Honest Abe’s True Colors
In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

☐ Does it ever occur to anyone that O.J. Simpson can vote and Mark Fuhrman can't?

☐ How about a 50-year rule? Everyone takes a pill at 50, the age most of us begin to take more from anonymity, most communicants will have the desire and ability to read Instauration. It's most important to reach these one or two men.

☐ The Umatilla Indians say there is no problem of immigration in their "ancestral homelands" in Washington state. Their oral traditions suffice for their "history." Case closed.

☐ Publications like the Wall St. Journal and the Economist reflect the big-business view that immigration is 100% beneficial. They never mention any limit.

☐ A friend of mine has long been a key official of an important international body. From past conversations I know he

is no anti-Semite. A while back a Jewish bureaucrat was appointed his boss. According to my pal, the new boss has replaced everyone he could with often incompetent fellow tribesmen. The financial press keeps mum. Disillusioned, my friend is taking early retirement.

☐ Israel and France are lately accused of being the most active in "industrial espionage." I don't imagine they ignore other bits of information that fall in their hands when they go a-snooping. Makes one nervous, doesn't it?

☐ Hillary admits she has met many stupid adults, but never a stupid child. How old do we have to be to become stupid?

☐ It's comforting to know that even the rich are only one flat tire away from reality. Welcome, Ennis Cosby, to the world the rest of us live in.

☐ I never met a redneck I didn't like. In fact I'm not sure I have ever met a redneck. But I certainly have met a lot of urban hicks from the vicinity of New York and California, all of them psychoneurotic mutts.

☐ We knew Madeleine Albright was a Jewess. How come she didn't?

☐ In a prison of say 500 inmates, there will only be one or two guys at most who have the desire and ability to read Instauration. It's most important to reach these one or two men.

☐ An Israeli spokesman grimly protests that the very worst aspect of the recent turmoil was Palestinian police firing on Israelis. Doesn't the opposite happen all the time?

☐ Every year the U.S. deports 30,000 Mexican kids between the ages of 12 and 15. Mexican government officials charge the teens' rights are being violated because "crossing the frontier involves innumerable risks." Sometimes I wonder if this is all a nightmare, a Lewis Carroll fantasy. Everything seems backward, upside down, topsy-turvy. We are violating their rights by not making it safe for them to violate our laws!!!
rush to approve for the daily handout to the nation of Israel. Give me a break!

☐ Eastern European and Mongoloid Jews may account for some odd shapes and faces we see among the Chosen, such as the tall, thin, cadaverous types, the barracuda-like Menahem Begin, strange and ugly mouths like that of Streisand and the mannish faces the women acquire as they age. A typical case is Lillian Hellman, who was a rather pretty girl when young.

☐ The Jews in Russia are not the victims they pretend to be, but are in fact a favored group.

☐ Hope may be killed and faith lost because of cold logic and hard facts. But never say die. Life is not logical but illogical.

☐ When I was in Guatemala I read a story about a meeting of village leaders attended by “guerrillas” in black-face and camouflage, who shot them all dead. Obviously they were military. At least 70,000 natives were killed. Their destroyers (the military) got their weapons from Israel.

☐ After listening to the Council for Foreign Relations crowd praise Pamela Digby Churchill Hayward Harriman, it occurred to me that morality, like patriotism, is only for the lower classes.

☐ American whites today seem to be complete asses. Please tell me I am wrong.

☐ When I read 787’s Safety Valve letter about being spanked for rudeness to the local Negro postman, I almost fell off my chair. When I was about the same age, I was told to speak that way to another living person, white or colored! I also remember a few days later being picked up at school by my mother who observed me playing with a little colored girl. She told me to “find a more suitable playmate.”

☐ When the topic turns to illegal immigrants, one side trots out a well-credentialed economist to point out what a horrendous drag they are on the system. The other side dusts off an equally well-credentialed economist (frequently Jewish) to point out what a boon they are to our economic well-being. He doesn’t know how we ever got along without ‘em! That the economic argument is always foremost shows the utter bankruptcy of such an approach. The simple fact is they’re not supposed to be here! They are lawbreakers and therefore criminals. After all, if economic benefits were the be-all and end-all of public policy, why do we put burglars in jail? Doesn’t their behavior stimulate consumption after people return home to find their TVs, VCRs and stereos missing?

☐ Hard to open a general interest magazine without seeing the profile of some blissfully queer couple. How long before threesomes (or more) will be the in thing?

☐ Every culture is based on a moral consensus. Unlike Koreans, we do not eat dogs, nor have we yet embraced the Eskimo practice of putting our elderly out to freeze to death. When this consensus is changed by subterfuge, without sufficient debate, discord results. For 200 years the thought that a baby could be legally killed at birth or that queues could marry was as absurd and repugnant as cannibalism still is. Suddenly the judiciary discovers that these rights were always there, hidden in the crannies and penumbras of what had seemed well-understood law. Such judicial usurpation will not have a peaceful end.

☐ Clinton will not be the first American dictator. The person who will be is the man who has learned firsthand from Clinton all about the astonishing gullibility of the American people.

☐ Does anyone remember 25-30 years ago when The Population Bomb was a bestseller? Malthusian disaster was stalking the nation and everyone was buzzing about zero population growth. The U.S., we were told, would have to curb its birthrate immediately—no excuses. The white folks did so, but no one else did. Now with minority population growth, immigration out of control and predictions of a white minority nation around the corner, we hear few lectures from liberals about the importance of capping the nation’s population.

☐ A recent issue of the Economist had a long article on Africa’s inexorable slide back to the bush, posing the question, “Why Has Africa Failed? Not even a hint of the true and simple explanation.

☐ For what it’s worth, everyone I’ve met from Arkansas the last few years has assured me that everything I’ve heard about Bill Clinton is true.

☐ While being interviewed by Larry King on CNN, racial cousin Walter Matthau admitted to Larry that one of the many lies in his autobiography was that his father was a Greek Orthodox priest. Lots of chuckles. King continued his questioning as though viewers were expected to believe the replies were any more truthful than the already admitted falsehoods.

☐ For the media the only qualification a refugee needs for citizenship is the ability to cry.

☐ My aunt who lives in Los Angeles assures me that Ethiopians are going to UCLA without having to pay any tuition. Seems if one is a refugee, Uncle Sam picks up the tab. Wonder how many Majority students scrambling for tuition money are aware of this outrage!

☐ I hear there are 10,000 Mayan refugees in South Florida. For the first time in memory their babies are being born with birth defects. Guess who pays? They blame U.S. pollution. If things are so bad, why don’t they return home?

☐ Carson McCullers wrote The Heart Is a Lonely Hunter. The white heart is loneliness of all, lonely for the we-feeling we once had. “Listen to your heart,” the gorgeous Swedish singer of Expose sings. Our collective white heart must keep on beating faithfully until it beats a path to victory’s door.
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Abraham Lincoln reconsidered

Honest Abe’s True Colors

Much of Moriarty’s recent essay (Jan. 1997) is devoted to backpedaling from the man that General George McLellan called “the Royal Ape.” There seems to have arisen in Moriarty’s psyche some dim recognition that Lincoln wasn’t such a good role model for race-conscious whites after all. It is difficult to take seriously any racist who favors the man that Nelson Mandela has identified as one of his most admired heroes along with Lenin, Stalin and Chairman Mao.

Unfortunately, following Moriarty’s change of heart, he expends much ink to convince readers that the Southern view of Lincoln is irrelevant. He still denies that Lincoln played a major role in initiating white dissolution. He wonders if a successful South would have become just another Brazil. He assures us that “white racism” won’t sell anymore and that neither the Old South nor the pre-civil rights South can be resurrected. Finally he proclaims that while “the issue today is how to salvage the, or a, white nation in America. . .splitting the white population along sectional lines seems counterproductive.”

At the end, there is a return to the earlier article (Nov. 1996) that puffs Lincoln as revealer of life’s great profundities. Southerners who just don’t “get it” receive some rather condescending advice. Having lost a vicious war by being too chivalrous, they should gratefully abase themselves before their conqueror’s all-seeing wisdom.

Certainly the South suffered, and its wounds are evident to this day. But suffering is more profitable if it brings knowledge as well as experience. Lincoln taught the Confederates the difference between a war and a duel. Lincoln can teach today’s white Majority the difference between the United States of America and the American Nation.

This statement displays a callous disregard for the hundreds of thousands of courageous men in gray who fought to preserve their race and their civilization in the conflict of 1861-1865 and who endured the infamous Reconstruction that followed. It also displays a lamentable lack of understanding of the causes of the war and the nature of the forces arrayed against the Confederacy. Most distressingly it reveals a myopic inability to discern that the Cause of the Confederacy in 1861 is also the cause of white racists today.

Red Republican in the White House

The South was not so ignorant as contemporary Americans of Lincoln’s true colors, one of which turns out to be Red. Southerners in 1860 were well aware of the destructive force that first showed its face in 1848, when Karl Marx published the Communist Manifesto, the year that saw the outbreak of socialist revolutions throughout Europe. Although these revolutions were premature, it was seven more decades before the forces of communism were able to subvert a European state in their nihilistic revolt against civilization.

In an 1860 sermon delivered in the shadow of looming war, Southern theologian James H. Thornwell revealed just how well the South understood not only the implications of the North’s rising secular humanist pseudo-religion and its ideological soul-mate, abolitionism, but also the relationship of both to the embryonic political and ideological monstrosity whose evil, all-devouring nature he could have only guessed at in 1860.

The parties in this conflict are not merely Abolitionists and slaveholders, they are Atheists, Socialists, Communists, Red Republicans, Jacobins on the one side and the friends of order and regulated freedom on the other. In one word, the world is the battleground, Christianity and Atheism the combatants, and the progress of humanity the stake.

This startling quote shows just how well Southerners understood who the principals were in the impending clash that New York Senator William Seward called “an irrepressible conflict between opposing and enduring forces.” Americans should consider carefully the implications of the North’s “Red” connection. Those who see Lincoln as anything other than an agent of white destruction place themselves in league with just about every enemy the white race has. One hopes that this is done out of ignorance rather than by informed choice.

Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels supported Lincoln’s war. During the war, Marx wrote a column for socialist Horace Greeley’s New York Tribune. Award-winning historian James McPherson made the connection between Lincoln and socialism in Abraham Lincoln and the Second American Revolution:

Lincoln championed the leaders of the European revolutions of 1848; in turn, a man who knew something about those revolutions, Karl Marx praised Lincoln in 1865 as “the single-minded son of the working class” who led his “country through the matchless struggle for the rescue of an enchained race and the reconstruction of a social world.”

Russian revolutionaries favored the North. Alexander Herzen called Jefferson Davis “the greatest political criminal of our time.” Michael Bakunin wrote of Lincoln’s war, “[I]n the struggle between the Northern and Southern United States. . .the North. . .has all my sympathies.” Bakunin was even more pleased with Reconstruction and the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments. Winning the war wasn’t enough; for “popular self-government” to become
a reality, “another revolution. . .far more profound” was required. Like the Bolsheviks who infiltrated America in the 1920s and 1930s, these early Communist revolutionaries clearly saw the potential of using the Negro race as a proletariat for subjugation of the West.

In light of this, perhaps it is not coincidental that Lincoln’s administration enacted into law three planks of the Communist Manifesto. The first was a national banking act. The second was the Internal Revenue Service. The third, enacted by the Morrill Tariff Act, was public education at Land Grant Colleges.

Lincoln benefited from the failed 1848 Communist revolutions in more material ways. Many veteran “forty-eighters” fled to the U.S. With the outbreak of the War for Southern Independence, they joined Lincoln’s invasion army, forming an experienced cadre of military leaders who helped compensate for the fact that many of the best U.S. officers cast their lot with the Confederacy. Friedrich Engels later wrote of this bonanza of Communist leadership that “had it not been for the experienced soldiers who had entered America after the European revolution (1848), especially from Germany, the organization of the Union army would have taken still longer than it did.”


The Lavender Lincoln

There is hardly time or space to recount the many horror stories of Lincoln’s personal aberrations. There is some evidence that he was the bastard offspring of a North Carolina man who took Lincoln’s mother into his home as an orphan child and molested her sexually. Lincoln publicly professed Christianity for the sake of politics, but as a young man he wrote a book mocking Christianity and the Bible. Recent medical studies of Lincoln’s condition suggest that he may have suffered from Marfan’s Syndrome, a genetic disease that frequently leads to early death. Throughout life he was plagued by nightmares, delusions, hallucinations and forebodings about his own death, which some writers believe he may have craved.

His 1832 address to the Young Men’s Lyceum in Springfield supports that theory. George McDaniel writes:

In that bizarre speech, speaking almost wistfully, Lincoln rhapsodized about the founding fathers having achieved glory in death. He went on to warn his young audience cryptically about the possibility of a genius rising up in America, who, in his quest for fame, might attempt to destroy the country. He counseled his bewildered audience that they must help defeat this evil genius. Could Lincoln just possibly have been speaking of his own evil side and could the two faces exemplify his schizophrenic nature? Could Lincoln himself be the “house divided against itself” that he spoke of years later?

Lincoln was almost certainly a sexual deviant. Rumors persist of dalliances between Lincoln and Marine guards in the White House. These charges are unproven but others are more certain. In his book, The Inner World of Abraham Lincoln, historian Michael Burlingame describes Lincoln’s homosexuality and the sadomasochistic marital relationship with his wife. In his 1926 book, Abraham Lincoln: The Prairie Years, Carl Sandburg, hardly a lightweight source, described Lincoln and merchant Joshua Speed as having “a streak of lavender and spots soft as May violets.”

In The Story the Soldiers Wouldn’t Tell: Sex in the Civil War, medical historian Thomas P. Lowry tells how Lincoln slept in the same bed with Speed for four years. When Speed announced his marriage, Lincoln became so depressed he could not perform his duties as an Illinois legislator. His friends feared he might commit suicide. As quoted in Lincoln associate William Herndon’s 1888 work, Life of Lincoln, Lincoln wrote to Speed:

Your [letter], announcing that Miss Fanny and you are “no more twain, but one flesh,” reached me this morning. . . . I feel somewhat jealous of both of you now. You will be so exclusively concerned for one another that I shall be forgotten entirely. . . . I shall be very lonesome without you.

Firebell in the Night

While honorable men like Stephen Douglas and James Buchanan tried to salvage the Constitution and white civilization, Lincoln’s abolitionist allies, known at the time as “Black Republicans,” fanned the flames of race war. Thomas Jefferson had long ago seen that the fervor aroused by the slavery question would lead to a national catastrophe. In a prescient letter to Massachusetts Congressman John Holmes following the Missouri Compromise of 1820 which excluded slavery from territories north of latitude 36°30’ Jefferson wrote:

[T]his momentous question, like a firebell in the night, awakened and filled me with terror. I considered it
at once as the knell of the Union. ... A geographical line, coinciding with a marked principle, moral and political, once conceived and held up to the angry passions of men, will never be obliterated. ... I regret that I am now to die in the belief that the useless sacrifice of themselves by the generation of 1776, to acquire self-government and happiness to their country, is to be thrown away by the unwise and unworthy passions of their sons.

The War’s cause has been distorted by both sides in the aftermath. The South’s detractors claim that the war was fought to free the slaves. Many Southerners, fearing the “racism” smear, counter that the war was fought over the central issue but in a way radically different than abolitionists agitated relentlessly for armless servile insurrection against the “angry passions” that Jefferson foresaw. Southerners were forced to fight for survival against the “angry passions” that Jefferson foresaw.

Have Americans forgotten why John Brown attacked Harpers Ferry? Throughout the decades before the war, abolitionists agitated relentlessly for armed servile insurrection against the South. Intent on fulfilling this malevolent fantasy, Brown planned to arm Virginia’s slaves as a prelude to massacre. For this monstrous act, the Northern press compared Brown favorably to Jesus Christ. With the examples of the bloody Nat Turner Rebellion, the genocidal Haiti massacres and other lethal slave uprisings before them, Southerners had little choice but to defend themselves against those who openly advocated their mass murder.

Outgoing President James Buchanan understood the potential for disaster far better than Lincoln. In his final speech to Congress in December 1860, he said, “Self-preservation is the first law of nature, and therefore any state of society in which the sword is all the time suspended over the heads of the people must at last become intolerable.” No one in a position of leadership incited passions more than Abraham Lincoln. To the South, Lincoln, the great “house-divided-against-itsel-fcannot-stand” abolitionist, was the point of the sword Buchanan so vividly described. The election of 1860 was the beginning of its descent. The South had no choice but to abandon a union that sanctioned murder of white women and children.

Given events since the war, is it true that Lincoln was “the historical and political progenitor of today’s largely self-inflicted white genocide?” Is this mere “heated opinion,” as Moriarty contends? Significantly, he fails to quote the remainder of my critical passage:

Beyond the murder of over 600,000 of his own countrymen in a war of fratricidal vengeance marked by the deliberate employment of “total war” by Lincoln’s Union army commanders, ... Lincoln’s war conferred moral sanction, and indeed constitutional compulsion, upon the lethal delusion of racial egalitarianism.

It is the ascendancy of the ideology of racial equality that threatens our existence. Lincoln was not alone in creating our plight. It is certainly true that others have followed in his footsteps. But it was Lincoln who created the legal and social climate that legitimized the destruction of America’s racial hierarchy. The inexorable consequence of Lincoln’s revolution held at bay for a century by segregation and Jim Crow was an inevitable and concomitant rise of race-mixing and the agent of our “self-inflicted genocide.”

This view is not mine alone. Perceptive Southerners said as much at the time. In his postwar book, In Defense of Virginia and the South, Robert L. Dabney, chaplain to Stonewall Jackson, described the deadly peril Lincoln’s revolution created: “The black race is an alien one on our soil; and nothing except his amalgamation with ours, or his subordination to ours, can prevent the rise of that instinctive antipathy of race, which, history shows, always arises between opposite races in proximity.” He added:

[The offspring of an amalgamation must be a hybrid race. ... And this apparently is the destiny which our conquerors have in view. If indeed they can mix the blood of the heroes of Manassas with this vile stream from the fens of Africa, then they will never again have occasion to tremble before the righteous resistance of Virginia freemen; but will have a race supple and vile enough to fill that position of political subjugation, which they desire to fix on the South.

Advance to Barbarism

The assertion that the embattled South thought of the War as some sort of romantic lark is so far divorced from historical reality as to be deeply insulting. Outnumbered more than 4-to-1 in population, and 100-to-1 in industrial capacity at the beginning of the War, the South fought because it had no choice. It was Lincoln who raised an army to invade the South. It was the South which fought with grim desperation as long as it was able.

Men like Stonewall Jackson and Nathan Bedford Forrest were not squeamish when it came to the art of killing. When asked what to do about massive looting and vandalism by Union troops in Fredericksburg on the eve of the December 1862 battle, Jackson replied: “Kill them, sir. Kill them every one.” Forrest’s ferocity is the stuff of legend. His philosophy was “war means fighting, and fighting means killing.” He was so good at it that William T. Sherman once wrote, “Forrest should be hunted down and killed if it costs 10,000 lives and bankrupts the treasury.”

Grant asked Lincoln to suspend exchange of Union and Confederate prisoners because he calculated that one Confederate was worth three of his “melting pot soldiers.”
The Army of Northern Virginia under Robert E. Lee inflicted such devastating casualties on Grant’s Army of the Potomac that it very nearly cost Lincoln the election of 1864.

It is true that the South refrained from the organized butchery of Sherman, Phil Sheridan, Benjamin “Beast” Butler and other Unionists who waged total war on defenseless civilians. The burning of Atlanta, the march to the sea, the destruction of the Shenandoah Valley, the depopulation of parts of Missouri and Georgia, the internment of civilians in concentration camps, the obscene treatment of Southern POWs in Northern camps, and uncounted other atrocities bear scathing testimony to Lincoln’s barbarism against the South. However, only a man utterly devoid of civilizing instincts could construe such behavior as a virtue. As with the Allies’ wars against Germany, self-righteous carnage against our own blood kin has brought the white race perilously close to disaster.

The second assertion that Lincoln teaches us, the “difference between the United States of America and the American Nation,” says nothing positive about Lincoln. One presumes that “the United States of America” denotes the federal government, and “the American Nation” denotes the people themselves, sans government. One further presumes that a racialist must surely favor preserving the people ahead of their institutions. However, in the conflict of 1861-1865, it was Lincoln who fought to preserve the “Union,” that all-powerful empire that was the United States of America. Conversely the South fought to preserve itself from racial amalgamation. It seems self-evident that a racialist must embrace the South’s position and condemn the megalomaniac who tried to destroy it.

Salvaging an Ethnostate

Does Southern nationalism (“sectionalism”) have no role in the political calculus of the 20th century? Who voted for California’s anti-immigration Proposition 187 and anti-affirmative action Proposition 209? Far from abandoning racial awareness, we must fan its flames. As conditions worsen, the white population will polarize along racial lines.

As for Southern nationalism, if “sectionalism” is not the answer, what is? How, except by irredentism and geographic concentration, can an ethnostate arise? Shall we all move to odd-numbered street addresses and call that our nation? The idea is preposterous. Any animal in the wild knows instinctively that it must defend its own territory or die. One can already see sectionalism at work in Quebec and in the Southwest, where Mexico is assiduously colonizing land that was once American. Does anyone believe that Mexicans intent on establishing “Aztlán” will forego “sectionalism” in pursuit of their goal? Those who dismiss Southern nationalism and the strong racial consciousness that underlies it should ask themselves what they would substitute in its place.

While no one wishes bloodshed, we must realize that salvation may not come without disruption. A core group identity and a locus of action are mandatory for success.

Diffuse resistance everywhere translates to effectiveness nowhere. Most Southerners still retain a sense of kinship and loyalty to their Southern heritage. This self-consciousness exists in few other regions. It is not so pronounced even in the West, where antipathy to the federal government is at least as strong as it is in the South.

If one “section” or even one state takes action, it may be joined by others, just as Lincoln’s call for the invasion of the lower Southern states precipitated secession by Virginia, North Carolina, Tennessee and Arkansas. Refusal by a governor to accept a particularly noxious federal diktat may, in fact, be the confrontational spark that one day ignites the birth of an ethnostate. This will work best in a region where sectional loyalties command participation by sister states. It could have happened when Eisenhower sent troops to Little Rock.

Finally, no war is won without a unifying cause and symbols of that cause. The Confederate flag is still a talisman of extraordinary power, as anyone who has ever lofted it in defiance in the face of blacks can readily attest. The elemental fear and dismay are palpable.

What lessons, then, are we to learn from the man whose war caused the deaths of more white Americans than all other American wars combined, the man who ushered in political and social equality for a race whose genes are destroying Western civilization, the man who advanced the universalist cause as no one in his era had done before or since? Perhaps there is a lesson for us, but it is not the one cited in Moriarty’s “Deep Politics.” It is this: failure to discern the true nature of any conflict abrogates all hope of victory. In war one must identify enemies and allies. Those who are not allies are enemies, and must be treated as such.

Lincoln overthrew a nation based on the sanctity and preeminence of the white race in order to preserve an empire that trumpeted such sanctimonious “principles” as preservation of the Union, racial equality, commercial hegemony and on and on. This makes Lincoln the enemy. What non-Southerners must recognize is that it was the South that fought to save the white race. In Lincoln’s own words, Southerners fought against an “evil genius” who tried to destroy the American Nation and its founding people. Consequently the Southern Cause is the cause of whites everywhere and all whites must join that cause if we are to succeed. When the time comes to rectify the racial disaster that looms before us, the South will be in the forefront of that struggle. But it must not be alone. The “irrepressible conflict” is more than just a Southern struggle. It is more than just an American struggle. It is a struggle for white survival.

GRAY FOX
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Tips from a Trojan Horse

Anyone interested in espionage will find this book enthralling. It tells the inside story of Pavel Sudoplatov’s involvement in Soviet spying from his 1920s recruitment by the OGPU in Odessa to his arrest a few months after Stalin’s death in 1953. His is one of the most remarkable careers in the history of espionage. In later years, under the personal tutelage of the awesome Lavrenti Beria, he took on a staggering range of responsibilities. His first important assignment, beginning in 1934, was to penetrate the rebel Ukrainian Nationalist organization in Europe. Successfully completing the project, he then took on tasks as varied as coordinating partisan resistance in WWII and supervising atomic espionage. Stalin could not have had a more dedicated servant. This makes his eventual downfall at the hands of Khrushchev an act of supreme ingratitude and illustrates the essential rottenness of the Soviet system.

Sudoplatov was apparently a “true believer” in the Soviet system right up to the time of his arrest. Only after most of his friends had abandoned him and he was serving a 15-year term in prison did he realize that communism, or at least its Soviet version, was not a noble social experiment. The question is: How could a man of Sudoplatov’s experience have been blind for so long to the real nature of the system he served so faithfully? Could it be that he was at bottom a Satanic version of the quintessential Boy Scout? Loyal, upright, totally dedicated, raised in bleak circumstances, already at 14 fighting for the Bolsheviks against the Whites, Sudoplatov evidently came to see the Party as his mother and Stalin his father. To this Happy Warrior, enemies of the Communist Party simply had to be liquidated. Consequently he felt no qualms about murdering (on Stalin’s personal direction) Ukrainian Nationalist leader Konovalets in Rotterdam in 1938 with a booby-trapped box of chocolates. Nor was he fazed by any of the other assassinations he helped to set up or cover up, including four committed by the NKVD’s chief poisoner, Nathan Maironovsky.

If a Nazi official with a similar résumé were to plead with surprise at his naiveté. His underlying boyishness comes out most clearly when he bitterly complains to fellow prisoner (and former deputy) Leonid Eitingon that what is being done to them is illegal! Eitingon merely laughs, “Laws and power struggles are incompatible.” In a more civilized regime, Sudoplatov might have become a hero to the young. He did in fact approach that status after his eventual “rehabilitation,” when he taught tradecraft at the KGB Academy.

A similar respect is due to Sudoplatov’s principal agents. Despite Robert Conquest’s verdict that they were all ultimately “the criminal agents of a criminal regime,” Eitingon (né Isakovovich), Lev Vasilevsky and Gregori Kheifetz were three smart miscreants. For sheer talent and resourcefulness, they would be hard to match. Though the major British traitors were equally clever—and globally perhaps more damaging—their personal range was less.

Eitingon had worked with GRU agent Richard Sorge of Tokyo fame, plotted with the Reds in the Spanish Civil War and arranged the murder of Trotsky in Mexico. In the NKVD, Eitingon was known for his great charm and humor and for being a consummate ladies’ man who adored the children of his three separate families, while quoting Pushkin by the yard. He could have excelled in any walk of life. So could Zinovy Katzenelson of the Ukrainian NKVD (journalist, bond-trader in Paris, guerrilla commanderr in Spain). Abram Slutsky, Sudoplatov’s first boss in Moscow, not only stole the Swedish design for ballbearings, but blackmailed match-king Kreuger for $300,000!

Such men cannot be dismissed as mere “thugs.” Their “people skills” were equally impressive. Recruiting total strangers to work against their own country is not easy, but it was a task in which Sudoplatov’s deputies excelled. Above all, they were loyal to one another as their Kremlin bosses would never be to them. Even under torture, when “purged” in the late 1940s, they refused to incriminate others. Nor were they the only ones to exhibit a surprising amount of virtue in an evil environment. Veteran NKVD-er Pyotr Zubov refused to sign a false confession even when the infamous interrogator, B.V. Rodos, smashed his knees with a hammer. Another of Beria’s victims, the ruthless Viktor Abakumov, former head of SMERSH, died without
Special Tasks is a book that turns a floodlight on the tragic complexity of Jewish relations with Slavic power, relations that go back before Russia even existed, to the Kingdom of the Khazars in Central Asia, which for political reasons converted to Judaism in A.D. 740. Some historians believe the bulk of Western Jewry, the Ashkenazim, are descended from the Khazars. Because most of the population acquired a bronze complexion from intermarriage with Mongolian tribesmen, Khazaria became known to medieval Europe as the legendary “Kingdom of the Red Jews.” That name might almost be applied to the early Soviet Union. The popular uprising of 1917 was virtually hijacked by the Bolsheviks, who proceeded to take revenge for their long subjection under the Czars by imposing a regime which was certainly not what non-Jewish Russian rebels had in mind. Neither did it turn out to be what influential Rabbi Stephen Wise had in mind when he said of the Revolution, “Some call it Marxism; I call it Judaism.”

The only faith Lenin’s atheists allowed was faith in the Party. That the Soviet Union never fully became a latter-day kingdom of Red Jews is due solely to one man, Stalin. Incredibly cunning and far-sighted, he destroyed every challenge to his power, which eventually became absolute. His first knockout blow against the Chosen was his purge of “Old Bolsheviks” in the late 1930s. Sudoplatov tells us that in 1933, when he was transferred to Moscow, “Jews held top positions in every major ministry.” Despite further purges, they continued playing vital roles into the 1950s.

Sudoplatov’s book bristles with names of Jewish agents, so many that it leaves the impression the Soviet Union could scarcely have functioned without them. Their success in the U.S. was especially astounding. Pointing out that America’s strength as a “melting pot” of immigrant communities was also its weakness, Sudoplatov says, “Within these communities we were able to enlist thousands of agents ready to destroy you in case war broke out between us.” American readers might well shudder at the thoroughness of Soviet preparation—moles” throughout the country, explosives stockpiled near army and navy bases, guerrilla cadres ready to come north through Mexico disguised as “wetbacks,” ready to move into command centers all set up to receive them.

The Race for the Bomb

As soon as Stalin learned of British and American efforts to develop the Atom Bomb, he designated this as the Soviet Union’s #1 project. His mastermind in the U.S. was the charming and cultured Kheifetz, long based in San Francisco as an organizer of the U.S. Communist Party. It was he who first told Moscow of Albert Einstein’s secret letter to Roosevelt urging the development of nuclear weapons. He learned of Bombfather’s bloody epistle from Oppenheimer, who filled him in on the decision to move the huge research project to supposedly top-secret Los Alamos. Kheifetz’s long established network of immigrant moles now came to life, especially in the Southwest. To back him up, Stalin sent to Washington as NKVD rezident a second star performer, Vassili Zarubin, whose main asset seems to have been his wife, Elizabeth. This formidable lady had betrayed her first husband to Stalin’s executioners for helping Trotsky. Now an NKVD captain in her own right, she was already running a network of Polish-Jewish “illegals.” Elizabeth had no trouble getting close to Oppenheimer through his wife, Katherine, and to Leo Szilard, whose secretary she recruited. She also signed up George Gamow by threatening harm to his relatives in Russia.

Important as these physicists were, Moscow wanted the best. The real giants were Enrico Fermi, who married a Jew, and Niels Bohr, a half-Jew. Fermi had first been approached in the 1930s by the Italian-Jewish physicist Bruno Pontecorvo, acting for Kheifetz. He now became the special charge of a third star performer, Lev Vasilevsky, traveling as a Soviet diplomat named “Tarasov.” Men like Oppenheimer, Szilard and Fermi were never treated as mere agents, but as renowned scientists who happened to share the idealism of their Soviet “friends.” They were easily convinced that the postwar world would be safer if atomic secrets were shared with the “Workers’ Paradise.”

And share they did. They facilitated a massive transfer of information from the Manhattan Project with the help of such professional spies as Klaus Fuchs in Los Alamos and miscegenators like Fermi in Chicago, where Fermi had constructed the first working “pile.” Hundreds of secret American research reports were funneled through NKVD agents in New York to Russian physicist Kurchatov, who eventually produced Stalin’s own bomb. A detailed description of the Hiroshima device was delivered to
New York in a Kleenex box by courier Lona Cohen. (She and her husband were eventually jailed by the British in the "Lonsdale" case.) MIT physics graduate Semyon SemyonoV now got to "run" Fuch's collaborator, Harry Gold, and the Rosenbergs.

Soviet penetration did not depend only on covert action. Propaganda played a big part in softening up the native anti-Communists. Not only the Jewish community in the U.S., but millions of people worldwide had been sympathetic to the Bolshevik Revolution, becoming more so as the Great Depression shook their faith in free enterprise. Soviet propaganda fully exploited this sympathy, which was given a considerable boost by Hitler's invasion of Russia.

Niels Bohr had been contacted by Austrian physicist Lisa Meitner, whose own research in nuclear fission had been crucial. Bohr's major contribution came when the Soviet's first reactor failed to work. Vassilevsky was sent to ask his help. Shown a plan of the reactor, the giant of Copenhagen at once put his finger on the problem. Bohr also joined the other physicists in acting as spokesman for the global disarmament propaganda campaign launched by Beria in 1946 to counter the U.S. nuclear advantage. The campaign was abruptly muted when the Soviets exploded their own bomb in 1949.

Should these physicists be considered traitors to the West or as idealists misled about the real nature of the Soviet Union? Some had been concerned that Hitler might get the bomb first because Washington was acting too slowly. As Sudoplatov put it, committed pacifists would naturally favor an atomic balance of power, "a crucial factor in establishing a new world order after the War, and we took advantage of this." But could the physicists have been right after all? Just as it may have been only America's monopoly of the bomb which prevented Stalin from overrunning Western Europe in the late 1940s, so it may have been Stalin's possession of it that kept American imperialism within bounds that the rest of the world could tolerate. For all the doubts that many had at the time, MAD (Mutual Assured Destruction) may indeed have been the only saving grace. By restraining the wild men on both sides, the West enjoyed many years of relative peace between the Great Powers. Despite Korea, Angola, Cuba and Vietnam, the Cold War stayed "cold." On the other hand, if the worst had happened, and Soviet nukes rained down on the U.S., would the survivors have felt any better knowing that Oppie et al. had betrayed their country's secrets for noble motives? Would they have thought charitably of the many immigrants who had assisted in that betrayal?

Stalin's Mistrustfulness

The great irony is that Stalin never really trusted his own Jews. Despite having surrounded himself with them for a quarter-century, the Georgian Machiavelli both feared and despised the people of whom he said, "We need their minds." The Jews, in short, could never win with Stalin. The more his Jews recruited others from abroad, the more he distrusted them. We can see why. Even had he not been anti-Semitic and increasingly paranoid, it must surely have occurred to him that the tables might easily be turned. Foreign Jews might recruit Soviet ones to overthrow him. Consequently there was at least some substance to his later propaganda campaign classifying Jews as "rootless cosmopolitans" whose loyalty to any country could never be relied upon. That suspicion was naturally strongest in the case of Zionists and was reinforced by the emergence of Israel. Nor did it die with Stalin. The FSB, successor to the KGB, still wants to suppress the nuclear espionage saga, now 50 years old. The reason is that the most influential Soviet participants had all later been purged because they were Jewish. The FSB doesn't want to make heroes out of Jews.

Reading the later chapters of Special Tasks is like peeking into Dante's Inferno. The reader is overwhelmed by the sheer depravity of a system whose most loyal servants could be treated as traitors—imprisoned, tortured, falsely accused of whatever the bosses cooked up to protect themselves. Sudoplatov was arrested on Khrushchev's orders, after the fall of Beria, simply because he had been "Beria's man" and knew too much. When Sudoplatov told the judges at his trial that one action he was accused of had actually been ordered by Khrushchev himself, they turned pale and hastily changed the subject. Khrushchev, Molotov, Bulgarin, Ignatiev and Malenkov—with Voroshilov and Kaganovich now largely eclipsed—were the real monsters, circling each other like wolves in the struggle to succeed Stalin.

Standing far above the rest in vision and intelligence, Beria also belonged to the ruling clique. Initially the most powerful man in the U.S.S.R. after Stalin's death, he showed an impulse towards reform by banning torture and launching a massive release of Gulag prisoners. But reform did not suit his rivals, who soon banded together to bring him down. A titan who handled global espionage and economic restructuring with equal brilliance, a monster of cruelty and repression who did more than anyone other than Stalin to transform Russian from a backward agrarian hinterland into a nuclear superpower, Lavrenti Beria presents a psychological profile so complex that judgment stands baffled before it. How could the man who proposed the Katyn Forest massacre of thousands of Polish officers and the murder of Raoul Wallenberg have been a reformer?

The more we learn of the Soviet Union, the more it seems impossible to grasp the whole. It's too big a canvas, too full of contradictions. Even the most apparently comprehensive account may have puzzling gaps. Sudoplatov's
In a country that boasted the largest slave-camp system in history, Pavel could hardly have been unaware of the Gulags that dotted the landscape. But he chose not to say anything about them. However great his sympathy for Soviet Jews, many of whom wound up in the gulag, Sudoplatov surely must have known that it was also run by Jews. Arkady Vaksberg, co-founder of the Russian branch of PEN, tells us in *Stalin Against the Jews* that of 12 major complexes in the gulag, eleven were run by Jewish commissars, "monsters... rightly hated and despised by their millions of slaves."

(4) The fourth major gap concerns the outcome of the Hitler-Stalin Non-Aggression Pact of 1939. What Sudoplatov fails to tell us here is that for the first 20 months of WWII the Third Reich and the Soviet Union were on the same side.

Short of actually fighting, Stalin gave Hitler every assistance he could. By shipping through Poland vast quantities of coal, iron ore, grain, oil and strategic materials such as rubber, he enabled Hitler to bypass the British naval blockade. Stalin even allowed U-Boats the use of a Russian base. And all the while the Soviet propaganda machine was lauding Nazi military successes.

Apparently believing that a Nazi victory would leave him and Hitler free to divide the Western world between them, Stalin was pleased by German advances in Europe and would have been happy to see the fall of Britain. His COMINTERN (overseas arm of the Party) did its best to whip up anti-British sentiment. French Communist leaders even rejoiced in the fall of Paris. British Reds, like trade-unionist Konnie Zilliacus, did all they could to impede Britain's war effort by fomenting strikes—a policy abruptly reversed when Hitler invaded Russia, whereupon British workers were exhorted to make every effort "for Uncle Joe!" All told, this Nazi-Bolshevik alliance was of such value to Hitler that it made "Barbarossa" seem even more ill-advised. *Special Tasks* gives no hint that such an alliance ever existed.

Were these four omissions due to Sudoplatov's reluctance to embarrass his many Jewish friends or simply his feeling that they were not particularly relevant to his own career? Let the readers of his book decide.

PETER J. LORDEN

---

The Anatomy of Tribute

One of the oldest forms of financial transactions is tribute. When the larger Og told the smaller Ug that Og would eat first or else Ug would find himself the main course, that was a very direct and basic type of tribute.

Tribute goes back for many millennia and comes in many shapes and sizes. It is based on the premise that if the victim doesn't pay the equivalent of money, the extortionist will do him great personal or financial harm.

History tells us that governments or countries have extracted tribute from neighboring peoples on a massive scale. The Mongol conquerors levied heavy tribute on the regions they conquered, often killing all those who resisted their demands. In the early 19th century the Barbary nations of North Africa preyed on American shipping. In 1815 Stephen Decatur led an American expedition to the region and ended the perennial shakedown.

Modern tribute takes a multitude of forms, many of them personal in nature. The school bully who takes lunch money from his smaller classmates by threatening to beat them exacts a direct form of tribute. American gangsters in this century "shook down" merchants and business people for "protection money." ("If you don't pay, we'll burn your store.") Labor leaders extracted money from businesses in exchange for labor peace. "Sweetheart deals" that promised no strikes. Chinese and Vietnam gangs demand tribute from their people who have established businesses in this country.

One modern form of tribute that could affect all U.S. taxpayers is especially noxious. This concerns the future of welfare recipients. New laws decree that after two years on the dole a person on welfare must find work because his welfare payments will cease. President Clinton, aware of the public mood, signed the welfare reform bill at the direction of his sleazy political advisor, Dick Morris. This...
action assured Clinton's reelection, according to many pundits.

Clinton's liberal supporters screamed "traitor" when the amoral, self-serving President put his signature on the legislation. The main criticism of the leftist crowd was that many, if not a majority, of welfare recipients are illiterate with no job skills. Many have never worked a day in their lives. They are not only uneducable, but have no concept of the discipline and sense of responsibility needed to secure and hold a job.

Therefore, warn critics, those erstwhile welfarites will turn to crime as the easiest and perhaps only way to make a living. Robberies, burglaries and deadly muggings will become a way of life for a huge segment of the present population. And here is the kicker. The answer to the problem, say the liberals, is for the productive people to continue to pay these underclass citizens so they will not attack the people who work for a living. That, my friends, is pure, direct tribute.

Some say that is the hidden threat lurking behind our welfare policies of the past 50 years. I've had friends tell me that we have to keep paying these people so they will leave us alone.

I have two problems with paying tribute. One, it is a bottomless pit with the extortionists always demanding bigger payments. Two, as far as I'm concerned, to succumb to paying tribute is both physical and moral cowardice on the part of the victim. At the time it may be easier and more convenient to pay, but it is still wrong.

My prediction is that our political leaders will give in to the left, and make some special provisions for the welfare people who claim they are unable to find work after two years on the dole. All of which will simply defer the coming Armageddon between the producers and the nonproducers.

---

THE MORE DEMOCRACY CHANGES, THE MORE IT STAYS THE SAME

Timely Quotations From Alexis de Tocqueville's Democracy in America (1835-1840)

• [T]he human heart also nourishes a debased taste for equality, which leads the weak to want to drag the strong down to their level and which induces men to prefer equality in servitude to inequality in freedom.

• There is no country where the law can foresee everything or where institutions should take the place of reason and mores.

• I know of no country in which, speaking generally, there is less independence of mind and true freedom of discussion than in America.

• Among the immense thrusting crowd of political aspirants I saw very few men who showed that virile candor and manly independence of thought which often marked the Americans of an earlier generation and which, wherever found, is the most salient feature in men of great character.

• There is hardly a political question in the United States which does not sooner or later turn into a judicial one.

• The lowest classes in these vast cities [Philadelphia and New York] are a rabble more dangerous even than that of European towns.

• Nowhere else do the citizens seem smaller than in a democratic nation.

• Society is endangered not by the great profligacy of a few but by the laxity of all.
The second of a two-part essay

Rebels and Revisionists

My previous article (March 1997) remarked that conscious Majority Americans divide into two not necessarily compatible camps, the Rebels and the Revisionists. I further observed that both these groups have been estranged from an overall national ideology for many decades: the Rebels since 1865; the Revisionists since the outcome of the Second World War finalized that of the First. Nonetheless, I promised not merely solace but hope for the Majoritarians of these two groups in their quest to preserve their heritages and to wrest a future for our race in America.

Well, hope there is! For Rebels and Revisionists spring from large and enduring constituencies: the Anglo-Saxon and Celtic-derived Protestants of the South, the descendants of non-WASP Europe in the North and Midwest. Among strong minorities of both these groups, our individual patrimonies—the Colonial and Confederate heritage of the Southerners, the separate European derivations of the ethnicshave remained vivid and enduring. Compared to our erstwhile American Majority rivals, the Yankees, who in their triumph have largely evanesced into colorless, corporate, mass-media-mediated America, Rebels and Revisionists have living roots that reach back to the creation of America, back to the challenges and glories of European civilization, and ultimately back to our myth-enshrouded Aryan beginnings.

Though the descendants of the South and the heirs of non-WASP Europe are and have been divided in important ways, no serious student of American history can fail to note the persistence with which, from even before the Civil War, Southern WASPs and Northern immigrants formed alliances against the dominant political ethos. In the North, Irish distaste for blacks, which led to more than one affray with Yankee abolitionists (and culminated in the 1863 draft riots in New York City) inspired a post-Civil War coalition with Southerners, within the framework of the Democratic Party. This collaboration, lambasted by its opponents as the union of “Rum, Romanism, and Rebellion” and excoriated in those eye-catching Harper’s cartoons by Thomas Nast that depicted gaunt, hyper-Nordic Confederates and feral, prognathous, Fenian leprechauns teaming up to torment helpless Negroes, lasted for years after the end of Reconstruction.

The Chinese exclusion movement of the 1870s and 1880s was largely spearheaded by Irish and German working men and women, and supported by Southern ex-Confederates. While differences in national origin and religion proved decisive (and divisive) in orienting Southern WASPs and Northern ethnics during the years of WWI and the 1920s, the leaders of the popular anti-New Deal movement of the 1930s were a Catholic priest, Charles Coughlin, and Huey Long of Louisiana. From those years throughout the 1960s, Southern WASPs and Northern Catholics formed the backbone of the anti-Communist crusade.

The 1960s saw Southern whites and Northern ethnics, their ranks now swelled by Italians and Eastern Europeans, move even closer together on the race question. Here George Wallace was the catalyst, but it was Richard Nixon and his aides who forged formerly Democratic white Southerners and Northern blue-collar ethnics into a valid Republican (presidential) voting bloc, the famous, but somewhat misnamed, “Southern strategy.” To be sure Nixon, to use an old leftist term, “co-opted” his new white voters by fobbing them off with empty symbols and rhetoric, while he busily carried on and enlarged the Great Society’s agenda. Reagan did the same with his similar constituency, the “Reagan Democrats.”

Though we may (and should) deplore this exploitation from above and complaisance from below, the persistence and potential of this bloc proffers strong evidence that the “affinities” Rebel and Revisionist arise, not from dated eccentricities but from a yet vigorous and potentially commanding popular base.
Today and for some time to come, thanks to institutionalized practices of preferment in favor of inferior applicants from other races and to the swelling competition from nonwhite immigrants and sweatshop workers abroad, our larger white constituencies have material reasons for opposing the ensconced government/business/cultural octopus.

The present or future majorities from whom the Rebels and Revisionists will issue are not yet beyond the sway of call and soil (or, in the case of the work-ethnic, blood and toil). In an America that simultaneously trumpets nonwhite “diversity” and trashes white history, Rebels and Revisionists are the America that New York and Hollywood hate and target. It is not coincidental that one day after February 22, the notoriously unnoticed birthday of that old cracker, George Washington, national television networks featured the anti-Southern Roots and the anti-German Schindler’s List, to accompanying media hosannas. These are just the latest slaps in the ongoing, worldwide campaign to revile our heritages and to remove their symbols, be they as innocuous as a nunnery at Auschwitz or as time-hallowed as the Confederate battle flag. Note well that seldom if ever is it a down-East Yankee or a Park Avenue patrician who merits the treatment. It’s the straight-laced Irish Catholic “Red-baiter” who dogs the innocent “leftist,” the Bible-thumping radio preacher who is the lecher and crook, the East European-accented good neighbor who is the secret “war criminal,” the rampaging Klansman who slays the Negro, and the “ordinary” German who gasses the Jew.

Today, in the above sense, all of us, including the many conscious Majorityites proud of their Protestant New England roots and indeed all of our silent or somnolent Majority brethren—all of us are rednecks, all of us are now honkies.

This humbling fact having been recognized and avowed, what else can be said about the welcome finding that conscious and proudful members of the Majority of the mightiest white nation on earth may yet amount to something more than a scattering of ineffectual cranks?

Since this is the conclusion of an essay, not a blueprint or a strategy, it seems apposite to note with some brevity:

1. Yes, the suggestion of an alliance that allows for centrifugal tendencies rather than the prescription of a federation is quite deliberate. To anticipate the American future in Robertsonian terms, the Majority may well Re-possess America through separate ethnostates.

2. The political reconquista of all these United States is not ruled out.

3. Nor is the complete acculturation of assimilated Majority members ruled out, either now or down the road.

As for the business about being losers. Yes, our forefathers lost a few—but none who stood against Lee and Jackson, Rommel or Ludendorff, just to name a few of the most eminent generals of the leading military nations of our little coalition, will ever forget it. We’ve won a few, too—and it’s the final battle that counts.

Those wars were won by heroism, to be sure—but they were won by work as well. How to work to advance our cause among our kind will occupy this writer in his next essays.

MORIARTY

The Military Zoo

From the onset, the Armed Forces have been used as the controlled testing grounds for government integration experiments. After hearing about the various military academy scandals (rape, murder, cheating, drugs, car thefts) by carefully selected cadets destined to become gentlemen and lady officers, the high brass braced themselves for reports from the enlisted ranks. They were even worse than expected.

Routine rapes of female recruits by their drill sergeants and officers, sometimes accompanied by threats of murder, as well as widespread consensual sex among military personnel (some with wives or husbands at home) have now been reported. RHIP (rank has its privileges) has taken on a new meaning. Although a few young female recruits apparently were thrilled to be the “love toys” of domineering drill masters, it must be assumed that most submitted under threats, coercion, fear and peer pressure. The sexual brutality of some black drill sergeants wearing the once-honored uniform of the American soldier, has become a national and an international disgrace.

The integration of African Americans in the military has been hailed by the establishment press as a great success, despite some 600 fraggings that took place in Vietnam, despite the disproportionate numbers of crimes committed by minority servicemen overseas, despite the lowering of military standards and despite the dumbing down of military manuals to make them comprehensible to functionally illiterate recruits. Integrating young women into racially mixed army and navy barracks, and putting them under the direct control of young commissioned and non-commissioned officers, while at the same time expecting them to behave like perfect ladies and gentlemen is almost criminally naive. The breakdown of military discipline, the general display of immorality, the destruction of unit pride and the disgrace of the American uniform by these human guinea pigs in what amounts to federally dictated social programs cause an emetic reaction in most veterans of past wars.

PAGE 14—INSTAURATION—APRIL 1997
Israel Should Remain As Is

There are three main positions that white separatists take to explain why they hate Israel. The first is their sympathy for the Arabs in Israel and the bordering countries. This has led some of our people in the racial struggle to praise Palestinians and consider them our allies. The second is that it is wrong for Jews to occupy someone else's territory, so they should leave. The third is that Israel is run by Jews who are parasites and have caused great damage to non-Jews throughout the ages. Consequently they should not be allowed to have a homeland.

I would like to take this occasion to explain the hypocrisy and error of these three positions.

Whites can never form permanent alliances with non-whites. Virtually all short-term alliances throughout history have ended up favoring one side over the other. The Arabs in Israel are just as much a problem for us as are Jews. To say that it is wrong for the Israelis to torture Arabs or to take their land implies that we care for the well-being of Arabs. This is a gross error. Our sociopolitical stance in regard to Arabs should never be more than complete indifference; our geopolitical stance should be cautious and distrustful.

The second position, where white people pretend to support the moral "rights" of Arabs to their lost lands is plain stupid. The day the Muslim Turks decide to leave Europe and Anatolia because they suddenly feel bad about taking territory away from its original inhabitants is as likely as a pig flying. It isn't going to happen. Do the "champions" of the Palestinians plan on leaving the U.S., Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Argentina, Chile, etc.? I certainly hope not. We should avoid this blatant form of hypocrisy.

The last reason makes the most sense for hating the state of Israel. If you dislike Jews, then disliking the only Jewish country is as normal as getting rid of an ant's nest to get rid of ants. But ants can't understand that they are violating someone else's space. That 5 million Jews who live in Israel should not be of any great concern to Americans. The 1.25 billion Chinese living in China should be of great concern. Jews are not nearly as powerful as they sometimes appear to be and as they sometimes think they are. They are masters of lies and deception. But Jews who live in the Middle East are not our next-door neighbors. This doesn't imply that we should like them. Only that they must be viewed from a broader perspective.

Many people love to cry about the annual $3 billion that Israel gets from U.S. foreign aid. America's foreign aid bill is $15 billion. Do Americans care about the other $12 billion that goes mostly to nonwhites? Foreign aid must be cut completely, not just to Israel.

One other point: innate Arab hostility to non-Muslim whites. When Arabs and other Muslims poured into the Iberian Peninsula and stayed for all those centuries, they didn't do it out of kindness. The truth is, Arab (Semitic) expansion is older than Islam. North Africa and most of the Middle East were once all white. Central Asia, including areas of western China, was white living space not so terribly long ago. Even the original inhabitants of Japan may have been Caucasian or proto-Caucasoids who got left there. All these cases have something to do with the old saying that property is theft. So what? We territorial animals require land, as do all other hominids.

The Jews who currently possess what was once Palestine are not encroaching on the white race as a whole. They are a constant source of friction to the Arabs. Being the paranoids they are, Jews will do what they can to keep the Arabs weak, in order to ensure their own safety. This in somewhat similar to the U.S. economic and political hegemony over Latin America. From a Majority perspective I can't think of a better place to put the Jews than where they are. They hardly live in paradise, but they do feel a strong bond to the land. I sure as hell could care less about that piece of real estate. The fact that they keep the Arabs in check is a great boon to Europe.

In regard to attracting and keeping Jews in Israel, Benjamin Netanyahu, the new Prime Minister, is much more effective than the last two clowns. The anti-Jewish struggle suffered a setback when the Ukraine outlawed some openly racist, anti-Semitic political parties. No one complained. Now that Netanyahu plans to build more homes in the West Bank for Jews leaving Russia and Ukraine, some Majority racists complain. This is idiotic. Please, Mr. Netanyahu, build a million condos in the West Bank and peace talks be damned. If you run out of Jews immigrating from Russia, there's a whole slew of them here. We won't mind a bit if you lure them away.

If—worst luck—Jews suddenly decide to give back what they call their homeland to the Arabs, where does the anti-Israel crowd think that the 5 million Jews will go? Be assured that Pakistan or Tanzania won't take them.

Our only objective in regard to Jews is to rid our own country of them. As far as Arabs are concerned, we should not involve ourselves in their affairs. They are just as much our enemies as Jews. There are hundreds of million more Arabs and about 1 billion more Muslims than Jews. We should work to end economic aid and across-the-board political subservience to Israel. But we should also hope that as long as there are Jews, that they coagulate in Israel. Let Israel stay the course.

SHAUN W.
Best of a Sorry Crew

As Republicans ponder strategy for the next four years some truths should become apparent, even to the G.O.P.'s vacuous visionaries. Their party cannot hope to win another presidential election with a coalition of entrepreneurs, Babbitts and intransigent religionists. Beltway strategists have become as out of touch with what is really going on in this country as their media mentors. Sooner or later Republicans must come to grips with the concept of racial politics.

White activists hoping to maneuver inside the G.O.P. are likewise going to have to realize the limits of their present potential. We cannot hope to tap into the present generation of disaffected voters with the outdated dogmas of inane super-patriots. If the Constitution is to be used as a vehicle for political expression, let it be known how in its present form it is being used as a weapon against the American Majority.

Pat Buchanan is, in my opinion, a no-go for the year 2000, not because of the animosity that he draws from the media, but because of his political alliance with paleo-religionists and his futile attempt to hijack the pro-life movement from Zionist toadies. His insistence on championing their cause helped to pave the way for Kemp's stealth intrusion into the vice presidential candidacy. Having seen these anti-abortion imbeciles in their Alan Keyes T-shirts, I in no way consider this rabble to be useful to our cause. To neutralize them, large numbers of white activists will have to become party foot soldiers. Pete Wilson's clarion call to inundate the caucuses with pro-choice activists during last summer's convention indicated that the anti-abortion crowd will be taking a backseat in the next presidential election. It is true that abortion as a form of birth control is a sign of cultural decadence, but let's not confuse cause and effect. As a political realist, my objective is to attract women into the party, not repel them with domineering religious chauvinism. If we can demonstrate that most ethnocentric whites are not crude militia caricatures, it will help to discredit media propagandists who will be working overtime to deracinace the party.

Another mistake that Buchanan makes is to act like a buffoon when he begins to taste a little victory, as he did in the Arizona primary when his campaign took on the appearance of a World Wrestling Federation promotion. Politico Pete Wilson is more subtle and has a wider appeal to women and the middle class.

Don't think that I don't appreciate Pat's straightforward integrity and guts. I didn't sport his bumper sticker through two primaries to impress the ladies. His anti-NAFTA stance would benefit some portions of the country. Nevertheless he is just plain unelectable. We can no longer go to war with countries who wish to compete with us in Western Hemisphere markets. If we do impose long overdue tariffs, they should be aimed at the more industrially inclined Asians.

I am also under no illusions about Wilson's Instaurationist approval rating. He has been known in the past to endorse Israel's occupation of Lebanon. He enjoys support from Asians who, like whites, are hurt by affirmative action programs in the universities. Anything but the most covert white activism would not be appreciated by his camp.

Media crats are already showing signs of overt hostility towards Pete for his efforts on the Majority's behalf. The more subtle conformists will take every opportunity to show that he switched to the anti-immigration, anti-affirmative action side of the political spectrum only recently. But he was the pol most responsible for mainstreaming these issues with his risk-taking endorsements of Propositions 187 and 209.

If Wilson makes some headway in a future presidential campaign, the media's shrill abuse would be seen for a manifestation of minority racist power. Pete is not stupid enough to stick his foot in his mouth with any statement that could be construed as racist.

By hanging onto the coattails of some of the more activist Republicans, we have an opportunity to expand our base of power and transform the G.O.P. into the 21st-century party of sound instincts, racial vitality and evolutionary destiny. Neoconservatives would eventually tire of the infighting, ally themselves with the Paul Tsongas and Colin Powell types and create a Rationalist Third Party which they alone have the wherewithal to accomplish.

We, on the other hand, have no allies at this point in time among the lords of money and have no Third party options with any chance of success. A Wilson candidacy barren of support leaves us with a sure losing hand. No effective politician would take a chance with apathetic whites until it is too late to be effective.

This is how I see the stakes: Either we learn the art of political compromise or we are headed for an abysmal future as second-class citizens.
T
eelve years ago I bought a house in what is now considered part of Dallas’s inner city. Since I was house-hunting at a time of rapidly escalating prices, it was difficult to find a neighborhood that was affordable yet decent. Narrowing my search to one such neighborhood, I drove around for weeks in search of houses for sale, all the while keeping an eye out for the locals to make sure they were, shall we say, compatible. Not once in the entire time I was looking did I see other than a white face. As the seniors passed away or moved to nursing homes, the danger was that reasonably priced houses would be sold to those of a darker hue. Facing the ghastly white face. As the seniors passed away or moved to nursing homes, the danger was that reasonably priced houses would be sold to those of a darker hue. Facing the ghastly specter of a changing neighborhood (a tragic drama I had made sure they were, shall we say, compatible. Not once in the entire time I was looking did I see other than a white face. As the seniors passed away or moved to nursing homes, the danger was that reasonably priced houses would be sold to those of a darker hue. Facing the ghastly white face. As the seniors passed away or moved to nursing homes, the danger was that reasonably priced houses would be sold to those of a darker hue. Facing the ghastly specter of a changing neighborhood (a tragic drama I had

Sugarbush's old neighborhood, a 1950s ranch house. If you look closely at the photo, you’ll see that it was built on the site of an earlier house, which Sugarbush's family had lived in for two generations. The house was torn down in 1992 to make way for a new one. The old neighborhood has changed little; it’s still a predominantly white area with a few black and Hispanic families. But the neighborhood is not as quiet as it once was. With the influx of younger families, there are more children playing outside and more noise from nearby businesses.

On the other hand, the neighborhood is not as quiet as it once was. With the influx of younger families, there are more children playing outside and more noise from nearby businesses.

Sugarbush is proud of his neighborhood and its history. He believes that it is important to preserve the past and to share it with future generations. He is also proud of the diversity that exists within the neighborhood and the way that people of different races and cultures live peacefully together.

Sugarbush is a reasonable person who believes in working hard and helping others. He is a good neighbor, always willing to lend a helping hand. He is also a good role model for his children, teaching them the importance of education and hard work.

Sugarbush is a humble man who never seeks the limelight. He is content with his simple life and enjoys spending time with his family and friends. He is a true example of what it means to be a good neighbor and a good citizen.
income minorityites who contribute little but reproduce voluminously. (Admittedly a significant number of HIV-positive homos getting treatment at public hospitals could present a problem.)

Despite endless whining, members of the Third Sex have little to complain about. Having worked with some over the years, I've noticed that they always seem to live pretty well and the inverted version of the old boy network makes it easy for them to find employment. Without the pressures of children (in-laws too, come to think of it), they have much more free time and disposable income than married couples with children. Like the Jews, homos have succeeded in portraying themselves as victims and underdogs, more sinned against than then sinning.

Nevertheless the proliferation of homo neighborhoods is one more reason to feel despair about the future of the American city. Could anything be more antisocial than social policies that drive middle-class heteros out of cities? The irony is that with fewer and fewer white children being born, fewer and fewer white homosexuals will blossom. In the long run, Ozzie and Harry may be just as endangered as Ozzie and Harriet in the dark, decaying municipalities of 21st-century America.

JUDSON HAMMOND

JUDSON HAMMOND’S BESTSELLER LIST (Con’t.)

The Man-Chewingest Candidate—Political comedy about a San Francisco Lesbian activist who runs for mayor on the Q.T. (Queer Ticket).

Mr. Schwartz Goes to Washington—Sure, he can schmooze with Jews, but can that young AIPAC lobbyist learn to milk the goy taxpayers?

Turban Cowboy—Immigrant Pakistani works as a Houston cab driver by day, cruises the country and western bars in search of white women by night.

Apollo Theater 13—Three Negroes from Harlem enter the space program under diversity guidelines and proceed to moon the earthlings.

Mexodus—Moises Judio leads his people to the promised land of Southern California after wandering in the deserts of Northern Mexico.

Dr. Ehrlich’s Magic Pullet—Political comedy about Jewish quack seeking FDA approval to market his cure-all chicken soup.

The 7 Percent Final Solution—Gentile Ivy League University president offers a controversial, albeit retro, solution to the Jewish problem of over-representation.

Bro’ Geste—Three black brothers, all suspects in the robbery of a 7-Eleven, join U.S. Army to avoid going to jail.

Who Framed Roger Rabinowitz?—Stereotyped Jewish cartoon character vows revenge on the Gentile artists and writers who created him

Porn Free—Jewish pornocrat attempts to introduce African animals into his bestiality films.

Days of Wine and Rosen—Jewish wine steward pastes expensive labels on cheap wines, pockets the difference in this rib-tickling comedy of manners.

ZOC Is My Co-Pilot—Exciting chronicle of Carl Icahn’s attempts to take over TWA.

The Cincinnati Yid—Jewish boy works his way through the city’s famed Hebrew Union College by becoming a riverboat gambler.

Kvetch-22—Wartime comedy about Jewish draftees forced to leave “dem bums,” their beloved Brooklyn Dodgers, during the 1942 pennant race.

A Jew Grows in Brooklyn—Comedy about tall, gangly Hebrew lad who starts out as a basketball player, ends up owning the Knicks.

Man of a Thousand Faces—The long-awaited Howard Stern movie details the famed demi-Jew’s life, loves, and applied scatology.

Curse of the Katz People—Ancient Hebrew curse falls upon an unwitting Gentile girl after she moves to New York.

Torah! Torah! Torah!—The son of a Honolulu pawnbroker is bar mitzvahed two days before the attack on Pearl Harbor, then helps his father become a multi-millionaire as servicemen dump their worldly goods before heading overseas.
Unions and Unioneering

Union members have their virtues. White ones tend to be patriotic and fed up with liberal crud. They have legitimate complaints: NAFTA, flooding the nation with aliens, dismantling of many of the country's prime industries. Unfortunately unionism is also a form of extortion. The basic purpose of all labor unions is to get higher than market wages by means of coercion. It is no accident that heavily unionized industries have the highest unemployment rate. Unions have never raised living standards. Wage levels have risen as fast or faster in eras of declining union activity as they have in times of increasing unionization. Restoring unions won't save the country. Nevertheless unions are a good place to find political recruits and allies.

Don't Give Up the Ship

All your correspondents who are given to despair should remember things must get a lot worse before they can get better. Right now there are some glad tidings of change. When enough truths finally get out, despair will become ruthless revenge.

Proper Nomenclature

In a recent Instauration there was a discussion as to how we should refer to ourselves. "White people" or "European Americans" wins my vote. Nordic is too exclusive. Nordish sounds too nerdish. Negro is a word I would like to see the magazine replace with black or African American. Negro is outdated. It's possible you use Negro to irritate the opposition, but I believe it turns off the very same people Instauration is trying to convert. To the indoctrinated minds of many Majority members Negro sounds insulting and detracts from the magazine's persuasiveness.

New Pens and New Faces

Beauty is in the eye of the beholder, I am told. While I thought the January 1997 issue of Instauration was one of the best, a fellow Instaurationist felt it was one of the worst. I wish other new talents besides the inimitable N.B. Forrest were given some space in the mag. I can subscribe to American Spectator or National Review if I want the same stable of authors over and over again.

No White Elephants

This is in response to an article in Instauration (Dec. 1996) that suggested Republicans are or should be the party for whites. Both parties sell out whites on a daily basis. Okay, maybe Republicans help the rich white guy, but the Democrats still throw an occasional bone to the poor white slob. Fellow Instaurationists, please don't drone on about Republicans and their virtues. I was brought up a Democrat, but I'll be the first to admit that the minorities now amassed great power in the erstwhile white-rulled donkey party. Though I am no longer a Demo, I could never be a Republican. I could vote, however, for someone on the order of trust-buster Teddy Roosevelt, albeit there's little chance we will ever get another president like him.

Not Too White

The "Anglo American" John Philip Sousa gushed about in the January Satcom Sam column was part-Portuguese.

Britain and U.S.—Parallels and Differences

N.B. Forrest's article (Jan. 1997) drawing parallels between the end of the British Empire and the end of the American Empire was very interesting and contained a good deal of truth. With regard to his description of the feelings of "thinking Americans," as they watched the shrinkage of Britain from imperial power to offshore island, he might well imagine how this has been viewed by "thinking people" in this country!

It is necessary to point out that along with the parallels between Britain and the U.S. there are certain fundamental differences. To America, empire has never been more than an unnecessary appendage. The U.S. is a huge and abundantly resourced country. Spheres of influence outside the U.S. proper, outside the 48 contiguous states, are in no way essential to the maintenance of America's economic wealth, national security and great power status. The acquisition of such spheres of influence serves only the interests of the U.S. globalist elite, not those of the American Nation.

The British Empire was a much more complex organization. On the one hand, there was a vast and widely dispersed network of colonies, dependencies and military and naval bases populated predominantly by nonwhite races. While some of these possessions were of concrete economic or strategic value to Britain, in sum total they constituted a balance in which liability greatly exceeded profit. The rational policy would have been to dispense with those whose upkeep was not justified economically and then valiantly hold on to the rest.

The other part of the Empire—more latterly referred to as the "Dominions"—consisted of mature, developed and by the 1930s fully self-governing states peopled mainly by whites, of whom the majority outside Canada's Quebec
Province were of British descent. The retention of the association between Britain and these states did not depend on the use of force, but upon a voluntary and mutually advantageous partnership whose main basis was ethnic. Essentially this association did not constitute an “empire,” as it is usually understood in modern terms, but rather a confederation of kindred peoples with none subordinate to any other.

By contrast with the relationship of the U.S. to its “imperial” network, Britain’s retention of this association was essential to her future as an economically viable nation and great power. The Dominions, taken together, constituted about a hundred times the territory of the United Kingdom and contained most of the natural resources essential to her economy. In today’s figures those same former Dominions possess a total population of approximately 50 million by comparison with the United Kingdom’s 58 million. The vast majority of that 50 million are white. About 65% are of British descent.

I am glad that N.B. Forrest spoke of “thinking Americans” when he referred to U.S. reactions to Britain’s imperial demise. It never ceases to amaze me how many in your country (which I like and for which I have nothing but goodwill) deprecate the British Empire out of a lamentable lack of understanding of the differences in Britain’s and America’s respective positions. It is all very well for the inhabitants of a land, which with Alaska and Hawaii comprises an area more than 40 times the size of the United Kingdom, to pontificate against a country which could fit into Kansas. The U.K. is vitally interested in possessing spheres of influence and additional economic resources overseas, as well as close fraternal relations with people linked to it by racial kinship.

BRITISH SUBSCRIBER

The Joy of Instauration

Over the many years I’ve been reading and enjoying Instauration, I have spent quite a bit of time considering why its arrival at my doorstep each month is the occasion for pleasurable anticipation combined with undisguised celebration. One explanation for the magazine’s impact might lie in its special character as a forum for shared thoughts by like-minded racialists who live as far apart as the four corners of the globe. It is the openly shared race of community” so essential to preserving its subscribers’ precious psychological sense of legitimacy and identity. It is one thing to observe the ebb and flow of racially oriented events in isolation. It is quite another to believe some thoughtful people share your thoughts.

Though the magazine has gone through numerous personality transformations over the years, it has retained that enduring element of “community” which keeps its message vital and its readership inspired. I for one used to suffer from a sense of isolation in my daily doings until Instauration proved to me that some good people everywhere have a similar outlook on life. With that notion implanted in the noggin, confidence becomes an everyday state of mind, even in a world overbrimming with the liberal myths.

Regrettably the great majority of whites do not enjoy the mental and moral benefits of Instauration. Just imagine the impact on society if every edition of the Washington Post would have tucked in its innards the slim, heartening explosion of intellect which arrives from Howard Allen Enterprises each month. The iron ring of liberal-minority doctrine would be broken and the nation at large would be impelled to view matters from a refreshingly different perspective. Mindful of this, I am convinced that Instauration’s essential message is best delivered when its everyday readership is given more opportunity to convey its thoughts, when the racialist “common man” is afforded the opportunity of reaching out. Though they might be less than professionally drafted, individual observations of anonymous subscribers have an impact that cannot be matched by the sometimes repetitive viewpoints of the regulars. In the same vein, it is essential that Instauration’s pages remain a repository of provocative ideas, not battlefields of intellect where bombast runs rampant.

Instauration must not be out of place on the coffee table in the front parlor.

IVAN HILD

From a Fearful Canadian

I can no longer continue subscribing to your magazine. It is simply too risky in Canada. The mere possession of Instauration may soon be a criminal offense, for which I could easily get a two-year stint in jail. Free speech, needless to say, died many years ago in Canada.

Disney Gets With It

There seems to be no end to the Chosen-driven expansion of Disney activities. We may soon expect remaines of all the old Disney favorites along more sensationalistic lines:

Jungle Buck: Shabbach “Buck” Washington, unacknowledged mulatto son of a semi-anonymous black hooker and a Southern politician, visits Africa to find his roots, but all he returns with is the Ebola virus.

Buonocchio: An old, ex-Nazi German wood-carver hiding out in an Italian-speaking enclave in Libya is exposed, flown out of the country and subjected to a dramatic show and tell trial in Israel.

Bamberg: An escaped reindeer wreaks havoc in a ritzy department store. Rangers, called in to shoot it, are driven away by a horde of animal rights protesters. Lively romp for ages 12 and under.

Aristokatz: Schmuel Katz is knighted by Elizabeth II in her last official act as British monarch. Buckingham Place is turned into a Holocaust Museum.

101 Salvations: A group of cloistered nuns read feminist theology, lose faith, drop out and become Las Vegas show girls. Revealing shots of women over 60. Parental discretion advised.
**Cultural Catacombs**

**Pat in Leather**

Pat Boone is to be commended for supporting the Boy Scouts in their legal battles with the ACLU, which wants to force the organization to admit homos, not just as members but also as leaders. Conversely, Pat is most definitely not to be commended for dressing up in a black leather pants outfit complete with a studded dog collar, sunglasses, even an ear ring, to promote his new album. For his apostasy, Pat was fired from his weekly TV show by the Trinity Broadcasting Network.

**Minority Haters**

*Two years ago the director of a Jewish nursery school in Dobbs Ferry (NY) complained to police he was getting hate calls from someone who spied his conversation with such anti-Semitic gibes as, “I will kill you all.” A few weeks ago police announced they had their man—Robert Brum, a Jew.*

*Two 14-year-old boys have been arrested and charged with painting swastikas in Freehold Township (NJ). Complicating the case is that the prime suspects are Jewish.*

*An army panel has recommended that another swastika “artist,” Sgt. Robert L. Washington, this time a black, be given a general discharge. Washington claims he has been set up because he charged some of his white buddies with racial discrimination.*

*Still another swastika dauber is Esvedeeza Aesfzya, 46, who was sentenced to six months behind bars for splattering at least 100 Hakenkreuzen in and around Washington (DC) in the last three years. Not a Nazi, he wears a long, voluminous white robe with a green sash. There is no end to his love for the crooked cross, which he asserts puts a hex on circumcision.*

*The bomb planted in a synagogue in Jacksonville (FL) just had to be the work of some horrible anti-Semitic skinhead or bloodthirsty Islamic terrorist. Who else could have done such a devilish deed? Harry Shapiro, that’s who, a 31-year-old rabbinical student and ex-kosher butcher.*

**Ghastly Torture Killing**

Karen King, a pretty young college freshman, was grabbed by three Negroes in a Saginaw (MI) supermarket parking lot during her Christmas vacation and driven off to be beaten, raped, strangled and her body repeatedly punctured with a screwdriver. After the black primates were finished with her, they dumped her corpse in a junkyard. The mind reels at the agonies Karen suffered in the hours before her death. What a hideous way to go. What kind of government, what kind of a god, would permit this ultimate act of inhumanity?

**Sporadic Diversifier**

When Mark Abramson of Toledo (OH) received a brochure in the mail that disbelieved the Holocaust, he rushed to the local ADL, which informed Abramson, a lawyer with a degree in history, that he was on the mailing list of the American Historical Association. The Ohio shyster, who earlier had been commended by the ADL for his busy-body minorityism, leaned on the AHA to promise it would never again rent or sell its mailing list to any “questionable” group. Abramson is all for diversity—unless it is the kind that strays from the Holocaust party line.

**Greatest Jews**

The Jewish 100: A Ranking of the Most Influential Jews of All Time (Carol Publications Group, N.Y., 1994) starts with Moses, Jesus, Einstein, Freud and Abraham. Marx comes in 7th, Mary 9th, Trotsky 35th, Gertrude Stein 41st, Golda Meir 49th, Louis B. Mayer 54th, Betty Friedan 60th, Queen Esther 79th, Groucho Marx 95th, Steven Spielberg 99th. Judas, Meyer Lansky, the Rosenbergs, Jonathan Pollard, Michael Milken and Ivan Boesky are conspicuous by their absence.

**Hollywood’s Latest Slop**

In recent months the minority film blitz on the Majority and its institutions has become almost insupportable, if not upchucking.

*Perhaps the worst film, The People vs. Larry Flynt, metamorphosed the sewer-brained, semi-human Hustler editor into a decent, witty, almost charming fellow.*

*Rosewood was a hyped and distorted film rendition of what happened to a Negro town in Florida after a white woman alleged she had been raped by a Negro. The producer, director and actors cared not a whit whether or not the rape really took place. Their interest was confined to adding some more antiwhite elements to the country’s frothing racial pot. The movie made whites so antipathetic it’s a wonder it didn’t inspire Negro viewers to take potshots at any Majorityites they happened to run into.*

*A Time to Kill, based on a book by super-Truckler John Grisham, glorified a black murderer.*

*Ghosts of Mississippi, directed by the Jewish “meathead” of All in the Family, Rob Reiner, throws more salt on the legal wounds of the trial that finally convicted Byron de la Beckwith of slaying Medgar Evers, a Negro icon. It took 30 years and three separate trials to reach the verdict.*

*Screwed, Al Goldstein’s documentary on bondage and other elevated topics, featured the 300-lb. sleazebag who acted in and produced it. Goldstein, by the way, condescendingly draws the line at kiddie porn and bestiality.*

*Miss Evers’ Boys accuses the government of fouling up a research project to treat blacks infected with syphilis.*

**Mexicans Honor George**

February marks my annual chagrin at having Washington’s Birthday glossed over, while Black History Month drones on for four weeks. Well, fellow Instaurationists, I can tell you there is an oasis, a place where you can go to celebrate the birthday of our founding father. This highly unlikely and surprising location is Laredo, Texas! Yes sir, every year since 1898 Laredo and Nuevo Laredo, the sister city across the border, team up to celebrate the birth of George Washington—not just for one day but for ten! Events include firewoks, parades, danc- es, carnivals, a coronation ceremony, golf tournament, mariachis and a jalapeño (pepper) festival. Granted the music and food are decidedly Mexo-centric. Nevertheless, if the beans are willing to throw GW a shindig of this magnitude, I’m willing to cut them a little multicultural slack. Before we get carried away, however, we should note the non-Eurocentric tilt of this celebration, since it is held to honor “the first Western Hemisphere leader to free a New World country from the domination of European rule.” Whether Mexico is a better place now than it would have been had it remained a Spanish possession is a question worth pondering.

J.H.
In an effort to get in the good graces of Jews, Newt Gingrich has appointed Arne Albright, the headlines declared, is in the State of the Union Address.

Eugenics and Cloning
Science has a funny way of playing tricks on man. It denoted him from the center of the universe to a denizen of a remote planet in a so-so galaxy. It may have a similar revolutionary effect on eugenics, which has become almost a swear word. If possible to clone humans, the process is not criminalized, we are hopeful that those who do the cloning will use it to improve the human condition. We pray that no one will ever attempt to clone Clinton, who, sucking up to the know-nothings, has banned the use of federal funds for any cloning experiments.

It will be almost impossible to keep the race factor out of any cloning program. Doubtlessly the more advanced races will indulge in more human duplication than the less advanced. Cloning takes more time, money and brains. The process is not likely to become too popular with people who have a poor opinion of themselves.

She Didn't Know
The ultimate laugh-and-a-half for this political year has to be the incredible proposition advanced in the Washington Post that the Clinton administration knew absolutely nothing of the Jewish background of the new Secretary of State. Madeleine Albright, the headlines declared, is in reality a daughter of The Book. Her forebears, it was affirmed, were unknown even to the lady in question. The Israeli government knew about it, of course, but thought it circumspect to conceal it. The Palestinians would certainly use Albright's origins as a more piece of evidence that the peace process was loaded against them.

In any event, U.S. foreign policy now rests in the hands of a woman whose very facial expression indicates hatred for Germans, Poles, Russians, Arabs and any other population group that has posed, does pose or could pose a danger to the Tribe. Don't bet on any warming relations with Palestinians. Don't expect the front lawn of the State Dept. to host a German Oktoberfest. With the State Dept. so thoroughly under the Jewish thumb, with the Defense Dept. controlled by half-Jewish William Cohen, America's offshore viewpoint will be more warped than ever.

One note of protest against the overwhelming Jewish presence in the State Dept. came from some senior Foggy Bottom officials who asserted that the department now had too many "white Jewish males." The moment Congressman Benjamin Gilman (R-NY) heard about it, he dashed off a note to Clinton telling him to come out strongly against this "religious" discrimination. Gilman, a raging Zionist, ended with this not so subtle admonition: "We will be watching your administration's personnel decisions closely on this matter."

How easily they switch from race to religion whenever it suits their purpose.

Clintons Go A-Partying
Two air force planes and dozens of police escort vehicles were mobilized to move the Clintons to Zoo City to celebrate the First Daughter's 17th birthday. One of the first items on the family's agenda was to attend a performance of Rent, the pro-homosexual Broadway musical that sentimentalizes sickenly over AIDS.

Chelsea is sweet 17
The Clintons claim they paid for their theater tickets ($75 per) and their stay in a luxury suite at the Waldorf Astoria.

Simpson Trials Revisited
After reading Detective Mark Fuhrman's new book, Murder in Brentwood, I'm beginning to believe that in the first O.J. trial the dusky jury did have at least one small shred of reason to acquit—not because the defendant was innocent, not because Fuhrman used some "insensitive" words that had no relevance whatsoever to the trial and not because the jurors couldn't understand the evidence. The fact was that the prosecution was hopelessly inept.

Judge Ito should have recused himself because his wife (then a lieutenant in the Los Angeles Police Dept.) had had arguments with Fuhrman about race and gender matters. LAPD detectives, utterly careless in handling the evidence, neglected to pursue certain important leads. Race was not a burning issue until the "dream team" and Judge Ito made it so. Simpson only became a flesh-and-blood Negro as the trial progressed. His whole previous life, except for his childhood, had been modeled on that of wealthy and privileged whites.

In the civil trial the plaintiffs had more astute and sharper lawyers, who presented new and more incriminating evidence. The judge (also a Jew) kept the trial centered on the question of Simpson's innocence or guilt, not on race. Finally Simpson himself proved to be ineffective in his own defense.

P.S. That Simpson owes $33.5 million to Ron Goldman's and Nicole Brown's parents doesn't seem to have cramped his lifestyle. Rumor has it he recently purchased a $2.2 million oceanfront property on Florida's east coast. The seller was somebody named Earl Katz.

Black-Jewish Veteran
Instead of being raised, the Confederate Battle Flag should have been lowered after the Sons of Confederate Veterans inducted its first black member. But he wasn't all black. Anthony Cohen claimed to be the descendant of both black and white Confederate soldiers.

Bring in the DNA
Bill Cosby has admitted to having a long-ago rendezvous with a woman not his wife, but denies her child is his. He could, of course, demand a DNA test to get to the truth of the matter. So far he hasn't.

Long Distance War
How does a weak, persecuted, virtually unarmed people fight a superpower some 6,000 miles away? It sends suicide bombers into the cities of the superpower's Middle Eastern ally. It snipes at enemy soldiers stationed in the ally's occupied territory. Once in a while it manages to carry the war to the superpower's own turf. Palestinian Sirhan Sirhan assassinated President John F. Kennedy. A pro-Palestinian group tried to blow up New York City's World Trade Center. More recently a Palestinian shot seven people, one fatally, at the observation tower of the Empire State Building. After Abu Kamal had finished his bloody work, he shot himself. A note was found in his pocket.
claiming—half-correctly—that the U.S. was using Israel as “an instrument” against Palestinians; half-correctly because Israel has also been using the U.S. as “an instrument” against the Palestinians.

Hospitality Bill
Now that he has turned the White House into a high-priced flop house ($50,000 and up per night), what will Clinton do next to further vulgarize and demean his administration and himself? Odds are he will continue to do nothing to staunch the immigration flood, legal and otherwise, that is turning the U.S. into a New World Third World. In Africa the natives stalk animals. In the U.S. their African brothers stalk humans (many of them belonging to the white species).

Clinton, as tasteless as he is, is certainly not dumb. He can read statistics and population projections. He knows quite well what America will be like half-way through the next century. He seems to care less. He even accelerates the junglicization.

Witness his attempt to rewrite the immigration laws to please his minority voting blocs. He wants to increase the disability benefits for legal immigrants and restore medical benefits and food stamps to those who go on welfare the minute they reach these shores.

The future for Clinton is today. Tomorrow is beyond his ken.

In and Out of the Army
The black-on-white rapes of army female recruits are not so bad as they first sounded. Some 40% of the couplings had been consensual and not forced at all. So stated a survey of the female recruits in the U.S. Ordinance Center in Maryland. It's bad enough to think that black drill sergeants go on raping sprees. It's worse to think that a large percentage of the female trainees were willing to engage in sex, some of which had to be multiracial.

It is, however, heartening to know that the highest-ranking female in the non-commissioned ranks, Sgt. Major Brenda Hoster (now retired), a white, refused to cave in to the barnyard demands of the army's highest-ranking NCO, horny black Sgt. Major Gene McKinney.

A hotline for complaints about sexual molestation of army female personnel got some 7,000 calls, of which 1,074 were referred for further investigation. The number demonstrates that at least not all young female trainees are happy with their mostly black drill sergeants. Before the news about McKinney emerged, Secretary of the Army Togo West, another black, made him a member of the review panel investigating complaints of sexual harassment. One more case of the fox guarding the hen house.

Eleven of the 12 drill instructors charged with sexual abuse at the Aberdeen Proving Grounds were black. At Fort Leonard Wood, nine of the accused were white, 12 were black. Some Hispanics were probably included in the white roster, white often being the racial classification allocated to Hispanics.

Saddened Sack
The U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum has refused to allow Chosenite writer John Sack, author of An Eye for an Eye, to speak on the topic of Jewish vengeance against Germans in the post-WWII period. Sack contends that 60,000 to 80,000 Germans died of disease or were murdered from 1945 to 1948 in concentration camps set up by the Polish Communist government’s Office of State Security, whose officials included many Jews. Sack writes: “God knows the Jews were provoked, but in 1945 they killed a great number of Germans—not Nazis, not Hitler’s triggermen, but German civilians, German men, women, children, babies.”

Sack is referring only to the handful of camps with which he was familiar.

According to James Bacque, a Canadian historian, more Germans were killed by starvation, torture and execution after the war’s end than lost their lives during the war.

Robert Graham, God Speed
Eugenics has become a dirty word because of the verbal horsepower of the dysgenics crowd. As a result, very few professionals are willing to stick their necks out and join the struggle to better the human breed. One such braveheart was Robert Klark Graham, who in his latter days turned from his speciality, the invention and development of shatter-proof eyeglasses, to his Repository for Germinal Choice, otherwise known as the Nobelist sperm bank. From his facility in Escondido (CA), Graham collected and stored semen from the most intelligent men he could find, offering it to high-IQ women married to infertile husbands. The process produced 212 offspring, the oldest now being 16. Overall, Graham’s venture, with one or two exceptions, has been a great success.

In the course of his pioneering efforts Graham took a lot of heat. He fought back with his book, The Future of Man, which sold by the tens of thousands.

Graham died in Spokane in February at the ripe old age of 90. He was attending the annual meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Graham was one of us. He is gone, but he has left behind a wife, three daughters, four sons, 13 grandchildren and four great-grandchildren.

A similar sperm bank, the Foundation for the Continuity of Man, is operating in Spokane. The address is 1209 W. First St.

Destructive Tenant
The landlady of a Baltimore row house, whose minority tenant did $9,000 worth of damage—fallen ceilings, ruined hardwood floors, smashed windows, broken water heater, screwed-up plumbing—must pay for the wreckage herself. What’s more, she cannot evict the culprit. Such are the rules of the federal Moving to Opportunity Program, which forcibly transplants supposedly screened ghettoites to orderly middle-class neighborhoods.

Nice Jewish Lady
Rita Gluzman, a Jewish immigrant from Russia, pleaded guilty to killing her husband, Yakov, another Jewish immigrant from Russia, in order to keep him from getting a divorce and marrying the girl of his dreams, Raisa Korenblit, an Israeli Jewess. With the help of Vladimir Zelepenen, a Jewish immigrant from Kyrgyzstan (wherever that is), Mrs. Gluzman murdered her husband with an ax, then hacked the corpse into 60 pieces. It was hardly an excuse for murder, but Mrs. Gluzman stated that her late husband’s sexual prowess “had diminished.” Before offing her better half, Mrs. Gluzman had considered spiking his girlfriend’s drink with the AIDS virus.

Ingratitude Plus
Wiley Berggren, manager of a 7-Eleven store in Odessa (TX), grabbed one of three thieves who were absconding with some merchandise. For this unusually courageous act, the company gave him an award. But when the news reached the high brass of the Southland Corp., which licenses some 16,000 7-Eleven stores, Berggren, who had worked for the company for eight years, was fired. He had violated 7-Eleven regulations that forbid employees from lifting a finger to stop a robbery.
Although Harold Liebowitz was voted out of his job as president of the National Academy of Engineering last year, he wouldn’t leave until he managed to finagle $687,500 in the form of severance pay. Liebowitz is one of those stereotypical Jews who burrow their way into an organization and then cause so much trouble that to get rid of them and avoid expensive litigation, they have to be bribed to leave.

One would think that Betty Friedan, the ugliest feminist of them all, would take the side of Paula Jones, who had the President and shuffles off Paula as a “monk seeker.” Feminism apparently stops at the White House door.

Let us never forget, in this never forgetting age, that foot fetishist Dick Morris, once the chief political whisperer in Clinton’s ear, also worked for Trent Lott, the Senate Majority Leader. The character of a boss can often be revealed by his choice of hired gurus.

Rather than undergo a trial for cocaine peddling, Kevin Lee Robertson, a Negro, employed a few goons to bomb California’s Solano County Courthouse, blasting a crater in the side of the building and destroying three automatic teller machines. The trial was only delayed a few days. Three of Robertson’s criminal sidekicks were quickly rounded up.

One of the phoniest Jewish scholars, almost in the league of Freud and anthropologist Franz Boas, was Bruno Bettelheim, a Holocaust survivor once hailed as a sociological genius. When the truth about him finally emerged after his suicide in 1990, he turned out to be a plagiarist, a round-the-clock humbug and a child abuser.

Did Yehuda Oretz, a 29-year-old rabbinical student in Jackson (NJ) expose himself to two girls, one eight and the other nine? That’s what the local police aver.

Goody-goody, baby-faced Ralph Reed, Executive Director of the Christian Coalition (1.7 million members) and Majority Renegade par excellence, boasts that his organization has given $750,000 to rebuild burned-down black churches. It comes as no surprise to learn that Reed has not offered a single penny for rebuilding the white churches burned to the ground by many Negro firebugs.

Fat Herbie Blitzstein, a mobster who ruled Las Vegas from 1971 to 1986 with the help of Tony “the Ant” Spilotro, was recently found dead in bed with a bullet in his head. Since the American public has been constantly informed that gangsters were driven out of the gambling capital years ago, Blitzstein’s demise couldn’t have been a mob hit. Or could it?

For raping and cutting off the forearms of a 15-year-old female hitchhiker, Lawrence Singleton was handed a 14-year jail sentence, of which he served only eight. There was a public outcry when he was released on February 10. Shortly afterward he was caught red-handed murdering a black prostitute in his Tampa (FL) digs. His first victim miraculously survived. His second did not.

Famous for his discovery of “slow viruses,” Nobel Prize winner (for medicine) Daniel Gadzusel, 73, who may well have the longest entry in Who’s Who, helped bring 56 children, mostly boys, from remote Pacific Islands to live with him in his Maryland home. In February Dr. Gadzusel pleaded guilty to child abuse and got nine months in the hoosegow.

A half-breed 16-year-old student has been jailed for shooting and killing an Hispanic student and the Nordic principal of a Bethel (AK) school. The double murderer, Evan Ramsey, had let it be known days in advance that he was going to kill his victims. None of his classmates or teachers took him seriously.

She ran away from her middle-class Jewish parents in Texas and married a Gentile when she was only 15. By 20 she had three kids. Today Gloria Feldt, a denizen of Zoo City, is President of the Planned Parenthood Federation of America.

One of the liberal “greats” of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Professor Barry Nakkell, was caught stealing deli food from a specialty store last year. Some years earlier he had also engaged in a little shoplifting when he filched a book. Rabbi John Friedman defended his co-religionist by praising Nakell’s help in promoting understanding between blacks and Jews. In 1989 the thieving law professor won the North Carolina American Civil Liberties Union’s award for dedication to social justice.

James Mitchell was the black driver of the stolen car that dragged a Pittsburgh policeman for several blocks until the cop managed to get loose by shooting Mitchell and two Negro passengers. The latter two died. Mitchell survived and has now been accused of committing an unrelated murder in April of last year.

Porn king Hugh Hefner is moving out of his orgiastic Playboy manor in L.A. to a more sedate abode. He says he wants to provide “a normal atmosphere” for his two young children.

Whoopi Goldberg got the celebrity treatment in her trip from New York to Washington to attend Clinton’s second Inaugural. A siren-blasting police escort cleared the way for her and her retinue through Delaware and Maryland. Only New Jersey refused to go along with the royal progress. A spokesman for Governor Whitman said, “We didn’t think it was appropriate.”

Two 18-year-old erstwhile university freshmen, Brian Peterson and his part-time cohabitant, Jewish Amy Grossberg, are out on $300,000 bail while awaiting trial for killing their newborn son and flinging his body in a trash bin. The catch is that they have to wear electronic ankle bracelets.

When H. DeWayne Whittington’s contract as school superintendent of Crisfield County (MD) was not renewed in 1992, the black educator screamed “discrimination.” As a result he got his job back along with $920,000 to allay his hurt feelings. To sweeten the pot, the Crisfield Primary School has now been renamed H. DeWayne Whittington Primary School.

Holier-than-thou Colin Powell is not as holy as we have been led to believe. He deviously orchestrated a $43-million bailout of a defense contractor accused of one of the most massive acts of pollution in U.S. history. Governor George Allen of Virginia has demanded that the federal government pay all cleanup costs, which will probably amount to $100 million. Thanks to high connections, Powell may be able to foist $57 million of the cost on the taxpayer. Powell’s Republican politics have been only superficially inherited by his son, Michael, 33, who works for Jewish Democrat Joel Klein, Acting Chief of the Anti-Trust Division in Clinton’s Justice Dept.
Governor Pete Wilson sued the U.S. government for the $2.4 billion he says Californians have spent on the care and feeding of the state's 1.7 million illegal immigrants. His suit was thrown out by a Federal Appeals Court.

In the "Bronzeville" section of Chicago, 70% of black males were working in 1950; only 37% in 1996. Chicago's North Lawn section—population 60,000 in 1980—had one bank, one supermarket and 99 bars and liquor stores. In the Chicago ghetto only 19% of black families with children were headed by a married couple. 80% of Hispanic families were headed by live-in fathers and mothers.

350,000 illegal immigrants now reside in Florida; 5 million in the country at large. 2.7 million are Mexican. Half of all Mexican immigrants return to Mexico within 5 years; more than 70% within 10 years.

54% of murders in the U.S. in 1991-94 were committed by black males aged 14 and up. In 1994, 14- to 17-year-old black males committed murder at the rate of 139.6/100,000 inhabitants. White males of the same age and in the same time period committed murder at the rate of 15.8/100,000. (In such statistics Hispanics are often counted as white.)

A new book, The Wealthy 100; From Benjamin Franklin to Bill Gates, ranks rich Americans according to the ratio of their net worth at death to the GNP. This method of calculation makes John D. Rockefeller the richest American of all time. Another way of putting it is that Rockefeller in his era controlled 6.5 times more of the economy than Bill Gates controls today. All in all, John D. had a fortune that amounted to 1/65th of the U.S. economy. #2 wealthiest was Cornelius Vanderbilt, whose net worth equaled 1/87th of the economy. Based on these figures Gates, currently the top Midas, comes in as the 31st most affluent American.

Between 1982 and 1992 the number of U.S. farmers declined by 14%; the number of Negro farmers by 43%.

The U.S. gets 10% of its oil from the Middle East, the source of 30% of Western Europe's oil and 50% of Japan's.

General Motors has given $400,000 to the Black Patriots Foundation to build a memorial to the black soldiers of the Revolutionary War, even though many Negroes fought for the British Empire in that conflict.

The birthrate per 1,000 females aged 15-19 in 1994: Mississippi 83 (the highest); New Hampshire 30 (the lowest). Mississippi is probably the blackest state, New Hampshire one of the whitest.

As of October 1, 1996 the U.S. black population reached 33.7 million. In the year 2050 the black tally is expected to be 61 million.

AIDS is now the leading cause of death for Americans of all races and sexual predilections aged 25-44.

An estimated 1.46 million blacks cannot vote because they are either in jail or are convicted felons.

Juries have been acquitting 22% of blacks prosecuted for murder; 9% whites. 83% of blacks prosecuted for rape have also been acquitted, compared to 24% of whites. (Center for Equal Opportunity)

Less than 25% of children of mixed marriages are raised as Jews and 90% of them will marry out. . .625,000 Americans who were born Jewish now practice another religion and 60% of Jews below 40 years of age live in households identified as non-Jewish." Don Feder, Conservative Chronicle, Dec. 18, 1996, p. 22.

In 1993 the average amount of default student loans was $464,209 per black college or university. That's nearly 3 times higher than the default average of student loans in non-black universities and colleges.

Some 5,000 members of a family descended from 7 brothers born in Ramallah, Palestine, in the 16th century are expected to gather in Dearborn (MI) this summer in a family reunion. Altogether the extended family has 30,000 living relatives in the U.S.

Federal Court of Appeals judges get $141,700 a year, plus benefits. Associate Supreme Court Justices get $164,100 a year.

The 10 countries that voted most often against the U.S. in the UN in fiscal 1995 received $331 million in U.S. foreign aid. In fiscal 1992-1995 the U.S. spent $6.6 billion on UN peacekeeping efforts. $4.8 billion of this sum was in addition to the 31% annual assessment the U.S. is supposed to pay the UN for such activities.

Time Warner has signed a publishing deal that may bring the family of the late Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. $30 to $50 million in the next 5 years. The King legacy has become a multimillion-dollar business.

58% of the Jewish voters in California opposed the anti-affirmative action Proposition 209, which passed 54% to 46%, but whose enactment is still being delayed by judicial sabotage.

There are 31 more Catholic Democrats in Congress than Catholic Republicans.

The number of people who perished in the Soviet Union's Gulag is estimated at 86 million, a number widely accepted in Russia and endorsed by Solzhenitsyn. Nevertheless, Daniel Goldhagen's best-seller, Hitler's Willing Executioners, calls the Holocaust (700,000 to 6 million estimated dead) "the most shocking event of the 20th century."

In the year 2020, 25 million more Americans will be receiving Social Security than are receiving it today. The extra tab will amount to $232 billion a year. If some substantial cuts are not made in the meantime, the annual deficit of Social Security and Medicaid will rise to $1.7 trillion in 2030.

Only 30% of Americans save for the future. Even worse, their average nest egg is only $1,000. Half of all adults in their late 50s have less than $10,000 tucked away.

The average brain size of U.S. Army enlisted personnel is 1,375 cc; officers 1,393 cc. Normal brains in all human populations range in size from 1,000 cc to 1,700 cc, a difference of some 4.2 billion brain cells.

At least 18 state legislatures will grapple with the issue of same-sex marriage this year. In one state, Hawaii, such espousals may well be legalized. On the other hand, 16 states already have laws forbidding them to recognize out-of-state same-sex marriages.

Immigrants, legal and illegal are taking jobs away from black teenagers. In Harlem fast-food eateries have accepted 38% of Hispanic and Asian applicants; only 13.6% of Negro applicants.
Schindler's List on TV: As always in regard to anything connected to or based on the Holocaust, the film was presented under special conditions and with special perquisites. NBC boasted there would be no commercials which, considering the Sunday night 2½-hour time spot, would have cost the network $15 million. Ten million was picked up by Ford Motor Co., which did flash its name during the intermissions. (Is Ford still trying to make amends for founder Henry's anti-Semitism?)

As a piece of cinematography, despite Steven Spielberg's stilted prologue and epilogue, the film wasn't all that different from current movie fare. There was a spate of bed wrestling with a topless woman, the customary outbursts of violence and sadism, and the dribbling use of the F-word. Equally routine was the deification of Jews, the diabolization of Germans and by extension the degradation of goydom everywhere. (Spielberg topped his Hollywood rivals in frontal nudity. Apparently he undressed the men and women of an entire Polish village.)

Schindler's List has to be the greatest hate film of all time. How many of the alleged 55 to 65 million viewers bought the message, we will never know. Let us hope that the “filthy” - there is no other word for it - injections of random killing and other barbaric acts by German camp officials persuaded at least a few members of the viewing audience to enter the forbidden realm of disbelief.

It would be nice if on a subsequent Sunday NBC aired a 2½-hour show about the atrocities Israelis committed on the Palestinians, both before and after the Holocaust. But this won't happen. Hate is a one-sided commodity these days, a Jewish monopoly. Tear-jerking films and documentaries preaching anti-Semitism have become a dime a dozen. No one dares object or dares call for balance. In America the punishment for such heresy is pariah-hood. In many European countries it is jail.

The Holocaust is the one gripping event in modern history, perhaps in all history, that is not open to public debate. How odd that in these days, when free speech is touted as humanity's great desideratum, that on a vital issue like Jewish racism there is no speech at all.

Will it ever be so? Will the day ever come when some reputable non-Jewish historians finally say enough is enough, say that the time has finally come when the Jewish cultural blitz on modern society demands the most intense scrutiny.

Mrs. Emilie Schindler, Oskar's wife, now in her 80s, was shown briefly at the end of Schindler's List. But Spielberg didn't see fit to quote her remarks about Herr Schindler that appeared in the Brazilian newspaper Ilustrada. Mrs. Schindler has no great respect for her husband, who deserted her in Argentina in 1957 and returned to Germany. She calls him “half crazy” and “stupid.” As for Thomas Keneally's novel, Schindler's Ark, on which the film was based, she said a lot of it was a pack of lies. It is interesting to note that before her husband died in the 1970s he asked to be buried in Israel, which makes him a Righteous Gentile, though not much of a German. His wish was granted. The grave site of the wife-deserter has become a minor tourist attraction.

Despite PBS’s enthusiasm for minorities, its twin, National Public Radio, has been the target of several lawsuits charging racial discrimination: one from its Cairo bureau chief, Sunni Khalid, a black Muslim, for $2 million; another from a black woman for $4 million, which was settled out of court. Three other complaints (shakedowns) by blacks are in the hopper. Some 460 people work for NPR; 28.3% of them are minorityites, including the President and CEO, Delano Lewis. When an NPR commentator called the Christian doctrine of Rapture “crap,” the radio network was deluged with 40,000 letters of protest.

Richard Carlson, present chairman of the Corporation for Public Broadcasting, the sugar daddy of PBS, will quit his post before June 1 and take with him a tidy little $250,000 severance package. The
present chairman of the Board of Directors of the CPB will stay on. He is Alan Sagner, a Jewish real estate developer who has a sizable investment in The Nation, a wacky, thoroughly Semitized semi-tabloid. A prominent Board member is Heidi Schulman, wife of ex-Commerce Secretary Mickey Kantor.

Overheard during the David Letterman monologue before the re-release of Star Wars: “My favorite character in the movie was Obi Wan Kenobi . . . or was that the name of the cab driver I had this morning?”

Laurence Jarvik, even though he grew up as a liberal Democrat, got so fed up with the leftist-minority tilt of PBS, he wrote a book about it, PBS: Behind the Screen, detailing chapter and verse the Public Broadcasting System’s swing to the sinister side of the broadcast spectrum. He refers to a Media Research Study that surveyed 73 PBS programs from 1993 to 1996, only two of which could be classified as having a conservative bent. One of the latest PBS offerings, The West, presented whites in such a bad light that the Indians turned out to be the heroes. Strictly left-wing minority epics ranged from Hillary’s Class at Wellesley College to a cloying Pilgrimage of Jesse Jackson. Liberal propaganda even creeps into Sesame Street. PBS hit bottom with Tongues Untied, which featured homosexuals doing their thing.

From Zip 119, I ran across this Freudian slip by gasbag John McLaughlin discussing education on his show: “Most Africans are not ready for college.” He meant to say “Americans.”

From Zip 420. A CNN Presents promo claims the National Rifle Association may be the most powerful lobby on Capitol Hill. Saying the NRA may pack more firepower than AIPAC is like saying my ole trusty-rusty single-shot 20 gauge may be a deadlier weapon than an M-16. Though I’ve been within earshot of that anti-gun shibboleth many times, I’ve never gotten used to it.

The promo having emanated from Peachtown, it reminded me how the big fix for news junkies took a Turner for the worst a while back. I was naive enough in 1980, when CNN was born, to fantasize that “Cap’n Courageous” Turner, after a few years’ grace period, would wield the sword of truth across the airwaves and make the Chosen rage.

Soon, however, the Southern yachtsman floated to (Daniel) Schorr an offer to oversee news cabled across America. Later, of course, Ted became Mr. Hanoi Jane. (Had Bette Davis in 1943 posed atop a German anti-aircraft gun surrounded by smiling SS officers, I doubt she would’ve gotten off as easily as did Comrade Jane.) Finally, Turner sold out last year to Semitic leviathan Time Warner.

Daniel Schorr once let it be known that his former boss had a personal dislike for Jews. After downing too many Southern Comforts, Turner apparently let slip some anti-Semitic remarks. Whether the Rhett Butler admirer who started out in the billboard business hates Jews, loves Jews, privately curses ‘em or publicly praises ‘em, or both, his surrender to the archenemy speaks louder than any drunken or sober words he might utter.

I watched some of Showtime’s Full Frontal Comedy last December. One comedian, whose name I didn’t catch, was of special interest. He said he didn’t have a problem with multiculturalism, but didn’t like “Ethnic Day” at his kid’s school. “I’m half-German and half-Irish,” he said. “What do I do, send my kid to school dressed as Hitler, half-drunk?” The comic chimed in, “I make fun of all ethnic groups, except Jewish people... I wanna stay in show business. I know which side my bread is buttered on.” Then he hummed a Jewish tune and danced a bit.

Every once in a while I’ll see on TV similar joking references to the power of American Jews. I wonder what the average white American viewer thinks about these jokes. Since Jews are considered to be such wonderful people, most non-Jews see no problem with a small minority of aliens wielding such incredible clout. Some would say it’s free enterprise, that the Jews broke no laws getting where they’re at. Do you think the one-out-of-a-thousand who may be a bit disturbed by the implications of such humor will do anything about it? Maybe one-out-of-a-thousand of the one-out-of-a-thousand will.

If there is any God or Gods or Creative Intelligence up there, why did he or they or it bring Jews into existence? To invade our homelands time after time, then rule us into ruin? That would put God on the side of mendacity, parasitism, antirace, antilife and evil. God would have to be Satan to do that. It might just be that the Jews are an evolutionary challenge for our breed. “If Jews do not kill us, they will make us stronger,” to paraphrase Nietzsche.

We or our ideological heirs will never topple the Jews from power. We don’t have to. The Jews are everyone’s enemy, even their own. The gross mismanagement of this System of theirs will finally bring about the Great Collapse, 50 or 75 or possibly 100 years away. America has a long free-fall before she hits bottom with a Crash heard ‘round the galaxy.
Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

I have been taken to task in the pages of Instauration by a British nationalist who asserted that Sir Oswald Mosley did indeed speak and write in favour of “Europe a Nation” after WWII. But at that time Germany was down for the count and France was an unwilling captive of the U.S. In both Germany and France the classe dirigeante had either been discredited, judicially murdered or marginalised, whereas in Britain that was not the case.

After the British Army had been defeated in 1940, the British Navy remained a formidable force. The Royal Air Force, while it did not shoot down as many planes as the BBC claimed, nevertheless won the Battle of Britain with the help of a ruse of Churchill’s. He ordered the bombing of civilians in Berlin knowing that Hitler and Goering would be forced to retaliate by bombing British cities and thereby reduce attacks on British airfields. It worked.

The British pilots had nearly all been to public (viz. private) schools or selective grammar schools, so their standard of education was higher than that of their opponents. What is more, when British pilots were shot down, they were soon sent up again, sometimes as many as eight times. When German pilots were shot down, they remained as prisoners in England.

A recent study by the German education authorities showed that while the standards of government-run schools were much lower in Britain than in Germany, the standard of British independent schools was definitely higher than that of the German Gymnasien. That was also true during WWII.

Mosley’s idea was that the more educated British should set the standard of leadership in Europe and especially lead in the development of Africa. That is why his speeches in London were wildly cheered by whites in Africa. At the time, his plan was criticized as a new way of exploiting black Africans, who were far more exploited by their own rulers.

I never heard Mosley argue in favour of rule by the Brussels bureaucracy, which, in effect, is what the European Commission now represents. He wanted individual governments (i.e., “nations”) to act together for their own good. He favoured the Common Market, because he realised it would mean increased prosperity in Europe. Towards the end of his life, under the influence of his friends, he began to think more and more in terms of a Europe of regions, partly because regionalism had been such a success in Germany, partly because he knew that regions must combine, if only for their own defence, and partly because he realised that the curse of mass immigration could still be kept under control if regions were given real powers.

I need hardly add that Mosley was a member of the upper classes. He admired the European tradition, which began in Greece and culminated in Dante, Shakespeare, Cervantes, Goethe, etc., etc., etc. His own philosophy, adumbrated at the end of his autobiography, was a really noble and uplifting one.

Too many nationalists in English-speaking countries spring from the lower-middle-class tradition of bible Protestantism, which is anti-aesthetic and looks back to the Old Testament. That is why Bible Protestants have been to the fore in handing over their countries to the People of the Book. Fortunately the Catholic Church is now quite as rotten as its Protestant equivalents, so successful Christian revisionism is not in the cards.

At this point, allow me to praise Dr. E. R. Fields, who not only sells recordings of Mosley’s speeches at a very low price, but also took the trouble to visit Mosley’s remaining followers in London. I was moved to see his photos of old men who were virtually alone in opposing the rise of Jewish power in Britain. Mosley’s own eldest son, Nicholas, called them “sewer rats.” I am very proud of a friend of mine who wrote to him about this defamation and got an apology out of him.

Mosley never wavered in his plan to repatriate low-grade immigrants to their lands of origin. Most West Indians in particular, as he often pointed out, would have been happy to return to their sunny islands, if the government had only been willing to pay a few pounds towards their resettlement. For a long time, Mosley’s followers were the only people who dared to demonstrate in favour of expatriating the coloured population, for which they were viciously attacked by Jews.

Just one small reminder. The British upper classes, who created the Empire in Asia, Africa and even South America, called themselves “Europeans” and were officially described as such.

What Mosley wanted was to transform Europe into a nation, but a federal nation, such as the U.S. once was and still is to some extent. More on the vexed question of nationalism next time.
Omitted from earlier reports:

- There is a rapidly growing realization among Afrikaners and other whites and nonwhite groups who associate with them that they must strive to create a confederation of states to maintain their freedom and national interests vis-à-vis the socialist military state that is the aim of Mandela and his associates.

- The government dispatched an emergency task team to bolster the Eastern Cape's administration which is in danger of collapse as a result of corruption, bad government and fraud on a massive scale.

- More than 200,000 aliens from neighboring African states have sought and obtained permanent residence in South Africa.

- Dr. Ferdie Hartzenberg, leader of the Conservative Party, announced that an independent group, the People's Republic Working Committee, has been founded to research ways in which an Afrikaner People's Republic could be established.

- Altogether 123,000 prisoners are being held in South African jails. It is the highest number of inmates in South African history.

- The government announced that more policemen had been arrested in the past 36 months than in the 40 years South Africa was under National Party rule.

- Since 1960 when the Belgian regime came to an end, the white population in Zaire declined from 115,000 to 18,000 today. In Zimbabwe the white population has been reduced from 170,000 in 1960 to 100,000 today. South Africa's white population of about 5 million has remained reasonably stable, though emigration has been on the increase in the past year.

- According to Beeld, the largest circulation Afrikaans daily, the problem is not the African National Congress, which is too domineering, or the poor who may launch an uprising against the government. The problem is the ANC's lack of leadership and its incapacity to govern.

- Not all street names and statues associated with the days of white rule have been removed. The bust of former Prime Minister J.G. Struydom and the statue of former President Paul Kruger have so far been untouched.

- Vice President Thabo Mbeki asserted that South Africa was not prepared to send troops to fight in a war in Zaire.

- It has been determined that about 50% of children of school-going age are in need of special education.

Jan. 4: The Democratic Party (liberal and white) has decided to cooperate with President Mandela and the African National Congress. The National Party (composed largely of Afrikaners) has declared that this year it will come to a final decision regarding its future role in politics.

Jan. 5: A group calling itself the Boer Attack Troops has claimed responsibility for the bomb blasts on Christmas Eve.

Jan. 6: Mangosotho Buthelezi, Zulu leader in Natal and head of the Inkatha Freedom Party, has warned that many of the hopes ushered in by the first all-race election in 1994 are disappearing under the magnitude of the country's unresolved problems.

Jan. 8: The police are worried about the existence of far-right "cells" consisting of two or three persons each. Such minute groups could commit acts of terror all over the country.

Jan. 10: Pagad, the Western Cape organization of Muslims violently opposed to gangsterism and drugs, and the Pan-Africanist Congress have formed an anti-crime alliance.

Jan. 13: Afrikaners are leaving Johannesburg and surrounding areas to settle in the Western Cape. One white school in Hermanus, a popular seaside resort, has reported it now has 109 more pupils than it had the previous year—all of them newcomers from the north.

Jan. 14: Mandela has made a strong plea for party unity in the African National Congress, now the de facto ruler of South Africa. He warned against the divisive power of leadership rivalry, personal squabbling and factionalism.

Jan. 15: The left-wing South African Students Congress has warned the Afrikaans universities of Stellenbosch, Potchefstroom and the Rand that they must not use the factor of language "to make it difficult for black students to be enrolled at [your] universities."

Jan. 18: South Africa now has the world's worst rape rate. Statistics show that in 1994 one rape was reported for every 1,000 people. Interpol has ascertained that there are now more cases of rape in South Africa than in any other part of the world.

Jan. 19: Cabinet minutes make it very clear that South Africa's plans to sell 3-billion rand's worth of tank firing control systems to Syria are far more advanced than the government has claimed.

Jan. 21: In Johannesburg the residents are blocking off roads at entrances to suburbs in an attempt to keep out crime.

Jan. 27: Buthelezi has stated that Mandela's African National Congress is ineffective and unable to provide principled and moral leadership. At the same time the chance of talks between the African National Congress and the Inkatha Freedom Party have diminished.

Jan. 31: Regular visitors to the well-known Kruger National Park reported that the situation in the park is deteriorating and Third World standards predominate.
**Elsewhere**

**European Union.** The highly overpaid officials of the European Union are being pressured by Jewish groups to have all the member states obey the same rules that have been formulated in Germany, where questioning the Holocaust has been criminalized, along with assertions about the inequality of races.

**Canada.** A leading spokesman for the rights of English speakers in Quebec is asking American Jews for aid in the fight against Quebec's separatist movement, which he says reeks of anti-Semitism. A former member of the Jewish Defense League, Howard Galganov, founder and president of the Quebec Political Action Committee, went to New York City last year to share his fears about separatism with affluent Jews. A reporter for La Presse, Canada's venerable French-language daily, wrote a story detailing Galganov's involvement with the JDL during the 60s, when he grabbed his 15 minutes of fame by chaining himself to the Russian Consulate in Montreal to publicize the "fate" of his racial cousins in the former Soviet Union.

Imagine a large retinue of Jews riding around on Harleys with gigantic Hebrew letters inscribed on the backs of their leather windbreakers. Post-apocalypse Israelis? Southern Californians? Sorry, they're Ontarians. YOW (Yidden on Wheels) is a Jewish motorcycle club, created, as its founder sniffs, "so that Jewish riders could escape the anti-Semitism that exists in other motorcycle clubs." Bob Brodie, leader of the 70-strong pack, rides his Harley to synagogue.

In response to the British Columbia provincial government's regulation that bicyclists wear safety helmets, Sikhs groaned, "Not so fast. We will wear nothing on our heads but our sacred turbans." They were quickly exempted from the rule.

The Vancouver City Council tried to pass a law to stop newly arrived Hong Kongers from cutting down old trees in their neighborhoods, conservation not being a big item on the Chinese wish list. Almost instantly Chinamen were up in arms screaming "Racism!" and threatening to form a political action group to oust the council at the next election. Predictably and obediently council members passed a wishy-washy law that allows homeowners to cut down one tree a year.

**Britain.** A group of British legislators led by Gerald Kaufman protested when a leading German newspaper referred to Britain's Foreign Secretary as "the Jew Rifkind." Herr Nonnenmacher, publisher of the offending Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, said it was ridiculous to regard the word as anti-Semitic. Rifkind himself had no comment.

The Story of Little Black Sambo is selling like hotcakes in England—the uncut version of the first edition, not the bowdlerized, "deracinated" American rewrite, Sam and the Tigers. The Anti-Racist Alliance has demanded that the book be shredded.

A jury tossed out Britain's first war crimes trial almost before it started. The defendant was an 86-year-old Eastern European, Szymon Serafinowicz, a retired carpenter who is afflicted with dementia and has lost many of his marbles. He was deemed unfit to endure the rigors of a long courtroom battle. Also, he had suffered enough. For eleven years Jewish organizations had been making his life and that of family members a holy hell. So far British taxpayers have spent at least $21 million on anti-Nazi witch-hunts.

British justice is beginning to be as unjust as America's. After five whites were acquitted of killing a black, the Daily Mail came out with a blatant front-page banner headline accusing them of murder, displaying their photos and giving their names.

Ambrose Evans-Pritchard of the Daily Telegraph is a no-holds-barred critic who takes a very bleak view of the U.S.

"I would hesitate to describe America as a nation at all in 1997, because it is becoming something very different: a polyethnic federation administered by a single ruling class... The accumulated rot in America has now reached a point where the pillars of society itself are failing.

St. Paul, not Jesus, invented Christianity, writes the lapsed Anglican novelist, A.N. Wilson. It was Paul who, after he saw that strange apparition in the sky while hiking to Damascus, came up with the sizzling idea that Jesus was divine, a proposition not shared by Jesus's family. All Jesus wanted, according to Wilson, was to make his followers better and "purer" Jews. Had it not been for Paul (né Saul), Wilson further asserts, Christianity would never have gotten off the ground.

Twenty-year-old Reuben Singh, owner of a string of fashion shops and driver of a yellow Ferrari and a red Rolls, is a pound millionaire. Could it be his first name explains his precocious financial success? He started out when he was 14 by clinching an $800,000 deal during a school lunch break. Richest Asian mogul in Britain is Lakshmi Mittal, a steel tycoon whose fortune is estimated at $2.42 billion.

**France.** The results of a local election for mayor of Vitrolles, a town of 30,000 near Marseilles, shivered the timbers of the French establishment. The Front National candidate, Catherine Megret, wife of Bruno Mégret, the FN's #2 man, triumphed over the Front Républicain, an ad hoc coalition of all the political parties, whose sole purpose was to defeat the FN candidate by having Communists, leftists, liberals, conservatives, even Greens, vote for the anti-FN candidate, a member of the Socialist Party and the incumbent mayor.

In the second round of the election in which the two winners of the first round went head to head, Mme. Mégret received 52% of the vote, enough to put her over the top. Her victory bodes ill for the mainstream parties in the legislative elections coming up next year. If a Front National candidate can take on all the parties at once and beat them, it's going to be difficult to stop Jean-Marie Le Pen from becoming a bigger and ever more commanding player in French and European politics.

Christophe Auguin, who broke the solo round-the-world sailing record—is no surprise—a Norman and has been hailed by the French public as a latter-day Lindbergh. Auguin's circumnavigational feat lasted 105 days, during which he sailed 26,500 miles.

**Switzerland.** Jews can easily knock over any individual who dares to criticize their political and economic networking. Only recently, however, has it become evident that they can also bring a whole country to its knees. Actually the uproar about Swiss Banks stealing deposits made by rich Jews fleeing the Nazis was started by a massive bluff, as Jewish agency head Avraham Burg boastfully admitted. Last November, without any evidence whatever, he cranked out a press release saying that huge amounts of Jewish assets were being held in the coffers of Swiss banks.
The media were duly informed that Swiss aganda machine picked up on this unproven claim and moved into high gear. At first the banks grudgingly agreed to cough up $34 million, then upped it to $68 million. Finally as it continued to be battered, the Swiss government promised to set up a $5-billion fund to compensate both Jews and non-Jews. At this point the Chosen toned down their clamor a few decibels, but did not cease their hammering. They didn't want to share one Swiss franc of the loot with non-Jews.

A few intrepid Swiss dared to object to the shakedown. Outgoing President Jean-Pascal Delamuraz called Jewish demands "blackmail and extortion," but then quickly backtracked and apologized. In a confidential memorandum to his government, the Swiss Ambassador to the U.S., Carlo Jagmetti, called for the Swiss to fight a war against their malignors "on two fronts, foreign and domestic." When the memo leaked, Jagmetti temporized by saying his statement had been taken out of context. He then resigned, bringing an inglorious end to an honorable 35-year career in the Swiss diplomatic service.

One newspaper, Blick, Switzerland's largest daily, refused to knuckle under for its bold headline, "D'Amato That's Enough," referring to the New York senator who is leading the anti-Swiss crusade, threatening boycotts and sanctions, and in the process pucking up a lot of Brownie points from Jewish voters in Zoo City and elsewhere. Christoph Blocher, a leading Swiss industrialist, praised the way Switzerland had handled its neutrality in WWII: "I want to send the message that reparations or apologies are not in the question." Amid the fuss and bluster a Swiss poll found 44% of the respondents disagreed with Jewish demands, 30% agreed, 26% unsure.

All in all, the worldwide Jewish web had a field day turning the Swiss into Nazistic pariahs, ignoring that the small country, surrounded by huge military forces in WWII, had little choice but to play along with the Axis nations, while at the same time doing what it could to appease the Allies.

Germany. Buried by the hype about Silicon Valley, few earblings know that the first fully-operational computer was the brainchild of Konrad Zuse, a German who died in 1995. The Hitler government, as it did in the case of the Atomic Bomb, attached little importance to the invention. Two versions of Zuse's computer were destroyed in WWII air raids.

The Berlin studies that made a particularly grubby and slanted film, From Hell to Hell, of a 1946 mini-pogrom in Kielce, Poland, were half-burned to the ground. The film company is headed by a Polish Jew, Arthur Brauner, a Holocaust survivor. Both Brauner and the Simon Wiesenthal Center made haste to state there was no connection between the arson and the film's anti-Polish content decreed by hordes of protesters. Brauner, who arrived in Berlin in 1946, has produced 200 commercial films, diverting some of the profits to making money-losing hate films with Holocaust themes.

Thies Christopherson, an SS officer stationed at Auschwitz, expired in February at the age of 79. To his dying breath he denied there had been any gassings. For publishing a book and some articles on the perilous subject, he was a marked man and had to flee to Denmark to avoid being flung into jail. When finally arrested on his return to Germany, a judge let him off because he was suffering from advanced kidney cancer.

Hungary. From a subscriber. In the mid-70s I visited Hungary. A fascinating country! I wish I could have spent a few years there instead of a month! It was a great experience to visit a land where the people live almost like a family. Walking through Budapest with my guide one day, I passed a street vendor pushing a cart. Though he had no wares I hadn't seen before—American and British cigarettes, chewing gum, various small items—the vendor being a likable fellow, not a Gypsy type, I decided to give his business a small boost.

I handed him a bill in local currency and pointed to the pack of gum. The vendor gave me the change but no gum. I again pointed to the gum. "Take it," advised my guide. I shrugged and pulled the gum out from behind a sliding glass pane. "He is blind," my guide let it be known. "How can he go through the day without being robbed?" I asked. "No problem," said my guide. "Budapest has very few thieves." "How can he count coins?" I inquired. "By feel," he answered. "How about paper bills?" "People would not try to deceive him!"

Russia. A bill currently being considered by the Moscow Municipal Duma would ban the display or political use of Nazi symbols on the grounds they disrupt the general order, stir up violence in a multinational society and foster political extremism. Along with the wearing of Nazi uniforms and paraphernalia, the Roman and Hitler salutes would also be forbidden.

The Russian State Duma has recently passed a bill dealing with requirements for serving on the Russian Security Council. Prime prerequisite will be Russian citizenship. Henceforth no stateless person, no citizen of a foreign country, no former citizen of a foreign country will be eligible to serve on the Council. Before the law was enacted Boris Berezovsky, a Yeltsin and Lebed backer, a member of the Security Council as well as a banker and commodities speculator, had his Israeli citizenship annulled.

Russia's wealthiest mogul, even more loaded than Berezovsky, is Vladimir Gusinsky, who came to Washington in the entourage of Prime Minister Viktor Chernomyrdin. Worth anywhere from $50 million to $500 million, Gusinsky owns one of the three national TV networks and is considered to be the power behind the throne of Mostbank, the country's most influential financial institution. That a sleazebag like Gusinsky, who has been involved in financial scam after financial scam, moves in the highest circles of the Russian government says little for the health of the Russian economy. During his visit to Washington, Gusinsky paid his respects to Al Gore, who, if we know Al, hit him for a large contribution to the Democratic Party. A few months ago Gusinsky was elected President of the Russian-Jewish Congress.

In the introduction to The Myth of the Holocaust by Swiss revisionist Jürgen Graf in the Russian newspaper, Russky Vestnik (No. 32-34, 1996), Russian historian Oleg Platonov made these interesting comments:

The myth of the Holocaust is an insult to mankind because it portrays the Jews as the main victims of World War II. . . . Some 55 million human beings died in the war, including about 500,000 Jews, not the mythical six million. Of course, even that number is considerable and we sympathize. However, it is really possible to talk about any special victimization of the Jews, when the Russian people, including of course Ukrainians and Byelorussians,
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lost more than 27 million men, women, children, and old folks. . . . The myth of the Holocaust is an insult to the memory of millions of Russians who fell victim to the new world order.

Ukraine. Occupying a considerable length of the Black Sea coastline, Ukraine has always been a gate to the "Southern Seas," a corridor from the Varangians to the Greeks, as it was known to traders from the Baltic to the Black Sea on slow-flowing rivers with only relatively short portages. Ukraine probably has the world's largest amount of "black soil," so rich it requires practically no fertilizers, so rich that in WWI Germans transported it to Germany in railroad cars!

Throughout the centuries many nations have tried to carve out a piece of Ukraine for themselves. The most powerful enemies of the country's independence were Russia and Poland. Fearful of losing their independence to the Poles, Ukrainian leaders chose the lesser evil of a union with Russia in the 17th century. The conquest of Poland or Russia by Ukraine was never a possibility, as Ukrainian Cossacks were always preoccupied defending their country from more immediate enemies, primarily Turks and Tartars.

Ukrainian Jews cooperated and conspired with the Russians, Ukraine's eventual masters. Jewish groups perpetrated a whole litany of betrayals over the centuries against the Ukrainian nation. Jews backed the Russian Empire despite the Czar's ukase forbidding them to settle in Russia proper.

Georgia. Noah, an independent newspaper published in Tbilisi, carried an article by the paper's publisher calling Jews "vampires" who have been "pumping money, gold, nerves, thoughts" out of his country. Georgia President Shevardnadze, onetime Soviet Foreign Minister, condemned the piece: "If the free press continues to publish such articles, we would have to reconsider our attitude [towards these publications]."

Israel. Natan Sharansky practically lived in the headlines of U.S. newspapers in the Reagan years because of his activism on behalf of fellow Russian Jews who wanted to emigrate to Israel. A pale imitation of Moses, Sharansky and his American clique told the Kremlin to "let my people go!" After much diplomatic wrangling, Sharansky and many of his Russian-Jewish compatriots were able to cast off their chains of bondage and exodus to the Promised Land.

Recently Sharansky visited Russia in his official capacity as Israel's Minister of Trade and Industry. He was seen leading Israeli "businessmen" through the familiar streets of Moscow and meeting with Jewish teachers "from a thousand different (local) Jewish schools."

Even when you "let them go," they never seem to go away.

Menahem Begin, in his autobiography, White Nights, reports a conversation he had with a Jewish physician when they were both incarcerated in the Pechor-Lag Soviet labor camp. The doctor, having previously been interned at Dachau during the Hitler regime, entertained Begin by comparing the Nazi and Soviet concentration camps. At Dachau he said:

We worked at road building. The work was hard, but we only worked eight hours a day. . . . I had a bed. I had soap to wash with, a toothbrush, clean underwear, warm clothes for winter. All the time I was confined I had contact with my family. I was sent letters and parcels. I was not hungry. I do not have to tell you how much I hate the accused Nazis. But when I lie here [Pechor-Lag] in the muck and stink, when I scratch my body, when I long for an extra piece of bread, a terrible thought sometimes comes into my head. I admit it is a terrible thought, but I will not conceal it from you. At times I think that if I had to choose between Pechor-Lag and Dachau, I would choose Dachau. [p. 204]

In his book, The Holocaust Kingdom: A Memoir, Alexander Donat, a Jewish survivor of nine Nazi camps, writes about Maidanek where Jewish inmates were acting as a ruling caste:

Roll calls that lasted all night in a winter so cold that in the morning the ground was littered with frozen bodies; of the ruthless slaughter of the sick and weak, of endless beatings for the slightest infraction, of unremitting hunger. The Jews remembered that "their torturers had been Polish Jews." [p. 193]

An Israeli legislator, Rehavam Zeevi, leader of the nationalistic Moledet Party, referred to Martin Indyk, America's first Jewish Ambassador to Israel, as a yehudon, meaning "Jew boy" or "yid." When the U.S. Embassy took umbrage, Zeevi was rebuked by Israel's Cabinet Secretary, Danny Naveh, who chairs the Government's Forum to Combat Antisemitism in Israel.

Back in 1982 Era Rapaport, a nice Jewish boy from Flatbush, indefatigable social worker and civil rights marcher, planted a bomb which blew off the legs of the Arab mayor of Nablus. Instead of spending the rest of his life in jail for this bloody act of double amputation, Rapaport, author of a new book, is now sitting pretty as the mayor of a Jewish settlement in the Biblical site of Shiloh.

Three Jewish girls at a religious high school have formed a fan club for Yigal Amir, the assassin of Prime Minister Rabin. The girls probably have equally warm feelings for the late Baruch Goldstein, the American Jew who gunned down 29 Palestinians praying in a mosque. Goldstein's grave in Israel has now become a shrine and a popular tourist attraction.

Mexico. Dual nationality is the coming fad in Mexican-American relations. Millions of Mexicans living outside their patria now have the right to vote in Mexican elections. This will bind the beaners on both sides of the border more closely together, giving them more political clout and speeding up their dream of "reconquering" Texas, California, Arizona and New Mexico.

In a recent hot-tempered speech in Mexico City, Fidel Castro added his two pesos to the irredentist issue by saying that the U.S. should surrender its ill-gotten Southwest.

Australia. Why do women talk more than men? An Australian research team has discovered that the regions of the brain that control language are 20% larger in females than in males.