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(See "Tex-Mex: Food for Thought," p. 4)
In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communica tors will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

Choosing a Majority Renegade of the Year is about as difficult as choosing a presidential candidate. The candidates are all so unpresidential. The renegades are all so renegadish. In most cases the winners can be combined into a single category.

In a recent speech Khalid Abdul Muhammad called Howard University "Coward Jew niversity." College officials had been pressured into disallowing radical blacks to hold meetings on campus.

Let's end the pretense of democracy, save the futile bother of voting and name one judge absolute ruler. Ruth Bader Ginsburg is my choice.

The magnet schools in New York are another expensive failure. Mud damps the magnetic charge needed to attract the white students.

We were euphoric with joy upon learning on National Public Radio, that paragon of balanced reporting, of the appointment of Richard Goldstone, a Jewish South African, as Chief Prosecutor of the UN War Crimes Tribunal. We hear that a trial of Noh (or Roh) Dae woo of Korea is being considered. NPR charitably nominated Lt. Calley as its very own. Ruth Bader Ginsburg is my choice.

The magnet schools in New York are another expensive failure. Mud dams the magnetic charge needed to attract the white students.

We were euphoric with joy upon learning on National Public Radio, that paragon of balanced reporting, of the appointment of Richard Goldstone, a Jewish South African, as Chief Prosecutor of the UN War Crimes Tribunal. We hear that a trial of Noh (or Roh) Dae woo of Korea is being considered. NPR charitably nominated Lt. Calley as its very own. Ruth Bader Ginsburg is my choice.

Jews often admit they are a hefty cut above the rest of humanity: smarter and much richer. The American elite slavishly endorses that view. Jews and Israel can literally do no wrong, even when they butchered American sailors on the U.S.S. Liberty. But what happens when Jews themselves disagree? Rabin's killer claims the inhabitants of Israel, not to mention God, are behind him. When the Chosen battle among themselves, our politicians don't know which way to turn.

One last thought about the Million Man March. What would have been the public reaction and legal procedures against David Duke, had he appeared with uniformed private guards and his own flag? (Two local boys here were sent home from school and told to change their T-shirts because the ones they were wearing flaunted a Confederate flag?)

Student actors in a local high school play were in the middle of Act II. Outside, a drunk careered off the nearby highway, crashed through the wall and ended up in the middle of the scene. The audience was shocked. Luckily, none of the student actors was injured. The play's director, an English teacher, asked the drunk driver, who was still behind the wheel: "Are you okay?" The driver looked at the teacher and replied, "Yeah, I'm all right. It's just a stage I'm going through." Let's hope our disposition is just a stage we're going through!

Here's a question the pundits don't dare put to black leaders demanding reparations: Would you be put off if your ancestors had remained in Africa?

We in Texas are doubly blessed. We get Black History Month in February, then Hispanic Heritage Month from September to October. Can you imagine the reaction if Anglos dared suggest White or European-American Heritage Month?

The December issue was truly outstanding. You should have more ZOG satires. It was hilarious! As a propaganda tool it is extremely effective. My congratulations.

Want to organize against a drug rehab center in your middle-class neighborhood? Want to speak out against welfare for illegal aliens? Better be careful. According to our government this is not what the Constitution had in mind for free speech or peaceful assembly. Addicts and aliens have more civil rights than you do.

Israel's nightmare is that America might elect a president who would not put Israel first. Judging from the current crop of candidates, they have little to fear.

Most people are willing to tolerate gays. Few are willing to call homosexuality normal. Observing overt gay behavior turns the stomach of the average person. No power on earth can change that. Yet we are led a non-stop media barrage that gay is not only good, but better!

Prediction: If Israeli right-wing extremists get back in power, U.S. policy will do a 180° turn. Yasser Arafat will no longer be welcome at the White House or on Larry King.

Clinton aide George Stephanopoulos recently told gays they shouldn't face job discrimination because their private life is nobody's business. People should be judged strictly on their job performance. No doubt L.A. cops will be relieved to hear that!

The recent "Illinois Caesarean" got me to thinking. Do you suppose there has ever been a case in recorded history of a white woman with three children (aided or unaided) murdered by Arab private parts or throwners of CS grenades into Arab maternity wards. It can never be an atrocity if done by you-know-who. With Goldstone in charge, now even the UN court can join in the fun. Lest we beighted goyim should raise an eyebrow, the most High Justice Gallstone, sorry, has reassured us in his very own words, "We have no biases, no prejudices." Perish the thought!
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from the victim so she could raise it as her own! If any liberal apologist happens to be reading this, please send the pertinent documentation.

113

It would be bad enough if Mexico wanted to make our Southwest a province like Alsace-Lorraine, which has long been an irredentist football. But they want to go one better. Conquer the land while we pay the bill! Now and then there's talk of amending the tattered Constitution. Why not save time and replace it with a bit of Johnnie Cochran doggerel: "If it's white, it's not right."

344

AT&T employees must undergo "sensitivity training" to prevent any and all offenses to the queers in their midst. It's just one more example of a spreading trend in business and government. The inference is that those who prove uncooperative will at best be denied promotion; at worst, they'll be canned. If you should reveal your insensitivity to "undocumented" aliens or complain about an AIDS or nanco rehab center in your neighborhood, expect an FBI visit to show you the error of your ways.
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When I entered my data on my 1995 Calendar of World Wildlife Fund, I was amazed at the entries: January, the end of Kwanzaa, an obscure celebration that some black racists recently dreamed up. None of the Chosen holidays is omitted: Hanukkah, Purim, Passover, Holocaust Remembrance Day, Rosh Hashanah, Yom Kippur and Sukkot. That's the end of my contributions to the WWF.

399

I've read quite a bit in Instauration about the Scottish National Party and how it was an example of ethnostatism on the march. I thought so, too. As a former member of the SNP, let me tell you that the party is more than willing to admit Third World people as citizens.

966

"Outsiders in our own country!" What a peerless way of summing up the Majority's plight in the U.S. After more than half a century of their social engineering, arrogance, intrusiveness and financial machinations, I know exactly how the West Bankers feel! It's the same way all proud Northern Europeans, Instaurationists and non-Instaurationists alike will feel the day the Zionist shackles fall from our necks, and we can again exult in the glory of our own kind, culture and history. No more legalized porn... no more public vulgarity... no more enforced Negro and Hispanic integration in our once white neighborhoods... no more billions for a tiny nation which stabs us in the back every chance it gets... no more minorityized public opinion... no more pro-minority propaganda from Brainwashington... no more housebroken Supreme Court to rule against us in every case... no more raw, naked hatred for the South and everything Anglo-Saxon. Watching the yearly crumbling of the liberal paradise called America, we await impatiently the moment when the doomed monolith comes crashing down.
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I believe with Nietzsche that our race is not a being but a becoming, that we are destined to evolve into the Superman. Just as *Homo erectus* became man, white man shall become Superman. But the Superman too shall ascend the evolutionary ladder. Perhaps two billion years from now, from the Superman caterpillar shall fly the butterflies that will be gods.
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It would be just great with me if Canada—or at least Western Canada—merged with the U.S. We are blood related. While I love old Canada, I've always preached—since a pup really—for union with the U.S., much to the discomfort of the very British folks of my hometown, Prince George, B.C., and the very British members of my Anglican Church. I learned to say "amalgamation" before "mommy" and well remember the consternation it caused.

Canadian subscriber

The O.J. Simpson trial: A mountain of evidence judged by a cupful of brains.
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During Watergate the whole of the news media emoted: "The American people have a right to know!" During Whitewater the media emoted: "It's not nice to embarrass liberal Democrats." Clearly the appalling arrogance of the Clinton administration is more than matched by the monumental hypocrisy of the news media. Any historian worth his salt could quite easily make a case for the proposition that the American news media is more a propaganda organ of the Democratic Party than a free press. As for Hillary, she was one of the eager-beaver lawyers hired by Congress to destroy Nixon in the Watergate inquisition. Now she complains bitterly when what she did to Nixon is done to her.
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San Diego has a beautiful downtown, spoiled by the fact that you can't walk half a block without being accosted by an in-your-face black or Hispanic beggar. While chatting with a friend, a surlly black demanded that I "help him." He indignantly returned my buck. Evidently it was insufficient. The threat of violence is always present.
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Recently I was perusing my daughter's math book and noticed a rather disproportionate number of photos depicting nonwhites or nonwhite culture. The pix had little or nothing to do with math. I turned to the credits at the front of the book. Underneath the listing of 25 authors and contributors was a separate listing of 8 or 9 multicultural advisers.
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In the interests of simplicity, affirmative action should be renamed punitive action. Instead of identifying a hundred "minority" groups to be favored, just punish the one group left out: white men. Imagine the savings! Instead of companies working overtime to hire a 0.6% quota of crippled Samoan lesbian dwarfs, they would just have a ceiling, say 20%, on white male hires.

502

It took a long time for the notion that the earth revolves around the sun to win out over the geocentric theory. Our race relations are like that: Face the truth about the black race and everything will fall into place.
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I'm ordering this shirt for some of my liberal friends. Notice how the pickaninny is hanging on to the white toddler. You can be sure he'll be doing the same when he grows up.
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Tex-Mex: Food for Thought

"The Mexican Invasion" (Nov. 1995) didn't tell me anything I don't know. I live in a relatively tranquil neighborhood in Dallas, but the graffiti is getting closer and closer, and the sound of gunshots is commonplace. Yes, the barbarians are at the gate. Even if they've never heard the word before, they believe strongly in irredentism.

All too often, even among Texans who should know better, the thought arises, "Oh, well, they're just taking back from us what we stole from them." To these people I make bold to point out that Dallas was an Anglo* town from day one. The city wasn't even founded until four years after Texas had gained its independence from Mexico. Ammunition to fight the irredentist folderol can be found in T.R. Fehrenbach's historical classic, Lone Star, a History of Texas and the Texans.

When Stephen F. Austin took his Anglo colonizers into Texas, it was indeed part of Mexico, though a remote and despoilado part. The Anglo colonists were brought in as a buffer against the Indians. As they grew in numbers and influence, Texas became, predictably, much more American and much less Mexican. Nevertheless it remained part of Mexico until 1836 when Sam Houston defeated Santa Ana at the Battle of San Jacinto.

For the rest of the 19th century, first as an independent nation and then as a star in the Stars and Stripes, Texas was securely Anglo. According to Fehrenbach, in 1860 there were only 12,000 ethnic Mexicans in all of Texas, most of them in the nethermost areas close to their homeland. Through the rest of the century, approximately 334 Mexican nationals entered the U.S. each year, replacing those who left to return to Mexico or move elsewhere. In 1900, 70,000 ethnic Mexicans lived in Texas, less than 5% of the population. Some 5,000 dwelled in San Antonio, where they were outnumbered by ethnic Germans. (Today, the city is about 60% Hispanic.) Fehrenbach also notes that at the turn of the century other border states contained even fewer Mexicans: Arizona had 14,172; California 8,096; New Mexico 6,649. No other state had as many as 500.

What opened the floodgates to Mexican immigration in the Southwest was the development of agriculture. The remoteness of the area vis-à-vis its markets made rail transportation essential. The aridity of the Southwest necessitated irrigation—lots of it. There wasn't much money left for labor. The white farmer's need for cheap labor caused him to come a cropper, racially speaking. Thousands of Mexicans were recruited. Others came of their own accord. Each year after 1900, more Mexicans emigrated into Texas than had moved there during all the generations of Spanish rule. As today, Mexicans were fleeing a poverty so dire it was virtually unimaginable to Americans. Fehrenbach wrote:

They were subjected to fierce exploitation, by American, but not Mexican, standards. Mexican laborers took jobs at 50 cents per day, but still, in a month, some earned more cash than they had seen in their entire lives. No Anglo Texan could exploit this pelado [literally, the skinned one; the Indian] class to the extent it had been exploited in Mexico for 400 years.

The browning of Texas increased considerably in the first decade of the 20th century, when the Mexican population increased by 76%. Thanks in part to the Mexican revolution, 264,503 Mexicans—refugees as well as brace­ros—arrived between 1910 and 1920. In the latter year the University of Texas published studies that predicted mass­ive social and ethnic problems unless immigration was halted. Yet in the 1920s, another 165,044 crossed the Rio Grande—or the Rio Bravo, as the Mexicans called it. Then, as now, much of the immigration was informal. To make it to the U.S., Mexicans only had to cross a shallow river.

By 1950 ethnic Mexicans numbered 1.5 million or about 17% of the population in Texas. By the 1960s, when immigration was running at about 50,000 a year, that proportion swelled to 20%. Today it is more than 25%. South Texas is majority Mexican by a wide margin with some border areas running at more than 90%. The population projections, based on current levels of immigration, paint a gloomy picture. The Mexican newcomers, like their ancestors, are unassimilable. As Fehrenbach sees it:

Because most of them came to Texas for economic opportunity, not to become atringado ("Americanized"), they colonized; they did not assimilate. They wanted to live and work and improve their lot in Texas but not to become

*In the Southwest, Anglo is basically a synonym for European-American. It includes Anglo-Saxons, but also applies to any other white man: a Scotch-Irishman, a German, a Czech (lots of all three in Texas), an Italian, a Pole, a Swede, a Frenchman—even a Jew.

**The saving grace of South Texas is that Negroes, a problem in North and East Texas, are rare south of Houston.
Anglos in any fashion. In this they resembled the Anglo-Saxons who had entered Texas in the preceding century. The Anglos came for the opportunity with no intentions to Mexicanize.

Remember that verb: to Mexicanize. You won’t find it in the dictionary, but no other word better describes the current population shift going on in the Southwest. To those who say race makes no difference, I can only reply that it won’t be business as usual—in my neighborhood or anywhere else—after Texas is irredeemably Hispanicized.

So when the irredentism argument comes up, it must be acknowledged that at one time the place called Texas once appeared as Mexico on maps. But it took Anglos to put Texas on the map. Nothing of importance happened there until the Anglos arrived, and if immigration continues unchecked and Texas gradually reverts to a Mexican province, its prominence will slowly but surely erode.

Not a blackout, as in East St. Louis. Just a brownout.

JUDSON HAMMOND

There is an old saying in the Marine Corps, “Ten percent never get the word,” which is the same as saying there is always a group of about 10% of any given population that simply is out of step, late for the train or wearing the wrong uniform. These hapless Sad Sacks go through life just a bit off center, a half step behind the platoon.

This problem was illustrated perfectly by a come-one, come-all article, “What Immigrants Want,” by James A. Johnson (Wall St. Journal, June 20, 1995).

Poor Johnson! He is so lost he can’t find his hat hanging on his head. While this nation is engaged in a furious, no-holds-barred debate about our very survival as a people, this dolt comes forth with a Pollyana production that would make a cynic weep.

Like the rest of the money-grubbing crew at the Wall St. Journal, Johnson can’t see past the bottom line. He talks a good game, throwing in rubbish about how all these immigrants just want a piece of the so-called American Dream. What it all comes down to, of course, is that a horde of hungry Third World immigrants is a budding Horatio Alger. We don’t care why they want to come to our country. Most emphatically we don’t care what they want once they get here. We know what we want, and that’s enough. We want them to stay home or go home!

Johnson is talking economics and civics class blather. We are talking about our nation’s survival. Johnson wants to help these Ghanians, Chinese and Vietnamese make a "transition" to American life. We don’t. We want the INS to round them up and put them on nice, comfortable airplanes so they can all be home before dinnertime, safe and sound with their families in their own countries, where they can put all their wonderful qualities to work for the good of their own people. And we believe that this is what most of our fellow Americans want.

The post-WWII “Immigration Era” is drawing to a disorderly and unpleasant close—and not a day too soon. The people of the West are finally opening their eyes. They understand that if we do not stop the inflow of nonwhites (and eventually reverse the flow), we are doomed.

The liberal-minority coalition in the U.S. and Western Europe has played upon trumped-up Western guilt ever since the guns fell silent in 1945. One result has been a drastic, catastrophic decline in Western strength and moral fiber. Now that the last players from that grim game are passing from the scene, new generations have no intention of accepting the roles assigned them by dead men from decades past. We are not suckers. We will no longer allow our good nature, tolerance and innate human decency to be used by smirking nihilists to wreck our civilization and the future of our children.

N.B. FORREST
The Man in the Ice: To numerologists, 9/19/1991 represents Endings and Beginnings. Intriguingly, on September 19, 1991 an enterprising mountain-hiking couple in the Austrian Alps found a frozen corpse well off the beaten path. It was not an uncommon occurrence to come across some victim of a past avalanche, some hapless mountain climber who had lost his way. On this particular mid-September day, the weather was just right for such a discovery. It had turned unusually warm. The glacier had receded. The snow had melted. A coroner was routinely summoned and arrangements made for the body's retrieval.

As the mortician team crudely hacked away at the ice, the coroner began to realize that this body was different from any other he had encountered. Instead of being waterlogged, it was dried out and the color was all wrong. It was no ordinary corpse. It was the intact remains of a man who died of natural causes more than 5,300 years ago.

The Iceman of the Alps is full of “firsts” and “onlys.” It is the oldest complete body ever found that had not been tampered with, unlike what happened to the Egyptian pharaohs, whose bodies were emptied of organs and embalmed. This was an ordinary man who froze to death in a sudden snow storm more than five millennia ago. His meticulously sewn leather and grass clothing and possessions were perfectly preserved by dry cold and snow in a small gully where he had sought protection.

In most exhumations of long-dead men and women, materials such as cloth, leather or wood are usually entirely decomposed. Only the merest traces remain of what ancient people wore and the tools they used. In the case of the Iceman, everything was in place. His belt pouch held the only known prehistoric fire lighter container. It was made of birch. His exquisite copper axe is the only preserved prehistoric axe complete with haft, blade and binding. It put the fabrication of copper tools 2,000 years earlier than hitherto believed. Other possessions included a bow of yew, arrows and a flint knife.

The Iceman opens the way for archaeological investigations in the Alps previously ignored by researchers. There is some speculation that this particular individual might have lived in one of the lake dwellings that existed 6,000 years ago in Austria, Germany and Switzerland. During warm periods the Alps, in addition to providing high-ground grazing for livestock, were crisscrossed with trade routes for the procurement of raw materials and goods from other hunting and mining settlements.

Some archaeologists conjecture that the Iceman may have been herding sheep in the high pastures during summer. Several years of painstaking study of his travel pack and even of the grains found in his clothing have led to the speculation that the Iceman, who was in his 30s, had been involved in community harvesting and threshing in early autumn. It was also possible that he had been in a fight and was fleeing some attacker. He had several broken ribs on his right side that were only partially healed. Was it to relieve his pain that he went to sleep on his left side while seeking shelter from a bad turn in the weather?

Caucasian Mummies: The continuing discovery of numerous prehistoric Caucasian settlements in the heart of China broadens our limited knowledge of where the various races of mankind emerged and lived. Since their discovery in 1973 by Chinese physical anthropologist Han Kangxin of Beijing, dozens of burial sites in the Tarim Basin have yielded thousands of well-preserved mummies of people who lived 2,000 to 4,000 years ago. Han Kangxin writes:

New finds are forcing a reexamination of old Chinese books that describe historical or legendary figures of great height, with deep-set blue or green eyes, long noses, full beards, and red or blond hair. Scholars have traditionally scoffed at these accounts, but now it seems that they may have been accurate.

Han has concluded that the earliest settlers of the region, pre-1000 B.C., were primarily Caucasoids from the northwest, with Mongoloid types arriving in the area much later.
Indo-European Caucasians are believed to have originated in the area of Ukraine and from there to have spread across Europe. But the Tarim Basin and other finds deeper into China indicate an extensive eastward migration. Horse burials in Ukraine and southern Russia resemble those found in the Tarim Basin. Tools and utensils relate to examples found in Scandinavia, Hungary and Britain. A woolen cloth fragment that is distinctly a twill weave characteristic of the Hallstatt period of northern Europe (circa 1100-500 B.C.) is an unmistakable blue, brown and white plaid.

The bodies themselves, many beautifully preserved and clearly Caucasian, have been DNA tested to determine their genetic profile. Early results indicate a northwest European connection. Some archaeologists and anthropologists now believe these prehistoric Caucasians may have been involved in the Silk Road trade in the second century B.C. Their 6th- and 8th-century A.D. manuscripts have recently been identified as linguistically related to Celtic and Germanic languages.

ROBERT MORGAN

A New View of Jewry

When a book of some insight slips through the cracks of the managed publishing industry, the media do what the publisher was supposed to have done in the first place: they ignore it. You won't find Kevin MacDonald's A People That Shall Dwell Alone (Praeger, Westport, CT) reviewed or even attacked in the mainstream media. There is simply too much revealing information about the scrounging role of jews in ancient and modern societies. MacDonald, an associate professor of psychology at California State University, offers a fullblown theory to explain the enigmatic Jewish presence in the framework of evolutionary theory and sociobiology. The scholarly and at times austere presentation, as well as MacDonald's grasp of his subject, make it impossible to write off his work as a product of the fever swamps.

MacDonald’s seminal work has a simple thesis, as stated by his book’s subtitle, Judaism as a Group Evolutionary Strategy. In the author’s view, Jews developed, partly by accident, partly by design, a religious and communal system that provided the necessary social and biological apparatus to maintain their peoplehood in a perpetual diaspora. MacDonald traces the origins of the Jews' evolutionary strategy back to the coalescence of a national identity during the Egyptian sojourn described in the Book of Genesis and to the development of an hereditary priestly class.

MacDonald’s explanation for the birth of the Jewish evolutionary strategy is plausible, although it can only be accepted as a likely conjecture. More to the point is his emphasis on two subsidiary facts: (1) the Jewish way of life has worked splendidly as a survival mechanism; (2) Jewish ethnocentrism in the diaspora is strongly selected for biologically. This poses a twin dilemma for those interested in a humane solution to the Jewish problem. If the Jewish identity is immune to the forces of assimilatory pressures—indeed the evidence suggests that such pressures increase Jewish communal identification—then there is no other solution to the impasse than spatial segregation from the host population. Given that Jewish traits were selected in an evolutionary sense precisely for the purpose of living amidst Gentile populations, there would seem to be little promise of successfully isolating the Jewish population within the boundaries of a nation-state. The history of modern Zionism and the ongoing exodus from Israel would seem to bear out this observation.

MacDonald has much to say about the traditional relationship between Jews and their host populations. He suggests that the Biblical texts that lay the foundation for the Jewish modus operandi describe a pattern that persists to this day: “Typically there is an emphasis on deception and exploitation of the host population, after which the Jews leave a despoiled host population, having increased their own wealth and reproductive success.” MacDonald defines anti-Semitism as a “virtually universal feature of societies where Jews have resided.” (The author has already completed a companion volume which provides an evolutionary explanation for anti-Semitism. It is rumored that the publisher is holding out for some changes.)

While Jews have frequently served as mediators between an alien elite and a potentially hostile population—the prototypical example being the Jewish tax farmer—Gentile/Jewish friction may be best explained as a competition for resources between Jewish and non-Jewish populations. MacDonald offers a good deal of documentary evidence.
to back up his assertion that "Jews . . . prominently placed among the Gentile power structure furthered the aims of their co-religionists." Strong in-group altruism coupled with intense out-group antipathy suggests that Jews will forever follow the familiar pattern of exploitation followed by despoliation. The interested reader should pay particularly close attention to MacDonald's discussion of resource competition in post-emancipatory Western societies. The author presents a stack of evidence of Jewish domination (a term that he does not avoid) of the media, academic institutions, medicine, finance and other fields, evidence which will not surprise readers of this magazine and which provides little support for the claim that Jews dominate these areas by sheer brain power. Clearly a vast Jewish network established to promote Jews and Jewish interests supersedes meritocratic explanations of Jewish domination.

MacDonald admits that Jews have a higher average IQ than the Gentile populations among which they happen to dwell. Resource and reproductive rewards are doled out to the most intelligent members of the Jewish community. Carefully laying out the historical and genetic proof, MacDonald clearly favors a eugenic explanation and lends additional credence to the perspective that a group evolutionary strategy is possible. It also clearly implies that eugenic strategies can work over time. The implications of the Jewish strategy in this regard reach well beyond the Jewish population.

The genetic explanation is bolstered by MacDonald's revealing look at the biology of world Jewry. His book contains a long discussion of the Jews' religious and social emphasis on racial purity. He concludes:

[All Jewish populations have a significant degree of commonality with other Jewish groups derived from widely separated parts of the world. . . . Jewish groups are more closely related to each other than to any non-Jewish group.

Statements such as these speak volumes and pretty much destroy the credibility of Jewish anti-racists, since Jews, after all, are arguably the most racist population on earth. They've had to be to survive.

MacDonald's tome is a virtual compendium of fact and theory confirming the observations of so-called anti-Semites over the centuries. Anti-Semitism, it would appear, is merely a defensive strategy of a population which is seeking to control its own destiny.

Is there any more fundamental human right?

NATHAN TUCKER
Science May Save Our Declining Race

Pioneering scientists have to communicate with one another for the simple reason that no one scientist can revolutionize knowledge all by himself. The culture must also be supportive of science. India has a very large population and the country has a surprising number of creative people in pockets here and there that have managed to stay clear of race mixing. It is not entirely one undistinguished blob of mud people. But Indian culture does little to support scientists, who are mostly isolated from one another. In such a situation science has been severely hampered. The technologies that might have developed from science remain undeveloped.

The situation is vastly different in the U.S. Here the chattering classes are hostile to reason, science, technology and industry. In some ways these know-nothings are gaining ground, as the racial situation deteriorates. Will biological and computer technology be developed in time to allow us to intervene directly in evolution, correct genetic defects and even manipulate and augment genes in order to move evolution ahead? Will these advances take place before our race goes under?

It is much less touch-and-go than it was in the previous decade. The reason is not because Republicans have taken over both houses of Congress, but because worldwide computer links have enabled scientists to communicate with each other far faster than ever before. Even the humble Indian scientist living in squalor who could not afford to attend a conference in Europe or the U.S. can stay in constant touch with other scientists through the Internet.

The chattering classes would like to stop this, since they are no longer in control. The neocon magazine, the Weekly Standard, emblazoned its fourth cover with the words “SMASH THE INTERNET!” The ostensible reason is that “kooks” in the Net use it to spread their “filth.” The real reason is that neocons basically want to seize power from the liberals, as well as silence them. Both liberals and neocons have to control public opinion, since what they offer—Teddy Kennedy’s compassion or Bill Bennett’s “virtues”—have no actual cash value. (Honor, by the way, is not among the virtues in The Book of Virtues.) The problem is the Internet cannot be smashed or even regulated. It is now out of their control.

Indeed, everything has gotten Out of Control, to cite the title of Kevin Kelley’s excellent book, which I recommend as an antidote to the gloom so often displayed on the pages of Instauration. Owing to the information revolution, the optimal amount of layering of bureaucratic command has drastically shrunk. Time was when only those at the top could have all the information necessary for decisions. Now those far down the chain of command, those who actually do the work can access it. As a result corporations are in the midst of massive delayering. Government is delayering more slowly since it does not have to show a profit. This issue is what is partly behind the Republican revolution, along with a general disgust at the excesses of federalism. It is going to take time to implement this revolution, for democracies are more stable than Communist states and have more momentum.

Another change is the growing inequality of incomes. Less and less gainful employment is available for those of marginal intelligence. Work during the Information Age takes brains. This is the main message of the decade’s most important book, Charles Murray and Richard Herrnstein’s The Bell Curve. In many ways the book is a neocon production, since it pushes for a Cognitive State to replace the Welfare State, rather than getting rid of the functions that rendered so much of government superfluous. It is too much, however, to ask that one book be “all-around” revolutionary, especially a work published by a large publisher. A new ideology to justify inequality is in the making. It will not stop at the boundaries of race.

The increasing demand for brains, not foreign competition, is the biggest factor in promoting inequality in this country. An article by Richard B. Freeman in the Summer issue of the Journal of Economic Perspectives, entitled “Are Your Wages Set in Beijing?,” nicely summarizes the debate by concluding that foreign competition is a minor factor. The article argues that global competition will indeed tend to smooth out wages between countries, although at a far slower rate than suspected.

Ross Perot and Pat Buchanan are jumping on foreign competition as a scapegoat and building political movements basically composed of dull-normal whites, though neither will admit this, even to themselves. They do know there is massive discontent out there, and not only in the hinterland. What conflagration this might lead to, only time will tell. Buchanan is a genuine decentralizer, but democratic politics is every bit as much about making a noise in the world as it is about what government actually does. This, as well as the neocon greed for power, will probably keep the status quo running for some time to come.

Two major changes are taking place in this country and both have to do with the information revolution. The first, and the most important, is that technology has given us a reprieve. Our race can slide quite a bit more and still have a critical mass of scientists to push us onto the next stage of evolution. The second important change is that the whole basis of the administrative society, the whole structure of information scarcity, has been undermined and with it all the jobs that racial egalitarians depend on.
These two trends will continue and even accelerate.

We tend to overestimate changes in the short run and underestimate them in the long run. Who would have predicted the personal computer, the pervasive cynicism of government or the collapse of communism? A few people did, but without giving any dates. But absolutely no one predicted Wal-Mart! Certainly not the leading futurologist of his day, Herman Kahn, in his book on the year 2000. Currently some prophets foretell the end of the nation-state and even the collapse of government as encryption cybercash moves financial transactions from the purview of tax collectors. These are possibilities and could happen quite suddenly, but they are not probabilities. The promised Republican Revolution is still a possibility. Politics does catch up with public opinion in the long run. The catch-up was sudden in the ex-Communist (but hardly ex-bureaucratic!) countries. The dogma of racial equality could crash just as suddenly.

But stasis is more likely than change. Relatively few changes are sudden. Those that do occur are more noteworthy than the many more things that change slowly. I end these brief comments with one prediction that will never come to pass—a return to the past. There will be no return to “family values” or the culture which the paleo-conservatives clamor for. Such retrogression is impossible in a world where families and cultures as a whole are infested with global television. We shall have to stretch our thinking about how to live within these new constraints.

Instauration has kept a small community alive all these years, even if some of us did complain about certain of its approaches. But today anyone can log onto the Internet and post his thoughts to far more people than this magazine can reach. The neocons and liberals together cannot stop it, even if they attempt to set up a police state and outlaw encryption. Messages can simply be rerouted through other countries. We can always use an editorial giant, but a hundred pygmies can now replace him.

ROBERT THROCKMORTON

Gordon Liddy’s Selective Silence

Seeing Gordon Liddy on Playboy’s cover (Nov. 1995) reminded me that I’d met him in 1980. It was not long after I’d read his book, Will, that I heard he would lecture at my university. In a Q&A session following Liddy’s talk, I stood up and asked him, “In your book you mention the ‘liberal-biased media’ more than once. Why is the media liberal-biased?”

Although I don’t remember what kind of evasive answer Liddy gave, I know he didn’t use the “I” word. Later we shook hands and he autographed my copy of Will.

The mention of Liddy on the Playboy cover also reminded me of the June 1993 cover of Instauration, which featured a photo of and text about Liddy (and Oliver North). It told how Liddy had been reincarnated as a radio pitchman for the Chosen and how he had a love affair going with Israel.

In Playboy, Liddy commented on, inter alia: the establishment media (he doesn’t like ‘em, but still no “I” word); Richard Nixon; group sex (“I like it.”); showering in prison (“In the old District of Columbia asylum and jail, I was practically the only white prisoner.”); Bill Clinton (“The best we can determine is that he’s heterosexual.”)

Liddy saved the worst for last:

“PLAYBOY: Tell us what is it about a girl in uniform?

“LIDDY: It’s not every girl in uniform. It’s only Israeli girls in uniform. They all carry automatic weapons, and they have this way of slinging them over their shoulders so the magazines rub against their butts, right against the cheeks, and it rubs holes in their uniforms down there and, damn, they’re cute.”

Sounds like Liddy would paraphrase that Beach Boys song into: “I wish they all could be Israeli girls.”

Liddy isn’t part of the liberal media he denounces. He’s part of the conservative media which is almost as liberal. He’s an ex-con CONservative. Trouble is, what are he and his cronies conserving or trying to conserve? The American System? So it can evolve into a monstrous autocracy capable of preserving itself through brutal repression long enough to thoroughly mongrelize the white race?

When asked what he is up to, Liddy might say, “Hey, wait a minute. You’re right. I can’t criticize the Jews and can’t really talk about race, but I get a lot of other truth out on my radio show!” Yeah, conservative truth that prolongs the life of the American federal government so it can continue setting America’s whites up for extinction. (I can hear our genes screaming silently as they sink to the bottom of a mongrel pool.) To talk about every pressing problem except the Jewish problem is fighting effects, not causes.

Liddy continues to play the macho man of talk radio, preaching over and over about the secondary issues. Even if one or more of these secondary effects are eliminated, a similar effect will take its place. The generating causes are still alive and well. Picture the cause as the center of a starfish, the effects as its arms. But instead of the traditional five arms, this starfish would have six.

The National Association of Radio Talk Show Hosts recently gave Liddy its Freedom of Speech award. As Liddy well knows, he has freedom of speech, just as long as he knows what not to say.
Of Welfare, Newt and Devolution

An idea which emerged from the feverish brain of Eleanor Roosevelt eons ago, public welfare has been pushed by successive generations of minority-leaning Democrats ever since. Welfare absorbs so much of Joe Paychek's paycheck that even folks with jobs regularly qualify for its benefits. Where state and federal handouts don't suffice to cover minority needs, other schemes, like the military, have been invented. America's armed forces have become a vast holding pen for dark-skinned dysfunctions whose intellects limit them to three-hots-and-a-cot. Because the supply of such folks appears to be endless, the White House is forced to root about to justify the quarter-trillion-dollar annual outlays for these programs, at a time when our legendary international adversary, the Soviet Union, has (perhaps only temporarily) disappeared.

Apparently believing that the answer is peacekeeping, the White House sends our mayhem military rushing across the world offering its services as policeman, a laughable proposition to Germans and Japanese who have seen this juggernaut up close. A million military Hotten-tots in uniform do not peace or policemen make no matter how the words are defined. Here at home a big military does bring a measure of pacification to our streets by removing the least peaceful among us from our neighborhoods. If arms spending was cut back, our urban landscape would become more menacing than ever. Our military serves as a storehouse for the uneducable and unemployable.

Leading the Republican rescue of America is the least likely of racial Sir Galahads—portly, nasal-voiced and utterly self-absorbed Newt Gingrich, a man who in normal times wouldn't attract attention by yelling fire in a firehouse, but who today basks in the friendly and more often unfriendly glow of the media. The real absurdity of Gingrich is his belief that America cares a hoot about his Austrian School economics. All the nation wants from him or any Republican is some succor from minorityism. Newt's delusions about his intellectual importance have encouraged him to embrace the intractable problem of Washington's fiscal condition. Promising that no belt-tightening will befall the dusky residents of Chocolate City, he threatens an unavoidable belt-wrenching for the rest of America when the Republicans take the White House in 1996. (To Newt we say, better make that if, not when.)

Having shouldered aside this Georgia peach for national press attention is retired General-of-the-Darkening-Army Colin Powell, protégé of the neoconservative firm of Bennett, Kemp and Kristol, advocate of all things liberal and stalking horse for Upper East Side Jewry. The chosen, currently in the process of migrating from the brain-dead democracy of Bill Clinton, need a candidate too call their own. In Colin Powell they had one. But as presidential timber holding up the national Republican banner, Powell was hard to visualize. Heir to a career that sparkled with affirmative-action ladder-leaping, he predictably advocated lots more of the same for his racial cousins.

Feisty Pat Buchanan, that modern-day evocation of the urban ethnic pol, insisted that Powell's big-tent Republicanism doesn't match the conservative tilt of the mainstream G.O.P. Like most folks, Buchanan is aware that the hoary Republican lore standing for the civil rights of Negroes is no more than schoolbook window-dressing writ large. Big-tent Republicanism is so much Times Square bunko.

More Newt Stuff

The American Majority has no leadership. A thousand salutations to someone like David Duke who has gallantly tried to fill this lacuna, though realistically his strivings have been to little avail. What the Majority does have is a sort of phantom leadership—a species of Republicans and conservatives who somehow always end up heading for the tall grass when it comes to vital issues like affirmative action and immigration. The usual pattern: A Republican pol temporarily adopts one or both of these hot-button issues, at which point the media turn up the heat, which in turn causes the pol to chicken out and back off. This lugubrious process, repeated ad nauseam in the past, will continue to be repeated even more frequently in the future, as the underlying issues in the war against the Majority intensify.

A project like balancing the budget is chock-full of Majority/minority implications and power struggles. A Newt Gingrich, for instance, becomes by default a Majority leader by trying to roll back, at least partially, some of the "Great Society" political payola.

When I saw the headline, "Gingrich Warns of World Future," I decided to take a look, expecting that Newt was offering up a lot of windy, Deep Think about computers, energy and terrorism. Reading further I discovered the story was based on a keynote address that Mr. Speaker had delivered at the annual dinner of the Jewish Theological Seminary. Pander Newt told his audience that the World Trade Center bombing was a warning of "horrors around the corner" in the next century. Within 20 years, he predicted, countries such as North Korea, Iran, Iraq and Libya would have advanced weapons of mass destruction. "You hear the description of the post-Cold War world. I would suggest we live in the "pre-terrorism world," asserted the honored guest who, the news report carefully noted, was wearing a yarmulke. Gingrich then went on to ponder whether a preemptive U.S. strike would be wise, should we find a country was planning to bomb "Tel Aviv or Chicago," two cities which he seemed to find equally within the defensive perimeter of the American military.

A hair-raising speech like that clearly reveals that the "real" Newt Gingrich is little more than a bootlicker of the worst sort. With such a man helming the G.O.P. and with the Republican Party being the only meaningful game in town for the Majority, is it any wonder we are free-falling down the tubes?
**Slicing Up Canada**

**THE INDIANS:** Canada’s comprehensive policy of aboriginal apartheid was developed by the same liberal elite that noisily professes its moral opposition to the very thought of separation for whites. Native separation, however, is more than a policy of separate development. It boils down to a brutal system of antiwhite discrimination. The massive transfer of land, resources and money now underway bolsters aboriginal privilege and dependency without even beginning to solve aboriginal social problems.

The issue of land claims is far greater than has been admitted by Canada’s federal and provincial governments. Aboriginals demand title to over half of Canada’s land mass! Although negotiations have been kept under wraps, the natives reputedly value their claims at a mind-boggling $150 billion.

This outrageous sum doesn’t include the Nunavut settlement. Effective April 1999, Canada will hand over the political jurisdiction of the entire eastern Arctic territory, one-fifth of the country, to the Eskimos, who will transform the area into a cross between a Canadian province and an aboriginal ethnostate. In addition to receiving clear title to nearly 140,000 square miles, they will be hands $1.5 billion, a princely windfall for 17,500 people. The deal also comprises a share of all resource royalties, plus special racial preferences in government, law and employment. Notwithstanding all that wealth and privileges, Nunavut’s economy will undoubtedly continue to rely largely on federal spending and non-native taxpayers. The huge amount of land, resources and money should, but won’t, stop the flow of tax-funded social programs.

Through overlapping claims, the Indians of British Columbia, 2.8% of the population, are demanding a staggering share of the province’s territory. They’ve been egged on by the province’s socialist government ever since it appointed a prominent land claims advocate as its chief negotiator. His job is to disarm the province by hitting it with extravagant real estate demands and then reducing the property claims in return for massive cash settlements. The ploy worked in the eastern Arctic and working equally well throughout British Columbia. As one Indian chief, Joe Mathias, says, everything is up for grabs: “All land, all resources, all resources in the sea, all resources underneath the ground, all resources above the ground.” There isn’t enough money in the world, he asserts, to “repay” the natives.

“Repay them for what?” is the question rarely asked. Somehow B.C. Indians feel victimized because they’ve always had the same rights as non-Indians to acquire land. Like natives elsewhere in the country, they have a politically correct scapegoat—whites—to blame for their immense social problems. But beneath the surface of the rhetoric lies the acknowledgment that they will remain dependent on whites, no matter how extravagant the tribute. To natives, as to liberals, this is not an issue of fairness or responsibility. It’s an entitlement based solely on race.

Natives, at least, are acting in their own self-interest. Liberal motives are more perverse. White guilt plays an important role but the major impetus appears to be the dispossession of Canada’s whites. Disorganized and ineffectual, native political activists acquire power only when it is thrust upon them. Media appearances notwithstanding, natives lack organization and unity. Their national leaders tend to be articulate sub-mediocrities. Anecdotal evidence often suggests they’re reviled by their own people.

Reserve (the Canadian term for reservation) politics and administration are known for bitter clan rivalries, nepotism, intimidation, corruption and incompetence. Territorial greed has pitted faction against faction, reserve against reserve, in competing land claims. Rich tribal bands (several in the West as well as in the North) do not share their wealth with poorer bands.

Native political discourse is vacuous, even by Canadian standards. Stupid stunts, such as begging the developed nations for foreign aid, surprise no one. Native rhetoric wallows in clichés and contradictions. Two common themes emerge: whites are the root of all evil; white money is essential for natives to “stand on their own feet.”

Because natives need not work for a living, they have plenty of time for agitation and violent demonstrations. Whatever native effectiveness exists stems from liberal benevolence. Pressure from above makes police reluctant to enforce laws, especially when lawbreakers claim to be inspired by political motives. Grandstanders know that police response will be limited to ensuring that demonstrators are comfortable, well-fed and well-protected from angry whites. During the occupation of federal tax offices in Toronto last winter, government indulgence was so obvious that one newspaper columnist wondered how lawmen would have responded if similar methods had been employed by Canada’s racist Heritage Front.

The tax department spectacle raised the absurdity of
Native demands to ever greater heights. The 29-day sit-in, accompanied by sporadic demonstrations of support across the country and culminating in a 700-strong, police-escorted “victory parade,” was triggered by the federal proposal to tax the income that Indians earn when they are away from the reserve. Since few Indians earn any income at all, on or off reserves, few have cause for concern. Yet the demonstrations attracted multitudes of the kinds of people who have the leisure to bask in a highly publicized, risk-free event.

Native tax privileges, needless to say, aren’t limited to income tax exemption. Race-based exemptions also apply to reserve property, provincial sales taxes and, in some cases, the federal sales tax.

Canada’s 570,000 “status” Indians (those recognized by the federal government as having at least 50% Indian blood) receive subsidized housing, free health care, free dental care, free education through university, special training programs and, for those who want them, affirmative action jobs. In addition, they can still qualify for all benefits available to other Canadians. No matter how rich the tribe, administration expenses are paid by taxpayers. So are the entire costs of maintaining native political organizations and litigating land claims.

A major correlate of native dependency is social pathology. Indians rank far above the national average in regard to unemployment, illiteracy, crime, mental illness, alcoholism, drug addiction, substance abuse (including such dangerous and cheap providers of “highs” as solvent, gasoline and Lysol), spousal assault, child abuse, incest, suicide, accidental death (often related to substance abuse), murder and disease—not only HIV and AIDS, but also a Third World plague of tuberculosis.

The poverty of poor natives is usually self-inflicted. Most are entitled to cradle-to-grave security. In the case of those with dependents, their combined income, benefits, subsidies and tax exemptions add up to a comfortable middle-class standard. Native poverty usually results from native behaviour—notably, imprudence.

Financial incompetence is so common that it’s widely regarded as a racial trait. People familiar with native lifestyles are accustomed to viewing welfare as a sudden windfall. After a day or two of bars, restaurants, taxis and hotels, the money’s gone. The high-living recipients are then reduced to panhandling. They line up at food banks, implore social workers for extra payments and provide case studies for academic investigations of poverty.

The cycle of waste continues, generation after generation, even among the rich. The Cree of Hobbema, Alberta, have turned gross extravagance into a coming-of-age ritual. On his or her 18th birthday, each member of Hobbema’s four reserves receives a share of oil and gas royalties, amounting to between $50,000 and $100,000. Almost invariably the money is squandered within a year.

Economic incompetence extends to tribal finances. At least a third of Canada’s reserves are heavily in debt. Aboriginal businesses have a high failure rate. Much to the horror of environmentalists, who like to think of aboriginals as noble savages living in harmony with nature, native imprudence also leads to ecological devastation. Alberta’s Stony Reserve is now a fire hazard, the result of two months of clear-cut logging. The 1993 introduction of self-enforcement for native fisheries in British Columbia contributed to the rapid depletion of salmon stock. The federal government’s response: (a) blame Alaskan fishermen; (b) restrict white Canadian fishermen; (c) subsidize further expansion of native fishermen.

The richest native reserves depend on white initiative and expertise to extract resources and pay royalties. When the royalties are invested in businesses, natives often rely on whites to fill managerial skilled positions. Nunavut’s qablunaat (non-native) population, likely to be as little as 10% by 1999, consists mostly of “essential” whites—those working in the mining industry, professions, skilled trades and social work.

The few native-run endeavours that turn a profit depend on protective legislation for their success. Illegal elsewhere, gambling thrives on Indian land. In the Akwesasne reserve straddling Ontario, Quebec and New York State, smuggling is big business. Cigarettes, liquor, drugs, guns and immigrants pour into Canada through this strategic funnel, without the national government lifting a hand to stop it.

Legal privilege, economic dependency and social pathology comprise the three distinguishing features of aboriginal society. Experience shows that self-government and enormous gifts of land, resources and money have little curative effect. If anything, native privilege and dependency are used to justify expanded rights and larger “reparations.”

Indian separatism is not contradicted by official ideology, although some of the more befuddled liberals can barely contain their exasperation. Federal Court Justice Frank Muldoon spent nearly two agonizing years presiding over a constitutional challenge to a 1985 federal law that broadens the definition of status Indians. The egalitarian reasoning behind his decision to uphold the law was rejected even by the Native Council of Canada, whose “non-status” members stood to gain from the legislation. The challengers, a group of native bands that want to keep newcomers out of their reserves, had Muldoon fuming over their frankly racist arguments. The commitment to racial purity spelled out in the Kahnawake Mohawk membership code, Muldoon stated, “puts its practitioners on the path of the Nazi party.” As for Muldoon’s own employer, the judge stated that the government fostered “apartheid in Canada.” If it weren’t for nonwhite legal privileges, especially exemption from the strictures (but not the benefits) of the Canadian Human Rights Act, Muldoon commented:

[Human rights tribunals would be obliged to tear away the dependency and place Indians socially and financially shoulder to shoulder with all other Canadians. . . . Racial discrimination based on dependence would have to come, officially, to an end in Canada.]
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To put it bluntly, without carefully constructed legislative and institutional backup, native privilege and dependency would cease to exist, as would mass immigration, multiculturalism, the attack on Western values and the dispossession of the white population. Canada’s distinct brand of apartheid is the opposite of what used to be the norm for racial relations in South Africa. Apartheid there advantaged whites. Canadian apartheid disadvantages whites.

The Quebeckers: Canada’s devolution became ever more imminent with the near victory (49.4% in favour, 50.6% against) of separatist forces in the October 30 Quebec referendum. In the context of aboriginal affairs, this presents an apparent irony: instead of spurring on native separatists, Quebec’s sovereignty movement actually discourages them. To some extent native activists realize they owe their influence to Canada’s anti-majority regime. Quebec’s majority, on the other hand, acts in its own interests.

To many English Canadians, particularly those in the West, Quebec is little more than an ethnic reserve. Although rich in natural resources, the province is an immense drain on the Canadian economy. Through a long-standing “equalization” program, Ottawa transfers billions of dollars in tax revenues each year from the Western provinces to Quebec. Alberta has handed over almost $90 billion to Quebec over a 15-year period. Quebec also benefits disproportionately from federal patronage contracts, inter-provincial trade preferences and job set-asides for French Canadians in government and business. Extraordinary expenses, like that of maintaining bilingual government services and French-language radio and TV networks in all parts of Canada, despite the minuscule French population outside Quebec, further impede Canada’s moribund economy. All this stems from aboriginal separatists, who are largely a product of English-Canadian liberal condescension. Quebeckers themselves can take most of the credit for their exalted status. This is one of the ways in which they differ from aboriginal separatists, who are largely a product of English-Canadian liberal condescension. Quebeckers have been so successful, in fact, that for decades Canadian politics has been preoccupied by infighting between French-Canadian separatists (who want an independent Quebec) and French Canadian federalists (who want Quebec to remain, no matter at what cost, to the rest of the country).

Meanwhile, Quebec has been steadily acquiring the symbols, institutions, traditions and legislative powers of nationhood, as well as strengthening the bonds of its people, while the reverse holds true for English Canada. Bilingualism and biculturalism have paved the way for English Canada’s multicultural onslaught. As Peter Brimelow wrote in his 1986 book, The Patriot Game: National Dreams and Political Realities (possibly the most insightful book ever written about Canada), supporters of multiculturalism openly acknowledged that its purpose was to sever Anglo-Saxon Canada’s natural links to Britain and the U.S. The result was to be a new Canadian identity that would better suit the country’s political class, which is top-heavy with Quebeckers, both federalists and separatists.

Prime ministers from Quebec have ruled Canada for 25 of the last 27 years (that includes Brian Mulroney, an Irish Catholic who grew up in a French Catholic milieu). Canada’s current governing political party, like most of its predecessors, is led by a Quebecker and relies on Quebec and Ontario for most of its support. Canada’s Official Opposition, the country’s second most powerful federal political party, is currently the Bloc Quebecois, made up entirely of Quebec separatists. The governing party in Quebec’s provincial legislature is the separatist Parti Quebecois.

English Canada has no parallel. The Reform Party, a new organization that elected almost as many federal members of parliament from the West as the Bloc Quebecois did from French Canada, increasingly betrays its supporters by channeling populist discontent into outlets acceptable to the Ottawa establishment.

A Western separatist party, the Western Canada Concept, is led by lawyer Doug Christie, who’s best known for his spirited defence of Ernst Zundel, James Keegstra, Malcolm Ross and others who anger another powerful ethnic group. But after more than a decade of campaigning, the WCC has yet to outgrow its fledgling status. The reason, political apathy, is all the more disturbing to see in Western Canadians. Theirs is the most economically viable part of the country and, with the exception of Vancouver, relatively unpolluted by Third World immigration.

Muddification hasn’t bypassed Quebec. It’s never clear to what extent separatism is fueled by racial awareness, since some spokesmen pretend that immigrants from such bastions of French culture as Haiti and the Central African Republic will help Quebec retain its heritage. But the separatist movement has never entirely repudiated the Frank racism of early French-Canadian nationalists. Some recent statements by separatist leaders have caused an uproar among mainstream Anglo commentators.

Shortly before the referendum, Bloc Quebecois leader Lucien Bouchard spoke of Quebeckers as “one of the white races that have the least children.” After the ballots were counted, Quebec provincial premier Jacques Parizeau blamed the narrow defeat on “money and the ethnic vote.” Such matter-of-fact remarks hardly ingratiate their speakers to immigrants, to Montreal’s powerful Jewish community, or to natives.

The latter held their own referendums, one for Indians, the other for Eskimos, in the days preceding the province-wide vote. The publicity stunt showed an overwhelming opposition to Quebec independence matched only by an overwhelming ambivalence towards English Canada. Quebec’s aboriginal leaders repeatedly stated that they “own”
northern Quebec. At the same time, they appealed to federalist sentiments. An anti-francophone political elite, after all, is much more likely to support them in the style to which they've been accustomed.

With naïveté such a prominent aspect of English-Canadian political culture, the crumbling Anglo nation has no strategy to negotiate with a breakaway state. Quebec, as Doug Christie points out, has already achieved de facto sovereignty. Outright political independence is only a matter of time. Yet the shape of a sovereign French state in North America remains uncertain. Its inevitability draws more opportunists than idealists.

Prominent among the opportunists is Lucien Bouchard, who will likely become Quebec's next provincial premier and, within a few years, lead the province into a successful separatist referendum. A grim, fervently ambitious character, Bouchard not long ago basked in the luxuries of high office (federal cabinet minister, ambassador to France) in Brian Mulroney's notoriously corrupt federalist regime. It took the impending defeat of the Mulroney government to remind Bouchard that he really was a separatist all along. Blatant opportunism has done nothing to dim his shining light among his people. That kind of leadership emphasizes the unknown factor in Quebec's future. Racially conscious Anglos can only wonder whether such gadabout opportunism will transform Quebec into a French-speaking Toronto, Brazil or Brooklyn.

NORMAN MacGREGOR

SAT Champ

The Washington Post recently gave prominent play to a story about a local black high school girl in D.C. who had attained the perfect score of 800 on the verbal section of a SAT. A few weeks later my local paper came out with the headline, "College Entrance Exam Scores for African Americans Improve." Their scores went up from an average of 17 to 17.1 on the ACT, another college entrance test.

Together, these two news items reveal a fundamental principle which governs mainstream media reportage on its beloved topic, "African-Americans." Authors Arthur Jensen did a tremendous job of demolishing this myth in his 1980 work, Bias in Mental Testing.

It goes without saying that a politically correct newspaper like the Post would respond to the inevitable racial gap in SAT scores with questioning the test's legitimacy. Yet, when a local mulatress actually does exceedingly well on the test, the Post puts it on the front page! All those querulous claims of "cultural bias" and general attacks on the legitimacy of the SATs are suddenly forgotten in a giddy wave of egalitarian exultation.

Give Pat a Break

The funniest show in town is the con-tortionist act that the liberal-controlled media is putting on to pretend that Pat Buchanan is not one of the front-runners in the Republican field of presidential candidates. It is no secret in G.O.P. ranks that Buchanan is the only Republican candidate with real credibility, real guts and a plan. He is not perfect, not by a long shot, and he is no Instaurationist. But compare him to any of the others in the race and it is no contest.

The Dole fiction is wearing thin. The Senate Majority Leader is probably a "good man," in the same way that George Bush was a "good man." Decent chap, nice to his friends, reliable (sort of), always with a finger in the air to assess the wind direction. Voters want more in 1996, much more, but what they want they very seldom get.

Gingrich is too strange to be elected president. Gramm? Too gray and corn-ball. Steve Forbes? A valid political platform deserves more than a balance sheet.

The fear of Pat is best displayed by the attitude of ZOG mind-controlers and the words of such stalking horses for the neo-cons as William "King Virtue" Bennett. The New York Times sniffs and dismisses Buchanan as a "protest candidate" who has not a chance, though the editors know full well he is in a stronger overall position than any Republican except Dole. Guru Bennett adopts a patronizing air and suggests that when all is said and done and the deals are cut, he and the other "wise guys" of the true Republican Party will call Pat in for a fatherly chat, ask him his price and fob him off with some meaningless twaddle written into the party platform and an ambassadorship to Botswana. Bennett professes to find Buchanan's solid positions on immigration and the ethnic component of our national identity "tricky."

My reading of Buchanan is that he is sincere, has courage and has grown with time. Let the liberals and the neo-cons whistle past the graveyard if they please. Come this summer they will have to deal with a two-fisted brawler who pulls no punches and who calls a spade a spade. For a bunch of pansies who probably have never been in a real fight in their lives, a rude awakening is in store.

N.B. FORREST
Genes and Insurance

I am continually amazed at the reluctance (refusal?) of so many Americans to face reality if it goes against their cherished beliefs. The furor over the possibility of insurance companies using genetic information to determine what they charge their policy holders is a good example of this head-in-the-sandism.

The mapping of the genome—the identification of all human genes—is unleashing a torrent of vital information, some of which has to do with the genes linked to human mental and physical afflictions, such as the predisposition to breast, uterine, ovarian and colon cancer, as well as to male homosexuality.

This mass of exciting new data presents us with serious, even frightening problems for the future. Researchers say that it won't be long before a finger prick will provide an encyclopedia of facts about a person's health. What will this development mean to our society and our economy? One of the more immediate challenges is how it will affect life and health insurance companies? Should these firms be allowed access to our genetic makeup? Should they be permitted to set and adjust rates based on an individual's genes?

At present these companies operate under the 1945 McCarran-Ferguson Act, which allows states to regulate the insurance industry so long as the federal government makes no specific overruling regulations. California has already passed some restrictive genetic screening laws, as has Wisconsin. Both states have decreed that genetic test results cannot be used to determine insurance coverage, rates or benefits.

California and Wisconsin seem to have forgotten that insurance companies are private enterprises driven by the profit motive. If they lose too much money, they will cease to exist. In addition to state regulations, insurance policies, prices and services are controlled by competition within the industry—the most effective and decisive regulation of all. The free market system decrees that, if your rates and services are not competitive, your rivals will take your customers and you will be gone.

In setting rates insurance companies have to consider your physical and mental health. If you had cancer or a history of heart disease, you probably will have difficulty buying life or health insurance. Insurance firms are huge gambling machines controlled by cold, hard actuary tables. Once information is led to the actuaries, he tells the executives how to place their bets. The genetic background of the customer is an obvious factor in the wager.

Life insurance companies have always required applicants to take a physical exam before the company issues a policy. Why wouldn't it be equally acceptable to require a prospect's genetic profile? It should be a two-way street. If the applicant refuses to give the company his medical profile, the company should have the right to refuse coverage.

Liberals say it's unfair to persons with a poor genetic background for insurance companies not to sell them health or life insurance. Their argument is that, if you are healthy and remain healthy, the equitable thing for you to do is subsidize insurance for the unhealthy and genditically deficient by paying a higher premium.

One suggestion of liberals is that state legislatures subsidize high-risk people by paying insurance companies to sell them low-cost insurance. This passes on the cost to the taxpayers, a move that would greatly please the big government boys.

It all boils down to the reality that banning the use of genetic information from insurance companies is an unfair tax on the healthy people of the country.

No Advice Needed, Joe

Picked up Newsweek the other day. While I didn't find any news worth reading, I did come across yet one more display of the limitless nerve of the Kosher Krowd.

In an article entitled, "How To Capture The Radical Middle," Joe Klein tells us how presidential aspirants should once again go about separating white middle-class fools from their votes. Klein's piece is one more building block in the Chosen plan to co-opt the growing alienation and radicalization of the American Majority. Jewish attempts to simply ignore the political facts of life and ridicule the Majority movement out of existence have failed. Nothing is left to the Chosen now but to try to join in and, using their old tricks, take over the so-called Republican revolution from behind the scenes.

Old Joe Klein sounds like he is mad as hell and not going to take it longer. Why, he's one of us! Same problems, same general point of view, pretty darn upset with what's going on. But, of course, he needs to warn us about those "kooks" and crazies who could "derail" the "revolution" by pushing "radical" ideas. Keep the crusaders in the middle of the road, he urges. The golden mean, no rough stuff, no unpleasantness. No calling a spade a spade.

Joe thinks that the American Majority wants to "clean up the system," balance the budget, "restore civility" and "decide what comes next." Let's just roll up our sleeves and get to work! Praise the Lord and pass the neoconsim!

Not so fast, Joey boy. Those days are long gone. Take a hike with your bromides and Pollyanna baloney.

The American Majority, which most certainly does not include you and your tribe, does not need any pointers from its would-be wreckers. We will fix this thing ourselves, without your advice, comments or participation. You and yours are irrelevant to what will happen in America over the next two decades.

When a village goes about casting out evil spirits, it does not call on the witches and warlocks who introduced them in the first place to get rid of them.

Do you really think people are fooled when you flood the controlled media with a tidal wave of articles and television shows about the "angry, alienated" middle class, but then studiously avoid mentioning that Jewish and liberal greed, arrogance and anti-Majority hatred have played a major role in creating the time bomb called the United States of America? Wake up and smell the coffee, dude. There is a bell ringing in the distance. And it ain't ringing for us.

N.B. FORREST
What You Should Know About IQ

Since it is constantly being aired that Chinese and other Asians are intellectually superior to whites, disbelieving Majority members should know something about the Flynn Effect. The Flynn Effect simply states that the average person in every racial group has been answering more and more questions on intelligence tests. As a result, scores on virtually every type of IQ quiz have risen roughly three points per decade. The gains varied from 10 points per generation in Sweden and Denmark, to 20 points per generation in Israel and Belgium. Relative to other groups, whites have been losing about one point per generation ever since women’s liberation began over a century ago, the most intelligent women proving best at avoiding child-bearing. Intelligence tests taken during WWII showed both Jews and Asians to be well behind whites. The apparent superiority of the Chinese is due in part to the administration of older IQ tests. Other studies have shown errors made in administering tests caused whites to seem less intelligent. More social scientists these days are committed to prove what they believe to be true. Chosen educators wanted to prove Gentiles inferior and administered the tests in a manner conducive to supporting their case.

The Flynn Effect depends on many factors, one of which is simply that if you take more tests you will do better at them. In Denmark it was found that the rise in IQs matched the increase in time spent in school. Other sources of intellectual stimulation, such as the use of computers, produce the same result. The Flynn Effect is also related to improvements in medicine and nutrition. The average height of Americans has been increasing for more than a century and the gains are similar to the gains in IQ. The South was impoverished after the Civil War and overall intelligence dropped. After the Tennessee Valley Authority poured more wealth into the area, the average IQ increased by ten points. All this does not mean that the lower intelligence of blacks is due to poor nutrition. Although blacks now answer more questions on IQ tests, their scores remain lower than whites’ by the same proportion.

Another example of the conscious or subconscious manipulation of data is the much touted difference in the brain/body ratio. In part this stems from counting body fat in the ratio. One of the greatest health problems in the United States and Western Europe is obesity. If body fat is counted in the brain/body ratio, then a person’s evolutionary level can change over the holidays.

Coming soon to a bookstore near you????

Rip Washington was a member of the famed Tuskegee Air Corps that dominated the “wild black yonder” over Nazi Germany. Called the Black Baron by his comrades in arms, Rip took pride in his ability to pilot his life as well as his airplanes. After World War II, the Air Force trained him as a jet pilot, but the denizens of that small Louisiana town where his base was located didn’t take kindly to an “uppity” black man who thought he was the equal of any white man -- especially when it came to competing for the favors of Camille Boudreaux, the tempestuous, tres magnifique Cajun beauty who just happened to be the daughter of the town’s mayor.

Will their romance take flight... or will it crash and burn?
Here Come de Chinks

Sometime ago, in "The Mongolization of Vancouver" (Jan. 1994), I explained the effect a huge influx of Hong Kong Chinese was having on Canada's west coast. Recently I saw a CNN item which told the same sad tale about the suburbs of San Diego, where scores of wealthy Hong Kongers are moving and taking over. Monster houses capable of holding two or three families are springing up in traditional neighbourhoods and builders have to consider ancient Chinese superstitions when installing doors, windows and other appurtenances. Brace yourselves, folks, because before Hong Kong falls to the Chinese Reds next year, many American west coast cities are going to be flooded with Chinese millionaires rich from laundering the ill-gotten wealth of the Golden Triangle drug trade through Hong Kong's many financial institutions. With that kind of money, they know they can move in anywhere and buy anything they want—including political power. And you won't like the way they drive, either!

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Talking Turkey

I agree with Richard McCulloch that the quest for racial preservation is moral, that our job is to get the truth into the hearts and minds of our people. I have promised myself that anything I say, even lightly, on any related subjects will be informed by what I know. I will never, in public or in private, contradict what I know to be true. Indeed, many of the colored working poor are very amenable to separatist talk from a white, no matter what liberal Jews and "liberation" Catholics would have us think.

PASSING THOUGHTS

Zip 420 once wrote a good piece on how to insulate oneself from soul-destroying antiwhite media propaganda by carefully choosing what to watch, read or listen to. I’d add to his suggestions the purchase of a shortwave radio. I like to listen to BBC on shortwave, which, although sometimes depressing, is nowhere near as dispiriting as the U.S. media. With fluency in another language, horizons open up immeasurably. Deutsche Welle, Radio Quebec and other outlets have definitely managed to retain an edge over the American publicum.

To the subscriber who mentioned that feminists are hypocritical in that they allow men to rescue them, American feminists in my experience do not want men to rescue them. They want one man to rescue them: Uncle Sam. Instead of handling their problems on their own or with the help of a friend or relative, feminists rush into the arms of the state. Government to American feminists is like one big kindergarten. A benevolent teacher makes sure everyone is playing nicely with everyone else, doles out cookies, schedules naps and grades you on sociability and cooperativeness. The nanny state. But the feminists don’t see how individual freedom is destroyed by instituting state protection you had against attack by others. Those who harmed you can move in anywhere and buy anything they want—including political power. And you won’t like the way they drive, either!

FEMALE SUBSCRIBER

Little Known Immigrant Fraud

Regarding the snippet in Talking Numbers (Nov. 1995) concerning the net loss of $44.18 billion accruing from the presence of immigrants in the U.S., I would like to point out another fiscal problem that greatly increases that loss, one I never read about or hear mentioned in the media. This loss derives from the magnitude of tax evasion practiced by immigrant businessmen.

Two years ago I worked for a mortgage banker in the San Francisco Bay Area as an Income Fraud Specialist, checking the income tax returns submitted as verification for income reported in loan applications. The majority of fraudulent loan applications submitted were the attempts of recently immigrated Korean, Vietnamese and Chinese self-employed to jack up their income to the six-figure range, substantiating it with bogus tax returns generally purchased from tax mills owned by their compatriots. The fraud is widespread in Los Angeles and Orange counties, where many Asian immigrants have settled since the Vietnam War.

In all cases, the Asians either owned or were about to purchase an expensive home, using false income tax returns as a vehicle. They could not use their real returns because they showed incomes of an average $10,000-$20,000 annually, which qualified the borrower/taxpayer for the Earned Income Credit.

Asian returns were easy to detect for fraud since the Internal Revenue Code with its concomitant forms and schedules is too multi-dimensional a mechanism for Asians to comprehend and thus to utilize effectively.

There is a need for an airing of this aspect of civil and criminal fraud as practiced routinely by immigrants. I have ample documentation to substantiate these charges.

Get Off It

Instauration’s heavy-handed harping on blacks, on their low intelligence and high crime rate became wearisome long ago. It gives a distorted picture of the circumstances. Whites are bad enough now. How much worse would they be if they were crowded into inner-city slums and packed into "welfare hotels" to the immense profit of Jewish slumlords? How would they behave? As for intelligence, I live in a “retirement community” —more accurately a senile citizens reservation. Some of the denizens have I.Q.s below that of a potato.

Lighten Up

Recent articles and Safety Valve missives have detailed the P.C.-ing of Country-Western music. I certainly agree with most of the observations. I would like to suggest an alternative to all music-listening Instaurationists. Polka music. This is the only music I
can think of besides classical which has its roots firmly in Europe. Though most classical works are truly masterpieces, the music tends to be quite somber. Polka music, on the other hand, is definitely lively and happy. Listening to it can really evoke images of northern and central Europe—Oktoberfest in Germany, a wedding party in Poland. I'm quite enthused about this music. I am listening to it as I write this letter. Some of the stuff by Lawrence Welk and Myron Floren is particularly good. A catalog or list of polka tapes and CDs can be had from Sunshine Records, Box 652, W. Seneca, NY 14224 or The Connection, Box 7075, Yankton, SD 57078.

Straight Talk from N.B. Forrest

Allow me to take this opportunity to comment on a number of letters that have been received by the editor over the past few months. First, let me address the complaints of a number of readers who say the articles they have submitted have never been published or were edited in a fashion that did not meet with their approval. A few of these complainers seem to have been extremely upset and offended by this.

I have written a couple of hundred articles for Instauration over the past ten years or so. Of these, probably one out of three has been published and every last one has been edited. Some of my articles have been on the subject of guns and the need for every man to be armed. Each one has apparently been put in the circular file. True, the editor, with an unerring eye, has chosen for publication the best things I've written. Almost without exception the editing has improved my often hastily put together productions. As a busy family man with little time to spare, 90% of what I send in for publication consists of first drafts written off the top of my head. The editor has frequently saved me from embarrassment.

It is the job of the editor to turn out a good product and in doing this he will sometimes have to make decisions that don't sit well with everyone. We just have to live with it.

A writer recently wrote in to say that Instauration wasn't tough enough; the magazine didn't express the rage of the average reader. Another chided me and other writers for not urging the outright, immediate deportation of blacks.

Let me answer such complaints in this fashion. We are engaged in a war. We all know who the enemy is and that we fail to understand "what is really going on." We understand that we must all hang together or we most assuredly will hang separately. As Charlie Daniels sang, "What some people call a redneck, I call a hard-working common man."

Okay, so I don't have a job. Let's not get too technical.

If you are eager to strike out at somebody, then go for the gold. Don't waste your time on small fry. Study and learn who is really operating the machine. You can eliminate pawns from now until the crack of doom and never make a dent in the powers that be. If you can't figure out the smart moves on your own, stay out of the game.

N.B. Forrest

Redneck's Retort

"They [blacks] keep to themselves, away from the feuding snagle-toothed rednecks with the souped-up 79 Chevies and skulls tattooed on their bellies... . I feel rather ambiguous about rednecks, since they are my neighbors. . . . Those who idealize backward rural whites probably have never lived with them" ("Minnie's Musings," Dec. 1995).

I drive an old Ford pickup, wear a baseball cap sporting a Confederate flag patch, smoke cigarettes, say "nigger" when I am so inclined and live in the rural South. In short, I'm a redneck. My dictionary defines "redneck" as "One of the white rural laboring class in the southern United States. Used disparagingly." Okay, so I don't have a job. Let's not get too technical.

As a kid and as a teenager, I'd hoe Daddy's cornfield and garden. The back of my neck would get sunburnt. It hurt when my shirt collar rubbed up against it. I was really a redneck then.

A non-redneck doesn't have to have a redneck over for supper every Wednesday night ("Honey, don't forget; tonight's redneck night. You'll need to fry some country ham and taters and bake two shoofly pies!")

Jeff Foxworthy hit the big time because of "You Might Be A Redneck If. . . ." Some examples of rednecks he gives are harmless comedy. But others are vicious slanders. So much of modern comedy is just another of the archenemy's cultural weapons in the war against our people.

We're all in this together. I was a PFC in the U.S. Army, so I know that as the battle draws nigh an officer doesn't berate soldiers because their necks are red and his blood is blue. Ben Franklin said, "We must all hang together or we most assuredly will hang separately." As Charlie Daniels sang, "What some people call a redneck, I call a hard-working common man."

Minnie also mentions "white trash" in her piece. There ain't no such thing. This derogatory term is often used to denote white people who are very poor. Poverty is no virtue, but it ain't no vice either. How many great men grew up in poverty and when did they stop being "white trash?"

Lay off us poor rednecks, hillbillies and so-called "white trash." Who knows but what the great leader, our "King Arthur," might rise from these humble ranks.
The Corpse Breathes

Ideally a democracy stands on five props: (1) racial homogeneity; (2) cultural homogeneity; (3) clearly defined territory; (4) productive and healthy economy; (5) honest and intelligent leadership.

How does the U.S. measure up to these criteria? Not too well. Racial homogeneity is out the window. As the minorities proliferate, the Majority shrinks and heterogeneity becomes the order of the day and of the future.

As for cultural homogeneity, English is still the national language, though Spanish is increasingly popular in the Southwest and south Florida. Instead of cultural amalgamation or fusion, the U.S. is in the midst of a Kulturkampf, as blacks tilt towards a criminal lifestyle, separatism and Afrocentrism, and as Hispanics rev up their dreams of recapturing the Southwest for Mexico or of founding an independent state they already call Aztlan.

As for territorial integrity, the Mexican border is porous and Hispanic bandit gangs are stepping up their cross-border criminal forays into Texas and New Mexico. Washington seems more interested in protecting the borders of South Korea, Bosnia and Israel than its own.

The economy falls far short of what is called for by the fourth prop. Speculators and investment moguls make millions a year, while the average American sees his standard of living falling, often radically, as illegals crowd in to take jobs from U.S. citizens and giant corporations downsize. In spite of the acrimonious political disputes about budget balancing, welfarism makes it almost impossible for any government anywhere to stay out of the red. The current promise of both Republicans and Democrats to stop piling up new debt in seven years is sheer bunkum. The politicians know this, but have become so addicted to untruth that their tongues have become permanently forked.

As to the fifth prop, the need for honest and intelligent leaders, American leadership fails utterly. The system is set up in such a way that only the least qualified are elected president and congressmen. No decent or sensible person would think of dirtying his hands in contemporary politics. All that present-day politicians have going for them is energy—as they rush from motel to motel in their obsessive quest for campaign dollars. Leaders must have "character." The President, an inveterate bounder, has hardly a drop of this commodity. Instead of legislating, the moment congressmen are elected they start working around the clock to get reelected.

Such is the status of the five props that hold up what is known as the United States in the late 20th century. It's a wonder the edifice of America hasn't collapsed years ago. What we have here is a corpse of a country. But most Americans don't realize their sorry predicament because amazingly the corpse is breathing.

Zero-Starred Films

Three recent films bulge with that old silver screen propaganda: Waiting to Exhale, Nixon and The White Man's Burden. Exhale leaves audiences with the thought that men are hellish creatures and that the four women characters, played by svelte Negresses, have proceeded much further up the chain of being than their male counterparts. The film harps so much on the man-hating theme that at times it appears to be slyly advocating the extermination of the male sex and the establishment of a worldwide matriarchy, which would have to be maintained by cloning, since there wouldn't be any males around anymore.

Oliver Stone, the producer and director of Nixon, is just a lowdown cinematic creep. A onetime drug smuggler, cocaine sniffer and general no-good, he is supremely qualified to become a Hollywood producer. Since little in his cellu­loid put-down of Nixon rings true, it would appear that Stone's main aim in life is to confuse the minds of millions of viewers with tortuously skewed ideas of modern history. Stone, whose father was born Lou Silverstein, loathes America, a country which made him a multimillionaire and a Hollywood powerhouse. His movies add up to monumental acts of ingratitude.

The White Man's Burden is a topsy-turvy attempt to predict the antics of a society where whites and Negroes change places. It's one more minority kick in the heinies of whites. But it's so silly that only liberaloids like Dan Rather and Michael Kinsley would probably give it the time of day.

Posthumous Baptism

Caving in to Jewish protests, the Mormon Church stopped the posthumous baptism of Jews who died in the Holocaust. That was a year ago. Today, Mormons have been accused, again by Jews, for similar dosings of such dead individuals as Adolf Hitler, Eva Braun, Josef Goebbels, Stalin, Mussolini, Lee Harvey Oswald et al. This odd practice of the Mormon Church derives from its belief that marriage and family relationships stretch beyond the grave, provided proper precautions, such as posthumous baptism, are taken.

Empowering Cinderella

Unlike so many of his racial cousins, Bruno Lanksy, a book publisher, is not content to demean and sneer at Western folk tales, he is busy rewriting them. His newly published book, Girls to the Rescue, is geared to female readers from 8 to 12. Heroines, in Lanksy's view, don't have to be white and they don't have to be beautiful. They solve their own problems and refuse to wait for handsome princes to come along and rescue them. They have to be strong, assertive and, though Lanksy doesn't say so, exceedingly unfeminine.

America Last

We're getting a little tired of Mr. Big Bucks. We didn't cotton to the picture of giraffish Shauquelle O'Neal cradling richissimo Bill Gates in his arms. We liked less America's richest man hanging out with Hollywood throwbacks like Steven Spielberg. We were not overpleased with Microsoft lawyer Ira Rubenstein's cliché, "to succeed in foreign markets, you need foreign personnel." Gates's #2 man, Steve Ballmer, can't stop gurgling about Microsoft's globalism. When Gates made his first billion dollars, we lost him. Billionaires, unless they are Jews, are quick to shock their roots for the root of all evil.

Priestly Scam

Rev. Francis Bolek, a Catholic priest in Donora (PA), collected $340,000 in donations for a local shrine that boasts a fanciful mosaic duplicate of the Virgin Mary at Fatima, Portugal. Unfortunately, Father Bolek didn't use the money to repair his church and build a center for senior citizens, as he had promised. Instead he spent it on gold jewelry, expensive clothes, a luxury apartment in Florida and four-star vacations to Hawaii and New Zealand.

Pilfering Preacher

Rev. T. J. Jemison, onetime head of the 8.2-million member National Baptist Convention USA, started a black insurance company, which is now bankrupt. Jemison committed perjury when he denied he had tried to pay off the woman raped by Mike Tyson. The bankruptcy of Jemison's latest venture will make a severe
dent in the pocketbooks of his 1,400 policy holders. In an earlier insurance fraud, outlawed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Reverend promised that for a one time down payment of $300 the insured would receive $1,000 a month for life after age 70.

Vicarious Racism
He just loves Indians, does Kevin Costner. He proved it with Dancing With Wolves, his mushy apotheosis of the redman. He disproved it some months ago when, with brother Dan, he headed for the Black Hills of South Dakota with $100 million to build a 635-acre, 320-room hotel complete with golf course and gambling casino. When she heard about it, a tear dropped from the eye of Madonna Thunder Hawk, a prominent Indian environmentalist. “The eastern Black Hills are sacred to our people.”

Amy and Chelsea
On a recent book tour hustling her and her father’s soporific toddler book, The Little Baby Snoogle-Fleejer, Amy Carter refused to answer why she named her cat Yasser. The onetime First Daughter was more talkative when it came to domestic politics. Of her four arrests for civil disobedience before being expelled from Brown University, she preferred the times she was put in holding pens with the late, freakish Abbie Hoffman. Amy admitted she was still a feminist socialist, was pro-choice and firmly committed to gay rights. She also let it be known that she would “like to vote for a president less conservative than her father.” Some say Amy is or should be Chelsea’s role model.

Madonna Surprises
Superslut Madonna told Spin magazine, “I have never been treated more disrespectfully as a woman than by the black men that I’ve dated.” A few days before this uncharacteristic dictum hit the gossip columns, Madonna partied at the opening of a glitzy Miami Beach nightclub with such ignobles as black model Naomi Campbell, Hollywood homomogul David Geffen and Jewish fashion designer Calvin Klein, who enlivens his ads with kid-die porn. Quite a shindig!

Jews Swoon for Cults
While Jews represent less than 3% of the American population, cult experts have determined that they make up approximately 10% to 15% of all cult members and at least 40% of the members of Eastern meditation or mass therapy groups in major cities. Alarmed by these figures, Jewish leaders blame Chosen overrepre-

sentation in cults on the cult gurus’ strenuous recruiting on university campuses. Since most Jews go to college, the argument runs, they tend to be “open to new ideas.” A better reason cults target Jews is the Chosen’s affluence.

Instaurationists explain the Jewish affinity for cults by pinning it on the Chosen’s yen for the far out. Ex-Moonie Joshua Brenner admits that he felt at home with Korean cult members because of their belief that “persecution makes you wiser.” The cults’ scriptural anticipation of a Messiah who will come to offer salvation may also predispose Jews to dabble in esoteric metaphysics. Pablo Cohen, the Jewish member of Koresh’s nutball groupies, was bowled over by Koresh when the two met in Israel.

Bad News for the ADL
Hate crimes are down from 7,587 in 1993 to 5,852 in 1994, avers the FBI. Michael Lieberman, the ADL’s Washington counsel, was not elated. Wringing his hands, he complained, “This is disturbing... we don’t want these people to collapse these statistics into a sense that race relations in America are improving?” If the improvement is too much, Mr. Lieberman, you and your snooping cohorts would be out of business. N’est-ce pas?

Jews Left Out—For Once
Jews are upset that Jewish writers aren’t being included in the new curricula for “representative American literature” on many college campuses. Excluded, along with WASPs as being too establishmentarian and not “ethnic enough,” Jews and Jewesses failed to make the latest roll call of writers being studied by American students. Cynthia Ozick, the insufferable Choseness whose Gestapo horror stories have been brutally forced down the gulfs of Majority students for the last 10 years or so, complains, “The fact remains that there is no room for Jewish writers at the multicultural table.” Jewish sour grapes taste bittersweet to Majority tongues. They’re being lumped with us now. But we don’t want them.

Bagatelles
• Eugene McCarthy, the “good” Senator McCarthy, who has been relatively quiet since his failed 1968 bid for the presidency, told the Women’s International League for Peace and Freedom that the rich should pay the national debt because “they are the ones who created it.”
• Although there may be as many as 65 million German Americans, about a third of the country’s population, not one Kraut is in the Clinton cabinet.

• After being kicked out of the NAACP, Benjamin Chavis Jr., a Farrakhanista, started up the NAALS (National African American Leadership Summit). A few of Chavis’s goals: a moratorium on the death penalty, DC statehood, bountiful reparations for the descendants of slaves.
• Daniel Weinberg, who runs a Chicago bookstore, happily reports that Portrait of the Morning, a rumor-ridden piece of trash that insinuates Abe Lincoln had a homosexual experience, is selling well—200 copies as of December 4. No major publisher would touch the manuscript, which was picked up by Chosenite Hy Steirman. Nothing gives some Jews a greater thrill than the deconstruction of Majority greats.
• David Bonior (D-MI) is the chief hatchetman of the media campaign to expel Newt Gingrich from Congress. Amid the press’s neurotic gushing over Bonior, nothing is said about the 76 checks he bounced in the House piggy bank scandal and about his bootlicking support over the years of his dear amigo, Fidel. The media have also been mum about Bonior’s indefatigable buttering up weirdo European Marxists.
• Mark Katz is on the payroll of the Democratic National Committee. His job is to feed one-liners to Clinton, Hillary, Gore and other pols @ $500 for a couple of wisecracks; $7,000 for an after-dinner spiel. Katz began his career by dressing up as a gorilla and delivering telegrams. He boasts he has loosened up the Veep to the point where the Great Stone Face is actually being sought after as a speaker.
• All the major Jewish organizations, except the Jewish War Veterans, are 101% in favor of the U.S. armed invasion of Bosnia.
• A big man in Jewish affairs, which means he is a big man in national and world affairs, Richard Wexler, a top-ranking official of the Council of Jewish Federations, has proposed a “Million Jew March” to Washington to protest against planned federal cuts that would reduce the flow of taxpayer cash to Jewish social service organizations.
• Two Jews, who wrote some of Elvis Presley’s most famous songs, were asked by a Chosenite reporter how far the King would have gone without their lyrics. Jerry Leiber and Mike Stoller snidely replied, “Maybe he’d [still] be driving a truck.”

A truck driver? Elvis?
Job Pollution
Robert Charles, a veteran fire fighter, has been through the mill of affirmative action for more than two decades. His account of what racial quotas have done to the San Francisco Fire Department reads at times like a Greek tragedy.

In a morale-numbing article in the January 1996 American Renaissance (P.O. Box 1674, Louisville, KY 40201), Charles provides example after example of what happened to his department when it dropped merit and adopted skin color and sex as basic qualifications for hiring and promoting. The female quota was often filled by women who hadn’t the strength to manipulate heavy water-filled hoses. Ironically the only members of the fair sex able to pull their own weight were lesbians. Language barriers caused constant misunderstandings and foul-ups. One black lieutenant perished in a 2000° blast of heat when he didn’t have the sense to throw himself on the floor along with eight other firemen, as signs of a deadly back draft were mounting. Put it down as an affirmative action death.

Frivolous, minority-inspired lawsuits cost the department millions of dollars. It used to take 10 to 12 years to make lieutenant. Now it’s possible for a woman to attain the rank in only two years.

The horror story goes on and on. What Charles is saying is that the main disadvantage of affirmative action is not just moving the less qualified over the heads of the more qualified. This is bad enough. The problem, however, goes deeper, much deeper. To work well, people must feel comfortable about their jobs and about their surroundings. Most importantly, they have to feel comfortable about their fellow workers. The name for this is esprit de corps. Without it, any collective effort has trouble getting out of low gear.

Dump the OSI
Despite all the talk and occasional action on reducing government expenditures, no one has as yet dared suggest that the Office of Special Investigations, a Justice Dept. agency run by Jewish avengers, be closed down and padlocked. Over the years countless Americans of Ukrainian, German, Russian, Polish, Lithuanian, Latvian and Estonian descent, onetime functionaries for the Axis powers, have been the targets of unending, highly publicized and costly witch-hunts. Paradoxically the U.S. seems to take absolutely no interest in apprehending former Communist apparatchiks and Zionist land-snatchers and making them answer for their horrendous crimes.

Neocon Rag
The Weekly Standard, bankrolled by Rupert Murdoch and presided over by editor William Kristol and deputy editor John Podhoretz, is turning out exactly as expected. It supports the invasion of Bosnia, sneers at Buchanan, idolizes Israel, is loaded with articles written by Jews, and has initiated several sneak attacks against the Republicans’ most cherished principles. It’s raison d’être is to inch the G.O.P. back to the milque-toast center, while continuing to keep Jews as leading lights at both ends of the political spectrum.

Behind Closed Doors
Columnist William Safire’s annual all-Jewish wing-ding at the end of Yom Kippur included Supreme Court Justices Breyer and Ginsburg, Richard Morris, the political guru who is currently closest to Clinton’s ear, and a whole raft of N.Y. Times staffers. Commented Herb Stein, former chairman of the President’s Council of Economic Advisers, a perennial attendee: “I wouldn’t want some people to think, here’s the power center of America.”

Eternal Remembrance
Richard von Weizsacker, ex-president of West Germany and, in his final years, of reunified Germany, attended a Chosen-
A nun, Sister Consuela Hernandez, 64, of Chicago, was badly beaten in October by a Negro basher who broke into her convent. Before fleeing he yanked off the crucifix around her neck and stole her ring. In civilized times convents were considered safe houses in the sense that not even the worst criminals would violate such holy ground.

C. Vernon Mason, one of the perpetrators of the Tawana Brawley racial rape hoax, recently held a fire sale in his Zoo City offices after being disbarred for defrauding various clients. Once he straightens out his finances, he plans to enroll in the New York Theological Seminary.

New York Mayor Giuliani actually had a Boo Detector team walk in front of him in the Lesbian and Gay Pride Parade last year. Whenever he heard some boos, he would signal for music and for a chorus of sycophants at his side to begin chanting, "Rudy! Rudy! Rudy!" How earthy:

The late Joseph P. Kennedy apparently had his emotionally disturbed daughter, Rosemary, undergo a lobotomy because he was afraid she was an incipient nymphomaniac. The operation may have contributed to her mental deficiencies.

The youngest son of Queen Margrethe II of Denmark, Prince Joachim, has married a Hong Konger, Miss Alexandra Manley. Her pater is half-Chinese, half-Brit. Her mater is an Austrian.

Rev. Gary Timmons confessed in his own handwritten diary that he was a child molester. Charged with molesting 20 students in a Catholic school and summer camps, the Chicago priest faces 17 felony counts and could, but won't, get a six-month term. Charged with molesting a female student leader (white, of course) from another university while both were attending a conference in Lewiston (ID). At last notice, officials of the two universities were hard at work on the coverup.

He wanted his cousin Kimberly Bryan's gold- and chrome-plated wire wheels so badly that he killed her, along with her two young daughters. Double murderer Toronto Patterson is, as might be expected, a member of the race that is on the slow evolutionary track.

When Al Gore offered Harvard Professor Richard Marius a $70,000 speechwriting job, Jewish magazine publisher Martin Peretz complained that Marius was not 100% pro-Israel. The Veep, who not so long ago had described Marius as "our savior," mea-culpaed and speedily withdrew his job offer.

Jeff Klaus, black chief of the Boise State University Student Body, has been accused of raping a female student leader (white, of course) from another university while both were attending a conference in Lewiston (ID). At last notice, officials of the two universities were hard at work on the coverup.

Police say a black Detroit mama, Regina Carter, sold her 15-year-old son, Tazar, to a drug dealer to settle a $1,000 debt for crack cocaine.

Group sex, bondage and fantasy nights were routine doings in a sex club in an exclusive neighborhood in Winter Park (FL). It took an injunction from a local judge to shut it down. The owners of the Pleasure Dome, as it was called, were Jerry and Elizabeth Katz.

Drug-soaked Awilda Lopez of Zoo City, mother of six, reduced the number of her offspring by one when Alisa, her six-year-old, succumbed in November. The little girl's room had become a torture chamber. Mrs. Lopez was convinced that Alisa was possessed by a devil.

The age-old feud between Jews and Poles resounded loudly in a recent column of Ann Landers (Eppie Lederer), who called the Pope a "Polack." After a few boilerplate apologies from Eppie, once called the most influential woman in the U.S. by a World Almanac poll, it was back to Mac.

Black babysitter Victoria Dalton, 13, of San Antonio, got 14 years for smothering to death a two-year-old and a five-month-old in her care.

Detroit police are searching for four men in a van who cruise about the city looking for young women to grab, drag inside and rape. Afterwards the victims are pushed out, often at the same place where they were originally kidnapped. In the first week of November three Detroit women went through the ordeal.

Charles Alan Moore, a jailhouse fixture, was so irked at his concubine, TonJanika McCoy, for obtaining a no trespassing warrant against him that he burned down her home in Fairfax (VA). The blaze killed Moore's child with McCoy and another toddler that the infantilicidal Negro bore with another Negro.
60% of last year's tourists to Israel were Christians.

Despite budget-cutting by the Republican Congress, the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum appears headed for a hefty $2.1 million increase in federal funding. The increase would bring the museum's total federal funding to $28.7 million.

Since 1990, 36 congressmen have been convicted of felonies and put behind bars of the current crop of House members are under investigation for crimes ranging from bribery and embezzlement to fraud.

Michael Viner, Jewish head of Dove Books, has published 4 Simpson-related books, with 4 more in the works. Marica Clark and Johnnie Cochran have a $2 million to $2.5 million book deal; Judge Ito $1.5 million to $2 million; Robert Shapiro $1 million; Christopher Darden $500,000 to $1 million; Barry Scheck $500,000; Majority Renegade of the Year F. Lee Bailey $250,000 to $500,000.

Minorities in 1990-91 comprised 30% of the 47 million elementary and secondary school students—and 13% of the teachers.

The average sentence served in state penitentiaries for murder is 38 months.

As of June 30, 1994, 51% of the 909,000 men and women incarcerated in state and federal prisons were black; 48% white, 6% women. The number of inmates in local jails was 456,000. 39% were white non-Hispanics; 44% black non-Hispanic; 14% Hispanic. As of June last year the number of state and federal prisoners grew to 1,104,074.

The FBI has a list of 200 Unabomber suspects. 55,000 tips as to his identity have flowed through the FBI's special Unabomber toll-free phone line.

Of the total number of AIDS-infected in the U.S. (501,310) 62% have died. The black rate of AIDS is 101/100,000; Hispanic 51/100,000; white 17/100,000.

In 1993, 11.9% of U.S. scientists and engineers were foreign born; 49% of Ph.D.s in computer science.

1/3 of 100,000 black church members polled in 5 U.S. cities believed the AIDS virus was deliberately concocted by whites to exterminate blacks. Another 1/3 said they were "unsure" about it. The remaining 1/3 refused to buy the slander.

Blacks accounted for 81% of the gonorrhea cases reported in the U.S. in 1993.

JFK had 16 polls taken to measure his performance as president. Richard Nixon had 233. The Democratic National Committee paid more than $4.5 million to pollster Stanley Greenberg for 100 to 150 polls measuring Clinton's presidency during his first 2½ years in office.

A young Russian-Jewish immigrant, the victim of a badly botched circumcision five years ago, won a $1.2 million judgment from his victimizers, including the foreskin-clipping rabbi. The award was reached after a 4-week trial in the Bronx.

The Pentagon threw away $20 million in the last 10 years on so-called psychics. Senator Daniel Inouye and Robert Byrd were ardent boosters of the project, most of which has been shut down or is in the course of being shut down.

The 6 most highly paid sports celebrities in 1995 were black hoopster Michael Jordan $43.9 million; black boxer Mike Tyson $40 million; black footballer Delon Sanders $22.5 million; black boxer Riddick Bowe $22 million; black hooper Shaquille O'Neal $20 million; black boxer George Foreman $18 million. Whites only show up in the 7th and below money rankings: Tennis champ Andre Agassi $16 million; golfer Jack Nicklaus $15.1 million; race car driver Michael Schumacher $15 million.

The U.S. is the stamping ground of 25,000 black lawyers, 3.4% of all the shysters in America. The National Bar Association, the Negro copy of the American Bar Association, has 17,000 members.

1.5 million private police are backing up the regular police in the battle against crime.

The Royal Family of Saudi Arabia now includes 6,000 princes.

45% of blacks prefer to be called black. Only 28% choose Afro-American, the media's favorite appellation for Negroes. Hispanics go 57% for Hispanic; only 12% for Latino. American Indians prefer Indian to Native American 49% to 37%.

In one of his NBC nightly news broadcasts, Tom Brokaw informed his listeners that 40% of U.S. wealth is owned by 1% of the people. What's worse, big-time CEOs earn 120 times the wages of the average factory worker.

Illegal immigrant Francisco Quintero was run over by a car shortly after sneaking into the U.S. from Mexico. Since then he has been in a coma in the Medical Center of the University of California at San Diego, where he is being treated for brain damage. Cost to date: Nearly $1 million.

4 of the 5 largest theme parks in the U.S. are Jewish owned.

In 1994, 4,000 Americans were candidates for the Catholic priesthood, down from 43,000 in 1966.

If blacks are so badly off, how is it that black suicides per 100,000 are 12.4, compared to the white rate of 19.9?

Between 1990-94, 568,000 immigrants came to New York City and 663,861 American-born residents left. In Los Angeles 663,000 immigrants moved in; 845,000, mostly whites, moved out.

Some 10,000 gang members in Los Angeles gain their livelihood by selling crack cocaine.

Georgia Irby, a black woman, died in Peoria (IL) on May 8, 1994. She was survived by 9 sons, 2 daughters, 200 grandchildren, 125 great-grandchildren and 85 great-great-grandchildren.

In 1994 more than 18 million whites were classified as poor by the Census Bureau.

Individuals gave $105.1 billion to charity in 1994; corporations $6.1 billion; bequests $8.8 billion; foundations $9.5 billion.

The world's 4 most expensive cities are, in descending order, Tokyo, Osaka, Moscow and Zurich. It costs more than twice as much to live in Tokyo than it does in New York City.

The United Jewish Appeal collected $382 million in 1994 and spent $92.2% of those tax-free dollars on funding Jewish schools in 58 countries and helping Jews worldwide settle in Israel.
The "either/or" proposition is one of the most common fallacies of logic: If you can prong your opponent on the horns of a dilemma, you've skewered his argument and reduced him to a worm wriggling on a hook. The success of the tactic depends on blinding your opponent to the possibility of a third alternative. Søren Kierkegaard wrote a famous book entitled Either/Or, in which he argued that you either commit to Christ or you don't. Since the "evidence" for Christianity is dubious, commitment means a "blind leap of faith."

Sorry, Søren, but there are billions of happy agnostics infesting our dying planet, not to mention all the pantheists, Buddhists, Muslims, Jews and just plain skeptical humanists wandering through the world, who find an already incomprehensible earth even less sensible as the result of a commitment to a mythology created by a paranoid first-century Jew yept Paul.

Either O.J. Simpson was a caring husband or a brutal wife beater.

Neither. Unable to forget his glory days and grow up, O.J. insisted on using Nicole Brown as a tackling dummy to keep in shape for the high hurdles he had to run for Hertz.

Either the O.J. jurors did their duty, without fear or favor, or they were misled in their instructions by Judge Broken Lance Itlo.

Neither. It could have been a vigilante jury out to hang justice by the heels, a bunch of scumbags responding to Johnnie Cochran's call to send white society a "message."

What are we to make of all the wide-eyed wonderment about the "race issue" which has filled the media since the flagrant injustice of the O.J. jury?

Either you were hiding in a mole's hole on Mars or you were shocked to discover that a Los Angeles policeman had indeed used the "n" word within the last 10 years, years of rising racial tension and fear that have beset our floundering society.

Neither. If you were shocked, it was because you only saw what you wanted to see—a sentimentalized sense of surface harmony.

What has shocked me and made me roll my eyes heavenward and wish I could afford to shoot out my television set is the way Elvis did, what shocked me profoundly was the shameless hypocrisy of the pundits who have paraded their skim-milk spirit in every form of media now available to man, solidly blanketing all 50 states with solid lies on solid-state TV.

How many times did you see and hear these pusillanimous pundits, with the spontaneous sincerity of a snake-oil salesman who has learned to improvise his lines by rote, how many times have you heard a so-called "expert" keep a straight face and stare straight into the camera and earnestly declare, "One thing the O.J. jury has taught us that we have a problem in this country and that problem is race. Goodness gracious, did anybody suspect how deep the divide between the races was? What we have here is a failure to communicate. Shouldn't we start to talk about the race problem openly and honestly?"

For example, Kweisi (queasy) Mfume, who represents the seventh district of Baltimore and is a leader of the raucous Congressional Black Caucus, declared that we should all talk openly and honestly about race.

Now either Queasy is deaf, dumb and completely numb or he is a hypocrite.

Neither. He does not deserve so exalted a status. He is merely a politician, who is quitting his job in Congress to take on an even more political job as head of the NAACP.

But what is one to make of all the sincere and saintly pundits who agree with him? Either they are lily-livered whites or they are smirking blacks stalling for more time.

Neither. They are merely people who are proving that hypocrisy has no color. Putting aside the obvious ploy to protect their careers by deploying the usual arguments, the best that can be said is that those who call for an honest discussion about race hope to pacify the country by papering over the differences between the races with a delusional call for "understanding."

But what is there to understand? That mutual distrust between the races is so deep that even our perceptions of scientific evidence are contradictory? So much so, that a black jury cannot convict a black man of murder, despite overwhelming evidence of guilt?

Is it any wonder that your call for an "honest and open discussion" leaves me feeling queasy, Kweisi (born Frizzel Gray in Baltimore)?

An so's yo' mama!

Why would any white man with good sense and anything like a sense of history continue an honest discussion of the racial issue at this point?

And if this seems cynical, why do so many pious non-Jews support Israel? Either they are supporting a policy of Lebensraum and plunder or they are conveniently aligning themselves with a winner. If Israel had been stifled in its cradle, wouldn't anti-Semites have streamed out of the woodwork in support of the righteous cause of Palestine?

And by the way, just how much "open and honest" dialogue have the Jews had with Leonard Jeffries and Reverend Louis Farrakhan?

Scientists are now researching a possible genetic link between violence and DNA. Why are powerful forces opposed to this research? Why are they trying to stymie all scientific investigation and shut the project down? On the contrary, why shouldn't they encourage an "honest and open" dialogue? Why try to close down qualified geneticists from doing their research? What is one afraid will be discovered?

Queasy, if you're not an elitist racist yourself, why did you chose an all-American Swahili name which means "conquering son of kings"?

Queasy, you and your media friends just don't get it. There has been a discussion going on in this country for decades. You just haven't been listening. People have been voting and talking with their feet. Why else do you think that whites have abandoned the inner city for the safety of the paleface suburbs? What do you think was the meaning of the last Congressional elections?

Isn't it wonderful, Queasy, to feel so fine and noble and tolerant, until the intolerable happens, until someone gets away with a double murder, someone who has benefited from everything our society has to give?

Maybe now it's time for you to stop teetering on the fence, time to take a Kierkegaardian leap of faith and show the world what I already know for sure.

V.S. STINGER
Back in 1985 Colin Powell and O.J. Simpson were part of a black investor group that bought a Buffalo TV station. It was a surefire deal because the Federal Communications Commission allowed minority members who bought radio and TV stations a substantial tax write-off. The TV station that the "economically disadvantaged" Powell and Simpson bought into was sold last April at a whopping profit for all concerned. This was the kind of racial preference deal prohibited to whites until Congress finally decided to end it last year. Federal subsidies and set-asides for minority businesses still persist, but in the case of the electronic media, they provided not only financial but propaganda benefits. Once minorityites get their hands on a radio or TV station, anti-white racism has the habit of taking over and cop killer and pornographic records begin to dominate the air waves.

Larry King had a special message via satellite for a banquet in Israel celebrating 3,000 years of Jewish history. "If I had my way," the chiseling, ex-bankrupt, now on his 7th or 8th wife, asserted, "I would live in Jerusalem." Go, Larry, go! For Yahweh's sake, go!

A Lichter study of the three network nightly news programs found 68% of the "evaluative comments" reflected negatively on Republicans. Other TV stats from other pollsters: 67% of radio talk show audiences are white, 20% Negro, 8% Hispanic, 3% Asian, 2% Other. Fifty-eight percent of the listeners are male, 42% female. Most are concentrated in the 45-64 age group. Ninety percent are registered to vote; 39% have college degrees; 30% are in the over $60,000-a-year bracket. As for TV viewers, 11% say they couldn't survive without the tube; one-third watch TV over dinner at least three times a week; 25% fall asleep with TV on at least twice a week.

From Zip 208. Steven Spielberg and executives of MCA have complained to Fox TV about Schindler's Lost, a sketch filmed for Mad TV, Fox's upcoming Saturday late-night comedy show. According to BPI Entertainment News Wire, "the German industrialist is too macho to ask for directions and gets lost on his way to save a group of Jews—with potentially disastrous results." A Spielberg gofer whined, "There are some things you just don't joke about."

From Zip 463. Rush Limbaugh is being sued by an interior decorator for $100,000 for breach of contract. Ken Jennings says Limbaugh and his new (third) wife, Marta Fitzgerald, spent millions under his direction to redecorate their 12-room New York City apartment. The condo has solid gold fixtures and a walk-in humidor for Rush's much-touted Israeli cigars. Jennings, who filed suit last July, believes he has been the fall guy for Limbaugh's wife's excessive spending habits. "She went wild and got very ornate and very expensive," he said. Rush gives his listeners the impression he is anti-homosexual, but apparently he is a fan of their decorating tastes.

From Zip 121. The O.J. Simpson trial, which Spy magazine called, "the most absurd event in American history," created, at least for this writer, one truly memorable television moment. It occurred on PBS's Charlie Rose show, when the guest was writer-reporter Dominick Dunne, who stoically sat through almost all of the entire trial, which he covered for Vanity Fair. Dan Rather interviewed him every week as part of CBS News' package of trial coverage. Incidentally, the next time Injun Dan assumes his Murrowesque mantle and starts pontificating about his total commitment to serious news reporting, keep in mind that night after night he wasted part of his precious 22 minutes on Simpson trial trivia. As such, he stood in sharp contrast to the MacNeil/Lehrer Newshour, which, responsibly and sensibly, went for many weeks without one mention of the trial.

There was a personal edge to Dunne's reportage which commanded my attention. After his daughter had been murdered by a psychotic ex-boyfriend in the early 1980s, Dunne had to sit and watch as the American "justice system" slapped his daughter's killer on the wrist with a manmaker's collective act of "giving the finger" to any ideal of justice. He really hit a home run when he observed that the jury "just didn't seem to understand the DNA evidence." It was too "abstract." While he said this, it occurred to me that a man as worldly wise as he is would have known he was approaching dangerous ground with such a statement. He was in such a foul mood, however, he didn't seem to give a damn.

Although, as we all know, the primary dynamic behind the Simpson verdict (and the general reaction of the black community) was racial solidarity, Dunne put his finger on probably the most important secondary dynamic. The Simpson jury didn't understand the DNA evidence for pretty much the same reason that it is ill-advised for anyone to
hold his breath waiting for a Negro to win the Nobel Prize in Chemistry. One undeniable truth about the African “soul,” which we hear so much about these days, is that it is a stranger to the methods and spirit of Western science. To the black mamas on the jury, the fact that the DNA evidence clearly indicated that there was only a one in 170 million chance that someone other than the Juice did the dirty deed was Dunne’s phrase so “abstract” as to be for all practical purposes meaningless. A one in 20 chance (within finger-and-toe-counting range) would probably have been more persuasive. But it was not only the numbers that were too abstract, but the very concept of DNA itself, a concept which, had the “African soul” been left to its own devices, would probably not have discovered for another 200,000 years (following Carleton Coon’s evolutionary timetable).

The Dunne interview inspired me to reread The Double Helix, in which Nobel laureate James Watson recounts how he and his fellow laureate, Francis Crick, pursued and finally nailed down DNA. To read that book is to witness the Western scientific mind soaring into the stratosphere. As such, it provided a much needed antidote to the minority-drenched sordidness on display in the Simpson trial. The mentality of a Watson and a Crick stands in the sharpest possible contrast to the thuggish demogoguery of a Johnnie Cochran, with his craftily calculated appeals to the primitive and degraded passions of the Negro mind.

Make no mistake about it, all you “conservatives” who still cling to some faint hope that education will provide a long-term solution to the Negro problem. Educating the Negro is 10,000, if not 10 million, times more likely to produce a whole new class of spiffed-up, loudmouthed race warriors like Johnnie Cochran, Lani Guinier and Angela Davis than it is to create the likes of a Watson or a Crick. Columnist and long-time race man Carl Rowan, who, just for the record, eventually came down on the side of Simpson’s “innocence,” often writes about how America “must educate its poor and minority children if it is to be able to compete in the world economy.” This, of course, is just a jazzed-up rationale to justify even more handouts to the Negro, a passive lump of clay which it is society’s duty to educate—a rationale which Rowan undoubtedly feels is somewhat more palatable to the honkies than the usual threats and bluster. But educating all those street-corner skinhead proves to be that of an African-American man.”

There, my friends, in one sentence, is exactly why I don’t watch primetime TV shows! Such fevered effusions from Hebraic scriptwriters are to be avoided at all times.

From Zip 780. Gene Roddenberry, the creator of Star Trek, gave the two leading roles, Mr. Spock and Captain Kirk, to two Jews, Leonard Nimoy and William Shatner. But that wasn’t good enough for the Chosen. In a recent article in the Jerusalem Report, Nimoy, now hosting a program of Jewish short stories from Eastern Europe on National Public Radio, made a point of depicting Roddenberry, who died two years ago, as a brazen anti-Semite.

It has long been a habit of Jews to dig into the past of their specific contents is confined to the blurbs which appear in my local newspaper. Since I haven’t been living on Pluto for the past several years, I am aware that a series entitled Chicago Hope is a hospital/medical drama, even though I’ve never tuned in. One week the synopsis of one episode read: “On tonight’s show complications ensue when the only heart available for transplant into a white skinhead proves to be that of an African-American man.”

As I don’t watch even so much as a single primetime TV “entertainment” series, the extent of my knowledge of their specific contents is confined to the blurbs which appear in my local newspaper. Since I haven’t been living on Pluto for the past several years, I am aware that a series entitled Chicago Hope is a hospital/medical drama, even though I’ve never tuned in. One week the synopsis of one episode read: “On tonight’s show complications ensue when the only heart available for transplant into a white skinhead proves to be that of an African-American man.”

There, my friends, in one sentence, is exactly why I don’t watch primetime TV shows! Such fevered effusions from Hebraic scriptwriters are to be avoided at all times.
Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

Although Internet revisionists are putting out information that is giving Simon Wiesenthal and the ADL severe headaches, many out-of-print books which ought to be much more widely known, do the job equally well. One such was written by Otto Skorzeny, the intrepid Austrian who air-lifted Mussolini out of captivity at Campo Imperatore in the Abruzzi Mountains.

As far as I know, Skorzeny's memoirs have only appeared in French under the title of La Guerre Inconnue (Paris: Albin Michel, 1975). The author comes across as a considerable historian, strategist and tactician, responsible for many more feats of derring-do than the rescue of Il Duce. Among other pluses, he possesses the historian's most precious gift—an understanding of the supreme value of evidence against interest. In other words, he realises that what the enemy admits in your favour is much more important than what your friends allege. A case in point is the passage (pp. 42-43) where he comments on a wide-ranging questionnaire distributed by the historical staff of the Israeli army to hundreds of military commanders, historians and war correspondents. One question, "Which armies did you consider the best during the two world wars?" Respondents included General George Marshall, British General J.F.C. Fuller, the great advocate of tank warfare, and military historian Liddell Hart. The WWI armies were ranked as follows: 1. German, 2. French, 3. British, 4. Turkish, 5. American, 6. Russian, 7. Austro-Hungarian, 8. Italian.

I was a little surprised at the French being second on the list. In late 1917, when the French army had almost collapsed, the British had to extend their lines southward while Foch was shooting one in ten of his men in order to encourage the poilus to keep fighting. Nevertheless the French probably did better than the British in the Gallipoli campaign.

I was also surprised at the Americans being placed below the Turks. The Yanks fought rather well on the Western Front. Their presence alone lowered German morale. True, they were only there for a short time, compared to the Turks, who fought for four years and scored victories in Mesopotamia and at Gallipoli.


Skorzeny has some doubts about the Poles, who fought poorly (cavalry against German tanks) in 1939, but did relatively well in North Africa and at Monte Cassino. The British army bore the abominable stain of Percival's surrender to inferior forces at Singapore, while the Americans ran 40 miles to the rear at Kasserine Pass in Tunisia. Black troops panicked and skedaddled from the Germans north of Pisa, which is why General Mark Clark thought so little of them.

In Normandy the Americans allowed themselves to be pinned down on their two beaches much longer than the British or Canadians on their three beaches. Lord Lovat marched ashore at the head of his commandos, accompanied by his personal piper. Scottish Highlanders have their faults (hard work not being one of their passions), but they don't lack courage.

Once the British and Canadians had engaged the main German force, the American army was able to swing up the Cotentin peninsula, then down towards Paris. The British and Canadians held the hinge, while the Americans, the most mobile army in the war, slammed the door. Some American units fought well on foot during the Battle of the Bulge. Léon Degrelle describes a line of entrenched American soldiers, all dead and facing forward. They had been killed by the machine-guns of a German tank.

Ranking WWII armies was modified to some extent by the experts' rating of the best units involved. These were the Waffen SS, followed by U.S. Marines, British commandos and the French Foreign Legion. I can only comment that the racially mixed Marines in Somalia were not quite the white Marines of Guadalcanal and Okinawa. Whatever the merits of individual units, there is no doubt that, for better or worse, it was American productivity, American firepower and American finance which won the war.
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Report from the Darkening Tip

Nov. 1: The fingerprints of General Magnus Malan, former Minister of Defence, and nine other senior army officers were taken today in the Police College in Pretoria preliminary to their appearance in court on 13 charges of murder. The charges have evoked a countrywide furor. General Coustand Viljoen, leader of the Freedom Front, wants a postponment because the arrest of the officers is unconstitutional. It is stated very clearly in the new constitution that in such cases amnesty must be granted.

Nov. 3: General Johan van der Merwe, former Commissioner of Police, warned, "President Mandela's Government of National Unity is playing with fire, since it is losing the loyalty of the Security Forces. ...Democracy in South Africa is entering its darkest hour."

Nov. 5: The Police Force has been instructed to be wary of a possible countrywide armed uprising by civilians as a result of the arrest of General Malan.

Nov. 6: General van der Merwe has given the African National Congress a written warning that its witch-hunt against former members of the security forces will explode in their face. Van der Merwe then produced the names of seven former prominent African National Congress members who were implicated in terrorist acts.

Nov. 7: The editor of the Afrikaans Sunday paper, Rapport, stated that Afrikaners realize fully that they will never govern South Africa again. Potential points of explosion, such as General Malan's arrest, however, can become a "we" against "them" syndrome, which could develop into an epidemic nobody can stop and the results of which no one can forecast.

Nov. 9: An Afrikaner backlash could be expected if no obvious evidence can be produced in the court case against General Malan and ten other former senior security force officers, according to General Viljoen. Such a backlash would constitute a re-sounding rejection of the reconciliation project of the Mandela government.

Nov. 9: Suggestions by Deputy President de Klerk that the National Party will be able in 1999 or thereafter to take control again are wishful thinking. Even the National Party has tried to give up being white, but has made no inroads in the black electorate. The liberal Democratic Party has tried to win black votes, but remains confined to its white-privileged strongholds. The pure Afrikaner parties will not get anywhere either.

Considering the above, the Citizen, in a leading article, says that it is time that the National Party, Freedom Front and Conservative Party come together with other Afrikaner organizations, not to gang up on the ANC, but to find a basis for cooperation in the interests not only of Afrikaners, but of peace, coexistence with fellow South Africans. For if there is no Afrikaner unity, the Afrikaner will be reduced to a fragmented cultural group. The only white tribe in Africa will be dispossessed and its fate will be in the hands of the ANC.

Nov. 10: Sydney Mufamadi, Minister of Safety and Security, has indicated that F.W. de Klerk and two National Party cabinet members, Pik Botha and Roelf Meyer, could be prosecuted because of their connections with criminal gang activities.

Nov. 11: Most of the municipal election results have been announced. The ANC has only just succeeded in gaining nearly two-thirds of the votes cast, which gives it 63% of the seats. Natal and the Western Cape did not vote. If they had, the ANC would have won less than half the vote countrywide.

Nov. 13: Mathews Phosa, a high-ranking black politician, has expressed concern that so many medical practitioners and other highly educated professional men and women are continuing to leave South Africa. The country, he complains, can ill afford to train people at great cost, who then seek employment overseas.

Nov. 14: Dr. Ferdi Hartzenberg, leader of the Conservative Party, has stated that his party will put the interests of the Afrikaner nation above that of any political party and strive actively for unity among all Afrikaners who want self-determination and freedom for the Afrikaner nation.

Nov. 16: In Kwazulu/Natal the ANC is considering quitting the constitutional process after the Inkatha Freedom Party of the Zulus again used its majority to introduce amendments to the proposed constitution. Strong language was used and insults were hurled by both parties.

Nov. 17: Letters have been appearing in newspapers from readers who state that "reports of murder, rape and robbery are the order of the day." The writers advise readers to get out of South Africa "while you are still young."

Nov. 19: Police statistics indicate that an astonishing number of farmers in South Africa have been and are being murdered.

Nov. 21: Former President P.W. Botha has warned Mandela not to awaken "the tiger in the Afrikaner" by prosecuting apartheid-era leaders.

Nov. 23: General Malan has received an enormous amount of support from people both within and outside South Africa following his recent arrest.

Nov. 24: A book published a scant 18 months ago in the U.S. by Minister of Correctional Services, Dr. Sipho Mzimela, has reached South Africa and is circulating throughout the country. Entitled Marching to Slavery, it is a damning indictment of the ANC, the South African Communist Party and Nelson Mandela. Written while the author was living in Atlanta, the book rips the popular media masks off the ANC and Mandela, and states unequivocally that the ANC and its "white and Indian masters" in the South African Communist Party were not freedom fighters struggling against apartheid, but were murderers, criminals and torturers. They were responsible not only for the deaths of their own people outside the country, says the author, but were to blame for the horrendous black-on-black violence which escalated alarmingly in the country after the ANC and the SAPC were unbanned in early 1990. Mzimela represented the ANC in the U.S. until 1980. He writes that he steadfastly believed in the ANC until it merged with the SAPC in 1985. It's hard to believe, but Mzimela is still a member of Mandela's cabinet.

Nov. 25: An article in Bield states that by government fiat half of the civil servants in executive positions must be black by the end of the century. Altogether 30% of the new appointments in executive positions must be women.

Nov. 28: Mandela has praised Moscow for its support of the ANC during its years as a liberation movement.

Nov. 30: South Africa now has 11 official languages. But henceforth Afrikaans will be allotted only 1.26% of TV time, although 27% of the viewers have Afrikaans as their home language.
Canada. Gerald Hansen, who teaches journalism at Ryerson Polytechnic University, has been plying the trade of male prostitute since 1987, though lately he's cut down his sexual moonlighting to a couple of tricks a month. Dan Obe, a school official, admitted he had known all about Hansen's meretricious predilections, but had nevertheless recommended him for the teaching job. In Obe's view, Hansen's extracurricular activities actually improved his performance in the classroom. At last report Hansen has been suspended with pay and banned from the Ryerson campus.

Here is what Max Yalden, chief commissioner of the Canadian Human Rights Commission, had to say about free expression: "Hate propaganda is not free speech. . . . When you say the Holocaust did not happen, that Six Million Jews did not die, that's not historical debate. It's incitement to hatred." The right to free speech being a human right, it is fair to say that Yalden, an influential Canadian Jew, is all for quashing human rights. It's sort of like putting Torquemada in charge of a civil liberties organization.

One of the most insidious attacks on human rights in Canada was launched by none other than the Ontario Human Rights Commission when, in 1991, it ordered the University of Western Ontario to fire J. Philippe Rushton, one of the greatest living psychologists. It took nearly five years for this yahoos persecution to wind through the courts and the Canadian bureaucracy, until it was dropped last November.

The belated exculpation of Prof­essor Rushton, however, doesn't mean that Canadian Luddites have ceased sharpening their knives. The new charges against Ernst Zundel, the feisty Holocaust skeptic, demonstrate that the almighty Jewish establishment in Canada will stop at nothing to keep the Six Million epic sacrosanct and sealed off from the slightest whisper of doubt.

The Canadian government has spent 12 months on a hectic campaign to crack down on tax evaders. One leading auditor claimed the investigators were told to go easy on visible minorities, for fear of "potentially explosive political repercussions."

From a subscriber. The naiive who believe Jews have minimal input in Canada got a rude shock in November when Canada's Post Office issued a new stamp commemorating THE HOLOCAUST.

Featured are haggard figures wearing their striped pajamas with the Star of David prominently in the foreground. Since Belsen, Buchenwald and Auschwitz were not located near Toronto or Montreal and since no Canadians died in those camps, what was the reason for this stamp? Presumably in the next federal election the ruling Liberal Party will receive a healthy donation of shekels from the Chosen. Can a Holocaust Museum be far behind?

Britain. From a British subscriber. A recent series of articles in The Observer indicates that Edward VIII, was far from being the ineffectual, love-sick royal who abdicated for the woman he loved. In point of fact he was conspiring with the German government to regain the British throne in the event of a Nazi victory. It has been de rigueur in Western circles to ridicule Edward for his pro-German stance, to snicker at the thought of an American divorcée being crowned Queen of England. As most independent historians agree, the German government had no desire to go to war with Britain. They refer to the year-long "Sitzkrieg," the ill­fated peace mission of Rudolf Hess and the "miraculous" escape of British forces from Dunkirk. Many German officials, including Hitler and a large segment of the German general staff, members of which were hanged at war's end, were outspokenly pro-British. Hitler promised to prop up the British empire worldwide if the Brits would give him a free hand in dealing with the Soviet Union.

What would the world of today be like if Edward had not been forced to ab­dicate. First, the British Royal Family would not be a laughing stock. Second, there would have been no WWII in Europe, no U.S. or British casualties. The British Empire would still be in business. The Ger­mans would have finished off Stalin's minions by 1942 or 1943 and would have concentrated on colonizing and de­veloping Eastern Europe. No Cold War, no Korean War, no Vietnamese War, no trillions of dollars wasted on combating communism. The U.S. and the rest of the English-speaking world would have re­mained predominantly populated by peo­ple of European descent. No "Holocaust." Jews in Eastern Europe and Germany would have been permitted, even encour­aged, to emigrate peacefully to any desti­nation they chose. Finally, an American woman would have become Queen of England and mistress of the Empire. Mrs. Simpson was no paragon of virtue, but she would have worked hard and dili­gently in her exalted job.

Can Britain be saved by its aristocracy? Certainly not by the likes of these blue­bloods:
• Julian Omsby Gore, son of Lord Harlech, heir to a huge estate, committed suicide in 1974 after living for years on a diet of drugs and alcohol. Last year the body of his sister, Alice, was found in a seedy London rooming house. She was clutching a used needle.
• The seventh Marquess of Bristol had the world on a string, but in ten years wasted £7 million on drugs, trafficking in which eventually landed him in prison.
• Lord Mancroft stole his sister's jewel­ery to pay for drugs.
• The Duke of Northumberland, who died last year, did nothing of consequence or significance in his entire life, except co-produce worthless movies and carry on an affair with the mother of black model Naomi Campbell. The Guardian, in its obituary, described the duke as "without function, chronically tired and bloated."

Britain's prestigious Turner Prize was awarded to Jewish "artist" Damian Hirst. The "art" consisted of a bisected cow and bisected calf floating in an icky solution of green formaldehyde. The tanks holding the liquid were placed in such a way that the viewer had to walk between them to view the animal parts. Hirst's next plan for the artistic edification of Brits is a container that will allow an animal to decay without emitting any offensive odor. A leading contender for the Turner Prize was Mona Hatoum, for a 12-minute video of her "inner recesses."

Having cheated thousands of investors out of millions of pounds in his aborted Canary Wharf deal in London, bankrupt Paul Reichmann, instead of hiding his head in shame, is now back in business as president of a consortium, International
Property Corp., that has taken over the project. Reichmann’s rehabilitation was made possible by huge infusions of cash, most of it from such Chosenites as Laurence Tisch, Simon Glick and Edmond Safra, some of it from a Saudi Arabian prince.

London is getting to be like New York. In broad daylight, in a crowded part of the British capital, two men, a black and an Asian, dragged an Asian woman, 24, out of a telephone booth and marched her around for an hour before they found a suitable place to rape her. After they had finished, they left her with a few knife slashes to remember them by.

Hillary Clinton has as her closest confidante Jewish lawyer Susan Thomases, who may be indicted for perjury for her forgetfulness about her part in the mishandling of the papers of the late Vincent Foster. Princess Diana has a guruess of similar background. She is Susie Orbach, a onetime Jewish beatnik evolved into a raging feminist psychotherapist, who worked her way into D’s confidence by helping her deal with her bulimia. Susie was expelled from school when she had an abortion at age 15. She has two illegitimate children with deep-in-love Joseph Schwartz.

France. Le Pen, viewed by French Arabs as an enemy, pulled off a foreign policy coup when he organized a group, the Children of Iraq, to help feed the large number of kids who are undernourished, thanks to the U.S.-engineered embargo.

Whenever Jews want to inject an extra helping of sympathy for their co-racists, it’s not unusual for a Jewish cemetery to be defaced. The media echolalia that follows such an event continues until everyone and his brother are saturated with hatred for the vandals, who are automatically described as Nazis and anti-Semites.

In Carpentras, when tombstones were overturned in a Jewish cemetery in 1990, almost the entire population of France was bulldozed into blaming it on Jean-Marie Le Pen’s Front National party. Last September, five years after the cemetery was bulldozed into blaming it on Jean-Marie Le Pen’s Front National party. Last September, five years after the cemetery overturned in a Jewish cemetery in 1990, spoiled teenagers looking for excitement—a socialist magistrate investigating the case sheepishly admitted that the grave-yard desecration was the work of rich, spoiled teenagers looking for excitement—miscreants who had nothing to do with Nazis or anti-Semites. A couple of them, by the way, have since died in car accidents. A female member of the group was murdered after a sordid drug affair.

The headline of the story absolving Le Pen was about one-tenth the size of the headlines that appeared over the original news reports. This discrepancy is a standard press phenomenon that is likely to last until non-Jews are no longer afraid to treat stories about Jews and Jewish affairs objectively.

The nationwide strike in France, which practically shut down the government, is now over. It was precipitated by French citizens refusing to give up a single one of their multiplicitous welfare perks. President Chirac is trying his best to save the welfare system by making necessary cuts before it goes broke. Though it is not generally known, France has the highest standard of living in the world. It also has the highest level of education, at least for the top achievers, who must study ten hours a day or more for five to six years to win the degrees that put them in the ruling technocracy.

Germany. Jews are putting the squeeze on Germany for the death of 11 Israeli athletes in the 1972 Olympics in Munich. Although the victims were killed by Arabs, not Germans, 29 Jewish relatives want $26 million from German authorities, claiming that the police protection was negligent. In 1974, $1 million was paid to relations of the dead athletes. Not a plenipotentiary after that. To keep the memory of the dead Israelis alive and to keep the claim for reparations on the front burner, a monument to the dead Israelis has been erected in a Munich park.

Attorney Jürgen Rieger, after leaving a Hamburg courtroom where he had been defending two Holocaust doubters, was set upon by six masked men and beaten so badly with baseball bats (deep head wound, fractured wrist and bruises everywhere) that he had to be helicoptered to a hospital. No arrests as yet by the “democratic” German police.

Throwing dissidents into jail, banning books and outspoken political groups, arresting foreign citizens for distributing controversial literature! Welcome to the Fourth Reich, the “democracy” of Chancellor Kohl. At present Germany’s most forbidden book is Mein Kampf, a translation of which is now available in Hebrew, but which remains at the top of the German Index Librorum Prohibitorum. Ironically what Israelis can read is forbidden to Germans, though the Jews themselves are behind the banning of Hitler’s opus in Germany.

Every nation at one time or another has banned books. What’s unusual about the situation in Germany is that self-described democrats and free speech advocates are leading the inquisition. Georg von Waldenfels, Finance Minister of Bavaria, holds the copyright to Mein Kampf. Hewing to the post-WWII double standard that must be observed in dealing with Jews, he said the Hebrew translation should be the only exception to the ban on what was once a world bestseller.

Yvette Ablanvi Dankou is all the rage on the German tube. Featured on the cover of a cable TV guide, she chirps, “Hi, I’m the new girl.” The text then goes on to describe her as a dyed-in-the-wool Berliner (waschechte Berlinerin). The six-pointed star she displays on her T-shirt most definitely helps propel her career.

Although he was more responsible than anyone for developing the Saturn V rocket that carried Americans to the moon, Arthur Rudolph, threatened with prosecution for war crimes by the Justice Dept.’s Nazi hunters, pulled up stakes in the land of the free and the home of the brave and returned to Germany, where he died at age 87 last December.

Austria. Jörg Haider’s Freedom Party won 22% of the vote in last fall’s national election. Since Haider is an Austrian nationalist and is firmly opposed to the influx of immigrants darkening the Austrian population, he is frequently accused of Nazism and is the constant target of left-wing agents provocateurs. Because the Freedom Party is a thorn in its side, the government is considering charging Haider with violating the law against reviving Nazism. A homemade video leaked to the media by a mole depicts a secret “pre-election” meeting, during which Haider had a few good things to say about the Waffen SS.
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Austria, like Germany, boasts it is a democracy. No doubt it will continue to make this boast if it succeeds in arresting on trumped-up charges the leader of a party supported by 22% of the country’s population.

A recent issue of Harper’s magazine has an article on Austria, whose “high culture” supposedly died with the advent of Hitler. When the Jews were chased out and Austria became temporarily part of Gross Deutschland, the country, it was asserted, was reduced to artistic insignificance. Today it is living off a shallow, glossy cultural image which it sells to tourists, who are not interested in the “boring German reality.”

How is it that destructive, deconstructive movements are “creative” and constructive in the bloodstream eyes of the elite? Who the hell appoints these people to be our cultural czars and mediators? Popular opinion certainly has no hand in it. The author of the Harper’s article, Nicholas Fraser, admits he went to Austria expressly for the purpose of making some sensational journalistic hay out of revelations of growing neo-Nazism. After spending some time in the country, he was reluctant to believe his own eyes. Neo-Nazis were no means the worrisome fringe they were cracked up to be. Because he had to find something nasty to say about Austria, Fraser ended by mocking what he termed the “shell” of the country’s culture. Munching excellent pastries, glimpsing the architecture (he knew which buildings once belonged to wealthy Jews), visiting museums, talking to glitterati and politicians, Fraser went overboard in his praise of the ban on the swastika.

Why are Jews so good at peddling guilt? Is it because they have no sense of shame? Whites, on the contrary, have a well-developed sense of this commodity that Jews play on relentlessly. Instead of learning to dish it back, we have too great a sense of propriety (and yes, shame) to argue publicly with the Chosen on their own level. This reluctance is partially responsible for our lack of input into public affairs.

Bosnia. The only U.S. casualty at this writing has been one unfortunate soldier, whose wife set off a land mine and did some damage to his ankle and left foot. We may be sure that many more mines will go off and many more legs will be injured or blown off, as Clinton, the one-time draft dodger, basks in the glow of Balkan warlord.

G.I.s spent the holidays in mudholes along the Sava River, which was bridged by overworked army engineers. In between shifts, they caught catnaps in a rat-infested warehouse crawling with lice. Filth and squalor were everywhere. Even the Army Surgeon General had to admit that “sanitation is atrocious.”

In his brief photo op visit, Clinton tried to explain why the troops were there. He was not too convincing either to them or to the homefolks. A recent Harris Poll revealed that 75% of Republicans oppose the invasion of Bosnia, 55% of Democrats.

Russia. On December 25, 1991, the exchange rate for one ruble was 56¢. On October 20, 1995, $1 equaled 4,506 rubles. The Russian currency has been devalued 8,046 times in four years.

Israel. If the U.S. manages to broker a peace between Syria and Israel, the Zionist state may ask (demand) as much as $12 billion for signing on.

The 1995 Israeli census is out: 4,530,000 Jews (81%); 809,000 Muslims (14.4%); 162,000 Christians (2.9%); 94,000 Druse and others (1.7%). In 1994, 1.8 million Israelis traveled abroad.

A Jew killing another Jew, moaned the Western press after Rabin’s assassination, was unthinkable. Actually intra-Jewish homicide is quite commonplace. In the blasting of the King David Hotel in 1946 by terrorist and later Israeli Prime Minister Menahem Begin, 14 Jews bit the dust. The truth is, Jews not only kill other Jews; they occasionally carve them up. Richard Rosenthal of Framingham (MA) tore out his wife’s heart and lungs. Going back nearly eight decades, Moishe Uritsky, first Communist Secret Police Chief in revolutionary Russia, was assassinated by Haim Arlosoroff, a leader of the Labor Party in the early 1930s. Two years later right-wing politician Eliahu Gilad was shot dead by a political rival.

One Jewish observer in Washington quipped, after Clinton’s appearance at Rabin’s funeral, “At the end of the funeral in Jerusalem, we weren’t sure if we were watching Yitzhak Rabin’s funeral or Bill Clinton’s bar mitzvah.”

Australia. More than 50 years ago an Australian publication, Truth, reviewed The Bitter Tea of General Yen, a 1933 Frank Capra film in which a Chinese warlord falls in love with an American missionary. Exceptionally chaste by today’s standards (the general only goes so far as to kiss his beloved’s hand), the film provoked this outburst from the reviewer:

A loathsome Chinese bandit pawing and mauling a white woman in white Australia. The mere thought is revolting. Yet this degrading spectacle was witnessed in a leading Sydney theater this week. That he dies (without) achieving the whole of his intention is immaterial beside the point that a seemingly well-nurtured American girl is willing to become his darling.

An astounding 42% of Australia’s Jewish families earn more than $40,000 a year, which makes them at least twice as rich as the average Aussie family. Equally astounding, 20% of Jewish families have annual incomes of more than $60,000. Australia’s Jews number 105,000 or 0.6% of the overall population. More than 3,500 of these Jews were born in Israel, which doesn’t say much for their affection for the Promised Land. Like their cousins everywhere, Australian Jews are city folk: 45.5% live in Melbourne, 39.8% in Sydney.

Majority activists in the U.S. might take a look at some heartening political activity Down Under. An outfit named Australians Against Further Immigration is beginning to get double-digit support from voters, as it throws its weight to the Liberal opposition. Campbell plans to run as an independent. The major plank in his platform will be Citizen-Initiated Referendums. As little as 2% of the electorate can “trigger” a referendum on any but financial issues. A majority of voters and a majority of federal electorates and states are required to enact it into law.

Japan. The three G.I.s, who raped or assisted in the rape of a 12-year-old Okinawan schoolgirl, have plenty of criminal company. Since 1988, 169 U.S. servicemen in Japan have been court-martialed for rape, child molestation or other sex crimes. The race of these criminals has been kept secret, though it’s a lead pipe cinch that nonwhites, as in most violent crimes back home, have been vastly overrepresented.