BISMARCK AND THE JEWS
In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communites will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

If things remain reasonably calm, Americans may be able to dredge up enough satisfactory solutions out of our traditions and those of Western nations to get us through. We might even be able to indulge in a bit of idealism again. To live a wholly materialistic life in a materialistic world is apparently very uncomfortable.

I rage, squawk, whine, moan and gnash my teeth at the dispossession that preceded me and will outlive me.

My anthropology professor, in an aside, once commented that he would not be at all surprised if, after the Melting Pot had fused the resident Caucasoids, Congoids and Mongoloids, the average American will closely resemble the American Indian before the white man arrived.

"Circumcision" vibrates my eardrums as one of the most hated words in English. I am very glad this custom is not as customary in America as it used to be.

Thanks to advances in the high-tech medical field, more and more new jobs are being created for U.S. women. The Genetics & IVF Institute near Washington (DC) recently advertised for "gestational carriers." Ideally candidates should be married and have a proven track record of bringing healthy babies to full term. Salary is not mentioned, but excellent compensation is promised.

In regard to the Tiger Woods' stink about "fried chicken and collards," would it have been insulting to say to Chi Chi Rodriquez, "Watch out for those tamales!" It would not. I was never a customer of K-Mart, but I assure you that I shall never set foot in one of their stores.

During a recent chat with a tenured Ivy League professor who considers Clinton the greatest president of this century, he admitted that affirmative action had failed. When people like this change their minds, it's plain white patience is running out.

A country that starts ignoring its laws is in trouble. The point about illegals is their illegality, not the economic and social impact. When disrespect for the law starts, it is difficult to contain.

Since WWII the U.S. has been trying to help other countries to develop. If immigrants from these countries are the "best and brightest," is it right for us to encourage them to leave?

If you're a Mexican pedophile or drug dealer, the INS can't be bothered to fingerprint you before granting citizenship. But if 55 years ago you lied about being in the Hitler Youth, they'll work night and day until you're deported.

The elite consider the $300,000 loaned Newt Gingrich is more morally questionable than the $500,000 given to Hubbell!

I was surprised to hear on local radio an item from the Washington Post about a possible Israeli spy in high echelons of the U.S. government. The real surprise is to hear about it all.

Have white women become so degenerate and lacking in racial awareness that they seek out Negro men? Almost as horrible as Simpson's murder of his ex-wife is the suspicion that he engaged in consensual sex with a number of white women.

"White, Aryan, Indo-European people" May 1997, p. 5) contains superfluous words. "Aryan" alone says it all. There is nothing wrong with it as a designation for our people. True, German National Socialists used the word, but it was also used long before anyone ever heard of National Socialism. When we use colors to designate races, we imply that racial differences are only skin deep.

Ellen and her new lover hold hands at a reception for Clinton. The media break out in one enormous smile, though polls always show the vast majority of Americans consider homosexuality abnormal.

Why bother to study whether gays are good parents, happy and well-adjusted? Does anyone imagine that any researcher would dare publish negative findings?

Van Ryan's Express, Frank Sinatra's mesmerizing wartime epic of a hairraising POW escape across the Italian railways, like Steve McQueen's The Great Escape, was a struggle purely between whites. Jews and the Holocaust never entered into the picture. How very
refreshing to watch a tightly constructed, tension-packed war movie with a white male as the hero. If actors glorify their own and throw in a tad of atrocity propaganda, that’s still a far cry from World War II as backdrop for the “gas chambers.”

We put Serbs on trial for violations of human rights, which rate a vice presidential toast when done by the Chinese. School kids are expelled for what were once considered harmless flirtations, but are instructed in sodomy.

If Colin Powell runs next time for the presidency with Tiger Woods for veep, we won’t have to bother to vote. It’d be racist to even suppose they could lose!

Rightwingers tend to impeach good arguments by mixing them with absurdities. There are many examples of this. One is their traditional attack on “Godless communism.” The trouble with communism is not that it is “Godless,” but that it is a murder machine.

I frankly hope the millenials are right and that large parts of the nation drop into the sea. The American people are completely beyond logic and reason. Their religious indoctrination, liberal education and incomparable historical ignorance guarantee extinction.

Emerge, a black magazine, complains that only 2% of U.S. doctors are black. I wonder just how black they are. Most “black” achievers have more cream than coffee in their cups.

I had a long talk with a Polish inmate of a German concentration camp recently. In the three years he was interned five inmates were shot for stealing. Almost all the other 1,000 inmates, save for 55, perished due to starvation and disease. He was one of the survivors due to the practice of cannibalism, which I had long suspected.

Much is made of the rapidly diminishing numbers of white European stock, both in the U.S. and overseas. I need only look at my own extended circle of family, friends and co-workers and even other racists to see the stark evidence. Whites simply are not reproducing adequately. Feminism, material pursuits, careers, laziness and homosexuality are all taking an unacceptable toll. I can only pray that the few whites remaining in another couple of hundred years will be in their own ethnostates and will be absolutely ruthless in keeping all Jews and nonwhites out.

We Instaurationists are all too aware of the liberal-minority efforts to propagandize whites with a constant barrage of multiculturalism. But unless you have school-age children as I do, you don’t know the half of it. TV shows (especially commercials), books, magazines and organizations (i.e., Girl Scouts) are just riddled with multicult nonsense. School textbooks are heavily influenced by “advisers” with the predictable result of racial minorities being vastly overrepresented both in photos and text.

A friend who opened a restaurant some years ago on Queens Blvd. in New York City had to pay off the Mafia by buying all his alcohol from one special source. Otherwise, he was assured, his new eatery would be burned to the ground. When four hoods came in to finalize future alcohol sales, I was astonished to hear that these foul-mouthed, silk-suited Mafiosos had names like Stan, Irv and Sammy.

Though Wisconsin is fortunate to have a relative dearth of the Chosen, we are cursed by the fact that not one, but both, of our senators (Kohl and Feingold) belong to the tribe. To make matters worse, it is fairly well known that the former personage is a member of the limp-wristed set. In any case, there is a serious recall effort underway against these two jokers. Both senators are supporters of the reprehensible “partial birth” abortion procedure. The recall effort is spearheaded by the religious right. I’m sure it’s just a matter of time before charges of anti-Semitism will be bandied about.

Anthony J.F. O’Reilly, CEO of the Heinz ketchup and pickle conglomerate, is having Pittsburgh’s public theater named after him. Billionairress Teresa Heinz, the largest shareholder, said, “The O’Reilly, it’s not an Irish pub.” There was none of the media hysteria that followed Fuzzy Zoeller’s remarks about Tiger Woods’ alleged gastronomic propensities.

Many Americans across the political spectrum fear that we are heading the wrong way. Whites fear immigration; blacks predict race war; Jews are uneasy about Farrakhan. A consistent theme in all the complaints is that the U.S. is losing cohesion and direction as a nation. Americans analyze this almost exclusively in domestic terms—what the developments each group fears or craves will do to its status. But how about the world impact? Considering America’s gigantic nuclear arsenal, how does the rest of mankind react to the possibility of civil war in the U.S.?

I would say that in general there is not much the U.S. can do to influence events in Mexico. The U.S. is far more interested in events in the Middle East. We will probably pay dearly for this attitude, but since the results won’t come in for a few more years, today’s polls don’t give a damn.

My newspaper carried a picture of Hutu refugees being transported in open railroad cars. Men, women and children were all packed together without sanitary facilities or protection from the weather. What was that about “never again”?

Pedro cuts our grass for $150 a month. His sister Maria does housework for $10 an hour. Both are deferential, smile a lot. Most Mexicans south of the border make much less than $10 a day. Let’s hope there’s no recession. If we and our neighbors have to stop hiring Pedro and Maria, they may stop smiling.

During the Cold War there was an influential minority which did its best to favor the other side, although it had to be subtle about it. The mindset was characterized by indignation over secret arms to the Contras versus indifference about covert arms shipments to Bosnia. This bunch is now in power in the U.S. at every level of government down through the media, bureaucracy and judiciary.
Bismarck and the Jews

Looking at the stern, stolid, stereotypically Prussian (perhaps more Slavic then Teutonic) almost comic opera portraits of Bismarck, one might form the impression that the old boy was a classic anti-Semite. But he never was—unless it suited his political purposes. Some would go so far as to call him an occasional philo-Semite. Before coming down on one side or the other or continuing to straddle the fence, let us note that he was surrounded by Jews throughout his lengthy political career. Some were his allies; some his enemies. Some started out as allies and then became enemies. His non-political associates were also disproportionately Jewish. In sum, Bismarck’s attitude towards Jews was as ambiguous as Germany’s: “The Germans treated their Jews with such seeming changeability; perhaps no other people mixed hospitality with hostility in quite the same, confounding manner.”

Bismarck was not loath to express his views on Jews. Consider the following:

The prince [Bismarck] then stated his opinion that the Jewish movement sprang less from religious and social instincts than from economic reasons. He mentioned as a fact that the Jews are greatly superior to the other elements of the population in making money. Their superiority rests on qualities which, whether they are pleasing or not, cannot be removed by measures of State. The Jews, by reason of their natural dispositions, were more skillful than Christians. They were also, at any rate so long as they had not made their fortunes, if perhaps not more industrious than Christians. In the Reichstag he extolled the Jews on account of their “especial capacity and intelligence for affairs of State”. . . . He advocated marriages between the nobility and Jews; and he referred to the Lynars, the Stiruns, the Kusserows, and other houses, in which Jewish alliances “have led to the birth of extremely sensible, excellent persons. . . . Conversely, it is better still when a Christian stallion of German stock enters into a union with a Jewish mare. Money must be freely circulated, and there is no such thing as a bad race. I do not know what I might advise my own sons to do in this matter.”

In the Reichstag he extolled the Jews on account of their “especial capacity and intelligence for affairs of State”. . . . He advocated marriages between the nobility and Jews; and he referred to the Lynars, the Stiruns, the Kusserows, and other houses, in which Jewish alliances “have led to the birth of extremely sensible, excellent persons. . . . Conversely, it is better still when a Christian stallion of German stock enters into a union with a Jewish mare. Money must be freely circulated, and there is no such thing as a bad race. I do not know what I might advise my own sons to do in this matter.”

I draw a distinction between Jew and Jew. Those who have become rich are not dangerous. They will not put up barricades, and they pay their taxes punctually. It is the
enterprising ones who have nothing, particularly those on
the press.6

They have no real home...they are international—
Europeans, cosmopolitans, nomads. Their fatherland is
Zion, Jerusalem. Otherwise they are citizens of the whole
world. There are amongst them some good, honest peo-
ple...certainly not many such Jews are to be met with in
our large towns. They also have their own special virtues.
They are credited with respect for their parents, faithfulness
in marriage, and benevolence.7

I thought he [Eduard Simson, a Jewish politician] would
be interested in the park and the beautiful views, but he
showed no sign of it. It would appear that he has no feel-
ing for landscape beauty. There are many people of that
kind. So far as I am aware, there are no Jewish landscape
painters...It is true that the Jew paints, but only when he
is not obliged to earn his bread thereby.8

The Jews, whom I need to coddle, win over and who
can be very useful to me in Germany.9

All in all, Bismarck considered the Jews “more useful
than dangerous.”10

The scion of Prussian landed gentry (or Junkers), Bis-
marck, born in Brandenburg in 1815 (the year of Water-
loo) and raised in Pomerania, professed his early anti-
Semitism “was due to prejudices imbibed with his moth-
er’s milk.”11 After logging time in the diplomatic service,
he embarked on his first political campaign in 1848, a
year of revolutions throughout Europe, including mass
demonstrations in Baden, Hesse, Wurttemberg and Bavaria,
and riots in Berlin. As might be expected, there was a
heavy Jewish presence among the “48ers” in these fre-
quently subversive movements, especially the Young Ger-
man movement, which included a number of Jewish satir-
ists and journalists. In this tumultuous political atmos-
phere Bismarck ran for the House of Deputies or Landtag,
the lower house of the bicameral Prussian Parliament.
With the help of Hermann Barschall, the governor of
Brandenburg Prison (and the Jewish husband of his wife’s
cousin), he secured a nomination to represent the City of
Brandenburg and was elected in 1849. Two years earlier
he had asserted, “I am no enemy of the Jews...and if they
are hostile to me I forgive them. I love them...under
every circumstance. For my part, I would grant them all
rights, save only the right of holding the chief offices in a
Christian State.”12

Partly as a result of an influx of Jews during the Napol-

eonic wars, Jewish political influence in Germany was
widespread by the mid-19th century. Today Karl Marx is
the best known, although he had plenty of company. Sur-
prisingly, many wealthy Jews were “more German than
the Germans,” according to Chaim Weizmann, first Presi-
dent of Israel.13 Known as Kaiser-Juden, such Jews behav-
ed similarly to what we would today call super-patriots:

For every Jewish socialist, there was a Jewish conserva-
tive; the few Jews who secured leading posts in the new
empire were, by and large enthusiastic—and not merely
opportunistic—admirers of Bismarck. Some of them even
found themselves to Bismarck’s right.14

In regard to Jews, Bismarck played ball with most of
them—and against some of them—throughout his political
career. The roster of prominent Jews active in Bismarck’s
Germany is lengthy—and sometimes difficult to deter-
mine, as many chronicles don’t identify as Jews those who
were baptized at birth, converted or changed their names.
Muddying the waters was the anti-Semitic tactic of alleg-
ing the Jewish ancestry of a political enemy in order to
discredit him. The roster of Jews who at one time or an-
other supported Bismarck included:

• Ferdinand Lassalle (1825-1864), born Feist Lasal,
was a Silesian lawyer, revolutionist and socialist leader.
With Karl Marx he co-founded the General German
Workers Association, a forerunner of the Social Democrat-
ic Party outlawed by Hitler in 1933. Lassalle eventually
split with Marx, who later referred to him as a “Jewish niger.” Still an avowed enemy of the bourgeoisie, Lassal-
le’s hatred of liberals and progressives dovetailed neatly
with Bismarck’s interests. As befits a conservative, Bismarck
was a staunch believer in monarchy. Lassalle agreed. He
thought monarchy was the best means to implement a so-
cialist dictatorship. “The state is God,” was a favorite dic-
tum; it could just as easily have been Bismarck’s. In 1863,
Bismarck, then Chancellor of Prussia, had many clandes-
tine conversations with Lassalle and adjudged him “one of
the cleverest and most agreeable men I ever met...an en-
ergetic and singularly intelligent man, to converse with
whom was highly instructive; our conversations lasted for
hours at a stretch and I was always sorry when they came
to an end.”15 A flamboyant figure with a yen for high liv-
ing, Lassalle was killed in a duel with a jealous husband.

• Eduard Lasker (1829-1884), a native of Posen, was a
founder of the National Liberal Party. With Ludwig Bam-
berger (profiled below), he later formed another party out
of the National Liberal left wing. Entering the Prussian
Lower House as a Progressive in 1865, Lasker supported
Bismarck in his quest for German unification. Despite his
efforts, he became the object of spiteful attacks by Bis-
marck, who targeted him as Political Enemy #1. When
Lasker died during a visit to the U.S., Bismarck rebuffed
official condolences from the U.S. House of Represen-
tatives, forbade any government representative to attend
the funeral, and spoke ill of the dead to anyone who would
listen. One theory accounting for his bristling enmity is
that the corpulent Bismarck (descriptions of his gargantu-
an appetite prognosticated an eating disorder) simply
couldn’t stand the sight of lean, hungry men like Lasker.

• Eduard Simson (1810-1899), later ennobled, held a
number of positions in public life. A professor from
Königsberg, he presided over the Frankfurt Parliament, the
Bismarck at Various Stages of His Life
Jurisprudence at the University of Berlin, Stahl, like Bismarck pleased to me. Simson, Bismarck states, “My intercourse with Simson is a teacher at the University of Erlangen, and a Professor of the first President of the Reichsgericht, the German counterpart of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

Friedrich Julius Stahl (formerly Schlesinger) (1802-1861) converted to Lutheranism during his student days and became a leading spokesman of Prussian conservatism. His anti-democratic sentiments were succinctly expressed by the phrase, “Authority, not majority.” Formerly a teacher at the University of Erlangen, and a Professor of Jurisprudence at the University of Berlin, Stahl, like Bismarck, believed that Jews could not be functionaries of a Christian state, which derived its legitimacy through divine favor and tradition. Bismarck likened Stahl, a celebrated orator and writer, to Disraeli. In many respects, Stahl was Bismarck’s preceptor.

Ludwig Bamberger (1823-1899) of Mainz was a journalist, a banker and a leader of the National Liberal party. After taking part in the insurrections of 1848 and a bounty had been placed on his head, he found it prudent to leave the country. He went to work in the family banking business in London, where he grew rich, and in Paris, where he became a patron of the arts. Bamberger returned to Germany in 1866 after an amnesty had been proclaimed. Bismarck and Rudolf von Delbrück, the finance minister, frequently turned to him for advice. In 1870 Bamberger co-founded the Deutsche Bank. His French sojourn made him invaluable during the Franco-Prussian War, following which he was one of a select group who had direct access to Bismarck. As a journalist, Bamberger frequently wrote about Bismarck, who in later years came to detest him.

It is worth noting that Bismarck greatly admired Benjamin Disraeli, British novelist and statesman (twice prime minister), Hearing Disraeli orate at the Congress of Berlin, he was so highly regarded that a portrait of the doctor hung in Bismarck’s country home in Varzin.

As essential as Dr. Schweninger was to Bismarck’s health, he was not the most important Jew in his life. That distinction belongs to Gerson Bleichröder, Bismarck’s banker. The relationship is typical of the arms-length tango performed by Jew and Gentile in Germany during the latter half of the 19th century. Bleichröder was granted general power of attorney to handle all of Bismarck’s personal finances. By any accounting yardstick, he did an outstanding job. A former Rothschild agent, he was the head of a Berlin banking house and the richest man in the city. Bleichröder used his international connections (he chose to provide financial support to Austria rather than to Prussia.

While Bismarck liked the idea of Jewish financial efforts on Germany’s behalf, he had no illusions about Jewish bankers and patriotism. He was well aware of how Rothschild agents played one side against the other in the American Civil War.

What are we to make of a powerful statesman who has such friendly opinions of Jews while taking advantage of their machinations to further his agenda? Some readers may surmise that Bismarck’s political maneuverings merely reflect Realpolitik, a term that first came into wide usage after the collapse of the hopelessly idealistic revolutions of 1848. It was Bismarck’s decision to name Heinrich Friedberg (13 years as Minister of Justice), Rudolph Friedenthal (five years as Minister of Agriculture) and Paul Kayser (Director of the Colonial Office), all Jews, to his cabinet. His personal lawyer was a Jew named Philip. Banker Felix Bartholdy-Mendelssohn helped him obtain a mortgage at a crucial time. On May 7, 1866, he was the target of an assassination attempt by an unfriendly Jew, Ferdinand Cohen-Blind, stepson of Karl Blind, an ex-iled radical socialist.

When his personal physician, Dr. Cohen, died, Bismarck tried out a couple of Gentile physicians who refused to treat him because he proved to be too difficult a patient.

By 1883 Bismarck’s health was in a sorry state. Years of overindulgence had wasted his body. Almost desperate, he sought the services of Dr. Ernst Schweninger, a Bavarian Jew with a fashionable, though somewhat shady, practice in Berlin. He had successfully treated Bismarck’s son for gout and was invited to try his luck with the Chancellor. Schweninger moved into his house and bullied the bloated statesman into a more moderate lifestyle. It is difficult to dig out the specifics, but Schweninger, described as having a satyr-like appearance, had once been guilty of a moral offense which resulted in his dismissal from a medical facility in Munich. At any rate, Bismarck enjoyed 15 years of relatively good health owing to Schweninger’s treatment. He also thought enough of the physician to compel the University of Berlin, despite faculty protests, to accept him as a professor. When Bismarck died in 1898, Schweninger was still his personal physician. By this time, he was so highly regarded that a portrait of the doctor hung in Bismarck’s country home in Varzin.

As essential as Dr. Schweninger was to Bismarck’s health, he was not the most important Jew in his life. That distinction belongs to Gerson Bleichröder, Bismarck’s banker.
had access to the vast Rothschild spy network) to collect intelligence and raise money for Bismarck's political and military adventures when Parliament refused to authorize funds. One of Bleichröder's most celebrated under-the-table deals was negotiating the sale of the Prussian government's interest in the Cologne-Minden railway to private interests. This produced the wherewithal that allowed Bismarck to fight a war against Austria, a war he had no authority to conduct without parliamentary approval.

Bismarck's family found Bleichröder repulsive. Son Herbert referred to him as "a swine with whom no decent person would associate," and a "money-grubbing Semite." Bismarck viewed him as a necessary evil: "Pomeranian estate owners have always had their house Jew. I am a Pomeranian estate owner and have Bleichröder." Family and friends implored Bismarck to separate himself from Bleichröder, but the relationship was too profitable to give up. Instead of getting rid of him, he rewarded Bleichröder by elevating him to the hereditary nobility, the first non-converted Jew in Prussia to be so honored. In 1867, Bismarck had a relatively modest salary of 63,000 marks, which covered only one-third of his expenses. Yet the country squire, who began his career owning only two relatively small estates, was at the time of his death one of the largest landowners in Germany, the owner of extensive cattle grazing lands and timber, brandy distilleries and paper mills.

(Bleichröder abetted Bismarck's disturbing willingness to use state funds to invest in non-state ventures. This habit was potentially ruinous when the two invested in a railroad scheme engineered by the flamboyant "Railway King," Bethel Henry Strousberg, a "converted" Jew and "conservative" entrepreneur and industrialist involved in journalism, insurance, art exhibitions and mining. When Romania refused to pay him because the railroad he contracted to build was unfinished, he was unable to make his interest payments. Bismarck wanted to use state funds to bail Strousberg out, so thousands of German investors (including many of Bismarck's fellow Junkers) wouldn't be ruined. Bleichröder arranged the deal with the proviso that the notoriously anti-Semitic Romanian government had to emancipate its Jews. Later after declaring bankruptcy in six countries, Strousberg cheated thousands of investors and eventually was imprisoned in a Russian jail. Bleichröder, who had devoted ten years of his life to the plight of Romanian Jewry, was hailed as a liberator by his co-religionists. That arch-villain Strousberg was also Jewish was more or less ignored. As one historian wrote:

BLEICHWÖRDER saw himself as a genuine internationalist, seeking to protect the rights of fellow Jews elsewhere: when he negotiated with the Romanian government to protect the persecuted Romanian Jews he was acclaimed as a hero of international Jewry. But Bismarck, it turned out, was using the campaign against Romanian anti-Semitism as a cynical weapon to compel the Romanians to pay off German creditors; once they had done that, he had no further interest in restraining the persecution, which continued.

During the Versailles peace talks, which put an end to the Franco-Prussian war, when Bismarck demanded an indemnity of 6 billion francs, Adolphe Thiers, one of the French representatives, declared, "Quite impossible! Why if you began to count from the time of Jesus Christ and went on until today you could not finish counting out such a sum." "That is why I went for Bleichröder," replied Bismarck, "who begins to count from a much older date than Jesus Christ."

Under Bleichröder's stewardship, Bismarck's personal fortune grew steadily. The landed Junker status, prized though it was, was largely one of indebtedness. In 1876, Bismarck had a relatively modest salary of 63,000 marks, which covered only one-third of his expenses. Yet the country squire, who began his career owning only two relatively small estates, was at the time of his death one of the largest landowners in Germany, the owner of extensive cattle grazing lands and timber, brandy distilleries and paper mills.

(To be continued)
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Footnotes
1. For the record, the First Reich, though no one called it that at the time, was the medieval Holy Roman Empire: a more formal but abortive First Reich lasted from the summer of 1848 to the spring of 1849.
8. Ibid., pp. 302-303.
10. Ibid., p. 356.
13. Ibid., p. 468.
19. Bismarck also escaped an assassination attempt by a Catholic named Kullman, who objected to Bismarck's anti-Catholic policies.
22. Ibid., p. 96.
Doing Something About It: The Direct-Mail Nexus

As observed in my preceding column, it is a fact of postwar American life that organizations and individuals that speak out unequivocally for the Majority and uncompromisingly against its enemies are, sooner or later, relegated to the realm of direct mail.

Such personages and groups may publish newsletters, magazines and journals; sell books, audio and video-tapes; and solicit the donations nearly always necessary to make operational ends meet. They may even organize conferences and meetings for their closed circle of members, subscribers and supporters, though under constant peril of cancellation by whatever hostelry they rent.

What they don’t—and can’t—do is use public channels to appeal to the unchurched and the unconverted among the American Majority. For this, neither cowardice nor desire to escape public scrutiny nor other ignoble motives are to blame. In the contemporary reality, attempts to stage public meetings or demonstrations explicitly on behalf of the Majority and against its adversaries are unfailingly either canceled or broken up by mobs of multiracial canaille many times more numerous than their targets. These gangs are organized seemingly in a trice by resident leftists from hitherto unnoticed Marxist fringe groups, undoubtedly acting in many, if not all, cases at the covert direction of Jewish “watchdogs.”

Along with the streets and lecture halls, the editorial and advertising faculties of the print and electronic media, and the facilities for broadcasting and distribution (networks, stations, newsstands, bookstores and the like) have been closed to forthright Majority activity. These vital precincts, as Instauration readers know all too well, are policed by Jewish and Jewish-obeisant wardens as gimlet-eyed, ferocious and quick to strike as the velociraptors in Steven Spielberg’s dinosaur epics. (One wonders if the Hollywood wunderkind didn’t gather at least some of his inspiration from a surfeit of ADL galas and Simon Wiesenthal Center awards dinners.) Nor are, it should be said, Majority probitors any less vigilant than minority predators in ostracizing public expression and activity in defense of white America.

The “white-out” of determinedly Majority groups will be in force for the foreseeable future (with a handful of exceptions which prove the rule: the Klansmen, swastikatoting neo-Nazis and unreconstructed “skins” who appear on TV talk shows during “sweeps week”; the single campus rally or periodical ad before the big squelch; occasional Majority entrée to such peripheral media as direct access cable TV or the Internet).

That being the operative reality, what is the meaning and import of Majoritarian activity conducted through the mails? Realism demands recognition of certain facts.

Such groups at present have little public effect other than (in their more lurid incarnations) as scarecrows and flibbertigibbets to affright the Majority and enrage the minorities.

Such groups, barred from access to all but a few initiatives, lead a borderline economic existence and are dependent on the generosity of supporters.

Such groups, sometimes in fading reflection of their histories in happier days, often disguise themselves in their direct-mail operations as parties, movements, churches, research organizations and investment tip sheets.

The cold truth is that merely disseminating information, education and inspiration is usually not enough to generate the necessary support for many pro-Majority postal enterprises. Hope, even at the price of illusion, even to the point of fantasy, is what their supporters desire.

In the circumstances it would be captious to blame either the organizers or the followers of such groups for what is the inevitable result of the proscription from the public arena of forthright Majority advocacy and action. But every member of the Majority committed to working for the survival with honor of the American Nation must be aware of the trade-off that is made in supporting direct-mail Majority organizations. Freedom to communicate, express and refine uncensored views on race and to obtain many useful informational materials comes at the price of restricted public activity and effectiveness.

Direct-mail groups, all racist and revisionist groups worthy of the name, can inform, can educate, can encourage, conceding that at this time they can’t win an election, form a party, recruit or train an army.

Despite their limitations direct-mail groups, the sole Majority organs free to express the whole truth, are indispensable. They offer freedom of expression, communication of information and ideas, contact with other Majority activists and the hope that even now they are paving the way for the instauration of the America that the finest of Americans have fought, died and longed for. The best of these groups (since this judgment is subjective, none will be recommended here) deserve our unstinting support. “Support,” it can’t be overstressed, means not merely subscribing to an organization’s publication and buying a few books. In most cases that provides the bare margin of economic survival. Every Majoritarian who truly cares about his country and his race is called upon (in a very real vocational sense) to select at least the two or three of these enterprises that seem to him most effective or otherwise worthy of support, and to resolve to spend at minimum on them what he does on his daily newspaper, cable fees, his alma mater, a

Moriarty continues to talk turkey
season ticket to the Tornadoes or on cigarettes and beer.

Understandably many of our people seem to misconstrue the situation. For all their lamentations over white America's impending doom, they'll subscribe to a racist or revisionist publication, then give not a penny more to the struggling group that puts it out, as if what's at stake was a pure commercial exchange or some way of hedging their bets. Granted not every right-wing or even professedly Majoritarian direct-mail organization is worthy of your money. Nevertheless it's your business, and the business of the white race in America, to find and to support the ones that are.

In today's and tomorrow's money-driven America the biggest and most important part of the activity of nearly everyone reading this column will be donating to select groups and individuals, who themselves are almost invariably making large sacrifices in income by their chosen metier as full-time or even part-time Majority activists. As with Establishment party politics or in supporting your school and your church, giving money will be the most and the best you can do.

Let it be stated again that all of the above words refer to the real—not the imaginary—situation today, not yesterday or tomorrow. I will be more than happy to revise my rather circumscribed advice when public activity again becomes the province of no-holds-barred Majoritarians.

MORIARTY

---

The Uncompromising Taleban

Some Instaurationists probably daydream about the future of America, with visions of sleazy politicos, media honchos and minority pitchmen receiving their just deserts at the end of a stout rope. Most of us view such fantasies for what they are—wishful imagination. In Afghanistan, where a genuine cultural war has been going on for the past 15 years or so, folks don't waste time contemplating their navels and dreaming about getting even with the bullies who kicked sand in their faces. They take matters firmly in hand.

When the rigidly Islamic Taleban rebels finally took the capital of Kabul in September 1996, there was no quibbling about what was the first order of business. Taleban guerrillas marched straight to the United Nations compound where Najibullah (Najib for short) was cowering. "The Ox," as some called him, was the Communist bullyboy who had terrorized his country during the Soviet occupation, murdering hundreds of thousands and torturing and maiming a significant percentage of those he allowed to live, all the time groveling to his masters in Moscow. Having conducted a ferocious war against his own blood, he made a hasty stab at cleaning up his act when it was too late. The Red thug had holed up in the United Nations compound hoping this would keep him from his fate. It was not to be!
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Najibullah (Najib for short) was cowering. "The Ox," as some called him, was the Communist bullyboy who had terrorized his country during the Soviet occupation, murdering hundreds of thousands and torturing and maiming a significant percentage of those he allowed to live, all the time groveling to his masters in Moscow. Having conducted a ferocious war against his own blood, he made a hasty stab at cleaning up his act when it was too late. The Red thug had holed up in the United Nations compound hoping this would keep him from his fate. It was not to be!

The grim Taleban guerrillas dragged him outside (one can just imagine some fretful Swedish do-gooder or Belgian UN worker fluttering around the scene, demanding that "international law" be observed), shot him in the head, and hanged his bloated body from a lamp post.

A fitting end to a brutal, vicious, wasted life spent in the oppression of his own kith and kin. Why on earth any Afghan would behave as Najib did, knowing full well the character of his fellow countrymen, is beyond me. I suppose it was just a case of betting on the wrong horse. Najib probably thought, like so many others, that the Soviet Empire would last forever. In 1987 it did look that way. Funny what a difference ten years can make. Strange how something so outwardly solid, imposing and powerful could be so rotten, insubstantial and tottering on the inside.

The N.Y. Times obituary of Najib (Sept. 28, 1996), written by Wolfgang Saxon, contains some interesting lessons for us all (but omits instructions for sending floral tributes). It tells us how Najib, despite his murderous past, was not beyond trying to effect a last-minute whitewash in an attempt to form a "coalition government" to survive the withdrawal of the Russians. As the obit puts its, "Repeatedly he came close, only to have the uncompromising Islamic radicals thwart him." Yes, they did, and a good job they made of it.

The Times piece hints at the disappointment felt in some quarters that "Mr. Najibullah" was unable to "make a deal"—deal-making, haggling, dickering and bartering being the rage these days.

Najib tried, oh yes he tried! When he felt the wind turning, he hurriedly slapped the "ullah" back on his name, which he had dropped years before, when he lost his belief in Allah. While trying to negotiate with the guerrillas, he removed the red star from the national seal and the Marxist People's Democratic Party became the Homeland Party. Desperate, he tried more of the tricks of the 20th-century professional politico. He wrote a new constitution and moved towards "free enterprise." He reached out to the New World Order crowd, foolishly thinking that they could keep him from his date with the hangman.

In April 1992, Boutros Boutros-Ghali, the UN Secretary General, announced that all warring parties in Africa had agreed to form a "pre-transition council." Evidently somebody neglected to inform the Taleban. The UN tried to negotiate "safe passage" for Najib. No dice.

The day may come when the American Majority is faced with the decisions that the Taleban guerrillas had to face. They could have struck a deal that would have left Najib and his cronies alive, safe and free, even perhaps letting him have a hand in running the government. They could have signed on to the UN "agreement," losing forever the opportunity to realize their dream of a strait-laced, Allah-fearing Islamic state. (I must add that the dream of the Taleban movement is not mine, but I am not an Afghan.) Having captured Najib, they could have turned him over to a "neutral force" or granted him "safe conduct," allowing him to live out his life, courtesy of Western taxpayers.

The Taleban did none of these things. They have beliefs and principles and they stuck with them, through thick and thin, to final victory. They would not accept half a loaf or a bartered deal. In the end, they demanded that justice be done to those who had scourged their people. And justice was done.

If you ask me, the American Majority could undergo some Talebanization.

N.B. FORREST
A far-seeing reporter with blind spots

Georgie Anne Geyer

I don't have much use for American journalists as a class. Most are badly educated, poorly informed, arrogant, opinionated and sanctimonious. Ninety percent or so are liberals. At least 25% are Majority-hating fanatics. Worse, they insist on considering themselves intellectuals or philosophers, when they are merely salaried employees of megamedia corporations, many controlled by Jews. They spout whatever line is handed to them. One of the rare exceptions is Georgie Anne Geyer, a lady reporter who is as tough as nails. The much ballyhooed Christiane Amanpour, the half-Iranian cutesy pie of CNN, and Diane Sawyer, she of the concrete blond hair, are pikers by comparison.

Geyer is hated by the Northeast Establishment, in particular its Jewish element. The Chosen are right to hate her. She has done them much more damage than Louis Farrakhan ever did or ever will. "Frau Geyer," as she is known by her liberal and Jewish colleagues, has won deserved fame by covering three major areas of the news: the Middle East, Latin America and the defunct Soviet Bloc. (In regard to the latter, she played a notable role in its fall by exposing Soviet machinations in the Third World and elsewhere.)

In each region Geyer ignited the smoldering rage of the usual suspects. Zionists reach heights of hysterical Talmudic odium at the mere mention of her name. For years she was almost the only Western journalist of note to expose the truth about Israel's egregious actions in the Middle East. Her even-handed treatment of the Israel-Palestine question brought shrieks from the likes of A.M. Rosenthal.

In the case of the Soviets she never gave an inch, calling a spade a spade and naming names. Fifth columnist, pinkos, fellow travelers and all the rest of that unclean crowd greatly feared her name and her pen.

Latin America has always been her favorite stomping ground. Like most Americans who have spent time south of the border, she recognizes the good qualities of Latins, while pointing out the deep defects that cause so many of them to shake the dust of their homelands from their feet.

Admittedly, Latin America is full of gringos who fall for their host's doubletalk and romantic baloney. For every American like Geyer who figures out the Latinos' game, a hundred fall for their song and dance like ripe plums. Geyer spotted Fidel Castro long ago as the distillation of all that is wrong with Latin America rolled into one person. Her perceptive biography of Castro's early years, Guerrilla Prince, cuts right to the bone in explaining El Máximo Líder's motives. (In simple terms, he just hates Yankees and will do anything to be a thorn in our side. Over the years we taxpayers have paid State Dept. and CIA analysts millions of dollars to come to this obvious conclusion.)

Geyer's latest production, sure to give Hispanic activists, immigration lawyers and Majority do-gooders conniption fits, is titled Americans No More: The Death of Citizenship. If you want the facts on the disaster that has overtaken U.S. immigration policy look no farther than this hard-hitting expose.

Geyer's purpose in writing the book was to alert Americans to the incredible dangers posed by illegal and legal immigration. She points out, as have all serious people concerned about the subject, that the slippery slope was the 1965 Immigration Act.

She states clearly what all Instaurationists know. If present trends are not stopped and reversed—fast—it is all over but the shouting. The U.S. is doomed. She rejects without pity the preposterous ravings of the "economic man" gang, shooting holes in their theories that a transnational elite will develop, unbound by national borders and tied to similar people in other countries by their personal computers and the Internet—an elite that will frequent delightful watering holes in the plush spots of the world, while the rest of us pick through garbage cans. That anybody takes this shallow drivel seriously is evidence of how far we have strayed from reality. These "symbolic analysts," as Clinton's first-term Secretary of Labor Robert Reich calls them, are likely to end up on shish kebab sticks, roasting over a slow fire stoked with computer tapes and worthless financial reports from their mutual funds.
Geyer’s gut instinct tells her that the muddy tidal wave washing over our country is a mortal threat, though she still refuses to come right out and say what must be said: “It’s race, stupid!” Instead she decides to focus on the issue of formal citizenship. There is a simple answer to her refusal to deal honestly with the race question. As hated as she is, she is still a “respectable” journalist. There are lines reporters can’t cross, the race line being the principal one. She can’t bring herself to just sit down and write that no Pakistani, Hindu, Chinese, Nigerian or Dominican has any business setting foot in the U.S. for more than a week or two. She feels compelled to tiptoe around the issue by pointing out, correctly, that these people will seldom or never become real Americans whether or not they ever become citizens.

Addressing the issue of the Mexicans in the Southwest, Geyer very wisely points out that large numbers of these people are effectively members of a Mexican fifth column in the making. The Hispanic march on Washington last October, though a ludicrous flop in terms of numbers and the effect on national policy, nevertheless demonstrates that we now have incubating in our country a huge number of hostile, alienated, violence-prone foreigners who are totally out of control. We only have a vague idea of how many of them there are, much less what they are really up to.

Geyer takes the tact that these people are not being properly integrated into our society, both because there are too many coming at one time and because the old mechanisms that worked on Italians, Poles, the Irish and so on, no longer function. This breakdown leads to millions of aliens becoming voting citizens without really having any meaningful cultural and political links to this country, without having the faintest idea of what the system demands of them.

The problem is not that these people are not learning English and the Pledge of Allegiance. The problem is that they are here at all. All this nonsense about how the turn-of-the-century immigrants were “Americanized” makes me ill. Sure it took a while for Italians, illiterate Irish peasants and Poles fresh from the cabbage field to come up to snuff, but let’s get serious. They were all whites with a long train of European cultural baggage. To compare integrating these people to toilet-training tens of million of African and mestizo primitives is ludicrous. As for the Asians among them, they come from a culture that is alien and unalterably hostile to the West. More intelligent than the other Third Worlders, they will bide their time and keep their heads down until they think they have the numbers to start pushing their weight around. (They are already noted for their heavy politicking in Hawaii and southern California.)

Geyer is correct in pointing out the enormity of the looming threat posed by current waves of immigrants, but she is dead wrong about the basic nature of the problem, which is that Western sanitation and farming practices have allowed the population of the Third World to explode. Most of the Third World is a sump for failed peoples, lost civilizations and cultural deadends. Naturally, anybody with any brains and gumption wants to get the hell out of these boring, impoverished, stinking human ant heaps. But that doesn’t mean we have any moral obligation to invite them into our living rooms. They should be encouraged to make their own countries habitable. If they can’t or won’t, tough.

Formal citizenship, unsupported by blood ties and cultural affinity, is meaningless. A scrap of paper proving someone is a citizen proves very little about how that someone thinks. A written constitution is merely the expression of the political feelings of a people united by blood and a common history. Put 200 million Nigerians in the U.S. and then see how well the constitution will work. Ditto for citizenship. You can tighten up citizenship requirements, demand ironclad oaths of all newcomers, deport the backsliders, do anything you want. It is all useless. A Nigerian will never be an “American.” The same goes for all other Third Worlders.

In some places, such as South America and South Africa, there is a small white elite. These people (and, of course, folks from Europe itself) can become Americans, with time. Their children certainly could.

Geyer made some other comments in her book that don’t sit too well with me. She describes the Southern League as a lunatic fringe “front organization.” I don’t know what the Southern League is “fronting” for. The objectives of the organization are openly defined and stated in its charter. In fact, the Southern League is a perfectly respectable political and cultural organization determined to serve as the focal point for the rapidly growing Southern nationalist movement. Thousands of prominent Southerners have joined it or support its objectives. The basic outlook of the Southern League is that we do not want to leave the Union (again), but we will leave rather than allow our own region of the U.S. to be dragged down into a New World Order sewer with the rest of the country. Since Ms. Geyer is a Yankee from Chicago, it probably hasn’t occurred to her that there might be millions upon millions of Southerners who have never accepted the Northern idea that the U.S. is just a political abstraction and not a nation of blood and soil. She may also be unaware that, although Southerners hold no grudges against other Majority Americans because their ancestors drowned our infant Southern republic in rivers of blood, we have never forgotten that we are a people apart.

Geyer also treats the Majority movement in general with contempt, sneering at the militias and just about anybody else who isn’t a Mainline country-club Republican. Sorry, but the day of those fossils is over. She had better get used to alternate political movements.

Even with these faults, however, her book is a mine of information for Majority members interested in getting a better grip on American foreign policy.

N.B. FORREST
Dual Citizenship Has Got to Go

The Mexican Congress has just passed a new law that authorizes dual citizenship for Mexicans living abroad. This law, while it will not allow these dual citizen Mexicans to vote in Mexican elections, will allow them to retain property and other legal rights in Mexico.

Lunatic Mexican nationalists and gringo haters, both in Mexico and the U.S., have promoted the law in order to encourage Mexicans to obtain U.S. citizenship and build up a Mexican voting bloc that can be used to influence this country's internal and external affairs. Many Mexicans in the U.S. have been reluctant to obtain citizenship because this could threaten their legal rights to property in Mexico. Before the new law was passed a Mexican who adopted the citizenship of another country (for all practical purposes, this means Mexicans in the U.S.) lost all legal rights as Mexican citizens. As Mexican law includes some provisions that make it difficult for non-Mexicans to engage in some businesses and to own certain types of property, this had a chilling effect on Mexican naturalization in the U.S.

Americans boosters of the new law include the usual gangs of illegal squatters. One such, José Chapa, a retired radio announcer who has lived in the U.S. since 1952, chortled, “We finally won!,” when he heard the law had been passed. “Now we are asking our people to become American citizens because that’s the only way we can defend our interests here.” There is no hint, of course, that this individual, who has enjoyed our hospitality since 1952, has even a flicker of loyalty to the country which he is urging his fellow Mexicans to adopt as their own.

The long and short of it is that the Mexican double citizenship law is a blatant attempt by a foreign government to organize a Fifth Column of aliens to undermine our political system.

The person who takes the oath of citizenship must clearly renounce all other loyalties and do so with no mental reservations. It is quite clear that many, probably most, Mexicans taking that oath from now on will have no intention of becoming loyal American citizens.

The word for this is perfidy. The half-breed resentidos in Mexico who are forever fanning the flames of anti-Americanism south of the border are rubbing their hands with glee. In their weird, fevered dreams they see their mongrel nation “reclaiming” the Southwest with the aid of millions of counterfeit U.S. citizens.

Precious few of the Mexicans in the U.S. have anything more than fear and loathing for the Mexico they left behind. The posturing of a few pathetic radicals notwithstanding, Mexico is for them only a remembered tropical slum. This is not to say that these folks are or ever will become good Americans. They are rootless proles and will remain so. The extent of their Mexican loyalty will go no further than a bumper sticker on their low rider cars.

In response to the new Mexican law, the U.S. should ban dual nationality and make it a crime for U.S. citizens to adhere in any way to a foreign power, on pain of automatic revocation of their own citizenship.

Naturalized Americans who vote in a foreign country, serve in its armed forces (I would make an exception for the French Foreign Legion!), lobby on behalf of that country and enjoy the full rights of citizens of that country should simply kiss their U.S. citizenship good-bye.

The recent antics of so-called “Dominican Americans” during the presidential elections in the Dominican Republic are a perfect example of why the very idea of dual nationality must be eliminated. Foreign presidential candidates were openly campaigning in the U.S. for votes, in many cases from supposed U.S. citizens, naturalized Dominicans.

The U.S. has a long, inglorious history of naturalized citizens involving themselves in the politics of their country of birth. Sad to say, they have repeatedly attempted (often with great success) to influence foreign policy in favor of their homelands. The Irish Fenians almost brought on a war with Britain by invading Canada. Greek Americans have interfered in U.S. relations with its NATO ally Turkey. Cubans in Miami have been dictating American relations with the Castro regime. Most notoriously Jews sabotaged U.S. relations with Czarist Russia and today with most of the entire Arab world. Such anti-American activity has violated the most basic duty of a citizen, which is to put his country and its interests above all others.

There was a time when a U.S. citizen, naturalized or not, ran the risk of committing a crime by involving himself in the politics of another country. Thanks largely to the Jewish attachment to Israel, this has not been true for a long time. A young and powerful official in the Clinton White House ran off to join the Israeli army when the Gulf War broke out. He was back again working for the Clinton administration the last time I checked. Thousands of American citizens have violated the law by serving in the Israeli armed forces. For them, the U.S. is nothing more than a hot-sheet motel.

Let the Mexicans in the U.S. have their dual citizenship, if they must. But when the first one is caught in a conspiracy with some greasy Mexican diplomat intent on disrupting this country’s internal affairs, let’s strap him to a burro, point the animal towards Mexico and give it a prick in the rump with a sharp nail. No American needs dual citizenship. You can’t serve two masters.

N.B. FORREST
Bogus Civility

Who can be against politeness and courtesy? Shouldn’t we all be nice to everybody all the time? Isn’t it rude and uncouth to make people uncomfortable and ill-at-ease? Isn’t creating a conflict unkind? Shouldn’t we all just try to get along?

Our national obsession with being “nice” and not “offending” is not merely an irritating habit; it is a crippling barrier when we attempt to confront problems. Resolving great questions with decision and firmness is virtually impossible when mealy-mouthed chatter and meaningless treacle is substituted for forceful and intelligent discourse.

A movement is now afoot in Congress to return “civility” to that august body. Some folks are much exercised over the supposed coarseness and aggression of many of the new congressmen and some of the old ones. Why, it’s getting so bad people have been called nasty names! Their honesty, morals and character have been called into question. Their political principles have been scorned and subjected to disdainful criticism. Feelings have been hurt. How awful!

Most of the mouth-breathing pinheads of the news media have taken a sympathetic stance. The television news features this and that washed-up politico bemoaning the changes for the worse in the national legislature. Everybody yearns for the good old days, when there were rules of conduct, when certain words were never said and nobody was ever forced into a corner and made to fish or cut bait.

What is really behind this hypocritical effort to turn back the clock? The answer, we may be certain, has nothing to do with a quest for order and decency in our Congress.

In 1994 a whole raft of new Republican congressmen and congresswomen was elected, most of them conservatives, a few of them real firebrands, men and women of guts and principle who had run for Congress for the specific purpose of bearding the liberal Democrats in their den. In 1996 some of these Republicans lost their seats, but a few more right-wing faces showed up.

The new Republican majority was led by Newt Gingrich, an odd, complex man who, with all his many faults, is at least some improvement over the run-of-the-mill Speakers who have held the job in the last 50 years. Gingrich promptly set about trying to dismantle the Democratic welfare state, the money machine that had been fleecing Majority Americans for decades in order to buy the votes of Negroes and other members of the underclass and lumpenproletariat. Gingrich’s assault enraged the liberal-minority coalition. For the first time in a long time the American Majority had spoken—if haltingly and in a distorted voice—and the message was clear. If the trend continued, the libs and the mins would be finished.

The counterattack was not long in coming. Pols whose oxen had been gored managed to dredge up a spurious accusation against Gingrich involving campaign finance. In his pre-Speaker days he had made a stupid mistake for a professional politician, but the ridiculous exaggeration of the charge against him stank to high heaven. The plan was to strip him of his Speakership. The effort failed and Gingrich still grips the gavel. A Republican Congress now faces a weakened and discredited Clinton, reeling from the endless series of scandals that have poured over the White House like sewage sludge.

The liberal-minority gang is desperate. The Republican attacks on what the leftist cabal thought were “safe” programs are gathering steam. Used to playing a “what’s ours is ours, what’s yours is negotiable” game for 50 years, the Demos were horrified to discover that all bets were off. The conservatives would not accept anything as “settled” and “beyond debate or discussion.” This, of course, was the tactic the liberaloids used when they managed to lead the old “moderate” Republicans around by the nose.

The Speaker’s abrasiveness has led to anger and coldness on both sides. The more sensitive souls in Congress are upset and want to get everybody to sit down together, sing Kumbaya and let things go back to the way they were.

Civility has vanished in Congress for a very good reason. Instaurationists should applaud this development, which contains one of the few glimmers of hope for the future. The truth is that for the first time in many years the real issues are being debated, albeit in a limited and circumscribed form. Men and women are being forced to take sides, whether they like it or not. People are being made to commit themselves on matters of principle. For the first time in their lives (certainly in their political lives) congressmen have to look inside themselves and find out who they really are. Much worse, they have to take politically risky stands in public. It is an unpleasant reality for people who have spent a lifetime perfecting the talent of never standing for anything.

Even more ominous for the Clintonians, many old moderates, Democrats and Republicans, are also discovering that they can act on principle and do the right thing without a political backlash. In the past, left-leaning congressmen frightened many of these moderates (and many conservatives) into collaborating with them, threatening them with the Damocles sword of media disapproval if they did not do as they were told. It has been a refreshing dose of relief for many congressmen to discover that they can vote as they should—in the interests of the Majority—and not suffer any serious political consequences. Indeed, by showing such courage, many have increased their voter...
support by wide margins.

With public support draining away like the sand in an hourglass, the Trucklers are pitching around for a lifeline. One dangerous expedient has been voter fraud, much of it involving illegal aliens. The push for civility is just one more of these games. Unable to defeat the conservative tide, the liberal-minority coalition seeks to control it and channel its energies, to use personal relationships in order to gently sway their political enemies from their goals. No Republican should fall for this shabby trick. If the Demos want civility, fine, but they should not imagine that this will change one iota of the conservative political program.

Where was this concern for civility when the anti-Republicans ruled the roost? As I recall, the Demos were the most brutal, most vicious set of political hatchetmen on earth. They haven’t changed. The only change is that they are no longer at the top of the roost. They had better get used to it and stop whining about people calling them names.

What we need is not more civility. We need less. We need men and women who will speak the truth in whatever words are appropriate. When the other side works to allow our country to be flooded with illegal immigrants, we have not just the right, but the duty, to expose their warts and sores. When they attempt to hijack our children and turn them into multicultural zombies, we must put a knife to their throats in a vernacular of cold steel.

When a liberal tries to cover up his actions with a whirlwind of verbal baloney, we have the right to strip him naked and parade his lies and deceits for all to see. No decent American should allow himself to be suckered into any clubby feeling of “common interest” and “mutual agreement” with the likes of Ted Kennedy and Christopher Dodd, to say nothing of Charles Rangel, David Bonior and Henry Waxman.

I have noticed that the civility dodge is being used and overused by liberals in almost all their anti-G.O.P. diatribes. Take the Confederate flag issue. In the N.Y. Times (Feb. 8, 1997) an article entitled, “Symbols of the Old South Feed A New Bitterness,” by Kevin Sack, examines the Southern nationalist movement that is making some headway in the South. Disgusted and angered by decades of assaults on their heritage and their cultural artifacts, Southerners are finally saying, “Enough!” Conventional Southern politicians are being forced into a very tight corner. These scalawags can either stand with their people or stand with the NAACP and the so-called “business community.” There is precious little “common ground” here. As the saying goes, the only thing in the middle of the road is a yellow stripe and a dead skunk.

Frantic to find some way to smooth-talk our people into abandoning their history and forgetting the sacrifices of their ancestors, liberals have hit upon using the “old Southern virtue” of politeness. As Dan T. Carter, a professor at Emory University in Atlanta, says, “[The flag’s defenders] show an insensitivity to one of the great Southern cultural values, and that is politeness.” C’mon.

For the sake of “politeness” and “sensitivity” we are supposed to erase our history and our regional identity, so as not to “offend” people who are our enemies and always will be our enemies.

Dr. Carter seriously erred. “Politeness” is a personal matter. Duty and principle come before it every time. It would have been “polite” to meekly accept the demands of the Lincoln government. The South answered with another “great Southern cultural value,” standing up for one’s principles. Lincoln and his people got their dose of Southern politeness on scores of battlefields.

Every Instaurationist can take heart in the trend towards tough, no-holds-barred political debate. Civility and courtesy are possible between honorable men who differ on principle. Unfortunately our enemies are anything but honorable. We have absolutely nothing at all in common with them. They seek to destroy our country and, ultimately, us. It is foolish sentimentality to be courteous to such people. Great questions hang in the balance. When we have won and have buried their infamous projects for all time, then we can afford to put on our white gloves and top hats.

N.B. FORREST

Victory

What glory in the scarlet file,
the flags and drums, the gaudy style
of patriotic jubilee
compared to this quiet victory —
the heart surviving every day
its own incurable dismay?
O when I hear the opening chords
of Ludwig’s Fifth, I see not swords
and martial banners flashing
but an old lady — all of her loves
long lost and lonely now in streets
grown strange, a world so mercantile —
who yet in putting on her gloves
puts on her courage too, and greets
the empty morning with a smile.

PETER J. LORDEN
A Parable for Our Times

Once upon a time, there were three rats in a cage. At one end was a chute from which food was delivered when a lever was depressed. Each of the three rats learned how to operate the system and remained fat and happy. One day an unseen hand moved the lever away from the chute to the opposite end of the cage. For a while, all the rats went hungry. Then one rat, the most intelligent and enterprising of the three, learned to press the lever, then race across the cage to the chute where the food was. He fared well until the unseen hand placed two soft cushions next to the chute. The two dullards occupied the cushions while the smart rat was away pressing the lever. Without moving, the two low-IQ rats were able to devour the food as it fell like manna from heaven. The bright rat who did all the work racing from lever to chute found the edibles were gone when he got there. He grew thinner and thinner until he died of starvation. After the two dullards had consumed his remains, they lounged on their cushions awaiting the opening of the chute. Soon they also starved to death.

Solution to the Melanin Problem

The conversion of the amino acid L-phenylalanine (re aspartame) to L-tyrosine via monophenol dihydroxyphenylalanine: Oxygen oxidoreductase is controlled by the local concentration of an essential cofactor: 6-BH4, (S-\((R^*,S^*)\))-2-amino-6-(1,2-dihydroxypropyl)-4(1H)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydropteridione. The global concentrations and distributions of 6-BH4 are identical in both black and white skins. The enzymatic action of 4a-hydroxy-BH4 dehydratase on 4a-hydroxy-BH4 produces the essential cofactor 6-BH4 which is then consumed by tyrosinase to generate tyrosine, in turn metabolized to melanin. If the 4a-hydroxy-BH4 dehydratase is inhibited or defective in its molecular structure, the unstable intermediate 4a-hydroxy-BH4 spontaneously rearranges to 7-BH4, which is a potent competitive inhibitor of tyrosinase. Such metabolic diversion will render the blackest skin blue-white, as in the disease vitiligo that afflicts Michael Jackson, who is now as pallid as an Irishman.

The upshot of all this is that all the people of color in the U.S. who claim to be suffering the unbearable burden of not being white, can therapeutically cede their pigmentation and be white.

Good and Bad Reads

- *The Moses Mystery* by lawyer Gary Greenberg argues that Jews originated in Africa.
- *The Kiss* by Kathryn Harrison. The author writes about her four-year affair with her father. Since almost every other sin in this sin-ridden country has been explored and re-explored by the ever more decadent and debased literati, it was preordained that incest would not be omitted. It was also preordained that the publisher of such bottom scraping would be the Jewish firm of Random House.
- *Radical Son* by David Horowitz. Onetime honcho of the New Left and Jewish guru of the violence-prone Black Panthers, Horowitz now takes it all back and claims to be a conservative. His career is one more proof of the Jews' innate extremism. As easily as monkeys swing from limb to limb, Jews pendulum ideologically with the greatest of ease.
- *Out of America* by Keith Richburg. A black Washington Post reporter visits his African roots and comes away totally unimpressed. He joins Joseph Conrad in describing what he saw as “the horror”—the devastating diseases, atrocities, genocide, brutal dictatorships and ever present animalism. The author decided that henceforth he would consider himself an American, not an African American.
- *Jewish Power* by J.J. Goldberg. In the old days Jews tried to conceal their power. Now they boast about it.
- *The Coming Race War in America* by Carl Rowan. The dotty black columnist predicts that blood will flow on the American streets when such “hatemongers” as Newt Gingrich and Richard Cohen (?) stir up an army of bigots who will bring not peace but a sword.
- *PR: A Focal History of Spin* by Stuart Ewen. Lavish attention is paid to the career of Edward Bernays, who once stated, “The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses constitutes an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country.” He went on to say, “The public must be regulated.” All such sputterings qualify the Semitic Bernays to be remembered as the first and greatest spin doctor.
No Requiem for White Pro Baseball

The Major League Baseball season began on All Fools Day this year. I caught the first few innings of the Chicago White Sox vs. the Toronto Blue Jays on ESPN. The White Sox batting order looked like this: black, black, black, black, black, white, black, white, black-Hispanic (the latter otherwise known as a "spigger"). The Toronto team had this batting order: black, Hispanic, black, black, white, black, Hispanic, white, Hispanic.

The game was a tribute to Jackie Robinson. Hank Aaron lauded the late Dodger "for empowering an entire race."

The National League began in 1876; the American League in 1900; the World Series in 1903. Robinson joined the Brooklyn Dodgers on April 11, 1947. So all-white pro baseball flourished for 71 years in the National League, for 47 years in the American League.

Though Caucasian baseball managed to survive for 14 seasons after the New Deal takeover of America in 1933, the writing was on the left-field wall. WWII doomed segregated baseball. If Negroes were capable of driving trucks full of ammo during the war, weren't they good enough to play in the Big Leagues? The pro-black bawlers cried for the abolition of white ball.

It's lonely in the cellar. These night games make for a dark Whiteville. Sadly the muds are stealing bases all around. But you can only launch a comeback (or a starship) after a bad slump. The longer the slump, the more spectacular the comeback (and the blast-off).

Abner Doubleday is credited with creating baseball in 1839 at Cooperstown (NY). He was a Union officer at Fort Sumter when Beauregard fired on it. It was Doubleday who aimed the first shot in the fort's defense. A bad omen for the future complication of Abner's invention.

My brother and I built our own field of dreams many seasons before Kevin Costner starred in his. Since it was usually just us two on the field, we recruited ghost players. No nonwhites tarnished the diamond or outfield when I took the mound. Bench, Gehrig, Schmidt, Collins and Hornsby were my infield defenders. Ruth, Mantle and Cobb parceled the outfield. When my brother popped a fastball into a shallow right, I imagined Ty Cobb dashing in to make a diving catch.

From ages 13 to 18, I played five seasons of summer league and four years of high school baseball. I ate, drank and slept the sport. I dreamed of someday pitching for my favorite team, the Cincinnati Reds.

Major League Baseball struck out in my score book for keeps after the 1990 World Series. I could no longer endure the mise-en-scène. I suspected that the Series, in which the way down underdog Cincinnati Reds defeated the powerhouse Oakland A's four games to zilch, was fixed.

The only real baseball nowadays is amateur baseball, not the professional brand. We can still enjoy all-white Little League, high school and college baseball.

When I see my grandnephew joyously rounding first after poking a single over the shortstop, I am young again.

All-white pro baseball began during America's Centennial Spring. It did not die in 1947. It's resting up during the dark off-seasons. It'll be back one day. Our newborn ethnostates will provide the right and white environment for its return.

On our fallow fields, diamonds will sparkle once again.

Racial Cousins First

I work in an office that has contracted with a gentleman of Jewish persuasion to provide computer technical support for our largely non-technical staff. His contract has a munificent price tag that makes my Semitic friend other than poor. One basis for his obtaining business, however, involves an intriguing bit of legerdemain that includes a minority set-aside predicated on the ownership of the Jewish firm by a gentleman of color. Indeed such a person does lurk in the background, though his rare appearances on our office floor suggest a pure figurehead status.

In recent months my Jewish acquaintance has begun importing fellow religionists from Eastern Europe to fill slots in his company which might otherwise have gone to unemployed Americans. Is this, as they say, kosher? Only if the Jewish owner in question can prove that his overseas cousins are unique in their trade, thereby making their presence on these shores no inhibition to the progress of American labor. Given that none of these foreign rag-pickers knows very much about the intricacies of American computers, the issue remains very much in limbo.

Overall the whole matter of Jews scamming for their greedy and largely incompetent, America-bound relatives brings up visions of payola to someone in the highest echelons of my department, payola even to those officials who must do all the certifying that makes this particular bit of racism float. When Jews speak of their love of America they do so in a particularized vein not much known by the rest of us. They love America as the farmer loves his best-producing cow.

IVAN HILD
What, No Conspiracy?

In his remarkable, unsettling, “Conspiracies Then and Now” (May 1997), Moriarty undertakes the defense of Jews, Communists and even the bankers of the New World Order, as he insists that conspiracy theorists are either dupes of their own ignorance or deluded by their own feverish, overworked imaginations. He asserts that the well-known conspiracies of history either never took place or, if they did, left such a small impact on the human condition that they aren’t worth pursuing. For Moriarty the only subject worth studying is race per se. And race, he intimates, doesn’t involve Jews.

Moriarty’s defense of international bankers runs smack against the notion that Wall Street actually engineered America’s intervention on the side of England and France in WWI. Banker J.P. Morgan and his gang of financiers had extended huge credits to the Allies and wanted an Allied victory to ensure they would be repaid. J.P., by the way, was so infatuated with his Anglo roots that he spent months each year pursuing the “English gentleman’s” way of life on his British estates. As with most Anglophiles of the day, Morgan hated Germany, especially the German-Jewish bankers who were his chief competitors. Is there nothing “racial” or conspiratorial to be seen in such activities?

In his 1932 presidential campaign, Franklin Roosevelt found himself obliged to pledge neutrality in foreign affairs, such were the popular sentiments of the day. But by the middle 30s Roosevelt’s own “racial” identity was becoming evident. (FDR came from an old Anglo-Dutch patrician family that doted on its “ra- donw birthrates.” He wants the poor unborn white to be torn early from the womb and dispatched to that “undiscovered country” before it discovers this one. All bow before the woman’s right to choose, before the woman’s rite to choose, before the woman’s ritual murder to choose!

The white miracle once within her has been dismembered and the black-bagged pieces buried in some hog-harvester’s field. Abortion is the killing of a white yet-to-be. The healthy white baby is not the property of any woman or man. He or she is son or daughter of our Father the Creative Spirit and the white race. God planned in his mind long ago the seed that would be planted in fertile ground. An insanely early harvest of God’s wee ones is evil. Favoring youthenhasia of healthy white fetuses cheapens all life. A white adult is murdered by a Negro? So what? It’s just a post-natal abortion. The victim was lucky to have made it out of the womb. A white child dies? Too bad, but you sanctioned the death of millions of white fetuses through abortion, so why cry about a child who only lived a few years? When I think of Instauration as being pro-abortion, I see a group of white-coated mechanistic, deterministic, heartless engineers too implacable to bank on racial kinship and save the poor, low-class, food-stamped spawn from the life-or-death choice of a mother’s mind. How can Instauration consistently champion the salvation of whites while approving the mass extermination of whites?

FORMER FETUS

What, No Conspiracy?

In his remarkable, unsettling, “Conspiracies Then and Now” (May 1997), Moriarty undertakes the defense of Jews, Communists and even the bankers of the New World Order, as he insists that conspiracy theorists are either dupes of their own ignorance or deluded by their own feverish, overworked imaginations. He asserts that the well-known conspiracies of history either never took place or, if they did, left such a small impact on the human condition that they aren’t worth pursuing. For Moriarty the only subject worth studying is race per se. And race, he intimates, doesn’t involve Jews.

Moriarty’s defense of international bankers runs smack against the notion that Wall Street actually engineered America’s intervention on the side of England and France in WWI. Banker J.P. Morgan and his gang of financiers had extended huge credits to the Allies and wanted an Allied victory to ensure they would be repaid. J.P., by the way, was so infatuated with his Anglo roots that he spent months each year pursuing the “English gentleman’s” way of life on his British estates. As with most Anglophiles of the day, Morgan hated Germany, especially the German-Jewish bankers who were his chief competitors. Is there nothing “racial” or conspiratorial to be seen in such activities?

In his 1932 presidential campaign, Franklin Roosevelt found himself obliged to pledge neutrality in foreign affairs, such were the popular sentiments of the day. But by the middle 30s Roosevelt’s own “racial” identity was becoming evident. (FDR came from an old Anglo-Dutch patrician family that doted on its “racial” lineage.) Despite the New Deal’s growing enthusiasm for England as war with Germany approached, most Americans were dead-set against foreign involvement, the Republican Midwest being somewhat sympathetic to Germany and labor wanting no wars of any kind. Only the “Eastern Establishment” supported Roosevelt’s sly moves towards massive aid to Britain.

Isn’t this a fit subject for historical consideration? A clue to Moriarty’s mind-set may lie in his claim that conspiratorialists are both proletarian and papist. Apart from the question as to what evidence supports such a claim, we sense here the mentality of a small-town Babbitt less interested in discussing a subject than in defining limits to the discussion. Such, we believe, is more the province of the Washington Post than Instauration.

I.H.

Guiltv of Abortionzeneering?

The Safety Valve

☐ What a pity so many Majority members waste their energies attacking abortion, one of the few checks on underclass births.

Instauration steps from its moral high ground to assume a pro-death stance, as the above letter in the May 1997 Safety Valve demonstrates. The mag thinks it right to sacrifice upon the ghastly altar of abortion millions of healthy white pre-babies so that millions of nonwhite fetuses may be done away with. Note that Zip 445 employs the term “underclass births” and not “nonwhite births.” He wants the poor unborn white to be torn early from the womb and dispatched to that “undiscovered country” before it discovers this one. All bow before the woman’s right to choose, before the woman’s rite to choose, before the woman’s ritual murder to choose!

The white miracle once within her has been dismembered and the black-bagged pieces buried in some hog-harvester’s field. Abortion is the killing of a white yet-to-be. The healthy white baby is not the property of any woman or man. He or she is son or daughter of our Father the Creative Spirit and the white race. God planned in his mind long ago the seed that would be planted in fertile ground. An insanely early harvest of God’s wee ones is evil. Favoring youthenhasia of healthy white fetuses cheapens all life. A white adult is murdered by a Negro? So what? It’s just a post-natal abortion. The victim was lucky to have made it out of the womb. A white child dies? Too bad, but you sanctioned the death of millions of white fetuses through abortion, so why cry about a child who only lived a few years? When I think of Instauration as being pro-abortion, I see a group of white-coated mechanistic, deterministic, heartless engineers too implacable to bank on racial kinship and save the poor, low-class, food-stamped spawn from the life-or-death choice of a mother’s mind. How can Instauration consistently champion the salvation of whites while approving the mass extermination of whites?

FORMER FETUS

EDITOR'S NOTE: Instauration does not necessarily swear by every thought expressed in Safety Valve letters. As the cliche goes, we have a Big Tent.

Blond Saviour

I’m not sure the characterization of Jesus (May 1997, p. 14) is correct. The belief he was dark and long-haired has a few flaws. There is biblical evidence that Jesus, a reputed Nazarene of the House of David, was fair-haired and had a ruddy complexion. In Lamentations 4:8, “[Nazarenes] were purer than snow... whiter than milk.” As for David, Goliath hated him because he “was ruddy, and of a fair countenance.” I Sam 16:12, 17:42.

Since Jesus was a Nazarene, it is not inconceivable to me that he was fair-haired and had a ruddy complexion, two traits of people with blue eyes.

The image of Jesus with long hair is obviously off the mark. In 1 Corinthians 11:14, Paul says, “Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him.” Considering the biblical references, a blond, blue-eyed Jesus with a ruddy complexion and a short haircut is not inconceivable.

The Doomed Old South

I’ve just finished Gray Fox’s interesting article on Lincoln and the Civil War period (April 1997). As for Abe himself, I don’t like him. But I don’t blame him for all that happened in America in the 1860s. I can think of other public figures of the time who would have been better for the South. But they wouldn’t necessarily have been better for the country as a whole.

Gray Fox asserts, “the Cause of the Confederacy in 1861 is also the cause of white racism today…” I’ve read many statements and articles that reflect this viewpoint. There is an emotional attachment to the cause of the South throughout the right-wing movement. Certainly no perceptive white ever wanted to
see Negroes cavorting among us as equals—an unbearable reality that resulted from the defeat of the South in the Civil War.

I've never been able to identify with the cause of the South, although I was born in Richmond and lived the first six years of my life there. I've identified with the white man's cause so wholeheartedly I'm serving a 155-year term in the federal gulag.

But I can't agree with Gray Fox, "It was the South that fought to save the white race." The white race was not in jeopardy at the time. It was the South that imported, purchased and employed swarms of Negroes here in our midst and couldn't get enough of them. Say what you will about attempts to stop or curtail the slave trade, by and large the planters had no intention of changing course.

If the slave power had had its way, the whole Western Hemisphere would have become slave territory. What greater mechanism for the proliferation of the black race could be imagined? In areas where slavery was prevalent, one-third to one-half the population was black. In many areas of South Carolina there were more blacks than whites. With all the degradation wrought by the present 12% to 13% black segment of the U.S. population, can anyone imagine, with less than horror, a North America 40% black?

Slavery degraded the value of white labor. In the 1840s and 50s attempts were made to rectify the lack of industry in the South. One of the most intractable problems was the unwillingness of skilled laborers from the North to relocate. Southern labor was stigmatized as Negroid.

The Southern planter aristocracy was not without its talents and virtues, but it was basically an aristocracy of birth and wealth, one that tended towards its own destruction. The planters didn't identify with the little guy. As the war progressed in the South the draft exempted owners of 20 slaves or more for "supervisory needs." Later, the quota was reduced to 15 slaves, leading many cynics to the conclusion that it was "a rich man's war but a poor man's fight." There was considerably more resistance to the war in the South than is generally known.

In 1862 the Homestead Act was passed. It was arguably one of the greatest pieces of legislation ever enacted in any country. It answered the basic urge in nearly every human being—to have his own land. A Republican administration passed it. The slave power would never have done so. There was little room for freeholders in the plantation system.

The slave power thought it had America in the palm of its hand. Even as late as the Buchanan administration, in the last years of the 1850s, Northern politicians had no idea how to break the stranglehold of the planters on American politics. Lincoln was the only presidential candidate who had even a precarious chance to overcome the slave power. But he was barely elected and had no strong mandate to accomplish anything. Abolitionists had no political power and had never been taken seriously by any political faction. Attempts were made to compromise and divide new territorial acquisitions into free and slave territories, but the Southern patriarchs saw no reason why they should make deals.

Any social system that doesn't safeguard the quality of life of the average man and his family should be changed. The North vs. the South, states rights vs. federal authoritarianism—none of these conflicts really matters. They all eat up little people because their partisans think they're more important than the people they were created to serve and protect. It's the nature of the beast.

The South deserved to lose the Civil War. Unfortunately the North won. But what the South lost to was not the people of the North, who were no better or worse than their cousins in the South. Nor did it lose to the Northern system. What conquered the South was the inevitable victory of the undefeatable forces of modernism. The North happened to be more in line with those forces than was the South.

Chattel slavery was simply too inefficient. Wage slavery produced a rootless, mobile labor supply that lent itself more readily to exploitation by owners of the means of production. Capitalists needed maximum markets for manufactured goods. Bankers needed borrowers. In the South, 40% of the population was unavailable for any of these services.

The whole commercial system was coming into its own. Railroads, steamships, canals connecting the west with the east, modern banking practices, advances in technology, inventions—all weighed against the South. The far more rapid growth of the population in the North also spelled trouble for Dixie.

The British aristocracy that oversaw the first two centuries of the growth of North America originally intended that it would be a gigantic plantation that would yield its profits for their benefit. The same forces that caused the downward spiral in the 17th century during the revolutions in England rolled over the South in the 1860s.

Commercialism/materialism/central government is a runaway train. The sooner it wrecks, the better.

Invertebrate Subscriber

Good riddance to "Fearful Canadian" (April 1997 Backtalk), who is canceling his Instauration subscription because it is "simply too risky in Canada. The mere possession of Instauration may soon be a criminal offense." I realize things are bad up there, but find this lack of backbone appalling. We Instaurationists are not so numerous that we can afford to lose even one of our lot. I sincerely hope this subscriber will reconsider. He should be inspired by fellow countryman Ernst Zündel, who is a true "profile in courage."

Preempted Seats

How well I understand what Prison Inmate refers to by "Negro Sociability" (May 1997 Backtalk). One of the more frequent sights on buses and trains is the Negro sprawl. By that I mean taking up two seats by spreading one's legs wide apart to discourage anyone else from sitting down. During rush hour, when maintaining two seats is impossible, a variant of this behavior is sitting on the outside seat with one's legs sticking out into the aisle so passengers walking down the car in search of a seat will be inconvenienced. I believe New York has enacted an ordinance outlawing passengers from taking up more than one space on public transit. That's the first good idea to emanate from Zoo City in a long time!

Dallas has recently opened its new light rail system, which is admittedly, fast, clean and comfortable. The presence of transit police makes the rider feel secure even late at night. But the cops are not on all the trains all the time. I learned this to my dismay on a recent Saturday night coming home from a downtown entertainment district, largely designed for tourists, but also popular on weekends among local teenagers. After suffering through the obnoxious behavior of a group of black youths at the station, I discovered they were boarding my train. Figuring that the transit cop on the train would squelch any more attempts at bumptious behavior, I boarded, sat down and opened a book. I couldn't read a page! The noise was that bad. I soon realized there was no transit cop aboard and this train was not bound for glory. The problem lasted till the bothersome blacks disembarked at the Dallas Zoo station. I certainly hope the zoo-keeper was able to put them back safely in their cages.

J.H.
Jewish Tobacco Magnate

Nominated to become head of the UJA-Federation of New York, a prime bankroller of Israel, is James S. Tisch, President and CEO of Loew's Corp., which owns Lorillard Tobacco Co. If having the executive of a tobacco company heading up a prominent Jewish "charity" seems unkosher, as always in such matters and among such people, the basic consideration is money. Last year the tax-exempt outfit transferred more than $100 million to Israel, a beggar state which is happy to receive any kind of financial help, even from a company that makes and sells an addictive, cancer-causing weed.

The Real Conflict

Let's put current events in the U.S. in perspective. A war is going on, a war between the Majority and the Unassimilable Minorities. The hitch is that the Majority is not allowed to identify its enemies while the Unassimilable Minorities are free to attack the Majority with every weapon in their bristling arsenal. Most Majority members ignore or pretend to ignore the war. They continue to go about their business as if this country were still the "good old USA" and they were privileged citizens of the world's most privileged nation. The minorities, on the other hand, never let up on their basically racial assault.

The Majority keeps losing the war for many reasons: (1) The economy's in fairly good shape, at least for the moment. People eating three square meals a day are politically supine; (2) The media refuse to educate Majority members as to the seriousness of their situation; (3) The minorities by virtue of illegal immigrants and high birthrates are increasing in strength every year, as the Majority grows weaker in numbers and political clout; (4) The Jewish component of the minorities is amassing huge hoards of wealth which translate into ever more political, economic and cultural power.

Like most Majority members indoctrinated by the suffocating antiwhite slant of the media, Timothy McVeigh was so confused he thought that the Federal building in Oklahoma symbolized all that was wrong with the U.S. He should have known you don't arouse Americans to revolt by killing 168 people, including 19 kids, more than half of them belonging to your own gene pool. McVeigh to the contrary, the U.S. government is not the enemy. It is the tool of the enemy.

Once again let it be plain that this is a racial war. Until Majority members realize this, they will continue to lose—and lose—and lose. McVeigh's partner, Terry Nichols, whose trial is upcoming, married a mail-order Filipina bride. What kind of a Majority member or "patriot" is Nichols? Let's get real. Let's learn to choose sensible and intelligent leaders not blowhards who go off half-cocked and play directly into enemy hands. Dumb guys don't win wars.

Salted Wounds

Clinton has done it again! This round-the-clock racial sellout has stirred up a new helping of hatred against Americans of European descent with the abject apology to Negroes for the syphilis experiment in Alabama. Admittedly it was a little rough, but we shouldn't forget what these blacks had to do to acquire the filthy disease. Clinton's action may be partly explained by politics, partly by the President's understandable sympathy for persons with venereal infections.

White Groups Verboten

A Seattle fireman has been ordered to undergo "sensitivity training" because he argued that, since his black and Hispanic colleagues have their associations, whites should too. The blacks and Hispanics want him fired. One wonders what he has to do to "pass" sensitivity training. Must he recant? Must he cry, "Yes, now I understand. Whites do not deserve the same privileges!"

Impeach the Veep First

Theoretically and factually, impeachment is the most peaceful and effective way to get rid of the worst of our judges and politicians. No more appropriate fate could await Clinton. But if Clinton should go, who would succeed him? In many ways Gore is more of a proctor than his boss.

To get rid of Nixon the liberal-minority coalition first made sure to get rid of Agnew. If they had their way, the anti-Clintonians would probably give the same treatment to Gore.

Clinton's offenses, though grave, have so far been largely unproven. Gore, on the other hand, has flagrantly broken the law. Title 18, section 607, United States Code, states: "It shall be unlawful for any person to solicit any contribution... in any room or building occupied in the discharge of official duties." In keeping with Clinton's minorityization of the government, Gore has appointed as his new Chief of Staff a minorityite who goes by the name of Ron Klain.

Incomprehensible Choice

Parents who have a mentally retarded female child may no longer be able to have her sterilized to prevent her later giving birth to a similar child. Civil rights advocates, the Association for Retarded Children and some members of the American Bar Association Commission on Disability Law feel that a sexually active girl's civil rights—no matter what her mental condition—are being violated by the sterilization procedure. For these advocates of cogenericity it is preferable for the girl to be violated in a mental ward than have her civil rights violated.

Merit Is Back in Style

Fourteen blacks were admitted to the prestigious University of California Boalt Hall Law School for fall 1997, compared to 75 the previous year. The number of Hispanic admissions fell from 78 to 39. These figures indirectly indicate how many qualified Majority members were denied access to California's leading law school in the days of unfettered affirmative action.

Jewry's Right Horse

The use of the U.S. government to promote Jewish interests has again been demonstrated by the latest attacks on Swiss banks. The purpose is to funnel more money to Jewish claimants, while undermining the Swiss banking system. Muckraking Senator Alphonse D'Amato (R-NY) started the ball rolling in Congress with the wide-ranging accusations compiled by Stuart Eizenstat, newly appointed Undersecretary of Commerce. Having been expelled from practically every European country and having failed to gain permanent dominance over any country through the Comintern and communism, the Jewish world community is finally riding the right horse—the U.S. government.

Unenthused About Clinton

Joe Klein, the Jewish gossipmonger who wrote a searing novel about the sordid goings on in the White House, opines in the New Yorker that Clinton appears "pale, blotchy, collapsed, downcast and suddenly old." British historian Paul Johnson has written an article for Esquire in which he defines Clinton as "America's cheesiest and most disreputable president ever."
What Nature Didn’t Intend
Proponents of queer marriages get very annoyed when the topic of incest is raised, not because they oppose this form of sexual activity, but because they correctly realize that it is easier to puncture one taboo at a time. Mother Nature made male/female sex organs complementary. The same is not true with intercourse between men. What this comes down to is the baffling thought that heterosexual incest is more natural than gay sex.

The Secret of Handsomeness
The key to being handsome and attractive to the opposite sex. This is the “esthetic law,” which by the way doesn’t apply to women. Dr. Randy Thornhill, an authority on such matters, presented his findings at the annual meeting of the American Assn. for the Advancement of Science. But there is a downside. Symmetrical men are more likely to philander, to lie and be less trustworthy in keeping commitments. What Thornhill omitted to say was that since the Nordic is the handsomest race, it must also be the most symmetrical. Thornhill also failed to explain how an Australian Abo with perfect symmetry could ever be considered handsome.

Oversexed Military
Fooling around with a woman a decade or so before he obtained a divorce from his wife cost four-star General Joseph Ralston the post of Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the country’s second highest military job. Dallying with an ensleisied man and lying about it got Lt. Kelly Flinn thrown out of the Air Force. She was the first female to qualify to fly a B-52 bomber. Amid all the razzmatazz of double standards, it seems to have been forgotten that the Commander-in-Chief of all U.S. Armed Forces, William Jefferson Clinton, who has been skirt-chasing and skirt-catching for most of his life and has outbedded Ralston and Flinn ten to one, still hangs on to his job. Ironic, what?

In the lower ranks the main charge was not adultery but rape and sexual misconduct. Practically all the rapists were blacks, most of them drill sergeants who had set up their own individual harems, replete with white females. The black studs never had it so good. They were re-alizing their fondest dreams. Since some of them have now been sent away to jail, there will probably be a little less inter-racial hanky-panky in the immediate future. But as long as blacks are put in charge of young female trainees, the latter will be at risk. The biggest pasha was Staff Sgt. Delmar Simpson, convicted of 18 counts of rape and 34 other crimes, most having to do with sexual misconduct.

Unfree Association
Perhaps the most outrageous agency ruling in an era of outrageous agency rulings is what is known as the lesbian roommate case. Two women in Madison (WI), Ann Hacklender-Ready and Maureen Rowe, advertised for a third woman to move in and share the expenses of their apartment. Cari Sprague replied, was accepted and welcomed aboard. When it turned out she was a lesbian, she was asked to leave, whereupon the dyke hastened to Madison’s Equal Opportunity Commission and complained she was the victim of discrimination. Wasting no time, the Commission ruled that the two tenants had to pay their short-term roommate $3,000 for emotional distress and $10,000 in attorney’s fees. Moreover the women were ordered to write Sprague a letter of apology. have their housing situation “monitored” for two years and attend sensitivity classes conducted by homosexuals. All this happened in 1989. Recently when the case finally reached the Supreme Court the Noxious Nine refused to hear it. So much for the once-hallowed American right of “free association.”

Culturecide
A few young throwbacks who attend the “concerts” of Marilyn (for Monroe) Manson (for the murderer of Sharon Tate) wear T-shirts proclaiming “Kill Your Parents.” As for the freakish Manson himself, he is even too much for Jews. Columnist Mona Charon describes his scabrous performance as follows: “He tears a Bible to shreds, invites the audience to spit at him, lacerates himself with a broken bottle and wipes his rear end with the Canadian flag (presumably he substitutes the Stars and Stripes at American gigs).” The Washington Post, not terribly shocked, reported, “He arrives on stage wearing an off-white blood-stained, corset-like back brace, a white jock strap and ripped, black tights.” Manson is fixated on such objects as worms, scabs and aborted fetuses. One of his songs is titled, May Cause Discoloration of Urine and Feces.

This is not pushing the cultural envelope. This is rigor mortis.

Hot New Web Site
Instauration rates the Wake Up Or Die site as a passionate declaration of faith in Majority America. Any Instaurationist with access to the Internet is missing a bet if he doesn’t click in pronto. It is not a call to arms, but it’s as close as you can get without alarming the Feds, though it must severely alarm the ADL. The language is clear and compelling. Articles range from factual studies of important racial data to heart-stirring manifestos. All in all, it offers the best prowhite material that has as yet appeared on the Internet. Check out www.wakeupordie.com

Hotshot Blacks Lose Face
Joseph Jett and Reginald Lewis, two of the very few blacks who have climbed to the top of the U.S. financial pyramid, are not the type of moneymen you would entrust with your assets or even your small change. Joseph Jett indulged in “creative” bookkeeping that cost his firm, Kidder Peabody, some $300 million. The late Reginald Lewis, propelled to the financial heights with the help and advice of his good friend, junk bond king and convicted embezzler Michael Milken, was charged with having bilked TLC Beatrice, the company he headed, out of tens of millions of dollars. In addition to giving himself an unwarranted $22.1 million bonus in 1993, he let the company pay for his Bentley and his luxury apartments in London and Paris, the latter equipped with fulltime British chef, chauffeur and houseboy. On his off days he barnstormed his family around in his $11-million jet. At present TLC Beatrice is run by Lewis’s widow, Loida, a Filipina whose salary is $1.75 million a year. Her husband left a $400 million estate, which is under attack by outraged TLC Beatrice shareholders who charge that much of the company’s assets were “plundered.”

Holocaust Pays Off—and Off
No wonder Jewish leaders love the Holocaust as much as they do. Haven’t they been feeding off it for half a century, shedding crocodile tears for their losses while capitalizing on them in every way possible? Holocaustmania has yielded them not only Palestine, but the United States. Support for Israel has cost American taxpayers, according to former Undersecretary of State George Ball, some $200 billion. Add in the scores of billions.
of German reparations, plus hundreds of millions more for Holocaust memorials, museums and libraries, and the total must turn Mafia shakedown artists green with envy!

Now comes World Jewish Congress President Edgar Bronfman, shaking his tin cup at European countries that might—just might—have helped Nazi Germany in WWII, as though some of them had any choice. Some elderly “survivors” cannot even afford eye glasses, Bronfman pleads, obviously preferring to rely on the good old OPM principle rather than cough up some of his own $3 billion fortune to help the old folks. Could chutzpah go any further—or lower—than this?

CANADIAN CONTRIBUTOR

Literary Sludge

The latest example of the ongoing Jewish vendetta against Western literary geniuses is Sex Scandals: The Private Parts of Victorian Fiction. The book purports to prove that Dickens was alluding to masturbation in Great Expectations when he wrote how Pip stole buttered toast and hid it in his trousers. This stupid exercise in tawdry, latter-day Freudianism denigrates some of Britain’s greatest writers. Who could have penned such a mean and envious tawdry tome? Who else but William A. Cohen, a professor of English at the University of Maryland.

Jailed in Idaho

Richard Butler was arrested and handcuffed for distributing pamphlets outside a meeting of 350 northern Idahoans dedicated to fighting “hate.” Whisked away to jail, the head of the Aryan Nation movement was charged with trespassing and released on $350 bond.

Rapist Jokester

Vinson Champ, a Negro comic, cleaned up his act so he could put on one-night stands—one-night comedy stands, that is—in various Midwest colleges. But he didn’t clean up his behavior. Police now believe that he left a trail of raped coeds behind him as he traipsed from college to college. So far only one of his victims has been willing to testify against him. It is the comprehensible reluctance of white women to go public and provide evidence against black rapists that makes it difficult to estimate the number of black-on-white rapes committed in the U.S. every year. A 1992 Senate Judiciary Committee report put the figure for all races at 683,000. Based on the huge disproportion of blacks in violent crime, it is reasonable to say that blacks are raping or attempting to rape anywhere from 25,000 to 50,000 white women a year. The number of white-on-black rapes is, thankfully, statistically insignificant.

Academic Wimpiness

At a meeting with 25 students University of Oregon President David Frohnmayer used the word “Oriental” in a discussion about various diets, including those based on rice. The roof almost came down. As quickly as he could the educator wimpishly and spinelessly put out a profoundly kowtowing and apologetic press release. He stopped just short of trading in his suit for sackcloth and dousing himself with ashes. Oriental, for some reason, is becoming a dirty word. It’s doubtful Frohnmayer would have so profusely begged forgiveness if he had used “Occidental.”

Switcheroo Jew

After the high of radical frenzy in the 1960s had worn off, David Horowitz gave up his worship of Fidel and Uncle Ho and became a Reagan Republican. Editor of a sloppily conceived magazine, Heterodoxy, and author of a confessional book, Radical Son, he now espouses Heterodoxy, and author of a confessional book, Radical Son, he now espouses...
One reason the prosecution of the O.J. trial was not as effective as it should have been was that black Christopher Darden and Jewish Marcia Clark, both members of the prosecution team, were passing "steamy notes" back and forth during the proceedings. Gossipmongers say the interracial correspondence eventually ballooned into an interracial affair.

How namby-pamby can a soi-disant conservative get? Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia, supposedly the right-wing paladin of the High Bench, told a convocation of ADL superhaters that any move to impeach the most injudicious activists in the federal judiciary "shouldn't go anywhere."

One reason that Attorney General Janet Reno is so adamant against Proposition 209, she made plain at a commencement speech at the University of California's Hastings College of Law, is that her father, a first-generation American from Demark, was mocked when he first arrived in the U.S. for his funny clothes and Danish accent. Ms. Reno said nothing in her talk about the possibility of her emerging from the closet.

The anonymous gentleman who auctioned off his collection of Nazi memorabilia, including a personalized Christmas card signed by Der Führer, a Christmas card of Himmler's and a letter of Goebbels', happens to be Jewish.

A 1.7 ounce bottle of Michael Jordan cologne sells for $23. One purchaser described the scent as "the cloying odor of a guy just in from a sweaty workout." Another buyer compared the smell to that which "permeates the men's room of a cheap disco." Some people claim Negroes emanate a unique aroma. If so, it is not yet clear whether Jordan's fragrance will sharpen this genetically based scent or cover it up.

N.Y. Times chief congressional correspondent Adam Clymer has been accused of acting in a "loud, profane and abrasive manner" by the U.S. Capitol Police. Like many Timesmen, Clymer thinks his job on the hoity-toity newspaper puts him above the law.

Richard Blum, husband of California Senator Dianne Feinstein, has invested $300 million in Northwest Airlines and millions more in various enterprises in China. Feinstein claims that her husband's business connections have absolutely no influence on her own feelings about giving most favored nation status to China. More U.S. business and financial dealings with the onetime Celestial Kingdom will greatly increase the income of Northwest Airlines, America's only carrier to offer nonstop service to various Chinese cities.

First Half-Brother Roger Clinton, who has served time for drug monkeyshines, is behind in child support payments to his six-year-old daughter—$78,000 behind.

My Dang, son Dung Dang, Dang's wife, Phung Dang and daughter-in-law, Lieu Dang, live in a $290,000 home in Salem (MA). As its chain of convenience stores made lush profits, the Vietnamese family banked more than $170,000 in welfare payments.

Two brothers, Robert (17) and Jeffrey (14) Dingman of Rochester (NH), took turns shooting their parents with a .22 caliber handgun. Robert had earlier told a girlfriend, "Jail is the life. I could kill my parents, take some money, go to jail, have three squares a day, lift weights and play basketball." After his arrest Robert said he considered himself a "wigger" (a white who acts and thinks black).

One of the Jewish pillars of the Washington press establishment, David Broder, said the chances of Republican Bill Redmond to fill the New Mexico congressional seat vacated by Bill Richardson, now U.S. Ambassador to the UN, were "near hopeless." Redmond won the election handily.

As Stephen Jay Gould grows older, he becomes more senile and more louche in his attacks on Majority evolutionary biologists. The man who used to preach that all races are equal and has made a career out of slandering every anti-equalitarian Majoritarian scientist who comes within his ken, is now going after Leonardo da Vinci. He has taken to calling the ideas of one of the greatest and most future-oriented of Western geniuses "aniquated."

Jews do not limit their censorious sweeps to books and music. They also take a fancy to defacing sculpture, such as the statue of Palestinian-American poet Alex Odeh, murdered by a pipebomb in 1985. Last February vandals, obviously Jews, smeared red paint over Odeh's statue, which is prominently placed in front of the Santa Ana Central Library. This was the second assault on the sculpture in four months.

Maine's Governor Angus King deplores bans on same-sex marriage as "unconstitutional" and "discriminatory." Only a few years ago the very notion of such a marital arrangement would have been considered absurd, let alone even remotely mandated by the Constitution. If, after 200 years such a "right" suddenly pops up in the basic blueprint of the U.S. government, can the document be said to have any fixed meaning?

On the occasion of Holocaust Remembrance Day Michael Marcus, a Jewish student at the Palm Beach High School for the Arts, was honored by Congress for his school project—a Holocaust TV show for youngsters. The video, already being viewed in many Florida high schools, should, according to some congressional solons, be shown to all the nation's high-schoolers.

Life without parole was the sentence handed to Rita Gluzman of White Plains (NY). With the help of a Jewish cousin she chopped her husband, a famed biologist, into 65 pieces.

Following the death of its long-term boss, Albert Shanker, who, though it was not generally known, was a homo, the "scrappy" Sandra Feldman, as one newspaper described her, has been elected president of the American Federation of Teachers (940,000 members).

Negro funnyman Eddie Murphy was caught picking up a coffee-colored male transvestite as he cruised "prostitute alley" in L.A. at 4:00 a.m. He described his deed as "an act of kindness" and swore he only intended to give him, her or it a lift home. It's not known how his svete, mulatto wife, Nicole, mother of his three children, took the news.

Anicka, the bleached blonde, ex-wife of freakish hooper Dennis Rodman, has written a biography, Worse Than He Says He Is, (Dove Books, $18.95), in which she asserts her husband gave her two venereal diseases, caused her to have four abortions and forced her to expand her breast measurement with implants. She characterized her husband in one word, "punk." Anicka, it must be admitted, is not doing too badly financially. She collects a monthly stipend of $9,000 for alimony and child support and received a $100,000 advance for her ghostwritten bio.
Black females collect bachelors' and masters' degrees at nearly twice the rate of black males. White enrollment in black colleges has risen 71% in the period 1976-1994.

The average black student attends a school that is 33.9% white; the average Hispanic student a school 30.6% white. Despite all the hype about desegregated schools, they are more segregated today than they were in 1980.

Blacks hold 49.7% of the outfield positions in major league baseball, 7% of the pitchers, second-base and third-base slots. The New York Yankees has the only black manager.

California (14%), Texas (12%) and Florida (11%) have more prisoners on death row than any other state. Total death row population (as of July 31, 1996) is 3,153.

A Texas jury of 2 blacks, 3 white women and 3 white men awarded $834,100 to a onetime employee who claimed he was fired because he married a black woman.

Glad tidings! 6 of the largest U.S. dailies reported drops in circulation: The Wall St. Journal down 0.2% to 1.84 million; N.Y. Times down 4.4% to 1.11 million; Washington Post down 1.8% to 818,231; N.Y. Daily News down 4% to 728,107; Chicago Tribune down 0.5% to 664,586; Houston Chronicle down 0.3% to 549,856. Unfortunately the L.A. Times' circulation increased 4.7% to 1.07 million; USA Today up 2.7% to 1.66 million; Newsday up 0.7% to 559,323; San Francisco Chronicle up 0.03% to 494,093. The circulation of the Washington Times, the so-called conservative paper owned by Koreans, rose from 100,933 to 101,474.

Some 300 felons, including rapists and robbers, were released in Florida last February provoking a sheriff to say that his state is now too dangerous for tourists to visit.

No president was more Judeophilic than Franklin Roosevelt. Nevertheless one Jewish ingrate, New York columnist Sidney Zion, has claimed FDR deliberately turned his back on the St. Louis, a boat loaded with European Jews seeking to land in the U.S. during WWII. Zion claimed that even Eleanor refused to answer Jewish pleas and kept a Jewish leader, Peter Bergson, waiting for 45 minutes, during which time, Zion says, "11,982 Jews died in Auschwitz alone."

In a speech at the University of Mississippi, for which he received $14,000, "race card" Johnnie Cochran told fellow blacks to "get rid of" the Confederate flags displayed all over town. All fired up, some blacks proceeded to ransack a few homes, restaurants and white businesses.

We are told that the overall violent crime rate in this country is declining. Not so the number of child murderers. In 1995, 2,560 homicides were committed by juveniles under age 18, a significant jump from 1,460 such crimes in 1984 and 568 in 1962.

The per capita yearly income of born-in-America Americans is $17,838; of naturalized Americans $18,805.

William J. Jacobs is the Jewish author of a book about 32 famous religious figures. One of them, Mohammad, is described by Jacobs as a bloodthirsty hater-monger. Simon & Schuster, the Jewish publishing firm, has finally agreed to recall 4,000 copies of the book.

14.7% of white and 48.3% of black girls in the U.S. exhibit signs of matur-ation before they are 8. Astonishingly 1% of white and 3% of black females show wisps of pubic hair and incipient breasts by age 3.

A 10% boost in the number of U.S. workers lowers wages approximately 3%. Such is the estimate of economist George Borjas.

Despite all the referendums passed or in the hopper and all the court actions opposing affirmative action, the Association of American Universities has come down strongly in favor of using racial preferences to pack student bodies with minorities. The resolution, approved by all 62 of the nation's leading research universities, was the brainchild of Harvard President Neil Rudenstine.

1.5 million people in the U.S. used guns "defensively" in 1994.

Anent America's Middle East policy, a recent survey of 1,008 "likely" U.S. voters found 15.1% leaned towards Israel; 2.8% towards Palestinians; 55.6% wanted the U.S. to steer a balanced course. 26.4% were "not sure" or had no opinion.

Japan has the world's highest annual income tax: 65% on income of $240,000 or more. The U.S. has the lowest taxes of the 14 industrial nations—40% on an annual income of $263,750 or more.

The father of golf champ Tiger Woods had 1 white, 1 Amerindian and 2 black grandparents. Woods' mother is half-Chinese and half-Thai. Stir all this DNA together and out comes someone who is less than half-black, someone who calls himself a Cablinasian.

Sweden is the world's healthiest country. So stated Britain's Healthcare International quarterly after a survey of 27 developed or developing countries. Israel comes in second; the U.S. 13th. South Africa, owing to its skyrocketing AIDS rate, was the most unhealthy.

In 1921 the American Federation of Labor called for the total exclusion of Japanese and other Orientals from the U.S.

Jerry Seinfeld, star of the yuck-yuckety sitcom of the same name, now gets $1 million per episode.

About 1,760,000 women in the U.S. have breast implants, some of whom have won a class action suit that bankrupted Dow Corning. Class action suits have been filed for 300,000 men who have had penile implants.

Although it is generally understood, even by its most ardent supporters, that foreign aid doesn't work, the House of Representatives appropriated $16.4 billion for 1998, an increase of $800 million over 1997. Mobutu Sese Seko, onetime dictator of Zaite, is rumored to have stolen $4 billion from foreign aid destined for his people.

Two-thirds of the top 70 American universities have dropped all once-required Shakespeare courses.

In 1980, 80% of construction workers in California were born in the U.S. By 1996 the number had shrunk to 26%. Credit it partly to white flight, partly to the Hispanic avalanche.

5 million people in the U.S. were on welfare in 1995: 45.3% black, 29.8% white, 17.5% other. Wisconsin, the first state to enact work-welfare laws, saw its welfare roles decrease from almost 100,000 in 1986 to 40,000 in 1997.
Not content with the liberal-tainted material that surfaces almost daily on *Sesame Street*, a Jewish foundation in New York is paying for the interlarding of a Hebrew version (*Rechov Sumsum*), which will then be “re-exported” to the U.S. Apparently our television mentors have decided that liberal and equalitarian kiddie propaganda is not enough for American pre-teens. Henceforth, in the guise of children’s entertainment, they will get direct doses of Israelism.

In a recent survey of network programs in the so-called 8 to 9 p.m. “family hour,” allusions to premarital, extramarital and homosexual sex were eight times more prevalent than remarks about standard, old-fashioned sex. Fox, no surprise, was the foulest-mouthed network.

Jerry Springer, Chosenite chosen by Chicago station WMAQ-TV to add his stone-headed commentary to its premier news program, has difficulty telling the truth. In his first broadcast he related a tearjerking tale of the dilemma he faced when he was mayor of Cincinnati and had to decide whether to issue a permit for a neo-Nazi parade. Being Jewish, he said he wrestled long and hard with his soul before he finally came down on the side of free speech. Pure claptrap. Cincinnati mayors have nothing to do with issuing permits for parades and demonstrations, which is the job of the city manager. The outcry against having a vulgarian like Springer, whose daytime show reeks of tabloidism, adding his two sordid bits to the evening news, became so loud that he had to throw in the towel and concentrate on his daytime swill.

The problem with lesbian Ellen DeGeneres’s dramatic outing was that she’d been out for years. When a stand-up comic in her native New Orleans, she made her sexual propensities crystal clear.

Delano Lewis, president and CEO of National Public Radio, presides over a 16-member board of directors and a staff of 459. Some 540 stations carry NPR offerings. Lewis, a fanatic black quota-ist, never stops boasting that 30% of his employees are minorities and 48% women. The 30%, of course, does not include Jews, of whom NPR claims to have more than a few, including such microphone manipulators as Nina Totenberg, Linda Wertheimer, Scott Simon and Daniel Schorr. Lewis’s son Phil spent a year in jail for manslaughter, after being involved in a head-on collision that killed a woman (race unspecified). Once celebrated for its refusal to run commercials, NPR, like its TV sister, PBS, is now loaded with promos, long monetary pitches and plugs for every product and service under the sun.

From Zip 324. On a television talk show discussing Tiger Woods and his gastronomic favorites, fried chicken and collards, a black female panelist lamented that whites still look at African Americans and see only race. She couldn’t understand why blacks couldn’t be seen “for what they are, and not the color of their skin.”

The problem is that in viewing blacks whites are forced to come face to face with hairstyles that are indigenous to certain African tribes, forced to contend with “African tribal clothing,” forced to converse with blacks who adopt outlandish names to take the place of their slave monikers. Although they have been living in this country for centuries, most Negroes still cannot speak standard English. So how to avoid viewing them as a separate population group? Black skin is an ever-present flag that alerts non-blacks to be aware of these differences.

Expecting a white to look at a black and not see color is like asking a person to look at a collie and not see a dog. In Africa, it might be recalled, native blacks do not refer to themselves as “African,” but according to their tribal affiliation, i.e., Zulu, Fula, Yoruba and so on.

From Zip 915. I recently watched a PBS program about immigrants whose welfare is being cut. Virtually all of those interviewed spoke through interpreters. Due to their age, they probably have little chance of ever learning the language. A Russian-Jewish immigrant granny complained that she had worked in Russia her whole life and felt entitled to $1,300 a month.
benefits she expected to collect in her new country of residence. As a taxpayer for over 40 years, I was not pleased to learn that my Social Security benefits would be less than hers.

**From Zip 030.** On ABC’s Nightline (May 8, 1997) host Ted (Howdy Doody) Koppel and a black reporter deplored white flight to the suburbs. They spotlighted the increasingly successful efforts by a Jewish Minnesota congressman to impose “affordable housing” with its dusky householders on white suburbanites who thought they had put all that behind them. Looking genuinely puzzled, one white mused, “It sounds as though we are all afraid of something, but I don’t know what it is.” Needless to say, no one on Nightline will ever have to rub shoulders with the lowlifers that Koppel longs to inflict on retreating whites.

From J.H. The Odyssey came to network TV in May. Don’t ask me why, but Vanessa Williams has a prominent role. Perhaps the rationale is that Homer, living in an all-white civilization and being sightless, was color-blind.

**From Zip 540.** Hard-hitting, admirable detective Sipowicz on top-10 TV show NYPD Blue, after a talk with black rappers, forecast, “This nation’s doomed!” Tell ‘em, Andy!

**From Zip 920.** My local PBS host quickly corrected his slip in referring to Diana Rigg as a program “hostess,” by changing the word to “host.” Only the male form of words like actor, waiter and steward is now politically correct.

**From Zip 300.** During a PBS chat on California’s equal rights Prop 209, it was very reluctantly conceded that the Constitution does not mandate group preferences, not even in its penumbra!

**From Zip 362.** ABC’s Good Morning America aired from Denmark on May 12. In one segment Celtic-looking Mindi Moore was standing behind a table with several Danes who were exhibiting Danish breakfast pastries. The red-haired lass then held up a tray of bagels and announced to her Danish hosts, “This is our breakfast food.” No doubt Mindi’s New York bosses assumed that bagels are the breakfast choice of Americans from coast to coast.

On the same program we learned that Copenhagen has a very low crime rate and that Danes as a group have a high level of basic honesty. We were informed that babies are normally left in strollers to snooze safely in the sun while their parents patronize restaurants and go shopping.

By chance, the very next day a Danish mother left her baby son in a stroller on a New York City sidewalk in front of the restaurant in which she and her husband were lunching. The cops’ response was to arrest the couple (justifiably considering the surroundings) for child neglect.

Subsequently, on the May 14 Good Morning America broadcast from Stockholm, a Swedish journalist commented that the Danish “lady must be very stupid to do that in New York City.” Part of the stupidity, maybe all, could be attributed to the very un-Danish husband. It turns out that the Danish woman’s mate is as black as tar and the child is the color café-au-lait.

**From V.S.S.** I accidentally caught about five minutes of a Diane Sawyer interview with a surviving member of the Heaven Gates cult. I simply couldn’t believe her. The stupefying hypocrisy, the pretense of compassion, made me groan. How oh how could you have left your child to join this cult? she queried the man, her eyes moist with crocodile tears, as she leaned forward to emphasize her pseudo-concern.

Now I know nothing about Diane Sawyer except that she is married to movie director Mike Nichols, who is a Jew, so he doesn’t care about the New Testament. But what about Sawyer? Hasn’t she ever seen those biblical soap operas aimed at Christian audiences by Hollywood magnates, who raked in the shekels while making the ancient Hebrews look good? Ben Hur, The Robe, The Greatest Story (I’ll say) Ever Told come to mind. But even if Sawyer never read the Bible (which seems quite likely), wouldn’t she at least have seen one or all of those ersatz epics C.B. de Mille used to bank on to put money in the bank? And even if she’s never read the Holy Book, wouldn’t she at least have “seen” how Jesus recruited members to His cult? He demanded that his disciples give up everything—including family and children—to follow Him. A least 12 of them not only did so, but made the leap of faith as well.

Are we so far removed from The Book which everybody claims is the root of our so-called Judeo-Christian tradition—so far removed that we find it impossible to conceive that anyone could be so committed to a cause that he would give up all his earthly possessions to join it? If people can’t understand that, how can they understand Christ, much less his apostles and all those mad saints and martyrs committed to precisely what Diane Sawyer finds so inconceivable.
"I don’t understand the Holocaust" is based on a simple premise, and a false one at that. It’s based on the premise that the Holocaust is unnatural, that it flies in the face of man’s instinct for decency. But does that premise make sense to anyone with a real sense of history, especially with a sense of Jewish history, especially to anyone who has ever read the Bible? For isn’t the Bible a book of genocides, many committed by the Jews, including the destruction of Jericho, the first recorded genocide in history? So how come the Holocaust is unnatural?

After Schindler’s Cyst appeared on TV, an obviously well-aware college student asked me who Hitler was, thereby reminding me once again of why Spielberg had to update the Holocaust industry with Schindler’s Cyst. What would happen to the world’s morale if it was ever allowed to forget Majdanek?

The Thirty Years War? The Hundred Years War? The Jewish slaughter of Duweimeh? The millions, from morons to mere Mensheviks, who were massacred by Stalin and Lenin “because you can’t make an omelet without breaking” eggheads?

The even more helpless millions murdered by electric Chairman Mao because he couldn’t be content with the ordinary process of human corruption and couldn’t contain his passion for great leaps forward into anarchy?

Does anybody still remember the bloody shambles of Sabra and Shatila and the havoc inflicted by the Chosen-ites who are a moral “light unto the nations?”

After this shorthand history of human bloodshed, why all this pretense that the Holocaust was an aberration—unique, unnatural in the annals of human history, deviant from the freeway-wide parade of pure human progress? (Or is the Pol Pot-hole with Punic Wars the real route which man travels, while Jesus and Buddha are tiny detours, dead ends like Gandhi?)

Has everybody given up hope on Hobbes’s assessment of human nature as hobbled in its Bataan death march into the frontiers of fratricide called human progress, frontiers of saki-Nagasaki progress gate-crashed by the cult of Heaven’s Gate?

As plagiarists who wrote the Bible, Hebrews, better than anybody, should understand that the Holocaust is not unnatural. It’s as natural as all the bloodbaths perpetrated and masterminded by all those murderous Maccabees. Who brought the plagues into Egypt (including themselves)? Why did Cain disable Able “with extreme prejudice,” as the word-sensitive CIA would say? Who originated original sin as a metaphor for mankind’s affinity for evil? Why is there a true north and a magnetic north, and why did Adam choose true north when he chose the apple? Because he felt liberated and not hobbled by Hobbes.

If the Holocaust is unnatural, why was Jesus rejected by the Jews? Why have there been so few candidates for the mantle of messiah, and why has he been so long in coming? (After all, Attila, Caligula and Vlad the Impaler are not unique.) And how often have the Jews (or anybody else) ever really turned the other cheek?

Why is the Bible, especially the Old Testament, so popular? Because no one is really fooled. Because beneath all the soft-soap about the Messiah, beneath the wishy-washy wishing it weren’t so, man’s hard-core will-to-power invariably pokes through all the blather and asserts itself in sundry decorated disguises as the will of God.

If the Holocaust were unnatural, why would anybody be waiting for a messiah to redeem mankind and show the way to salvation? Shouldn’t the way be obvious enough, except for thieves like Cain and Jacob, Caiphas and Judas, not to mention Moses, journeyman robber barren of Jerusalem from the founding Jebusites?

Without the rule of law, would society be a Rousseauistic rapture, or an even worse record of rape, revenge and sporadic spurts of progress, progress accomplished in the periods of recuperation between the time for collecting the trophies of catastrophic war?

Would war even be possible in a world postulated by Jesus or Rousseau? (And is that why Jesus was betrayed by rebellious Jews mad to be led by a military messiah? And is that why Rousseau simply went mad?)

How could all those allegedly cultured Chermans perpetrate the Holocaust? (Wasn’t it Goering who declared that whenever somebody mentioned culture, he felt impelled to reach for his revolver?) Yet “all” of them didn’t, despite Daniel Jonah Goldhogggin’s theme of collective punishment. The rest were merely human, all too human.

V.S. STINGER
Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

The British National Party made a valiant effort during the British general election, contesting over 50 seats, but won few votes, largely because the media gave it very little publicity. The media also air-brushed out the immigration issue, the one issue which sharply distinguishes the BNP from the Conservatives and Labour. Anti-Europeanism is evidently not a winner in Britain, mostly because the middle classes realise that the end of the Common Market would mean having to accept a lower standard of living. Many of the Conservatives who openly supported the Common Market lost their seats, although two-thirds of the electorate voted for it at the 1973 referendum.

Socialist noises made by British nationalists merely played into the hands of the leftwing of the Labour Party, which prefers to cohabit with Jews, blacks and Bangladeshis rather than with continental Europeans, who work harder and prefer social democracy to Marxism. Tony Blair had strong Jewish support, because he has promised to bring the land or Wales to the nationalists, but it depends on Scotch and Welsh votes to shore up its regime in England. There will certainly be English resistance to the Scots and Welsh helping to decide what the government does in England, while the English are not allowed to influence what is done in Scotland or Wales. This situation may stimulate English nationalism, though I very much fear that a bogus British nationalism will be created by right-wing Jews in the Conservative Party.

A separate Scottish Parliament and Welsh Assembly are not, in the long run, consistent with the notion of a British nation, which is why British nationalism will be hard put to win any election. English nationalism, however, is another matter. There is no way in which that could be sanitised by the enemy. What is more, Blair’s vague plans to create semi-self-governing regions in England would not, in themselves, destroy English unity. The German states and provinces have not destroyed the unity of Germany—very much the reverse. They have provided the regions with enough economic independence to become prosperous. Baden-Württemberg, for example, is a real powerhouse. So are Bavaria and Rhein-Westfalen.

I long for a recreation of the heptarchy, the seven kingdoms of pre-Viking England. Northumbria, with a larger population than Scotland, would make a very viable economic unit. Ditto Mercia (the centre of England), Wessex (the southwest) and East Anglia. It would probably be too much to ask that Kent and Sussex should regain their economic independence. They would probably have to be included with London in a southeastern region.

East Anglia was set up early in the fifth century and had close connections with Scandinavia, as the treasure of the buried Sutton Hoo ship testifies. (Beautiful gilded copies of Angloic brooches from that ship may be bought at the British Museum.) In due course, it included Essex, the land of the East Saxons. The first Anglo-Saxon kingdom to dominate England was Mercia, whose pagan kings held sway in their day. The kings, called Hengist or Hemming, were reputed to be descended from Woden. Two notable kings were Offa, who built a great dyke to keep out the Welsh, and Penda, who gave us our word for “penny” and conquered the British kingdom of Elmet, in what is now known as the People’s Republic of South Yorkshire. Northumbria was christianised by irish missionaries, though it later swung over to the Roman rite. It included the Lothians in southeastern Scotland and the former British capital of Caer Edin, which became Edinburgh. Scots speak a kind of Bernician (north Northumbrian) English. Sussex, in the far south, was the very last Anglo-Saxon kingdom to give up paganism, though Kent, next door, was christianised by the second St. Augustine at the turn of the sixth and seventh centuries. After Northumbria, Alfred’s Wessex, which successfully confronted the Danes in the ninth century, became the most learned and prestige-worthy kingdom in England. (Note, however, that the modern English are closest genetically to the Danes.)

All these economic and administrative units, with the exception of East Anglia, where so many early settlers of America originated, would contain large numbers of immigrants in the cities, and would therefore need small towns as administrative capitals. I am speaking here of English devolution, not petty nationalism. There would be plenty of scope for English nationalists to push bit by bit for the repatriation of aliens, eventually including Jews. We should start with the repatriation of criminals who were not born here, and then go on to get the countries of origin to accept second-generation criminals. Then we can move on to resettling larger and larger numbers of others (e.g., West Indians to the Caribbean or to Africa). Aid would be made dependent on the willingness of the receiving countries to play ball.

Any attempt to push the Protestants out of northern Ireland (which is what the IRA wants to do) could be met with the expulsion of disaffected Irish from Scotland or the devolved English regions. In view of the bombs which the IRA and its sympathisers have detonated in England, it is high time we put resident Irish nationalists on notice that continued tolerance of their presence in any part of Britain has a price.
**Report from the Darkening Tip**

**Friends help six escape from cells**

Cape Town, 29 May 1997

Six armed and dangerous murder and robbery suspects, attending trial, were at large after escaping from the Protea police cells on Tuesday. A SAPS spokesman, Inspector John Shihburi, said yesterday.

He said seven inmates originally escaped with the help of visitors, who were allegedly smuggling a firearm and clothing into the cells. One inmate was arrested outside the police station. The suspects at large ranged in age from 19 to 30 years old.

Inspector Shihburi said he and three more allegedly approached duty officers at the station's charge desk at about 9:15 am on Tuesday, requesting to visit certain detainees. Two of the five inmates brought themselves as police officers, accompanying "the family" of the suspects. They told the police to evacuate the building.

A policeman led the prisoners out of the prison, while closing one cell, where two suspects were detained. The two inmates joined the prisoners past a gate. When the policemen opened the second cell, the two came to him and ordered him, at gunpoint, to hand over his keys.

He was locked in a cell and the suspects opened other cells where their friends were held, and took away the inmates' keys. One inmate ordered him not to use his keys. He said the party of 12 were ordered to stop at the gate, but ignored them, and ran. Police gave chase, and a shootout ensued. All but one of the suspects disappeared in the dark outside.

One jumped into a blue Toyota Corolla, apparently used by the group, but was arrested. The other five inmates and their friends were still at large.

Inspector Shihburi said an internal investigation would be held at the station to determine its weaknesses. It could lead to pointing out one or several persons responsible for the apparent larceny.

**Cape Town man knifed, robbed**

Cape Town, 29 May 1997

A 59-year-old man was stabbed and robbed when he returned to his Clarendon, Cape Town, house shortly before midnight Tuesday.

West metro police spokesman Captain Mark Romburgh said a minibus driver, who had left the woman at the bus stop, returned to the scene at a local hospital.

He said the assault occurred when two men, one of whom was clearly armed, approached the man, who was accompanied by a woman, at the bus stop.

The suspect stabbed the man in the thigh, and a woman, who was with him, was stabbed in the arm. Both suspects fled the scene.

**Girl, 14, hit with hosepipe**

A 14-year-old girl was attacked by three young men outside her home in the town of Bloemfontein on Tuesday.

She was hit with a garden hose in the incident, which occurred in a residential area.

**Three shot dead near Bloemfontein**

Two bodies were discovered in a vehicle near Bloemfontein on Wednesday morning.

Police said the victims were shot dead near the town.

**Sex crime victims' centre opened in NW**

The first centre dealing with victims of sexual and violence cases opened in Klerksdorp in the North West Province on Monday.

Mooinooir area police spokesman Captain Louis Jacobs said the facility was set up by community members in conjunction with the Klerksdorp Police Station.

**Man dies in taxi shooting**

A MINIBUS taxi passenger was killed in Bloemfontein on Thursday night in a drive-by shooting that is believed to be related to taxi violence in the area.

SAPS spokesman Captain Maleo van Wyk said the occupants of a taxi with several shots from an AK-47 assault rifle at a taxi drive along the Bloemfontein Road in Soshanguve. A 44-year-old man, next to the driver, a member of the SA Long Distance Taxi Association, was shot in the back.

**Taxi driver shot dead**

A taxi driver belonging to the SA Long Distance Taxi Association has been shot dead this year while in the back of a taxi in a drive-by.

**Child’s head found in packet on bus in KZN**

DURBAN — The head of a child was discovered placed in a plastic packet and then concealed in a bag.

**Woman shot dead at her home**

A woman, 60, was shot dead in her home in Pietermaritzburg on Saturday morning.

**6 545 held in Pta clean-up**

A total of 6 545 vehicles and 114 cell phones were searched.

**Dbn shop robbed**

DURBAN — A 50-year-old man was robbed of R10 000 in cash on Friday night.

**Prisoner escapes**

Cape Town — A prisoner serving a seven-year sentence for theft escaped from the Villiers Park Prison near Paarl in the Western Cape on Thursday.
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Canada. The Canadian witch-hunters are busy adding more bristles to their brooms. Doug Collins, one of the very few truth-tellers in this part of the world, has been dragged before an ad hoc British Columbia Human Rights Tribunal for describing the film, Schindler’s List as Swindler’s List. If convicted, he and the North Shore News, which carries his column, can be fined a fantastic amount of money. No appeal permitted. (The Grand Inquisitor of the Tribunal, incidentally, is a dusky left-wing radical shysteress, Nitya lyer, a tub-thumper for same-sex marriage.)

Collins is quite a character. Having earned several medals for bravery in WWII, he escaped numerous times from prisoner of war camps. If Jews think they can silence him with lawsuits, fines and overall media obloquy, they have another think coming. If Collins goes down, it will be with flying colors. He is Canada’s John Peter Zenger.

Collins made a stemwinder of a speech at the trial’s opening. He refused to take back a word of what he had written and used the occasion to make an impassioned argument for free expression. Since the freedom of the Canadian media is also being threatened by such Star Chamber proceedings, the trial was given an unusual amount of press and TV coverage.

Generally when Jews want to get rid of a critic, they use their financial clout to have him fired. So far this hasn’t happened. But it’s not over till it’s over. The North Shore News is owned by a subsidiary of the serpentine conglomerate, Hollinger Inc., whose president is David Radler, a Chosenite who has already let loose a few snide, unsupportive comments about Doug. Radler’s boss is Hollinger’s chairman, Conrad Black, who is married to a Jewess. Strangely but perhaps not so strangely, Hollinger also owns the Jerusalem Post and once had a president of Israel on its board.

The great cineast of Schindler’s List, Steven Spielberg, may go down in history as the first filmmaker who made a movie that cannot be criticized. Any reviewer or indeed anyone who dares to do so may find himself in jail, not only in Canada but in several European countries. Any American who expresses any doubt about the Six Million will escape prison—at least for the nonce—but he becomes an automatic pariah.

The same week that the Torquemadas of the Human Rights Tribunal began their hearings, Michael Enright, co-head of the Canadian Broadcasting Company’s As It Happens radio show, called the Roman Catholic Church, “the greatest criminal organization outside the Mafia.” No shrieks of anguish. No command to appear before a Human Rights Tribunal. No fallout whatsoever. Hate in Canada, as elsewhere in the West, is the monopoly of right-wing bigots, if the media and the minorities have anything to say about it—and they have a lot to say about it. The searing hate of minority racists is dismissed as a harmless form of anger at past wrongs.

Another speech-muzzling case involves Paul Fromm, an Ontario school teacher who, after dutifully serving the Canadian school system for lo these many years, was abruptly fired some months ago on the complaint of the Canadian Jewish Congress. His principal crime? He said a few words at a memorial service for the late Revilo Oliver, one of the West’s leading classicists. Anyone who has a few extra bucks might send them to Fromm. He’s in dire financial straits. His address is Canadian Association for Free Expression, Inc., P. O. Box 332, Station “B”, Etobicoke, Ontario M9W 5L3, Canada.

Ernst Zündel, who has practically been drowned and quartered for his stalwart criticism of Jewish racism, has again been hauled into court. For his efforts to give German history a break, his Toronto house has been burned to the ground and his mail has included a pipebomb. Also, he has been pilloried for two decades. The latest legal onslaught against him was triggered by a complaint, engineered by the Canadian Jewish Congress (there’s that Luddite gang again!), that his American web site on the Internet contained anti-Semitic material.

Canada is two ethnostates waiting to happen. In the recent election the New Democratic Party got 22 seats in Parliament, up from 9. The NDP, which includes the four western provinces, is separatist minded and has no love for French-speaking Canada, itself always on the verge of devolution. The Liberal Party, not too distinct from the British Labour Party and the French Socialist Party, is still in charge, but barely. It’s a fair bet that within a couple of decades Canada will be a very different country.

Accustomed to going off the truth meter when reporting news about Nazis, the N.Y. Times (Feb. 3) reported, “as many as 3,000 war criminals” moved to Canada after WWII.

Europe. The designers of the Euro, scheduled to be the currency of a partially united Europe, couldn’t agree on the personalities to picture on the banknotes. Shakespeare was rejected for the anti-Semitism that shows up in The Merchant of Venice; Mozart for the alleged Masonic spin on some of his music; Leonardo da Vinci because, according to Guido Ciancianzo, an Italian banker on the committee, “it was feared the old tale about his homosexuality would be dragged up.” The decision makers finally settled on non-controversial bridges, buildings and the like.

United Nations. Meeting in Geneva, the UN Human Rights Committee demanded that Israel stop torturing Palestinian activists. More than 20 Palestinians have died in Israeli jails since 1987. Most noxious was the Israeli Supreme Court’s recent approval of “physical pressure” during interrogations. One would think that any country whose highest court puts its imprimatur on torture would be banned from the community of nations. Instead it is treated with kid gloves.

A UN panel has demanded that Israel pay $1.7 billion for the damages caused by the Zionist state’s shelling and bombing of a UN peacekeeping camp in Lebanon. Nearly 100 people died, most of them civilians. The UN people, however, missed the point. Israel never pays; it is only paid.
Britain. Sans the financial help of prominent Jews, Tony Blair’s electoral triumph would have been much less triumphant. The new Prime Minister has what is called a private office fund, to which financial bigwigs can contribute without revealing their identity. When a few praying reporters got hold of the list of the fattest fatcats, they ran into such characters as Sir Trevor Chinn, Chairman of Britain’s largest automobile dealer, Sir Emmanuel Levy, boss of a record company and a boon companion of the new P.M. The chief fundraiser is film producer Sir David Puttnam, a former member of the Young Communist’s of a large computer software company, Robert Gavron, Chairman of the Guardian Media Group, David Goldman, head of a large computer software company, and so on and so on. The chief fundraiser and organizer of the Blair kitty is Michael Tabachnik in London. . . .Gavron [mentioned previously] is known to have contributed around half a million pounds to the party’s general funds. Gavron is well-known for his circle of very influential friends, which includes Jeremy Isaacs, Gerald Kaufman and Michael Green, head of Carlton Communications. Another friend whom Gavron touched for some cash was the East European “refugee” Paul Hamly, who chipped in 600,000 pounds from his publishing fortune.

Looming darkly above all these gentlemen is Peter Mandelson, newly appointed Minister without Portfolio, a former member of the Young Communist’s League and a guru on the order of Clinton’s foot-fetisher Dick Morris. All in all the most powerful new face in government, with the exception of Blair himself, is Jack Straw, the new Home Secretary, who is only partially Jewish.

The bill for the dinner that the Blairs hosted for the Clintons at a swank London restaurant overlooking the Thames came to $490. In the midst of this gourmet feast, which included some choice wines, Clinton ordered a beer.

Prince Charles has publicly praised the moral values of the Islamic religion. Too bad he never practiced them. The Prince of Wales announced that when he becomes King—a big if—he wants to change his job description from “Defender of the Faith” to “Defender of the Faiths.”

France. In the recent national election, the Front National got 15% of the vote, but only one seat in the Chamber of Deputies. The Communists, on the other hand, garnered only 9.9% of the vote, but managed to rake in 36 seats. To say the French elections are rigged against the Front National is an understatement. The winner-take-all system is a drag on non-establishment parties. France once had a popular representation system that chose delegates on the basis of number of voters at large. Allowing districts to choose candidates favors often corrupt local political machines.

As a whole, the election was a big win for the Socialists, who are once more in the catbird’s seat. The two so-called conservative parties lost heavily, but Chirac, a conservative, still remains president, an office which has more power than the U.S. presidency. The Front National will continue to plug the immigration issue, while the other parties will continue to treat it delicately, if at all. As in the U.S., if immigration is not stopped and the birthrate of legals and illegals not lowered, France will become a mongrel nation.

Prior to the election, the Front National called for a national referendum if Yeltsin does so.

A Jewish commando forcefully closed the parish church, Notre-Dame-des-Victoires, where French nationalists planned to celebrate a mass in memory of Marshal Pétain, France’s WWI hero and WWII devil.

Caving in to Jewish pressure, four French museums held exhibits in April of some 900 works of art—including works by Picasso, Cézanne and Matisse—which the Nazis had confiscated in France during WWII. Since the ownership of such paintings was untraceable, the reason for the exhibit was to see if the owners might turn up, recognize their former possessions and claim them. As might be expected, there was a crowd of pretenders sniffing money. Meanwhile in Russia, President Yeltsin may veto a measure declaring art treasures seized from Germany after WWII to be Russian property. The lawmakers who drafted the bill plan to call for a national referendum if Yeltsin does so.

Switzerland. Frank Meili, a Swiss whistle blower, sneaked confidential bank documents due for shredding to Jewish organizations seeking to retrieve money and other valuables allegedly deposited by Jews attempting to put their assets beyond the reach of Nazis. Denominated a “righteous Gentile” by grateful Chosenites, Meili was denounced as a “squealer” by many Swiss, whose rage...
get him a job if he came to the U.S. At last report Meili, who attributed his unlawful act to his viewing of *Schindler's List*, was in Washington begging the Senate for protection. Some American Jews, by the way, argue that, if the Swiss don't come up with a satisfactory plan to restore assets carried away by Nazis, the U.S. should freeze more than $86 billion in public and private funds invested in the U.S.

**Germany.** Markus Wolf, spy chief of the defunct East German government, was given a two-year suspended sentence for his multiple crimes. Nazi spies end up suspended from a rope. Communist spies get suspended sentences.

They just keep rolling over. Allianz A.G., the German insurance company being sued by Holocaust survivors for non-payment of policies taken out in wartime, has now set up a number (1-800-411-0118) for survivors or their heirs seeking information. Please, Instaurationists, don't run your computers for repeated 24-hour-a-day dial-up. It's probably illegal.

**Vatican.** The Holy See is frustrated with and by Israel. After having agreed, against the advice of many of its own Near Eastern officials, to recognize the State of Israel in 1994, Archbishop Celli, who oversees Catholic holy sites, now reports that Israel has failed to live up to its agreements to protect Catholic properties, publication rights and freedom of religion.

The Papacy plans to apologize formally for "anti-Semitic errors" of Catholicism in an attempt to foster peace between Christians and Jews before the turn of the century. The Pope has also formed a commission to examine the persecution of Jews during the Inquisition and address the church's "abandonment of Jews during the Holocaust." Two symposia on anti-Semitism will be held this fall at the Vatican with the objective of formalizing the Church's goal of seeking pardon for its mistakes.

**Ukraine.** From a subscriber. The three-story Jewish Charity and Community Center in Kiev is raising hollies. In its crumbling, aging surroundings it stands out like a sore thumb with its Oriental rugs, framed art posters and blond-wood furniture. In a neighborhood where socks, diapers, rubber gloves, sheets, slippers, medicine, insulin, hearing aids, citrus fruits and other basic items are badly needed, $1.5 million—a chunk of a multi-million-dollar German reparations settlement earmarked for aid to the elderly poor—was spent on a lavish redecoration of the Jewish Center, including a gym, an imported Italian kitchen and costly silver-lettered wall inscriptions.

**Russia.** The N.Y. Times (April 15) reported: "The situation of anti-Semitism in Russia today is that in most ways life for Jews here was never better." Nevertheless the U.S. continues to welcome tens of thousands of Russian Jews each year after classifying them as persecuted refugees.

**Israel.** In March, U.S. Ambassador to Israel, Martin Indyk, was called a yeHUDON (Jew boy) in the Knesset for his recommended concessions to Palestinians. Turning to Revham Ze'evi, the man who made the slur, Indyk said, "The last time someone called me a Jew boy I was 15 years old and he got a punch in the face." The Israeli rightwinger replied, "Try me," and again called Indyk a yeHUDON. Reneging on his promise, Indyk confined his further remarks to saying that Ze'evi was a "disgrace to the state of Israel." The latter replied in English, "And you are a son of a bitch."

Shimon Peres, the loser in the last election, has admitted that when he was Foreign Minister he ordered the kidnapp-ing of Mordechai Vanunu from Italy. Vanunu is the Israeli who spilled the beans on the Promised Land's huge nuclear arsenal.

Despite all the Jewish hoopla about settlements, the U.S. Consul General in Israel, Edward Abington, released a statement that the CIA had found a 25% vacancy rate in West Bank housing and 50% in the Gaza Strip. Abington was also quoted as saying that settlement expansion in the Occupied Zone was based on ideology alone. For his objective comments, the Consul General was severely reprimanded by Secretary of State, Madeleine Albright.

In mid-March a gang of Orthodox Jews broke into the place of worship of Jehovah's Witnesses in Jerusalem and completely dismantled it. The public address system was ripped out and carried away. All the written material—boxes of books, pamphlets and correspondence—was piled up in the yard and burned. The Jewish vandals then danced and sang around the bonfire.

Gregory Lerner was arrested in Israel in May. A Russian Jew, he was charged with stealing $85 million from a Russian bank.

Being debated in the Knesset is a bill to criminalize Christian proselytizing in Israel. The measure would outlaw the printing, distribution or importing of material "in which there is an inducement for religious conversion" with a punishment of up to one year in jail.

Scientists at the Technion-Israel Institute of Technology in Haifa claim to have found a "Hohen Gene" present in Kohanim, descendants of the biblical Israelite priests. An analysis of the Y chromosome was performed on 188 religious and secular Jews, 68 of them Kohanim, from Israel, North America and Britain. Only 1.5% of the Kohanim carried the YAP+, DYS19B haplotype, compared to 18.4% of lay Jews.

**Mexico.** While Clinton plays the elder statesman in Europe, the Middle East, Latin America and God knows where else, Mexicans are shooting live bullets into the Southwest from the southern side of the Rio Grande. On April 18, two U.S. Customs inspectors were shot and wounded near the Calexico border station. On May 17 a sniper wounded a Border Patrol agent not far from the San Ysidro border crossing. On May 23 snipers fired on two more Border Patrol agents, using AK-47 assault weapons. Says Representative Duncan Hunter (R-CA), "[T]here is a war going on for control of our border with Mexico." In this war Clinton is nowhere to be seen or heard.

**Borneo.** Childless couples in a remote jungle region of Borneo are said to be stealing orangutan babies to raise as their own. Three or four apes disappear every month from the Sepilok Rehabilitation Center. Dr. Edwin Bosi, who runs the operation, said some of the animals have been found in the nearby homes of plantation workers, who shave the orangutans' body hair to make the critters look more human.

**Malaysia.** Prime Minister Dr. Mahathir has proposed that Asian and African countries swamp Europe and the U.S. with legal and illegal immigrants if developing nations "are not allowed to prosper." (The Australian, May 7, 1997)