THE END OF THE WORLD
In keeping with Instauration’s policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

I just caught the tag end of a Frontline show about a guy who battered and ultimately killed his wife. All the action took place in a Denver suburb and I didn’t see any minorities around. That got me thinking. In preparing topics for future programs, the staff comes up with issues of greatest interest to liberals. Yet, when the staffers seek out an example of, say, wife battering, they take their cameras to almost pristine white areas. How many times have we seen liberal problems transposed into white, middle-class problems in a largely white area such as a Denver suburb? Is Frontline afraid of showing a black Boston wife-beater? Has it become a liberal formula to move an inner city issue to a white area to “prove” the problem also exists there?

In regard to the suicide whose pockets were picked almost before he was dead, remember the Cocoanut Grove fire in Boston in 1942? As the bodies were being laid out in the street, people were already stripping the jewelry from them — even though the skin often came off with a ring.

I know a typical nutty liberal. He goes around promoting the construction of new libraries. I quietly suggested to him, “We don’t need more libraries, we need less book banning. We need to see that such important scholarly works as The Hoax of the Twentieth Century and The Dispossessed Majority are displayed in our existing libraries.” He blinked uncomprehendingly.

Canadian subscriber

There is currently a nice mudboy band out there called Public Enemy. It is getting very popular and being viewed with excessive tolerance by the nice queer white critics of music. It evokes a kind of “rap.” The message is that white people should be killed because they lie, cheat, steal and kill around with dogs. Can you imagine an all-white group getting away with such music?

How about talk-host mortar Morton Downey Jr. for our next Majority Renegade? Many construe him as a rock-bottom conservative, but, under those false colors, he goes into the fray like a lion and comes out like a lamb, usually several times on each show. When David Duke was on, Downey was at his most savage. Just what we need, another professional camp artist and obfuscator.

The black man and white woman thing is being pushed more and more in the media. I am sure California leads the nation in such couplings. From my experience over the last 15 years or more, many salt and pepper duos arrive as social refugees from other parts of the country. As a cab driver in San Francisco during the mid 70s, I picked up a white woman in her late twenties or early thirties. I was very wary of her initially, as I was of most white women of my generation in the Bay Area. As we talked, she mentioned Berkeley, where I lived for three years in the early 70s. Then she dropped a bombshell. She said that she got propositioned so much at a Telegraph Avenue bistro by black guys that she got super turned off. When she politely rejected their advances, their standard reply was, “What’s the matter, baby, you ain’t prejudiced, are you?” She got so upset, she was moving to Alaska.

The article in the September issue about beauty queen Bess Myerson, who has brought such dishonor to her title, rang a bell with me. Ever notice that, however good they have it, Jews never miss an opportunity to talk about how they’ve been persecuted and discriminated against? No matter how wealthy they are, no matter how comfortable their existence, no matter how great their success, it has all been due to overcoming severe odds and handicaps. Never mentioned is the Jewish network that boosted them along the path to prosperity.
According to my police department informants, the visionary schemes for affirmative action in the law enforcement agencies fail because the mud-colored aspirants lie on their employment applications in an absurd attempt to conceal minor criminal records that would not normally exclude them. They are quickly exposed by routine background checks and disqualified for submitting false information.

Why did two of my friends, a couple that produced the most intelligent child I have ever seen, have just one, then bring in a Chinese female as an exchange student? The husband said, “I don’t care what race or color people are as long as they are Christians.”

In August’s Safety Valve, a South African subscriber takes me to task for suggesting that Nordics, plus a dash of Mediterranean, produced Britain’s former greatness. Although Nordics (in the form of Celts) and Mediterraneans have lived in Britain for thousands of years, I’m reminded daily that there has been quite a bit of mixing of the two types. Nevertheless, I agree with much of what the South African subscriber says. It’s unfortunate, however, that he selects Roald Dahl as an example of the English/Germanic blend. As one of the world’s leading authorities on the Dahl family tree (I recently read the first two volumes of Dahl’s delightful autobiography, Boy and Going Solo), I can reveal that he is a blend of Norwegian and more Norwegian.

Brian Scott (Instauration, Sept. 1988) makes a very strong case for the great antiquity of racial differences in mankind, but fails to mention evidence from diachronic linguistics, which is perhaps as important as the human fossil records. Within major racial groups there are languages which are in no way cognate. A striking example among Caucasoids is the complete lack of cognate words (not to be confused with loan words) in the Indo-European languages on the one hand and the Semitic language on the other. Examples of this striking phenomenon can also be found in other races. Although the Chinese and the Japanese are both primarily and essentially Mongoloid (with some Caucasoid admixture in the case of the latter), their languages are not cognate and are even basically different in their manner of derivation of words and inflections. Such examples clearly demonstrate that physical differences in races are older, probably far older, than the development of any sort of sophisticated linguistic expression.

What if, in December 1984, after the Mondale-Ferraro disaster, I had written that in 1988 the Democrats would need an ethnic, Northeastern liberal governor at the top of the ticket? You would have replied that they needed a non-ethnic, Southern or Western moderate. You would have been right. What has happened to the Democrats, once the smartest politicians in the nation?

At last we know why Watergate was staged. President Nixon tried to thin out the Jewish concentration at the Bureau of Labor Statistics (and perhaps elsewhere). It cost him the presidency.

Caledoniaphiles will enjoy Scottish Firsts: Innovation and Achievement (Mainstream Publishing, Edinburgh, 1987) by Elspeth Wills. All the great Scots and their theories and inventions are crammed into 100 pages: e.g., marlame, the second law of thermodynamics, the theory of evolution (Alfred Russell Wallace, independently), pneumatic tires, penicillin, logarithms, the Bank of England and even the first nu-nu mice to be bred. I can accept all that, but I’m dubious about some of the great Europeans who are claimed to be of Scots descent: Peter the Great, Lermontov, Donizetti, de Gaulle and -- if you believe that a Scot is anyone who’s born on Scottish soil -- Pontius Pilate, amazingly. I think Elspeth Wills should stick with Telford, Kelvin, Watt, McAdam, Neil Armstrong, Maxwell, Lister, Fleming and the rest, since she’s on firmer ground there. If only the Scots could get a decent PR firm, the world would simply have to acknowledge their status as God’s Chosen People.

The “Farm Problem” is one of my pet peeves and a horrible example of American short-sightedness and lack of thinking. The basic problem is that the farmers are hiring city people to do their farm production. For example, farmers used to “grow” their own power (horsepower). In the 1920s, they began to buy tractors and fuel from the cities and put more than 8 million acres of productive land in cash crops to pay off their debts. Result: a surplus and low prices. The growth of the country eventually absorbed this extra production. Then, after WWII, farmers started to buy masses of artificial fertilizers. As of the mid 1950s, fertilizers accounted for 35% of crop production and there was a 10% crop surplus. Well, if the drain is small and the faucet big, if the faucet is turned on full, the bathtub will overwhelm. The solution: Turn off the faucet. Had fertilizer use been cut in half, there would have been no surplus problem.

Our cause would be better served by your publication if, wherever the words “Northern European” are used, the words “Unmixed European” were substituted.

A friend of mine is busy writing what he thinks will be a best-seller. But he’s having difficulty getting publishers enthusiastic about the short stories he’s written, including the latest, which, he tells me, is entitled, “Pardon Me Goy, Is That the Bergen-Belsen Choo-Choo?” I’d send him xeroxes of some tactful Instauration articles about race and he asked if I could send another page to complete an article that I’d (purposely) cut short. He’s by no means rich, but said he will “probably” take out a sub for Instauration.

Victor Serebriakoff, the international president of Mensa, reckons that the greatest crime of Adolf Hitler may turn out to be -- no, wrong guess -- “giving eugenics a bad name.”

Some time ago in Instauration there was a mention in “Thoughts from the White Tip” of Boer traditional music. When I went to record shops that specialize in foreign music and asked for South African albums, all I was shown were records by Zulus and other nowmities. Tell your readers if they want real South African music, Boer music, they can write to: Ronnie Graver, Central News Agency Ltd., P. O. Box 10799, 12-16 Laub St., New Centre, Johannesburg 10799, South Africa.

My Dad is an Anglo, one of seven brothers, all of whom were commissioned as aircraft pilots, three of them combat (Dad included). As a family and as individuals, they refused to fight their cousins in Europe -- for any reason -- during the great ruination of specialized culture known commonly as WWII. They got to fight in the Orient. I asked Dad point blank if we should have gone out and voted for Dukakis, hoping that he would really screw things up, and thus provide us with an opportunity for a showdown sooner, rather than later. He said very calmly, “No, because we must never give one inch of territory. A write-in vote for David Duke would have been the appropriate thing to do.”

I live in the heart of town, but noise pollution this past summer was so dense it was actually making me wince, gnash my teeth and pace the floor. The constant hum of motors is one thing, and fairly tolerable. But cars that start and stop with a screeching of tires, motorcyclists who park along the curb and gun their motors, car radios blasting the night air, and gangs of obscenity-howling “youths” reached noise levels I never heard before. My neighbors told me that they had to resort to sleeping pills, heart pills and tranquilizers, as well as ear plugs. The adults of this community have simply ceded their city streets to the least civilized element among us. Calls to the police were useless. My point is that no civilization worthy of the name would produce such young people. Adults no longer try to exercise any control over them. Instead, these kids have imposed their standards (or lack of same) on the adults.

A message to the enemy: We are as an elementary force of nature and we cannot be stopped even should you tomorrow lop off a hundred of our brighter heads. We will bring you down.

The article in Instauration (Sept. 1988), “French Politics Hits a New Low,” is a superb achievement of clarity and objectivity. I very much doubt that any U.S. or British publication has been able to disentangle with such a perfect and complete accuracy this year’s election campaigns in my country.
Two football seasons ago, Washington Redskins fans were stunned to find their star quarterback, Joe Theismann, withering in agony from a defensive lineman's leg-snapping blow, an injury which eventually led to the white New Jerseyite's retirement. Just as quickly, fans became wild about the play of understudy replacement Jay Schroeder, a blond youth with wide Central European features writ large across his youthful face. On Schroeder's unerring passing, the team sailed to glory. Last year, however, rookie luck faded. As winter frost settled over the disconsolate city, head coach Joe Gibbs made a fateful decision: yank the kid Jerseyite's retirement. Just as quickly, fans placed Jay Schroeder, a blond youth with

statement, elevated the black quarterback's acclaim, and bring in the huge, black form of veteran Doug Williams. Silent Doug, a big-grin type a year earlier, felt left out. This year he was "uncooperatively" in pre-season. More accurately, Schroeder is another victim of black

"We are," he declares, "a nation of Pakistani shopkeepers." British subscriber

During the Yuletide season several years ago, a Jewish store advertised a line of X-rated holiday cards. What do you know? There wasn't a single filthy Hanukkah card in the lot. All the porn art was devoted to Christmas themes.

Congratulations for printing the anti-farmer article (Sept. 1988). Living on the land is obviously healthier, but that doesn't dignify the whole system of subsidized agribusiness, either in North America or in Europe. That article on Mother Eve was very timely. I was pleased also that Cézanne was mentioned in the same breath with Molière and Joan of Arc. I saw a couple of wonderful Cezañnes, the "Woman in Blue" and a still life with oranges, at an exhibition of French paintings from Russia at the London National Gallery a few months ago. My wife and I spent much of our time at the Tate (Turners and Constables), the Wallace Collection (Frans Hals's "Laughing Cavalier"), as well as the National and Portrait Galleries. The British Academy, however, was a trendy washout.

Belgian subscriber

A wickedly hilarious short story by Douglas Olson (August 1988). Also a fascinating piece -- I'd expect nothing else -- from Sir John Nobull about the International Currency Review. Always nice to know that we have friends in high places.

During the 60s and 70s, there was a little joke that the ideal presidential candidate would be a colored nun named Sister Ginsburg. By Go! I think the Episcopalians almost got her with the election of their first female bishop, the black Barbara C. Harris.

Interesting letter from a Swedish subscriber (July 1988) about the Iowa teacher who divided her white classes into blue-eyed and brown-eyed groups to teach them about "prejudice." I had to watch that TV documentary even though prejudice wasn't part of my psychology course, thanks to my half-caste lecturer. If faces mirror a person's soul, then the teacher was a nasty piece of work. Pinched features, tight lips, aggressive mannerisms and a general demeanor that spelled hate. She clearly relished running people down, bossing them about and even described her indoctrinated school children -- second graders -- as "little Nazis." Funnily enough, I suspect she herself is a hyperracist towards blacks, especially as she was still living in a town populated only by whites. My guess is that she projects her racial prejudice and intolerance onto others.

Instauration must review The Partnership: The Secret Association of Bernard Berenson and Joseph Duveen (Bodley Head, London, 1987) by the investigative journalist Colin Simpson. Berenson, the critic and Duveen, the art dealer, collaborated in swindling art collectors out of millions of pounds. Both were Jews. (As an aside, another recent book on the blue-eyed,auburn-haired Berenson -- written by a Jew -- suggests that he was "anti-Semitic.")

Inklings (Aug. 1988) nearly scored a bull's-eye with its valuable piece about Martin Bernal. Fact is, he's by no means "an Englishman with a Spanish name." In his own words, "The scattered Jewish components of my ancestry would have given nightmares to assessors trying to apply the Nuremberg Laws. I became intrigued -- in a Romantic way -- by this part of my 'roots.' I started looking into ancient Jewish history. . . ." That, he explains in the preface to Black Athena, was how he first became interest-

ed in the "Afroasiatic roots" of Greek civilization.

British subscriber

When I was a kid, there was something about eugenics in our school books. Not nowadays, because the liberals are running things. They do pretty well considering that they don't have any brains.

In the early 60s I was in Spain. Talking with people other than Americans, I became aware that "Great Man" Hemingway was thoroughly disliked. The general attitude of Spaniards was, "What have we done to deserve this?" Ernest's everlasting fascination with bullfighters prompted a good portion of the public to wonder if he wasn't trying in a clumsy way to disguise his homosexual proclivities. Many were convinced he was queer.

What is needed in our predicament and struggle is perspective. Webster's definition is, "The capacity to view things in their true relations or relative importance." Without perspective, some of us drop out, give up or entertain notions of hyperactivity. Most of your writers and many of the Safety Valve contributors seem to have perspective in varying degrees. One of the very best was Dr. Tripodi from years back. How many remember him? Then there was Cholly. Now we have Waspishly Yours, Satcom Sam and of course John Nobull. Douglas Olson is good, too. Doesn't perspective have room for humor? Don't want to overdo it, but what was wrong with the Willie and Mary cartoons! Did you ever think of allowing them to agree with Zip 787 in July. Those who objected to the cartoons will sooner or later find something or someone else they can't tolerate. I don't know if I'd want to trust them in a tight situation. Who or what are they afraid of?

Vic Olivir's predictions for the nation's future (Instauration, Sept. 1988) have an extremely strong chance of coming to pass. But it is not the 19th century being dragged into the 21st; it is the 18th century and the latter half of the 17th. If anyone in this land has any political ideas -- and that includes the clowns and fakers running it -- they are 18th-century ideas, and the roots thereof buried in the last half of the 17th. The ideas were supposed to work forever, though they collapsed in 1861 and have been massively compromised several other times since. But there they lie: our 18th century Declaration of Independence, Constitution, Bill of Rights, all hallowed and revered as so many shibbones of medieval saints and bottles of the Virgin's milk on display in 15th-century cathedrals. Along with this ideological baggage are many other residues of the late 18th century, including contributions of the French Revolution of that vintage; national flags, mass citizenship, mass conception, the entire flummery of 18th-century nationalist impulses which, in this century, aided by the technological and mechanical revolution, have seriously compromised the future of the white race. Conscription and the machine-gun in WWI and WWII have done far more to hasten the demise of the best white types than all other degenerative influences combined. You cannot make a workable racial social order out of 18th-century political ideas and 20th-century arms and machines. Another largescale mutual massacre by artificial antagonists within the 18th-century state system and its ideological spooks and compulsions, and there will not be much of anything left for instaurationists to ponder.
Raised in an idyllic white suburb of Philadelphia, I was only vaguely aware of the significance of black and Hispanic life until I moved to Washington (DC), even then 70% nonwhite. In discussing the racial situation with "the folks back home," I have repeatedly encountered misunderstanding, even hostility. For these good people living out their lives in the green-swarded suburbs, my antipathy toward integration reveals quite un-Christian priggishness. In their eyes, the alarm bells I raise are just too "subjective." Though it doubtless would be easy to level the same charge against such persons who haven't had to navigate the dangerously dark streets of our Capital City, it would be pointless. The important thing is that these very divergent perceptions of integration are widely held. People who live near nonwhites generally don't like them; people who don't, do. A practical inference is that racial awareness among the broad mass of "Majority whites is not likely to emerge until integration moves out of our great cities and spreads to the heartland. Only then could a "critical mass" of outrage arise -- be it subjectively or objectively inspired. Another inference is that we whites who see the future darkly have to gird ourselves for the painful marathon. It's likely that many a generation will have to come and go before a substantial political reaction emerges. But it's possible that time is not our unwavering ally. Should integration take such a pernicious hold that it saps the very strength needed to resist, a sense of futility might extinguish the fires of reaction. Doubtless that is exactly what liberals fervently wish.

An Instaurationist friend made the point, "We tend to be too interested in rewriting newspaper stories." Certainly, the things which have influenced me most in Instauration have been independent of the media, and people who have trained themselves to see straight can reinterpret automatically whatever the media disseminate. The trouble is that many new readers are not at that stage. It really is a revelation for them to see the news rewritten from our viewpoint, just as it gives some of them a big lift to see their views in the Safety Valve. "Say not the struggle naught availeth . . . ."

I returned home from holiday to learn of two major changes in Vancouver, B.C. The lieutenant-governor of British Columbia is a Chinese immigrant from Hong Kong who "made a fortune in real estate development." I looked forward to hearing about the man on Pat Burns' talk show on CJOR. However, the show no longer exists, as the station's owner, Jimmy Patterson, believes he can make more money with a rock-'n'-roll format. Pat Burns bore the stain of truthfulness.

Canadian subscriber

Bush is just one of the corks of the vacuum bottle called democracy. A healthy dose of oxygen would have awakened both candidates. His presidency will be the Presidency of Gestures.
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The West will enter deeper into the Age of Zionism, thanks to our spineless Christians, until the nonwhites take us over by the sheer weight of demography. Let's hear it for One World -- with One Victim. The victim you may guess.

Austrian subscriber

Finally, there is the release of the new biography of the late John Lennon by Albert Goldman. Goldman in the past has written biographies of Elvis Presley and comedian Lenny Bruce. In his ghoulish way, Goldman waited until after Lennon and Presley were dead (and therefore unable to defend themselves) to write his very scathing accounts of their private lives. Lawrence Devine, in a review of the Lennon biography for Knight-Ridder newspapers, writes that Goldman is only interested in reporting in great detail the most sordid actions of his subjects. Devine notes, "What made John Lennon a central figure in the musical mythology of the past quarter century? Do you suppose we will learn that in this book? What Goldman, as proved to be his wont, provides is what expiring minds want to know: How much dope, how many women, how much squalor . . . ." The Presley biography was even worse. Devine said it was a "notoriously mean-spirited book" and "sounds as if it were written by a man who despised Presley because he envied him." Not surprisingly, the only figure treated relatively fairly is Goldman's Lenny Bruce. Although Goldman included some of the sleazier details of Bruce's life in the book, he balanced them with encomiums about Lenny's comic ability. According to Devine, Lenny Bruce was a person "whom Goldman clearly thought was wonderful and worthwhile. He had some enthusiasm about Bruce the artist as well as Bruce the person. This is interesting because Bruce apparently is the only one of the three dead fellows who, when alive, gave Goldman the time of day."

According to Devine, Lenny Bruce was a person "whom Goldman clearly thought was wonderful and worthwhile. He had some enthusiasm about Bruce the artist as well as Bruce the person. This is interesting because Bruce apparently is the only one of the three dead fellows who, when alive, gave Goldman the time of day."

705

Northwestern Montana is a fantastic place to live. Even for a fellow raised in the South. And it's a great place for a discriminating bachelor, especially for a down-at-the-heel Instaurationist. Since mountainous regions always seem to be characterized by endemic poverty, even a substitute school teacher can make a decent living, comparatively speaking.

Perhaps Inmate Epsilon (July 1988) could offer some practical advice on how a white can avoid homosexual rape upon entering prison. I find it hard to believe that all the white inmates are just sitting around passively waiting for their turn to come around again. After all, it takes a punk to make a punk.

Zip Withheld

Even though we have now been assured that Mike the Greek never went to a shrink to get hooked up to jumper cables, you'll never convince me that Kitty's friends didn't. With her crowd, the routine answer to upsetting events is to "seek therapy." It's their religion.

550

There is just nothing like Instauration in the length and breadth of my reading experience. Each month is an electrification of the soul -- a reminder that out there somewhere are good and honest men who, seeing the world clearly, can compose a vision of it within the bounds of 16 pages. But why, oh why, are there so few of "you"?

220

The article on Archbishop Lefebvre (September 1988) could be read with benefit by many Catholics who think their Church is still Catholic. But then there are some of us who regard Lefebvre as the Pied Piper whose job is to lead the traditionalists back into the establishment fold. He seems to do the same dance-step as JP2: two steps forward, one step back.

774

This ad appeared in the classifieds in Popular Science (Nov. 1988, p. 146):

MORE out of life $3.00. Weisbecker, Maale Amos, ISRAEL 90966.
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Instauration is always saying we need a leader "pure as the driven snow." He would need charisma, brains, sincerity, decency, morality and of course be a Majority member. Despite the media's attempt to link Quayle in a sexual tryst with Paula Parkinson, they couldn't get any dirt on him then (or any time else). I understand he wasn't even interested in politics until he was 30, which means he didn't go through the usual hypocritical "political education" necessary to become a "successful (all things to all people) politician." He seems to have a solid family life with an intelligent and protective wife, and he certainly has charisma (witness the "gender gap" disappearing after his nomination). The only times he messed things up was when his "handlers" programmed him to death. He is racially one of us.

Although he doesn't appear to be blessed with a 200 IQ, he is pretty close to what we want.
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THE END OF THE WORLD

In the years 1963-64, which witnessed the cresting of Western civilization, a noted British astronomer and philosopher, Dr. Fred Hoyle, gave three lectures at the University of Washington in Seattle, and published them in a subsequent book, titled Of Men and Galaxies (Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1964).

This small codex contains a number of insights useful for understanding the crisis of planetary evolution and the imminence of planetary death. Let us, therefore, permit ourselves a few excerpts from the Hoyle of yesteryear:

It has often been said that, if the human species fails to make a go of it here on the Earth, some other species will take over the running. In the sense of developing artificial intelligence this is not correct. We have, or soon will have, exhausted the necessary physical prerequisites so far as this planet is concerned. With coal gone, oil gone, high-grade metallic ores gone, no species however competent can make the long climb from primitive conditions to high-level technology. This is a one-shot affair. If we fail, this planetary system fails so far as intelligence is concerned. The same will be true of other planetary systems. On each of them there will be one chance, and one chance only . . . .

The most remarkable phase in the history of our species, that in which we are now living, the upsurge from a primitive Stone Age to a sophisticated culture and technology, is not just a chance affair. Things must go this way. We are following an inevitable path, one that must have been followed many, many times on other planets . . . .

If in other places other species have already followed the difficult route ahead of us, then plainly it would be an enormous advantage to know exactly where the dangers lie. My suspicion is that ample information exists in what I might call a "galactic library" to show exactly what is going to happen to us if the world persists in following current policies.

It follows from Dr. Hoyle's statements that there must be not only planetary successes but also planetary failures -- and in large numbers. But if one reads the popular scientific futurological literature today, one finds nothing but happy endings predicted -- endings, that is, which make race mixers and haters of the white race happy. One rarely finds paragraphs even as mildly disturbing as those above. It is almost forbidden nowadays to suggest that the human species, and consequently this planetary system as a whole, is on the verge of catastrophic failure, since that might induce us to desist from "following current policies." Moreover, to hint that our life form may already have passed the point of no return will neither sell many books nor elect politicians of race-leveling governments.

In fact, however, the point of no return may indeed have already been passed. The almost completed, dramatic termination of non-human evolution by industrial societies could henceforth be partly reversed only by the reintroduction of many now virtually extinct species from zoos into preserves kept under perpetual military guard by incorruptible governments. And regarding human evolution, as Instauration has tirelessly pointed out, modern "democracies" East and West, with their iron-fisted egalitarianism, and the media-wielding Jew above all, have contributed immeasurably to the genetic degradation of the human species in its highest forms, and halted evolution in its tracks. In addition, what these forces have been unable to wreak, has been wrought by neurosis-generating Christianity in all its variations, as well as by other populous but less powerful religions. This in addition to the traditional corruption.

The stupifying truth about how lethal is the environmental devastation caused by global produce-and-consumerism is unpopular and unwelcome. What developer or politician really cares about such "externalities" as the growing possibility that nearly all of the world's tropical forests, together with their CO₂-absorbing capability, will have been mowed down by about the year 2025?

The global environment has already been so destabilized that no one is able to calculate how savagely, or where, the next "natural" disaster is likely to strike. Since the United States has prostituted itself to the Levantines and their passion for money as the highest good, the American electorate interprets every such disaster in monetary terms rather than in terms of global biology. It prefers to imagine that some mere economic prestidigitation will be able to repair the permanent damage to what took nature millions or billions of years to create. But, with all due respect to the cryonics crowd, you can't buy resurrection.

Back in the days when Americans still had a nominal say in the future of their land, they blew it by electing a mass of political hacks dedicated to destroying the white race and all its magnificent works. Today the die has been cast -- Aléa jacta est! Those who at that time selfishly consented to pollute their own bloodstream finally committed themselves to killing the planet and terminating any future it might have had, for their own immediate gratification. What does the suicidal hedonist care for others, human or non-human, who seek to live?

In a different quarter, by rejecting the evolutionary basis of their own genetic structure, the outright traitors among the whites have openly rejected their responsibility for evolution. Indeed, the very idea of evolution has been anathema to them and their power-wielding ilk ever since it was first propounded in the mid-19th century. Every religious, media and governmental agency in the world except one has assiduously fought or suppressed the reality of evolution. (And we all know what happened to that one.)

In their struggle to cancel evolution, whether for economic, political or purely personal reasons, our various "leaders" have fanatically and deliberately misdirected the white man's innate biological programs -- programs which were meant to enable him to survive in an earlier phase of evolution. Both the forced compassion of liberal whites for nonwhites, and the white nations' mutually suicidal wars of this century, result from the deviant manipulation of these programs. Such misdirection is an utterly inappropriate response to the dawning consciousness that our planet is fixed in size, and can no longer tolerate the uninhibited proliferation of the least intelligent.

Assisting the abdication of evolutionary responsibility is the psychosis called Communism. It was the dying Wilhelminian Empire, together with the U.S. government under Woodrow Wilson, that played a major part in foisting this Jewish-sponsored and executed absurdity upon the Russian people. Moreover, Wilson's high-sounding but ivory-towerish and juvenile "Fourteen Points" were a typically American cloak for the massive destruction of white genes. Another piece of Wilsonian legerdemain was luring a German submarine into sinking a British munitions ship, the Lusitania. Wilson then told the American masses that this warship
was actually a civilian passenger ship, so he could inflame them into going to war against their allegedly “civilian-killing” brothers, the Germans. Similar lies, perversion and corruption have been the standard fare of American politics ever since the election of Andrew Jackson in 1828. But this planet-killing neurosis has now become so extensive that we may now truly be approaching the end of the world.

The paralysis of will of the American high command in this matter is compounded by such Jewish falsehoods as the Six Million. This prevarication, more than all others, is held in place by the full-time Zionist propaganda ministry called the “American” media, together with that old logical syllogism: see things our way or lose your livelihood; doubt and die. For the Culture of Paranoia and its headquarters, Israel, still need more billions and billions and billions of dollars.

The global momentum of the Judeo-American war on evolution is so massive that many environmental researchers are necessarily thrown into fits of despondency in the course of their data collection. Of course, since they are all concerned first with personal survival, none dare make any statements except those which they know will be approved by the Anti-Defamation League of B’nai B’rith. Why their gloom? Consider one “small” item: the frequency and severity of typhoons (called hurricanes in the Western hemisphere). Briefly, a typhoon is caused by hot weather over the ocean. The hotter the weather, the more air rises over the heated area and the more cool air from surrounding regions rushes cyclically into the abhorrent vacuum, and the bigger the typhoon. But the global weather has been getting hotter by the year, because of the rise in carbon dioxide from the worldwide spread of industrial, American-inspired produce-and-consumerism. And there is no end in sight. Ergo, we can expect bigger and better typhoons, which will displace more and more of those forever-woeful brown people, who will come to the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave to displace the people who made it free and brave. It goes without saying that they will be welcomed with open arms by the purposeless, affluent white liberal termites for whose neuroses of guilt and compassion those hungry swarms constitute a target and an excuse. Jews, whose grave genetic deficiencies are simultaneously responsible for their irresponsible verbal acrobatics and their predisposition to paranoia and spite, will exult over the genetic disintegration each such natural disaster brings to whites whose genes have so far escaped pollution.

Obeying their masters’ directions to ignore the obvious, the lower depths of the American masses have chosen the road of illiteracy and drug addiction. This divides them, alienates them and makes them politically inert, which is the main point of the whole, vacuous, democratic system. The American psyche has been discussed often in the pages of Instauration, so it is unnecessary to bring it up again. We will note only that the primary objective of the most powerful influences (advertising, the teen music world, TV, cinema, the school desegregationists and the social engineers) on the young and unstable is to destabilize the personality to the point of total disintegration. From illiterate, deracinated and destabilized people, of course, no intelligent ecological actions can be expected. They can, however, be all the more easily enslaved in the mind-deadening produce-and-consumer rat race. If this interpretation seems farfetched, then read the newspaper reports on the dramatic deterioration of the young. Or just suggest to your nearest economics professor that the advertising and entertainment media need to be reined in. You will immediately get a lecture on freedom of speech (for media controllers, of course, not for those who disagree with them). The most intelligent and evolutionarily wholesome life on this earth is incarnate in the evolutionarily northermost races of mankind, east and west. It is only from this base, not from some lesser subspecies barely out of the jungle, nor from a genetically defective and criminal one, that yet higher intelligence can grow. The pressure to beget the superman is too fierce, the race too critical, the stakes too high, and the time too short, to allow Jews or anyone else to convince the advanced races, the vanguard of evolution, that they have the luxury of supporting an obsolete subspecies of man or of leading a degenerate lifestyle. The Japanese, who are largely northern Mongoloids on an intellectual par with undiluted whites, seem to have grasped this point intuitively, as is demonstrated not only by their vivid racial pride, but also by their keen awareness of the decisive role of the Jews in the destruction of the West.

In the end, it comes down to this: all vital planetary ecological processes are becoming more and more impaired and endangered; the evolution of all higher species, including that of man himself, has now been all but irrevocably terminated; even widespread awareness of the evolution problem is toned down for fear of disturbing the myths and political power structures on which the Jews, the religions, the minorities and the liberals rely for their very existence. It is impossible to stop the increasing suffocation and poisoning of the world because the regime-encouraged advertising media convince the average hominid that such devastation is a lesser evil than reducing his “right” to more nature-killing extravagances.

Of course, the Earth is doing its best to prevent us from breaking off its evolution. It has produced the HIV (AIDS) virus, which preferentially eliminates the members of the superannuated Negro branch of our species, as well as those, such as society-hating homosexuals and intravenous drug users, whose personal behavior contributes to much of the decay of the vanguard race. (We leave to the tabloids the lamentation about the exceptions to the rule of who gets AIDS.) The Earth has also given rise to chlamydia, which (among other things) sterilizes those who are profligate with their own genetic heritage. It is instructive to note that both of these sexually transmitted diseases terminate forever the lines of those whose nature or behavior offends the laws of evolution. And yet, despite all this, there is still nothing on the horizon which can foreseeably halt the stampede of our species into cosmic criminality and world death.

How long do we have? In the middle of the twenty-first century, when the world population will have reached about ten billion -- almost all nonwhite and mostly of utterly inadequate intelligence -- it will be death time. Then all of the foreseeable oil will be gone, the main natural resources will have been exhausted, global pollution will have reached cesspool levels, and the current race-leveling fanaticisms and other ideological bowel movements will have driven the denizens of the devastated Earth out of their minds. All of these things are quite predictable. They are also quite unstoppable. Because the anti-evolutionists who control the white race want it that way.

---

**Ponderable Poem**

It wasn’t long before old dad
Was cumbersome -- a drag.
He seemed to get the message and
Began to pack his bag.

‘‘Well here’s a blessing in disguise;
Not only money too;
He’s left his pension book as well
The slimy little Jew.’’

John Lennon,
from his poem, ‘‘Our Dad’’

---
ANTI-FREE SPEECH CONFERENCE

REEDOM OF SPEECH is a valued aspect of American society which sets this country apart from most other nations. Very few countries, if any, allow the wide latitude of free speech and free press extended to Americans. Even in the handful of European nations noted for the freedoms enjoyed by their citizens, laws severely curtail speech that touches on race, inherited differences, Jews, the Holocaust or other subjects of importance to minorities.

Throughout U.S. history, there have always been social elements that have been unhappy with freedom of expression of any kind and with the emotional stress to which such freedom exposes them. Previously, such groups have been found largely on the right of the American political spectrum. However, this is no longer the case. For some time now, minority groups, Communists and other assorted Marxists and liberal fellow travelers have been mobilizing for an all-out assault on the First Amendment.

A significant milestone in their efforts was the conference on group defamation and freedom of speech hosted by Hofstra University in Hempstead (NY), April 20-22, 1988. Monroe H. Freedman, professor of law at Hofstra Law School and chairman of the Holocaust Memorial Committee, served as director of the get-together, which was sponsored by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, the Asian-American Legal Defense and Educational Fund and the NAACP Legal Foundation and Defense Fund, Inc.

In his opening remarks, James Shuart, Hofstra's president, gratefully acknowledged the generosity of the ADL in financing the conference. He might have noted, but didn't, that efforts to chip away at freedom of speech are not new to that organization. A conference. He might have noted, but didn't, that efforts to chip away at freedom of speech are not new to that organization. A conference held in that city. Lately, however, he admitted he had been reassessing his position. Judging by the tone of his remarks and his waffling, it would probably be fair to say that Freedman's studied ambivalence was window dressing for his eventual support for repressive group defamation laws.

Dr. Kenneth Clark, the "expert" called in by the Warren Court in Brown v. Board of Education, related his first encounter with group defamation at age 14. He had suffered physical and emotional distress when he discovered a book, The Negro a Beast, on a shelf at his local public library. His denunciation of such literature was a chilling warning signal of how far minority groups intend to go in censoring the spoken and written words that meet their disapproval. The book that offended him so greatly was a religious or theological argument in favor of slavery. Since St. Paul clearly condones slavery in the New Testament, Dr. Clark would have the government intrude into the area of religious literature. He even cited Article I, Section II, of the Constitution as an example of group defamation by virtue of the three-fifths compromise on counting Negroes for census purposes.

Clark went on to say that the film classic, The Birth of a Nation, would be an appropriate target of a group defamation statute, although it was later more or less agreed by most conference speakers that no literary classic would be banned by such a law. Throughout his lecture, Clark referred to the Irish as having been victims of group defamation. This tactic of casting the net wide enough to encompass non-Jewish and non-black groups was repeated throughout the conference. Clark concluded by stating that group defamation in and of itself is oppression and that oppression will continue until group defamation is outlawed.

Next on the podium was Dr. Lawrence Hauptman, a B'nai B'rith member who has devoted himself to Indian causes. Hauptman pointed out that the first slaves in America were native Americans, but failed to mention that Indians enslaved other Indians long before the arrival of whites. He also carefully omitted to make any comparison between the Anglo-Saxon treatment of the Indians and the Zionist treatment of the Palestinians. Hauptman identified other group defamers whom Clark had overlooked. He put Theodore Roosevelt and George Washington on his list, intimating they wouldn't have been able to get away with many of their chauvinistic statements had a group defamation statute been in effect. Alluding to a picket sign or bumper sticker which blazoned, "Save a fish, Spear an Indian," he was dismayed

Congressman John Conyers Jr., the black chairman of the Congressional Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, was the keynote speaker. As one strategically positioned to implement such legislation, he suggested making an exception or a waiver to the Bill of Rights for speech criticizing blacks and Jews because of the anti-Semitism and racism that exists and has existed in American society. Conyers further noted that the U.S. is one of the very few nations where racist speech is legal. As he put it, "We alone allow a little bit of intolerance."

It was interesting, however, that Conyers's remarks were framed with a caution and perspicacity not usually employed by minority group spokesmen. He did not endorse a group defamation law and asserted that any such statute should be approached only after a great deal of thought and reflection. In the end, he seemed to come to the conclusion that it might be better to leave extremist speech under the protection of the First Amendment and only to prosecute acts of racial violence.

Monroe Freedman was next on the speakers' list. He let it be known that he had been a First Amendment hard-liner. As proof, he said he had defended the right of the Nazis to march in Skokie (IL), despite the large number of Holocaust survivors congregated in that city. Lately, however, he admitted he had been reassessing his position. Judging by the tone of his remarks and his waffling, it would probably be fair to say that Freedman's studied ambivalence was window dressing for his eventual support for repressive group defamation laws.

Dr. Kenneth Clark, the "expert" called in by the Warren Court in Brown v. Board of Education, related his first encounter with group defamation at age 14. He had suffered physical and emotional distress when he discovered a book, The Negro a Beast, on a shelf at his local public library. His denunciation of such literature was a chilling warning signal of how far minority groups intend to go in censoring the spoken and written words that meet their disapproval. The book that offended him so greatly was a religious or theological argument in favor of slavery. Since St. Paul clearly condones slavery in the New Testament, Dr. Clark would have the government intrude into the area of religious literature. He even cited Article I, Section II, of the Constitution as an example of group defamation by virtue of the three-fifths compromise on counting Negroes for census purposes.

Clark went on to say that the film classic, The Birth of a Nation, would be an appropriate target of a group defamation statute, although it was later more or less agreed by most conference speakers that no literary classic would be banned by such a law. Throughout his lecture, Clark referred to the Irish as having been victims of group defamation. This tactic of casting the net wide enough to encompass non-Jewish and non-black groups was repeated throughout the conference. Clark concluded by stating that group defamation in and of itself is oppression and that oppression will continue until group defamation is outlawed.

Next on the podium was Dr. Lawrence Hauptman, a B'nai B'rith member who has devoted himself to Indian causes. Hauptman pointed out that the first slaves in America were native Americans, but failed to mention that Indians enslaved other Indians long before the arrival of whites. He also carefully omitted to make any comparison between the Anglo-Saxon treatment of the Indians and the Zionist treatment of the Palestinians. Hauptman identified other group defamers whom Clark had overlooked. He put Theodore Roosevelt and George Washington on his list, intimating they wouldn't have been able to get away with many of their chauvinistic statements had a group defamation statute been in effect. Alluding to a picket sign or bumper sticker which blazoned, "Save a fish, Spear an Indian," he was dismayed

* A brief report on one phase of the conference proceedings appeared in Instauration (October 1988).
it was flaunted by whites opposed to Indian exemptions from laws forbidding the hunting of endangered species. Apparently the bumper sticker, “Custer died for your sins!” had not caught his attention. As a final touch, Hauptman referred to what he called “Southern yahoo racism,” thereby making it clear that white Southerners will continue to be fair game for the group defamation lobby.

Not everything went smoothly at the conference. Dr. John W. Dower, author of War Without Mercy, a book dealing with anti-Japanese propaganda in WWII, included in his remarks the ponderable quote, “Race and power are not separable.” Most people, he emphasized, see the world in racial terms. At this point, Jews in the audience became noticeably uncomfortable.

Dower had his own examples of group defamation, such as the slogan, “Rodent Exterminator,” painted on the helmets of American Marines at Iwo Jima and references in Life magazine to “cleaning out the rats’ nest” when flamethrowers were used against Japanese soldiers holed up in caves. He did admit, however, that the Japanese themselves had used racist propaganda against Americans. Negroes in the audience were unhappy to hear that nonwhites could also be guilty of racism.

What made Dr. Dower’s remarks even more unpalatable was his comments on the postwar thinking of the Japanese, which he summarized as, “We are not the master race—not yet!” He referred pointedly to the fact that the Japanese viewed themselves as the “chosen people.” At each such reference, Jews became visibly upset. (Indeed, they may have been experiencing those psychosomatic reactions to group defamation cited earlier by Kenneth Clark.) Dower ended his talk by coming out firmly against any legal restrictions on free speech.

Dr. Michael J. Blain, Boise State University sociology professor, followed Dower. He claimed to have done extensive research in evaluating school textbooks in the Third Reich. Whether or not he had sufficient knowledge of German for the job was questionable in view of his inability to pronounce such common words as völkisch (he forgot the umlaut) or Weimar (he said Weemar). What was amusing to the handful of Instaurationists and Holocaust skeptics in the audience was his surprise at how little anti-Semitism he had found in Nazi literature for children. Unamusing to most conferees was Blain’s ironic statement that the people who perceive themselves to be victims of others generally become the oppressors of others, often the same “others.” Nazi propaganda portraying the German people as victims, Blain explained, gave Nazis the excuse to curtail the freedom, rights and even the lives of their enemies. One wonders if the Jews at the conference similarly perceived their alleged victim status as justification for censoring expressions of Majority solidarity.

The pièce de résistance was the banquet address on the first night by Nobel Peace Prize winner Elie Wiesel. Introducing the star attraction, Monroe Freedman revealed that Hofstra had been inundated by hate letters for hosting the conference. He read a portion of one such letter in which Elie Wiesel was quoted as saying, “Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate—healthy, virile hate— for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German.” Source of his quote, reveals that author Wiesel specifically enjoins hatred upon his fellow Jews as a virtue. While it may be argued that he also said that he cannot hate, he indicates that this is a weakness and that he should hate.

Wiesel spoke at length about his sufferings at the hands of the revisionists. He complained that even when he went to Norway to receive his Nobel award, Professor Robert Faurisson, the leading French revisionist, appeared with picket signs. Quoting at length from the Talmud to lend authority to group defamation statutes, and lacing his remarks with self-serving endorsements of his own virtue, he explained that revisionists must never, never, never be granted a chance to debate. They were intellectually out of bounds and must remain so forever. They were, in short, “despicable,” said the man who couldn’t hate. The moment he finished Wiesel was quickly shunted off the platform. Any questions might have damaged his iconic status.

The most frightening speaker on the second day of the conference was Dr. Mari J. Matsuda, a professor of law in Hawaii. An American-born Japanese, Matsuda confessed that, though she is a member of the American Civil Liberties Union, she is an enthusiastic booster of group defamation laws. It was obvious that she had been thinking long and hard about how to terminate the freedom of speech of groups offensive to her, specifically the speech of white males. She insisted that she wanted to move toward what she called “non-neutral law,” which should be based on the historical needs of excluded communities.

Matsuda identified four levels of group defamation: (1) racial disparagement; (2) overt racial hate messages; (3) overt discriminatory treatment; (4) covert discriminatory treatment and coded racism. An accomplished academic Machiavellian, she explained she had carefully considered how to approach banning group defamation, while at the same time protecting the rights of minorities to indulge in their own racist speech. The double standard would be accomplished by limiting the right to redress under a group defamation statute to members of groups which have historically suffered discrimination in America (Jews, blacks, Mexicans, Asians and women). Black nationalists, Zionists and other minority activists would be allowed to defame whites and white males, but if white males “defamed back,” they would be subject to prosecution.

Matsuda would distinguish between Marxist speech, which would have the right to constitutional protection, and racial speech, which would not. Marxist speech, she asserted, is not universally condemned. Indeed, numerous countries in the world practice and even sanctify Marxism. However, white racism is universally condemned. Only one country, South Africa, still practices white racism. Consequently, white racist speech is no longer deserving of protection because, with one exception, it has been universally repudiated.

In the question and answer period, a revisionist asked if Zionist speech should also be banned, since it has been overwhelmingly rejected by the United Nations, which had designated it a form of racism. The question created pandemonium among the Jews and greatly embarrassed Dr. Matsuda. She was rescued by a Jewess who intervened to answer the question for her by explaining that Zionism had nothing to do with racism.

In the afternoon of the second day, the conference assembled in the auditorium of the Hofstra Law School for a moot court argument on the constitutionality of group defamation statutes. Awards were presented to law students who had drawn up model statutes. The winner was Joseph M. Ribaikoff of Whittier College School of Law. Interestingly, Ribaikoff confessed that he himself did not believe his proposed statute would pass constitutional challenge. He also seemed to oppose such laws in principle. Perhaps it may be said of him, as the Bible did of Nathan: “Behold, an Israelite in whom there is no guile.”

Several Jewish conferees were unhappy that the model law in
the case of a motion picture would require pre-release certification by a review board that it was free of group defamation. Without such certification, the film would not be allowed to be shown. Jews recognized that, in this particular instance, the law was going much too far.

A panel of three Appeals Court judges heard the arguments in the mock trial: Abner Mikva, Amaya Kearse and Harry T. Edwards, one Jew and two blacks. Justice Edwards had been mentioned as a likely replacement for Supreme Court Justice Thurgood Marshall if Dukakis had won in November. Floyd Abrams, a Jewish lawyer with a long history of defending freedom of speech, spoke ably and eloquently against the statute. Jack Greenberg, vice dean and professor of law at Columbia University and long-time boss of the NAACP Legal Defense Fund, argued in favor.

In the course of the moot court proceedings, Greenberg cited the case of a candidate for the Dutch parliament who had the temerity to suggest that aliens should be repatriated to their homelands. The candidate felt that the foreigners deprived Dutchmen of the opportunity to work in their own country. This "outrageous" political protest was promptly punished before the World Court, with Greenberg's hearty approval. Judge Mikva asked if the action of the World Court represented the kind of law Greenberg would like to see. Mikva mused that this kind of anti-immigrant talk was heard every day.

Friday, April 22, was the conference's third and final day. Louis Henkin, co-director of the Center for the Study of Human Rights at Columbia University, spoke not only on group defamation, but also on the international law of human rights. Henkin indicated that the latter not only permits, it may even require, laws forbidding group libel. He pointed out that international law has little to say in favor of freedom of expression, which in his opinion was not highly valued in the Soviet Union or the Third World.

David Partlett, a visiting professor from Australia, spoke on group defamation in his country, where freedom of speech is not as much of a "trump card" as it is in America. Nevertheless, he warned that advocates of group defamation laws would have difficulty getting them passed. He himself endorsed such legislation on the ground that it would be a positive statement of the position of society and government on the issue.

David Kretzmer, a member of the faculty of law of Hebrew University of Jerusalem, discussed group defamation in Israel. He reviewed the three ways in which Israeli law has attacked the problem: (1) by limiting the rights of extremist organizations to participate in elections; (2) by legislation against racial incitement; (3) by court decisions dealing with Rabbi Kahane. Kretzmer claimed that Israel had mainly approached group defamation as a means of dealing with the embarrassing extremism of Kahane and his Kach movement. He added, however, that such laws could be turned against the Arabs.

Robert Martin, a member of faculty of law of the University of Western Ontario, spoke on group defamation in Canada. He reminded the audience of the danger of group defamation statutes to minorities themselves. Several years ago, he explained, a Canadian judge had made a reference in court to "drunken Indians." (As students of alcoholism and race are well aware, the evidence for the genetic predisposition to alcoholism is overwhelming; some 40% of American and Canadian Indian adults are believed to be alcoholics.) On the off chance that drunkenness is racially determined, said Martin, could any greater disservice be done to Indians than to prevent the discussion and therefore the research necessary to cure this problem? Martin expressed satisfaction that group defamation laws have been enacted in Canada, but was unhappy that they had not yet had the positive effect of eliminating such speech. Indeed, he reminded the conference that the first Zündel trial had attracted the greatest publicity to date on the arguments of the alleged defamers.

The final panel met on Friday afternoon. Lee C. Bollinger, dean of the Michigan School of Law, lectured on "Rethinking Group Defamation and Freedom of Speech." Author of a much-acclaimed book on the subject, Bollinger was convinced that the costs of group defamation included personal humiliation to minority group members and taking time away from more important questions. Such costs, he warned, have to be weighed against the benefits, if any, of allowing freedom of speech to white racists.

Normally freedom of speech, Bollinger argued, is supported by the belief that truth is furthered by hearing different points of view. But such an argument, he insisted, is preposterous when applied to white racists. Another point in favor of freedom of speech is that truth will win out in the end. This argument Bollinger rejected as "too optimistic." Finally, there was the historical distrust of allowing government and political authorities to intrude in these matters. Bollinger indicated that this is not necessarily a good guide any longer because government today can be trusted! Despite all these objections, he ultimately came down on the side of freedom. Tolerating the speech of obnoxious groups, he explained, speaks for itself and the audience away from such statutes. They emotionally responded to the Old Believer litany, much as lapsed church members might respond to hearing a carol on Christmas day.

The most lasting impression of the conference was that top-level Jews in the Anti-Defamation League clearly desire such statutes to insulate an increasingly vulnerable Jewish community from criticism. They are worried that the account they opened at the bank of human sympathy at the conclusion of WWII is getting close to overdrawn. With no end of the Palestinian problem in sight, Jews are in real danger of having public opinion turn against them. Consequently, they feel their safest course of action is to try to contain such criticism.

The importance of the Holocaust in this assault on the First Amendment cannot be overstated. During the conference, whenever opposition to the repeal of free speech got too strong, the Six Million bomb would be detonated. The argument was repeatedly made that free speech when directed at minorities would lead to another Auschwitz. It is a remarkable insight into the Jewish self-image to realize that open discussion of the Jewish problem will inevitably lead to pogroms.

Group defamation laws already exist in much of the Western world. Knowledgeable persons have affirmed that the passage of such laws in Britain has actually improved the quality of racial literature and has helped to put the crank and nut element out of business. Since the minorities needed the cranks and nuts as their strawmen, perhaps the passage of group defamation statutes would ultimately boomerang. Despite these collateral benefits, however, descendants of the freedom-loving Northern Europeans who wrote the Constitution would be well advised to be vigilant against this proposed curtailment of their hard-won liberties.

PAGE 10 -- INSTAURATION -- DECEMBER 1988
A NOVEL FOR THE REST OF US

IT HAD ALL the elements of high drama, "it" being The Order, that cohort of Majority freedom fighters whose leader, Robert Mathews, died in a fiery FBI-staged Ragnarok and whose members are now serving jail sentences of up to 150 years. But if anyone thinks that The Order will be quickly and easily forgotten, he has another think coming. Americans are hipped on the tales of the Wild West and the quick-on-the-draw, trigger-happy gunslingers that dominate its folklore. Badmen Jesse James and Billy the Kid did in a fair number of "badder" men, but no one as viperous as the Denver Jewish talk show host, Alan Berg. Stagecoach robbers pulled off some daring heists, but never one to equal The Order's multi-million-dollar haul from an armored truck. Then there's the Judas factor. Every rebel band has its snitch artist. The Order had its very own Thomas Martinez. Indubitably, the history of The Order is packed with the material of legend and folklore. But unfortunately, the literature to nourish it and keep it alive has to pass through the hands of mediocrats who are either Jews or "afeared" of the Jews. This, however, is not too much of a handicap for incipient folklorists. The media barons are so paranoid about white racists that, despise them as they do, they can't resist casting them as the heavies in films, TV shows, plays and books. Constant 24-hour-a-day exposure to the devil puts a lot of people on familiar terms with him. We fear the unknown much more than the known. What's more, the devil, no matter how devilishly he is portrayed, always comes off as a strong character. Keep hammering and yammering at him and you just may romanticize him into something a little better than bad, a not entirely unattractive mythic figure. Think of all those Wild West characters who have now become staples of the silver screen.

At present, we are in the demonization stage of The Order. Movies like Betrayed and books like Talk Show make Orderites out to be the epitome of evil. But if you are going to weave legends about folks, someone has got to start weaving, even if the bad strands far outnumber the good. The one way you cannot turn people into gods or devils, heroes or villains, is to ignore them.

Strangely, The Order has been closely immersed in literature even before it came to life. It was blueprinted in the pages of William Pierce's [The Turner Diaries], a futuristic novel of a United States degenerating into racial chaos. Nothing much was known about The Order as it pursued its underground way until the law enforcement agents moved in. Then the media went into a front-page anti-racist frenzy that didn't stop until the last of the legal purges, the seditious conspiracy trial held earlier this year.

As a minor backlash to the media's highly exaggerated accounts of The Order's dastardly deeds, Majority activists have written a few friendly articles, even a few poems in the homemade publications that the New York Times, the biggest hate sheet, calls hate sheets. But the inevitable romanticizing of The Order officially began in October with the publication of A Candidate for The Order by Michael A. Hoffman II. The book is no Brothers Karamazov or Middlemarch, and it has the usual faults of a first novel -- fuzzy character delineation, particularly of the protagonist, unwallowable coincidences, overemphasis on blood and gore. But these literary failings are common to most popular fiction. In this respect, Hoffman's novel is no better or worse than the average bestseller.

The story line, however, is unique. A truth-seeking professor, John Schmidt, is hounded into poverty because he refuses to go along with the liberal-minority party line in education. Indeed, he is even so bold as to ask a few embarrassing questions about the Holocaust. This startling independence of mind costs him his job, his reputation and forces him to move his family into a low-rent, high-crime, mixed-race ghetto. For fending off the attacks of blacks and white slobs on his children, he is arrested and held up to scorn as a latter-day Nazi.

In the midst of his time of troubles, he is visited by representatives of The Order, who want to aid him, but point out that such help will necessarily take the form of violence. Schmidt declines the offer. In spite of everything he's been through, he still believes in the power of the word and the efficacy of reason. Violence, he ponders, is inevitably counterproductive.

A few days later, a reporter from a local paper plays upon Schmidt's innate decency and reasonableness to start up a
friendship, which develops into a sort of an alliance that, he assures Schmidt, will rehabilitate him, get him a decent teaching job again and make it possible for him to move his family out of the urban pigpen. After long bouts of reflection and soul searching, Schmidt goes along. Actually he is being set up. The reporter lures his family into a street brawl that is blamed entirely on Schmidt's wife and kids, who are carried off to the horrors of a city jail by a SWAT team.

All this is too much for Schmidt. He signs on with The Order, which swiftly, bloodily and somewhat John Wayne-ly rescues his wife and kids in a military assault on a courtroom where they are being tried. A burst from a machine gun kills the Jewish judge and some of his white trucklers. In the confusion of the firefight, the Schmidt family manages to escape to an Order safe house, where presumably everyone lives happily, securely and racially ever after.

The events and scenes in Hoffman’s book could hardly happen today. But there are a lot of Majority activists out there who are hoping and praying something similar might take place not too many years hence. Indeed, Hoffman’s novel transports his readers into that rapturous, score-settling time and lets them live out their fondest dreams for a few precious and vengeful hours. In the minds of the faithful, the political catharsis will more than make up for any deficiency in the author’s literary skills.

Is this the beginning of a Majority school of writing that will eventually derail the carloads of minority racist books overflowing the shelves of U.S. libraries? Maybe yes, maybe no. Perhaps in the next century, which isn’t so far off, most whites will forget all about the passel of famous and infamous outlaws that decorated 19th-century American history. Inspired by the unending spate of TV shows, movies and books trashing white racists, 21st-century Majority members may turn their attention to a later and more relevant group of pistol packers, who, in the tradition of the story-book Wild West, slowly but surely metamorphize into icons.

It’s a definite possibility. Meanwhile, Majority activists can dream, can’t they? And that’s where the fortune-cookie book of Michael Hoffman, the dream spinner, comes in.

A Candidate for the Order can be ordered from Wiswell Ruffin, Dresden, NY 14441-0236. Price is $8.00, plus $1.50 shipping. Ask for discounts on quantity orders.

---

THE MANY PLOYS OF MORRIS SELIGMAN DEES

Because a few persons, at least one of them a Georgia Bureau of Investigation informer, tossed a few stones and bottles at an invading column of 55 black demonstrators in all-white Forsyth County (GA) in early 1987, 11 active and ex-Klansmen and their Klans have been ordered to pay $950,000 to the marchers. The verdict of the six-member jury (one black) was so outrageous that Hosea Williams, one of the two Negroes who instigated the suit and then copped out — to the dismay of Morris Seligman Dees, the plaintiffs’ white lawyer — reiterated his opinion that it was not right to rob the poor, even KKK poor.

Dees has developed a new way to put Majority activists out of business. He bankrupts them. In 1987 he won a $7 million judgment against an Alabama Klan group, one member of which was involved in the murder of a black.

How Dees and his clients will be able to collect the money from good ole boys who own little more than a pickup truck and a few scraps of property remains to be seen. But if anyone can draw blood out of a stone, it is Morris.

In California, the Jewish Defense League has adopted Dees’s legal scheme in an attempt to squash the leader of a group which calls itself the American Nazi Party. Irv Rubin, the goon who heads the Jewish outfit, was awarded $100,000 in direct and punitive damages from Stanley Witek, who, it was claimed, accused Rubin of having AIDS in front of a battery of TV cameras.

Dees’s Southern Poverty Law Center has actually waxed rich fighting the Klan, according to John Egerton, a civil rights specialist, in the July 14, 1988 issue of The Progressive. The Center has an endowment of $22 million and pulls in about $5 million a year, of which only half is expended.

Dees, 51, began practicing law in Montgomery (AL) in 1960. He claims to be the scion of a devout Baptist family and that his middle name, Seligman, was given to his father by his grandfather in honor of a prominent Jewish Alabaman. In most of his fundraising mailings, however, Dees drops his middle name, except in the begging letters addressed to his large number of Jewish contributors.

In one mailing campaign, Dees twisted a New York Times story so radically to suit his own purposes that the paper’s attorneys brought a complaint against him. When working for Jimmy Carter’s presidential campaign, in a mailing to his Northern supporters, Dees demeaned the South so openly that Jody Powell, Jimmy the Tooth’s press secretary, was greatly angered.

Dees wardheeled for George Wallace in the latter’s 1958 gubernatorial race. With another lawyer, Millard Fuller, he set up a mail order business in Montgomery two years later and started raking in money selling everything from doormats to tractor seat cushions and cookbooks. By 1964, both partners were millionaires. A few years earlier, Dees and Fuller had happily assumed the task of defending a white supremacist charged with beating one of the Northern freedom riders in Montgomery.

In 1967, Dees was named one of the “ten outstanding young men in America” by the U.S. Junior Chamber of Commerce. Sometime later, after he had bought out part-
ner Fuller for $1 million, he sold his business to the Times Mirror Company for $6 or $7 million. Then, with a new partner, Joe Levin Jr., he moved into the civil rights business. In 1970, the Southern Poverty Law Center was started with a $2,500 loan from a bank. Dees put no money of his own into the tax-exempt organization, though he worked for free for some years. In 1984, however, he took $111,319 from the Center for his personal account, a somewhat higher remuneration than given to his 25 employees.

In 1972, Dees hit the jackpot when he mailed out 15 million letters asking for money for George McGovern. The mailing netted $22 million. Now heavily into left-wing politics, Dees piled lawsuit upon civil rights lawsuit, making it possible for the first black since Reconstruction to get into the Alabama legislature. He was also largely responsible for opening up the Alabama state police to blacks and females and for waging an unrelenting campaign against the death penalty. His associate in these legal maneuvers was Millard Farmer, a Georgia lawyer. They broke up in a welter of suits and countersuits. "I was naive at first," Farmer now says. "I thought he was sincere. I thought the Southern Poverty Law Center raised money to do good for poor people, not simply to accumulate wealth."

Dees served as finance director of Jimmy Carter's successful 1976 bid for the presidency. Although he denies it, those in the know said he aspired to be Carter's attorney general and returned to Alabama in a huff when he didn't get the nod. In 1980, Dees hustled for Senator Kennedy; in 1984 for Gary Hart. He didn't join Jesse Jackson's camp last year because he said he disagreed with the latter's position on Israel. Dees's inordinate affection for Zionism caused him to persuade Julian Bond, the cocaine-sniffing first president of the Law Center, to resign. Some of Dees's Jewish contributors were nonplussed about the mulatto's pro-Arab ruminations.

Dees began to concentrate on Klan bashing in the early 1980s, realizing it was an easy target. The various splinter groups couldn't afford good lawyers; they had no money; and most Klansmen were uneducated and patsies for costly legal tricks. He set up a monitoring group, Klan Watch, to check every newspaper clipping and to move in with undercover agents. Instead of defending clients and victims, we were more of a super snoop outfit, an arm of law enforcement.

So far, Dees has had few setbacks in his drive to become the nation's Attila-like scourge of "white racists" in general and the hooded ones in particular. He did have a messy divorce, in which his wife confessed he indulged in disgusting perversions of a sexual nature, and the Law Center's offices in Montgomery were firebombed in 1983. But out of the ashes arose a new building that cost $1 million and is gussied up with all the latest electronic security devices. It's so luxurious Southerners call it "The Poverty Palace."

Some Dees watchers claim the guy is on a 24-hour-a-day gigantic ego trip. They have him down as a fanatic, a trampler of the weak, a reverse racist, who feeds off Jewish money and minority envy. Where he will go and what he will do when the Klan kick wears off, no one knows. The fate of a man who highlights his hair, yet is consumed with an overweening urge to do in white pride wherever he can find it, is difficult to predict.

Ponderable Quotes

Neither Jewish ethics nor Jewish tradition can disqualify terrorism as a means of combat. We are very far from having any normal qualms as far as our national war goes. We have before us the command of the Torah, whose morality surpasses that of any other body of laws in the world: "Ye shall blot them out to the last man." We are particularly far from having any qualms with regard to the enemy, whose moral degradation is universally admitted here.

But first and foremost, terrorism is for us a part of the political battle being conducted under the present circumstances, and it has a great part to play: speaking in a clear voice to the whole world, as well as to our wretched brethren outside this land, it proclaims our war against the occupier.

Yitzhak Shamir,
Hehazit (Summer 1943)

Just yesterday I received news from Palestine. Because of the creation of Israel, many people who were not Jews left the land of Israel. Israel is a new creation after the Second World War. It is a new nation -- forced by the American politicians and the British politicians on the poor Mohammedans who used to live there, in order to give the Jews back their country, which they had lost long before.

There was no need. Jews were living happily everywhere else. What is the need of having a nation? In fact, they were free from all rational problems and difficulties -- defense and armies. They were perfectly happy. But to create a permanent trouble for them, Israel was forced [to conquer the country]. It used to be Palestine, but now only a small part of it remains Palestine, and the Mohammedans have escaped to that small part. They are refugees.

Bhagwan Shree Rajneesh,
The Rebellious Spirit
(Chidoilas, 1987)
Cross Crucified

Jews have demanded that a U.S. district judge in Hawaii order the removal of a 65-foot high wooden cross memorializing Americans who died in Vietnam, very few of whom were Jewish. Although it has stood on a hillside overlooking the Marine Corps barracks for 20 years, Jews want it down because it's situated on government land and "has religious significance." As expected, Thomas Hogan, the federal district court judge, obediently issued the ruling that complied with the Jews' command.

Always in there pushing, Hawaiian Jews are now going after another of the few remaining shards of Majority follweways in the Islands. Good Friday is a legal holiday in Honolulu. The American Jewish Congress is cranking up a lawsuit to "de-holiday" it. At last report, a Federal Appeals Court decided that Good Friday would continue to be a day of rest. The Jews, as is their nature, will persist.

Miami Beach Immortals

Down here in south Florida, "land of the morons," immortalization can easily be arranged if the price is right. At Mt. Sinai Hospital $10,000 will get you a nice bronze plaque. If you are a big-timer and dispose of more abundant cash, you can hang your name on a landmark. Miami Beach has quite a few streets and parks that bear the name of prominent rabbis, Jewish bankers and developers. Abel Holtz, who already bagged the Abel Holtz Tennis Center in exchange for a low-cost loan to the city, is now going after a street. Mayor Alex Hanauer, a half-Jew of Lebanese origin, who in his reelection campaigns never fails to boast he has been to Israel -- not once but twice -- is all for having an Abel Holtz boulevard or avenue.

The biggest catch yet belongs to Stephen Musk, a hotelier. His ego is so overblown his retouched photo never fails to appear in his brochures. The huge Miami Beach Convention Center recently became the Stephen Musk Center. Gurry Sanchez, whose last name may be misleading to anyone who hasn't seen his distinctive visage, wants Lummus Park renamed Gurry's Park. "If not the full park, I'll settle for half. It's negotiable."

Claus Renshaw, not Jewish, was a legend in his own time. For an unprecedented 34 years he served as city manager of Miami Beach. That was before the Jews took over lox, stock and bagel. After his death in 1984, citizens collected $4,600 and commissioned a granite and bronze memorial in fond remembrance. Here was someone who had clearly earned his right to a monument. The 40-inch high cenotaph was to be installed in front of City Hall. However, two days before the dedication ceremony, the Miami Beach City Commissioners (six Jews, 0 non-Jews) had a change of heart. "The monument might pose a safety hazard," said one. "I can envision some youngster running into it on a skate board," said another.

In death, as in life, Renshaw failed the crucial test.

Hero Switching

The deflation of Majority heroes proceeds apace. In recent years, we have been hearing that Admiral Robert E. Peary, the first man to reach the North Pole, was really the second man, the first being his Negro factotum, Matthew Henson. Now we are told that Peary never got within a hundred miles of his goal and that he faked his data. (Time, Sept. 20, 1988). The explorer's demystifier is Dennis Rawlins, an obscure Baltimore astronomer-historian.

One American deflated. One Jap inflated. The prime example of the latter in recent times was accomplished by the people of Brookings (OR), who, back in 1962, made Nobuo Fujita the grand marshal of their annual Azalea Festival. Fujita was the only person in WWII to bomb the American mainland. He twice flew over Brookings in 1942 in a Zero float plane carried offshore on a Japanese submarine. His mission was to start forest fires by dropping incendiary bombs. Neither attempt came off. The bombs must have fizzled out. Failure or not, this was enough to make Fujita a hero in the eyes of the mostly Majority population of Brookings.

We cut down authentic American heroes to unheroic size and idolize an enemy. Is this any way to preserve a race?

Boom Box Bookworms

As the nightly shadows engulf Washington's Capitol Hill, an event of such vast cultural irony takes place as to make Franz Kafka's lines seem limp. It's nearly 7:00 p.m. The Hill is void of the swarms of congressional workers who occupy its thousands of office cubicles. Though the doors remain open for business at the Library of Congress, few patrons of that magnificent treasure house of books, manuscripts and other examples of the printed and electronic word remain. Here and there are a smattering of college term-paper scribblers, the occasional graying scholar, old duffers and assorted cranks from the street, and always the "homeless," who are making ready for their evening rounds.

The irony, however, is not here, but hundreds of feet below ground in the Library's vast football fields of book stacks. An old art deco elevator from the FDR era plunges the lucky holder of a stack pass deep into the institution's bowels. Each floor of this subterranean labyrinth is inhabited by an army of youthful Negroes working as shlevers. Surrounded by these millions of precious monuments of Western civilization, the white visitor quivers with delight at the mere thought of the literary feast that awaits him.

But how do these serendipitous black civil servants react to this embarrassment of literary riches? Each and every one goes numbly about his appointed rounds with an oversized boom box in hand, rapping out the wail of the African jungle. Washington's black Djs, "Moon Man" and "More Better Man," blast away at what the brothelers conceive to be a white-imposed cultural ambience of suffocating boredom.

More Slurs Galore

• In a grisly speech during police graduation ceremonies about the Holocaust to come if vigilantes (i.e., the Guardian Angels) were allowed to function, Zoo City's top cop, Benjamin Ward, commented on "Polacks being thrown in ovens." Polack is to Pole as kike is to Jew.
• Pleasant Rowe is a 63-year-old black married to a 31-year-old white. They live in an integrated housing development in Troy (NY). One day last August, they returned to their apartment to find the walls plastered with racial remarks and "K" repeated three times in big black letters. Since the housing project is filled with blacks and whites, the investigating officer, to the dismay of the race-obsessed local media, reported it was not a racial problem but more of a "personality thing."
• Elmer A. Chipparoni, the chairman of the Republican Party in North Kingstown (RI), wrote in a newspaper article last August that "white America" is committing "genetic and cultural suicide" by letting in so many blacks, Hispanics and Asians. Needless to say, Elmer Chipparoni is no longer chairman of anything, nor ever likely to be.
• His Korean bosses fired Edward Gormely, eastern regional manager of Hyundai Motors, when Tom Nemet claimed he was denied a dealership because he was a Jew. Gormely was also accused of making anti-Semitic remarks and of trying to establish a quota for Jewish dealers.
• Three days' suspension without pay was the penalty given Yvonne Edwards, a white Minneapolis policewoman, when she was caught mimicking the gestures and speech of a female rookie of darker pigmentation.
Brawley Brawling

Wappingers Falls (NY) took a terrible beating when resident Tawana Brawley claimed -- falsely as it turns out -- that she was raped and hideously mistreated by a band of white men. In early October, a real tragedy occurred in the town shopping mall, but no one, least of all the New York media, which had made a cause célèbre of the Brawley case, paid much attention. A 51-year-old woman was stabbed to death by a 16-year-old black. In nearby Poughkeepsie, the police have six unsolved black-on-white rapes in their files, all having occurred in 1988.

Meanwhile, Tawana and her entourage are living high on the hog in a Virginia Beach (VA) condo. Nothing has been done so far to discipline the dramatis personae of the hoax -- neither Tawana, her mother, who defied a grand jury summons, her lawyers nor the clownish Rev. Al Sharpton. There are, however, rumors to the effect that the lawyers may be "disciplined" by the New York state attorney general and that two whites falsely accused by the Brawleys of participating in the rape will sue for slander.

The Brawleys, by the way, won't be hurt for money for some time. Bill Cosby gave them $25,000. Mike Tyson chipped in, as did many white liberals, including the Evangelical Lutheran Church of America, which anted up $5,000.

Anti-Nazi Junk Bonds

The name of Michael Milken, who has probably done more than any person alive or dead to turn the stock market into a rigged gambling casino, has been added to the long list of Jewish insider traders charged by the SEC with massive financial chicanery. Worth maybe as much as $1 billion, Milken put his junk bonds at the service of raiders after raider, almost all of them Jews, permitting them to take over old, respected companies, break them up and sell off all or parts of them at huge profits. Milken, by the way, has given millions to the Simon Wiesenthal Center and various other Jewish organizations and has advised Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir on economic matters. Rabbi Marvin Hier, the most frenetic of Nazi hunters, praised Milken for attending "many of our dinners" at the Wiesenthal Center and for showing "a great interest in our cause of fighting anti-Semitism and searching for Nazi war criminals."

Walter Ruby, writing in the Jewish World (Sept. 23-29, 1988) blames part of Milken's plight on "America's WASP financiers." The SEC's campaign against Jewish insider traders, he allows, was "part of the establishment backlash against the brash interlopers who threatened the power and prerogatives of the traditional elite."

Drexel Burnham Lambert, the investment house and home base of Milken's operations, is also the target of the SEC's wrath. Frederick Joseph, CEO of Drexel, blames a lot of his problems on Ivan Boesky, who, to reduce his own sentence, has been "singing" about the shady practices of his previous associates. Joseph expects "to be vindicated" because most of the government's case rests on the testimony of Boesky, "a convicted felon."

Crime and Race

Although the mass media focus nearly exclusively on Howard Beach-type incidents and Tawana Brawley-type hoaxes, a recently released government report reveals the true picture of cross-racial crime in America. Entitled Profile of State Prison Inmates, 1986, the report was released in September by the U.S. Department of Justice. Written by Dr. Christopher A. Innes of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the study covers the 450,417 adult felons imprisoned in state facilities in 1986. Although a concerted effort seems to have been made to conceal or obscure statistics required for many of the racial comparisons, when the report is read in concert with a Department of Justice report released in March, entitled, Report to the Nation on Crime and Justice (2nd edition), certain facts can be ascertained.

Of the 450,417 inmates in state prisons in 1986, 46.9% were black, 39.8% Caucasian, 9.9% Hispanic (Mexican), 3.4% "Other" (Asian, American Indian, Eskimo and Pacific Islanders). Of these state prisoners, 54.6% were serving a sentence for murder, manslaughter, kidnapping, rape, sexual assault, robbery, aggravated battery or other violent crime. Of those imprisoned for violent crime, 63.3% (155,672) had victimized whites.

Actual figures on white-on-black and black-on-white crime were not provided. If we assume each white prisoner guilty of a violent crime had a white victim, of the 155,672 whites who were victims of violent crime, 88,534 were victimized by whites and 67,138 by nonwhites. In other words, out of every 100 violent crimes committed against whites, 43 were committed by nonwhites.

When we look at specific crime categories, the 43% nonwhite-on-white rate increases dramatically. Although whites convicted of violent crimes make up 46% of the state inmates, 79.1% of all kidnap victims were white, 71.1% of all rape victims, 78.4% of all other sexual assault victims and 75.5% of all robbery victims. In digesting these figures we should also remember that, according to the reports, over 50% of violent crime goes unreported.

Some commentators claim the high rate of black crime is owing to inequitable treatment by police. Yet in the PBS broadcast Tony Brown's Journal (Sept. 1988), the past president of NOBLE (National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives) stated that over 50% of U.S. law enforcement officers are under the control of black police chiefs. When asked why blacks needed a separate police organization, he responded that blacks look at crime differently than whites and that black police officers and executives were working to benefit "our" people.

What does the future hold? In the past, juvenile crime figures provided a good indicator of future adult figures. A 1987 study of juveniles confined in penal facilities across the country showed that 41.4% were black, 36% white, 14.5% Hispanic and 6.1% Other. Using present nonwhite-on-white victimization figures as a guide, we can predict the number of whites convicted of crime will continue to decrease. At the same time, the number of violent and property crimes will continue to increase, which means that the number of violent crimes committed by nonwhites on whites will also continue to increase.

INSTAURATION -- DECEMBER 1988 -- PAGE 15
SINCE MANY AMERICANS have come to think Israel is the 51st state, it may come as a surprise that it is really a foreign country, with its own set of priorities, policies and laws. These differences cause occasional misunderstandings--sometimes even anti-Israel feelings.

This was the case recently when the U.S. lost a $30 billion arms deal with Saudi Arabia, which finally gave up trying to buy 60 McDonnell-Douglas F-15s for its air force and instead purchased British warplanes. In July 1988, Joseph Harsch reported in the Christian Science Monitor that the Israeli lobby in Washington had blocked the sale. That news emerged about the same time a $1.9 billion arms sale to Kuwait was also killed. According to Jewish Week, the opposition was led by AIPAC (American-Israel Public Affairs Committee).

The U.S. State Department, usually so groveling in matters relating to Israel, actually came close to issuing a statement that smacked of criticism. Said spokeswoman Phyllis Oakley, “We think it hurts our American interest.” That’s harsh, Phyllis, real harsh! So to stem even the slightest backlash against our Israeli friends, let’s run over just a few of the countless reasons our alliance with the Zionist state is a national treasure.

**Helping Each Other Financially**

The $31.9 billion of blocked sales to the Arabs translates roughly into a loss of 50,000 well-paying industrial jobs. Some of these 50,000 Americans may grumble that, when they do find a job in a fast-food restaurant or wherever, their low wages will be reduced even further by withholding taxes, so that Israel can remain the largest recipient of U.S. foreign aid.

Such grumbling, of course, is grossly insensitive and borders on the anti-Semitic. Israel only gets about $3 billion per year from the U.S. in over-the-table aid--civilian and military. Add to this a mere $2.2 billion for Egypt--money generally acknowledged as payola for Camp David. In addition, hundreds of millions more go to Israel under the table in the form of research grants (Israel has more U.S. academic institutions as research contractors as any country, with its own set of priorities, policies and laws.

Add to these perks the Mediterranean Sixth Fleet, which may cost U.S. taxpayers $17 to $24 for a billion a year, including support facilities ashore and overseas dependents. There’s no need really for a naval presence anywhere near this size to counter Russia’s Black Sea Fleet, since Turkey, a U.S. ally, sits astride the Dardanelles. In point of fact, the Sixth Fleet is in the Mediterranean to provide a steel umbrella for Israel. Although all these expenses are a little on the high side, what American would be unfeeling enough to begrudge the cost?

The total annual price tag of Israel’s “friendship” may be billions higher than the official figures, e.g., the $40 billion the 1973 Arab oil boycott cost the U.S. for unflinching support of “our best ally” (Wall Street Journal, May 17, 1977), or whatever value may be placed on the 34 American lives lost in the 1967 attack on the **U.S.S. Liberty**, or on the 250 Marines who died in Beirut trying to bring some stability to a chaotic city bombarded by Israeli planes and guns for over a month.

Part of the money American taxpayers provide Israel is used to pay off loans to the Zionist state from other countries. When “our best ally” was asked to forgo some of last year’s American loans because of its surplus cash reserves (estimated at $5.32 billion by the Jerusalem Post), Finance Minister Moshe Nissim said, “Israel is fully entitled to the money. We must insist on receiving these funds in their entirety. . . .”

Moshe was also miffed at efforts by some congressmen to get an accounting of how Israel spends all the loot it gets each year from American taxpayers. Fortunately, this ungracious meddling was derailed by Senator Daniel Inouye, the hero of the IranGate hearings and the first of the Senate’s Israel-firsters. The Hawaiian Jap can always be counted on to do the decent thing, such as keeping Israel’s name from bobbing up during the investigation of the plethora of Middle Eastern scams and such as trying to tap into the U.S. Treasury to help French Orthodox Jews get a decent religious education.

In 1987, Israel abandoned its Lavi (Lion) advanced warplane project. The U.S. had already put at least $1.5 billion into this effort, but because U.S. taxpayers are groaning under a $2 trillion debt, Israel cavalierly agreed to kill the Lavi. All our best friends asked in return was $500 million for project shutdown costs, another $200 million plus for future purchases of Israeli military equipment, and deep discounts on future purchases of American aircraft.

**Multiple Trade Benefits**

At this writing, Israel is the only country beside Canada that has a Free Trade agreement with the U.S. The Zionist state has a similar agreement with the European Common Market. One of many benefits flowing from this arrangement is that U.S. firms seeking to avoid Common Market duties can first ship to Israel, then on to Europe. The reverse is also true. The middleman makes his coming and going.

This is somewhat the same service Israel provided the U.S. in the arms-for-hostages affair. According to columnist Jack Anderson, millions of dollars still unaccounted for in the Iran-Contra deal wound up in Israeli hands. In that celebrated verbal slugfest with Dan Rather, George Bush insisted the Iran arms sales were “in the hands of a foreign power.” He didn’t elaborate. The Tower Commission described how Israel repeatedly revived the arms business with Iran when American interest flagged. But maybe Anderson, Bush and Tower are mistaken. Haven’t the Israelis repeatedly said they will never deal with terrorists?

In 1988, Congress passed a trade bill designed to discourage other countries from unfair trade practices. Naturally, Israel would never dream of doing such a thing to its best friend. But just in case the unthinkable might occur, Israel was exempted from the trade bill’s provisions.

**Fine Israeli Products**

The U.S. Navy buys surveillance drones from the Promised Land--36 so far. As yet only 12 have crashed. Many more might have, but delivery is a mere two years late, besides being a little over budget.

It’s true some questions about this transaction are now coming to light in the Pentagon procurement scandal. Why, for example, was there only one bidder? Why did Navy Secretary Lehman warn American companies which were thinking about bidding that
they would have to use off-the-shelf hardware? But the Israelis could use new technology, which is one reason for the crashes.

"It was sort of a new way of doing business," muttered one bewildered U.S. Navy purchasing officer. An Israeli official chuckled that American companies, "didn't compete because they didn't have enough time to translate U.S. Navy specifications from Hebrew into English!" In 1975, by the way, Israel bought huge amounts of industrial diamonds from U.S. government stockpiles, on credit and without competitive bidding. Most of these gems were later resold on the European market.

Sharing Atomic and Other Secrets

In 1968, NUMEC, an American nuclear processing plant, was doing work under a contract bestowed upon it by Admiral Hyman Rickover. The company was headed by Zalman Shapiro, also by coincidence a Jew. Equally coincidentally and in the same year, Rafael Eitan, a high-ranking Mossad official at the time, visited the plant.

Space won't permit a full account, but suffice it to say that when the dust finally settled, several hundred pounds of enriched uranium were missing. According to the United Press, "There is absolutely no question that material leaked from the NUMEC plant was used in the original Israeli nuclear weapons." A declassified FBI report commented, "There is no conceivable reason for Eitan to have gone [to NUMEC] but for the nuclear material."

Eitan's name pops up again in the Jonathan Pollard spy case. By now a member of Izhak Shamir's hardline Likud Party, Eitan was directing the innocuously named Israeli Science Bureau. Two Science Bureau agents operating out of Israel's embassy in Washington were Pollard's contacts. When the operation was blown, Israel promoted Eitan to a high-paying job in private industry.

Pollard's wife was also arrested. A secretary at the National Rifle Association, she provided Mossad with names and data about gun advocates. Mossad is alleged to have friendly links with various Jewish organizations in the U.S., such as the Anti-Defamation League and the Jewish Defense League. These groups have an abiding concern about non-Jewish gun lovers. According to an American Jewish Committee poll, 90% of American Jews favor gun control, only 7% are against. "No other religious body has as many groups enlisted or has made such a sweeping commitment to the cause," says the American Rifleman.

In September 1988, it was reported that Israel wanted diplomatic immunity granted to 47 members of its military purchasing office in New York. This would make moot some nagging questions regarding the smuggling of U.S. weapons technology in recent years, including cluster bomb manufacturing and chrome-plating cannon barrels. Needless to say, the State Department obediently complied.

Kindred Spirits

In the April 5, 1988, edition of Jewish Week, Rabbi Emanuel Rackman of Israel's Bar-Ilan University, stated that the Old Testament is taught in every Israeli school. In fact, the Zionist state openly promotes Judaism, funding its efforts in part with foreign aid provided by American taxpayers. Apparently, Israel's Moslem minority has not yet succeeded in using that country's courts to separate church and state. This is in stark contrast to the policy of the enlightened U.S., where practically all government assistance to Christian schools is now illegal, thanks primarily to the efforts of Jews. An American Jewish Congress poll conducted in 1984 by Steven Cohen of Queens College found that only 21% of American Jews support prayer in schools, while 70% are against the idea.

Historical note: In late 1986, the Israeli government censorship board banned The Last Secular Jew, a satirical movie based on the takeover of Israel by Orthodox Jews after a devastating war. It was banned because it was "anti-Semitic."

Honoring Human and Legal Rights

A couple of 30-odd political parties in Israel advocate withdrawing from the West Bank and Gaza Strip. It's feared that keeping a million Arabs under lock and key, so to speak, is a "demographic time bomb." At first this sounds almost racist. But since the people making such statements are Jewish, there's bound to be some other explanation.

As for "Transfer," many, if not most, Israelis favor transferring the West Bank and Gaza Strip Palestinians right out of the occupied territories. They feel this is necessary to preserve Jewish culture and avoid being thrown into the sea themselves. Whether or not such concerns are well-founded, some Americans might find this ethnocentrism and religious bias troubling. How is it that the self-proclaimed victims of genocide are thinking so seriously about getting their own genocidal act together?

Israel's foreign aid will not be cut this year. After his no doubt thorough investigation, Jacob Schiffer, a Jewish State Department official, said Israel's treatment of Palestinians during the current intifada does not constitute "a gross violation of human rights."

No, it's all very kosher when Palestinian demonstrators are killed, wounded, deported and their grass-roots political organizations smashed, or when Israel's Defense Minister says, "In confrontation with practically unarmed Palestinian children, the rioters should suffer casualties and scars." Schiffer's report was very brief and made page six or seven in the U.S. "impact" press -- as opposed to front-page accounts of really serious human rights violations by the South Africans, who ban inflammatory movies and meetings. And, of course, Palestinian charities should be shut down (108 on Aug. 28 alone), because they probably are fronts for the PLO.

Hopefully, no American will be so insensitive as to compare the situation of the Israelis to a gang taking violent possession of your family's house, and, after careful deliberation, deciding to throw you and your family out because you won't forever renounce violence to get your house back.

Israel's constitution and legal system make extradition of its citizens practically impossible. Robert Manning is strongly suspected of sending a bomb to someone who apparently ran afoul of the Jewish Defense League. The explosion killed the wrong person. Manning fled to Israel. On one of his frequent trips back to the States, he may have had a hand in murdering Alex Odeh, a Palestinian poet and activist, in Los Angeles. Rabbi Eliazer Waldman of the Knesset sums up Israeli policy: "Jews should never be handed over to Gentiles under any circumstances."

David Kimche was the high Israeli official who helped keep the U.S. involved in the arms-for-hostages deal. Israeli officials quashed a subpoena for him issued by the American independent counsel.

Jonathan Pollard, who some say did more damage than any other spy in American history, copied tons of secret U.S. documents in David Katz's Washington apartment. Katz now lives in Israel, and Israeli officials won't hand him over to the Justice Dept. Colonel Aviem Sella, who directed a special Israeli espionage unit, was also linked to Pollard. Far from being turned over to U.S. authorities, he was given command of a big Israeli air base, until public clamor forced his removal to another cushy job. Rafael Eitan, as mentioned previously, safely resides in Israel. Perhaps all three of these spymasters will be at the Tel Aviv airport to greet the Pollards when they are released as a gesture of American goodwill.

Ponderable Quote

It is thought that justice is equality; and so it is, but not for all persons, only for those that are equal.

Aristotle
Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

Colloquies

Act. I, Scene II. Dinner at the bishop’s residence. At a table placed at the front of the stage, the following seven people are sitting, facing the audience: Jasper, Tourmaline, Bishop Allison, Charity, Eugene, Lucy, Karin. Bishop Allison is a tall, slim Atlanto-Mediterranean type, with an intense, ascetic countenance. Karin, the au pair girl, looks like a classic Swedish Nordic, though she is in fact Finnish; her eyes are set at a slight angle in her beautiful, light-boned head. She speaks very little English as yet and gets up frequently to remove and bring in dishes. Tourmaline often helps her; so occasionally does Lucy.

LUCY. I know Eugene will disapprove, but we all sit on the same side of the table so that we can watch the goggle-box. BISHOP. Yes, we do our share of viewing. I think it wrong that our lives should be too detached from those of the masses.

EUGENE. Do you watch the same programmes as they do: tedious, repetitive, yammering rubbish?

BISHOP. Well, of course we deplore the violence and seeming lack of delicacy in some of the programmes, but that is no excuse for insulating ourselves from common experience. The way forward lies through discussion and consensus with those who produce the programmes, not in outright condemnation. Not even Prince Charles, it seems to me, has the right to condemn programmes merely because they contain sex and violence.

TOURMALINE. We do get some good programmes, too. That Cousteau series on the Amazon was marvellous, and so are the African wildlife films. I should just love to go and see it all.

CHARITY. That’s all very well. But how about the African people? How often do we see their point of view? I have heard that the creation of every park for wildlife means the dispossession of thousands of poor black African farmers who are desperately trying to survive. Yet, apart from praising a few token Uncle Toms who pander to the white man’s obsession, Wildlife parks are a white man’s obsession. Not a single one would exist outside South Africa if it were not for the money brought by tourists who want to see the African animals -- and the African people, too, provided they are still tribal enough.

CHARITY (trying to sneer). In that order!

Lucy gets up and turns on the TV.

VOICE OF NEWSCASTER. And now -- over to South Africa. Bishop Tutu removes flaying tyres from the necks of blacks accused of collaboration with the authorities. (Yells, screams and other noises in the background. Lucy turns down the sound, but the news programme continues.)

BISHOP. You know, Eugene, it really is difficult to understand how you can watch the actual evidence of oppression and violence in South Africa without your conscience being troubled.

EUGENE. If I remember rightly, it was Winnie Mandela, darling of the World Council of Churches, who incited blacks to liberate South Africa with “matches and necklaces.” She has never disowned that phrase -- just said it was quoted out of context. Newsweek says that according to some estimates, necklacings have increased to one a day over the past year. I understand it’s quite a painful death.

BISHOP. But surely there must also be some understanding for the desperation of black people in the face of the constant provocation of apartheid.

LUCY (speaking very distinctly). Karin, dear, do go and fetch the big bowl of gooseberry fool* from the fridge.

KARIN (getting up). Ah, the fool! Mrs. Maybanks thinks I am a fool because I not speak English well. (She smiles charmingly.) She call me “Carron.”

JASPER. Yes, Sharron, Carron and Darren are some of the commonest names in her walk of life. But Mrs. Maybanks is herself a nitwit, and probably doesn’t speak much Finnish, either. Anyway, nobody would think you were sixpence in the shilling. (Karin obviously does not understand.) I mean, nobody could ever think of you as other than you are.

KARIN. What is that?

JASPER. I’ll explain at length another time.

TOURMALINE (blushing furiously). I believe the first question some girls ask a man the next morning is, “What is my name?”

LUCY. Tourmaline! Behave yourself. We are enjoined to be kind -- always. Besides, Karin helps me and I love her a lot. (She puts a hand round Karin’s shoulder and lightly kisses her on the cheek.)

KARIN. I not always understand everything. (She goes out stage right, a little saucily, to return later with the fool.)

EUGENE (looking fondly after her and then looking fondly at Tourmaline). You know, I think I must be a good Christ-

* For American readers, this is a British dish made of stewed gooseberries mixed with cream.
ian after all. I love people even when I don’t know them very well.

CHARITY. You like pretty young girls. That’s about the size of it. But what if Jonathan Kipsigi had been able to come this evening? Would you have been so fond of him?

LUCY. That’s not quite fair. Eugene has met Jonathan and was able to get along with him pretty well in Swahili.

CHARITY. Yes, I know, he always uses non-Europeans as language informants. But he never tries to integrate them into English culture.

EUGENE. That is quite true, of course. Desire to integrate people implies that they can only fully realise themselves in terms of one’s own culture. That strikes me -- and them -- as presumptuous. I am all for preserving the variety of mankind.

BISHOP. Of course, you are right up to a point. But too much separateness between groups can lead to unfortunate value judgements.

EUGENE. That is hardly surprising.

BISHOP. In any case, solutions can only be found for each individual person, not for entire groups.

EUGENE. Individuals belong to groups and are often identified in that way.

LUCY. Eugene is a trained devil’s advocate.

CHARITY. What worries me is the effect of his irresponsible doctrines on young people who don’t remember where it all leads. I believe he persuaded Jasper to visit South Africa.

JASPER. Well, he certainly persuaded me that South Africa should not be deleted from my pre-University grand tour. And I must say I found the blacks there much better off than elsewhere in Africa. In fact, blacks from neighbouring countries try hard to get into South Africa.

CHARITY. They need work, poor people, and imperialism has prevented development in their own countries. In any case, you didn’t just go there to study the living conditions of black people.

JASPER. No, it’s true I spent a lot more time doing other things. I shall never forget hang-gliding high above the sea-cliffs of the Cape. It was even more exciting than parasailing in Wales. And the wines were wonderful, too.

As for the Kruger Park . . .

BISHOP. But that shouldn’t mean that you allow your conscience to become calloused. Dr. Allen Boesak, an Afrikaner despite his brown skin, has spoken of white police behaving “bestially” towards the blacks. And the riots in Soweto and elsewhere surely demonstrate the inhumanity of the regime.

EUGENE. As I recall, there were riots in England in 1981 which resulted in large areas of Brixton, Bristol and Birmingham being burnt out by the blacks. Doesn’t that put us on a par with the white South Africans?

BISHOP. The difference is that with us, discrimination exists but is illegal, whereas in South Africa, it is institutionalised.

EUGENE. But doesn’t that show how much more hypocritical we are?

BISHOP. Hypocrisy is the tribute which vice pays to virtue. It is better than the alternative of legalised injustice and a calloused conscience.

EUGENE. That won’t do. For one thing, morality is supposed to be universal, not selective. If I may quote a gentleman with the resounding name of Appapillai Amirthalingum, Secretary-General of the Tamil Liberation Front in Sri Lanka: “In South Africa, if four or five coloured people die, it is news in Europe. In Sri Lanka, hundreds are being killed. Yet no similar outrage is voiced in the Western press, not even when we read headlines like, ‘Execution threat to rioters brings calm to Sri Lanka.’”

What if the South African government had made such a threat?

BISHOP. I fear you are just trying to find excuses for the inexcusable.

EUGENE. If the World Council of Churches was as concerned for the blacks as it claims to be, it would not be supporting sanctions which are slowly strangling the economy of South Africa and which hit the poorest hardest.

BISHOP. Sometimes duty calls us to do things which are unpleasant in the short term but justified in the longer term.

EUGENE. In other words, you are hoping for violent revolution in order to achieve an illusory equality. And you realise, like all revolutionaries, that in order to achieve your aim you will have to deliberately worsen the situation for those you are supposed to be helping. What is more, you intend to attach moral blame to the whites on account of the harm which you are inflicting on the blacks. Now that is what I call hypocrisy.

CHARITY. Forgive me if I suspect that your real concern is not for the poor blacks who will suffer from sanctions, but rather for the poor whites of South Africa, especially those Boers who support that horrible man, Terre’ Blanche.

EUGENE. I notice that the Daily Telegraph describes him as a “brilliant orator,” and certainly it seems to me he has something to orate about: the very survival of the white man in Africa.

BISHOP. I’m sorry. I just can’t understand such a frame of reference. Our duty is towards our neighbour, and in South Africa that means above all the black man. All separation is obscene if it leaves the whites rich and the blacks poor.

EUGENE. Could it possibly be that the whites have a greater capacity to create wealth? You know, in 1847, encouraged by the missionaries, the British government set up a province of Kaffaria within the Cape Province — rather like the homelands of present-day South Africa. The land was good, and it was not overcrowded. But soon the Kaffirs left of their own free will, to serve the white man once again.

CHARITY. Even if what you say about wealth-creating ability were true, it would still be the duty of the whites to sacrifice some of their wealth to help the blacks whom they have despoiled.

EUGENE. But what you and the Bishop are asking for is nothing less than one man-one vote, which everywhere else in Africa has led to the expulsion of all white men, except for those few who are allowed to remain because they are useful in running the economy. It is hardly surprising that the white working class, which stands to lose most, is determined not to permit that.

EUGENE. But what you and the Bishop are asking for is nothing less than one man-one vote, which everywhere else in Africa has led to the expulsion of all white men, except for those few who are allowed to remain because they are useful in running the economy. It is hardly surprising that the white working class, which stands to lose most, is determined not to permit that.

BISHOP. We are not concerned with “learning from experience,” as you would no doubt put it, but rather in creating a better world. Wasn’t it Karl Marx who said that
our task is not to understand the world but to change it? Doesn't the Sermon on the Mount remind us that the poor should always be in our thoughts?

EUGENE. I see no point in arguing on that point. It would take too long. Besides, there are plenty of Marxist working models which make such arguments superfluous. What I am concerned with is the fact that for most of the white population of South Africa, your demand amounts to unconditional surrender and leaves them no option but to resist.

CHARITY. But wasn't unconditional surrender precisely what we demanded of the Nazis?

EUGENE. Certainly our leaders did just that, which is what envenomed the war from that time onwards and led to millions of unnecessary deaths. It is like your strategy with sanctions. First, you create conditions which lead to revolution; then you blame the South African government for them.

BISHOP. I just wish I could introduce you to some of my friends who have sacrificed so much to bring about a peaceful solution to South Africa's problems: Canon Collins, Trevor Huddleston, Alan Paton.

EUGENE. Canon Collins is an extreme Marxist. Father Huddleston was sent out to South Africa because his homosexual activities were making England too hot for him, and Alan Paton is now against sanctions.

LUCY. Dear Eugene -- always determined to support the losing side. You are a true Cavalier, you know.

EUGENE. As long as some still fight, the battle is not over. Every now and again in history the few defeat the many, and a new era dawns.

LUCY. Jasper, how was your time in British Columbia?

JASPER. Fascinating. I was able to study the migrations of the various kinds of Pacific salmon. Of course, my main concern was to see whether salmon farming would be feasible in some unpolluted river over here. But it was inspiring, too -- all those millions of salmon spawn, turning into hundreds of thousands of alevins, tens of thousands of parr, thousands of smolt, which go with the current down to the sea, to return in a year or so as grilse, which work their way slowly up river as they grow to adulthood and finally jump high over the cascades, against the current, to reach their spawning pools. Few survive, but they spawn further millions of eggs.

EUGENE. The salmon has inspired Indo-Europeans for thousands of years. Did you know that the Sanskrit word "lakh," which means a hundred thousand, is cognate with the Scots word lax, and also meant salmon originally?

CHARITY. Here we go again! Eugene playing the part of guru to the impressionable young -- like Laurens van der Post with Prince Charles!

LUCY. The time has come for us to have coffee in the drawing room.

(They all file out.)

To be continued

---

White Slaves

The white man has forgotten how to scream,
We may not want to wail like the black man but we may want to go as berserk as the Vikings,
Moderation makes men smaller,
West Point is now unisex; democratic ideals are emasculating,
Intellectuals and women have crippled male recklessness and courage,
And Fathers were too busy paying the bills to say: "Let the boys alone!"

We approach Rome of 476,
Hush your mouth, can’t you see that the West is preparing to die!
Tell father to lower his voice so we can hear the television set,
And hide grandpa before the guests arrive,
The unrestrained Male somehow threatens the West;
Civilizations fall from such over-refinement.

Alas, times of terror are coming,
One youthful street-warrior may be worth thirty-five political science professors,
The unplacating eyes of teenagers quickly spot the fat bellies,
Young people can be ruthless in excommunicating weaklings,
Grandpa will be brought in from the shed,
The cat will hide under the bed, the dog will be unchained.

The Neanderthal moon still shines,
Someone saw a colony of bats returning to Wall Street at dawn,
Vampires move through the shadows hunched over with bags of American blood;
Once we feared bats but now they nest in our hair,
The Hollywood vampires laugh at us with capped teeth,
But they avoid those of us who can see in the dark,
They sing the blues to the sun because they always want what they cannot have;
Bat droppings are suffocating us.

There are too many weakling liberals and Third-World assistant professors in America;
Old men used to be wiser, now only the unindoctrinated seem aware,

And the misnamed “conservatives” justify this declining state with a flawed and boring system of ideas,
Give me the race and keep your mutilated laws and your overdeveloped ideas,
To be Nordic has always been enough to build civilizations.

The white man is retreating to the last island,
Racialism is beginning to shine in the eyes of the White Slaves.

We watch and wait through the egalitarian winter,
We are like northern lights witnessed only by icebergs, or we are flags waving on lone poles in the desert.

KENNETH ANDERSON
Jews who have had their way -- their monopolistic, monolithic way -- on TV for lo these many years may be getting itchy. In its season opener, 60 Minutes, the Jewish establishment's propagandistic pride and joy, gave holy hell to AIPAC, the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee, which is not a PAC, but the foreign policy beacon of the nation's most powerful lobby.

Mike Wallace sympathetically interviewed two of AIPAC's most prominent political victims: ex-Senator Charles Percy and ex-Representative Paul Findley, both of Illinois. George Ball, the inveterate Israel-phobe, an old State Department hand turned banker, informed Mike that AIPAC was a "corrupting" influence. The blocking of huge arms sales to Arab states was mentioned, though not the number of American jobs lost by Congress's insistent acting against the national interest and caving in to the wishes of a foreign lobby and a foreign state.

What goes on here? One answer was given by Wallace in the closing seconds of the piece, when he said the American Jewish Committee and the ADL were now opposed to AIPAC's renegadish maneuvering. Do the ADL and the American Jewish Committee know something we don't know? Or are they getting nervous because of the ongoing Palestinian uprising, the Pollard case and the number of Israeli assaults on U.S. friendship?

It's no secret that the Jewish establishment in this country stands behind Shimon Peres in his expressed willingness to give up part of the occupied territories to their persecuted occupants. American Jews have been fairly successful in keeping the news lid on the Palestinian uprising, but much of the rest of the world has been horrified by what has been taking place in the West Bank and Gaza. AIPAC stands behind any and every Israeli leader, especially the hawkish kind. Perhaps the 60 Minutes broadcast was a signal that the American Jewish financial elite wants some accommodation with the Palestinians. Since non-Jewish politicians in the U.S. are too cowardly to force the issue, all the Palestinians can hope for is that the Jews in Israel will get tired of trying to excuse and cover up their unpalatable repressive tactics.

Another current Jewish worry is the network and cable talk shows that permit both sides of various controversies to be heard. Only in the last few years has the television audience been able to get away from the down-the-line liberal-minority bias of Dan Rather and hear opposing points of view on such shows as Crossfire, C-SPAN phone-ins and The McLaughlin Group. Even Holocaust revisionism has been given a little exposure, notably with the appearance of Jerome Brentar on Crossfire in mid-September.

Brentar, an American of Croatian descent who runs a travel agency in Cleveland, was dropped as co-chairman of a Bush campaign group after it became known he believes in the innocence of John Demjanjuk, who was given a death sentence in a juryless war crimes trial in Israel.

When Brentar was reluctant to affirm or deny the Holocaust, co-host Tom Braden claimed he saw gas chamber victims at Buchenwald with his own eyes. He had arrived there a few days after Germany's surrender. Buchanan thereupon cut in with the scathing remark that even Jewish historians now deny there was any gassing in any camps in Germany.

This was quite a breakthrough for Holocaust revisionism. There were more to come a few moments later when Buchanan cracked down on Rep. Stephen Solarz (D-NY), the other Crossfire guest that evening, who had claimed Brentar was sacked by the Bushites because he had been known to express doubts about the Holocaust. Under a withering fusillade of questions by Solarz, Brentar managed to bring up such Holocaust impossibilities as mass executions by steam, electrical shock and diesel exhaust fumes.

At the very end of the program Buchanan called Solarz a "complete phony," necessitating a change in the show's standard sign-off routine. Solarz demanded and got the last word.

Dan Rather gave Lloyd Bentsen and Michael Dukakis what amounted to 10-15 minutes of prime network time in the last week of October in what was falsely described as an interview. Except for three or four marshmallow questions, Dan allowed them to drone on without interruption. One or two evenings later he announced he had extended the same "courtesy" to Bush and Quayle. Since the last time Injun Dan interviewed Bush, he insulted him and abruptly ended the tête-à-tête, it is not surprising that Bush didn't rush to accept the invitation. Quayle also resisted being skewered in front of tens of millions of CBS couch potatoes, who must be certified Democratic Party boosters if they tune in to the most politically loaded and mentally cramped of the network anchors.

After Dan Quayle stated that the death of Pakistan's President Zia was bad news for the U.S., David Brinkley and Peter Jennings asked Jesse Jackson to respond. These are Jesse's exact inexact words:

Quayle said so long as it's an ally of America, it's kind of basically all right. He must know Hitler was an American ally and Botha in South Africa is an American ally, and Somoza in Nicaragua was an American ally.

* * *
Jessica Savitch was by no means my favorite TV woman reporter. Aphony blonde, a cocaine addict, a neurotic Jewish girl from Kenneth Square (PA), she ended up being driven by her Jewish companion into a Bucks County canal during a rainstorm one night back in 1983. Both died. Now, five years later, the culture vultures have come out with two maudlin books about Jessica, making it appear that her untimely demise was a tragedy on the order of Joan of Arc's burning at the stake. The titles are as inappropriate as the undeserved hoopla: Almost Golden and Golden Girl. One book, I forget which, reveals the world-shaking news that Jessica at one point in her uninspiring life had an affair with Ed Bradley, the 60 Minutes mulatto who affects an earring.

I wonder if Diane Sawyer will end up as miserably. She's smarter and better looking, but just as peroxided. She keeps pretty much the same kind of company as Savitch did -- Bradley, Wallace, Hewitt, Safer and the rest of the 60 Minutes gang. She also has Jewish friends off-camera, in fact is married to one, as is Connie Chung, NBC's Saturday night anchorwoman, who, being an epicentric brunette, is hardly an average shiksa. Leslie Stahl, another fake blonde, is Jewish to start with. Has the CBS Saturday night anchor, Susan Spencer so far managed to escape the clutches of the "crowd"?

My favorite TV female reporter is Judy Woodruff, the best-looking of the lot and a real blonde. She is married to Al Hunt, a non-Jew who works for the Wall Street Journal. Not too prepossessing, he is on CNN's Capital Gang (Saturday at 7:00 p.m.).

From a perceptive Instaurationist. In The Painted Word, Tom Wolfe spoke of intelligence analysts who sometimes spend years looking for one crucial number or sentence that lowers the veil on a big secret. Wolfe himself was inspired to write his book after reading a New York Times article about an art critic who asserted that a Modern Art painting couldn't be understood without first understanding its attendant theory. In other words, a contemporary painting is or should be simply a means of expressing a Message (thus, "painted word"). All at once, Wolfe understood why he'd had so much trouble appreciating the works of such "geniuses" as Jackson Pollock.

I experienced a similar flash of revelation recently after reading an item in Instauration (April 1988, p. 14). A South African correspondent stated, "The masters of America want to hybridize the American people, which is why their TV is aimed at impressionable children."

Children?

Why, of course! Not just the Saturday morning 'toonies, but even prime time offerings are cooked up for children -- or, more accurately, child-like minds. A child, as every parent knows, is not mature enough to separate fantasy from reality, advertising from serious content. This is only natural because of undeveloped cognitive skills and the lack of a substantial data inventory. As the child grows older, this changes -- assuming proper education, which the average American student no longer gets. Consequently, a huge proportion of any modern TV audience -- including adults -- observes the programs through immature eyes.

This presents a danger that the Messages of such electronic works of art will not be comprehended. The cherished Modern Art practice of stationing theorists in coffee houses to hold forth on meaning and validity is unworkable in this situation, Fortunately for America's masters, Electronic Art comes complete with its own spoken word.

Still, getting the Message across is no bed of bagels. Hollywood moguls have been worried that we might have already forgotten the lesson of Evil in Clear River, namely, that Majority activists are evil through and through. So a fetching actress like Lindsay Wagner was hastily summoned to act in Terror at Bitter Creek, with its Message, "Oy, those darn Aryans are at it again! Look, my children, they shoot horses and now we all know what they do to womenfolk once they catch 'em."

Surely, I thought, Hollywood went too far with this one. John and Mary Average would recognize this as blatant propaganda and an insult to their intelligence. I waited to hear screams of outrage cascade through my neighborhood, but nothing of the sort happened. The bitter Message had been consumed with barely a burp. Now, thanks to Instauration, I understand why. Electronic Art is accepted by audiences to a degree that leaves the perpetrators of Modern Art awash with envy.

Indeed, the situation has deteriorated well beyond what our South African friend suggested. The TV set is the American mindset.

When TV reporter Colleen Hunt tried to cover the Mike Tyson-Mitch Green fistfight in Harlem, a black woman assaulted her. In addition to being beaten around the face and body, Ms. Hunt suffered the loss of an earring and had her earlobe ripped. Some 300 Negroes milled about during the attack and cheered her attacker.

In the past 30 years, West German TV has devoted 13,000 hours to anti-Nazi programs. That's probably only a small fraction of the time American TV has allocated to its seemingly eternal diabolization of Hitler.

Blacks spend 23 more hours a week glued to the tube than whites. They also do more group watching since black households contain more members than white households. Blacks also spend more time watching daytime than nighttime TV, 53% more time than whites. Black children watch TV 11 hours a week more than white children.

No one bothered to dig very deeply into these figures. Could it be that blacks have more leisure than whites for TV? Could it be that whites don't have as much time for daylit TV because they are out of the house -- working?

One other point. The disproportionately high rate of black TV viewing may account for the low-IQ shows that dominate the tube. How many blacks watch Masterpiece Theatre? Perhaps black viewers raise the ratings of many shows that but for those few extra points would have been given a quick and well-deserved death.

TV Math. One rating point equals 904,100 households. ABC promised its advertisers a 21.2 average prime time rating for the Olympics. This represents more than 19 million households. The rating actually turned out to be 19.2. Since networks charge advertisers according to ratings, the 2 point drop meant ABC had to run millions of dollars worth of additional spots without charge to make up the difference.
Thoughts from the White Tip

DO NOT KNOW what news about South Africa has recently been featured in the American misinformation media, but I would imagine that the South African military pullout from Angola might have produced some headlines. The South African military presence there usually amounted to about 3,000 men, peaking at times to 6,000 (hardly an army), whereas the Cubans, the harmless “agrarian reformers,” number about 60,000 troops. The U.S. peace plan is that South African fighting men should quit Angola and promise to quit South-West Africa (Namibia) as well, before quitting South Africa itself. In return, the Cubans are expected to set sail for the Caribbean and quit Africa forever.

My country’s original purpose in entering Angola was to chase Swapo terrorists of the Ovambo tribe, which is settled on both sides of the border, and to operate in support of Savimbi’s Unita forces, no doubt with American approval. South African soldiers advanced as far as Luanda, the capital; then suddenly beat a hasty retreat. The common explanation for this mysterious behaviour was that the South Africans had believed that the CIA, which had been egging them on, was acting on behalf of the U.S. government, until Washington woke up to what was happening and angrily ordered them to bug out. Otherwise, the Communists might be defeated. Now, with the Cubans strongly dug in at Cuito Cuanavale and backed up by the very latest Soviet tanks and fighter planes, South Africa is only too glad to get out of Angola on the promise of a cessation of hostilities, especially in view of the conflict’s enormous cost. (South Africa, by the way, is unable to raise a loan anywhere -- unlike black African states, who don’t have to repay their borrowings.)

One reason South-West Africa is a heavy financial burden is that many of its most productive whites sold out long ago and headed south across the Orange River. This helps explain why the South African government is always talking of peace but never of victory, though in fact peace was no sooner agreed upon and the troops withdrawn when Swapo, a party to the peace negotiations, exploded a huge bomb in the Continental Hotel in Windhoek (the leading hostelry in my days there), seriously wounding a score or more of whites and killing two, one of them an unfortunate Australian visitor who had dropped in for a refreshing “schoon­er.” Yes, local German beer always had a strong kick.

It was noticeable that Jonas Savimbi himself, by far the most intelligent and worthy black leader in all Africa, was left out of the peace negotiations, leaving him the potential target of concentrated Cuban firepower. It is scarcely to be believed that Castro’s minions, having come so far, will make more than a token show of departing for home. Neither so malleable nor so credulous as the South Africans, the Cubans have always treated the Americans with contempt. Following the crushing of Unita, we can assume that Windhoek will be their next objective, where they will install their puppet president and directly threaten South Africa’s flank. The South African Minister of Information, Stoffel van der Merwe, has already stated that a Swapo government in Namibia (he is already using the Afro-Communist appellation) would be quite acceptable if the Cubans would leave Angola. President P.W. Botha himself, in softening us up for the surrender of South-West, said in Parliament that the territory had never been a part of his country, but was merely a South African-administered former German colony, which in a sense is true, although it has been administered by South Africa for over a lifetime now. The fact is, it has always been regarded and treated as a province of South Africa, and during Verwoerd’s premiership our right to administer it was confirmed by the World Court at the Hague -- on the swing vote of the Australian judge! As for Britain, she had no desire to acquire the deserts of South-West. Neither had Bismarck until he was pressured by the German electorate’s clamour for colonies. In any case, we can be sure that neither van der Merwe nor President Botha has ever heard of Bismarck’s dictum that a nation that surrenders territory voluntarily is a nation in decay. If the Cubans were to install a Swapo puppet in Windhoek (Swapo, remember, was defeated before the Cubans took over), South Africa, the way it is going now, would retaliate by appointing Oliver Tambo, the titular head of the African National Congress, as president of the country.

If South Africa were to mobilise in earnest and put the country on a war footing, the Cubans would be as docile as mice. This was demonstrated during WWII when a small force of South African volunteers drove all the way through Africa to defeat the Italians in Abyssinia. During the Boer War, a British imperial army of half a million men needed three years to defeat 40,000 Afrikaner farmers. So it would seem 60,000 mostly mestizos from the “Pearl of the Antilles” would not be likely to get very far. All South Africa requires is will, but for that we would need a new government. As things stand now, we cannot win a war because we have borrowed from the Americans, the foremost exponents of the art of conducting no-win wars, the so-called “80-20 formula,” meaning that a war should be 80% socioeconomic and only 20% military, which is quite enough to make armed forces forget they are, in fact, forces.

Do I believe the Cubans would ever embark on large-scale military adventures in Southern Africa? The answer is no. The distances involved are too vast and the terrain too hostile for other than a highly organised army to tackle. With their home bases thousands of miles away on the other side of an ocean, the Cubans might venture a probe into South-West Africa, into Swapo’s Ovamboland. They would be insane to attempt much more. Instead, they might decide to go home, although that wouldn’t make sense either. They are more likely to just sit and wait for South Africa to collapse from within, meanwhile seeing what they can reap in Angola itself and perhaps in the Congo or even copper-rich Zambia, where Kenneth Kaunda should logically welcome them.

On the political front, there has been little change since I last wrote. Harry Oppenheimer’s Progressive Federal Party (the Progs) is being kept alive by artificial respiration and the ruling National Party (the Nats) is slowly expiring in the arms of P.W. Botha. Only Dr. Treurnicht’s Conservative Party is on the uptake. The PFP has suffered or, one might say, benefitted from yet another major
cause a conscripted force of citizens is one of the best safeguards there would be no more crime, which is quite true because the all remaining laws and acts protecting whites should be thrown on the scrapheap, which is where most newspapers end up. That is also her co-religionist, Harry Schwarz, a much more intelligent man than Eglin (who isn’t?) -- sufficiently intelligent to oppose the End Conscription campaign (since proscribed), though only because a conscripted force of citizens is one of the best safeguards against a coup d’état by the military, which is obviously feared.

With the continued veering to the left of the National Party, liberals have been emerging from the woodwork in swarms, as if it were the mating season, and the press is confidently demanding that all remaining laws and acts protecting whites should be thrown on the scrapheap, which is where most newspapers end up. The press proclaims that if genuine liberalism ruled the land there would be no more crime, which is quite true because the law itself would have been scrapped. Although the press is violently opposed to any repression of freedom of expression, in the interest of such freedom, it just as violently demands that all rightist expression be suppressed. It calls for the immediate banning of all right-wing organisations but is against the banning of black Communist organisations. It wants the government to negotiate with these groups, not ban them. But negotiate what? White capitalisation? Just that, the press answers, though sotto voce.

At the banning of the latest thinly disguised African National Congress affiliate, British Foreign Secretary Sir Geoffrey Howe was “shocked and saddened.” U.S. State Department spokesman Charles Redman said his government was “appalled.” In Washington, Assistant Secretary for African Affairs Chester “Foxy” Crocker, who is married to a Jewess, called on the South African ambassador to “register our shock and distress at these inexplicable actions.” It is all in line with the appeals for clemency by President Reagan and Mrs. Thatcher when black killers are sentenced to be hanged, such as the Sharpeville Six, who necklaced a black township mayor. Mrs. Thatcher has expressed her hatred of terrorism and genuinely desires that the IRA should be swept off the face of the earth, yet she unfailingly rushes to the defence of black terrorists in South Africa. This pressure and hypocrisy, not to mention insufferable impertinence, is what South Africa has to contend with every day. I cannot understand why the government so meekly puts up with it.

Sanctions are only opposed by those who fear the harm they will do to blacks. Absolutely nobody cares what happens to the civilised, anti-Communist whites. Nevertheless, it must be said that not all sanctions are bad. I am happy about Woody Allen’s refusal to allow his films to be shown here. Regrettting that the international boycott had “failed to topple the existing regime,” Allen announced he had “received a number of grateful letters from Afrikaners who say that while they avoided my films before, now they are prevent from wandering into one of them accidentally, and for this they thank me with all their hearts.” Speaking for myself, I have seen only one of his films and that one much against my will. At any rate, this is the first time I have known that spindly little neurotic to say anything remotely funny -- and true.

There can be no doubt that the Conservative Party’s successes in recent by-elections, winning seat after seat, has jolted the NP badly, even to the extent that it is proposing a merger with the PFP in order to halt the march of the “jackbooted tortoise” (the Conservative Party’s emblem has a tortoise on it, an old Afrikaner symbol). As the Nats have now become almost as far left as the Progs, there is no reason why they should not merge, except that it would spoil the illusion of traditional Nationalist conservatism, which is still chatted up in order to hoodwink voters. The Nats are aiming for a “non-racial” government, which has to be liberal because only liberals, living in their dream world, could describe an assembly of whites, blacks, coloureds and Indians as “non-racial.” Whites have to change, we are told, though the leaders of blacks, coloureds and Indians never ask their people to change. It is significant that the more the Nats are worried, the more they push their “Reform,” almost as if they had no choice, but were acting under compulsion. But liberals can never believe that what they are doing might be wrong. Their conscious or subconscious aim is to bring their nation-wrecking process to the point where the nation will be beyond repair.

It must be borne in mind that the Conservative Party represents NP policy before Dr. Verwoerd was so dramatically stabbed in the heart in Parliament by a half-breed Portuguese. After Verwoerd had been so opportunely disposed of, his immediate successor, John Vorster, swore that he would follow faithfully in his predecessor’s political footsteps. He then did a smart about-turn and marched off in the opposite direction, a move which has ever since required a policy of deception. I get a good laugh out of reading about our leaders in the NP press and noting their contortions. They describe the Conservative Party as being far-right or ultra-right, and the party’s followers, such as the AWB (Afrikaner Resistance Movement) as being “Nazi-like” and Fascist. They should really be more careful. If they are correctly describing the Conservative Party, then it follows that the NP, its opponents, are not just moderately right-wing, as they still like to claim, but far-left or ultra-left and even pure Communist, which is what far-left really means in these parts.

The Nat press demands to know when the conservatives are going to repudiate the AWB and that group’s emphasis on the vital importance of race, which in Germany “led to mass murders which revolted the world.” This comy gas-oven approach only shows just how far gone the Nats are. But Treurnicht, the Conservative Party leader, has naturally refused to repudiate any of his supporters. He finds nothing offensive in furthering and protecting one’s own racial interests as other races do, especially when the white race is the only race that is threatened, and is the one race most deserving of survival if evolution is to have any meaning. Love for one’s own people does not necessarily imply a hatred of other races, any more than a strong family feeling implies a hatred of other families, though it is natural that our enemies can only conceive of us as being motivated by hatred because that is what motivates them.

A Nationalist member of Parliament has complained that the Conservative economic approach amounted to a “white policy” similar to Hitler’s National Socialism, which naturally rendered it unspeakable. The truth is, Hitler’s economic policy put his stricken land back on its feet in a remarkably short space of time. Echoing this complaint, the Nat press declared that the Conservatives’ ideas would lead to economic chaos. Is it possible that Nationalist memories can be so short that they have forgotten the days of Verwoerd, when there was no yielding to international pressure, when there was peace and stability in the land and the country’s economy was booming? In those days I never dreamed I would hear Afrikaners talking as the Nats talk today. Only a few decades ago, the pages of the Cape Town Nationalist newspaper, Die Burger, were filled with cartoons of the Afrikaner folk’s arch-enemy, Hoggemeimer, a markedly Semitic-looking gentleman bulging with belly and money-bags. Since Die Burger moved to a splendid new building on the Cape Town foreshore, however,
22.6% of the rapes committed in the U.S. are black-on-white. If this estimate is true, then 20,437 of the 90,430 forcible rapes listed in the U.S. in the 1986 FBI crime reports are black males raping white women. Since it is known that half or more of rapes are never reported by the victim, the real figure for annual black-on-white rapes could certainly exceed 40,000 or 50,000. Add that up over a period of years and at least 1,000,000 white women will be raped by blacks in the next two decades. (Black-on-white rape estimates from an article by Gary D. LaFree in the American Journal for Sociology, Sept. 1982)

70% of the 41,559 inmates in the New York State prison system come from the New York City area. Of these 29,048 prisoners, 14% or 4,074 tested positive for AIDS.

According to a Newsweek poll (Feb. 1988), 62% of blacks and 56% of whites prognosticate that the U.S. will have a Negro president before A.D. 2008.

23,011 lobbyists were registered with Congress in mid-1987; 365 in 1960. Present ratio is 1 congressman per 43 lobbyists. (Hedrick Smith, The Power Game, p. 29)

1,180,000 American children were the innocent players in divorce proceedings in 1984. The U.S. had 10.6 million daycare centers in 1977; 12.7 million in 1982.

Haircuts at $120, hamburgers at $17.50, dog training sessions at $4,000 per, Rolls-Royces rented at $400 a day, $2,500 shoes, $3,000-a-night suites at the Century Plaza -- such are the going prices in Beverly Hills (CA) and environs.

"[The U.S.] can no longer afford the lost productivity and social costs of 23 million functionally illiterate people and workers insufficiently trained for high-technology jobs." (Laura Tyson, professor of economics, University of California, Berkeley)

Between 15,000 and 20,000 activists constitute the white supremacist movement in the U.S. Another 150,000 sympathize with the movement, either attending so-called Christian patriot meetings and Klan rallies, buying literature or making donations. Nevertheless, only 0.1% of the U.S. population is involved. (The Monitor, The Center for Democratic Renewal, Atlanta, Nov. 1987)

The Robert and Jane Meyerhoff Foundation has given $522,000 to the University of Maryland for all-expenses-paid college educations for 10 black male Maryland high-school graduates. The money will cover tuition, living costs, orientation programs, summer school stipends, special academic guidance and numerous cultural activities. A similar grant to white males would probably be denounced as race- and gendered bias by the media and voided by the courts.

A new U.S. statute provides that the government will pay the average $600-a-month cost of AZT taken by 5,000-6,000 people with AIDS who are unable to afford the drug. That will come to anywhere from $36 to $432 million a year. Care for 37 AIDS babies in a Harlem hospital cost taxpayers $3.3 million over a 5-year period.

Pakistani-owned business firms in Queens (NY) have been running up huge long distance phone bills (as high as $75,000 a month) by the illegal use of computers to cut into automatic switchboards.

80.7% of Hispanics in the U.S. read Spanish well. Less than 43% can handle English adequately. The 1988 San Francisco telephone book has 28 pages of instructions in Spanish, up from 24 pages in 1987.

In 1951, U.S. public elementary and secondary schools spent $1,295 (in 1985 dollars) per pupil and the students' average SAT was 970. In 1985, the per pupil cost was $4,051; the average SAT, 906. Lessons learned were inversely proportional to money spent. (National Review, Oct. 28, 1988)

Come A.D. 2050 and New York State will be home for 8.8 million Hispanics, 4.8 million blacks, 5.2 million whites and 3.1 million Asians. (Population Reference Bureau study)

50 million conversions to Protestantism have been counted in the last 15 years in "Catholic" Latin America. (San Francisco Examiner, Sept. 25, 1988)

More than $9 billion worth of Israel bonds have been sold since 1951.

3 out of 100 U.S. women (age 15-44) had abortions in 1987. Black rate, 5.3/100; Hispanic, 4.3/100; non-Hispanic white, 2.3/100. Catholic women aborted at the national rate; Protestant and Jewish women, 30% under the national rate. (Chicago Tribune, Oct. 7, 1988)

The latest head count from the North American Jewish Data Bank shows some 5,944,000 Jews in the U.S. in 1987; 1,891,400 in New York City. 15 states lost Jews. The San Francisco Bay Area gained 50,000, Florida 30,000 and 6 new Jewish communities, each with at least 500 members were "discovered" in Fairfield and Chico (CA), Naples, Pasco County and Stuart–Port Lucie (FL), and Medford (OR).
63.1% of eligible voters cast ballots in the 1960 presidential election; 53.1% in 1984. The head count was 68,838,000 vs. 92,631,000. The numbers went up, but the commitment went down.

Americans for Democratic Action defines 4 of 7 Jewish senators and 22 of 35 Jewish House members as "liberals." Most "liberal" were Senator Howard Metzenbaum (D-OH) and homosexual Rep. Barney Frank (D-MA). Most "conservative" were Senators Chic Hecht (R-NV) and Representatives Willis Gradison (R-OH), John Edwards (R-OK) and Rep. Mickey Cohen (R-ME) and Rep. Mickey Edwards (R-OK) are not included as Jews because Cohen has a Gentile mother and Edwards is a "new Christian."

Even after the wholesale butchery going on in the West Bank and Gaza, Americans as a whole favor the Israelis over the Palestinians -- 43% to 26% -- if you believe the polls. But among the more educated the numbers change: men and women with college degrees sympathize more with the Palestinians by 42% to 38%; those with Ph.D.s favor the Palestinians by 50% to 34% (Wirthlin Group Poll, March 9-11, 1988).

Jews are the largest egg producer in the world, has 14 million chickens and 1,000 employees. The company is Japanese-owned.

The number of different languages spoken in the homes of school children in various U.S. cities: Chicago, 86; Los Angeles, 82; Atlanta, 31; Peoria, 20.

New Jersey's Division of Youth and Family Services pays up to $4,000 a month to provide a hotel room and 24-hour homemaking care to a homeless child.

The 1963 Atlanta phone book listed not one Kim (the most common Korean family name). In the current edition, more than 350 Kims are to be found.

In the first trimester of 1988, 2,325 Soviet Jews were permitted to leave Russia. Only 457 went to Israel.

New Yorker William Greenberg Jr. charges $1,832 for a wedding cake that serves 260 people.

Vienna's Jewry increased tenfold in 1860-90 -- from 12,000 to 120,000. In 1889, 394 of Vienna's 681 lawyers were Jewish, as were 22% of the law students and 61% of the medical students. (Profil, Vienna, March 21, 1988)

By the mid-1960s, when foreign companies were beginning to eat into U.S. car sales, blacks held 1 out of every 4 jobs in American auto plants. Between 1979 and 1984, blacks lost 27% of their manufacturing jobs; whites 19%. One reason for the government's bailout of Chrysler was that the company provided 1% of the total income of U.S. blacks. (Wall Street Journal, April 12, 1988)

In 1980 an Alcoholics Anonymous study found that 20% of male and 34% of female alcoholics were also addicted to another "substance." Though Jews are not known for alcoholism, a survey conducted by psychologist Benzion Twerski found that Jewish alcoholics have a higher incidence than non-Jewish alcoholics of addiction to something else besides liquor. 61% of Jewish male alcoholics and 78% of Jewish female alcoholics are cross-addicted. (Psychology Today)

In 1987, Japan's trade with South Africa amounted to $4.2 billion, an increase of 29% in one year. Owing to sanctions, U.S. trade with South Africa, $2.6 billion in 1987, has declined by 56% since 1980.

70% of kidney dialysis patients in the U.S. are black. Kidney transplants, whether organs are taken from the living or the dead, are likely to be more successful if the donor is of the same race as the receiver. One reason for black reluctance to part with their kidneys: "In the Great Getting Up Morning they wanted their organs with them." (Philadelphia Daily News, April 27, 1988, p. 35)

The U.S. farm population (1987) was an estimated 4,986,000. The previous year it was 240,000 more. The number of American farmers is now about what it was in the time of President James Monroe. (Farm Bureau News Briefs)

The extended interracial Hairston family, mostly from North Carolina, had its 15th national reunion in New York City in August. About 1,000 nonwhite, off-white and white family members showed up.

The world's largest arms importer is India. Israel comes in fifth. The world's largest arms exporter is the Soviet Union. The U.S. comes in second; Israel eleventh. It's not certain, however, that Israel's massive arms shipments to South Africa are included in the above rankings. Israeli figures may have been "edited" so as not to offend or enrage American blacks.

The Swiss are the richest (per capita) of all the world's peoples, followed by the Norwegians, Danes, Japs, Swedes and West Germans, in that order. Measured, however, by such creature comforts as cars, TV sets, home appliances and such, Americans are best off, followed by the Swiss, Canadians, Norwegians and Luxembourgers. (Die Presse, Aug. 25, 1988)

Americans are the world's biggest meat eaters (117.5 kilos a year per person). Next in the carnivorous derby are the French, Belgians and West Germans.

Richest burgs in the U.S. are Beverly Hills (CA), average household income $154,776; Rancho Santa Fe (CA), $146,055; Great Neck (NY) $142,371; Roslyn (NY) $131,028; Short Hills (NJ) $128,413.

The death toll of Palestinians reached 270 as the uprising entered its tenth month. That averages out to about one fatality per day. Multiply that by 20 or 30 for Palestinian severely beaten by the strutting military of ZOG East. Not a single congressman or government bigwig has come out and demanded that the U.S. stop subsidizing the killers.

Mike Tyson, the firecracker-brained, multimillionaire heavyweight champion of the world, was arrested 38 times and was sent to a juvenile detention home for robbing and mugging Brooklynites -- all this by age 15. His wife, Robin Givens, will reportedly get a $9 million settlement for her 9-month marriage.

125 Detroit policemen are under investigation for being in the crack cocaine business -- sniffing it, selling it or stealing it.
Black male on white female homicides cleared or pending:

- Plea-bargaining away the charge of rape, black ex-Marine ARNOLD WILLIAMS pleaded guilty in October to the 1987 murder (28 stab wounds) of Shannon McMillan, 22, a Texas-born Silver Spring (MD) legal secretary. Shannon lived on the same floor as Williams in the integrated apartment house where she met and dated him.

- Crack addict FRANK DeCHIRICO, a convicted killer, was arrested and charged with the murder of Judith Anne Wrappe, 24, an art student. She shot her to death when she refused to hand over her purse. Miss Wrappe was new to New York and its legal secretary. Shannon lived on the same floor as Williams in the integrated apartment house where she met and dated him. She was the fourth Negro of a Negro, half-black son of Negro novelist John McMillan, who had approved huge cost overruns for remodeling the president's mansion. Later, Keller, insinuating that his firing had been the work of "anti-Semites," accused one of the regents of venting anti-Semitic slurs.

-有着世界致命危险的为万名人所热爱的黑人作家JACK WIDEMAN, 18, the half-Jewish, half-black son of Negro novelist John Edgar Wideman, pleaded guilty to first-degree murder and two counts of theft in the killing of Eric Kane, 16, his white friend, in a Flagstaff (AZ) hotel in 1986. Jacob's UNCLE ROBBY (his father's brother) is serving a life sentence in Pennsylvania for felony murder and armed robbery.

LUTHER DARVILLE, acting head of the Office of Minority Student Affairs at the University of Minnesota, fled to the Bahamas, his island motherland, after filing $186,000 from the university's athletic account. Darville's vanishing act came a few months after the forced resignation of KENNETH KELLER, the university's Jewish president, who had approved huge cost overruns for remodeling the president's mansion. Later, Keller, insinuating that his firing had been the work of "anti-Semites," accused one of the regents of venting anti-Semitic slurs.

- The wife of the black mayor of Compton (CA), MARTHA TUCKER, plea-bargained away 15 of the 18 forgery and embezzlement charges against her. She pleaded guilty to one of the 18 counts out of $300,000 in a series of underhanded real estate deals. Martha ended up with six years' probation. Son WILSON is currently on three years' probation in Texas for the murder of Judith Anne Wrappe.

- Having submitted $297,000 in phony foot surgery invoices to Medicaid for treating thousands of patients who never existed.

- RONALD WEINBERG made Oduye look like a piker. Weinberg allegedly stole $13.3 million from taxpayers by submitting bills to Medicaid for treating thousands of patients who never existed.

- Some years ago, Senator PAUL SARBAES and Governor HARRY HUGHES could say nothing but good about MORTON LAPIDES, Maryland's soft drink king. He shared the spotlight with them at a $250,000 fundraiser with Senator Jay Rockefeller and Maryland Attorney General Stephen Sachs. But last August, Mort was found guilty of price fixing, and the IRS and state investigators are digging into some very questionable financial operations in one of Lapides' companies. In 1977, Lapides bit the hand of an officer who had been called to his home by a distraught wife. His subsequent arrest and conviction didn't seem to affect his buddy-buddying with the cream of Maryland's venal political establishment.

Selma was often in the news in 1964, but after the melodramatic civil rights march, the sleepy Southern town garnered few headlines. When it did, the rest of the country was told that blacks and whites were now getting together. White Mayor JOE SMITHERMAN, who was "understanding" and "tolerant," had the support of enough blacks to be elected and reelected. Selma, according to the liberals' conventional wisdom, proved that once Southerners got rid of black bigots, life would be raceless and rosy. If so, then why did an August meeting of the Selma City Council end in an outburst of black violence and the arrest of two black councilmen? It all started when CLEPHUS MANN, a Negro, charged that Smitherman had "stolen" the recent election, which gave him a seventh term as mayor. Is it really true that Selma, as Smitherman has so often reiterated, has made more racial progress than any other town or city in the country?
One of the more highly touted Jewish-Gentile marriages in 1960 was that of Hubert Humphrey’s daughter, Nancy, and C. BRUCE SOLOMONSON. Last July, Solomonson was arrested in Florida by FBI agents after being indicted on 14 counts of fraud stemming from shifting $1 million from his insurance agencies to his private account. HUBERT RUMPHREY JILL, who lost his race for the United States Senate, is Solomonson’s brother-in-law.

JESE JACKSON is another high-level politician who has a crook in the family woodpile. NOAH ROBINSON, his half-brother, is being held in South Carolina without bail on a murder charge. For years, Noah was deeply involved with Jesse in various Chicago business ventures, one or two of which he acquired as the result of Jesse’s threatened boycotts of some big U.S. corporations.

DAVID SUN and WALTER BATISTE, minority members both, were so distressed to find a swastika in their office that the two San Francisco fire inspectors are suing Gay City for $10 million.

GRACE JONES, the freakish Negress who looks like a Dinka from the Sudan, is also stirring up a suit, or says she is. Her beef is against American Airlines. On a recent flight back from Jamaica, she claimed, the plane’s captain told her, “We don’t apologize to niggers,” after she had demanded an apology because the plane left an hour late.

The 14 BLACK LEGISLATORS who tried to rip the Confederate battle flag from the top of the Alabama state Capitol in Montgomery thought they could escape prosecution by claiming legislative immunity. They mistook, and were found guilty and fined $100. One of those convicted was Rep. THOMAS REED, who has also been convicted on a bribery charge. He took $10,000 to get an early release from prison of a wife killer.

KENNETH DAVIDSON won a Wayne Gretzky look-alike contest in Woodland Hills (CA). The Canadian-born ice hockey star is white with 20/20 vision. Davidson is a bespectacled black.

HENRY GHERMAN of Miami didn’t wait until he was arrested for embezelling some $25 million from 50 clients, most of them south Florida doctors. He fled to parts unknown.

When CARL ROWAN discovered some carousing whites splashing around in his pool at 2:00 a.m., he pulled out a gun and winged an 18-year-old male in the wrist. No preliminaries, no “get out of here” -- just bang with the bullet! Never mind that Rowan, a deadly dull Negro columnist and TV panelist, is one of the country’s strongest advocates of banning handguns. Why did he have a such a weapon and why did he shoot? Although his excuses set records for hypocrisy, the NAAACP went to work to get the District of Columbia to drop the case against Rowan, his deadly dull Negro column.
Britain. British news hardly changes from month to month, as the following snippets demonstrate:

- The snips of the government-sponsored War Crime Inquiry have compiled a roster of 200 suspected war criminals living in Britain. No Israelis are on the list, despite their creeping decimation of Palestinians. The Nazi hunters will issue a report early next year, after which the Home Office will decide whether to prosecute. If the suspects are dragged into court, the witch-hunt will violate British law. No problem. Ex post facto laws and punishment to fit crimes (if any) have become quite common in the West when justice systems deal with supposed Nazis or Eastern Europeans who may or may not have aided and abetted Nazis.

- In London, a black has been arrested and charged with raping at least nine white girls. He turned out to be the husband of a white policewoman. Also in London a 14-year-old school girl was knifed to death while walking her dog in a "safe" neighborhood at 10:00 P.M. This particular murderer is still at large. It's getting so that 60% of British girls are afraid to go out at night. Such are the fruits of nonwhite immigration. In the last 12 months ending in July, London police, who in the good old homogeneous days received about the same number of calls as the Maytag service man, counted 25,670 crimes involving violence.

- A high-ranking Israeli diplomat, Arie Regev, was expelled for spying and having links with a criminal Mossad operation in London.

- Elie Wiesel has been called to task for his verbal assaults on John Paul II. He exorciates the Pope for not mentioning Jews in his visits to Auschwitz and other concentration camps and for siding with Waldheim in the dispute about his WWII service. Actually the Pope had mentioned Jews during his highly publicized prayer seanices at the camps, but he called them the children of Abraham. That wasn't specific enough for Elie, who is becoming so anti-Catholic that more temperate Jews -- there are a few -- have openly criticized him for stirring up religious hatred. Dr. Gerhart Riegner, chairman of Edgar Bronfman's World Jewish Congress declared, "One must not attack the head of 800 million Christians in such a manner." He then warned Wiesel that Jews have "interests" in many Catholic countries, particularly in South America, and that in such tumultuous and unstable areas Jews may one day have "to turn to the Church for protection. We have to be more diplomatic."

- The Holocaust Conference in Oxford was produced and directed by Jewish me­d­iacrat Robert Maxwell and his French Protestant wife, Elisabeth. Although it re­ceived commendatory messages from many of the world's leaders, it was given relatively little attention in the British media, except, of course, in Maxwell's publications, which called the silence "a scandal." Born Jan Ludwig Hoch in Czechoslovakia, Maxwell, after arriving in England, first changed his name to Du Maurier, after the brand of his favorite cigarettes. Only later did he adopt his present mon­iker.

- The Holocaust Conference was noted for its hard-swinging indictments of Christian­ity. A doddering old emeritus professor of religious studies at Lehigh University, A. Roy Eckhardt, resoundingly quoted the words of Rabbi Eliezer Bukovits, "In its ef­fect upon the life of the Jewish people, Christianity's New Testament has been the most dangerous anti-Semitic tract in his­tory." Eckhardt pleased his Jewish hosts even more when he agreed with his rabin­­ical mentor that the New Testament is the spiritual progenitor of a massive library of international hate literature.

- The Negro-Jewish rift, growing wider and wider in America's biggest cities, is also rifting in London. A leaflet was circu­lated in the British capital accusing the Hackney Council of being a "Zionist, Freem­­ason, Racist and a Black Slaves' club," which "siphoned off" £1 million of public money to give to "the Khazars."

From a London subscriber. It may be hagiography, but it's informative. I mean Gilles Perrault's A Man Apart: The Life of Henri Curiel (Zed Books, London and New Jersey, 1987). Who on earth was Henri Curiel? An Egyptian Jew, the son of a mil­li­onaire, he was "perhaps the key figure" in founding the Sudanese National Liberation Movement and the second Egyptian Com­munist Party. The first Egyptian Communist Party folded in 1924, a mere four years after its creation by the jeweller Joseph Rosen­th­al and two others. So the Communists in Egypt operated without a party. Some -- surprisingly -- were Egyptians, others were Greeks, Italians and Armenians, though "the great majority," about two dozen, came "from the Egyptian Jewish commu­nity." By about 1943, three parties existed with real pretentions "to be the Egyptian Communist Party," so you could take your pick: "Henri Curiel's ENLM, Hillel Schwartz's Iskra and Marcel Israel's Peo­ple's Liberation." (Anyone for déjà vu?) Came 1948 and the foundation of the Zionist state, however, and Jews in Egypt were finally regarded as dual loyalists. So the Communist Jews went into exile abroad, giving 30-50% of their income to the cause -- a considerable pile of cash since

Raymond Aghion had become a very successful art dealer while his wife was making a name for herself in the world of fashion. Alfred Cohen and Raymond Stambouli (also settled in Paris) had created a flourishing company specializing in textiles; Armand Setton was doing well in publishing; Joseph Hazan, in partner­ship with the publisher Fernand Nathan, was carving himself an enviable supre­macy in the world of graphic arts.

I'm looking forward to reading the sec­ond volume, which will cover Curiel's curious activities in the Algerian FLN and the "operations of the underground network he set up to train militants of resistance movements throughout the world." Per­haps I shall discover whether one man's "militant" is another man's terrorist.

* * *

Let us honor the memory of Dr. Marie Stopes, the English writer and propagandist whose campaign for contraception and birth control created such a furor in the 1920s and 30s. She died 30 years ago last October. Marie's chief aim in promoting birth control was eugenic -- to stop the proliferation of the unfit. Consequently, she regarded pregnancies from unsuitable mar­riages as "eugenic crimes." By 1948, Marie could prophesy that England's genetic fu­ture would be dismal in the extreme, since "those with defects breed so fast that in 50 years below-par stock will be doubled and first-class halved." Opinions like that damn her in the eyes of liberals. Equally outspoken in public, Marie held views which would result in her being drawn and quartered in these "tolerant" times. Strongly against mixed marriages, she went so far as to argue that "all half-castes should be ster­ilized at birth," to prevent "the unhappy fate of he who is neither black nor white" from being passed on to future generations. They don't make sex educators like Marie Stopes any more.

* * *

The Lambeth Conference of Anglican Bishops revealed deep divisions in the An­glican Church. Episcopal delegates from the U.S. pushed hard for female priests (priestesses) and bishops (bishopsesses) and for the sanctity of homosexual marriages, which the Africans present denounced as iniquitous. Since black bishops have a thing about South Africa, the conference decided that terrorism and violence were "understandable" in that area. This en­raged the Ulster bishops. To placate them the conference concluded violence was not understandable in the Northern Ireland context.

The next Archbishop of Canterbury
complaining about the influence wielded elegantly, have such a good income and the reverse should be the case. In fact, one Church of England is that many Church should become less English in England and Episcopalian bishops came out occurred in South Africa, but not, of course, in continue their practice of necklacing. Bishop John Spong, one of the hundred Amer­
icand Episcopal bishops out came with the pronouncement that “armed struggle” should be “understood” if it oc­curred in South Africa, but not, of course, in the West Bank and Gaza. The statement was obviously meant to be “understood” as a green light to South African blacks to continue their practice of necklacing. Bishop John Spong, one of the hundred Amer­i­canEpiscopal divines who attended the conference, is the religious pseudo who blesses homosexual marriages. Spong is not just content to broaden scriptural at­itudes towards holy matrimony. “I stand ready,” he sermonizes, “to reject the Bible in favor of something that is more human, more humane, more life-giving and, dare I say, more godlike.”

During the Broadwater Farm race riots in 1985 in London, a white Bobby, Keith Blakelock, was hacked to death. Three blacks were arrested, tried and found guilty of the crime. Since then, liberal-minority compassion squads have been at work try­ing to reduce the murderers’ life sentences and possibly get them off altogether. Of these groups, the Broadwater Farm De­fence Committee got the most money and the most publicity. To the Committee’s de­light, it recently received a £4,000 gift from the British Council of Churches. Who is president of this group of bleeding hearts? Dr. Robert Runcie, Archbishop of Canter­bury, that’s who. Runcie claimed he was absent from the meeting that decided to donate the financial windfall to the “broth­ers,” but he vigorously defended the grant when it was brought to his attention.

No one anywhere has done more to ad­vance the cause of atheism than the “Angli­can Pope” of England. If they don’t give up their faith in God altogether, those English­men who are driven out of the church of their fathers by the odious behavior of their bishops and priests will either join funda­mentalist denominations or flirt with Indian mysticism.

* * *

Jaron Yaltan, born in Ahmedabad, India, was a nonwhite for 60 years — until he came down with a rare cell disease, vitiligo, which removes brown pigment from hu­man skin. At that point, Yaltan, who lives in London, suddenly became for all intents and purposes a white. His experiences were an education in race relations.

When he wondered whether a black clerk had given him the wrong change, he was told he was accusing her of stealing. When he accidentally bumped into a black customer in a supermarket, the latter ex­claimed, “You always want to do that to me, don’t you?” When he criticized a black for barging to the head of a long line, he was called a “racist.”

Since none of this had ever happened to Yaltan when he was “brown,” it didn’t take him long to understand that the racism of nonwhites against whites puts the reverse kind in the shade. “I think it is incredible that British people are as tolerant as they are,” Yaltan commented. Having been on both sides of the racial fence, he has learned that nonwhites in Britain live and breathe their own kind of racism and show no signs of giving it up or taming it. His own firsthand experiences left Yaltan very pes­simistic about any solution of the racial problem. Thinking him a white, his own compatriots would launch into vicious antiwhite racial slurs in his presence. He knew what they were saying because they spoke in Gujarati, blissfully unaware that it was Yaltan’s native language. “This is the white man’s burden,” he lamented. “I am carrying it now, and believe me, it is very heavy.”

* * *

The British-Israel Public Affairs Centre, the British equivalent of the American Is­raeli lobby, has a mailing list of only 18,000 and was not able to put a dent in the huge arms sale to Saudi Arabia, which American Jews handed Britain on a silver platter by cracking the Zionist whip in Congress. In fact, Britain still persists with its arms em­bargo against Israel, which was established during the Zionist invasion of Lebanon. One reason for the lack of Jewish clout in British foreign policy is that doing out money to political candidates in return for Parliamentary grants to Israel would be il­legal. “It’s a form of blackmail,” said Ian Mikonomic, a rabid Zionist and former chair­man of the Labour Party. “You wouldn’t get away with it here.”

BIPAC has a budget one-twelfth that of AIPAC (the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee), whose permanent staff of 70 to 80 in Washington spends $6 million a year wooing congressional support of Is­rael. One of the problems facing British Zionists is that they don’t have as strong a hold on the media as their racial cousins in America. Robert Maxwell, the Czech Jew, is working on this by trying to buy up every newspaper, magazine and TV station in sight. The BBC, although Jews hold top pos­itions, is state owned. So far, no video munt like Dan Rather can exist in Britain. The moment Injun Dan began to spout his Tischian line, he would be kicked off the air and denounced as a charlatan.

But perhaps the main reason Jews in Bri­tain are not as powerful as they are in the U.S. is that British culture is fragmenting more slowly. Despite its regionalism (Scotland, Wales, Ulster), despite the height­ened class antipathies, despite its nonwhite element, despite the homosexualization and degeneration of the aristos, Britain is far more homogeneous than the U.S. and has a much older and stronger cultural tra­dition.

The only binding cultural ties left in the U.S. are a saturated materialism, a degene­rating language, a manic minority racism that goes under the name of anti-racism, a few crumbling institutions inherited from the Founding Fathers and an inane infatu­ation with professional sports, banal TV sit­coms and presidential elections. These ad­hesive factors are hardly powerful enough to withstand the severe economic earthquake that is bound to strike the country any day, any month or any decade now.

* * *

No British prime minister, except Winst­on Churchill, has received more unde­served and unmerited publicity than Benja­min Disraeli, just as, in the U.S., no secret­ary of state has been puffed up more than Henry Kissinger. Instaurationists under­stand the reason for this flattering hyper­bole. But how many Instaurationists know that Disraeli, among his many other de­fects, was history’s sorriest futurist. When asked by Charles Babbage, the true inven­tor of the computer, for government help in building his “analytic machine,” Disraeli replied that he could only see one use for it, “to calculate the vast sums of public money that had been squandered on it.”
Ireland. From a disgruntled subscriber.

“The Triumph of Failure” (Instauration, Sept. 1988) could easily have been subtitled, “The Failure of Triumph.” Pearse’s proper first name, Padraic, is not the detested Anglicized Patrick. As a founder of the Gaelic League, he was very sensitive about this. He grew to understand that the Gaelic language was a necessary tool for Irish self-reliance, a means of rebuilding Ireland’s ancient, shattered civilization and rediscovering its soul. No longer did Irishmen wish to be known as obscure beggars on the English dole.

as Pearse’s being “an unconscious homosexual,” I can only say that in all of my considerable reading on the subject of men prominent in the Irish Republican cause, I never read a word about this.

As the way it dealt with the Easter Monday Uprising, Pearse said that English commercialism was the wickedest thing that ever corrupted the hearts of great nations. In Irish nationalism, on the other hand, and in the Irish race itself, he saw a sacred trust. Every generation of Irish, Pearse said, must make a protest in blood against foreign domination; otherwise Ireland’s claim to independence would be annulled.

James Connolly, a man who wanted an armed uprising while WWI was still in progress, thought some help could be expected from the Kaiser. With his men, known simply as the “Volunteers,” Connolly established a printing press at Liberty Hall in Dublin and published many pamphlets that openly called for an armed revolt. Fearing a raid on the hall, the Volunteers stood guard with loaded rifles day and night. Just a week before Easter, on Palm Sunday, 1916, the Irish Tricolor was hoisted over Liberty Hall.

On April 23, an item appeared in many Saturday newspapers throughout Ireland. It was a note from Eoin MacNeill, chairman of the Volunteers. It read:

Owing to the very critical position, all orders given to Irish Volunteers for tomorrow, Easter Sunday, are hereby rescinded. Eleven parades, marches or other movements of Irish Volunteers will take place. Each individual Volunteer will obey this order strictly in every particular.

What had happened was that Roger Casement, a Protestant member of the United Irishmen, had been landed in Kerry from a German submarine. He was to have been met by a large number of Volunteers, who would then rendezvous with a German ship disguised as a Norwegian freighter and unload 20,000 rifles, millions of rounds of ammunition, machine guns, explosives and whatever else necessary to start a full rebellion.

An informer in Kerry tipped off the British, and the ship, named the AUD, was set upon by the Royal Navy. The German crew was forced to scuttle. Casement was met by the British and whisked off to his fate in a London jail.

When news of Casement’s capture and the loss of the arms aboard the AUD reached Volunteer headquarters, the maneuvers that had been arranged for Easter Sunday were abandoned. The insurrection seemed to be definitely off. But, on Easter morning, soon after noon, the Irish Republic was proclaimed in Dublin. The insurgent Tricolor suddenly appeared to startled eyes from the flagstaff above the General Post Office.

What caused the radical change of plans? The British government, having learned from the sinking of the AUD about the scheduled insurrection, decided to seize the Volunteer executive and break up the organization. No sooner was the decision made than it was communicated to the threatened parties by their own informers. On Easter Sunday, the Volunteer Council came to the conclusion that a simultaneous rising throughout the country had been rendered impractical by both the loss of arms and MacNeill’s own countermanding order. But the Council also reasoned that if no blow was struck now, the possibility of striking later would be lost, for the British would soon be arresting all the leaders. Should the Volunteers accept being disarmed or should they start an insurrection in Dublin with whatever support they might pick up in other parts of the country?

The seven-member Council was split. Three were in favor of caving in to the British; three were committed to insurrection. Pearse, having preached at all times the duty of Irishmen to vindicate their national faith by sacrifice, cast the vote for insurrection, which turned the course of Irish history, at the cost of his own life. Pearse’s last written work was Ireland’s Declaration of Independence.

Irishmen and Irishwomen! In the name of God and of the dead generations from which she receives the old tradition of nationhood, Ireland, through us, summons her children to her flag, and strikes for her freedom.

Did the insurgents think they could win on the field of battle? When all was over, the Irish people and the world gasped at the apparent madness of a few ill-armed youths in challenging the world’s greatest empire. But only a week before, the rising by no means had been a crazy project. Had Casement and the Volunteers been able to meet the AUD in a protected cove on the Kerry coast, picked up the consignment of arms from Germany, and other arms shipments to be landed later on the rebel-secured coast, part of the country might have been held for a long period. At least 100,000 well-armed fighting Irishmen might have been supported by German naval units; U-boat ports could have been established on the west coast, and the English would have been in a bind. At the very least, Britain would have been forced to withdraw a large force from the Western front.

The British cleared up resistance in a matter of days and their warships bombarded Cork and Dublin. The surviving Volunteers were sentenced to death. Fifteen were executed, including MacNeill, who, near death in a hospital bed, was loaded aboard a litter, propped up against a wall, and shot. Many of the martyrs were 15- and 16-year-olds. The cruel repression brought such a loud protest from the Irish in America that the British freed many of those not yet executed, including Eamon de Valera.

Sweden. Six Swedish communities have refused to accept foreign refugees and immigrants. The most publicized of these is Sjöbo (15,000), in Sweden’s rich and prosperous southern region. A local referendum produced a loud no in the matter of taking in 15 refugees which the town had been asked to accept by Stockholm bureaucrats. After the vote was in, Sven-Ölle Ollson, former mayor of Sjöbo and the politician most responsible for the referendum and its results, was expelled from the Center Party, which he had served loyally for decades, on the ground that he had been dealing with Fascists.

West Germany. The European Court in Luxembourg handed down a disastrous ruling in the case of the brewer’s law (Reinheitsgebot (“purity command”) for beer (Elsewhere, May 1987). The law -- which is no more -- was imposed in 1516 by Duke Wilhelm of Bavaria, and ordered that only water, hops, malt and yeast could be used in the making of beer. West Germany’s major trading partners argued that enforcement of the law amounted to an impediment to free trade, since their additive-filled brews were excluded.

One French beer exporter noted that the West German breweries put additives in their own exported beer. That is not hypocrisy, however, but sheer necessity, since pure German beer, produced at 1,200 local breweries, quickly goes stale without preservatives. Let other nations pass purity laws of their own, and construct a thousand local breweries, rather than undermining the healthful German status quo.

In Bavaria, the annual beer consumption is 264 quarts per individual -- women and children included! The people call it their “liquid bread,” and it provides nearly one-third of their nutrients. Physician-politician Lorenz Niegel correctly argues, “No one
knows what effects this new chemical beer can have on the body over a long period of time."

The European Court ruling does at least permit West Germany to label the additives on foreign beers, provided that a "negative" view is not conveyed. And if an additive poses an immediate health danger based on the "results of international research" -- the beer containing it may be banned.

"International research"?! Who says that researchers in a one-beer-a-year country would ever set the same high standards as German researchers?

Beer may seem like a little thing, but the striking down of the Reinheitsgebot affords a classic illustration of how internationalism breaks down standards of high quality that have been in effect for 472 years.

**Romania.** Nicolae Ceausescu, the top banana of this Balkan country, is making a lot of waves in the Western media. He is said to be so paranoid about being poisoned either internally or externally that he never wears the same clothing twice, will not approach a microphone or kiss children until they have been disinfected, and "only eats food that has been prepared by his own cook."

Wife Elena is notorious for her love of high-priced jewels and costly furs, and one of her hobbies is collecting academic titles. So Nicu is a spoiled brat who fancies expensive sports cars, boozing and sex orgies. His father put him in charge of leveling Hungarian and German villages in the Western "non-Romanian" areas of the country.

Ceausescu, known to his many enemies as "Draculescu," doesn't think much of the non-Communist variety.

**Soviet Union.** When Hitler invaded Russia in 1941, it was common knowledge that many Russians, especially Ukrainians, welcomed German soldiers with flowers and cheers. When the friendship soured, it was blamed on Hitler's intransigent racial policy of treating all Slavs as inferior beings. It turns out there were other equally compelling reasons for this about-face which were not made known at the time.


"In other areas judged to be vulnerable to German occupation, NKVD forces, frequently in the uniform of German SS units, entered the towns and villages and, in a shocking display of barbarity, murdered, raped, burned, and pillaged the community, always mindful of the need to leave survivors who would endure [sic] as eyewitnesses to the fact that the Germans could not be seen as saviors.

The same techniques were used in areas in which the Soviets were attempting to reestablish control. An NKVD-operated mock-German unit would wantonly destroy communities immediately prior to the arrival of the heroic Soviet partisan recruiters. Having just witnessed "German" atrocities in their midst, the locals tended to be responsive to the Soviets who were attempting to organize anti-German resistance.

**Israel.** Four thousand American Marines have recently been practicing landing operations in landing craft near the port of Haifa. Yet hardly a word has appeared in the U.S. press. The exercises are the outgrowth of a secret U.S.-Israel strategic cooperation agreement signed by President Reagan in 1982.

So says a special bulletin (July 26) of International Moneyline, a newsletter in English that is printed in Switzerland (Box 58, 7500 St. Moritz). The regular July edition of IML had much more to say about Israeli military maneuvers and military intentions. In fact, the newsletter went so far as to predict a nuclear war between the Arab nations and the Zionist state in the not too remote future -- a war that would begin by Israel preemptively nuking an Arab city as a warning of what might happen to every Arab and Moslem city if Egypt, Syria, Iran or any other Middle Eastern country should extend their hatred of Zionism to the battlefield.

The U.S., writes Julian Snyder, the IML publisher, would excuse and defend the bombing; Russia, to save face with its Arab allies, might decide to give them missiles and nuclear weapons. Soon all hell would break loose. The U.S. would send ground troops to protect Israel. There would be pitched battles with Russian-supplied Arab armies. Eventually when it became apparent that America was involved in another Vietnam, the American army would be recalled, and Israel would go down the tubes.

But not before millions of Arabs and Jews and hundreds of thousands of Americans had died and many heavily populated cities had been blasted out of existence.

It's a pretty grim scenario, but it doesn't take a political genius to understand that it's not beyond the range of possibility. The IML newsletter reminded its readers of the Masada syndrome that infects the Jewish and Zionist mindset. Masada was the last Jewish stronghold to resist the conquest of Palestine by the Roman legions. In A.D. 73, rather than surrender, the 1,000 besieged Jews committed mass suicide. It was worse than Jonestown. Only seven women and children survived.

**Americans** have not only spent huge fortunes on the presidential election; they have also contributed more millions to the recent elections in Israel. Both Shimon Peres and Yitzhak Shamir "campaigned" in the U.S. and received checks for $25,000, $50,000, $100,000 and up from the Jewish financial elite in New York and Los Angeles. Peres is supposed to have raised $2 million in his May visit, much of it at a party at the $20 million Hollywood spread of billionaire Marvin Davis. Minimum donation from the partygoers was set at $25,000. Charles Bronfman, the Canadian brother of liquor magnate Edgar M. Bronfman, the World Jewish Congress chieftain, provided his private jet for the Peres fundraising tour. Other Jewish fatcats who contributed to Peres and his Labour Party were Laurence Tisch, CEO of CBS, Michael Eishner, CEO of Walt Disney Productions, and Philip Klutznick, affluent Democratic Party wheelhorse and Dukakis backer.

One Jewish insider threw an "intimate dinner" for Shamir. The cover charge was rather steep. After dessert every guest had to write a $100,000 check for the Likud Party.

As few cartoonists noticed, when the U.S. presidential candidates and the U.S. media were either talking up or talking down the pledge of allegiance, it was apparent from the behavior of all concerned that the pledge often sounded as if it were being made not to the Stars and Stripes, but to the hexagon-adorned flag of Israel.

In the midst of the Israeli election race, a member of the Stern gang, Israel Eldad, revealed that the current Israeli prime minister, Yitzhak Shamir, was one of the four Zionists given the job of planning assassinations back in the days of Israel's birth pangs. At the head of the list was Count Folke Bernadotte, the Swedish diplomat and UN mediator in Palestine, who was shot down in 1948. Shamir attended the first of two meetings in which the fate of Bernadotte was decided, though it was not certain he was at the second gathering, which gave the go-ahead signal to a four-
man Jewish hit team. When informed of these new revelations, the Swedish government immediately demanded an apology from Israel — without avail. Needless to say, none of the assassins of Bernadotte has ever been brought to justice. In Israel the terrorist who plans the murder of a foreign dignitary doesn’t go to jail; he gets to be head of state.

* * *

Thirty adopted children, of whom only five or so are legally adopted, arrive in Israel each month. Most come from Argentina, Paraguay and Brazil (it is estimated that 2,500 to 3,000 Brazilian kids are now living in the Zionist state). The fact is, many of these children have been kidnapped — in some cases later sold to Jewish families by Israeli citizens acting as intermediaries. The Israeli government has turned a blind eye to most of these goings on, apparently believing that one way of compensating for the declining Jewish birthrate is to bring up non-Jewish children as Jews.

* * *

American Jews who continue to send tens of millions of tax-exempt dollars each year to Israel pretend that none of it goes to settlements in the West Bank. Pure posturing! It’s been going on all the time. To check up on it and make it legal, Senators D’Amato and Moynihan, two of Israel’s most fervent senatorial procurers, have been pressing the IRS for written approval for folks to send money, tax-exempt money, to an illegal operation that hurts other folks.

We won’t ask where the Jews get all the money they send to Israel. Could it be from a hike in the price of the New York Times, a couple of cents added on to each bottle of liquor sold by Seagram’s, an extra dollar tacked on Calvin Klein jeans and movie tickets? But we will ask how the IRS allows tax-deductible money to finance the killing of Palestinians and to rob them of what land they have left.

This makes American taxpayers accessories after the fact. What a miserable and hypocritical figure the 20th-century U.S.A. is going to cut in history! What a depressing fall-off from the magnificent figure it cut in the late 18th!

Black Africa. Shakespeare, banned from Kenya’s high schools in 1981 in order to get rid of the “colonial hangover,” is once again on the curriculum. Daniel arap Moi, the dictator-president, has “de-colonialized” the Bard’s works.

* * *

In explaining the recent bloodbath in Burundi (20,000 dead, 35,000 refugees) between the Hutus (85% of the population) and the minority Tutsis, William Pfaff wrote in the International Herald-Tribune (Sept. 2, 1988) that it was basically a power struggle between the Tutsi people “tall and Caucasoid” and the Hutus “shorter and Negroid.” Since the Tutsis are as black as Bill Cosby, how is it possible for a prominent journalist to mistake them for whites?

The answer is that when media mentors write about anthropology, anything goes. It’s even worse when they pepper their journalese with statistics. On the very same page as Pfaff’s article, another piece on Burundi by Thomas Melady, onetime ambassador to the strife-torn nation, stated that 5,000 died in the massacre — 15,000 fewer than Pfaff’s figure. In its report of the Burundi mess, Agence France Press reported that 24,000 died and 32,000 fled. The Washington Times reported the refugees numbered 38,000.

* * *

Papua New Guinea. Just as she has a way of punishing homosexuals, Mother Nature has a disease up her sleeve for cannibals. A virus that attacks the people who fancy human flesh has shown up in Papua. Its incubating period can be as long as 30 years, which is why ten or so tribesmen annually come down with it each year, even though cannibalism was officially outlawed there in the mid-1950s. It attacks the central nervous system and is as fatal as AIDS. The natives call it kuru. One of its symptoms is hysterical fits of laughter.

* * *

Cuba. Cuba lovers will have some explaining to do to clear their dear friend Castro of charges that his country has been deeply involved in drug smuggling. Federal attorneys have amassed some indisputable proof that the Cuban Coast Guard, Secret Police and air traffic controllers have helped Colombian cocaine dealers move their product in and out of Cuba on its way to the U.S. One of five drug traffickers recently arrested in Florida has testified that the largest slice of the profits “went into Fidel’s drawer.”

* * *

Mexico. Instauration has previously commented on the inordinate number of blondes who appear in Mexican advertisements. When 90% of the population has Indian genes, it’s a little surprising that Nor­ dic, not Mexican, faces adorn so many bill­ boards and TV screens.

But the long reach of the Aesthetic Prop south of the border doesn’t stop with blue­ eyed blondes. It extends into the realm of nose jobs. “More and more people are using cosmetic surgery to heal the scars left by the conquest of Mexico,” asserts Dr. Samuel Rosete, a cosmetic surgeon. What he is saying is that Mexicans show their partiality for the Spanish component of their genetic mix by shelling out a lot of pesos to have their wide Indian (Mongoloid) noses scraped and pared down into thin and less meaty European proboscies. Among the BMW set, nose bandages are becoming as commonplace as bleached hair. The phe­ nomenon is called Malinchismo after the Indian maiden, La Malinche, who helped assure the Spanish conquest of her land and people by spying for (and perhaps sleeping with) Cortés.

Mexicans who can’t afford $800 can get a bargain basement Nariz Bella (pretty nose) for $8. This device consists of two strips of plastic which, by springing into place inside the nostrils, lifts up the tip of the nose and overcomes the drooping Indian nostrility.

** Mixed Signals **

** Tennis Anyone? **

** Gentlemen (in Taiwan) **

Prefer Blondes

This integrated game of doubles appeared in the New York Times (Aug. 15, 1988). It was meant to show where to place the ball. But to some, it seemed to send a different message.

The blonde is supposed to represent a seductive ovum waiting to be fertilized. All well and good, but, curiously, it appeared in a sex-education article in the Free China Journal (July 28, 1988).
Canadian Newsman Questions the H Word

Doug Collins is a veteran journalist who has earned the respect of colleagues and readers for calling the shots as he sees them. He writes a popular column for the North Shore News, North Vancouver (BC), a daily with a circulation of about 50,000. In his August 7, 1988, piece, Collins became the first established columnist in North America to admit that the Holocaust story just doesn’t add up.

It will be to the eternal disgrace of the major media in this country that the second Zündel trial was virtually blacked out as a result of pressure from Jewish groups. They couldn’t have been much more maverick if they had been living in a dictatorship. But now some fascinating stuff is surfacing, most of it from obscure publications.

The issue is whether the so-called Holocaust took place. In other words, whether the Hitler regime deliberately set out to kill all the Jews it could get its hands on, and that 6,000,000 died as a result.

More and more, I am coming to the conclusion that it didn’t . . . . There are simply too many questions, and they won’t go away just because we are told every hour on the hour that it did. That is not to say that Jews did not suffer mightily simply because they were Jews, and that many died. As a POW myself I met many who were doomed, and before that had been forced to wear the yellow Star of David. It is also not to say that the concentration camps were some kind of Club Med. They weren’t. They were hell-holes.

But treatment is one thing, and mass murder by the millions is quite another.

Collins is also dear to our collective hearts for being the second North American journalist of some significance and intelligence to say a good word for America’s most dauntlessly heretical magazine. He signed off the column, so liberally quoted above, with this rare testimonial: “The best thing I have read on the subject is in Instauration magazine, which is published in the U.S. Its July report on the second Zündel trial is well worth reading.”

Book Defends Mecham

When the liberal-minority agglomerate wants to get rid of an elected official bad enough, it usually gets its way. Not too many months after winning a landslide election in 1972, President Nixon was gone, along with his vice-president, Spiro Agnew. The same elect and eject treatment was given the duly elected governor of Arizona, Evan Mecham, who was not only thrown out of office, but was lucky to have escaped a jail sentence.

Has anyone ever heard of a book condemning the underhand ways and means employed by the media, the polls and the pollsters to summarily kick out of office a president and vice-president? Or even a lonely governor?

Now, finally, there is such a book. It bears the title, Mecham, Arizona’s Fighting Governor, and the cover blurb rightfully asks, “a Constitutional Conflict, ‘Freedom of the Press’ or Political Assassination?” The author, Sammy S. Jenkins Sr., leans toward the political assassination theory and expands on it logically and coherently for 302 pages. Jenkins is a prominent New Mexico mortgage broker who has watched closely the acts of the organized cabal that did in Mecham, the first Republican governor of Arizona in 12 years.

The book traces Mecham’s career up to where he is faced with an impeachment trial. Volume 2, now being written, will examine the impeachment proceedings and the ousted governor’s subsequent trial for concealing a $250,000 campaign contribution — which ended, as the media sourly reported, in his acquittal.

Those interested in purchasing volume 1 may order it from the All State Publishing Co., P. O. Box 21042, Albuquerque, NM 87154. Hardcover edition $17.95; softcover $9.95.

Although it wasn’t part of the advertised sale price, when Howard Allen received the book, it was accompanied by an audio cassette containing a lively ballad about Mecham’s travails.

Suing Blacks

Riviera Beach (FL) has a city government that, if it isn’t all black, is pretty dusky. So when Steven J. Langevin, a white, was fired from the police force, he chalked it up to black racism and sued. He won a $450,000 settlement.

Something even worse than reverse discrimination has been unearthed in Boston. Call it reverse blackmail. Joseph D. Warren, a black community affairs director for Northeastern University, has been charged with canceling a $1 million contract with a parking garage management concern because it didn’t sever all its ties with James G. Mullen, a white who owns 35% of the company’s stock, and replace him with a black. The company sued Warren and Northeastern for $300,000, charging racial discrimination and human rights violation. The black quotatism of Warren, who held the title of secretary of the presidential campaign of Michael Dukakis, hardly justifies the Democratic loser’s claim that the Republicans were the racists in the 1988 election.