not in the narrow sense of providing more and more useless possessions or more “bread and circuses.”

Machiavellian political conspiracies aside, the Communist empire ultimately fell though the simple selfless act of a few close-cropped tank crewmen who simply refused to murder their own people. A similar revolution is taking place in the West. A few selfless men are quietly questioning the official version of history and the lack of values that have come to us as part and parcel of the imposed egalitarian corporate/consumer culture. For now this is a quiet revolution. Most truly great men are men of placid character, men like the Russian tank crews who are thrust into a moment in history where individuals shape human destiny.

Everywhere the forces of corruption attack white racial existence, whether here in the U.S. or on the European continent, we see a resurgence of nationalism or, as our editor prefers to call it, ethnostate-ism. It is a truism that nationalism and Christianity flourish all the more as alien efforts to exterminate them increase. Like the bark of an old oak which grows ever stronger when attacked, the rot from within is more likely to be the source of destruction than the injury inflicted from without. Nationalism is a mother calling her children home—home to the wisdom of the blood.

The oft-told lie that nationalism leads to xenophobia is being disproved as nationalist leaders from Sweden to Italy and from the Baltic States to the U.S. see that we share a common goal, a common history and a common foe. There is more to man than the price of his labors, the cost of his goods or of his biological parts. Some who read these words are such men—men who cannot be bought as one would buy a politician or a cabbage—men whose souls are not for sale.

The fight we wage is long and bitter, perhaps the greatest struggle mankind has ever known. The reward is a limitless future. An endless golden age for our children and our children’s children is worth any price. This is why we fight!

LAWRENCE
Crime Programs or Programmed Crimes?

For the last two decades responsible citizens have been criticizing many state correctional administrations about the wasteful politicized approach they have taken in regard to “anti-crime” programs and the dishonest way in which funding requests have been presented to state legislatures. It had been hoped that the horrors perpetrated by the prison riots at Attica, Hagerstown, Lukesville and a dozen other facilities would have opened the eyes of government officials to waste and mismanagement in these rapidly expanding departments—departments that are now the largest single public sector employers in many states. True to form however, responsibility for the bankrupt policies which ultimately led to these tragedies was shifted from the culpable parties to lower echelon officials who were considered politically expendable.

The deaths resulting from the Lukesville Prison riots were covered up with yet another “big lie” in order to further the cultural and racial policy agendas of corrupt governments. Using a few mercenary and short-sighted bureaucrats as willing patsies, a report was authored that stated guards were assaulted and murdered by inmates not because inmates were bad people, but because of the insensitivity of their keepers in recognizing the unique and rich culture of their dusky inner-city wards.

Little known to the public and hidden in the small print was that the courts’ initial response in the riot’s aftermath was to force “sensitivity indoctrination” programs upon correctional employees. Federal Judge S. Arthur Spiegel awarded the inmates $4.1 million in a court-ordered settlement. Truly a world turned upside-down! Prison inmates riot and murder; their guards are the ones who are punished and the inmates themselves are awarded $4.1 million! “Whom the Gods would destroy they first make mad.”

Not unlike the imperial powers of the 19th century seeking to pacify native populations, most of our industrialized states have created huge, entrenched, self-perpetuating and controlling criminal justice bureaucracies, whose misleading and cryptic aims are based on increasingly discredited left-wing “social science.” Many of these bureaucracies operate without any plans for achieving some end or accomplishing some finite purpose. After all, if the bureaucracies’ purposes were ever truly achieved, there would no longer be a need for the bureaucracies.

One of the true reasons for the state’s failure in the “war against crime” is clear. Cynically it has used the power of the bureaucracy, and the dependency of the thousands who rely upon it for jobs and sustenance, as a means of economic subjugation through the power of money, social control and pacification. If present trends continue and the prison population continues to swell at the present 8.5% annual growth rate, by the middle of the next century half the U.S. population will be in prison and the other half will be working there!

Rather than pursue a policy that would punish the guilty and protect the innocent, recent administrations have clearly opted to pursue a fuzzy-headed social agenda based on Maoist/Stalinist principles of monetary redistribution, minority special rights and collective rather than individual responsibility. In short, when blacks riot, murder and destroy, the servile administrators are careful to say that it’s a sociological phenomenon with its root causes in white racism and bigotry. As such you can be sure that another government mandated program or “fix” will be in the offing.

When whites act to protect themselves and innocent citizens from the ravages of these savages, then it’s a “hate crime.” This is a separate and in essence an extralegal category of crime that mandates draconian punishments which allows for no appeal and for which the truth is no defense. The political nature of these inquisitional prosecutions can be most easily seen when it is noted that blacks and minorities commit vastly more crimes against whites than the reverse. Yet the overwhelming majority of those prosecuted under the so-called “hate crime” statutes are white.

An authoritative report issued in April of last year by the U.S. Justice Dept. unintentionally helps validate what critics have been saying all these years. The report commissioned at the bequest of Congress in 1995 and authored by a team of researchers from the University of Maryland found that pet programs aimed at deceiving conservatives and quieting minority pressure groups simply don’t work.

The question must be asked, Where did the money go and who in fact benefited from the infusion of billions upon billions of tax dollars into the bottomless void of “alternative programs?” People are certainly not safer in their homes as a result of “midnight basketball” or “gang counseling measures.” The fact is that inner-city recreational programs have been shown to have actually facilitated criminal behavior. Lawrence Sherman, one of the primary authors of the report, notes: “The danger of violent conflicts being generated by club activities is just as open a question as the potential benefits.” In a similar vein, although anti-gang programs sound good in theory, researchers have found that minority social workers spend so much time with gang members and share with them so much anti-social behavior that the cohesion of the gangs and their effectiveness in committing crimes has actually increased!
The decline in the competence and politicizing of our system of legal administration is not merely a local or state phenomenon. It is increasingly evident nationwide. The widely reported incompetence of the FBI’s criminal forensic lab has made headlines everywhere. The Justice Dept.’s Inspector General recently issued a scathing report after an 18-month investigation of the Bureau and recommended the removal of the heads of some FBI laboratories and a thorough overhaul of their operations. The report of FBI officials in the 1995 Oklahoma City case “merited special censure” for the inaccurate evidence provided by explosives experts, who also gave inaccurate testimony on the bomb used in the 1993 World Trade Center case. Because of the need not to appear to discriminate against minorities, authorities stopped short of saying anyone should be fired.

Free speech in the U.S. has become so tenuous that intelligent men of goodwill are constrained from expressing truths or acting on rock-solid evidence, when these truths or evidence are at odds which current political doctrine.

At least a part of the escalating failure of government must be laid on the doorstep of those who have for so many years used government to further left-wing social agendas. When government uses “affirmative action” or any other non-meritorious hiring system as a politicized basis for filling its ranks, the decline in overall government competence is guaranteed. When the litmus test for successful promotion within the governmental bureaucracy has less to do with individual ability and integrity and more to do with the promotion of, or acquiescence to, manifestly unfair forms of “political correctness,” can we really wonder that dishonesty and corruption have become the norm?

The farcical game of government-sponsored “anti-crime” programs are official appeasement of, and the paying of blackmail to, minority pressure groups. This in turn is nothing less than government-sponsored terrorism against the white middle class. Ask yourself, who has benefited from this multibillion dollar industry called “criminal justice?”

LAWRENCE

Clinton Ticks Me Off

Now that our sitting president William Jefferson Clinton has been un-impeached by the Senate, let me say I an undelighted. One thing is certain, however. He will go down in American history as the most perverted President. The man is vomitive.

I have long recognized Clinton as my bitterest enemy. He despises me as a middle-class white male, to be used only as a source of money for building his expensive jokes of social programs. He hates whites in general, as witnessed by the innumerable instances where he has been excluded from sub rosa discussions.

In keeping with his renegadish asperations toward whites, Clinton is a champion of open-door immigration. That demographics is the main factor in the ongoing destruction of the U.S. means nothing to him. He is well aware that the tidal wave of immigrants is washing away the Majority’s power base. Virtually all minorities, assimilable and unassimilable, vote for the Democratic Party which pays them off with welfare handouts.

Speaking as a person with a degree in counseling, let me assure you that Clinton is a stereotypical psychopath. Completely amoral, devoid of any conscience whatsoever, he is concerned only with his own aggrandizement and hedonism. The man has enjoyed phenomenal success because of his shrewd intelligence and outstanding histrionic ability, plus the political savvy of his wife. Both are totally power driven.

Hillary may well escape the disgrace that will dog Bill Clinton in his remaining days. In some ways I consider her to be more dangerous than her husband. She can use her intellectual abilities to her own advantage, while he is completely lacking in the same.

In the next two years, then end up in Hollywood where his Jewish movie sidekick will build him a multimillion-dollar mansion, stock it with starlets expert in fellatio, and let him lead the good life as a high-paid hustler for Hollywood’s sewerish flicks.

Meantime let us be happy that Clinton, despite his “pardon” by the Senate, did not get off scot-free. He had to pay Paula Jones and her lawyers $850,000 and earned the eternal contempt of millions of his fellow citizens. In other words, he did not come out smelling like a rose.
The conditions now prevailing in Kaliningrad (formerly Königsberg), Sovietsk (formerly Tilsit), and the surrounding area—a forgotten backwater of WWII—are grim and appalling. This desolate, impoverished Russian-occupied land on the western Baltic coast mars the otherwise fast developing, revitalized eastern Baltic coast. It is a grotesque legacy of Stalin’s megalomaniacal plans in WWII to expand the Communist empire throughout Europe, in the process converting the Baltic Sea into a Russian lake. Today this historical part of Germany remains an anomalous, anachronistic monstrosity, serving no useful purpose, except perhaps as a reminder of the insanity of war.

Until recently, that is!

Despite the comment attributed to Henryk Szlajfer of the Polish Foreign Ministry that the “Russians have as yet no idea what to do with Kaliningrad,” certain nationalist and Communist elements in the Russian government, attempting to thwart NATO expansion, have already approached private conservative circles in Germany with some tempting proposals to resolve the problem and improve Russo-German relations.

The Duma Parliamentary Committee on Geopolitical Affairs has proposed the formation of a “Berlin-Moscow-Tokyo Axis” to counter NATO expansion and American hegemony. This radical proposal calls for a complete change in the present correlation of forces.

In an open meeting of the Duma’s Geopolitical Committee on February 18, 1997, Chairman Alexey Mitrofanov, a member of the Liberal Democratic Party, stated bluntly:

Today, the United States of America is the major enemy of our country. All our actions and dealings with America from now on should be undertaken with this in mind. We must recognize who our enemies are and not fear to name them. The choice between America and the proposed Axis is the choice between death and life for Russia.

The price of the “Axis” for the Russians would be the return of the Kuriles to Japan and the return of the Königsberg region to Germany. Mitrofanov explained his position:

Aware of the lessons learned over the past 1,000 years, any objective analysis of the world geopolitical situation would prove that our only real natural strategic allies are Germany and Japan... Poland must also immediately return all German provinces, including East Prussia, to Germany.

To many Germans these are tempting proposals, especially since they were put forth by an official Duma Committee headed by the youthful Mitrofanov, considered by some to be the shadow government’s foreign minister. Understandably, Germany today has no official office concerned with geopolitical strategy. All major German foreign policy decisions are made in accordance with the wishes of the U.S., the United Nations and the European Union.

Because the Russian proposals are totally unrealistic and unrealizable under present international conditions, the incumbent Russian and German governments are approaching the Kaliningrad-Königsberg issue quite cautiously and prudently. The Russians, for example, are permitting a number of the dispersed Volga Germans to settle quietly in the Kaliningrad area, while at the same time allowing all Germans easier access to the city as tourists and businessmen. The Russians have also hinted at a possible joint Russian-German development plan for the area.

For their part the Germans continue to offer mutually advantageous industrial and trade agreements to all concerned parties: Russians, Poles and Lithuanians. Meanwhile, German trade with the East is increasing at such a rapid rate that serious consideration is being given to the building of a high-speed (taking advantage of the flat terrain) Berlin-Warsaw-Moscow rail service.

One of the most interesting proposals advanced for the return of Königsberg and adjacent territories to Germany calls upon the Germans to forgive billions of DM loans extended to Russia in the past ten years. Because of Russia’s almost impossible financial situation, this proposal is definitely worth considering.

As things now stand, Kaliningrad is a festering wound on the European landscape that cries out for professional attention before infection sets in. Although essentially a bilateral problem that the Russians and Germans could easily resolve themselves, the U.S., the UN, NATO, the European Community, as well as international financial, investment, and industrial circles can all be expected to be involved.

Ethnic Cleansing or Ethnic Mixing?

The support of ethnic mixing or ethnic cleansing is really a personal preference, although it should be kept in mind that the governments of the West, led by the U.S., have tried to stifle the advocates of ethnic cleansing wherever it might be practiced (e.g., Yugoslavia, Africa, Asia) by promoting political correctness taboos and by passing ever more “hate” laws. The governments favoring ethnic mixing, mostly the Western nations, have decreed
that not just America but every country in the world, with the exception of course of Israel, must become a multicultural state and must welcome peoples of other racial, religious and cultural backgrounds, regardless of whether they are refugees, immigrants or long-standing resident aliens. Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia have been asked by the U.S. and Britain to grant citizenship to the Russians currently residing there as the result of conquest and occupation in WWII.

Israel alone has the right under *jus sanguinis* to grant citizenship to fellow Jews. All other nations are being pressured to introduce nondiscriminatory laws of citizenship.

The irony is that the very nations, the U.S. and the United Kingdom, which today claim to abhor racial cleansing in disintegrating Yugoslavia, practiced ethnic cleansing "big time" in Europe from 1945 to the present day. Ethnic Germans were expelled en masse from their ancestral homelands in eastern Europe in the cruellst manner. Documented evidence proves that at least two million died of exposure, starvation, murder and cruelty as the victorious allies reorganized Europe.

Past history has shown that artificially constructed states like Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia, invented after WWI, are not viable because of their diverse populations. The West insists that the collapse of Czechoslovakia after the Munich Agreement was the result of Hitlerian greed. Nonsense! After WWII the Czechs and Slovaks parted company of their own volition. Hybrid Muslim states, like Bosnia, cannot long endure. With about three million Muslims already living in the European Community and with an expanding Muslim population in Albania, anti-Islamic sentiment may well become the anti-Semitism of Europe in the 21st century.

If the U.S. and Britain want to choose population diversity as their national goals as they pursue globalistic economic power, that is their choice. However, other countries with thousands of years of common folk history, and with much more modest economic goals, should also be permitted to grant citizenship as they choose.

The breathless adulation of Winston Churchill continues to spread confusion about his role in two world wars. The pudgy British warmonger was no better, in fact he was far worse, it might be argued, than other European political and military leaders responsible for the debacles of 1914 and 1939.

Churchill's "brilliant" WWI Dardanelles adventure ended in disaster, we are told, because of lack of manpower. But would not more manpower there have risked extending to the East all the horrors of the Western front?

There were two wars at Gallipoli, the war that was and the war that might have been. Brilliant commanders, according to Churchill apologists, might have outmaneuvered the enemy instead of meeting him head-on, might have feinted here and pulled back there, might have executed all kinds of clever stunts and might have overcome the enemy by tricking him and thereby saving the Prime Minister's hide.

The Germans in 1917 should have been negotiating for peace. Churchill himself shared that opinion. Perhaps the British should have made such overtures. But who in Britain would have been louder in denouncing such moves than Churchill?

And who would have been the first to call for the arrest of anyone even whispering about such a solution?

Churchill was among the fiercest hawks in Britain in 1914, as he was again in 1939. If he had learned anything from WWI, why would he emerge two decades later eager to enter a new war with Germany? Had he learned from the earlier Holocaust, would he not have counseled caution and prudence in the matter of the Polish corridor?

Churchill was the kind of European who would lead Britain and Germany into war with each other. He would then stand by and let the Germans deal alone with Soviet threats to European safety and freedoms.

Churchill played a key part in the twin tragedies of the 20th century. The first brought woe to all Europe. The second destroyed Britain's empire and preeminent place in the world. Why Churchill should be hailed as the great "warrior-statesman" of the 20th century is difficult to comprehend. In a roster of villains his name might well lead all the rest.

R.E.
The Cossacks Ride Again

The police chief of St. Petersburg plans to put mounted Cossacks back on patrol on city streets. Crime has gotten so far out of hand that he feels that a dose of traditional “law and order” would do wonders to reduce the citizens’ pessimistic attitudes towards crime control.

Cossacks are the descendants of people who settled the wide open spaces of Russia and Siberia in Czarist days. Serving as part-time soldiers and part-time farmers, they provided the empire with its first line of defense. By the 19th century, the Cossacks were not just in the army but in the police, where special units were responsible for suppressing any revolutionary outbreak. Their reputation for ferociousness was well-earned. Their distinctive sabers and whips were used to good effect on anybody who even gave the appearance of being an enemy of the Czar.

Most Cossacks supported the white anti-Communist armies in the Russian Civil War. In many ways they formed the core of resistance to the Bolshevik regime. The ranks of the Reds were packed with Jews who had felt the sting of a Cossack whip. Consequently when the Bolshies slugged their way to power, they didn’t waste any time taking revenge. Cossack villages were burnt to the ground and tens of thousands of their inhabitants were murdered or sent to labor camps. Their property (Cossacks were among the wealthier elements in rural Russia) was confiscated and given to their poorer non-Cossack neighbors.

Though allowed to form Red Army units in WWII, many Cossacks took advantage of the German advance to switch sides. Mounted Cossacks distinguished themselves in the armies of the Third Reich. This didn’t ameliorate their fate when the Germans lost the war and Stalin stomped on them for the second time. It is a miracle any survived.

Following the collapse of the Soviet Union, Cossacks emerged from the shadows, booted and spurred, with their old uniforms and medals that must have been hidden away since the early 1920s. It wasn’t long before they formed into military units, some of which were sent to fight in Moldavia, a province disputed by Russia and Romania.

The government is arming the Cossacks to the teeth, but they have to bring their own horses. This should not be a problem. They are famed the world over for their horsemanship.

Besides their equestrian prowess and loyalty to the Czar, Cossacks were known for their brutality towards Jews. Cossacks were behind most of the pogroms carried out in late 19th-century and early 20th-century Russia. To date there is no strong evidence that they have given up their anti-Semitism. If Cossacks are to police the roads and streets of Russia, some members of one particular ethnic group are probably nervously packing their over-sized suitcases and cleaning out their over-sized bank accounts.

Come to think of it, the U.S. could use some Cossacks. I have read hair-raising accounts of skyrocketing crime on Wall Street and in the motion picture industry... in the executive suites of major U.S. media organizations... in the offices of personal injury lawyers in Miami Beach. Majority members have had enough. We can’t stand by and let criminals take over the country. Time to call in the Cossacks. They should be able to sort things out in no time.

N.B. FORREST
R.I.P. Georgetown

Georgetown is dying. After decades of encroachment by criminals from the quarter of Washington known as Cap City's black belt, this once charming enclave of the rich and politically famous is going the way of most metro America. The isolated, leafy and supremely attractive backwater which once upon an ancient colonial time actually used to be the original "Washington," Georgetown, the inevitable la-la land of tiny brick or wooden "shotgun" row houses and upscale mansions is turning into a world of vicious street crime and dusky minority sloth.

About 25 square urban blocks in size, the village which used to offer an escape from the horror of minorityism to thousands of local whites in a city that is 80% black, is showing all the disastrous signs of Africanization. Boarded-up storefronts, "African" cultural artifacts in the windows of those shops which remain and a heavy influx of ill-behaved minorities in the street and in public eateries have brought about a steep decline in the neighborhood's "quality of life." Up for grabs are thousands upon thousands of residences still favored by brave-hearted whites who slave for their daily bread in the city that boasts of its commitment to the dubious objective of integration.

Residential values have been falling since the early 1990s. At one time a broken-down row house in Georgetown might well have commanded $700,000. Today that house is on the market for half that. The reason is obvious. Nobody expects Georgetown to have a "racial" life that extends much beyond ten years, certainly way less than it takes to pay off a hefty real estate investment. The blacks who now swagger down the streets of commercial Georgetown are as bad and ugly as one might find anywhere from Camden, New Jersey, to Cape Town, South Africa.

The whites who used to come to Georgetown for their weekend fun are making new arrangements in the suburbs of a city that knows little release from its racial dilemma. Most intelligent observers of the Georgetown scene merely shrug their heads, ruefully remarking that its decline is the inevitable course of all urban America these days.

Back in colonial days, Georgetown was the port city of what eventually came to be called Washington. In those times blacks used to slave on the docks, relying on hard labor to earn their keep. But with the coming of race-mixing as an official governmental policy, Washington itself began to change, shifting from a workable and productive city of governmental administration to a bureaucratic horror. In those early days, even the urban liberals used to allow white racialism to preserve itself in the narrow streets of Georgetown, a kind of social payoff to those who wanted to sip their bourbon and branch water in the tiny backyard evening gatherings.

All good things come to an end, even in such charming haunts as Georgetown. With the inevitable rise in black political consciousness following the turnover of political administration of Washington to the natives in the early 1970s, the social (and racial) fate of Georgetown was sealed. Now even the racially hearty seldom go there after dark, unless they are interested in gulping down a quick meal in a pricey Georgetown eatery. Now, by result of casual inspection, it is apparent that even these gastronomic enterprises are being pressed to placate the great god integration by hiring blacks to serve the food that whites increasingly refuse to order. The day of a lovely city neighborhood is almost over.

IVAN HILD

Goodbye Empire

The U.S. is the last multiethnic empire on earth. The only reason it has not collapsed is that it's too balkanized to fall apart into nice neat pieces. Those fractal congressional districts gerrymandered for black majorities serve as good illustrations of the principle.

However all the idols of WWII must fall on their own terms, not on those of their enemies.

Hitler did not understand war, which is mechanized slaughter, not something glorious. Churchill was out of his depths in international intrigue. Stalin knew how to run a police state, but failed to train a successor.

To paraphrase an old cliché (about swords), "Those who live by the dollar, die with the dollar." WWII was won with American money and Russian blood. Without the atomic bomb, there would have been no American occupation of Japan.

Washington rules the world with a printing press that churns out money. Or did. Today, Alan Greenspan clicks the mouse on his computer and a billion dollars show up someplace, dollars created out of thin air. Houdini couldn't pull any tricks nearly that good.

The final idol will crumble when America's numerous Ponzi schemes collapse under their own weight. The Internet will propagate a global panic at the speed of light. Tens of thousands of ethnic ghettos will rise up in revolt. And the grandest scheme for global domination ever conceived by the mind of man will end in a single day.

Mythbusters (Feb. 1998), P.O. Box 3639, Gaithersburg, MD 20885. Annual subscription $35 (12 issues)
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Stick to the Facts

The essay by Forrest on Freud, psychotherapy and "repressed memory" nonsense is masterful. It says a lot about Americans who can fall for this nuttiness. One point not addressed by Forrest is that the admission of this flummery into evidence in criminal trials is part of the ongoing perversion of our legal system. It used to be that it took direct evidence based on actual, provable facts to convict people of crimes. That was what the presumption of innocence was all about. But now people are being convicted of hypothetical crimes based on pure witchcraft. Mike Tyson once told a Playboy interviewer. "They don't convict you for what you actually did, they convict you for what they think you might have done."

[Editor's note. Since the above words were written Tyson knocked out the white South African Botha in the fifth round of one of those Las Vegas fisticuff extravaganzas. Some days later he was led off to jail for having slapped around two whites in a traffic dispute. So goes another Negro role model.]

Incidentally, this is what frosts me about the CI inton folderol. It's not that I hold any brief for the man. It's the "legal reasoning" which is being used to get him. Paula Jones knew she could never prove anything about who did what to whom in that hotel room. Manipulating this "pattern of conduct" theory, her lawyers sought to pry into Clinton's consensual relationship with Lewinsky to bolster their client's case. Once again it's a matter of trying people not for what they can be proved to have done, but on speculation based on their past behavior.

Many defendants in criminal cases have long rap sheets. Because they committed crimes in the past, ergo they probably committed the crime for which they are currently being charged. Based on this faulty reasoning more than a few innocent individuals have been wrongly convicted. In my view, the best way to discourage perjury is to confine the questions to matters which are directly relevant to the issue. When litigants are allowed to pry into intimate relationships, to create political and marital embarrassment for the defendant by going on fishing expeditions, the answers are going to be evasive and nitpicking. What else can our courts expect?

I have to agree with Instauration about Clinton. The issue is neither the sexual doings nor the alleged perjury. It is Clinton's antiwhite agenda. As to the groping, oral sex and the rest, they are an off-budget item.

Great piece on Berezovsky (Jan. 1999). Can you imagine this kind of interview being published in an American newspaper? Poor Mr. Lorden. I don't think he succeeded in rebutting his critics, but he still deserves credit for the things he got right.

Yes, Germans Loved Hitler

Re: "Lorden Answers His Critics" (Jan. 1999), there are two statements by Lorden that particularly raised my dander. To answer properly, an entire issue of Instauration would be necessary.

Hitler's alleged sentiment that the German people did not deserve to continue to exist if they did not win "the war" must be understood in the framework of the philosophical discourse in which it was expressed. As a former member of the Leibstandarte, I was able to attend a number of meetings of the Waffen-SS veterans after the war. I had the opportunity to ask both Erich Kempka, Hitler's chauffeur for 12 years, and Heinz Linge, his valet for almost as long, about it. Furthermore, I was able to speak to Gerda Daranowski, perhaps the Führer's favorite personal secretary, and certainly a very intelligent woman. I also must point out that these three persons were often in attendance when Hitler engaged in his monologues late at night.

From my talks with the above, I gathered that there is no doubt Hitler loved his people to the very end. Even if at times he got very discouraged with the German obstinacy and the perennial Besserwissen (German know-it-all), he would never have wished for Germany to be eradicated as the result of the lost war. What he meant was quite simply that both nature and history have proven that the stronger ones win. It is nature's way of showing when either a culture or a people's development has reached the end of the line. There are sufficient Hitler statements available which can be interpreted in a strikingly different way, namely, that German National Socialism was going to be the wave of the future, but that it would take a final defeat of Jewry to see this ideology rise again from its ashes.

Lorden complains about the lack of compassion he saw in Germans while he was stationed in the defeated Reich between 1945 and 1947. First of all, these were the worst hunger years imaginable. Starve yourself with fewer than 1,000 calories a day (if that!) for a few months and discover how much compassion you will have for anyone...
outside of your own family. Furthermore, Lorden writes that “we uncovered one of the mass graves of Zwangsarbeitern. They didn’t even give the poor devils a funeral until we made them do it!” etc. etc. Knowing how the Allies operated after the war, the British probably forced the starving Germans to disinter the corpses with their bare hands and demanded a honorary burial place in the local cemetery, while at the same time prohibiting the Germans from properly burying their own war dead.

As I was writing these words, I could see before me the uncomprehending Allied soldier who never saw the wrong actions of his own troops or country, because he didn’t want to see them. Isn’t it possible, as happened in many places in Germany, that prior to the uncovering of this mass grave (which could have been the result of an attack by Allied bombers against some workers) fallen German soldiers were not allowed to be buried at all in this town, as happened in many places after the “liberation?” Their corpses had to be left to rot, probably in order to instill Christian love into the Germans. Under such circumstances the normal German reaction would have been exactly as Lorden describes, namely, total indifference. More than a million German civilians killed by Allied bombings undoubtedly also contributed to a hardening of the souls.

More Venom for Lorden

In Lorden’s latest comments (Jan. 1999) we hear of mass graves filled with Zwangsarbeitern. Probably so. But how did they die? Were they shot in the head or did they die of starvation? He doesn’t tell us. It’s like watching that film of the emaciated KZ victims being bulldozed into mass graves. No one on the sound track really tells you anything. You’re left to assume that they were murdered by sadists. Lorden uses the same technique.

The three million Red prisoners who died after capture were taken in the great encirclements of the summer and autumn of 1941. Germany would later spend 1% of its GNP on food shipments to the starving Russian populace. But there was no way to feed these prisoners then. During its best year of peace and stability (1938), Germany was only able to raise 85% of its own food. The wartime British blockade didn’t help things.

In the fall of 1941 the Germans were trying desperately to destroy the Red Army before winter set in. It was only the 625,000 horses the Germans brought with them which allowed the Wehrmacht to survive at all. How could they have fed three million of the enemy, when a million of their own would freeze and starve to death before spring?

German veterans I know say that every unit of company size carried between two and five Russian prisoners with them. Sometimes they were armed and fought, but mostly they worked in the mess section assisting the company cook. Then, there was the Vlasovite army. This organization absorbed hundreds of thousands of Russian prisoners. Local police detachments and ethnic Waffen-SS divisions were also drawing rations one could live on. Nevertheless many Russians died miserable deaths from exposure and malnutrition after capture. Under prevailing conditions there was no way to save them.

Compare that to the purposeful starvation of millions of Germans after the war. Trainloads of food were backed up for miles into Switzerland, while Americans and Brits deliberately starved millions of German prisoners and civilians to death!

As far as Hitler’s order to “liquidate [the] Polish upper class” is concerned, I want to see the original document. Then I want the ink and paper tested. Then I want a textual analysis by Professor Robert Faurisson. Then I want David Irving to pass on the whole thing. Otherwise I’m not buying it.

As an American soldier in the early 1960s, the value of my food gifts was only symbolic. But Lorden was in Germany during the Morgenthau years. Did the “liberation” of rations and motor pool jeeps ever occur to him as a response to what he saw? I’d like to know what he felt during those terrible years, about serving the forces of genocide that were destroying his race.

Lorden has two problems as I see it. First, his early indoctrination has denied him the final insight into 20th-century history. Second, his conclusions betray a total failure to grasp the subtleties of context.

How Righteous Are They?

White loyalists need to have a record of positive achievements they can tuck under their belts. Zip 070’s report on the Third American Renaissance Conference (Nov. 1998) shows we are making some headway. As a hardliner, however, I was chagrined at the numerous references to “Righteous Chosenites.” I don’t know how many times we must be harmed by kosher Quislings before we learn that their help is as harmful as their hatred.

A parasite’s modus operandi is to manipulate its host without destroying it or being destroyed by it. Some are so avaricious they kill their hosts. The most accomplished live off their victim with little notice until they’ve got what they want. What they want is blood. The anesthesia in their bite is so effective they can suck quantities of blood before their vampire traits are recognized. These Righteous Chosenites thrive on our altruism. Are we to be ashamed for not catering to the “good” Jews?

We shouldn’t feel guilty for excluding Jews from our endeavors. Their goals are not ours. Our natures are irreconcilable. Would you feel guilty for brushing a leech off your leg? Or for disarming a lethal virus?

We need to banish Jewish influence from our activities. A few shots of Sephardic psychobabble have the habit of spoiling our hopes and plans. We can and must get further on our own.

215
Different Worlds

No one mentioned or dared to mention the most important aspect of the horribly stretched-out impeachment proceedings. It was basically a power struggle of the Old America against the New. Racially put, it was a legal team of Nordics, Nordic Alps and Nordic Mediterraneans on the one side fighting the assimilable and unassimilable minorities and knowing and unknowing Majority trucklers on the other. The Clintonites on the House Judiciary Committee looked and acted as one would expect. What a cast! Barney Frank, the abrasive Jewish queer, Chosenite Jerrold Nadler, an elephant seal without flippers, black Fidelista Maxine Waters. None of these types was genetically programmed to participate in a trial that demanded impartiality. Because of the two-thirds rule, Old America lost. It’s hard to prevail against the most unprincipled President in U.S. history.

It was a great country while it lasted.

The Spinning Wheel

• Among their many other vices, Clintonites are masters of the dramatic moment. Consider Starr’s Jewish “ethics” adviser, Sam Dash, who unethically quit in an important moment of the hearings.
• The N.Y. Times came out with a front page floater about Starr planning to indict Clinton for obstruction of justice when the Impeachment proceedings were over. It was a deliberate attempt to shift the spotlight from Lewinsky and her interrogators, a typical example of media casuistry. Because it appeared on the front page of the Times, It was God’s (Yahweh’s) truth.
• Stories were planted all over Washington by such a perjurious creature as the stalker-obsessed Sidney Blumenthal, by Larry Flynt, who barely qualifies as a sex addict, by Larry Dees, a bisexual according to the book, Dees, a bisexual according to the sworn testimony of one of his ex-wives, knows that his victims won’t have enough money and time to properly defend themselves. In some cases, he has forced them into bankruptcy. Dees is not saying in his current litigation how much money he is asking for. But if he gets his way, as he usually does, the Aryan Nations may soon be bottoms up.

Ingratitude

After all the print wasted on the eternal friendship of Clinton and Vernon Jordan, on and off the golf course, we were surprised to learn that the U.S. is being sued for $20 million by the foreign-owned pharmaceutical company that U.S. cruise members. Media firepower overwhelmed Starr, who was touted as a modern Torquemada. To understand what was going on, Joe Blow would have had to close his eyes to what he reads and close his ears to what he hears. Whatever the media approve, he should disapprove. If the media say x, he should think y. That’s the only way to survive in this age of mendacity. Information in America is in the hands of a monopoly that has no love for the Majority, that exudes racial animus from every pore.

Dees Strikes Once More

Morris S. (for Seligman) Dees is flexing his multimillion-dollar economic muscle again. This time he’s going after the Aryan Nations, whose security guards allegedly roughed up a 42-year-old mother and her 19-year-old son who had wandered too close to the Aryan Nations compound in northern Idaho. The altercation was triggered by a backfire from the intruders’ car.

Dees is a tricky opponent. He fights with dollars not fists and guns. Some $68 million is a rough estimate of the amount he has wrangled from Jews by playing up the age-old anti-Semitic bogey.

The 83-year-old Aryan Nations boss, Richard Butler, was also charged, though he was not at the scene of the alleged crimes. By flying in some top-drawer hysterics and pulling all the legal shenanigans in the book, Dees, a bisexual according to the sworn testimony of one of his ex-wives, knows that his victims won’t have enough money and time to properly defend themselves. In some cases, he has forced them into bankruptcy. Dees is not saying in his current litigation how much money he is asking for. But if he gets his way, as he usually does, the Aryan Nations may soon be bottoms up.

History Month for Us?

Despite strong opposition from minority lobbyists, who tried to shaft the idea by claiming it was the work of white racists, the state of California has officially designated October as “European American History Month.” In better days every month was American history month. Today, with every minority clamoring for its place in the sun, the country may soon run out of such months.

Majority members view this development with mixed feelings. On the one hand, the Majority is reduced to the status of a minority like every other. On the other hand, it reminds people that the Majority is a valid, on-the-ball ethnic group (less than 50% of the population) whose voice counts for much more than that of other minorities. The fact is we are already a minority, if southern Europeans and Middle Easterners are subtracted, as they should be, from the Majority total.

St. Malcolm

The U.S. now has a 33¢ stamp honoring Malcolm X. Who will be next in the Philatelic Hall of Fame? Larry Flynt? In 1965 three blacks shot down Malcolm X in a Harlem ballroom. The murders probably arose out of a dispute between two Negro organizations—Malcolm’s and the Nation of Islam. The unveiler of the stamp was Postal Service Governor David Fineman. (Yes, they’re everywhere!) It wasn’t too long ago that the FBI was shadowing Malcolm. Now he’s been turned into a black saint in a little over 30 years.
**Neo-Disneyism**

Disney World in Orlando has become a stamping ground for pedophiles. Company officials are doing next to nothing about it. As everyone is beginning to discover, Disney, under other names, produces soft porn movies and owns record companies that churn out drumbeats spiked with obscenity. We’ve said it once and we’ll say it again: Walt would die a second death if he rematerialized and learned how his brainchild had been degraded. If anyone believes that an entertainment colossus like Disney is improved by having a cohort of Jews take over the executive suite, he should check the retrogradation of Mickey Mouse.

**Viva René**

René Zellweger, an upcoming young Nordic actress, was told she would have to do a nude scene with Tom Cruise in *Jerry Maguire.* Since naked actresses are routine in Hollywood these days, director Cameron Crowe was taken aback when René told him:

> I believe I can make it without doing this. Meryl Streep never did nudity. I’m not her, but I’m me and I’m not gonna do it.

In this rare case, Crowe gave in. Incidentally one way some actresses manage to get around the nudity requirement is to hire a “body double.”

**Word Play**

Some words that appeared on Christmas day in the Dallas Morning News made lawyer E. Todd Tracy hot under the collar. A restaurant reviewer for the newspaper claimed that the food in an eatery he visited was bland because of a “niggardly hand with seasonings.” Because the chef was black, Tracy said the review was “venomous and racist.” “Niggardly,” of course, has nothing to do with Negroes, but has an etymology that goes back to the old Norse *nognar,* meaning “stingy.” The moral of all this is that not all N words are N words.

**Misspelling Bee**

One result of the impeachment doings was the revelation that many high and mighty political potentates know very little about spelling. Asa Hutchinson, who worked as hard as any House manager to give Clinton his due, displayed a chart that had “calender” for “calendar.” Another pol’s chart had to be scrapped when “bulgary” appeared instead of “burglary.” A statement from Trent Lott’s office referred to the “House mangers.” Clinton’s army of attorneys couldn’t even spell the name of their client. He was listed in one document as “William Jefferson Clinton.” All the congressmen signed their oaths with pens bearing the inscription, “Untied States.”

**Religious Nut**

To put it bluntly, Rev. Jerry Falwell is a net minus for Christianity. The guy is off his rocker. His latest preacher warns that the Anti-christ, whom he describes as a Jew, is alive and kicking. What’s more, the Second Coming will probably occur within the next ten years. When the Antichrist appears, Falwell assures us, he will reign for a decade. Falwell himself can’t possibly believe this blather, so why is he saying it? Maybe it’s because this kind of religious mishmash allows him to bask in the media spotlight. Anything for a sound byte.

**Incendiary Verbiage**

Dr. Khalid Muhammad, a Negro windbag, gives this pep talk to the black bully-boys who look upon him as their leader:

> You kill the men, you kill the women, you kill the children, you kill the babies. Why kill the innocent little blue-eyed babies? Because they’ll grow up to rule your babies! Kill them all! Then dig ‘em up and kill ‘em again!

**March of Genes**

Scientists have discovered and located all the 19,909 genes of a tiny nematode worm, *Caenorhabditis elegans.* They are also on track on their promise of mapping the estimated 80,000 genes of Homo sapiens by 2003.

In spite of this formidable progress, there is always some smart-ass Chosenite who will try to trash genetic research. In this case it’s Jeremy Rifkin, author of *Biotech Century,* a professor who idolizes environment and darkens the science of genetic studies with the shadow of Hitler. Genetics gets a pass by Jews as long as it is dedicated to curing diseases. But the moment it is applied to improving the breed, the Chosen yap “Eugenics.” It will probably be some time before science breaches the Jewish wall around certain types of genetic research.

**Belated Discovery**

Congressman Samuel Dickstein, who represented the Lower East Side of Manhattan for eleven terms, was a paid NKVD agent for the Soviet Union. Dickstein was highly instrumental in creating the House Committee on Un-American Activities—not surprising when it’s remembered that the Communists put prime emphasis on infiltrating patriotic anti-Communist organizations. In 1945 Dickstein ran successfully for the New York State Supreme Court, where he served until his death in 1954. Senator McCarthy was and still is reviled for publicly revealing that the U.S. government had been heavily infiltrated by Stalinists.

**Insensitive Michael**

In the orgy of adulation that accompanied the retirement (his second) of Michael Jordan, one columnist, Gene Collins, of the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, compared him unfavorably to other Negroes, such as Jackie Robinson and Mohammad Ali, who gave their all to their race and forgot about the bottom line. Jordan contrarily hews to this line. In deference to his sponsors, he refused to compare old coke to new coke. After his father was murdered by a pistol-packing thug, Michael did not come out for gun control. Moreover, he never had a bad word to say about Nike’s sneaker-making sweatshops, one of the principal sources of his huge financial nest egg. It concerns him minimally that Nike’s vast profits—and his percentage of them—flow from the sweat of Asian slave laborers.

**Body Burning**

Attention Holocaust believers! The standard form of cremation in the U.S. is to place the corpse in a wooden coffin that collapses when the temperature in a large oven reaches 1600°F, exposing the body directly to the flames. “The skin and hair at once scorch, char and burn...” Destruction of the soft tissues gradually exposes parts of the skeleton. The skull is soon devoid of covering.” The operation takes from 45 minutes to an hour. The ashes are crushed by a machine into three to four pounds of coarse white powder. See *The Chemistry of Death* by W.E.D. Evans. Work out the math and you’ll find some troubling contradictions.
The late Arnold Friedman was the brains behind a $43-million home health care scam in south Florida. Before his death in 1996, Friedman played golf with Clinton and was an honored guest at a gala Al Gore fundraiser. Friedman also attended the White House wedding of Tony Rodham, brother of Hillary.

Director Gus Van Sant has "improved" Alfred Hitchcock's movie classic, Psycho, by adding a masturbating episode.

The driver of the bus that slid off New Jersey's Golden Gate Parkway, killing eight and injuring 15 old folks on their way to do some Christmas gambling at Atlantic City casinos, was a Russian-Jewish immigrant. As happens so often in such cases, the driver survived.

The Black Israelite sect that holds spiteful meetings in various parts of Zoo City specifies that the Bible gives blacks the right to rape white women.

Until they were nailed, Chinese smugglers were sneaking as many as 150 illegal Asians a month into the U.S. through a New York State Indian reservation. They would charge as much as $47,000 per head. Altogether the ring may have have taken in as much as $170 million before it was shut down.

Ann Marie Harris, a pretty 17-year-old blonde high school student, was shot dead while driving with some friends in Tacoma. Campbell Dunlop, an ugly, dark-skinned Pacific Islander, pleaded guilty to the crime, then changed his mind and recanted. He said he was irked when the car driven by Harris's friend flashed its brakes as it passed him. Others in Dunlop's car, who first stated they had seen him pull the trigger, also changed their minds. Although it was an open-and-shut case, the jury let Dunlop walk.

Freakish hoopster Dennis Rodman married showbiz sexpot Carmen Electra in Las Vegas on November 14. Soon afterward he asked his lawyer to get him an annulment. Faculty members who gave him As and Bs were nonplused that this "bright, energetic and intellectually curious student" was a crook. Williams was arrested two months after he got his law degree.

Twenty-five years to life is what black Corey Arthur got for murdering his onetime English teacher, Jonathan Levin, son of Time Warner supermogul, Gerald Levin.

He thought he would be reelected Republican senator from New York by reviving up Jewish blackmail of Swiss banks. But it didn't work out that way for Senator Alfonse D'Amato. When Jews have a chance to elect one of their own, they leap to the occasion. In this case the Chosen chose Rep. Charles Schumer. But D'Amato was thrown a bone. He was appointed the mediator of a new set of lawsuits of Holocaust survivors against German and Austrian banks.

Leon Mochkin, Chaim Fischman and Steven Katz were arrested and charged with stealing $2 million in federal subsidies mandated for the maintenance of the housing complexes they owned in Brooklyn.

A Long Guyland woman, Chayie Sieger, has taken her rabbi to court for giving her husband a religious divorce (a get) without bothering to inform her. Another Jewess, Chani Lightman, claimed her rabbi turned over to her husband confidential information obtained during marital counseling.

Melanie Edwards, a white resident of Seattle, did everything possible to stay far away from her abusive black husband. To no avail. One evening in December he caught up with her, pumped four bullets into her body and saved the fifth for their two-year-old daughter. Since it is now almost impossible to talk honestly about race, poor Melanie never had a chance to learn the odds against successful black-white marriages—until it was too late.

He teaches geometry and geophysics at Yale, but Antonio Lasaga's sideline is child pornography. Among his many reprehensible acts, he made an obscene video of himself and a young boy.

Zolton Williams, a student at Columbia Law School, stashed away a small fortune while smuggling cocaine from Jamaica, his birthplace. Faculty members who gave him As and Bs were nonplused that two and a half years instead of the four generally recommended by prosecutors for such crimes. The lady judge didn't bother to consider that blacks are disproportionately guilty of all types of vehicular infractions, especially speeding.

Israel's Labor Party candidate for next Prime Minister, Ehud Barak, has hired James Carville, the Asian-eyed Demo attack dog, as a political adviser to help him with his campaign. In January there were two break-ins in Carville's Washington office. Possibly the work of Netanyahu thugs.

Rev. Javan McBurrows, his wife, his five children and three children of a friend lived in a small Philadelphia house that had only one bed. After one of the children had been beaten to death, the McBurrowses disappeared. They finally showed up in Stone Mountain (GA), where the black Reverend was charged with child endangerment and being a fugitive from justice.

Shyster Bob Glaser sued the city of San Diego for $5.4 million for allowing women to use men's restrooms during a rock concert. Instead of collecting any money, Glaser was ordered to pay court costs arising from his "frivolous" lawsuit.

Democrats take care of their own. Carol Moseley-Brown, the only black senator in the 105th Congress, lost her seat in November to a white. She was quickly given a $56-an-hour consulting job by the Dept. of Education, which is interested in getting ideas about increasing federal subsidies for school construction.

Andrew Goldstein, 30, was charged with pushing a New York City woman to her death in front of an oncoming subway train.

Alvin Weiss attempted to hire a hitman to "take care" of two tenants who kept complaining about the brutal living conditions in the two housing complexes owned by the slumlord. Weiss, who lives in a $2-million mansion in Brooklyn, apparently can't afford to provide basic services for his tenants.

She owns a Jaguar, Lexus, Acura and a vintage Corvette. She lives in a 3,000-sq.-ft. house and had $250,000 in the bank. The law finally caught up with Sharaymm Windross of Corona (CA). She has been charged with 17 counts of perjury and having cheated the Los Angeles County welfare system of $135,000 over the past 12 years.
This is a poll you’re unlikely to hear about. When asked whether or not they agreed there should be a Palestinian state, 53.9% of Americans nodded yes, 15.3% disagreed, no opinion, 30.8%.

The world contains 2 million Yiddish speakers, 500,000 of them in the U.S.

An anonymous New York City cop has sold a book about his gruesome experiences to Riverside Books for $1 million. He says he will continue walking his beat.

Hispanics voted 78% Democratic for Gray Davis, the new governor of California. They voted 49% for the re-election of George W. Bush, governor of Texas. Jeb Bush, the new governor of Florida, also prospered electorally by splitting the Latino vote. Davis, incidentally, intends to skirt the laws against affirmative action by guaranteeing college admission to the top 4% of students of every high school in the state. The Bush brothers, whose vote-getting prowess is based largely on name recognition, hope to replace the Kennedys as the leading political dynasty of the next century.

From 9.6 million immigrants in the U.S. in 1970, the number has increased to a mind-blowing 26.3 million today. Some good news. 171,154 aliens with criminal records and other disqualifying factors were deported in 1998. Immigrants now account for 9.8% of the U.S. population. In Texas and California, José is the favorite name for boys. The bad news: 30% to 50% of immigrants receive more welfare than bona fide Americans.

Some 235 U.S. citizens who allege they are Holocaust survivors will receive payments ranging from $30,000 to $250,000 from the German government, which has already shelled out $1 billion (that’s $1 billion) over the years to people worldwide who claim they have been inhabitants of Nazi concentration camps and to organizations that represent them. (USA Today, Jan. 15, 1999)

By 2021, 41% of adult British males will be unmarried, 35% of females.

CT, NY, PA, OH, WI, MS and OK are losing population.

5 managers of Harvard’s $13 billion endowment received $45.4 million for the year ending June 30. The most highly remunerated moneyman was Jonathan Jacobson ($10.2 million).

Some 3,000 black farmers will divvy up $375 million awarded them by the government for having been denied federal loans and subsidies. It’s the modern equivalent of 40 acres and a mule promised blacks by northern Abolitionists after the 1865 Emancipation Proclamation—a promise unfulfilled. Dan Glickman, the Secretary of Agriculture who knows very little about agriculture, called the settlement, which he boasted he helped engineer, “a historic achievement.”

Blacks, who comprise 18% of the population of Alameda County (CA), are responsible for nearly half of the AIDS cases. To placate the Congressional Black Caucus, Clinton added $156 million to a government fund for treatment and preventive programs tackling the HIV bug.

Percentage of Jews who are members of a temple or synagogue: 49%. Percentage of Jews who aren’t sure when the Holocaust occurred: 38%.

It’s not surprising that a poll taken by Rolling Stone magazine found 20% of young Americans (18 to 34) were “mostly Democratic,” compared to the 11% that were “mostly Republican.” Considering the relentless pro-minority spinning of the education establishment, it’s a wonder there are any young Republicans at all.

The Amazing Kreskin lists the 20th-century’s top mesmerists: JFK, Hitler, Rev. King, Churchill, FDR, Arthur Godfrey (of all people!), Bishop Sheen (of all people!), Cronkite, Eva Peron (of all people!), Guess who was #1? The Arkansas sodomite!

The Air Force says it’s short 1,000 pilots; the Navy 18,000 sailors.

Amherst, a suburb of Buffalo, is the safest of all U.S. cities with a population of 75,000 or more. Newton (MA), Greece (NY), Clarkstown (NY) also win the safeness prize. Gary (IN) is the most dangerous city, followed by Camden (NJ), Detroit, Atlanta and St. Louis.
Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

The greatest historical work in English is Edward Gibbon's *Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire*. Among many other important questions, he discusses Roman policy towards Christians and Jews. In the matter of Christians, he comes to the conclusion that they were persecuted above all because of their exclusiveness:

It has already been observed that the religious concord of the world was principally supported by the implicit assent and reverence which the nations of antiquity expressed for their respective traditions and ceremonies. It might therefore be expected that they would unite with indignation against any sect of people which should separate itself from the communion of mankind, and, claiming the exclusive possession of divine knowledge, should disdain every form of worship, except its own, as impious and idolatrous.

Christians did pay tribute to the Emperor in line with Christ's command: “Render unto Caesar the things which are Caesar's, and unto God the things which are God's.” Orthodox Jews, alone among the nations, refused any tribute at all, though it should be added that Herod the Great, who controlled most of Palestine, had been a Hellenising Jew who most certainly had paid tribute. This explains why his memory was execrated by Orthodox Jews. On maps of modern Israel, the sites of his Hellenistic cities, embellished with the ruins of temples, are marked by the Jewish archaeologists with a swastika—an Indo-European motif frequently found throughout the ancient world, especially among the Greeks. However, the intention is clearly to identify Hellenisation with Nazi-fication.

Herod died four years before Christ was born, according to the Gospels, so he could not possibly have massacred the Innocents when warned by the three wise men of the imminent birth of a Messiah. (Nor is there any contemporary evidence for any such act.)

Unlike the Jews, Christians did not rebel against Roman authority. The Roman princes and governors revered the Temple at Jerusalem, but the Jews refused to reciprocate that reverence:

From the reign of Nero to that of Antoninus Pius, the Jews discovered a fierce impatience of the dominion of Rome, which repeatedly broke out in the most furious massacres and insurrections. Humanity is shocked at the recital of the horrid cruelties which they committed in the cities of Egypt, of Cyprus, and of Cyrene, where they dwelt in treacherous friendship with the unsuspecting natives.

The footnote to this passage reads:

In Cyrene they massacred 220,000 Greeks; in Cyprus, 240,000; in Egypt, a very great multitude. Many of the unhappy victims were sawed asunder, according to a precedent to which David had given the sanction of his example. The victorious Jews devoured the flesh, licked up the blood, and twisted the entrails like a girdle round their bodies.

Gibbon remarks:

We are tempted to applaud the severe retaliation which was exercised by the arms of the legions against a race of fanatics, whose dire and credulous superstition seemed to render them the implacable enemies not only of the Roman government, but of humankind.

After the Temple of Jerusalem was destroyed and the rebellion suppressed, the Emperor Antoninus Pius became milder towards the Jews. They were gradually restored to their ancient privileges, and were allowed to circumcise their male children once again, “with the easy restraint that they should never confer on any foreign proselyte that distinguishing mark of the Hebrew race.” Though still excluded from the precincts of Jerusalem, Jews were allowed to acquire Roman citizenship, enjoy municipal honours, “and to obtain, at the same time, an exemption from the burdensome and expensive offices of society.” As in the latter stages of the Ottoman Empire, they were allowed to police themselves and impose taxes on their own people. “They embraced every opportunity of over-reaching the idolaters in trade; and they pronounced secret and ambiguous imprecations against the kingdom of Edom [Rome].”

For the Christians there was no such toleration. As Gibbon puts it: “The Jews were a nation; the Christians were a sect.” Jews therefore should have respected the sacred institutions of their neighbours. But they did not.

Compare the situation today. The Jews, in a position of power, show contempt for Christianity, while American Christians demonstrate in Jerusalem carrying, “I love Israel” banners, oblivious of the fact that 7% of the Palestinians are Christian and that Israel has passed laws punishing anyone who converts, or tries to convert, Jews to Christianity.
Eighty-eight-year-old Al Lewis, who played Grandpa in The Munsters, one of the least inspired of TV sitcoms, ran for governor of New York last fall on the Green ticket. His running mate was, appropriately, Alice Green, a black lady. Lewis’s campaign consisted largely of denouncing the competition. He called Governor George Pataki a “potato head.” Peter Vallone, the Democratic candidate, was characterized as “a hack with a bad hair piece.” Betsy McCaughey Ross, running for Lt. Governor on the Liberal Party ticket, was dismissed as “a moron.”

The Hockenberry Show on MSNBC had a half-hour interview (Jan. 8) with David Duke, who was given the rare chance of making the Majority case with minimal interruptions. Abe Foxman must have been chewing his nails. Sooner or later a Majority activist will make it to the House or Senate. In view of the media’s stranglehold on the public’s thought processes, it will probably be later. As of now almost all Majorityites are still burying their heads in the sand as their country dies from racial arteriosclerosis.

Spike Lee, the Negro film impresario, made a poorly contrived, pro-black documentary that ran on PBS Feb. 1-3. The title was: I'll Make Me a World: a Century of Afro-American Arts. On camera Spike explains, “America would be a bland place” without the multiple contributions of blacks. He didn’t say that America without blacks would be a safer place.

While Spike was glorifying his soul brothers, he was bitching about a black film that portrayed Negroes in a truer light. Fox Networks’ Frasier, starring Eddie Murphy, showed Negroes at their most vulgar in a ghetto setting. For supplying this pinch of cinematic truth, Murphy was criticized so passionately by Spike that if he had had his way the film would have been—er—spiked. This is often what happens when an “artist,” after complaining for years about censorship, acquires the power to censor.

Negro art, if you can call it such, lacks one of the prime requirements of art—subtlety—a quality which blacks confuse with blandness. Louder drumbeats, fouler language and more barnyard behavior are its main characteristics. What Spike calls blandness is control which is all but missing in the Negro psyche. Blacks have never acquired the habit of speaking sotto voce.

When Negroes go off on their racial tantrums, they catch the eyes and ears of whites but not their minds. Negro culture may destroy Western culture, but it will never supplant it. No matter how much blacks rave and rant, they will at bottom always be Negroes. Genes are the determinants of art. We can pretend to act like Negroes, but it will never be more than pretense.

Black is black and white is white and never the twain shall meet. Even the N.Y. Times editorializes about TV’s great racial divide.

Ted Turner is gobbling up the liberal line so voraciously he’s likely to choke. When asked about limiting immigration, he eructed the old cliche, “We are a nation of immigrants.” Then he said it’s impossible to defend the border with Mexico. Once Ted was an American. Now he gives a billion dollars, not to his people, but to the United Nations. Once Ted was married to an American. Now he jets around the world with Hanoi Jane, a certified traitress, who gave a pep talk to Uncle Ho’s goons when they were killing G.I.s Good ole boy Ted seems to believe that joining the liberal-minority establishment is a step upward in social status.

In their massive attack on the House of Representatives managers at the impeachment trial, media mashers were not hesitant to play the race card. Phil Jones on CBS Evening News spewed: “All 13 are white, all 13 are males, all 13 Christians, all 13 lawyers.” NBC’s Lisa Meyers had these ascerbic comments about the Senate as a whole: “It’s one of the most unusual juries ever assembled, one that looks nothing like America: 91% male, 97% white.”

The semi-literate Eleanor Clift of the laughable McLaughlin Group, threw the most poisonous dart: “That herd of managers from the House, I mean, frankly, all they were missing were white sheets.”

A 30-second advertising spot on Frasier costs $325,000; Larry King Live, $18,000. A four-color, full-page ad in the Wall St. Journal comes with an invoice for $124,859.89. A similar ad in Newsweek costs $154,750.

Previous to the November election the Democratic Party in Louisiana ran a series of advertisements warning that a Republican victory would lead to the incineration of black churches. When asked for his comment, the usually grandiloquent Larry King could only answer, “I’m not black.”

How many millions upon millions of couch potatoes have seen not once but again and again that “Indian” shedding a tear at the sight of a littered landscape. Iron Eyes Cody, who, according to the New Orleans Times Picayune, was a second-generation Italian American, died on January 4 at the age of 94. The famous tear was a drop of glycerin, which was easier to photograph because it stuck to his cheek and didn’t evaporate.
Canada. Seven years ago the Bronfman's moved a $2.2 billion trust fund from Canada to the U.S. In doing so they neglected to pay a $700 million tax due on such a huge transfer. Movement is finally afoot to make the billionaire Jews cough up.

From a subscriber, Justice Frank Muldoon, a liberal who doesn't quite understand the liberal principle of double standards, has been taken to task for his ignorance. Last May the Canadian Judicial Council slapped him with a severe reprimand for his "needlessly inflammatory, derogatory and culturally insensitive language" in a 1995 court decision involving the legal definition of "status" Indians. Short of being kicked off the Bench, the scolding is the worst penalty a federal court judge can receive.

After nearly two years of listening to the frank racism of the Indians, Muldoon was exasperated. In a 124-page ruling, he wrote that one Mohawk tribe's commitment to racial purity, "puts its practitioners on the path of the Nazi party." The federal government's policy towards Indians is "racist," the judge noted, and constitutes nothing other than "apartheid." Saying the unsayable, Muldoon pointed out that nonwhites enjoy the benefits of human rights laws while remaining exempt from their restrictions. Indians, he fumed, have a racial entitlement to full cradle-to-grave financial support from whites. If it weren't for double standards, he continued, "human rights tribunals would be obliged to tear away the dependency and place Indians socially and financially shoulder to shoulder with all other Canadians....Racial discrimination based on dependence would have to come, officially, to an end in Canada." The Judicial Council struck back: "Your references to such emotive terms as 'Nazis,' 'fascism,' 'racism' and 'apartheid' were not only inept and immaterial to a resolution of the case, but potentially have the effect of alienating aboriginal peoples from the Canadian judiciary." To better accommodate those aboriginals, the Federal Court of Appeal has already ordered a new trial.

Quebec separatists won another term in office last November in an election that said nothing about secession but much about Quebec. The incumbent Parti Quebecois trounced the federalist Liberal Party to win 75 of 124 seats in the provincial legislature. The popular vote, however, told another story: 42.7% for the PQ; 43.7% for the Liberals. (On occasion a Canadian political party will win a majority of seats even though an opposing party received more votes.)

The most telling remarks of the November campaign came from a retired politician Jacques Parizeau, Lucien Bouchard's predecessor. Unaware that reporters were present, the former provincial premier and separatist leader told an audience that Quebec must milk Canada for all it can get: "As long as we're in Canada we'll go get our booty." An Anglo cynic might be forgiven for wondering if Parizeau expressed Quebec's true aspirations.

The province's next secession referendum is anything but imminent. Bouchard says he won't bring the matter to a vote until he's certain of success which, he concedes, may be years away.

In the October 1995 referendum, 49.4% of all Quebec voters cast their ballots in favour of separation, but those numbers might not be helpful in predicting a future vote. Post-referendum number-crunching shows that about 61% of Quebecois supported secession, while 90% of the province's Anglo, ethnic and immigrant opposed it. Parizeau believes 64% of the French-speaking vote is necessary for victory.

Canadian feminists are in a snit over a $98,000 government grant to produce a collection of "dumb blonde" jokes. The book, whose French title translates into The 500 Best Blonde Jokes, was financed by Heritage Canada, a federal ministry dedicated to undermining Canadian heritage by promoting multiculturalism. The news brought incredulous responses from feminists who regarded the government department as an ally. No one is remarking that the puerile publication was much more likely to attract government support than a book ridiculing kinky-haired females.

It has been a recurring feature of Toronto crime ever since the immigration floodgates opened in the mid-1960s. A Caribbean gang robs a business but, not content to take the money and run, the gangsters stick around to terrorize their victims. Special attention is paid to white women, whom the nonwhites often humiliate, beat, rape or sometimes even shoot at point-blank range. One such gang is believed to be responsible for at least 10 Toronto-area bank robberies of escalating viciousness. In a holdup last January gang members ordered staff and customers on the floor. Then, apparently for the sheer joy of it, they shot and killed a white mother of two. It turns out the four thugs are adherents of Obeah, a Jamaican form of witchcraft. Prior to each robbery they would visit a witch doctor to obtain magic potions to make them invincible. Two Negroes have been caught. The others are still on the run.

After a Swissair crash killed 228 people off Canada's east coast last year, an interfaith memorial service was held near the crash site. Among those officiating were Jews, Muslims, a native Indian and Christians. All were allowed to speak freely and to quote from the Talmud, Koran or other spiritual sources. All, that is, except the two Christian clerics, a Protestant and a Catholic. A federal government bureaucrat forbade them to mention Christ or quote from the New Testament. They complied.

Britain. One of the most revered gurus of the 20th century was author Arthur Koestler, a Hungarian Jew who, like so many of his tribe, started out as a raging Red, then switched to anti-communism. Until recently his bronze bust could be seen in the foyer of Scotland's Edinburgh University. It has now been removed. A new biography of Koestler by David Cesaroni revealed that the Jewish writer was an inveterate rapist. One alleged victim was the wife of British Labour M.P. Michael Foot. Mrs. Foot confirmed the accusation. "Rape," wrote Cesaroni, "was almost a hallmark of his conduct." Koestler's most celebrated books were Darkness at Noon, and The Thirteenth Tribe. In the latter, Koestler claimed—mistakenly—that today's Jews are descendents of the Khazars.
A Negro lap dancer married seven times in 14 months. After each ceremony, her spouse immediately applied for permanent residence. Some 60% to 70% of current marriages to foreigners are ploys to let colored folk get into Britain and stay there.

Tony Benn, a hard-left Labour M.P., put a ticklish parliamentary question to Prime Minister Tony Blair: "Why is it that you always do exactly what President Clinton tells you to do?"

Tastemaker Lew Grade, born in Ukraine of Jewish parents, debuted in England by winning a Charleston dancing contest. Before he died, he was the most influential showbiz tycoon in Britain. Knighted in 1961, he was raised to the peerage in 1976. For a short course in racial esthetics, compare Lord Grade's phiz with that of Pedro Varela (see across).

It is no surprise that the British media call Peter Mandelson, an outed homo, the "Prince of Darkness." A Jewish schemer, fixer and spinner of the first order, Mandelson, one of Tony Blair's best friends, was Trade and Industry Secretary until given a suspiciously low-interest, secret $625,000 loan from Treasury Secretary Geoffrey Robinson, a onetime associate of the late supercrook, Robert Maxwell—this at the very time Robinson's business dealings were being investigated by one of Mandelson's agencies. The upshot was that both Mandelson and Robinson had to resign. Such a scandal would ordinarily end a British politician's career, but not in the case of Mandelson, who is already bouncing back in the media. Blair gushingly stated that in the future Mandelson "will achieve more and more with us." Once they get in, no matter what they do, it's almost impossible to get them out.

Britain's WWII hero, Field Marshal Bernard Montgomery, was a racist. So says his biographer. He advocated a white-dominated Africa to oppose the threat of Soviet communism. The British government tried to shut him up, but he insisted to his last breath that Africans were incapable of developing their continent under their own steam.

Germany. The high and mighty CEO of BMW, which now has a plant in South Carolina, is the goateed Bernd Pischetsrieder, whose mother comes from a Middle Eastern family. BMW delivered a sharp blow to British pride when the company bought Rolls Royce.

France. Picking up on Britain's kidnapping of Chile's ex-president, Augusto Pinochet, a French lawyer, Serge Levisch, has launched a legal action against Fidel Castro, charging him with crimes against humanity, torture, illegal detention and drug trafficking. In view of the Pinochet case, Castro is unlikely to be visiting Paris soon.

A man who is currently getting a better press than the warring rivals of the Front National is Daniel Cohn-Bendit, the left-wing babbler born in Paris in 1945 to a German-Jewish refugee family. Cohn-Bendit played the role of emmender in the student revolutionary fervor that shook Paris in 1968. He stirred up so much violence that the French government finally deported him back to his adopted fatherland.

Now, after all these years, Dany the Red is back in Paris, this time as a leader of the French Greens. Politicians like Cohn-Bendit can flip back and forth across borders, head a political party in one country one year, go into hiding and come back some years later as the boss of another party. Rootless is the word for such types.

Czech Republic. The leader of Europe's most powerful and most brutal mob is Ukrainian-born Semion Mogilevitch, a 53-year-old Jew who holds forth in two posh villas near Prague.

Spain. Modern history is so replete with lies it's a wonder a shred of truth ever gets out. Remember Picasso's Guernica? One respectable art magazine now claims that he painted it several weeks before the 1936 German air attack. The magazine also claimed that he charged the financially strapped Spanish Popular Front government an inordinate amount of pesetas for his vastly overrated mural.

Pedro Varela ran a bookstore in Barcelona that specialized in revisionist literature. Dragged into court, he was fined $5,000 and handed a two-year jail sentence for violating Spain's new hate law. Varela's 20,000 books were carried away and will presumably be burned. He is appealing. Question: How is it that pro-Fascists are more handsome than anti-Fascists?

Russia. From a subscriber. The former Soviet Union was a perfect example of the extent to which the state may go to institute laws to prevent not just anti-Semitism but to outlaw almost all criti-
cism of Jews. Because of the high visibility of the Chosen in the top echelons of the pre-1950s Soviet government, especially in the secret police, the Bolshies instituted a “law” that Russians found guilty of insulting a Jew would be sent to the Gulag. During the same period, classical Russian literature was censored to delete all critical references to Jews.

As mentioned in a previous Instauration article, General Albert Makashov has repeatedly and publicly blamed “the Yids,” as he ungraciously calls them, for causing many of the nation’s economic and financial ills. Since the laws of the former U.S.S.R. could not be applied, the General could not be shot. Moreover, Makashov is a member of the Russian Duma and therefore enjoys parliamentary immunity. Nevertheless he has been subjected to the most severe criticism and accusations of bigotry by the mainstream media in Russia, Europe and the U.S. for his intemperate remarks.

The Yeltsin government has tried to outlaw “extreme” right-wing organizations and publications, but the Duma has always trumped the Kremlin by threatening to pass a law outlawing Zionist propaganda, considered a greater threat to Russian security than nationalist and Communist criticism of Jews and Zionists. The standoff continues today although Yeltsin has recently announced that he will attempt to pass a law specifically aimed at “hate literature.”

Believing the criticism of General Makashov to be excessive and amounting in effect to censorship comparable to that of Soviet times, the main opposition newspaper in Russia, Zavtra (Nov. 24, 1998), has exposed the extent to which the Soviet government had in the past expurgated Russian literature critical of Soviet Jews, who were of course over-represented in the nomenklatura, in the government and in rarefied literary, scientific and artistic circles.

The standard pre-revolutionary dictionary in Russia, Slovar’ Dal’ya, had defined a Jew as “niggardly, miserly, mercenary-minded miser.” Such stereotypical characterizations could not be carried over in Soviet times.

Literature the world over has always been replete with racial stereotypes. Hardly a race or ethnic group has been spared. But just as critical references to Jews were expurgated in Soviet Russia, indelicate comments about black, Latino and Indian Americans are being black-listed in Mark Twain and other classical American literature.

**Hungary.** In Budapest, onetime world chess champion, Bobby Fischer, interrupted a live radio interview by launching into an anti-Semitic tirade. Station officials quickly pulled the plug. Fischer swears he isn’t Jewish.

**Israel.** The Supreme Court has decided that many straitlaced Orthodox Jews will no longer be exempt from military service. As many as 30,000 yeshiva students will now have to don uniforms and train and fight beside their less religious kinfolk.

A rather unattractive Miss World, Li­nor Abergil, may have been feted in Israel, the country of her birth, but she says she was not so well treated while traveling in Italy, where she was tied up, raped at knife point and choked by travel agent Uri Shlomo. An Egyptian Coptic Christian who converted to Judaism, Shlomo, who works in Milan, has a wife and three children who live in the Promised Land. The alleged attack took place in the back seat of a rental car.

The chairwoman of a Knesset sub­committee asserted that one in six or seven Israeli women have been beaten at home.

As Israel’s Supreme Court mulls over the use and type of torture, a government lawyer, Shai Nitzan, explained that the foul-smelling hood commonly put over the heads of captured Palestinian activists is now “more airy.” Nitzan also promised that the handcuffs would be loosened a little. Torturing war prisoners is quite legal in Israel and bears the stamp of approval of Israel’s Supreme Court.

**Middle East.** David Irving wrote the following in the Daily Telegraph (Dec. 18, 1998) to explain his thoughts on the Middle East.

> We have been bombing innocent Iraqis, on and off, ever since the First World War on one pretext or another—basically because they are weak, and we are strong; we can reach them, and they can’t reach us.

> Does the jibbering mafia of Downing Street really believe that sending in the R.A.F. will enhance their own standing in history? Have they not seen the photos of air raid victims? Do Arab lives count some-how for less? If we now start killing innocent civilians again, we shall be repeating the crimes for which, unfortunately, our air forces have already made themselves famous this century.

The above are exactly Instauration’s sentiments.

**Sudan.** On the occasion of the 100th anniversary of the Battle of Omdurman, Sudan wishes to have Lord Kitchener declared a war criminal by the United Nations, one of its senior members said yesterday.

Mohammed Daoud al-Khalifa, grandson of the Khalifa whose empire was smashed in the 1898 war, said that as part of the commemoration of the battle, Sudan is planning to ask the International Court of Justice in The Hague to condemn Kitchener. He also demanded a formal apology from Britain and Egypt in the name of a country which for 100 years has been ruled by radical Islamites.

The British Foreign Office said there was no mechanism by which such a condemnation could be accomplished. An apology is even less likely.

Omdurman was described as a massacre. Kitchener’s Anglo-Egyptian forces were outnumbered two to one but had Maxim guns with twice the range of the Dervishes’ rifles and many times the firing rate. The army of Khalifa, which succeeded the Mahdi, lost 10,000 dead, while British casualties numbered fewer than 500.

According to Khalifa, Kitchener ordered his troops to slaughter the 16,000 wounded Mahdists and then allowed them three days of looting, raping and pillaging. Some historians dispute these blood-chilling events. Philip Ziegler, author of the 1973 book, *Omdurman*, wrote that there was no evidence in contemporary accounts to support these charges.

Ziegler did say, however, “there were plenty of examples of wounded suspected of carrying concealed weapons being finished off. That was fairly standard.” Kitchener, who had the Mahdi exhumed to prevent his grave becoming a shrine was dissuaded from presenting the Mahdi skull to Queen Victoria to serve as an inkwell.

**Australia.** A questionable decision against U.S. Negro tennis champ Serena Williams at match point caused her to fling her racket 25 feet across the court into the net. She then refused to pick it up. She was booted thunderously. Some say sportsmanship is in the genes.