March 20, 1995

Dear Ann Landers,

I have two brothers. One voted for Bill Clinton in 1992, and the other was sent to the electric chair for rape. My mother died in an insane asylum when I was six, and my father is a dope pusher. One sister is a prostitute, and the other is the common-law wife of a union steward. Recently I met a man soon after his release from prison. He served time for smothering his illegitimate baby. We are in love and plan to marry.

My problem is: Should I tell him about my brother who voted for Clinton?

Perplexed
Dr. Foster, it is now reported, has headed up various other controversial programs, one of which, the sterilization of the mentally incompetent, is extremely vexing. Were not German doctors who performed similar "services" in Nazi Germany considered criminals? 489

The President has no core principles, only "rotten-to-the-core" principles. 200

I would like to pay tribute to that great American philosopher of "Peanuts" fame, Charlie Brown. Whenever Charlie was confronted with one of those monstrous fibs of Lucy Van Pelt, his noble soul would cry out for the truth, his tummy would turn and he would piteously exclaim, "AUUGH! My stomach hurts!" I know that feeling well. It comes upon me every year around Black History Month. Twenty-eight (sometimes 29) terrible days when I must depend on a 55-gallon drum of antacid to survive. 077

Hearing that the Japanese magazine, Marco Polo (circulation 200,000), was closed down by Rabbi Abraham Cooper of Angeltown's Wiesenthal Center after it had published an article denying the Holocaust, a defeatist acquaintance of mine concluded, "There's no escape. If you move to Japan, they'll send rabbis after you. If you move to Idaho, they'll send tanks." 113

Despite the plugs in Instauration, Odinism is completely absurd. Practitioners would do well to spend more time reading comic books. Everyone knows that Christianity has everything to do with the rise and predominance of Western civilization. Anyone that takes Odinism seriously has far too much free time on his hands. 326

Although some solution must be found to eliminate the monopoly control of the news, there are some actions that Congress should immediately take to correct specific problems. The highest priority should be given to an investigation of the Justice Dept. The injustice demonstrated by the Rodney King episode defies the Constitution and plain common sense. Not only did the government tramp on the right not to be tried twice for the same crime but it is currently appealing the sentence given to Stacey Koon on the basis that it was too short. What sort of message does this send to the public and the police? First we destroy the lives of four officers who were doing their jobs. Then we neglect to punish King for the crimes he committed during the altercation. And after the trial, we reward him with $3 million and cap that off with not punishing the people who almost beat Reginald Denny to death! 914

Isn't it about time that some of our writers gently pointed out to blacks, who are forever blaming whites for slavery, that it was their own chiefs who sold blacks into slavery in Africa. No white was dumb enough to go rushing into the jungle trying to chase down and capture a Negro for the slave trade. Put the onus where it belongs. 726

We should: (1) Pass a law that for every dollar Americans spend or collect for running for Congress they must donate the same amount to reduce the national debt; (2) Force companies to show on their product labels with the U or K symbol the amount of money paid for the rabbi's blessing. We can then deduct the cost from our income tax as "church donations." 781

Last November, after California voters approved Prop 187 by a wide margin, Latinos took to the streets in border states to protest this action, while Mexican nationals did the same south of the border. Now that the Mexican economy has gone down the tubes and Clinton has
put together a $20-billion "guarantee" to bail out our good neighbors below the Rio Grande, does anyone think that the swarthies down there will mobilize for a show of gratitude?

Hold on off that nomination of Lisa Marie Presley for Majority Renegades of the Year. A recent TV biography of papa Elvis mentioned his part-Cherokee and part-Jewish (after all, his middle name is Aaron) background. It must be admitted, however, that Mama Priscilla is the very embodiment of Aryan pulchritude. Ironic that she appeared in all three of the Naked Gun spoofs with O.J. Simpson.

President Clinton's appointment of the black Dr. Foster to succeed the black joycelyn Elders as Surgeon General is proof once again that the President sticks by his core constituents. Although thousands of physicians, who happened to be born white, are undoubtedly better qualified, the President chose another African American whose specialty appears to be black sexual practices and treating unwanted pregnancies as a kind of cancer to be removed by suction, chemical poisoning or whatever is most effective. While most Americans were of the opinion that the position of Surgeon General had to do with health problems of the American people in general, the President apparently views it as a kind of African-American Ministry of Sex. Sexual behavior should be governed by moral and, when need be, federal laws, not by politically appointed doctors.

I wonder if those liberals who worship it realize that the best way to perpetuate diversity is by segregation or apartheid.

May '95 be whiter and brighter!

Liberals have a talent for simultaneously believing in two contradictory things at the same time and never seeing the incongruity. On p. 464 of the 1993 Writer's Market is the entry of The American Voice magazine out of Louisville (KY). The first part of the entry says the publication is "open-minded." The last line says, "We...will consider nothing that is in any way sexist, racist or homophobic." Editor Fred Smock doesn't see a problem in bragging about "open-mindedness" and his policy of censoring categories of writing. Orwell’s "double think" comes to mind. Leftist minds can't see contradictions because their entire philosophy is one giant contradiction.

The February Instauration had an article on the bankruptcy of the two political parties and analyzed the possibility of a third party. A new party already exists. It is the Populist Party, which has won local elections across the country. It recognizes the influence of Jews on media, finance and government. It fights affirmative action and multiculturalism. It calls for an end to open borders. For more information write the Populist Observer, Box 15499, Pittsburgh PA 15237. Don't just sit on the sidelines wringing your hands. Join the fight. Join the new party.

Within the World Order lexicon "tolerance" is a code word for appeasement and cowardice, while "anti-Semitic" is anything that stands between a Jew's and a non-Jew's money. The de-education camps called public schools have created new models for white children. The white male is to be a Semitized eunuch! The white female is to be a nigglet incubator. This is totally within the spirit of equality (no quality) and democracy (degeneracy).

I recently started working as a lawyer in a Jewish personal injury law firm in Detroit. The boss shyster gets money for his black clientele by "enhancing" the amount of their accident injuries. "You feel a little pain? You mean you only want a little money?" A Jewish doctor on the lawyer's payroll will put down whatever figure is desired on insurance claims. The lawyer and doctor vacation together. It's amazing to see the racket right before my eyes. If I try to turn them in, I wonder if they'll kill me or just discredit me. At any rate, if any lawyers of our ilk need me, please write me in care of In- stauration. Maybe we can get together.

We're all familiar with the "occupied territories" of the Middle East. These are patches of sand in the Sacred Desert stolen by Ashkenazis. But part of the occupied territories lies farther to the west. This area is called America.

The intelligence and insight of your contributors, including the letter writers, do much to demolish the myth that we racialists are a bunch of "dumb haters." Judson Hammond is tremendous, as is Zip 121. I like N.B. Forrest and his article on South Africa, a country whose plight I have followed closely since 1986. Satcom Sam is a delight. Vic Olvir will be sorely missed. Kudos for bringing so much talent into your small but important journal.

Instauration seems to reject in toto the current crop of me-tooing presidential aspirants. That is as it should be. Their charismatic level is less than zilch. Every big-name pol to date bears the imprimatur of those select few who decide the course of "democracies."

American aid to Israel is well over $200 billion already, not to mention many other costs, such as tax revenue lost on private gifts to Israel—deductions not allowed for other foreign countries. Don't forget to add the cost of Desert Storm fought to eliminate one of Israel's strongest enemies in the Near East. Don't forget the free gifts of military equipment and the cost of the Pollard spy operation. Then there's $135 billion that the Germans have coughed up to Israel and to individual "survivors."

Whitey better kick his addiction to sports. Sports showcase Negroes. Rules have been changed to accommodate Negro "style" and athleticism. Kicking the habit cold turkey is best. If that can't be done, drag the tube into the closet and watch your games there. Don't talk about it to others. Discourage your children from becoming spectators. Get a life!

What is the answer to our dilemma at this point? As Instauration's editor pointed out years ago—writing. The right thing is the write thing for the white reasons. Our people invented writing and we're pretty good at it. We shouldn't just write articles, but letters, letters and...
for a Mencken or a Luther condemns them to outer darkness. The works of Majority writers are examined with a magnifying glass for the least taint. The "sins" of someone like the Divine Karl, however, are best not mentioned. 300

☐ The Martin Luther King Jr. holiday was the greatest vote-buying scheme ever devised by the mind of man. Clinton with his appointments keeps buying the black vote. Who is his latest nomination? Another black for Surgeon General. One might think he had learned from theasinine appointment of Dr. Elders. Good riddance to her. Clinton should have been Instauration’s Majority Renegade of the Year. 622

☐ Tom Snyder said on his CBS Late, Late Show (Feb. 6) that an oil executive once told him “the world will be down to the last barrel of oil in 2048.” Looks like oil and the American Majority will disappear at the same time. 249

☐ Concerning the future of the white race, how many couples do you know, if any, who have three children? 087

☐ Instauration’s discussion of the “N” word (Jan. 1995) ignores several interesting points. Although there are plenty of pejorative terms for whites, none has the impact of those used for blacks, Hispanics or the Chosen. Calling someone a honkey or a redneck may be insulting, but it doesn’t raise the temperature the way those other appellations do. Could this have some relevance to just why these terms came to be used? As anyone exposed to rap music knows, blacks refer to each other as “nigger” all the time, not to mention calling certain black women bitches. Polls show that blacks have a lower opinion of themselves than do whites. Perhaps this is why they use the “N” word so often. 403

☐ Just what was WWII all about? Judging from the commentary on the 50th anniversary of its ending, its only purpose was the extermination of Jews. 055

☐ The article on politically incorrect Marx (Feb. 1995) was most interesting. The slightest departure from correctness...
Transplant than the charges of pedophilia.

Televised Country music special that had a lengthy segment offerson leading the pack. In the mid-1980s, Kenny Rogers continued to be idolized by Country music fans and fellow entertainers. More attention was given to Clark's hair and jerkdancer, Michael Jackson, an alleged child molester.

Multiculturalism in Country music arrived in the late-1950s and 1960s with Elvis Presley, who, entirely unknown to most of his claque, sported some Jewish and Cherokee genes passed on from his maternal grandmother. Elvis played a dominate role in introducing Negro Blues and Soul music to white audiences. He was also the first Country-cum-Rock performer to hire blacks as backup singers. Following the King, many other Country entertainers “crossed over” to popular music, television and movies, and have used blacks as either backup musicians, singers or dancers—Dolly Parton, Reba McEntire and Barbara Mandrell, to name a few. During the 1970s, although accused of molesting several young boys, Roy Clark continued to be idolized by Country music fans and fellow entertainers. More attention was given to Clark’s hair transplant than the charges of pedophilia.

For decades Country music has been marked with a large liberal streak, with former Rhodes scholar Kris Kristofferson leading the pack. In the mid-1980s, Kenny Rogers, Dolly Parton and Willie Nelson (whose daughter married a Mexican, Johnny Rodriguez) hosted a two-hour televised Country music special that had a lengthy segment attacking apartheid and the cruelty and mistreatment of American blacks by Bull Connors and other race-conscious Southern sheriffs and cops in the South in the 1960s. Kenny Rogers was in the forefront of the multiracial elite of entertainers who recorded what would become liberalism’s anthem, We Are the World.

During the 1988 and 1992 election campaigns, such entertainers as Loretta Lynn and her sister, Crystal Gayle, the Oak Ridge Boys and Lee Greenwood raised large amounts of money for convicted ANC terrorist, now President, Nelson Mandela and his estranged “necklacing” wife, Winnie.

The rush to accept homosexuals and their disjointed lifestyles by the Country music establishment came as a shock to traditional Country fans. It all started with Roy Orbison’s introduction of Canadian lesbian k.d. lang into the ranks of Country entertainers with the remake of his 1960s hit song, Crying. Lang, who last year had a very public, but short-lived romance with the Queen of Perversion, Madonna, was readily accepted by many fellow performers, Melissa Etheridge, the only female to perform at the 1994 televised tribute to Elvis Presley, is another out-of-the-closet lesbian. The top Country award winner and money maker, Garth Brooks, whose sister is a lesbian, put out We Shall be Free, viewed by many as an endorsement of homosexuality and interracial dating and mating. Support for AIDS victims, who are predominately queers and nonwhites, has now become commonplace among Country singers.

Over $10 million was raised for AIDS victims during Red That Country benefit performances, hosted annually by Kathy Nattea and supported by Suzy Bogguss, Chet Atkins and Carl Perkins. More Country stars appear on Red That Country shows than Willie Nelson’s Farm Aid benefits or any other charity event. Last January, Garth Brooks, Tammy Wynette and Clint Black joined Hollywood’s liberal elite to raise $3.3 million for AIDS patients in a Commitment to Life show hosted by homosexual Pop singer Elton John. At Country award shows, most of the audience, as well as the performers, wear the red AIDS ribbon. Traditional Country music fans have difficulty hiding their disgust at seeing George Jones, Johnny Cash and Waylon Jennings flaunting their “we support the queers” ribbons.

Today an illegitimate child is more of a boost than an impediment to a successful career as a Country entertain. Two of the best known female stars with bastard offspring are Wynonna Judd and Tanya Tucker.

Country music has become such a fad that there is now a cable TV channel, The Nashville Network. TNN’s programming boasts two dance shows: Club Dance and Wild Horse Saloon. The latter, all the rage with “urban cowboys” in their 20s, has three black dancers who appear regularly and interracial couples who appear occasionally. Club Dance is popular with an older, traditional Country audience. TNN also has a Country News program, which featured in January a lengthy sequence on female impersonators who, posing as female Country stars, will be appearing at a drag queen bar opening in Nashville in May. The producers of the program thought TNN viewers had been conditioned to the point that they would cotton to men who like to dress up as women.

The Music City Tonight variety program with Lorriane

PCing Country Music
Crooks and Charlie Chase is popular among TNN viewers. Black entertainers are frequently featured. The Harlem Globetrotters and black footballer-turned-baseballer Bo Jackson appeared in January. During the same month Crooks and Chase spotlighted an interracial couple with four mixed-race children as an example of a successful, long-term, happy marriage.

The only black who ever gained a measure of popularity among traditional Country fans was Charlie Pride, who has a black wife. Although persistent attempts have been made to promote other black performers, the only major nonwhite Country “star” is Neal McCoy, whose mother is a Filipina.

Faith Hill features a black male guitarist and backup singer, who appeared with her on both the Grand Ole Opry and a Loretta Lynn special on TNN. Nonwhites show up frequently in music videos made by Country performers who have or are attempting to move into popular music, movies or television. Old-timey Country music fans were appalled to see Trisha Yearwood and Negro Blues singer Aaron Neville cow-eyeing and embracing each other in Patsy Cline’s Country standard, “I Fall to Pieces.” Tammy Wynette was the only white in her unsuccessful video, Ancient and Beautiful People.

In the old days Country performers, nearly all Southern-born, “paid their dues” by performing in taverns and honky tonks, thereby slowly gaining the experience, exposure and the following that often led to record contracts and stardom. But during the past two decades, with the introduction of music videos, the influx of cross-over fans, and the use of sound mixers, the majority of new Country entertainers come from the ranks of those with the “right look”—celebrities “packaged” and aggressively promoted by music labels owned by billion-dollar corporations with no direct ties or concern for traditional Country music fans.

The audiences of the packaged entertainers come from the ranks of those who, dissatisfied with Heavy Metal and Hip Hop, gravitated to Country as a result of “bubble gum music” cross-over songs such as Billy Ray Cyrus’ “Achy Breaky Heart.” These urban cowboys, normally unmarried and with surplus spending money, after donning a cowboy hat, shirt, jeans and boots, go out to an area club with a contrived western motif to do a “tush push” while line dancing. (Line dancing is considered by many traditional Country fans as being a choreographed line of dance-alike sheep.) Fans of such performances are fad followers who in years past would have gone to a disco with blinking strob lights and day-glo posters, wearing the required uniform of paisley shirt, bell bottom pants and platform shoes and using the pick-up line, “What’s your Zodiac sign?” Interestingly the black Queen of Disco, Donna Summer, recently moved to Nashville because, as she explained on the Kathy and Regis Show, she “felt so welcome there.” Summer has two mulatto children.

Mary Chapin Carpenter, whose current hit is “Shut Up and Kiss Me,” is representative of the new breed of packaged entertainers. Born, raised and university educated in the North, her progressive Country songs are a hit with urban cowboys. Among the first to sport the AIDS ribbon, she voted for Bill Clinton and belittles “family values” as sexist and old-fashioned. During a January 1994 televised performance she mouth-kissed Little Richard, the black homo Rock and Roller. Garth Brooks says that his favorite singers are not Country, but Jewess Barbra Streisand, Negress Aretha Franklin and Folk Rocker James Taylor, who is married to Jewess Carly Simon.

Travis Tritt and the Mary Chapin Carpenter, another group, Alabama, once waved the Confederate Battle Flag during their performances, a practice they have now abandoned in deference to the dictates of political correctness. Members of Confederate Railroad, who have more gumption, swear they will never furl the Stars and Bars.

In time the urban cowboys will move on to the next music fad when the liberal, corporate-packaged progressive Country performers they now follow venture into other areas of money-making. Traditional Country performers who have prostituted themselves to the PC agenda will unfortunately remain. The question is, what is the long-term cultural impact of the PCing of Country music on its traditional fans and their children?

EDWARD KERLING

Packaged cowboy Billy Ray Cyrus

Affirmative Action smoocher Trisha Yearwood

Tender When I Want To Be

Mary Chapin Carpenter, another affirmative action smoocher
We Are Becoming Orientalized

I've often made indirect references to what I consider to be the most ominous threat to the West. It's a threat we Westerners will have to face for at least the next 100 years. Like it or not, we are living in the century of the Pacific Rim. This century will be anything but pacific for us, however, because the Far Eastern bloc has its sights set all the way to our West Coast. We are still the number one market in the world, the object of desire and conquest for every aggressively minded or aggressively religious people.

The power-hungry Asians wear a benign face of peace. It's a countenance our Vietnam vets learned to hate. They remember the venom and butchery that accompanied the beguiling smile. In the West, with their winning and seemingly innocuous intelligence, the Asians pose as contributors to civilization. We see the same smile that the Viet vets saw early in the war, before they learned the hard way about Asian emotional duality. Americans do not yet understand that Eastern peoples, however much they might like rock 'n' roll and gulp down Big Macs, can no more make lasting contributions to the West than can descendants of black Africans. Any so-called "contributions" they do make will be totally foreign in nature.

The Asians are determined once and for all to conquer the good old USA. They're the biggest cultural and demographic threat we've ever faced, for theirs is an aggression based in religion. The pagan religions of the East versus the Christianity of the West. Because of the terrible implications of the Asian invasion, I'm calling their incursion "The Beast from the East."

The Asian invasion is evident all around us: the automobile and truck industry, electronic imports, video and computer equipment and games, and New Age religion. Asians, lest we forget, are the world's most religious people. Practicing hundreds of faiths, they have literally thousands of gods. Everything the Asian does is influenced by his particular and, I must add, peculiar religion. Even when he is making that car or truck, video game, or computer, the pious Oriental believes his yellow god has a huge input on the finished product.

We should not be surprised that, when we import Asian products, we witness an explosion in the popularity of Oriental religions—faiths that run the gamut from Buddhism, B'Hai, Shintoism, Hinduism and Confucianism to dragon and snake cults. Even Judaism must be considered an Oriental religion in the strict, technical sense. It certainly is the religion that has the most influence on what we see on TV, in print and in the movies.

The Eastern ideal is rapidly becoming the American ideal, as evidenced by popular cultural trends. The New Jersey Nets of the National Basketball Association are changing their name, logo, and corporate images to the New Jersey Firedragons. Good old New Jersey, famous throughout American history for its uniquely American qualities is metamorphosing into the home of Firedragons.

The popularity of the so-called "martial arts" is at an all-time high, especially among youngsters from the ages of 3 to 11. More and more, these "arts" are marketed through multimedia promotional campaigns, involving a major motion picture, TV show, toys, fashion wear, and so on. Marketing pagan ideology associated with the martial arts is a merchant's paradise. Many of the films and TV shows generating interest in the martial arts are loosely classified as science fiction.

Few Americans know that even the seemingly peaceful forms of Oriental exercise, such as Tai Chi, are actually a separate form of martial arts. The peaceful manner in which the exercises are performed are taught as a way of incorporating Eastern meditation, which is a natural result of performing certain kinds of slow, repetitive, easy exercises. The Orientals found that most Americans do not want to sit in a strange position to put themselves into a meditative state. The Orientals have been happy to solve the problem. The martial arts have provided a grand entry into the Western psyche and soul.

Each of the different forms of martial arts relies on its own distinctive branch of Oriental religion for its respective, underlying philosophy and guiding force. Each religion has its own gods and mythological or historic figures. Each religion allocates its divinities to a particular form of martial arts. Indeed, one of the recurring themes of those idiotic but occasionally funny Oriental movies is how one sect's martial arts are better than another's. "My karate is better than your karate." "My snake god is better than your snake god." Consequently when we practice any form of martial arts, even when we are merely exercising, we are worshipping one or more Oriental gods. Such is the deceptive, manipulative way of the Asian and his religion. For good reason the Western mind and spirit have depicted the Oriental as a sly, conniving customer.

Asian influences in America abound, and all of them carry heavy baggage. The drug trade from the Golden Triangle has long been a matter of public record. CIA and other U.S. government cooperation with the drug pirates is well known and documented. There is even a deadly, Mafia-like underworld trade in Asian immigrants, who are smuggled ashore after signing slave contracts. A few of these illegals are rounded up from time to time, but most...
of them get by. The bosses of the smuggling rings are rarely if ever caught. Together with the drug smugglers and dealers, the immigrant slave traders operate in Chinese and other Oriental racket known as “Triads.”

FBI statistics show that the Triads are becoming the most numerous gangs in the country, with large, underground, invisible organizations in all the big cities. FBI reports indicate that the Triads are the most vicious and kill-happy of all gangster bands. The leaders demand and enforce a loyalty and organizational viciousness so extreme it must be classified as religious in nature.

Every one of these Asian influences carries with it another dozen influences. As a result, the Asian invasion is snowballing in its effect on Western culture. The sheer numbers of immigrants and the combined effect of alien practices, imports and artifacts create what we have come to know as a counterculture. We’ve heard of the so-called “liberal, McGovernite counterculture” condemned by some politicians. The Oriental counterculture makes that one pale into insignificance.

Our tastes in art, architecture and music are beginning to favor Orientalism in general and Asian religion in particular. Oriental architecture is even changing the decor of our skyscrapers, as etchings of pagan gods are inscribed on new buildings going up all around the country. As you might expect, in a field where symbolism matters, the color white is out: “White is the most dreaded color because . . . it symbolizes mourning and death.” (“Chinese Theory of Building Design Catches On In the U.S.,” Washington Times, Dec. 9, 1994, p. A2.)

Perhaps the most troubling aspect of the Eastern invasion is the threat to our children. The “Mighty Morphin Power Rangers” were the best-selling toys last Christmas and are the best-selling toys year-round. It’s one of the most popular kid’s show on TV. The dolls and toys have been at the top of the bestseller list for a year. What is it that makes the Power Rangers so popular? The answer is that it’s an action-adventure show, filled with martial arts. The characters, though appearing to be mostly Anglo-Saxon or Western in their features, are Oriental in their beliefs and behavior. In essence, it’s an evangelical religious propaganda program for Oriental religion and violence.

The characters represent particular religious powers and practice their own cult system. They’re like a Los Angeles gang of aliens and minorities, with each aspect of their particular lifestyle carried to the extreme. Instead of colored bandannas, the Power Rangers have their own cult colors and outfits, with the colors representing religious personalities and meanings.

Instead of Uzis, AK-47s, and 45s, each Mighty Morphin has his own special weapon of choice and his own special battle machine. Instead of drawing their power from drugs, they get their strength from ancient, prehistoric monsters, much like the popular Asiatic snake gods in the Far East. Such are the accoutrements—the idols and icons—of the new Asian-American religion.

The Mighty Morphin gang show was originally entitled “Zen Rangers,” when first produced in Japan. The title says it all. Zen is an Oriental religion which literally means “thinking meditation.” My Webster’s says its followers “seek enlightenment through introspection and intuition.” None of that Dead White Male linear thinking for Asians!

In America, Zen has become a religion said to create peace and tranquility through some particular activity. “Zen and the art of motorcycle repair” is a form of meditation-while-doing, just like the martial arts, and thus quite well suited to the Western mind and spirit. Since Americans are always on the go, Asians have devised several appropriate religions to which Americans may develop a loyalty.

“Morphin” is a new word meaning “to change shape.” One minute the Power Rangers are good kids; the next they are wild, kicking, icon-wearing warriors. As we all know or should know, Negroes can be like children one moment and murderous the next. So can the Vietnamese, as our soldiers learned to their grief in that abominable war. It appears to be a nonwhite trait. Now it’s being passed on to our children.

If you think that our kids’ loyalty to these Rangers is merely transient or impermanent, try telling your young son fascinated by the Mighty Morphins that he can no longer watch the show. Then try taking away his Mighty Morphin toys. You’re liable to become the little tyke’s target of kicks and punches that he learned from watching the violent behavior of his favorite gang members. And it’s not just the kids. Tell the adults who have committed their lives to becoming experts in martial arts that they must give up their Asian ritual. They will continue to prefer the company and spirit of the Oriental gods and martial arts masters to that of their own countrymen and even their own families.

We shouldn’t forget that some West Asians also have a hand in the Mighty Morphin pie. The show is produced by Haim Saban, “originally a music promoter in Israel.” (Washington Times, Dec. 13, 1994, p. A2.) Here we have the West Asian invaders joining with the ones from East Asia.

JAY LOCK
Northern European Ingathering

It is not to America's nuclear defenses that the Majority must look for deliverance and regeneration but to the defenses of the mind. There will be no end to its dispossession until the Majority learns to reject all, repeat all, the main currents of modern liberal thought, and there can be no such rejection until the true nature of the illiberal forces which engender and direct modern liberalism are clearly understood. The absurdities, fallacies, and contradictions of Marxism, Freudianism, the equilibrarian anthropology of Boas and contemporary social democracy are not important in themselves. What is important is how and why they have been developed and synthesized into the most unbending intellectual absolutism since medieval scholasticism.

To understand what has happened to the Majority it is first necessary to realize that the decline and fall of any race or nation can be caused by success as well as failure. The hard-pressed society knows better than to let down its guard. It cannot afford to ignore the motives and acts of its opponents. It is aware that any abrogation of its possessions, tangible or intangible, is a loss that can only be recovered by the most prolonged and arduous efforts. Conversely, the successful or affluent society, by virtue of its surplus of life's necessities, has the time to turn away from the grindstone of daily existence. Less touched by the existential forces of the human condition, its members have the rare and dangerous opportunity of stretching their individualism well beyond the normal societal breaking point.

Measured by any yardstick of material progress towards the good life, history has never recorded a more successful society than the United States from the end of the Civil War to the late 1920s. Even the incapable and incapacitated elements of the population, those genetically or culturally unsuited to participate fully and voluntarily in a Western-style, progress-oriented industrial society, were moving ahead, albeit slowly. Even the lowest newcomers from Europe, compared to those they left behind, were immensely better off from the moment they planted their feet on American soil. And all the while every American of whatever background and at whatever stage of assimilation was being exposed to undreamed of possibilities of self-fulfillment and self-realization by the soaring vitality of the Majority's imagination and enterprise.

The successful can afford to share their success, and the Majority did so with indiscriminate prodigality. With few qualifications or conditions, the laboriously accumulated privileges of Majority institutions were distributed gratis to members of other races and cultures, who accepted them as a matter of course, often with a show of ingratitude, and then used them for purposes entirely different from those for which they had been intended. The new Americans began to vote, not as individuals but as members of blocs. Although many prospered greatly in an unfettered economy, they spent much of their wealth on group projects that were often opposed to the national interest. They reveled in the freedom they had never been able to win for themselves; but instead of treating it respectfully and treasuring it responsibly, they looked upon it as a gift, as their rightful and permanent possession, whether or not they deserved it, worked to acquire it, or struggled to maintain it. Their children thronged to the free public schools of a matchless educational system, where they learned enough about American civilization to criticize it, but not enough to uphold and advance it.

At first many Unassimilable Minority members did try to fit into the overall Majority pattern. But how could they be good democrats when democracy had always been foreign to their historical experience? How could they support an establishment when they had always hated all establishments? Many were learned in the law—their religion was often their law—but how remote such theological exercises were from the Anglo-Saxon common law. As for assimilation, how could they mix with others when the whole secret of their survival had been standing apart?

They toyed a little with the American Dream, but it eluded them. Their intellectuals read Locke, Jefferson, Emerson, and Mill, but later came to prefer such Old World kinsmen as Marx, Freud and Boas. The Old Testament apocalyptics of Marxism had a familiar and agreeable ring. The anthropomorphic symbolism of Freud sat well with a religious people looking for a replacement for a dying, anachronistic faith. It was a godsend when Boas obligingly declared that all races were equal. The Declaration of Independence had so intimidated, but now it was a "scientific fact."

It did not take long to discover that these new theories were much more than intellectual playthings. They comprised a vast stockpile of doctrinal weapons made to order for a strategy of divide et impera. Marx had separated men, not into races but into exploiters and exploited, capitalists and proletarians and the Majority was so separated. Freud had changed men into mindless animals, and the Majority was so animalized. In the matter of the great guideposts of Majority rule, the New Testament and the Constitution, by adding a little here and subtracting a little there, by emphasizing some words and reinterpreting others, both could be turned against the Majority and used to produce further divisions in its already divided ranks.

Meantime, Majority members went blindly about their business, convinced that America would remake the strangers in its midst, not the other way around. Only a prescient handful rejected these environmentalist fantasies and warned of what was really taking shape behind the smoke screen of proletarian rhetoric and "progressive" legislation. But Henry Adams, Madison Grant, Lothrop Stoddard, Henry Ford, Ezra...
them as eccentric crackpots or murderous racists. Ezra Pound, Charles Lindbergh and Carleton Putnam were voices crying in an echo chamber. All that was heard was the million-throated, liberal-minority chorus of calumny stigmatizing them as eccentric crackpots or murderous racists. Ezra Pound, the bitterest and most poetic of these voices, was singled out for more spectacular chastisement. For weeks the man described as a “principal founder and moving spirit of modern poetry in English” was exposed in an iron cage in Pisa and then locked up for twelve years in a District of Columbia insane asylum.

Even in the 1960s and 70s, when the liberal-minority grip on the nation had tightened to a stranglehold, Majority members still could not believe they had become a people of little or no account in their own country. Most still had a home, a late-model car, and a well-stocked freezer. But they no longer had effective preachers or teachers to defend the Majority cause, no contemporary literature or theater, no press to speak of, and, with the exception of a few TV and radio talking heads, no nationwide forum of expression. As its ascendency was efficiently blotted out, the Majority was transformed into a swarm of middle-class and lower-class drones, still permitted certain physical comforts but carefully isolated and quarantined from the vantage points of decision making and opinion molding. Majority industrial magnates were given a few more years or decades of limited independence within the narrow confines of their corporations—someone had to turn the wheels—but they were to keep their mouths and their minds shut. As for Majority politicians and intellectuals, they were still safe, provided they obeyed their masters’ voices.

Yet all was not clear sailing for the minorities. Equalitarianism, a contagious dogma of epidemic potential, was getting out of hand. It was predictable that the ruling race, having been reduced to equality, was scheduled for a further loss in status. It was understandable that the subject races, having been raised to equality, would try to climb higher, particularly after learned professors had flattered their genes and whetted their ambitions with allusions to racial superiority. It was logical that blacks, having been told they were equal or superior to whites, would blame their social disadvantages not on any innate mental limitations but on a devilish white conspiracy. It was inevitable that some blacks, having come to this conclusion, would feel they had a perfect right to avenge themselves and burn down cities and “get whitey.” But the problem was that “whitey” was often a member of another Unassimilable Minority, the Jew who owned the ghetto tenements and retail shops.

So the Jewish dime-store owners and the less affluent Jewish retirees were sacrificed to the muggers and arsonists in urban no-man’s lands, the latter often working under the table with the slumlords, while Jewish radicals and renegade Majority intellectuals, holed up safely in suburbia, drew up manifestos denouncing the Ku Klux Klan and nuclear power, and demanding ever heavier doses of forced busing and Affirmative Action. As if nothing had happened, millionaire Gracchus and old-time minority leftists, some now calling themselves neoconservatives, continued to foot most of the bills. After all, it was war, and a few minor betrayals and casualties should not be allowed to detract from a victory already in sight. In any event everyone was too committed to go backward—and a slowdown might give the Majority time to reorganize.

Time certainly was of the essence. Sensitive ears were beginning to hear rumblings and grumblings in the hinterland, in the heartland, in the South and Southwest, and, most forebodingly, in the sacred groves of academe, where the Arthur Jensens and Edward Wilsons were raising their voices. Finally, the Majority was uttering a few whispers of resistance. To smash these low-key and hardly dangerous stirrings the liberal-minority general staff opened up all the old stops, the mind-deadening cacophony of Marxist and liberal dogma and the sly equalitarian appeals to minority racism, as well as a few new stops—drugs, pornography, homosexuality, the generation gap and women’s liberation. As usual, the big guns blasted at the weakest spots in the Majority’s defenses—the students and the young women. But they were also zeroing in on the prime target, the Majority’s last redoubt, the family.

To save itself from spiritual extinction, the Majority has no choice but to unlearn every lesson it has been taught since the beginning of its dispossession. The dignity of the individual? The triumph of reason? The rights of man? The Majority’s ancestors had been the first to develop these concepts and apply them to society. In their perverted modern form they were the killers of society. Democracy, genuine liberalism, the common law, the free play of the imagination, the technological breakthroughs, all the great political, social and scientific achievements of Western man were now becoming the spoils of non-Western man. History, once it had been “restructured” by the liberal-minority intelligentsia, not only became bunk but a deliberate fraud, the basic strategy of a war in which truth was the first casualty. Environment, climate, geography, economics, religion and blind chance were solemnly proclaimed to be the only possible (and permissible) creators of the past and future. Race was still the unspeakable historical determinant, although the loudest denouncers and deniers of race were, as always, the biggest racists.

The very desperation of the denials lent substance to the claim that history clusters about race; that race is writ large in every obscure paragraph and every lustrous page of the human record; that where there is no race consciousness there is no historical consciousness; that where there is no historical consciousness there is chronology but no history; that the essence of history is the rise and fall of races.

To the racial historian race is the being and the becoming of organized humanity. As race has been the controlling factor of the human past, so it will be in the future. Man’s fate has now become the world’s fate. Supreme efforts are necessary to put a stop to the devastation of the environment—and supreme efforts can only be undertaken by large groups of men with similar political and social reflexes, by great teams not great mobs, specifically by great races. Race, the highest manifestation of the team spirit, may be nature’s way of organizing men for the accomplishment of the unaccomplishable.
Just as the body rejects transplanted organs, races have the habit of rejecting transplanted ideologies. They may accept them temporarily, but the build-up of “antibodies” is unceasing. The only ideology acceptable to all races would seem to be the grand design of evolution, which will ultimately select one of them to give birth to a new species, the better-than-man.

In an age beginning to uncover the mysteries of the gene, whose frequencies and combinations account for individual and racial differences, the race most likely to raise the hominidae another notch in the evolutionary scale will be the one that concentrates on the penetration of the genetic riddle. It will certainly not be the race that dissipates its energies on doctrinal crusades, seeking its salvation outside itself, allowing itself to become a hostage to fortune. This is the way back to the primeval ooze—the way of reverse evolution.

As the 20th century winds down, the race best suited to shoulder the main weight of the evolutionary burden would appear to be the Northern European. Equally adept at physics and metaphysics, induction and deduction, theory and application, equally at home in the macrocosm and the microcosm, Northern European man has managed to soar a little higher above the animal kingdom than the other divisions of mankind. For the time being, two devastating intraracial wars in the first half of the century and the dispossession of the American Majority, the largest stockpile of Northern European genes, have grounded him. Permanently or temporarily, it is too early to tell.

To put Northern Europeans back on the evolutionary track, to rekindle the Northern European efflorescence is a project of monumental complexity. Of all the Northern European peoples, only a rehabilitated American Majority, mindful of the history it has made once and could make again, would have the strength and the resources to bring about a Northern European ingathering—not merely a political and economic clustering cemented by military alliances and trade agreements, but an ingathering of race consciousness, the most lasting and tenacious of all social binding forces.*

Should this pooling of the work and thought of a highly gifted but widely dispersed people ever be effected, there would be such a preponderance of power that no external predator would dare so much as touch the remotest corner of the Northern European living space, either in Europe, Anglo America or Australasia. The minorities within this living space, no longer able to prosper from the divisiveness of their old homelands or established in new ones. Such a genetically based intercontinental confederation, a radically new way of bringing a scattered people together, might overcome or alleviate some of the dangers to mankind which the crypto-racism of proletarian politics seems to multiply. There would be room in it for Russians and other Slavs of Northern European descent, now that the cumbersome Soviet conglomeration has fallen apart. There would emphatically be no place for the old-style exploitation of nonwhites or for the forcible adaptation of autochthonous civilizations to Northern European cultural norms.

*"A common citizenship is not recommended. The ingathering should not be viewed as an association of superstates. It would have greater strength and staying power if based on small, independent racial and cultural units, instead of large, unwieldy nations. In Europe the trend should be to restore the self-rule of the old provinces. In the United States the separation of the races into ethnostates should be encouraged. See Wilmore Robertson, The Ethnostate, Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc., Cape Canaveral, FL 32920.

constructive than the Pax Britannica. The Pax Romana, though Rome was ruled in its expansionist days by patricians of Northern European descent, was never willing or able to reach far enough north to envelop the peoples of Germany and Scandinavia. Consequently the first and best chance for European unity was lost.

The Pax Britannica, which kept the peace so long throughout so much of the nonwhite world, while establishing new white worlds in newly discovered continents, was dysgenically disastrous to Northern Europeans everywhere. Britain’s balance-of-power diplomacy, which divided and exhausted Europe for hundreds of years, was a major cause of the ill-fated twentieth-century conflicts that so significantly lowered the genetic quality of all combatants—with the British gene pool eventually suffering the greatest damage of all. Also, at the very crest of empire, Britain lost her most important possessions in North America, a racial setback of the most serious consequences. If the secession of the 13 colonies could have been prevented—not an impossible feat for subtle eighteenth-century statesmanship—the Pax Britannica might still be the mainstay of Western politics. Instead, present-day Britain is a weary little island kingdom, whose recovery awaits a new upsurge of the unquenchable British spirit.

The Germans brought down the Pax Romana by their victories and the Pax Britannica by their defeats. At almost any time since the Middle Ages, Britain could have dampened German militarism by supporting, not opposing, the historic German mission of defending the West against the inroads of the East. But Britain appointed herself the archenemy of European union, the same European union which would have nipped Bolshevism in the bud and forbidden the presence of Slavic armies on the banks of the Elbe.

The Northern European ingathering, its primary task the consolidation, security and advancement of the Northern European peoples, would be the first world order whose geographical frontiers matched its racial frontiers, once the minority elements were separated out and either sent back to their old homelands or established in new ones. Such a genetically based intercontinental confederation, a radically new way of bringing a scattered people together, might overcome or alleviate some of the dangers to mankind which the crypto-racism of proletarian politics seems to multiply. There would be room in it for Russians and other Slavs of Northern European descent, now that the cumbersome Soviet conglomeration has fallen apart. There would emphatically be no place for the old-style exploitation of nonwhites or for the forcible adaptation of autochthonous civilizations to Northern European cultural norms.

But everything hangs on the fate of the American Majority. If its dispossession is not stopped and reversed, there will be no Northern European ingathering, no racial consolidation, no halt to the decline of the West, no giving the lie to Spengler. In fact there will soon be no America. History is insistent on pointing out that when the dominant population group goes, the country goes. As is daily becoming more apparent, the dying fall of the American Majority is the dying fall of America itself.

This well-written, highly instructive but somewhat flawed revisionist study of Jewish political, cultural and economic clout in the U.S., Europe, Russia and the Middle East should be read and reread by Instaurationists. Breaking ranks with politically correct historiography, Professor Benjamin Ginsberg, a prominent Jewish political science professor, feeds his readers a mass of solid documentation on Jewry's enormous historical importance from the 11th through the 20th century.

Wherever Jews have settled, Ginsberg writes, their literacy, commercial skills and alienation from Gentile societies have made them useful to kings, princes, sultans, prime ministers, commissars and presidents. Ambitious rulers have given them all sorts of protection and opportunities in exchange for their services as administrators, financiers and diplomats. In past centuries Jews have played important roles in the building of absolutist, liberal and Communist social orders and in reforming or overthrowing regimes in which they have been unable to obtain super-equality. In this "embrace of the state," they have risen to positions of great wealth and power, although it is precisely this relationship between Jews and the state that has often proved fatal to them, as they became touchstones of opposition to what they helped to establish.

Fatal Embrace substantiates much of what so-called anti-Semites have been saying for decades. If a non-Jew had written a similar book in the 1970s and 80s, he would have been denounced as a "Jew hater." Ginsberg elucidates:

At least until recently, another mark of Jewish influence was the virtual disappearance of anti-Semitic rhetoric from mainstream public discourse in the United States. As a general rule, what can and cannot be said in public reflects the distribution of political power in society; as Jews gained political power, politicians who indulged in anti-Semitic tactics were labelled extremists and exiled to the margins of American politics (p. 2).

The author tells us that Jews as a group are an alien presence in Gentile societies:

Certainly, everywhere that Jews have lived, their social or economic marginality— their position, "outside society," as Hannah Arendt put it— sooner or later exposed Jews to suspicion, hostility, and discrimination (p. 7).

Further on he adds:

... at least in part by their own choosing, American Jews continue to maintain a significant and visible measure of communal identity and distinctiveness in religious, cultural, and political matters... Though Jews have learned to look, talk, and dress like other Americans, they are not fully assimilated either in their own minds or in the eyes of their neighbors (pp. 7-8).

Concerning the power of Jewish finance in European societies, Ginsberg points out that by the mid-19th century the entire European state system was dependent upon the international financial network dominated by a family of Jewish bankers, the Rothschilds (p. 18). In the present-day U.S. this pattern of economic predominance has continued. Only 2% of the nation's population is Jewish, yet close to half its billionaires are Jews (p. 1).

Jewish influence in the contemporary American mass media and academia is equally pronounced. Jews abound in the top echelons of the major television networks and film studios:

[jews own] the nation's largest newspaper chain and most influential single newspaper, the New York Times. In the late 1960s, Jews already constituted 20% of the faculty of elite universities and 40% of the professors of elite law schools; today, these percentages doubtless are higher (p. 1).

In writing the above the author neglected to state that the presidents of the three most prestigious universities, Yale, Harvard and Princeton, are Jewish.

In the past, it was "racist and anti-Semitic" to say that Jewish communism oppressed Russian non-Jews. Professor Ginsberg is candid enough to break new ground by writing: "In [Communist] Russia, Jews commanded powerful instruments of terror and repression (p. 57)." Later on he implies that alienation from Russian society was the psychosocial factor which caused Jews to be such cruel despots:

Jewish liberalism is sometimes ascribed to the inherently humanistic character of Jewish values and traditions. However, this explanation seems a bit fanciful since... in some political settings Jews have managed to overcome their humanistic scruples enough to organize and operate rather ruthless agencies of coercion and terror (p. 140).

Demonstrating an admirable candor that would be almost unthinkable if it came from a non-Jew writing for any number of mainstream publications, Professor Ginsberg points out how Jews in the media used their influence to stir up anti-German sentiment in the warm-up days of WWII:

Jewish filmmakers, columnists, and radio personalities were only too happy to cooperate with the [Roosevelt] administration's anti-Nazi and pro-British interventionism. During the late 1930s, for example, when Roosevelt was...
engaged in bitter struggles with isolationist, pro-German, and anti-British forces in the public and in Congress. Hollywood cooperated with the White House by producing films depicting the evils of the Nazi regime, presenting Nazi Germany as a threat to the United States, and suggesting that a pro-German fifth column was at work inside the United States to undermine the nation's will to resist the Nazis [pp. 111-12].

Fatal Embrace substantiates the Historical Revisionist theory that the three groups which pushed hardest for war with Nazi Germany were the Roosevelt administration, members of the WASP establishment and the Jewish community:

Much as it disdained association with Jews, the Eastern Protestant establishment was, on the basis of education, economic interest, and often family connections, strongly Anglophilic and found in the Jewish community virtually the only reliable American allies for the British cause. Indeed, Jews and upper-class, Northeastern Protestants were the two groups in American society that most vehemently opposed Germany and supported England at a time when large segments of the American public . . . were against any form of American involvement in European affairs [pp. 108-109].

Unlike many hysterical mainstream publications about the opponents of American involvement in WWII, Fatal Embrace is sensible enough to note the difference between American Nazis who wanted a totalitarian right-wing government in the U.S. and American patriots, who rejected Nazism, but simply wanted to keep the U.S. out of a self-destructive war (pp. 112-15):

Isolationist Senator Hiram Johnson of California wrote to his son that the struggle between isolationists and interventionists found “all the Jews on one side, wildly enthusiastic for the President, and willing to fight to the last American, both Germany and Italy.” On the other side were those who “are thinking in terms of our country and that alone.” “This,” he went on to say, “is the basis of the struggle here, and I don’t know but what somebody ought to say it openly, but everybody is afraid of offending the Jews [p. 114].”

In contemporary America, an individual risks being labelled a “conspiracy nut” if he claims that Jewish groups secretly conspire to deprive their enemies of access to the mass media. Ginsberg easily discredits this canard:

[The American Jewish Committee developed a strategy it called “dynamic silence” to combat the activities of [anti-Semitic, anti-war] Gerald L.K. Smith. Working together, officials of the American Jewish Committee, the American Jewish Congress, and the ADL would approach the publishers of major newspapers and owners of radio stations in cities where Smith had scheduled appearances to ask that Smith be given no coverage whatsoever. If newspapers and radio stations failed to cooperate on a voluntary basis, Jewish organizations were usually able to secure their compliance by threatening boycotts by Jewish advertisers. This strategy of dynamic silence was extremely effective in suppressing Smith and other right-wing anti-Semites [p. 124].

In regard to the spotted history of the black-Jewish alliance in the United States, Ginsberg drops a lot of big names:

Jews played a prominent role in the leadership of most, if not all, of the major [black] civil rights organizations . . . Stanley Levison, a Jewish attorney, was Dr. Martin Luther King’s chief advisor. Kivie Kaplan, a retired Jewish businessman from Boston, served as president of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and was, as well, one of Dr. King’s major fund-raisers and financial contributors. Marvin Rich, another Jewish attorney, was the chief fund-raiser and key speech writer for James Farmer, head of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE). Rich was later succeeded by yet another Jewish attorney, Alan Gartner. Attorney Jack Greenberg headed the NAACP Legal Defense Fund after former Supreme Court Justice, Thurgood Marshall, was named to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals by President Lyndon Johnson [pp. 145-46].

The author continues on this theme:

More than half the white lawyers who made their services available to civil rights demonstrators in the South were Jews. Between half and three-quarters of the contributors to [black] civil rights organizations . . . were Jews. More than half the white freedom riders were Jews. Almost two-thirds of the whites who went into the South during the Freedom Summer of 1964 were Jews . . . [p. 146].

In Communist Party circles Jews saw to it that blacks played second fiddle:

Through the 1960s, blacks were a subordinate group on the Left, especially within the Communist Party which was dominated by Jewish intellectuals. Harold Cruse, a black Communist, described this situation . . . Jewish Communists, said Cruse, felt compelled to ensure their complete political and ideological power over their Negro allies. To this end, according to Cruse, Jewish Communists sought to dominate the field of “Negro studies” and made certain that Jews always held the top Communist Party posts in the black community [p. 177].

One of the flaws of Fatal Embrace is how the book explains Jewish involvement in black affairs, which it glibly ascribes to a junction of morality and Jewish self-interest. Here Ginsberg is plainly wrong. The enhancement of Jewish political power was the main driving force behind Jeffrey’s involvement in the black civil rights movement. “Morality” had little or nothing to do with this. If morality dictated that American Jews help blacks free themselves from oppression, then that same morality dictates they should help Palestinians free themselves from oppression by the Israelis. Quite the opposite is the case. “Liberal” American Jewry is the major supporter of Israel, a state founded upon racial and religious discrimination directed against Arabs. Israel is a state where first-class citizenship is for Jews; second-class citizenship is for non-Jews. Further corroboration for the false claims of Jewish morality comes from research by the black American historian, Anthony Martin. During the slavery era in America Jews were heavily involved in every aspect of the African slave trade.
Martin expounds:

Jewish abolitionists were few and far between, and the exceptional Jews who opposed slavery were sometimes subjected to the opprobrium of their co-religionists. There is no Jewish counterpart in the United States to the organized Christian abolitionism of the Quakers, Methodists, Baptists and others.

In one part of Fatal Embrace the author "gets real" and reveals a major reason why Jews were involved in black affairs:

During the 1960s, Jews joined with other liberal Democrats and with blacks in the civil rights and anti-Vietnam War movements. Through this alliance, Jews were able to weaken their conservative Southern adversaries as well as their Northern white working-class rivals within the Democratic party, and to virtually destroy the traditional party machines upon which these forces depended for their power.

The truth finally emerges! Jews used the black civil rights movement as a weapon to advance their interests at the expense of their white Gentile adversaries. Although one of the most notable characteristics of Jews in American politics is their strong adherence to liberalism (pp. 152-55, 165), it should by no means be assumed that all Jews are liberals. In recent times they have played a decisive role in conservative Republicanism and neoconservatism (p. 231). Running true to form, Ginsberg reveals what lies behind the conservative mask:

A number of Jews ascertained for themselves that Israeli security required a strong American commitment to internationalism and defense. Among the most prominent Jewish spokesmen for this position was Norman Podhoretz, editor of Commentary magazine. Podhoretz had been a liberal and a strong opponent of the Vietnam War. But by the early 1970s he came to realize that "continued American support for Israel depended upon continued American involvement in international affairs—from which it followed that an American withdrawal into isolationism represented a direct threat to the security of Israel." This was one major reason that Podhoretz broke with liberals...

Exposing the hidden anti-Gentile feelings of these "conservative patriots," Ginsberg makes an astonishing admission:

... the [Jewish] neocons are soft on social issues. Few neoconservatives attach much moral significance to the issues of abortion or school prayer and pragmatically advocate doing little to concretely advance these causes in order to avoid alienating middle-class suburban voters. Indeed, many neocons are fond of saying privately that social issues are merely useful bait with which to attract the votes of the riffraff [read: fundamentalist white Christians]...

Get the picture? The welfare of Israel is at the heart of Jewish neoconservatism and the pro-school prayer and anti-abortion planks are simply bait with which to attract the stupid goyim!

At the very beginning of his book, Ginsberg writes:

I have long been convinced that one of the reasons that so many Jews pursue careers in the social sciences is their conscious or unconscious concern with the great question of Jewish history. That question is, of course, "Why is it that during so many different times, and in so many different places, Jews have achieved enormous status, wealth, and power only to be cast down, driven out—or worse [p. ix]?"

In simple terms, "Why Anti-Semitism?" is a question Ginsberg fails to answer satisfactorily because he did not consider the ultimate implications of his research. He openly admits Jews amass tremendous power in a state, then use that state to advance their own selfish interests to the detriment of the host culture. What Ginsberg fails to see—or refuses to consider—is that the destructive, anti-Gentile characteristics of Jewry are a major cause of anti-Semitism. In this regard, many anti-Jewish reactions are normal, self-preserving responses on the part of non-Jews to the collective behavior of large groupings of Jews.

In their sojourn in America, Zionist Jews are again setting the stage for the aftermath of another "fatal embrace." The pro-Zionist government was and is detrimental to the interests of the American Majority at large. Is it any wonder that anti-Semitic movements should arise to challenge pro-Zionist policies? What Ginsberg labels as anti-Semitism is actually a healthy concern for the welfare of America, plus a critical attitude towards the Jewish power elite which props up a government harmful to Majority interests. Perhaps it is an intense Jewish ethnocentricism which blinds Professor Ginsberg from seeing the legitimacy of anti-Semitic movements.

All things considered, Fatal Embrace is a step in the right direction. It strips away Jewry's aura of saintliness and shows readers the exterior reality which lies behind the propaganda facade. As long as Jewish and Gentile politicians and intellectuals refuse to see the destructive aspects of Jewry and the legitimate side of "anti-Semitic" complaints, there will never be an open and honest discussion concerning the undeniable problems which burden Jewish-Gentile relations. Nor will these problems ever be resolved in a manner which is rational, humane, and acceptable to both Jews and Gentiles.

Footnotes


Rush Limberger Addendum

I am anything but a “dittohead” (“big fan” of Rush Limbaugh’s), but I’ll often tune in to two or three times during Rush’s daily three-hour radio spiel to hear what he’s talking about. Let’s face it, since the man is no intellectual heavyweight, it’s possible to get a pretty good sense of his thinking from such brief exposures.

Last week, for two consecutive days, every time I tuned in, El Gordo was yakking away on just one topic. Although I didn’t get the specifics, he had been lampooned on some sort of prime-time TV entertainment program for being a “bigot” and a “racist.” This seemed to upset Rush terribly. He whined on and on about the “unfairness” of it all, apparently for the better part of two shows, if my sampling was accurate.

Limberger’s whine went something like this: “I am not a bigot or a racist, and I defy anyone to prove that I am by what has been said on this show. I am especially upset about those charges, because they reflect negatively not only on everyone on my staff who helps produce this show, but on all my fans and listeners as well.” He went on and on with variations of that basic theme.

Listening to him, I began to feel that I was in the presence of a sort of Rosetta Stone which explained just why true-blue American conservatives, Reagan Revolution or no Reagan Revolution, have essentially lost every key battle involving, even if only tangentially, Majority interests for the better part of this century. Any high-school debater knows that you can’t win a contest in which your opponent is allowed to make the rules. It’s like agreeing to a boxing match in which you can only use your weaker hand, while your opponent not only gets to use both hands, but is allowed to wear brass knuckles as well.

Limbaugh’s moaning and groaning was a sure indication of a battle that had been lost even before it had begun.

Rush rushed to Israel

Doesn’t Rush know that the liberal minority coalition uses charges of “racism,” “anti-Semitism” and “bigotry” not as debating tools, but as tactical smears? Their whole point is character assassination and the subsequent removal of their right-wing targets from “civilized discourse.” The real issue that Limbaugh should have been addressing—but undoubtedly never will—is the tremendous and utterly tyrannical power of liberals and minorities, not only in regard to their ability to make such charges, but to make them stick in the public consciousness.

For at least three decades now, American conservatives have, like Limbaugh, been defensively whining that they are not “bigots” as they attempt to respond to ruthless attacks by minority racists. They can’t seem to realize that, given the dynamism of modern politics and racist pablum, not only will every self-proclaimed conservative be suspected of bigotry, but such suspicions will be, in a certain sense, invariably correct. By definition, conservatives are more strongly oriented to tradition and the past than liberals or left-wingers. Translated into the American context, in which the Majority has less power each passing day, conservatives are associating themselves with a time when the Majority ran the country. Today the liberal-minority coalition looks towards a future in which it will have even more power, and the Majority even less. Virtually all of the “changes” in American life are based on the ultimate goal of expediting this ethnic power shift. In their own terms conservatives are automatically bigots because their activities serve to slow down this trend.

So Rush can drone on about the “unfairness” of his attackers, but that will hardly stop them. A recent Doonesbury cartoon by white liberal wimp Garry Trudeau featured a joke in which Limbaugh was termed a “flaming Nazi gasbag.” Much to his despair, Fatso’s shameless pilgrimage to Israel last year isn’t paying off.

It’s a lead pipe cinch that Rush himself will never answer his many enemies as they should be answered. He could lose millions of dollars if he makes even one serious attempt to defend himself. If the rest of us, however, and perhaps even a few of his fans can learn something valuable from his troubles, then some good might eventually emerge from Rush’s spineless refusal to give the Chosen what the Chosen have been giving him.

Wild-Eyed Statistician

Negroes and white guilt-trippers had a field day with the South Carolina mother who killed her two kids and pinned the blame on a black carjacker. Typical was a column by Cynthia Tucker, a mulatress who writes for the Atlanta Constitution and whose unpleasant physiognomy appears now and then on the MacNeil-Lehrer Newshour. Focusing her rage on the tendency of whites to stereotype all blacks as criminals, Ms. Tucker lamented that many whites believe that “most” crimes in the U.S. are committed by blacks, whereas 55% of crime is committed by whites and 45% by blacks.”

Reading this statistic made feel me like throwing myself at Tucker’s feet and begging her for forgiveness! For all these many years I have seen blacks as disproportionately violent and criminal. Now it turns out that the 12% of the population which is black commits a mere 45% of the nation’s crimes. How wrong I was! Please, Ms. Tucker, find a place in your black heart where you can both understand and forgive! On the other hand, Instaurationists can hardly fail to note the sneaky way she attributed all crimes in America not committed by blacks to whites! Using her racial, or rather racist,
Ominous Demographics

In 25 years the U.S. has undergone nothing less than a demographic revolution. Crunch the numbers if you don’t believe it. Even allowing for some degree of inaccuracy, the message is startlingly clear. The U.S. population in 1970 was 203 million. Now it is 262 million, a jump of almost 60 million. This is to say that demographically we’ve added a “West Germany” to the U.S. in one generation! That might not be such a bad thing, provided the “West Germany” was composed of bona fide “West Germans.” But as we all know, such is hardly the case. “Non-Hispanic whites,” as the Census Bureau now describes us, contributed only a little over a third of that raw increase in numbers. On the other hand, the count of Negroes increased from 22.5 million to 33 million, while Hispanic ranks exploded from 6.5 million to 27 million, and while “Other Races” (Asians and American Indians) went from 3 million to over 10 million. Add these three
While scanning the obituary columns in my local paper, the picture of a black man, dead at age 58, caught my eye. Somewhat of a free-lance amateur sociologist, I read about his demise with considerable curiosity. Along with many millions of others, this Negro, born in Mississippi, had been part of the Great Migration that brought about the Great Devastation of urban America. When he moved to Albany (NY) in the 1950s, the city was less than 5% Negro. By the time of his death it was nearly 25% black and its public schools about 60% minority. This Negro from the Great Migration who was well over 50 by the time of his death had certainly accelerated the huge population shift. He had left behind 7 children, 13 grandchildren and 4 great-grandchildren.

Let's examine the man's fecundity more closely. Simple arithmetic reveals that his extended family is proliferating in generational cycles of less than 20 years. Whatever the specifics, his children are in their 30s, his grandchildren in their teens and his great-grandchildren in their infancy. Although no demographer, I propose that this incredible "generational density" of Negroes has been blithely skipped over in studies of Negro/white birthrate differentials.

Reflecting upon the "density" factor, I couldn't help but compare this Southern-born black's fertility score with that of a Southern-born white we have all heard of—Warren Beatty. Born into a middle-class family, Beatty went on to become a fair-to-middling actor and a world-class womanizer. For over three decades gossip sheets have been exploding with tales of his long string of sexual conquests. As with many aging liberines who begin to feel the cold hand of mortality, Beatty, now 57, has "settled down" with a sexy actress 20 years his junior. It is fitting to compare the generational activity of these two men, who were only a year apart in age. Beatty now has only two small children, whereas the black man in the obits has four great-grandchildren. The Negro managed to shoehorn four generations into Beatty's two. However we may feel about that old Viennese charlatan, Sigmund Freud, he was dead on in his observation that, ultimately, sexuality is the tad of pleasure that rewards humans for performing the vastly more important task of reproducing the species. The complexity and angst of modern urban civilization creates a powerful social force which tends to sever the relationship between sexuality as pleasure and sexuality as procreation. Playboy magazine has long idealized this aspect of Freudianism, as epitomized by an almost cartoonish character like Beatty.

Even in a homogeneous Western society, this erotic-without-consequence concept will inevitably tend to have a negative impact upon the birthrate of the more intelligent, more civilized segments of the population. But when populations are not homogeneous, when they contain vastly differing racial and ethnic sub-groups, many of whom continue to subscribe to the traditional fertility imperative characteristic of primitive peoples, you have the conditions in place for a real demographic disaster. While the Beatty model measures masculinity according to the quantity and quality of women bedded, the Puerto Rican peasant sees the number of his children as being the final word on the question of "¿Quién es más macho?"

From the impersonal standpoint of the workings of Nature, Puerto Rican peasants are on much firmer ground than the Beattys. However thrilling and varied they may have been, what will Beatty's many escapades amount to 100 years from now? In contrast, the "fellahin" who leaves behind a large genetic footprint will, for good or evil, continue to be a prominent player in the unfolding saga of mankind.
Let’s Shy Away from “Nigger”

I disagree with John Manchester, “Dat Ol’ Debble, the “N” Word” (Jan. 1995). I wince at “Nigger.” Both my husband and I won’t use it. It may be the way we were raised. A middle-class class thing, perhaps. But my spouse’s dad uses it—he’s from the wrong side of town. His mother doesn’t—she’s an uptown girl. My side of the family doesn’t use it either. It smacks of dumb rednecks. Converting mainstreamers to our cause will be harmed by the use of such words. I’ll tell you one thing, if someone was “testing” me with the word “Nigger,” I’d think he was a plant out to “get me.” Someone comes over, starts complaining about blacks or Jews, lets go with a few choice epithets and looks like a stereotypical KKK member. Almost always, it’s someone sounding you out, hoping you’ll sound off and incriminate yourself. The spies in fringe groups are the ones who seem most gung-ho. Am I paranoid? There are so many plants in pro-white groups, it’s not funny.

M.M.

In Defense of Vikings

Many people have constructed theories of human nature that fly in the face of historical evidence. Karl Marx springs to mind. And now Vic Olvir, in “Counterpoint: Two Theories of Decline” (Feb. 1995). It is utter ignorance to say, as Olvir does, that the Vikings were mainly interested in loot, that they never fought for pride or honor and that they had no concept of racial idealism. The evidence to refute these statements is readily available, but the last point is the one that’s probably of most importance to Olvir’s thesis.

The Norse settlement in Greenland lasted from the summer of 986 to some time in the second half of the 15th century. Eskimos, who entered Greenland towards 1200, soon trickled down the west coast to the Norse settlements. The Norsemen called them skraelingar, a word whose precise meaning has now been lost. In modern Icelandic skraeling means a “churl.” In modern Norwegian it means “weakling.” Whatever its original nuances, it was not a compliment.

The Norse traded with the newcomers, fought with them and, according to Eskimo legend, even took refuge among them when attacked by English pirates. At one stage a law was passed expelling the Eskimos from the western settlement. The only thing the Norse didn’t do was breed with them. Gwyn Jones, in The Norse Atlantic Saga, seems perplexed that “It would seem natural for him [the Norseman] to covet their agreeable little women, but so far no trace has been found of a mingling of Norse and Eskimo stock anywhere or at any time during the settlement.” Not one of the famous Herjolfsnes skeletons shows the slightest sign of race-mixing, which is pretty strong evidence that it didn’t happen.

Olvir states, “If the coast of Africa was more convenient than that of Iceland and Great Britain for purposes of raiding and of abducting women, Iceland would now be a mulatto nation.” I think he means that the Norse lads would have carried off and bred with “dark and comely maidens,” if they had been available. Sorry to spoil a good calumny, but they were available. The longship gave the Vikings every advantage in Mediterranean hit-and-run expeditions. Surviving Muslim records make it plain that the Vikings were quite happy to raid northern Africa for slaves. Unless we postulate that the victims were white, then the Vikings must have sold off these unfortunates to less discriminating customers. Otherwise the northlands would have been a lot darker than they are.

Perhaps Vic just doesn’t understand how important pride of ancestry was to the Viking-age Norse people. Genealogy was a cultural passion. Sacrifices were made to ancestors. In choosing partners for children, minute calculations were made as to the rank and status of available spouses, in order to give children the best possible chance in life. To marry an Irish girl was sometimes considered a bit dodgy. To marry a ghourly skraeling or (Thor save us!) a Negress would have been unthinkable.

The idea of Vikings as early capitalists mating anything on two legs is ludicrous. More than that, it’s offensive, disgusting and just plain scurrilous!

I’m glad Olvir is leaving Instauration. May I offer him one parting thought regarding the northern spirit? The words were written by Carl Erdmann in 1935:

[A]n entirely new situation had been created by the entrance of the Germanic peoples into Christian history. War was the vital element of the Germanic peoples, who were beginning more and more to form the most important part of the clergy. The ethos that they brought with them out of their heathen past was entirely geared to struggle. Its main point was heroism: famous deeds on the part of the military leader, loyalty on the part of his followers, revenge for the slain, courage in the face of death, scorn for a comfortable life at home.

Maybe the reason whites fail to stand up for themselves in modern America is that the acquired immune deficiency syndrome called Christianity has finally overcome the old heroic ethos. A people who once were warriors now see themselves as miserable sinners, fit only to torture themselves psychologically and in every other way, hating life and begging for death! Maybe white Americans aren’t uninformed cowards after all. Perhaps they want to be raped and bludgeoned out of existence. Some medieval Europeans once wandered around flagellating and castrating themselves to atone for original sin. Perhaps the modern white American is equally infected with this Christian virus. Like a fag in a bath house, he seems to be bending over and squealing for a vicious fisting. Well, okay. But don’t try to pretend, as Vic Olvir does, that our ancestors were equally degenerate.

AUSTRALIAN SUBSCRIBER

Haiti—A Second Look

Jay Lock (“This Thing Called Haiti,” Jan. 1995, pp. 11-12) gives a good overall picture of the present situation in Haiti. The country is totally rundown. Port-au-Prince is reduced to a filthy slum. Its streets are open sewers. The choice of government is limited to an indigenous rightist military tyranny or an equally tyrannical leftist pseudo-democracy, the latter imposed by the American “non-invasion” of 1994.

In his report Mr. Lock might have pointed out that the present mess is of relatively recent origin. It began with François “Papa Doc” Duvalier and his gangsters or tonton macoutes, who seized power in the late 1950s. Before that, the often sanguinary political turmoil of the 1800s had been replaced by an era of peace and calm under the American occupation (1915-34), an era shattered by Duvalier. As so often happens, a peaceful, likable, but poor and ignorant population was an easy mark for an unscrupu-
lous, aggressive tyrant and his criminal henchmen.

In early 20th century pre-Duvalier Haiti, the population was poor in material possessions, but clean and self-respecting, as attested by many observers (including myself when I did field work there in 1949). In those days Haitian social and political life was anything but color-blind. Power was in the hands of a small urban upper crust of well-to-do mulattos whose culture was almost entirely French-based, tempered with the usual respectful self-humiliation characteristic of cultural colonialism, especially in countries now or formerly under French rule. The mass of the people in the towns and in the countryside were and still are of nearly 100% African origin, preserving many cultural features that they brought over with them when they were transported to the New World. Duvalier was deliberately playing the race card when he claimed to represent les Haïtiens authentiques, those of pure African stock.

Haiti never had what we would call "democracy" in any meaningful sense—only a succession of petty dictatorships, each remaining in power for a few years before being overthrown by a "palace revolution." By the mid-20th century the changes in government, no longer accompanied by fighting and bloodshed, had become politically and socially meaningless. There were political parties of a sort, opposing each other with rather intellectually aims based on a confusion of ideologies. The permanent bureaucracy, such as it was, never succeeded in implementing any really effective program through fear of offending whichever group was temporarily in power.

My particular concern was with the use of the Haitian Creole language in education. It proved impossible to make any progress in my research, because of the continual seesaw between pro- and anti-Creole programs and the bureaucratic unwillingness to back one faction or another.

Jay Lock says, "Show me the Haitian George Washington and I'll eat my typewriter." Actually the position of the National Liberator in Haitian history is filled by two complementary figures: Toussaint L'Ouverture, popularly regarded as a martyr, and the brutal, hard-fisted fighter, General Jean Jacques Dessalines. Haitians like to make much of Dessalines as the victor in the battles against the French, culminating in the Haitian declaration of independence in 1804. Haitians say to American Negroes, "You had to be freed by Lincoln and the North. We won our own freedom from France and the white man's tyranny through our own military valor." (Actually, it was not General Dessalines, but General yellow Fever that destroyed the French in those battles.) Dessalines was assassinated in 1806. At least he didn't flee his country, as did so many New World dictators.

Two points deserve further comment. What we call "voodoo" is, by and large, a figment of our fevered imagination, including demoniacal rituals based on severed portions of the human anatomy. We should distinguish between "voodoo" and what is referred to by the Creole word vaoudoun. In vaoudoun live chickens are often used but no human body parts. In a normal vaoudoun ritual the worshiper's aim is to be "possessed" by one or another loa or spirit whose votary he is and whom he celebrates by wild dancing. The ceremony is normally held on Saturday night. When it ends at dawn, the entire assemblage adjourns to the nearest Catholic church for Sunday morning Mass. True vaoudoun represents no social or political threat to anyone.

My second comment has to do with the Creole language. A recent "Safety Valve" letter referred to Creole as a "bastard lingoe." Nonsense! The vocabulary is indeed of mixed origin, its words having been taken from English, standard French and various African and Amerindian languages. But its lexicon is, proportionately, not more mixed than that of standard French, English or other "civilized languages," with their extensive borrowings from Greek and Latin and other sources. Haitian Creole has a number of grammatical features in forms and syntax which the slaves brought along with them from Africa. Haitian Creole, like other Creole languages, has a clearly defined and well-organized structure.

Please, no more derogatory animadversions concerning Haitian Creole. All languages are of equal value as means of communication, or can easily be made so by their speakers. (See my 1950 book, Leave Your Language Alone!"

ROBERT A. HALL JR.

Buried in Britain

I read The Dispossessed Majority with satisfaction and great relief to see that someone had so clearly catalogued all the anxieties which now afflict perceptive Northern People.

As for the disappointment expressed in Ventilations regarding the conspiracy to keep TDM out of bookshops and libraries, I can only advise you that the conspiracy has not lessened. The library system in the UK covers the entire nation. If a book requested from a small branch library deep in the sticks is not available, every library in the UK will be circulated until a copy is found. I searched high and low for TDM until it was eventually discovered in the library's lock-up in the ancient shire of York, from whence I had loaned a copy for a period of seven days. Only three people had ever borrowed it. The declaration of the lock-up's librarian made interesting reading: "I declare that this item is required only for the purposes of private study or research."

But let us not be disheartened. I sense a slight breeze of change. Witness the best-selling Bell Curve. I'm sure the future updated edition of TDM will enjoy unrestricted distribution.

ULSTER SUBSCRIBER

Lay Off Christianity

Over the years I've been disappointed to read in Instauration an array of vicious putdowns, sharp barbs, caustic criticisms and outright blasphemies, all directed at what has historically been the white man's faith (for the last 1,000 years anyway). I'm not proposing that Instauration should cease to publish anti-Christian letters or articles. But it might be a good idea to ban strongly offensive anti-Christian comments.

A few years ago the editor wrote me that Instauration might not be as anti-Christian as I think. What I continue to read in Instauration makes me think it is as anti-Christian as I think.

Gotta go. It's time for Seinfeld.

Don't Forget Duke

Instaurationists who come up with a clever line or two in the "Safety Valve" keep the pot boiling, but that is hardly enough for our cause. Action is the necessary ingredient. Where does one find action when practically all of us are afraid we will lose the roof over our heads if we are caught speaking out?

There is a man in Louisiana who has already lost the roof over his head. No doubt he has faults. Sorry about that. He is human like the rest of us. He does, however, stand out head and shoulders above anyone else, with the exception of Jesus Christ. Jews can't make up their minds which one they hate more.

So instead of milling around like lost sheep looking for some knight or latter-day George Washington to fight our battles, we must realize we already have a champion that is eager to fight for us.

In brief, Instaurationists are wasting their time and energy if they don't shell out for David Duke, 500 N. Arnoult Rd., Metairie LA 70001.
Cultural Catacombs

The Trial of Mark Fuhrman

Simpson wasn't butchering his black ex-wife and her Jewish gofer on the night of June 12. He was playing night golf in his own backyard! That's his alibi and he and his army of black, white and Jewish lawyers are stuck with it. He didn't drop that bloody glove in his confused efforts to get out of town on that plane to Chicago. It was planted there by racist Detective Mark Fuhrman, who has bought a retirement home in Nazi-land, otherwise known as northern Idaho.

Here is a trial that should have lasted two days and, counting all the preliminaries, is now headed for its tenth month. If the Simpson trial doesn't prove the utter rottenness of the contemporary legal system, instaurationists should take a second look at the Colin Ferguson proceedings, where the black who killed six whites and wounded 19 was allowed to subject the court and the survivors of his mini-massacre to antithetical tirades before he was pronounced guilty. Who knows? With legal charpees like Ronald Kuby and William Kunstler handling Ferguson's appeal, he may have to be retried.

More Simpsoniana

O.J.'s black lawyer, Johnnie Cochran, is practically a soul brother of the defendant and has many of his characteristics. He beat and abused his first wife and kept a mistress, presumably a bottle blonde, for almost two decades with whom he had an illegitimate son. Like Simpson, fast-talking Johnnie drives a Rolls Royce.

A Canadian subscriber writes: It's the phoniest trial since those staged by the same people at Jerusalem and Nuremberg. But the O.J. Simpson spectacular differs diametrically in one enormous respect: the innocent in the first two cases were crucified and lynched, while the guilty in the current case will thumb his nose and strut from the court. Shorn of all moral precepts and ground down by a judiciary which plays whore to the biggest buck, society slowly succumbs.

Monkey Business

"The Jews need a shabbes goy because the Jews can't do everything for themselves." These are the words Mario Cuomo spoke at the New York State Broadcasters Association Annual Convention (Aug. 1982). A shabbes goy is the Gentile who out of the goodness of his heart turns lights on and off and runs errands and performs various tasks forbidden to observant Jews on the Sabbath. Some Jews in the past used trained monkeys to do these chores, although there was a debate over whether the animal was Jewish, since it was owned by a Jewish family and therefore also forbidden to perform those household duties.

Evil Nazi Dolls

David Levinthal, another of those Chosenite artists we are taught to idolize, specializes in the subgenre, Holocaust Art. Wags have begun to call his work "Nazis in Toyland." His forte is polaroid color photos of dioramas he populates with dolls. Among his other pix, he has 100 photos of different "scenes" of WWII. One series is entitled Mein Kampf and has naked female bodies (Barbie dolls?) flung into a pit and a Nazi preparing to execute a woman cradling a child (Cabbage Patch dolls, perhaps?).

Umpteenth Racial Hoax

Aaron West, the black president of the Student Government Association at Penn State University (York), was featured in a spray-painted graffiti which literally proclaimed, "Aaron West is a nigger white power." The same day, West complained he received a threatening note in his mailbox. Later another anti-West graffiti was discovered on a parking lot. When all the hand-wringing was wrung, West himself was charged with being the author of the slurs. Meanwhile, his troubles were compounded when he pleaded guilty to assaulting the mother of his illegitimate child.

Black Inventions

USA Today columnist Barbara Reynolds has suggested that blacks should go on strike and stop whites from using the following Negro inventions: golf tees, lawn mowers, egg beaters, elevators, baby carriages, fridges and traffic signals. This cock-eyed manifesto was apparently the black Ms. Reynolds' response to The Bell Curve.

Awaiting the day that some diligent historical researcher will examine the accuracy of the items on Reynolds' list, I shall limit my comment to item #1, golf tees! For days I had to explain to friends that my sudden collapse into fits of convulsive laughter was a result of "something I had read." My eyes were filled with visions of a world full of sad and depressed honkies flailing away on golf courses and practice ranges, slicing, hooking and flubbing interminably for lack of something to elevate their golf balls!

The other day I was looking through another copy of USA Today in the library (I would never spend 50c for that piece of recycled junk) and came across another outpouring by Ms. Reynolds. She was furious with the Postal Service for issuing a Christmas stamp featuring the Madonna and Child. Jesus and his mother, she allowed, were too pale-faced. Christ was a "dark-skinned Palestinian Jew" who, along with his parents, had an easy time hiding out in Egypt, a "dark-skinned country." To cinch her case for Jesus' liberal helping of black genes, Reynolds affirmed that the Bible refers to his hair as "woolly," a statement which led me to conclude that the "woolliest" thing in her column was the author's gray matter.

The Ties that Bind

"Newt Gingrich is as unabashedly and unequivocally pro-Israel as anybody we've ever seen," asserts Steven Grossman, president of AIPAC, the double-loyalist pressure group. Of Kentucky Senator McConnell, chairman of the Senate Foreign Operations Subcommittee, Grossman comments, "There is no better, more deeply committed friend."

One compelling cause of Newt's Judeophilia may be his second wife's economic connection with Israel. Marianne Gingrich pocketed $2,500 a month for persuading American companies to set up shop in an industrial park in the Zionist
state. Mrs. Gingrich met her boss, David Yerushalmi, when she was on one of those “guided tours” financed by AIPAC.

Hubby Newt backs her up all the way when she says there is absolutely no conflict of interest involved in working for the Israel Export Development Co., of which she is now a vice president, a promotion she just happened to receive the same month Newt took over as House Speaker. Needless to say, the Israeli firm has close connections to the Rabin government.

Jewish Smoke and Mirrors

Orthodox Jews are feverishly superstitious. Even now in these presumably enlightened times they cling to weird beliefs in magic. The gift shop at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York sells Jewish amulets called segullas, which spell out occult ways for making wishes come true, for revealing a person’s future and for interpreting dreams. Here are a few such magic tricks:

(1) To remedy female infertility, go to as many circumcision rituals as you can. At each one, look at the knife with the blood and foreskin on it and drink from the wine of the Kiddush cup. You will then have a son. An older and no longer used Jewish method to counteract barrenness is for a woman to swallow foreskins or to take a hare’s womb, dry it and pound it, then drink the ashes before having intercourse.

(2) Put sugar cubes under a child’s pillow to ward off the evil eye. An older, abandoned method of protecting mother and unborn child from witches is to “take a male mouse... that ruins the crops... take its blood in a piece of cloth, and hang it on the mother and when the child is born, hang it on him.”

(3) Pregnant women can induce an easy delivery by biting down on the stem of an etrog—the symbol of the female genitalia.

Nauseous Pseud

Ohio-born “artist” R.B. Kitaj, a self-described “diaspora painter,” aspires to create a new Jewish iconography with his childish charcoal sketches of David and Goliath. Upon receiving scathing reviews from British art connoisseurs for his retrospective at London’s Tate Gallery, Kitaj howled that his critics were “anti-Semitic, anti-foreign, anti-American, anti-outsider, anti-intellectual... if they had been in another era, they would have been the bank clerks with frayed collars who joined the Nazi party!”

Kitaj, who compares himself to Dreyfus, was outraged by British critics, who wrote he was “fatally self-deluding,” “ vain and puffed” and a “brash name-dropper.” Considered an eminence grise here in the States, Kitaj has declared repeatedly his ambition of “doing Cezanne and Degas again, after Auschwitz.” Of his Auschwitz painting, If Not, Not, one British critic sneered, “The candy-colored landscape thronged with cartoon figures of the dead and dying and the terminally miserable is not only crass but also a staggeringly trite cheapening of human catastrophe.”

By Any Other Name A Crime

NAACP leaders in Texas have called for a national boycott of Dr. Pepper products if the British-owned company does not move ahead with a $500-million minority hiring program, an $88-million annual promotional campaign in the black media and a $100-million African-American investment fund. In any civilized country such a shake down would be felonious.

Disaster Area

I’d move to hell before I’d move to Vermont. The people there are so obnoxious. They sent this guy Bernie Sanders to Washington as their sole representative. He’s Jewish, a self-proclaimed socialist, a former Israeli kibbutznik and a hippie. The state’s previous Democratic governor, Madeline Kunin, is a Holocaust survivor. The present Democratic governor, Howard Dean, has a Jewish wife. Once a lovely dairy farm state, to stay aloof it is trying to milk the tourist trade. “For Sale” signs everywhere. Now that Vermont is approaching ruination, Vermonters are getting out. Just a matter of time, I suppose, before Bernie himself and his ilk decamp.

Queer Folk Singer

Phran (formerly Susan Gottlieb), best known as “the all-American Jewish lesbian folk singer,” says that people come up and ask her, “OK, we know you’re a lesbian, we know you’re Jewish, why, why, why do you have to keep flaunting it?” Patting her flat-topped crew cut, Ms. Gottlieb responds, “I feel that being Jewish and being lesbian are two things that are relatively invisible in the big world, so I feel it’s very important when I step on the stage to be identified as both.” Invis-ible? Really? I’d say she has a double dose of logorrhea. Her latest creation: a 45 with two tracks: Bull Dagger Swagger and Hillary’s Eyebrows.

A Jew Gets in the Act

After seeing all the kiddie shows in which blacks are ruining traditional children’s songs by syncopating them, and accompanying them by jumping around, with eyes rolling and arms flapping, you might think they are responsible for turning our kids into wiggers. But they don’t hear all the responsibility. Stephen Rosenholtz, a Chosenite, has released two music/dance videos for kids at $20 a pop, Monkey Moves and Move Like the Animals in which a racially mixed group of children crawl and roll to jazz music. The videos are aimed at doing away with “antiquated forms of staying fit, such as running, jumping and climbing.” So asserts the Jewish newspaper, Forward.

Irish Behavior

Interesting how the Irish don’t do much over in the Emerald Isle. The ones over here do quite well. Currently there is a large migration of Irish professionals to these shores. I personally know several of them. As someone who is part English (my grandmother belonged to the DAR), but more Irish, I am familiar with Irish culture. If you buy a fancy house or a nice car or tell someone you got good grades or a good score on an IQ test, you are construed as “being cocky” or “being flashy.” You’ll get sharply cut down by parents and close relatives. The emphasis on keeping a low profile works to the detriment of Irish kids by imposing limitations on them. Jewish kids are pushed, bolstered and boasted about by their parents—and look at their prominence and gratifying arrogance. Say what you like about these stupid self-esteem programs, it makes a difference for a kid to feel good about doing well.

Watch Your Tongue

Schadenfreude (malicious joy) is the best word to describe our feelings towards Francis L. Lawrence, the superliberal president of Rutgers University, who found himself in a mess of trouble when he referred to “a disadvantaged population that doesn’t have the genetic, hereditary background to have a higher [SAT] average.” To blacks it sounded like something out of The Bell Curve, even though Lawrence and his wife said they hadn’t read the book. They thought it would be “immoral” to do so.

Minority goons have been demanding that Lawrence be fired. They may or may not get their way. Instauration would like to see him kicked out, not for what he said but for what he has been doing over the years to American education. Lawrence has admitted scores if not hundreds of unqualified blacks to Rutgers, consequently depriving scores if not hundreds of qualified whites of a college education.
One of his principal accomplishments was the establishment of a $1-million Paul Robeson Cultural Center for blacks, the very same blacks rampaging around the campus and at one point breaking up a basketball game in their crusade for his dismissal.

When a black stumbles verbally, it's a slip of the tongue. When a white tongue slips, it's a racist slur.

Devious Helmsmanship
Many moons ago in a previous political incarnation Jesse Helms was the lone senatorial voice pleading for an end to America's massive annual tribute to Israel. When he almost lost his reelection to the Senate in 1984, he finally got the message and quickly became one of the Senate's staunchest Semitists and supporters of aid to Israel.

Jesse Helms is out of control.

Networking at Work
The Baltimore Sun (Feb. 12, 1995) had a double-page spread on physicist Edward Witten, denoting him as perhaps "the smartest man in the world." He was then duly compared to Einstein who, like Witten, never dirtied his hands doing any experiments that might prove or disprove his theories. Witten is known for his superstring hypothesis (all matter is composed of ten-dimensional strings wriggling about), which may or may not turn out to have some validity. But to insinuate that this Jew is the most intelligent man on earth is a bit much.

Judicial Sabotage
Jews have various ways of harming Majority companies, one of them being to save development costs by copying the products of non-Jewish firms. The latest example of this thievery is the Random House dictionary. Merriam-Webster, the publisher of the best American dictionaries, has tried for years to prevent Random House from making its lexicons look like Merriam-Webster's. A federal jury agreed with Merriam-Webster's contention of artistic plagiarism but, as is happening all too often these days, an appeals court threw out the verdict. The court battles, which the Noxious Nine refused to review, cost Merriam-Webster $4.1 million. Charles Levine, the publisher of the copycat Random House dictionaries, couldn't contain his joy. One more setback for WASP creativity.
Revenge is Bitter

A black teenager in Mount Holly (NJ) was handed a five-year sentence for killing a substitute teacher. If he had postponed the murder for 18 days, his sentence, as an adult, would have been 10 to 30 years, a relatively short sentence compared to the 165 years a Jewish judge meted out to the Lebanese gunman who murdered an Hasidic Jew and wounded three others on the Brooklyn Bridge in revenge for the 29 Muslims mowed down by an American Jewish fanatic in a Hebron mosque.

Concupiscible Preacher

Quasi-sacrosanct Joseph Lowery, president of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, has been stung with a sexual harassment rap by a female SCCLC worker. She swears the Negro reverend filled her ears with lewd remarks and pressed his body against her "slowly but deliberately as she stood at a copier upstairs." On another occasion he "fondled [her] behind with his hand as she stood at one of the office machines."

Majority Minority

Because only 38% of eligible voters turned out to vote last November, Mr. Harold Ostroff, chairman of Forward Publishing Co., Inc., pontificated thusly on the Republican takeover:

This...indicates once again what happens to a democracy when a majority of its people sit on the sidelines and do not participate in an election. It is a means whereby a small, active, well-organized minority in our society can take over control of our lives and the fortunes of our nation.

Indeed, Mr. Ostroff.

Feds Target Northwest

According to a Justice Dept. report, Federal law Enforcement Officers, 1993, 69,000 law enforcement officers are employed full time by the federal government. Of this number, 40,002 were involved in criminal investigations, 11,073 worked in jails and prisons, 7,127 performed police and patrol duties, 5,852 were assigned to the federal courts and 3,945 had security and protection responsibilities.

Possibly because of the presence of the Aryan Nations and the increase in militia activities, the FBI, BATF and other federal agencies have a disproportionate number of investigative agents assigned to Pacific Northwest states. Although Idaho and New Hampshire have approximately the same population (1,099,000 vs. 1,125,000), Idaho has 90 federal criminal investigators and New Hampshire 33. Indiana has a slightly larger population than Washington state (5,713,000 vs. 5,255,000), but Washington has more than three times as many federal snoops (778 vs. 258). Rhode Island, population 1 million, has 72 federal cops; Montana, 139. In each of the above examples the Pacific Northwest state has a lower crime rate than the comparable Eastern state.

Liked By the Chosen

Senator Packwood is the new chairman of the Senate Finance Committee. A leader of the 1981 fight against selling AWACS to the Saudis, he supports tax credits for yeshivas—doubtlessly two good reasons he is still politically alive after being tagged as the Senate's primordial woman abuser.

Postmen Rob, Postmen Robbed

Robert William Boggs, a Washington mailman, was jailed for 18 months for filching between $500 and $1,000 from some 25,000 undelivered letters he had been taking home with him for the last ten years. Although postmen workers rob, they are also robbed. Half of the 294 robberies of postmen and postwomen in 1994 were committed in the Greater Los Angeles Area. In the center of L.A., 100 armed postal inspectors guard mail deliveries on the first of the month, the time most letter carriers are robbed.

Un-Kosher Odors

Hasidic Jews have been resisting the opening of a McDonald's in the Brooklyn neighborhood of Crown Heights. A rabbi moaned that not only will the fast-food eatery be open on the Sabbath and serve non-kosher edibles, but will offend dietary laws with "aromas of cheese and meat." All of which raises some interesting First Amendment issues. Will the government soon be able to require adherence to some sect's religious laws on private property?

Wise Irish Proverbs

A bill in Congress, the War Crimes Disclosure Act, would amend the Freedom of Information Act to allow researchers to see documents previously kept secret for national security reasons. If it passes, all government organizations would have to release classified files on aliens involved in "Nazi war crimes." This is to avoid "a repeat of the Waldheim scenario," lamely explained Congresswoman Carolyn Maloney, a Manhattan Democrat who introduced the bill. If she is as Irish as her name, she should remember the old Irish proverb "Take gifts with a sigh, most men give to be paid." We all know who the "men" are in Manhattan! Ms. Maloney might call to mind another Irish proverb: "If you lie down with dogs, you'll rise with fleas!"

The Boss Flunked

Nathan Sharony, President and CEO of Israel Bonds, was installed without taking the General Securities Principal Test, the exam which broker-dealers are required by law to take. When the information leaked, Sharony took the test—and flunked. Only on the second try did he pass. The company sells Israel bonds and notes in America. Sharony is a retired deputy chief of staff of the Israel Defense Force. The Israeli government puts a $1 billion a year in revenues from Israel bonds.

Another Black Beauty Queen

It's getting to be a racial routine. The new Miss U.S.A., Chelsi Smith of Texas, is black. Due to receive $207,000, she will represent the U.S. in the coming Miss Universe pageant in Namibia, a nonwhite country where she should feel right at home. During the contest Smith diplomatically promised—uncoached of course—to teach children to fight racism, apparently forgetting it was racism of the black variety that accounted for her coronation.

Southern Jews on the Move

Chosenites are moving out of rural Southern towns in droves. They either head for big Midwestern and Eastern cities or it's Westward Ho! Between Baldwyn (MS) and Memphis, says Morris Gorden, his is the only Jewish family. Asked what was the scariest time in his 70 years in Mississippi, Gorden said that it was the 1960s, when civil rights activists, many of them Jews, descended on the state.

You know what I thought about racism? I didn't like it. ..but we told one rabbi from New York not to make trouble here. ...I told him not to hang around. ...I knew it would be trouble for the Jews.

Gorden added, "It's a lot easier for a Jew to get from Russia to New York than from New York to Mississippi."
Dimitri Ehrlich, lead singer and songwriter for the rock group Dimitri and the Supreme 5000, has produced a debut album, *Everything is Naked*. Sporting a knit yarmulke on stage, Dimitri orates, "I'm a militantly proud Jew on a cultural level, although theologically it doesn't really inform my life."

The Pope's knighting of Kurt Waldheim prompted ADL Grand Inquisitor Abe Foxman to remark ungrammatically, "Waldheim does not deserve to get an award as a decent human being."

A retired 92-year-old New York City Jewish hospital worker claimed Miami Beach Rabbi Armin Grotz cheated him out of $80,000.

Amy Lau Jew has petitioned a Georgia court to change her name to Amy Jew Lau.

Louis Farrakhan broke into the headlines again with another of his supercilious antiwhite quips. He opined that when rich Caucasians want a kidney or a liver, they yell, "Get us a nigger." The Nation of Islam Punchinello then informed his black audience, "You've become good for parts."

A pamphlet circulated at George Mason University said straight students violate the civil rights of homos and lesbians if they are too stand-offish and jump when queers touch them.

Four black teenagers were arrested in Clinton Township (MI) for violating a 15-year-old girl (race unspecified) 14 times in a four-hour gang rape. Although one of the animals was wanted on a previous rape charge, somehow the warrant was lost in transit.

Dan Quayle wrote a column forgiving Charles Barkley for his remark about hating whites. Wimpy Dan plays golf with the black basketball hominid.

District Court Judge Jerry Buchmeyer ordered the Dallas Housing Authority to build or otherwise provide 3,205 public housing apartments in predominantly white neighborhoods for "poor minorities."

Eight men pleaded guilty to defrauding Los Angeles out of $2.62 million in a disaster loan scam following the 1992 earthquake. The crooks bore such good old American names as: Jakob Yakhobzadehgan, Babak Nehmadi, Behdad Jadidolahi, Shahram Aghaee, Mohammad Javad Kashi Razy, Delnaz Shervanloo, Samuel Dadbin and Daniel Ahdoot.

Martin and Karen Herrera of Virginia Beach (VA) were sentenced to 106 days in jail and a $106 fine for criminally starving their five-month-old son, Christopher, for 106 days.

The second-in-command of the Ohio Unorganized Militia, a supposedly rightwing, anti-government group, is James Johnson, a Negro.

A new movie, *Boys On the Side*, features a lesbian singer (Whoopi Goldberg), a white female with AIDS and a pregnant blonde suspected of killing a sexually abusive drug dealer. The New York Times called the flick a "female buddy film."

Knopf, owned by a Jewish cartel, is responsible for publishing a new book, *God: A Biography."

Beverly Hills doctor Mark Kaplan and ex-wife Polina Toje were found guilty of perpetrating a $30-million workman's compensation scam. Sentencing was postponed when Kaplan complained of the increasingly common scammers' ailments—chest pains.

As previously reported in Instauration, the presidents of what used to be the country's three most prestigious universities—Yale, Princeton and Harvard—all just happen to be Jewish. The new Princeton dean, Amy Gutman, also just happens to be one.

According to the New American View, an anti-Israel newsletter that recently folded, some Jewish congressional staffers are Mossad agents.

Commander Jerry Henderson was relieved of command of the dock landing ship, *Pensacola*, for running it aground near Morehead City (NC) last year. Since Henderson is black, his punishment was ascribed to racism.

A teenage Chicago mother, Marisol Melendez, was arrested after giving birth to a daughter in her mother's bathroom, then flinging her naked newborn out of a second-story window into an alley where the temperature was 3°. The infant's skull fractured in several places. Suffering badly from hypothermia and dehydration, young Zoe (the Greek name for life) is barely hanging on in a hospital's intensive care unit.

Known as the King of Jiggles, Jewish TV producer Aaron Spelling (Beverly Hills 90210, Melrose Place, Models Inc.) has created, according to Spy Magazine, "more than 2,909 episodes of pure schluck."

Ronald Reagan, Billy Graham and Jeane Kirkpatrick are members of the Honorary Campaign Committee of the U.S. Holocaust Museum Council. Senators Orrin Hatch and Claiborne Pell are Council members.

Bruce Cohn of Riverside (CA) allegedly murdered his wife by forcing her to eat two pounds of soap and then strangle her. That was back in 1985. Cohn was not charged with the crime until last November, when his 11-year-old son claimed his father forced him to eat soap, along with occasional dollops of dog doo-doo.

While Ervin Mitchell was on a rape rampage in Ann Arbor (MI), the city authorities withheld information that the serial rapist of white women was a black. It was only after his arrest that his skin color was revealed.

After a big publicity splash in December when he attended the 17th annual Kennedy Center Honors gala with dad Kirk, Eric Douglas was arrested in Los Angeles for cocaine possession.

Characterizing his 75-day jail sentence as "racist," Dallas County Commissioner John Wiley Price finally began serving his time, which will probably amount to only a barebones 25 days. Among his various misdeeds, the black pol has defaced billboards, damaged a car and assaulted a white jogger.

The 15-year-old daughter of Vonda Toon of Henderson (TX) shot and killed her mother because *she didn't* approve of her lesbian bent. The teen killer blamed the matricide on the frantic urgings of a lesbian lover.

The liberaloid media managed to downplay or even deep-six a federal judge's order that Ira Magaziner be investigated for possible criminal perjury and contempt of court. Ira is the White House aide Hillary put in charge of her bollixed health reform plan.
A telephone survey of 1000 voters found 64% opposed to American troops being stationed on the Golan Heights. 18% were in favor of the madcap plan.

Only 8.9% of the Dartmouth students taking a cultural literacy test could identify Thucydides as the author of The Peloponnesian War. What else could be expected from undergraduates that are fed more minority than Majority history.

Playboy's 1995 all-America hoopster team consists of 9 blacks and 2 whites.

A Los Angeles appellate court has ruled that henceforth illegals studying at the 21 state campuses in California must pay full tuition and fees. That will be $8,964 per annum, up from $1,585.

As of Jan. 11, 1995, U.S. fighting men were stationed in these foreign hot spots: 4,352 in Cuba (Guantanamo Bay), 6,067 in Haiti, 3,531 in Panama, 500 in Macedonia, 6,350 in the Persian Gulf, 2,600 in Somalia. The number of U.S. troops shielding Israel in the Sinai was diplomatically omitted from The Economist article (Jan. 50, 1995, p. 24).

In the last 12 years the salaries of college-educated, high-school educated and high-school dropout white males have remained, respectively, stagnant, dipped 10% and 22%.

In a national poll of 301 lawyers, some 70% felt that O.J. Simpson would not be convicted, 39% opted for a hung jury, 37% that a guilty verdict would be reversed on appeal because of Judge Ito's judicial errors.

258 convicts have been executed from Jan. 11, 1977 through Jan. 4, 1995-143 whites, 99 blacks, 15 Hispanics, 1 American Indian.

3 out of 10 Americans will be on the wrong end of a criminal act in 1995.

In 1990 in Imperial County (CA), 50,470 tax filers and 28,217 joint filers claimed 74,301 dependents. The 152,988 people on tax returns added up to 43,844 more bodies than the county's population. 62% of the dependents claimed were on tax returns with less than $16,000 adjusted gross income.

22% of Northerners under 25 frequently use the Southern expression, "y'all."

$2 million of the $4.9 million collected by the Martin Luther King Jr. Center for Nonviolent Social Change in 1994 came from federal grants. There's money in worshiping dead heroes, even dead phony heroes.

Prison inmates filed 30,000 lawsuits in 1993.

A group called Resisting Defamation (phone: 408-995-0570) has compiled a list of 134 racial slurs against European Americans.

Criminals in the U.S. serve on average only 37% of their sentences.

Steven Spielberg was the biggest Hollywood giver ($117,000) to Democrats in the November election. Total amount donated by the movie folk to Republicans: $12,050.

A court ordered landlady Katy Smith of Alexandria (LA) to pay $2,000 to a white tenant who claimed she had been evicted because she associated with minorities.

The Supplemental Security Income program passed out $4.5 billion in 1994 to 771,000 children with learning disabilities.

The National Endowment for the Arts funded 14 Jewish projects in 1994, among them $500,000 to Zoo City's Jewish Museum and $206,000 to the YMHA.

AIDS-infected Roy Cohn. Black income in 1995 will climb to an estimated $437.3 billion, 8% of total U.S. income. 10.5% of the total workforce, blacks will have 13 million jobs. (Source: Andrew Brimmer, former black member of the Federal Reserve Board)

Chances are only 1 in 25 that a person arrested for crack cocaine-related offenses is white. (Harper's Index)

Great news! The circulation of the Washington Post, N.Y. Times and L.A. Times declined, respectively, 0.2%, 1.7% and 2.5% in the period Sept. 1993—Sept. 1994.

Foreign students from Asian countries earned 6,464 U.S. doctorates in 1992, compared to 951 doctorates awarded to American blacks.

In 1947 only 4% of Republicans in Congress were Catholics. Today it's 22% (9 in the Senate, 55 in the House). 54% of white Catholics voted for Republican candidates for Congress last year. 81% of Jewish voters cast their ballots for Democratic congressional candidates.

By the end of 1993, blacks ruled the prison roost, at least numerically. They accounted for 50.6% of all inmates in state and federal prisons.
There are so many commercials on local and network TV programs that’s hard to tell the difference between programs that carry commercials and programs that are all-commercial. In other words, it’s getting hard to tell the difference between Dan Rather and Kathy Levine, the queen of the TV shopping programs. Injun Dan hustles such items as corn flakes and toilet cleaners, but you have to go to the supermarket to pick up what he hucksters. Ms. Levine, on the other hand, markets her junk bracelets via mail order on the QVC shopping network, owned by Hollywood mogul Barry Diller. QVC claims to have an audience of 22.9 million, 10.3 million of whom reputedly bought one of Levine’s offerings in a recent three-month period. Among her great feats of salesmanship, Levine sold 2,500 $40 Jean Patou perfume sets in the first four minutes she pitched them.

TV commercials are continuing to increase in length and frequency to the point where the medium will soon be reduced to one great bazaar or flea market. Sitcoms, banal as they now are, are getting even more banal as they are conformed to fit the demands of “pure sell.” It won’t be long before the $29-ruby-ring show will be followed by the $100-faux-fur-coat show.

In times past, Roman emperors kept the lid on social unrest by feeding the proles bread and circuses. Will today’s electronic junkies be satisfied with nothing but pitch shows? Chances are, they will want their TV fare spiced with the emotional pepper of sex, murder and mayhem. An all-commercial TV may actually trigger a revolution, may actually inspire couch potatoes to get up from their couches.

Anyone who wants to escape Holocaust shows will have tougher going than ever. Jewish characters and situations are permeating such high-rated programs as Northern Exposure, Chicago Hope, The Nanny, Seinfeld, Beverly Hills 90210 and Picket Fences. Even Dr. Quinn Medicine Woman is contaminated from time to time with Semitic episodes that schmaltzingly dwell on Jewish ceremonies and rituals.

Michael Fuchs, the Jewish chair of HBO, which is owned by the Jewish conglomerate, Time Warner, had to apologize for the tastelessness of Comedy Central, an HBO offshoot. The Comedy Central writers told it particularly funny to crack jokes about Ronald Reagan’s losing bout with Alzheimer’s. Considering the output of HBO, Fuchs has much more to apologize for. In a three-part, made-for-TV movie, Cosmic Slop, the story line of one part was based on trading American Negroes to outer space aliens in return for the secret of cold fusion and budget-balancing. A noble and fearless Jew was against the trade.

In a conversation on “eligible bachelors” on WDIV Detroit, a black viewer said she preferred men that were “chocolate skinned.” Next came a discussion about a birth-day party for a gorilla in a local zoo. The weather forecaster, Michelle Leigh, weighed in with, “Does that qualify for chocolate skin?” She was fired the next day.

Jennifer Lawson, in charge of programs for PBS, has quit. Jennifer is black. The decline of quality TV on PBS during her reign cannot really be blamed on her. Or can it? Somebody, somewhere has been airing some horribly dis-

From Zip 065. Whatever became of Sherlock Holmes? Apparently he did cash in his chips at Reichenbach Falls. What have we instead? A dark little man on PBS that slicks his hair down with bootblack, whose French is more understandable than his English, and who resembles a typical Belgian about as much as a black Hindu resembles a Swede. Notice how his sidekick Hastings, although suspiciously resembling a retreaded Holmes, emerges as a kind of white upper-crust Stepin Fetchit, a danderheaded dupe for the ever-clever Poirot, who always outsments Chief Inspector Japp. Perhaps to please the feminists, a woman purporting to be a secretary of the true English type, hangs around like a pet puppy, venting such dramatic lines as: “Have you thought of looking in the trash bin?” Hastings’ standard closing remark: “By Jove, Poirot, you’ve done it again!” Wrong, Hastings. They’ve done it again.

The manufacturers of PBS’s propaganda, Hollywood’s contorted cinemas and the producers of the “situation comedies” (complete with canned laughter) and shoot-em-up programs (complete with fake blood) never give up. The subtle messages, hidden lessons and weird points of view are masked in wandering, witless plots ground out to brainwash the guinea-pig public. Take, for example, the critically acclaimed Civil War documentary. Despite the able commentary by historian Shelby Foote, one would conclude that black people were major contestants in that war—and the major reason it was fought. Many historians now believe it was not really a war to free the slaves, but a war over states’ rights, especially the right to secede. One of the best lines in the documentary was the question posed by a Yankee soldier to a Southerner: “Why are you fighting?” The reply: “Because you’re down here.”

What about that recent film, now available on CDs, Dances with Wolves? No propaganda in a wild life picture? All through the vivid, stark cinematography we see once again the portrayal of U.S. Army soldiers and officers as brutal, thoughtless, degenerate clods who distinguish them-
selves in the end by beating our hero (now become an Indian) into insensibility with rifle butts. Were Indians really so mistreated by our troops? More likely it was the horde of settlers that came looking for "free" land who did most of the killing, while the soldiers were getting shot up by Redskins. Custer's Last Stand or the Battle at White Bird Pass are two examples. The squaw heroine, to make Indians more acceptable, bears a remarkable resemblance to Rhett Butler's girl friend.

From Zip 760. When viewing anything purported to be "educational" on TV—which on PBS or not—I routinely cast a wary eye about the legitimacy and accuracy of what I'm watching. One evening A&E had a program on the life and death of Tsar Nicholas and family. I listened very carefully since I had read Robert Wilton's fine book, The Last Days of the Romanovs. I wanted to see if A&E stuck to or strayed from the truth. I found two major "strayings."

First, the Romanovs were inaccurately portrayed as incapable and insensitive leaders who botched things so much that Russkies began to hate them and in desperation fomented a revolution. Secondly, the overwhelming role of Jews not only in the Bolshevik Revolution, but in the murder of the royal family, was never mentioned. Neither was the fact that the part-Jewish Lenin and the all-Jewish Sverdlov—not Yakov Yurovsky as claimed on A&E—were the two masterminds behind the assassinations. A&E gratuitously claimed that the murders were carried out by an ultra-radical faction of the Red Army. The word "Jew" was never uttered during the entire show.

From Zip, 121. On his PBS show, Charlie Rose interviewed a former pro football running back with a reputation as a black supertard and a periodic abuser of women (perhaps two halves of the same phenomenon). No, Rose had not pulled off a world exclusive jail-house interview with O.J. The guest was Jim Brown.

After talking a bit about his work with gangs, Brown regurgitated his usual spiel about how blacks should build their own economic institutions—a contemporary update of the vintage message of Booker T. Washington. While Brown can be saluted for preaching this particular line, I found his lefty sidekick, Barry Lynn, devoted an hour to a consideration of the decision of the Colorado Supreme Court to overturn the anti-gay rights ordinance state voters had resoundingly approved. So much for democracy! The first half-hour was consumed by an interview with a representative of one of those innumerable gay and lesbian organizations, a certain Ms. Goldberg, who was absolutely thrilled to death by the Court's decision. The rest of the segment was devoted to arguing with a representative of the group that had initially sponsored the overturned initiative.

True to form, Lynn laced into this guy for his audacious attempt to torpedo the civil rights of gays and lesbians. All pretty familiar stuff. When the debate turned to the issue of the possible genetic causes of homosexuality, Lynn argued that the likelihood of genetic origins made the case of the anti-queers completely invalid. Since homosexuals could not be held responsible for their claim to civil rights precisely paralleled the historical precedent established by blacks. On the other hand, the anti-gay spokesman, who took a basically religious view of the matter, maintained that gays had chosen their behavior—and thus could "unchoose" it whenever they wished.

Do the liberal-minority coalitioners have any idea what a colossal blunder they make by accepting the genetic theory of homosexuality, while denouncing genetic influences on all other aspects of human behavior? The ferocity of their attacks against even the most modest claims by the hereditarians testify to the extreme fakeness of their whole position. The ne plus ultra environmentalist, Jewish Marxist Leon Kamin, makes the utterly preposterous claim that there is no compelling evidence that heredity plays any role in human intelligence. As ridiculous as this might sound, give Kamin credit for realizing full well that the true nature of the environmentalist position virtually requires such maximalism.

That some of this environmentalist crowd has now lined up behind the genetic hypothesis for homosexuality is truly a mistake of historic proportions. Even the most casual of onlookers would realize that they cannot, in one breath, argue this position when the subject is homosexuality and then in the next moment dismiss any possibility that genetics plays a significant role in either individual or (gasp!) racial intelligence. Perhaps they don't see it yet, but these nurturists have invited into their intellectual citadel an ominous large Trojan Horse.

On his radio talk show Pat Buchanan, accompanied by his leery sidekick, Barry Lynn, devoted an hour to a consideration of the decision of the Colorado Supreme Court to overturn the anti-gay rights ordinance state voters had resoundingly approved. So much for democracy! The first half-hour was consumed by an interview with a representative of one of those innumerable gay and lesbian organizations, a certain Ms. Goldberg, who was absolutely thrilled to death by the Court's decision. The rest of the segment was devoted to arguing with a representative of the group that had initially sponsored the overturned initiative.

True to form, Lynn laced into this guy for his audacious attempt to torpedo the civil rights of gays and lesbians. All pretty familiar stuff. When the debate turned to the issue of the possible genetic causes of homosexuality, Lynn argued that the likelihood of genetic origins made the case of the anti-queers completely invalid. Since homosexuals could not help being homosexuals, their claim to civil rights precisely paralleled the historical precedent established by blacks. On the other hand, the anti-gay spokesman, who took a basically religious view of the matter, maintained that gays had chosen their behavior—and thus could "unchoose" it whenever they wished.

On his radio talk show Pat Buchanan, accompanied by his leery sidekick, Barry Lynn, devoted an hour to a consideration of the decision of the Colorado Supreme Court to overturn the anti-gay rights ordinance state voters had resoundingly approved. So much for democracy! The first half-hour was consumed by an interview with a representative of one of those innumerable gay and lesbian organizations, a certain Ms. Goldberg, who was absolutely thrilled to death by the Court's decision. The rest of the segment was devoted to arguing with a representative of the group that had initially sponsored the overturned initiative.

True to form, Lynn laced into this guy for his audacious attempt to torpedo the civil rights of gays and lesbians. All pretty familiar stuff. When the debate turned to the issue of the possible genetic causes of homosexuality, Lynn argued that the likelihood of genetic origins made the case of the anti-queers completely invalid. Since homosexuals could not help being homosexuals, their claim to civil rights precisely paralleled the historical precedent established by blacks. On the other hand, the anti-gay spokesman, who took a basically religious view of the matter, maintained that gays had chosen their behavior—and thus could "unchoose" it whenever they wished.

Do the liberal-minority coalitioners have any idea what a colossal blunder they make by accepting the genetic theory of homosexuality, while denouncing genetic influences on all other aspects of human behavior? The ferocity of their attacks against even the most modest claims by the hereditarians testify to the extreme fakeness of their whole position. The ne plus ultra environmentalist, Jewish Marxist Leon Kamin, makes the utterly preposterous claim that there is no compelling evidence that heredity plays any role in human intelligence. As ridiculous as this might sound, give Kamin credit for realizing full well that the true nature of the environmentalist position virtually requires such maximalism.

That some of this environmentalist crowd has now lined up behind the genetic hypothesis for homosexuality is truly a mistake of historic proportions. Even the most casual of onlookers would realize that they cannot, in one breath, argue this position when the subject is homosexuality and then in the next moment dismiss any possibility that genetics plays a significant role in either individual or (gasp!) racial intelligence. Perhaps they don't see it yet, but these nurturists have invited into their intellectual citadel an ominously large Trojan Horse.
The following passage occurs in a special issue of Taipan (Fall, 1994), a financial newsletter published in Baltimore: "Suppose the Nazis had won WWII. Most of the world is occupied by German soldiers. They assault people when they wish, kill people they don't even know, without provocation...break into homes and seize property." Similar statements have frequently appeared in the press worldwide.

There was never, so far as I know, a Nazi plan to invade the New World. The idea that German soldiers were sufficiently numerous to occupy "most of the world" is absurd. Only in Hollywood films like Schindler's List do German fighting men go about assaulting "people when they wish." As the French can testify, German soldiers were extremely correct in dealing with French civilians—unlike Americans who were responsible for a large number of rapes, even a few murders.

As for the charge that German soldiers killed people they didn't even know, how well did Allied airmen know the people they incinerated when they pressed the bomb button in a Flying Fortress or a Lancaster at 30,000 feet? On occasion Germans did shoot hostages, just as the British did in Iraq and Bengal and the Americans did in Vietnam. But this was always in response to resistance fighters in civilian clothes who were encouraged by the Allies to polarise the population by provoking the enemy. Fighting out of uniform is against the Geneva Convention. When the Germans tried it at the end of the war, their "werewolves" were shot out of hand by the Allies. In any event, people done in by Germans were certainly not killed "without provocation."

It is true that the Germans deliberately bombed our civilian population (about 60,000 Brits were killed), but the hysterical media never informed us that the British bombing of civilians in Berlin and Bremen had incited the Krauts to respond in kind. The looting of art works alone makes Goering's purchase of a few masterpieces at favourable prices in France and elsewhere look like child's play. Note that the German army executed its soldiers for stealing even so small an object as a clock right up to the end of the war. That was never the practice of the Allied armies.

Breaking into homes and seizing property was standard practice on the European continent by Allied troops during and after the war. American and British troops "liberated" enormous quantities of goods in occupied Germany following the country's surrender. The looting of art works alone makes Goering's purchase of a few masterpieces at favourable prices in France and elsewhere look like child's play. Note that the German army executed its soldiers for stealing even so small an object as a clock right up to the end of the war. That was never the practice of the Allied armies.

So far I have only referred to the behaviour of the Western Allies. Owing to the refusal of Stalin to permit access by the Red Cross to German prisoners of war and his decision to regard all Russians taken prisoner as traitors, the war in Russia was particularly cruel. Widespread shooting by the Germans of guerrillas out of uniform undoubtedly took place, but there is no evidence of the Germans killing people at random without provocation. On the other hand, an enormous body of evidence proves that the Russians in Eastern Europe, and particularly in Germany, went in for rape, plunder and murder on a huge scale. The decision of the people of Ruthenia (western Ukraine) to raise a monument to the SS after the fall of the Iron Curtain indicates what the SS was protecting them from.

The Allies never regarded the degradation of German women as one of their war aims. Yet the only food allowed into Germany at the end of the war was for Allied troops and ex-prisoners of the concentration camps. As a result, countless German women were driven to prostitution to feed their families.

Rape in the American army was largely the work of minority-types from the big U.S. cities, though I have personally met two Americans who murdered prisoners in Europe and a Brit who murdered a prisoner in North Africa. Jews, like the late Robert Maxwell (alias Ian Hoch), delightedly recolled murdering prisoners.

Needless to say, Germans were by no means blameless. Cities like Warsaw, Rotterdam and Belgrade, which stood in the way of advancing German armies, were largely destroyed. But when German crimes are put in context, they look pretty mild by comparison with those of the Allies. Germans undoubtedly murdered prisoners from the Norfolk regiment at Dunkirk, but it now appears that German prisoners had been murdered before the surrender of the British troops. All Allied troops knew that if they were captured by the Germans, they were far more likely to live through the war than if captured by the Japanese. The vast majority of Allied POWs survived the war in German camps, whereas very large numbers of German prisoners were deliberately starved to death by order of Eisenhower. Isn't it time we set the record straight?

Dr. Ed Fields, editor of The Truth at Last, has done us all a considerable service by publishing an article, "What Homosexuals Actually Did!" As my readers may have divined, I went to an English public school. Many Americans, rather to my surprise, suppose that I must therefore have had some sort of homosexual encounter. Truth to tell, I didn't even know there were any homosexual goings-on at my school until several years after I had left, when an acquaintance pointed the finger retrospectively at a small group in a particular house of that school. Even so, it may not have amounted to more than a few aesthetes discussing the arts. After all, we had holidays, during which we met other people's sisters, so we knew girls socially and could fall in love with them at a distance like mediaeval troubadours. Even today, when there are a few obvious queers teaching at the great English public schools, my young informants from those schools tell me that the few boys who respond to them are shunned and ostracised.

Mutual suspicion, alas, has long been the norm where relations between American and British culture are concerned. When the Kinsey report came out, we were surprised to learn that 10% of Americans had indulged in homosexual activity, but supposed this must be the flip side of the red-blooded American male. Kinsey's estimates were ridiculously exaggerated, but they helped boost taggots into positions of power. At American colleges, where PC rules supreme, students are now stigmatised for shunning known fairies. At George Mason University it is "harassment" to flush when a known homo touches your arm.

There is much more in Dr. Fields' article, and I am sure he will supply you with a copy if you subscribe to his publication, The Truth at Last, which costs only $15 a year (P.O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061).
Stendhal described love as a process of “crystallization,” by which he meant an incremental process of perception which finally solidifies into love. Stendhal erred.

Love and its emotional twin, hate, are more like impulsive precipitates than the end products of lengthy processes of “crystallization.”

Jewish teacher-writer Noam Chomsky tells of an experience he once had in Israel. (I paraphrase from memory, so don’t hold me to every anecdotal jot and spittle.)

“Where is the prime minister?” Chomsky asked.

“Oh,” came the casual response, “she’s in America collecting the checks.”

So there you have it, the precipitate which crystallized for me the tumorous, wart-bog relationship of Israel with the U.S. Surely, despite all the Zionist propaganda, we have little else in common with the Jewish state, except for an extortionate, shotgun marriage of inconvenience. A million conscious and subconscious impressions climax and congeal instantly into a Billy Crystal nacht, one loves to hate.

When Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin sneaked into Washington in November to use his juice to short-circuit Jesse Helms’s alternating current with Congress, I clutched my wallet, hid my first-born and dispatched truckloads of Sodium Pentothal to the N.Y. Times and the Washington Post.

He’s in Washington to collect the check!

Do these Israeli money-grubbers ever come for anything else?

How come this angel of death never passes us over? How come these dolé-grabbing dybbuks always out-Herod Herod in hatermongering and in passing the hat in a Congress which Jews then high-hat as soon as they have “collected the check”?

In 1983, Menaphleg Begin also came a-begging and sand-bagged Ronnie Reagan, that great barroom broker and brawler, into blindsiding 242 Marines in Lebanon.

To satisfy yet another bloody Mideast Eastern “peace process,” Begin insisted that Reagan dispatch the Marines before he would withdraw Israeli troops from Lebanon. (Eleven years later Jews still occupy a “security zone” in that bedeviled country.)

He’s gone to collect the check!

So when do we get a payback? Do the lives of Americans count for anything when we tally the score? Will that score ever be tallied?

Incredibly, Rabin now wants Clinton to station U.S. soldiers on the stolen Golan Heights.

He’s gone to collect the check!

With typical generosity Rabin also came a-begging for the Palestinians. Toss Arafat a bone of a few hundred million to alleviate the pressures of squalor and rage built up over 45 years. Bribe the Palestinians into peace the way we bribed the Egyptians.

Much as I sympathize with the Palestinians, my reaction is, “Let the Arabs do it.” Why else did we bomb Baghdad if not to promote democracy in Saudi Arabia and gold bathroom fixtures in Kuwait?

Was it only a coincidence that Rabin showed up to “collect the check” right after that high-powered hypocrite, Jesse Helms, grabbed the helm of Foreign Relations in his grubby hands? After inveighing against foreign aid for decades, was it a coincidence that Jesse exempted Israel from his righteous budgetary wrath, immediately after Rabin appeared to check up on whether or not he would still be able to “collect the check”?

Israelis have committed more “ethnic cleansing” than the Serbs ever did in Bosnia. So why don’t we bounce the check this time? And why don’t we passover the chance to celebrate Hanukkah or stage a Massada on the Golan Heights? And next time a Zionist bigshot comes to Washington to “collect the check,” why don’t we just chuck him under the chin and chuck him out?

I hope I have been crystal clear about politicians who crystallize upon our shores simply to collect another bloody check, and not to demonstrate the truth of Stendhal’s theory of love. Or hate.

Fascist Vladimir Jabotinsky is the ideological godfather of the Likud Party, whose bosses are or were Menaphleg Begin, Yit- suck Shamir and Benjamin (Yahoo) Netanyahu. In January 1941, shameless Shamir & Co. actually wrote to Hitler & Co., offering to “advance” the Nazi cause, by making common cause against the Brits in Palestine, in exchange for economic and military aid.

History does not report that Hitler’s response was an incredulous sneer. Maybe he was too conversant with Hebrew chutzpah to take the Likudnik correspondence seriously. The letters are in the archives. Anyone interested should check Zionism in the Age of the Dictators by Lenni Brenner and The Fateful Triangle by Noam Chomsky. Both authors, by the way, are echt Jewish.

How come this one-sided, miscarried messalliance is not more widely reported? Obviously, except for full-blooded types like Trotsky, Zinoviev, Radek, Kamenev and Bela Kun, the only part-Hebrew fascist of recent memory was Nikolai Lenin. So why shouldn’t I speak of AshkeNazi Jews?

The American media now have mounted a campaign to discredit Hamas as a “terrorist” organization because it opposes the Zionist occupation of southern Lebanon and retaliates against the murder, kidnapping and dispossession of Muslims by the saintry forces of the Chosen.

Does Hamas comfort the sick, feed the hungry and provide medical care for dispossessed Arabs in the occupied territories? Of course it does. It provides all the services which occupying Zionists do not. Since many of these dispossessed Muslims support Hamas, it must be obliterated. But how?

By cutting off its funds from America, that’s how. Well-meaning Muslims in America may be donating money for “humanitarian” purposes, but aren’t medical supplies weapons? So now the FBI will investigate the sources of these misguided “humanitarian” contributions.

The late racist rabbi Meir Kahane made a career of commuting to America from Tel Aviv to raise blood money for “humanitarian” purposes in Israel. How much money do AIPAC members slip annually to the Jewish state for the fabrication of “humanitarian” bombs and rubber bullets to blow the kids of the Intifada away?

How many billions of American tax money have been donated to Israel for “humanitarian” purposes, like planting fanatic Hebrew illegals on the West Bank?

Although truckloads of money flow into Israel from Jews around the world, the “impartial” American media are incensed because American Muslims are donating a few bucks to Hamas!

V.S. STINGER
Report from the Darkening Tip

When Chief Mangosuthu Buthelezi and his Zulus walked out of Parliament on February 22 their action was generally regarded as the most serious challenge to Mandela so far. The Sunday Times warned, "Chief Buthelezi is on a perilous path. The American Civil War arose in similar circumstances of power struggle between regional and central governments."

A few days before the walkout, Chief Buthelezi had informed Mandela that if the promised international mediation was not forthcoming, there would be war. This warning was contained in a document the Zulu chief had previously issued to all members of the cabinet and the Inkatha Freedom Party, of which he is the leader. At last report the IFP was undecided whether to make its provisional two-week walkout a permanent one.

In a leading article on February 23, the Johannesburg Star, the influential English daily, stated that a year ago South Africa's prognosis was worse than grim, but the expected calamity turned to elation due to two simple factors: (1) General Constand Viljoen and his Freedom Front had "defused the white right-wingers"; (2) Zulus had given their support to the new state. The Star added, "The narrowness of the salvation is often and conveniently forgotten."

Since February 22 the Afrikaans newspaper, Beeld, has warned its readers that they must be under no illusions. As far as the economy is concerned, matters will not improve this year. "Rising interest rates are going to hit hard."

In the meantime crime has become so serious and widespread that Mandela decided to send the army and additional policemen to the worst crime-ridden areas in an effort to contain the violence and seize the stockpiles of illegal arms.

On February 28, The Citizen reported that during the previous weekend 38 people were murdered in the Witwatersrand area, almost 400 autos were stolen and close to 600 housebreakings and thefts were reported to the police. The number of arrests was minimal.

National Party supporters of former President F.W. de Klerk are finding it increasingly difficult to maintain an attitude of goodwill towards Nelson Mandela and his ANC. Even de Klerk's wife, Marika, has been sharply critical of South Africa's black President.

On February 25 the government dispatched police and defence force troops to Umtata in the Eastern Cape to put down a revolt by heavily armed homeland police who had blockaded the town. Tear gas and shots were fired from helicopters. Some ground troops used mortars.

General Constand Viljoen, leader of the Freedom Front, has announced he intends to visit Mozambique to explore the possibility of settling white farmers there. He is being sharply criticised for encouraging a migration that would allow the land of Afrikaner farmers to fall into the hands of blacks. The Institute for Agricultural Policy in Pretoria, a private research organization, said that by implying South Africa had too many farmers General Viljoen was supporting the ANC and its land redistribution policy.

Formerly regarded as a right-winger, General Viljoen was responsible for another surprise. At an international press conference in Cape Town he said that, although his Freedom Front now represents Afrikaners, it is possible that it can change in the future and welcome aboard Afrikaans speakers. This would mean the welding together of Afrikaners, who are of white racial origin, and the Cape Coloureds, who mostly speak Afrikaans, but are racially mixed and mostly of Hottentot, Bushmen and slave descent.

The supposedly right-wing General does not view himself and his supporters as members of a political party opposing the Marxist-tilted African National Congress. "I think we realize that we need to work with the ANC and we are prepared to do that," he said in an interview with The Star. Soon afterward, the General made it clear that what his supporters had in mind was not a people's republic for the Afrikaners, but something akin to a province in a unified nation!

Dr. Ferdie Hartzenberg, leader of the Conservative Party, has criticised Mandela's vague promises of prosperity and job creation as utopian. So far he has given no concrete indication of how he intends dealing with the ever worsening political situation. At the same time, he has done nothing to strengthen investors' confidence, has not explained how he is going to contain violence and crime, and has demonstrated that the government hasn't a clue as to how to satisfy the wild claims of the radicals. Most significant, according to Dr. Hartzenberg, the government is powerless to protect the basic rights of the different indigenous nations and communities, black, brown and white. South Africa has a population of about 33 million people speaking 13 different languages. Dr. Hartzenberg warned that his country is actually sitting on a time bomb.

In a newspaper interview The Citizen, the Conservative leader accused the government of President Mandela and his ANC of denationalizing our nation. The onslaught against us will take place in the areas of our language, our education, our symbols and our heroes... The Afrikaner nation would be pushed out of the civil service and other areas of the labour market and they would have to face land reform and restitution. Lawlessness and anarchy would continue to be the order of the day.

In a leading article on January 24, Die Burger, the oldest newspaper in South Africa, stated that lawyers were shocked when informed that Mandela was already preparing legislation to abolish the death penalty.

The next day, Beeld, a staunch supporter of the National Party and de Klerk, asserted that the deep-seated distrust Mandela felt for the white Deputy President, especially regarding violence perpetrated by the security forces, was at the bottom of the crisis in the government of National Unity. It is becoming clearer day by day that the chances of this so-called government having a second term in office are slim indeed. Even among the Coloured people and those of Indian descent the conviction is growing that very little has changed since April of last year.

No matter how much it is downplayed abroad, South Africa is in the throes of a deepening turmoil such as it has never known since the Dutchman, Jan van Riebeeck, landed in Table Bay in 1652, when he established a supply station on the shores of what the Portuguese discoverer, Bartholomew Diaz, had in 1497 called Cabo de los Tormentos (Cape of Storms).
Canada. The anti-racism secretariat of Ontario funneled $150,000 in grants to black groups who angrily protested the performance of Show Boat in Toronto, asserting that it was a plot by Jews to negatively stereotype blacks. In retaliation the Yiddish producers of the musical are suing the Ontario government.

Canadian taxpayers may be gratified to hear that a black member of the Metro Toronto Police Services is being investigated for an off-the-cuff remark that "the Holocaust was not a racist act." What he meant, he explained later, was that it was an anti-religious act. The explanation was not accepted. The probe continues.

Horribile dictu, a white supremacist planned to walk into the offices of the Canadian Jewish Congress and "take some people out." This hot tip was passed on by a mole to the Security Intelligence Review Committee, which reports to Parliament. The double agent was Grant Bristow, who agitated for—not against—the right-wing Heritage Front and is now hiding in a safe house. While toiling as an informant, Bristow had been involved in a campaign of harassment and intimidation against Heritage Front opponents. The dope who was supposed to wipe out some Jews went off and robbed a doughnut shop instead.

The four most expensive homes in Vancouver are owned by Asians. They range in value from $7,558,000 (Canadian) to $5,544,000 (Canadian). How much of this money came from the drug trade, no one quite knows.

England. Bernie Cornfeld, one of the biggest Jewish swindlers of all time, whose mutual fund defrauded some 250,000 investors, died in London in February at age 66. After getting out of a Swiss jail, Cornfeld managed to keep enough of his stolen hoard to maintain a 40-room mansion in Beverly Hills. As late as 1992 he came up with a $500-million cash offer to buy MGM.

Northern Ireland. The Belfast ultra-Protestant monthly, The Orange Standard, observed that the Roman Catholic Church would be "one of the main beneficiaries" of a continued Hispanic influx into the U.S. Although some conservative Catholics are racially (or rather ethnically) conscious, there are Latinophile Catholic traditionalists who welcome the invasion of Hispanics on the ground that they will enrich the U.S. with their "family values." Some Catholic über alles clerics go further and say that the U.S. should become "more like Catholic Brazil." Maybe the American anti-Catholic "nativists" of the 1840s and the 1920s had a point.

Sweden. Ingvar Kamprad, founder of the IKEA furniture chain, came under fire last year for having had ties to Per Engdahl, one of Sweden's most outspoken post-WWII fascists, who died last year. The friendship was unveiled in the disposition of Engdahl's personal effects, which included a number of incriminating letters. When Kamprad was 17, he had written to Engdahl asking for a subscription to a pro-Nazi newspaper, The Road Forward, which printed articles calling for the extermination of Jews. Later, Engdahl attended Kamprad's wedding.

When the news about Engdahl broke, Jewish "monitors" swarmed through IKEA outlets to spread the racist tidings to randomly chosen customers. The 68-year-old millionaire, confronted with his ancient misdeeds, promptly rolled over and issued a mea culpa press release he entitled, "The Greatest Mistake of My Life." Against the wishes of his long-standing Arab customers, he promised to open IKEA stores in Israel. He also was ungenerously enough to blame his now abandoned fascist leanings on his poor departed grandmother, a Sudeten German who, he claimed, had encouraged his dreadful Germanophilia.

Holland. Nine houses adjoining the Anne Frank House in Amsterdam have been appropriated by the city fathers for demolition to make room for a $1.5-million expansion of the section that contains the Secret Annex. Some 600,000 visitors tramp through Anne's domicile yearly. Various productions of The Diary of Anne Frank (it's a play, too!) are being staged all over Holland this year to commemorate the deliverance of the Dutch from the nasty Nazis.

France. Now that François Mitterrand, stricken by cancer, is at the sunset of his busy political career, Pierre Péan, a French journalist, has written a book detailing the French President's collaboration with the Vichy regime and his wartime links with a mysterious hooded band, La Cagoule. Mitterrand is also being accused of protecting executives of L'Oréal, the cosmetics firm, from lawsuits brought by one David Frydman who is charging some officials of the firm with forgery and racial discrimination.

Mitterrand went on TV to say that he felt no qualms about his past and that France should not apologize to the victims of Vichy and their families. This sent waves of consternation through the chosen. Frydman asserts there are many more shadows in Mitterrand's dark past and promises to bring them out into the light of day.

A Japanese designer, Rei Kawabuko, presented a new collection of pajamas at a Paris fashion show. The European Jewish Congress was aghast. The pajamas had stripes that reminded Jews of the uniforms worn by WWII concentration camp inmates. After profuse apologies from all concerned, the pajama line was dropped like a hot potato.

From a subscriber. Eurodisney offered to fund the replacement of a stained glass window in a French cathedral in July if Mickey and Minnie Mouse were included among the crowd of little children rushing to Jesus, the window's pictorial theme. When I first read about it, I thought this was an April Fool's joke. Alas, 'tis true! The French were in an uproar. Justifiably so. Makes me embarrassed to be an American. The worst of it is, I can't say, "Oh, well, they aren't really Americans at Disney. They're all Jews." Imagine the uproar then.

Germany. Organizers of a blockbuster exhibit of Russian and Eastern European art in Bonn fast fall took great pains to include a section called "The Jewish Presence" where, according to the show's directors, "reverence is given to the Jewish culture annihilated by the Holocaust." Because the Jewish artists were given their own separate area, Jews screamed that once again they were being "ghettoized."

John Sacks' book, An Eye for an Eye, which documents the brutality and sadism practiced by Polish Jews on Germans herded into concentration camps after WWII, was banned after 6,000 copies had been sold. Though some 60,000 to 80,000 Germans died in these Jewish death camps, spineless German authorities felt the book might tend to diminish the "uniqueness" of the Holocaust. Despite this act of brazen censorship, despite that one printed word criticizing the Holocaust will land you in a German jail, despite the recent banning of two right-
Elsewhere

wing political parties, the Western media still have the gall to call Germany a democracy.

The Jewish population in Germany numbered 27,711 in 1989; 45,466 in 1995.

The German family, whose engineers and bricklayers installed dozens of crematoria in Nazi concentration camps (Topf and Sons), is trying to recoup millions of dollars in property and assets confiscated by East German Stalinists. The factory, villa and parkland in Thuringia that Topf's heirs are trying to reclaim is valued at $5 million. Maintaining that "price tags are irrelevant" (imagine that!), Jewish leaders claim that returning the property to the Topf heirs would be "a moral obscenity."

More than 1.2 million claims have been filed with 216 restitution agencies for 2.7 million real estate parcels in former East Germany. About 45,000 of these property claims have been filed by Jews.

Loose translation of part of a recent letter to the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung (Feb. 13, 1995): Swiss TV, some years ago, aired a documentary, Extermination of the Kulaks. Viewers could see Red soldiers in their old uniforms picking up Russian farmers who had been frozen to death and throwing their bodies onto wagons.

A few years later, German TV broadcast film clips of the Allied liberation of German concentration camps. What did I see to my horror? Exactly the same film clips of the dead Kulaks! Only this time the corpses were supposed to be dead inmates of German concentration camps.

Russia. Jewish movies, art events and plays are popping up all over Moscow and other large Russian cities. The Zhids can't be quaking in their shoes too much, what with all the high profiles they are acquiring. Audiences at the Cinema Center in Moscow laughed hysterically at a film by Leonid Gaidai, "Nineteen Eighty Four," a Russian version of George Orwell's novel. The movie moves to Warsaw after the upheaval and mass emigration of 1989. Every one had their own count because dividing was or was not a Jew had become an arcane Polish hobby.

Jews moving to Warsaw after WWII were warned, "A Jew alone is a dead Jew."

Israel. A get is the Jewish bill of divorce. Many Israeli couples don't bother to get the get in addition to their civil divorce. This makes the children of the mother's second marriage and their descendants illegitimate according to Jewish law. Rabbis therefore cannot marry them. Orthodox hardliners are frantically about this issue. Instaurationists may remember the old Israeli coot who died in jail because he wouldn't speak the words granting his wife a divorce. The get is a 12-line handwritten document that takes less than two weeks to obtain and costs $350.

Rather than use Arabs, Israeli farmers are importing Asians for temporary farm labor. They pay them the equivalent of $15 a day. Unlike the Arabs, the Asians are hard-working and uncomplaining, say their employers. The Jewish newspaper, Forward, with its rabidly pro-labor socialist origins and slant, hypocritically enthuses, "It is a compliment to Israel's economy that the country has a growing number of them. . . ." More than 25,000 are now working in the Zionist state legally; many more illegally. Jews are known for shunning manual labor, but like to stir up unrest among people who don't shun it. But Jews in Israel don't always do what diaspora Jews do abroad.

Algeria. According to Algerian fundamentalists hijacked the French passenger jet a few months ago and it was revealed that they planned to fly to Paris and drop explosives on the city, the world press hemmed and hawed. When French anti-terrorist forces successfully boarded the aircraft and killed the criminals, the press celebrated. But when, in frustration and rage, avenging Algerian radicals seized and murdered four innocent French Catholic priests, neither the print nor the electronic media seemed particularly interested. Perhaps we should be thankful that the dead were Catholic priests. If the victims had been Jews, the U.S. government might well have tried to placate the outraged media by embarking on punitive air strikes against Algeria.

Japan. Even in Japan, Holocaust critics get the heave-ho. Marco Polo, a glossy mag for young adults with a 250,000 circulation, ran an article that had this to say about the Holocaust:

There are some major doubts regarding the Holocaust and the massacre of Jews by the Nazis. There is no doubt that Jews went to horrible deaths, but(scant evidence that they were systematically killed in gas chambers.

The reaction was so swift and powerful that the publishers decided to shut the magazine down. How can Jews have such a clout in a country practically devoid of Jews? Go ask the Simon Wiesenthal Center of Los Angeles, which went to work and persuaded Volkswagen, Cartier and Philip Morris, companies that advertise in Marco Polo, to pull all future ads.