THE ZIONIZATION OF JESSE HELMS
In keeping with Instauration’s policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

- Months ago the prison here issued a printed warning about AIDS, telling us not to share razors, nail clippers, toothbrushes, tattoo needles, and to watch out for mosquito bites. Why are they telling us this if the only way you can catch it is through sex, dirty needles and blood transfusions?

- All things being equal, I would prefer a Majorityite to a minorityite when it comes to a job. Majority members have to overcome too many hurdles to be considered as having an equal chance.

- For its 20th anniversary, Rolling Stone magazine issued a book of glossy pages with interviews of hip people. Daniel Ellsberg tells us that during the Nixon presidency he wrote in his diary that his worst fear was the possibility of racism rising in America due to success in Vietnam. Therefore, he did his best to sink any possibility of American success by publishing the Pentagon Papers. I get the feeling the Jews are acting the same toward Nicaragua as they did toward Vietnam. This is not to say I believe our military should invade the country, but I’m willing to hear the hawk side.

- In view of this century’s rapidly changing politico-racial realities, I offer these long-overdue updates of three old sayings: 1) Black man’s heaven is a white man’s hell; 2) You can judge a civilization by how well it treats its Nordics; 3) Poor United States! So far from God, so close to Mexico.

- Psychology 101 teaches us that all animals seek pleasure and seek to avoid pain. Unpleasant facts are painful. Life would be simple if there were no racial differences. Grasp at any straw to avoid the reality. A friend of mine is one of those straw-graspers. He sent me a recent New York Times book review of Twice as Less by Eleanor Orr. This book blames the poor academic performance of blacks on black English. Thus, distance and location are treated as being identical. That nonsense can be shot down from almost any angle. How do we explain Asian kids who come here knowing not a word of English, yet in a few years win national spelling bees and scholarships? What the author has done is put the cart before the horse. Black English does not determine how blacks think. How they think determines the structure of black English.

- European racists are hung up on the Superleader syndrome. They are waiting for a man on horseback. They don’t understand (as do the Greens in Germany and the Gay Liberation Movement here) that the new style in leadership is a collective with no one leader who can be discredited, and thus kill the movement. As for the various racist parties in Europe, it is the old divide-and-conquer tactic. I am sure all are heavily infiltrated with informers. All the major parties will combine to punish their leaders and buy off their followers with cynical promises before the elections. Of course, the promises will then be forgotten.

- I am happy to see that Instauration has become the intellectual force in Britain’s National Front. If our Limey cousins stress separation rather than emigration they will be making a contribution to the nation.

- I think our best chance to solve the race problem is right now. In the future our chances will get worse. I do not think a depression or war will help us. People would be thinking about unemployment or the war, not about the white race.

- Blacks have more freedom in South Africa than in any other African state, and have now been offered total political and territorial independence. But their total absence of personal autonomy has caused them to reject this generous offer. They insist on a forced symbiosis with whites. By means of superior laws and institutions, neither created for them or by them, they intend to subjugate their benefactors and steal their creation, which they only mismanage, corrupt and subvert, and destroy in every country where they are in the majority.

- European subscriber

- Material creativity and complexity of human relationships run in parallel, material production being somewhat in advance. (There are no philosophical savages!) The producers are the sine qua non of civilization and might be termed the true aristocracy. However, they are bound to their technology, and it is the task of the so-called leaders of a nation to provide systems of harmony in everyday interchange. But “social thinkers” who live by their wits usually bog down in their own pomposity and greed and are quite certain that they are the egg that precedes the chicken. It becomes a matter ever more conscious in the group mind as to where it all comes from, somewhat in the fashion of a religion. Consider that in history all possible forms of government have succeeded or failed at one time or another. This is where race enters the picture. Nothing is right unless race is right! We are immobilized until we are “born again” into a rational, self-preservative religion in place of the absurd, Jewishly vended lemmingism which we have espoused.
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Le Pen is absolutely right. The whole Hebe soap opera, as I first called it in the Safety Valve, is just a footnote to WWII and is so regarded by others with much more knowledge of it than he has. The two best histories of WWII are by Britons: General J.F.C. Fuller (1948) and Capt. B.H. Liddell Hart (1970). General Fuller's nearly 500-page book, set closely in nine-point type, devotes one sentence to the whole mewling bowl. Captain Liddell Hart's book is an almost 800-page history of WWII and fails even to mention it at all. Fuller and Hart are not one-book freaks; together they authored over 40 volumes. Instauration's chronology is a little off in stating only a raving maniac would have publicly challenged the Holohoax (my word also) in 1950. Rassinier already had, and had been taken to court for it by Marie-Claude Viallant-Courtiour, not a Jewess but a professional Communist, a member of the French Chamber of Deputies and the widow of a Red who was killed in a firefight with German soldiers while in the Stalinist French underground "resistance." She ended up in Ravensbruck and was the first French witness at Nuremberg. She engineered Rassinier's two bouts with French courts; the first ended in 1948, if I remember correctly, and Rassinier got a horrendous jail sentence and fine, later overturned on appeal. The second time the court threw out the complaint prior to an indictment. This, I believe, was in 1956. Le Pen's trouble is not that he has an historical problem; he has a religious problem. He doesn't believe. The Hoaxer crowd is not importuned by the hundreds of absurd discrepancies the revisionists find in their hilarious bunkum. You can't undermine a religion with facts no matter how preposterous it may be. There is no way to dissolve belief in something by demonstrating its imbecilic contradictions. One religion is as laughable as the next, but that has nothing to do with the proliferation of belief in one or the other. I suspect the day the thousandth revisionist book is published, Hoaxery will have a thousand time more shrines going than now. And by then it will be amassing trillions of dollars, not just billions.

I disagree with the statement in WASPishly Yours (Dec. 1987) that Bob Woodward's book, Veil, is a "monumental smear of the late CIA head, William Casey." If anything, it is a monumental whitewash. Casey, James Jesus Angleton and other pro-Zionist, anti-Commie zealots at the Agency, past and present, have probably done more to suborn Majority interests to the Chosen than any other group, with the possible exception of Congress. During the Casey post-mortems, Wolf Blitzer, Washington correspondent for the Jerusalem Post, allowed as how Casey was "the best friend Israel ever had" and had spent more time in Israel than he, Blitzer, had. The CIA is an evil institution -- rotten to the core. We should abolish it and start over from scratch.

Somebody recently sent Instauration an apology for jazz music. I admire Negro art too. Negro poetry hares the Negro soul, and I love the Negro soul. But I think we should see it as it is. I reckon Langston Hughes's "Evil Woman Blues" is an argument for white supremacy (see two stanzas of it below). Although I am a white supremacist, I am not for oppression, repression or annihilation.

I ain't gonna mistreat ma Good gal any more. I'm just gonna kill her Next time she makes me sore.

I brought her from de South An' she's goin' on back Else I'll use her head For a carpet tack.

I have a blues song on an LP record that begins, "I'm gonna leave you, baby -- Way out on the outskirts of town." These poems and songs seem to me to expose the death wish in white women who fancy Negro men.

I agree with John Nobull about fasting, but I think it is a bit tough for someone who is unprepared. A work-up period is needed to get the body and mind ready. We are physical and mental beings, not just one or the other. Working on just one side creates imbalance. First off, if you smoke, quit. Next, get into good physical condition. Strenuous aerobic exercise is best.

As your circulatory system grows stronger, food, oxygen and waste products move more efficiently through your body. You will feel better, have more endurance and notice a sharper clarity of thought. Pay careful attention to your diet. Eliminate refined sugar as much as possible. To fight the effects of stress, pollution and poor quality food, vitamin and mineral supplements are essential. Also pay careful attention to the diet of the mind. Feed it with classical literature and classical music, since television and rock music are toxic. Only then will you be really ready for fasting, which is a very fine method of internal housecleaning. But always remember that to do any of these activities requires willpower, self-discipline and time.

I think Mayflower Descendant (Dec. 1987) missed the irony in my report on the rise in real estate values in a Chicago suburb when a Mafia moves into the neighborhood. I'm pretty sure I know what's going to happen to you, Mr. Descendant, even though you have stocked your house with an armory of weapons. When all else fails, they will burn you out. Your pioneer ancestors avoided camping within Indian villages. You should too.

Let's be careful about Jews. Not all of them spend all their time discussing how to take over the world. Remember what Cholly (How I miss him!) said. Movements are launched and sustained, hostile to the Majority, as though they were conspiracies, but some groups maintain their cohesion more than the Majority. They need only a semi-coordinated effort to keep rolling and readily sense anything that will divide and weaken the Majority. They don't have to plot in dark corners.

If, as our great leader, Menahem Begin, once said, the Palestinians are two-legged animals, what's wrong with burying them alive when we bulldoze their homes?
comes from the Scottish Highlands, from which the Ku Klux Klan was originally formed, to go to war with a rival clan or to defend area came many of the ancestors of the members of the original Klan. A local lord planning to go to war with a rival clan or to defend himself from impending attack needed a way to call his men together. For this purpose inflammable material, often in the form of a cross, was placed in a number of conspicuous spots high up on the mountainside. When men were called out for war, these inflammable piles were lit as signals for the clansmen to go fully armed to previously designated assembly areas. When the Ku Klux Klan was originally formed, the burning cross served the same purpose of notifying Klanmen to assemble for their nightly rides.

My college-age niece says that by smoking pot with his students, a giant no-no, Ginsburg did himself in.

Instead of an ever-widening gyre, to include more and more people in our movement, I notice the circle of people Instauration holds as "elect" seems to be contracting, under its own excessive qualifications for membership in the white race--much like a "white dwarf" star collapses under its own gravity, to make an ominous comparison. The first controversy along this line was about Americans from Southern Italy and Sicily--they were unassimilable and weren't really with us." Then it was that ideally it is the blue-eyed, blond-haired Aryans that should be our artistic model. Most recently John Nobull has gone after Fats. My question is, where will the nitpicking end? Can Instauration afford to hold such high standards that it will exclude everyone at this late date? I imagine a time will come, after the Southern Italians, Mediterraneans, fags, uglies, brutettes, freaked and low-IQ'd are excised that when Instauration sounds the battle cry, there won't be anyone left to respond.

Democracy, far from being the ideal form of government, is in fact one of the worst. Our forefathers set up a republic, but the enemy in 200 years has turned us into a democracy, which is nothing more or less than mob rule. Some 2,500 years ago in Greece, a savant opined that democracy could never succeed, as the vote of the thinking man was cancelled by that of the unthinking man.

In any pressure we put on the USSR to influence its emigration policies, it is a matter of simple justice to give priorities to those ethnic groups which have suffered most from Soviet cruelty and genocide. Specifically, the Baltic nations and Ukrainians are the first victims who come to mind. Any group that played a large role in the origins and furtherance of Communist tyranny and barbarism deserves the least of our concern and help.

Le Pen's most important supporters remain the traditionalist Catholics, but I fear his former wife's book, which is scheduled to appear all over France just a couple of days before the general election, will talk about "partouze," which may horrify a considerable number of the traditionalists. Partouze is a current French word--more than just jargon--to describe couples having sex together. Quite a current thing here now within the upper-middle class about 5 to 10% of them, an enormous figure---considering the Puritanism of the French middle class only 40 years ago. AIDS, however, is imposing some restrictions on these tendencies.

I am one of the not-so-few conservatives who support conservation, including the efforts of Greenpeace. That organization, formerly admirably apolitical, has been indulging in some heavy anti-establishmentarianism this past year. I got a properly disinfected and atheized "holiday" greeting card from them, with the following message stamped inside: "Peace on Earth--from the staff and activists at Greenpeace." I thanked them on the back of the contribution form and added this postscript:

"You might want to phrase things a bit differently in the future. We all want peace and of course are grateful for the peace we presently enjoy, but your terse Peace on Earth theme rings perceptibly of the cheap liberal "peace and justice" sloganeering we hear so much of these days. Your name is Greenpeace, not Peacegreen, and above all not Redpeace!"

We must not forget that one of the most effective means of change, properly implemented, costs only 22c.

Two friends, who used to live in my town, stopped in recently. They told of having attended a meeting where a female official from the IRS spoke about the new tax laws. When she had finished speaking, she asked for questions from the audience. The audience had many. The last one came from an obviously frustrated individual. "Why can't we get answers to our questions?" he asked. "Why do refund checks--for that matter, everything--take so long?" The IRS woman gave a few placable answers that were far from satisfactory, so the questioner bore even deeper. She hemmed and she hawed, and finally, she burst out in exasperation, as she strode away from the podium, "To be really truthful, a lot of our trouble and mistakes come from those niggers we're forced to hire by quota." My friend couldn't remember her name. I'd like to have sent her a copy of The Dispossessed Majority as reward for her candor.

I spent three and a half years in Asian POW camps. In Thailand, where I worked for two years on the railroad that would link Bangkok and Rangoon, we were on 15-hour shifts. After work, the tools had to be cleaned and counted. One Saturday (Dec. 18, 1943), when the count was short by one tool, the Korean guards went berserk. We were lined up and the guards shouted for the culprit to come forward. Otherwise, all of us would be killed, which was no idle threat! For a while nobody moved. Then a quiet man, 40-year-old Franz Brillemar, took a step forward. He was savagely clubbed and bayoneted to death. Afterward we were warned this would happen to any of us who lost Imperial Japanese property. Before we were allowed to go to our barracks, the tools were checked again. The "missing" tool was found. We were forced to hire by quota."
THE ZIONIZATION OF JESSE HELMS

My acquaintance with Jesse Helms goes back quite a few years. I was not at all surprised some time ago to hear that a copy of The Dispossessed Majority reposed on the shelf in his Raleigh (NC) residence. When I first came to know him, I believed Jesse to be a man of strong moral conviction. All outward indications were that he was. Ever fond of quoting the time-honored lessons of his father and his old school principal, Ray House, he even has a plaque on his office wall bearing his father’s words: “Son, the Lord doesn’t expect you to win. He only expects you to try.”

Upon his election to the Senate in 1972, I was confident he would go to Washington and stand up for the rights of the beleaguered white Majority, that this man was really a credit to his race -- or so I thought.

Veteran staffer George Dunlop, who has lived well off Jesse and was until recently an assistant secretary of agriculture, characterizes his boss and mentor as the personification of “Sibyl,” the leading character of the book and movie of the same name, because he possesses multiple personalities, “and if you understand that, you can get what you want.” I attributed Jesse’s growing personal rudeness and inconsideration to the many preoccupations of an overworked politician, yet certainly not characteristic of those early American statesmen he is so fond of quoting.

I had always known our new leader could “charm a cat off a shrimp boat,” as someone wrote of him, and right after the election I learned that he could turn the charm on and off instantaneously. In the course of my exposure to him and his operation, I encountered a great deal of double-dealing, which I was willing to overlook, thinking it less of an evil for a politician to be Machiavellian than to be a complete sellout like Ted Kennedy.

Helms, the private man, was the prey of numerous conflicting emotions. Most evident were his hangups about never having earned a college degree and his humble origins (humble perhaps to those with whom he now consorts). I should have realized his unabashed craving for respectability and legitimacy would have dire consequences someday for me and other Majority members. Jesse’s personal secretary attributed her boss’s problems to “a massive inferiority complex.” His administrative assistant said the spotlight of the 1976 Republican National Convention put the finishing touches on the change. “Now you can hardly live with his ego -- or believe anything he says.”

Wheeling and Dealing

In the hard-fought, tumultuous, down-to-the-wire 1984 Senate race in North Carolina, the embattled hero of the “New Right” fought for his political life. Some two years previously he had changed his mind at the last minute and cast the deciding vote for a 100% boost in the tax on cigarettes. A pack of Winstons or Salems in his home state, the nation’s leading tobacco producer, would now be taxed at 16¢. Why, many wondered, did Jesse oppose the vital economic interests of his constituents?

Here’s what happened. At 4:45 A.M. on the day of the vote in late summer 1982, President Reagan’s tax package was certain to be defeated. Helms was against it because it included the tobacco tax provision. Minutes before the vote, Majority Leader Howard Baker and Finance Committee Chairman Robert Dole approached Jesse and cut a deal right on the Senate floor. If Jesse would switch his vote, the Republican leadership would see that his anti-abortion bill got to the floor, and the tobacco tax would be dropped in the Senate-House conference on the tax package.

Unusual? Not for most senators in this era of unprincipled legislative wheeling and dealing. Since he was chairman of the Senate Agriculture Committee, as well as being from tobacco land, Jesse’s vote was all the more surprising to those not privy to the deal. But the senator had his reasons. He no longer wanted to be chairman of the Ag Committee. His eyes were focusing on bigger game: the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, where he was chairman of the Subcommittee on Hemispheric (Latin American) Affairs. He wanted to be the top man of the full committee someday, and to get that plum he would have to begin playing ball with the boys who run the Senate. Years of hyperconservative tirades, parliamentary obstructionism and anti-establishment posturing had engendered among his colleagues a “perish the thought” attitude at the prospect of his ever landing such an important chairmanship.

The venomous, vehement political backlash against his vote was inevitable in the land of the golden leaf. Most colleges and hospitals in his home state had been weaned on tobacco money from the Reynolds, Dukes and lesser fat cats. The future of a host of small businesses in North Carolina was inextricably intertwined with the nation’s smoking habit. The predictable outcry was fed by the liberal press, while the Democratic voting bloc chomped at the bit for Jesse’s Republican scalp.

Even more distressing for Helms, no Senate-House conference was ever held on the tax package. Instead of being deleted, the tobacco tax became law. Jesse’s anti-abortion bill got to the floor, only to be defeated -- as everyone, including its sponsor -- knew it would be.

I had always wondered about Jesse’s preoccupation with abortion, given his privately stated views on “niggers” and their rate of procreation. It was whispered through his office that years before a member of Helms’s family had been sent to a home for unwed mothers. True or not, the predominance of strict traditionalist Roman Catholics on his legislative staff no doubt reinforced his feelings against abortion. Yet the state he supposedly represents has the lowest Catholic percentage in the nation.

As the 1984 election loomed, the stage was set for a showdown between the senator and the state’s generally popular, ideologically flexible governor, Jim Hunt. What was Jesse to do? His standing with the voters had sunk to a new low.

Helms and Martin Luther King Jr.

In the nick of time an unlooked-for opportunity presented itself -- a god-sent issue that would, as Jesse predicted, “go down good” in North Carolina. The proposed Martin Luther King holiday was on the Senate docket. Before the fight began, Jesse’s longtime pollster, Arthur Finkelstein (“He’s a Jew, but he’s good,” Jesse allows), had his client almost 30 points down in the opinion polls, with a seemingly insurmountable 30-plus-percent negative rating. Historically, when a politician tries to placate people who won’t support him for any reason, it’s a calamity at the ballot box.

Darning the torpedoes, Jesse followed his shrewdest instincts and turned up the burner on Rev. King. He did it not only because

1. In 1981 Jesse’s political action committee, the National Congressional Club, opened a Washington office for purposes of clearing all federal patronage in North Carolina. A Finkelstein protégé and blood brother was appointed to that strategic outpost.
he had no use for the civil rights saint, but because it was politically expedient. The harder the liberal-minority coalition attacked his "racism," the more popular he became. Pundits of all stripes who had previously consigned Jesse to the political graveyard now fell strangely silent.

Something was afoot. Jesse was orating what most folks felt and thought, and the folks were responding. To most of the electorate -- and not only in North Carolina -- the King holiday was a bad and insulting joke, and "Jesse's the only one with the guts to come out and say it."

But there was still that negative rating. Aside from the appointment of campaign spokesman Claude Allen, a black, much more had to be done. A combination of intestinal fortitude, jugular instinct, money and common sense would be required to win the 1984 Senate race. Jesse's creator, gray eminence and commander to the faithful, Tom Ellis, and pollster Finkelstein got their heads together and unleashed one of the most furious and hard-hitting campaigns ever seen in U.S. politics.

One stumbling block was Jesse's designs on the Foreign Relations Committee. If Senator Charles Percy of Illinois, the committee chairman, lost his seat, and Jesse kept his and the Republicans retained control of the Senate, then the North Carolinian would be in line for the chairmanship. Accordingly, he became the #2 target of the pro-Israel lobby in the 1984 election, the #1 enemy being Percy, who had made the grievous error of recommending that the U.S. tone down its warmongering pro-Zionism. If Jesse was re-elected, would he "desert tobacco" and give up his Agriculture Committee chairmanship? He promised his farming constituents he would not.2

2. The appointment was somewhat hypocritical in view of Helms's attitude towards blacks. For years he referred to Negroes as "Freds," a code word coined by his staff during his first Senate term so his anti-black talk would not be understood or overheard. When Helms invited Bishop Abel Muzorewa of what was then Rhodesia to the U.S. to plead his case, the senator arranged a red-carpet trip to Asheville so the black churchman could see an elderly former teacher. In the course of his visit there, the bishop wrote $2,000 worth of rubber checks. "That nigger!" Helms commented. Once when a Negro driver suddenly swerved in front of him in traffic, Jesse said, "All right, be a nigger!" Such talk from him is commonplace in private.

In spite of his opinion of Negroes, Jesse was never too strong on race. He took the side of Argentina in the Falklands war. He was the Senate's chief opponent of the Genocide Treaty and for years had single-handedly held it up in the Foreign Relations Committee. As a test of his conversion to the Zionist cause, the Jewish lobby approached him and pointed out how important the treaty was to Jews. They acknowledged his longstanding reservations on its infringements of national sovereignty and on the scary prospect of U.S. citizens being subject to the rulings of an international tribunal. All they asked him was to give it a fair chance. Just let it out of committee, that's all.

Jesse knew if the treaty got to the Senate floor it would be passed, but he let it go. Before the full Senate, the country's "most conservative senator" fought the Genocide Treaty tooth and nail and was soundly defeated, as he was sure he would be. Many of his gullible supporters, unaware of his committee cave-in, praised his "courageous" stand.

3. Perhaps the earliest indication that Jesse would one day play ball with the Zionists was his 1980 sanction of the so-called "Madison Group," a foreign policy roundtable headed by Helms's kosher conservative minion, John Carbaugh. Taking its name from its meeting place, American-based Israelite Marshall Coney's Madison Hotel, the group's primary mission was to funnel information to the Reagan campaign's foreign and defense policy apparatus, in the process favorably positioning its members for jobs in a Reagan administration. Decidedly pro-Israel, the only adherents of the group to be awarded jobs were Mark Schneider at the National Security Council and Richard Perle at Defense, both of the Chosen persuasion. Much of the remainder of the group eventually wound up on Jesse's Senate payroll rather than in the administration to which they had so assiduously groveled.

Finkelstein and Ellis reminded the senator of his "Jewish problem," which was not altogether dissimilar from his Jim Hunt problem. Yet the last thing Jesse had on his mind was appeasing Hunt. So, he ruminated, why appease the Jews? (His only prominent Jewish contributor was Ivan Boesky.) But he couldn't help but envy the lavish Jewish fundraisers in Palm Beach and Beverly Hills that were netting the Hunt campaign massive sums. At that point in time, Michael Kleiner appeared on the scene.

Soon the senator was spending a great deal of time with Knesset member Kleiner, a "conservative" Israeli politician who belonged to the Herut Party. Kleiner, with an assist from Peter Goldman of the pathologically Zionist group, Americans for a Safe Israel, was busy convincing Jesse that Israel was America's only ally in the Middle East. Although he had been the Senate's loudest anti-Israel voice (practically a minority of one), Kleiner and Goldman didn't need to twist Helms's arm too hard.

By hunkering down and spending some $25 million on his race, and with the help of Claude Allen, pollster Finkelstein and numerous conferences with Kleiner (to ease the pressure from the Israeli lobby), Jesse squeaked by with 51.95% of the vote. Once re-elected, he immediately set about attaining his dream chairmanship of the Foreign Relations Committee, even while assuring the pundits that "It is my intent to remain as chairman of Agriculture." Some weeks later, from his Raleigh office, Jesse had his entire Washington staff on the phone in a conference call and gave instructions not to talk to the press about what he would or would not do regarding the chairmanship. He warned staffers, "If you do, it will be your job."

The Sanctity of a Helms Promise

Chief legislative aide James P. Lucier, an ultramontane Catholic with a Ph.D. in English literature (an odd kind of braintrust for a Baptist college dropout), was instructed to conduct discreet interviews in preparation for hiring a Foreign Relations staff. Mean­while a plan was hatched to orchestrate a statewide grass roots campaign calling upon Jesse to take over the Foreign Relations chair.

Among the religious potentates enlisted, Rev. Jerry Falwell was the pivotal figure. His job was to get the "born agains" on the bandwagon, as he had done so successfully in the Senate race.5

5. The senator's personal hero is and has long been Winston Churchill, whose grave he visited with great reverence during a U.K. junket. Political larcenies once gifted old Jesse with a truckling biography of their shared hero.

6. Helms's present staff includes Deborah DeMoss, the sister of Mark DeMoss, a top Falwell aide. Their mother is a country girl from North Carolina; their late father, Art DeMoss, was a Greek who made millions in insurance and became one of Falwell's financial angels. Jesse and Falwell once spent at least one weekend with the Helmses at their Lake Gaston home. Mrs. Helms, by the way, stuck a prayer for her husband's victory in his 1972 Senate race in Jerusalem's Wailing Wall.

4. The Senator's opinion of Jews, before he saw the (financial) light, was definitely negative. When Senator Howard Metzenbaum's office declined a gift Jesse's office was distributing, Helms joked, "Maybe I should send the Jew a ham."

6. The senator also keeps in close contact with other prominent Bible belters. Pat Robertson and his wife have spent at least one weekend with the Helmses at their Lake Gaston home. Mrs. Helms, by the way, stuck a prayer for her husband's victory in his 1972 Senate race in Jerusalem's Wailing Wall.
North Carolina tobacco and agricultural magnates were being approached with the rationale that Jesse deserved the Foreign Relations chairmanship because of tobacco's prominence in foreign trade.

Bunker Hunt agreed to bankroll the effort, reportedly promising to shell out “Whatever you need.” Senator Richard Lugar, second in seniority to Helms on both the Agriculture and Foreign Relations Committees, would get the chairmanship of the former, and Jesse would stay on at Agriculture by chairing a new Subcommittee on Tobacco. Accordingly, Jesse’s promise not to desert tobacco would be fulfilled. “For the first time in history we would have an entire subcommittee just for tobacco,” boasted one aide.

Newspaper ads were composed; TV story boards were produced. “We know you promised, but we need you” was the theme. Professional conservatives Howard Phillips and Paul Weyrich were deeply involved in the prospective campaign. Many prominent Christian fundamentalists added their voices to the chorus of those who demanded that Jesse should be forgiven for reneging on his most solemn campaign promise.

The press relations juggernaut was just beginning to gain steam, and was preparing to go public when Senator Rudy Boschwitz (R-MN) suddenly threw what might be described as a Jewish curve. The lumber millionaire and member of the Foreign Relations Committee announced that if Helms attempted to become chairman, he would call for a vote of the committee and cast his vote to approve or disapprove of a chairman, and the vote was binding. Boschwitz’s threat was enough to derail Jesse’s best-laid plans.

Senator Paula Hawkins (R-FL), another committee member, responding to questions from a South Florida Jewish group, said that if Jesse persisted in his bid, she too would call for a vote. With a 9-8 balance in favor of the Republicans, all that was necessary was one GOP defection, and two were already certain.

Despite his latter-day palling around with Kleiner, Jesse’s pro-Israel track record was pretty dismal. In a CNN interview during the invasion of Lebanon, Jesse had said that if Israel did not clean up its act, “We’ve got to shut down diplomatic relations.” With that statement on the record, it was hard for him to do the necessary spine-bending required of politicians who have not proved their unswerving loyalty to Zionism and need to get back in the good graces of Jewish organizations. As the press bored in on Jesse’s predicament, Lucier asked his boss what he was supposed to tell the people who were ready to go public with the ads and the “grass roots” campaign. The answer was, “Tell them anything you want to.”

Because of the threatened defections of Boschwitz and Hawkins, the only remaining way Helms could get the chairmanship was through seniority, a semi-sacred Senate institution. If Lugar was elected Majority leader, he would automatically lose his chairmanship. Next in seniority was Charles Mathias (R-MD), who had experienced some mild turbulence with the Jewish lobby. Mathias’s left-wing voting record engendered the hope that if Lugar did get the Majority Leader’s post, the White House and others would see that Jesse got his dream.

Helms maintained a strange silence about his plans. Three minutes after Bob Dole, not Lugar, was elected Majority Leader, minions of the fourth estate camped outside Jesse’s office, waiting for the announcement on the chairmanship.” Lucier, totally in the dark, stormed over to Jesse’s hideaway office in the Capitol in search of his master. The moment Lucier left, Helms sprang out from around a corner (one of his favorite tricks) and announced before the cameras that he was keeping his promise to the people of North Carolina. “I will remain as chairman of the Senate Agriculture Committee.”

Moments later, while consoling two tearful female and one shocked male foreign policy aides in his private office, Helms said some “good things” would happen on the committee. One “good thing” was that Claude Allen, Jesse’s token black, was hired by Chairman Lugar to handle some Africa legislation and press relations work.

The accolades from North Carolina were overwhelming. Jesse had kept his word to the folks back home and turned down “all that power.” “Jesse is honest, Jesse is true,” could be heard at many church and political gatherings. Bunker Hunt’s reaction was less enthusiastic: “I didn’t spend a million dollars to protect tobacco in North Carolina.” Though many involved in the foreign relations gambit had wanted Helms to go ahead and fight it out with Boschwitz and the liberals, Jesse knew better.

But he didn’t give up. After the Republicans lost control of the Senate in the 1986 elections, Jesse defeated Lugar for the post of ranking minority member on Foreign Relations in a hotly contested battle.

A Toady of Israel

Today Helms, a late-blooming but zealous convert to the cause of Zion, is one of Israel’s best friends in the Senate. He has stated that the U.S. should “never pursue any plan that envisions a separation of the West Bank from Israel.” During a trip to Israel in 1985 — arranged and paid for by Senator Chic Hecht (R-NV) and Robert Jacobs, the moneybags of Meir Kahane, Jesse treated the press to a daily output of pro-Israel massaging.

Writing for the pro-Zionist Heritage Foundation’s Policy Review magazine, in an article entitled “Keeping Faith: A Baptist Deacon Reflects on American Policy Toward Israel,” Jesse said:

Israel is really our only reliable ally in the Middle East. Only Israel can oppose Soviet hegemony over the entire area.

In September 1985, Jesse advocated moving the U.S. embassy

9. Jesse’s Foreign Relations Committee staff, aside from Lucier and DeMoss, includes Zionists Bob Friedlander and Darryl Nuremburg, the former a counsel and the latter his Middle East and Israel issues expert. Nuremburg served for years as the dairy expert on Jesse’s Agriculture Committee.

In September 1985, Jesse advocated moving the U.S. embassy
from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem. The following month he went on
record against an arms sale to Jordan. In February 1986 he
endorsed and raised funds for Bruce Herschensohn, the Jewish
candidate in the Republican primary for a California Senate seat. It
was both impolitic and discourteous for the senator to make such
an endorsement with so many conservatives still in the primary
race, which was eventually won by Ed Zschau. Congressional
Club staffers in North Carolina were dispatched to California to
work around the clock for Herschensohn.

In an unusual move, Jesse went out of his way to remain neutral
and remove himself (to the point of disowning) his own political
action committee’s candidate for the Senate seat of the retiring
John East of North Carolina. The candidate, David B. Funderburk,
a Fulbright scholar and former ambassador to Romania, was no
favorite of the Jewish lobby. He had openly solicited the support
and cooperation of Jewish leaders, in both Romania and the U.S.,
to help speed the emigration of persecuted Christians from the
Soviet puppet state. In his solicitation he had been so impolitic as
to remind Jews that they were not the only ones who had been
oppressed by Communist regimes.

Since an army cannot hope to win without the support of its
commanding general, the Funderburk campaign was doomed
from the start by Jesse’s repeated refusal to endorse or even put in
a good word for the candidate. In the end Funderburk lost the
primary to Congressman James Broyhill by 67% to 30% (with 3%
going to White Patriot Party leader Glenn Miller). Broyhill lost in
the general election to left-leaning Terry Sanford.

White Patriots Betrayed

But the desertion of Funderburk was piddling compared to
what Jesse did to the White Patriot Party. Back in late 1980 Jesse
and staffer Sam Currin met to plot pre-election strategy. As they
looked ahead to 1984, Governor Jim Hunt seemed all but unbeat-
able. Wise in the ways of political warfare, Jesse managed to get
Currin appointed U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of North
Carolina. With the help of two other pro-Helms U.S. attorneys,
Currin was then in a strategic position to find the skeletons in
the governor directly, though they tainted some of his cronies.
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White Patriots Betrayed

But the desertion of Funderburk was piddling compared to
what Jesse did to the White Patriot Party. Back in late 1980 Jesse
and staffer Sam Currin met to plot pre-election strategy. As they
looked ahead to 1984, Governor Jim Hunt seemed all but unbeat-
able. Wise in the ways of political warfare, Jesse managed to get
Currin appointed U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of North
Carolina. With the help of two other pro-Helms U.S. attorneys,
Currin was then in a strategic position to find the skeletons in
the governor’s closet.

Numerous politically inspired prosecutions failed to damage
the governor directly, though they tainted some of his cronies.
Dovetailing with the negative campaign against Hunt, the
cooked-up investigations certainly had an impact. If nothing else,
the governor had to spend more time looking over his shoulder
and assuming a defensive posture. Currin and Jesse met privately
-- and frequently -- in Raleigh during the height of the campaign.
Both were politically active Baptists and were, understandably,
members of the same social circles. But they must have had more
to talk about than church socials. The targeted probes against
Hunt & Co. must have been discussed -- not an altogether uncom-
mon abuse in U.S. politics.

Following Helms’s 1984 victory, a dual use of the U.S. At-
torney’s office was devised. Jesse wanted to put Currin, a rock-
rribbed conservative, on the federal bench. But he also needed to
ingratiate himself with the Jewish lobby. He was not surprised that
when Currin’s name was submitted, all hell broke loose. Not only
were Senate liberals determined to block the nomination, but
more than 25 prominent Raleigh area lawyers publicly an-
nounced their opposition, including Jesse’s old friend and poker-
playing buddy, Judge James H. Bailey.

The upshot was that Currin needed to placate the liberals and
Jews on the Senate Judiciary Committee, and he needed to placate
them fast. So what case did Currin personally prosecute? What
better high-profile bootlegging assignment than to go after the
White Patriot Party? Night after night Currin could be seen on the
evening TV news talking about the “evil” White Patriots. Nothing
could have played into Currin’s hands any better than Glenn
Miller’s widely publicized death threat against him.

Currin pursued the White Patriot Party, before and after the
death threat, with a zeal that surpassed his 1984 electioneering for
Jesse. When the Currin nomination for federal judge had to be
withdrawn, after the Democrats won back control of the Senate,
there was some consolation for his sponsor. One pro-Israel lobby-
list was quoted as saying, “Helms’s man [Currin] is doing a good
job with that bunch [the White Patriot Party] in North Carolina.”

Some of the most severe jail sentences for the White Patriots
were handed down by Judge Terrence Boyle, a New Jersey native
who presides over the federal bench in Elisabeth City (NC). He
was nominated for the post by Helms and is the son-in-law of Tom
Ellis.

As of now, Helms, the champion of Christian values, the de-
defender of South Africa, the Senate’s chief opponent of foreign aid
and Soviet imperialism, and the onetime archenemy of Jewish
expansionism, stands as the most slavish lackey of the Zionist
lobby. He has completely sold out and turned his back on his own
people -- all for a leadership position on the Senate Foreign
Relations Committee and whatever other pieces of silver he can
accumulate. There are plenty of Majority renegades, but most
never pretended to be anything else.

Journalist Joe Maynor once said of Jesse, “Papa was the first
advisor that Jesse Helms Jr. had, and the lessons he taught were
based on a strict code of hard work, justice and moral conduct.”

Wonder what Papa would think of Jesse’s modern day pandering
to his new masters?

Though saddened, angered and betrayed, Majority activists
should not be disheartened. Any present-day U.S. politician, no
matter what his public pronouncements and party affiliation, will
swallow whatever principles he has left and turn against his own
people in order to get campaign funds and a friendly nod from the
media.

Helms’s actions are par for the American political course in the
late 20th century. They should remind us once again never to put
one iota of faith in any present-day legislator or government
official who attains “national status.” To reach a high position on
the political totem pole, the politician must become a walking,
talking, voting renegade. Whatever he does in the White House,
in Congress or on the Supreme Court will be for himself and the
minorities -- and against us.

Those who wish to fight for the survival of the American Major-
ity must start with a clean slate -- and this means a clean political
slate. Anyone who has attained any high political office in this
country in these times must have played by the rules of “politics as
usual,” which by definition makes him a mortal enemy.

Ponderable Quote

Senator [Richard] Russell [of Georgia] once remarked to me
that Congress should only meet to legislate on basic domestic
problems every ten years and that it should “pass everything in a
sight” at such meetings. In between, he thought, it should come
together each year only to handle the appropriations. His funda-
mental concept was that a stream of social legislation year after
year was bound to be upsetting to the Executive agencies who are
charged with carrying out the laws. In his judgment, they
needed time to learn how to make the laws work. Furthermore,
laws should not be passed before the public has really had a
chance to become familiar with the issues.

George E. Reedy,
The U.S. Senate
BLOOD GROUPS AND RACIAL DIFFERENCES

Does a difference between blacks and whites in the progress of a disease that targets blood cells make sense? Most people are poorly prepared to understand this dissimilarity because schools teach that racial differences are only skin deep. Biology and anthropology textbooks frequently feature world maps with shaded-in areas showing the worldwide distribution of ABO blood groups. Unfortunately, the areas of blood group distribution don't match areas of racial distribution, the most glaring example being the almost worldwide distribution of blood group A. All of which seems to indicate that there are no serological differences between human races. After all, it's argued, blood transfusions can be made from a person of one race to another race. Blood can be separated into types A, B, AB and O and, once sorted out like that, generally exchanged.1

The ABO blood group(s) system, however, is basically a system of categorizing and keeping tabs on some biochemically active substances (antigens and antibodies) that are carried either on the surface of the blood cells or in the plasma. But there are 19 other blood group systems, each of which keeps tabs on different substances. It's true that human races have some of the blood substances that the ABO system keeps track of. But the blood of all races isn't the same. Several of the 19 other blood group systems identify and keep tabs on substances that naturally occur in the blood of one race but not another.2

Moreover, although all human races have some kind of ABO blood type, how "human" are the ABO blood types? What pedigree do they prove? Are they really prima facie evidence of humanity? It's not generally known that apes and monkeys have ABO types, too. The blood of various species of anthropoid apes is similar to human blood that it's hard to tell one from another.

Tests have been made using reactions to the sera of the ABO, MN and Rh blood groups in order to compare humans to all apes and many species of monkeys. In terms of general biochemistry, man's closest relative is the chimpanzee, followed in order by the gorilla, the orangutan and the gibbon. The common chimpanzee has blood groups A and O; the pygmy chimp only A. Gorillas have blood groups A and B, but the mountain gorilla has only A, and lowland gorillas only B (and in this case the B substance is distinguishable from the human B substance). Orangutans and gibbons have blood groups A, B and AB.

The MN system is the second most important human blood group system. Three human blood types within this system are M, N and MN. Members of all species of apes have been found, like humans, to carry the M substance. Chimpanzees carry both the M and N substances.3 (The plasma of apes, it so happens, is like human plasma.)

After a considerable gap in evolutionary grade, man's next nearest relatives in the Old World are monkeys. However, in monkeys the ABO substances aren't found in the blood itself, but in body fluids and tissues.4 Two examples: the Rhesus monkey (Macaca mulata) has the B substance; the Java macaque (Macaca irius) the A, B and AB substances.

To return for a moment to the theory that the widespread distribution of "human" blood group A proves we are all "one" (any ABO group could be used), it might be noted that A is also distributed through Africa and Asia in the apes. ABO blood group maps and textbooks that suggest all human beings belong to the same race because they

1. Blood transfusions began in 1665. For centuries doctors had mixed results. Sometimes they saved a patient's life, at other times they ended it. It wasn't until this century that Austrian pathologist Karl Landsteiner began to separate human blood into chemically identifiable groups or blood types. If these are mismatched, they will coagulate or show other bad transfusion reactions. By 1902 Landsteiner had identified four blood types, which he called A, B, AB and O. In 1927 he discovered the MN blood groups. Three years later he won the Nobel Prize. In 1936 he wrote the scientific classic, The Specificity of Serological Reactions. Working with Alexander Wiener, Landsteiner discovered the Rhesus factor in 1940.

2. For instance:

Rhesus: The Rh blood group system clearly discriminates between races. Within the Rh system, besides the Rh negative, there are actually many different kinds of Rh positive blood (that is, various Rh+ antigens). The unique African Rh positive substance is unlike the Rh positive substances of other races. Sixty-five percent of all Negroes have this African Rh blood type, which can properly be called either Ro or cde (according to two different classification systems). In addition, in the remaining 35 percent of the black African population the distribution of the other Rh types occurs in proportion different from that of Caucasians or other populations.

Duffy: The Duffy blood group system also sets Negroes apart. It is based on three distinct genes called FyA, FyB and FyC. The Negro gene, FyC, is substantially different from the two shared by other races. It gives rise to a unique blood substance.

P: Negroes have the world's highest frequency of type P blood, over 80% of which is found in Africa.

Mismatches under the relatively important blood group systems listed above can result in serious transfusion reactions. Several other blood group systems also identify substances that are racially specific. For example, the Diego system identifies a blood substance limited to American Indians and Asian Mongoloids.

3. It would be erroneous to think that the absence of the N substance in some species of apes separates them serologically from humans. Americans also lack the N substance.

4. Many humans also carry the ABO blood group substances in their saliva and other body fluids. Such people are called secretors.

That human races can differ in the genes that determine their blood chemistry is illustrated by the fact that 8% of all blacks have the abnormal hemoglobin that distorts and elongates red blood cells, causing sickle cell anemia. Organic racial differences can be found in almost all parts of the blood. The greatest racial dissimilarities occur in the most important portion of the gamma globulin -- immunoglobulin G.

The presence or absence of the African Rh blood type has been used to measure the percentage of Negro admixture in Brazil Encyclopaedia Britannica, 1980, vol. 15, p. 353). The presence of Duffy's gene FyB was used by William Shockley to measure the percentage of Caucasian admixture in the black population of Oakland, CA. (Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 1973) The presence of the (Mongoloid) factor, D, may be similarly used to measure American admixture in the New World Hispanic populations.
have the same kind of blood is just so much rhetoric. ABO blood groups exist in all hominoids.

In the 1970s, biologists working with new methods determined that an astonishing 99% of human blood and body proteins are identical to those of the chimpanzee. We are much alike, the apes and us. But we are also manifestly different and civilization exists within the scope of that difference. A scant 1% was the organic blood difference at birth between Ronald Reagan and his old co-star, Bonzo. Creationists to the contrary, humans are formulated more or less like apes. Thereafter a pinch and a peck are important. The ingredients for humanity come in very small amounts. Major racial differences derive from minor differences in the recipe.

In *The Origin of Races* (Knopf, 1962), the late Harvard anthropologist Carleton Coon cited a 1960 article by two biological researchers, Alexander Wiener and E.B. Gordon, who found at least three unique similarities in the blood of Negroes and chimpanzees. The researchers determined that although the chimpanzee has blood groups A and O, the chimpanzee’s A substance is of a special type called A1. Even in humans, more than one type of A blood exists. More often than not, type A blood falls into one of two common subcategories, types A1 and A2. As a third possibility, although it’s less common, some humans do have blood type A1.2. This latter type is found most frequently in Negroes. It is very seldom found in Caucasoids and may be limited to those of North African ancestry.

While studying the MN blood group substances in chimpanzees, Wiener and Gordon also worked with an organic chemical called anti-U factor, which is found in the blood of all Caucasians. By contrast, none of the chimps tested carried it. The researchers pointed out that although some Negroes carry the anti-U factor, other Negroes don’t.

With the help of his partner Gordon, Wiener, whose background as Karl Landsteiner’s co-worker in the 1940 discovery of the Rh factor certifies his authority on Rh chemistry, discovered that the blood of Negroes and chimpanzees show a similar reaction to a certain antigen of the Rhesus blood group system called Rh-Hr.

Laboratory work discovered Negro/chimpanzee similarities (A1.2, anti-U, Rh-Hr) within the parameters of the three most important blood group systems, ABO, MN and Rh. This is a well-rounded biochemical relationship. It is also one of the forbidden topics of current establishment anthropology. For openly discussing the provocative biological differences between races, among them the identical characteristics of Negro and chimpanzee blood in *The Origin of Races*, Carleton Coon, then a senior professor with several well-known books to his credit, was attacked and hounded by the liberal power bloc in academia with such virulence that the old scholar’s health suffered and he had to take early retirement from Harvard. Since that time, public education policy has been to teach that one has only to prick the surface of Caucasian skin or Negro skin to find out that there is a close, deep and abiding blood relationship. When the subject of racial dissimilarities in blood composition does unavoidably come up, the self-appointed censors of public information stress that “human” ABO blood types are the same everywhere and any other differences are so minor as to be without importance.

Ironically, after so many years of actively obstructing public recognition of the links in blood chemistry between Negroes and one lower primate, the equalitarian lobby is being tormented by the visible prominence of a link between Negroes and another lower primate, the African green monkey. The emerging record of racially differential transmission of the AIDS virus, which attacks the blood’s T-helper cells, exposes the folly of any assessments that racial differences in blood chemistry are unimportant.

---

5. What’s worse, such maps often group unrelated races together in odd fraternities based on the presence of a particular ABO blood type. By focusing only on limited aspects of ABO chemistry, a variety of crazy maps can be made. Amerindians don’t have type B blood. Since Asian peoples and orangutans do have B, an ABO blood group map could be constructed to “prove” that Asians are more closely related to orangutans than to Amerindians!

How far do falsifications about the ABO blood brotherhood of man really extend? Very far. Many kinds of bacteria that live in the human stomach and intestines have antigens that closely resemble and cross-react with the active substances of blood groups A and B. Such bacteria often leave the gastrointestinal tract and live in water. Should they be included in the next census?

6. We also share 99% of our genetic material with chimpanzees. By comparison, horses and donkeys have only about 97% of their genetic material in common. Yet crossbreeding between them produces mules. Scientists have spoken seriously for many years of mating a human with a chimpanzee. In 1987 the media buzzed for a while because an Italian doctor suggested that he had created such a half-breed (Instauration, Aug. 1987, p. 31).

The Pygmy chimpanzee (Pan paniscus) has a high forehead, is especially intelligent and mates face-to-face. The female is sexually receptive for almost all of her estrus cycle. Pygmy chimpanzees look hauntingly human. For more about such chimps and genes, see “An Uncommon Chimpanzee,” Science 83, June 1983, pp. 40-47.


8. These sources don’t differentiate between kinds of Caucasoids, but the background pattern is that some Semites and ethnically mixed Mediterranean populations occasionally add Negro traits to Caucasian statistics: e.g., among European Jews and in some areas of Southern Europe the frequency of the African Rh blood type reaches 5% (Encyclopedia Britannica, 1980, vol. 14, p. 842). The Negroid gene of the Duffy system, *Fy*, occurs in high frequency in some Yemenite Jewish populations (op. cit., vol. 3, p. 674).

9. What is the reason for these Negro/chimpanzee biochemical cofactors? One possible answer is that they came about through parallel evolution because this particular organic chemistry is advantageous in the African environment. (People who champion this view have difficulty denying that the similarities between Negro and chimpanzee behavior might have evolved the same way.) Professor Coon, while reporting that others had speculated this way, did not commit himself to this interpretation. He probably noted the absence of any hard evidence of an evolutionary benefit to this particular blood chemistry. The other possibility is that Negroes and chimpanzees share a common ancestor who passed the substance on to both.
ON APRIL 17, 1987, Austrian President Kurt Waldheim became the first head of state to be legally banned from coming to the United States. A Justice Department spokesman announced that "the evidence collected establishes a prima facie case that Kurt Waldheim assisted or otherwise participated in the [Nazi] persecution of persons because of race, religion, national origin or political opinion."

Bruce Levinson, national chairman of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, promptly hailed the decision, accusing Waldheim of "a past record of complicity with the Nazi effort to exterminate the Jewish people and persecute other groups." World Jewish Congress chief Edgar Bronfman praised Attorney General Edwin Meese's "courageous" decision, which "sent a clear message: Nazis are not welcome here."

What was the legal basis for this ban? What was the moral basis for it? Even a cursory examination of these questions shows that the ban was a hypocritical, shortsighted mistake. It was based on bad law, selectively enforced -- a law that puts foreigners to a moral test that many Americans would fail.

In spite of the ban and all that has appeared in the media about the Waldheim affair, no hard evidence has been produced to implicate Waldheim in even a single atrocity or war crime. "It must be stressed that there is no proof that Waldheim has committed any war crimes," declared the director of Austria's official press and information service in New York. Even Time magazine observed (May 11), "there is no definitive proof that the Austrian President committed war crimes."

Famed "Nazi hunter" Simon Wiesenthal, who lives and works in Vienna, has emphasized that no proof has been presented to show that Waldheim was a criminal. Wiesenthal told the New York Daily News, "there is absolutely no evidence the man was involved in crimes." He cautioned, "when you call a man a criminal without evidence, you let people say that it is only a Jewish accusation." In a November 1986 interview with reporters in Vienna, Wiesenthal stated, "I have seen no evidence that he was involved in a crime, ordered a crime or proposed a crime."

Waldheim has been falsely accused of lying about his wartime record by allegedly failing to mention in his autobiography that he served in the Balkans. He supposedly implied that his military service ended after a period of hospitalization and university leave in Vienna. This accusation is based on a misleading passage in the American edition of his memoirs. The German original contains this sentence, which was deleted from the American version by the publisher: "After my university leave and after my leg healed, I was called back into army service. I was stationed near Trieste shortly before the end of the war."

Some of the charges against Waldheim have already been quietly dropped. Last November, the Israeli government acknowledged that there was no real evidence that Waldheim was involved in atrocities or war crimes against Jews. An official inquiry discounted the "evidence" of Israelis who claimed to have witnessed Waldheim's involvement in such crimes. An Austrian government investigating team that researched Waldheim's wartime record in Yugoslav archives announced last May that it found no evidence there to implicate him in any atrocities.

A few days after the Waldheim ban was announced, a high-level Justice Department delegation tried to justify the move to Austrian officials. The Americans reportedly
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stressed that Waldheim was “in a position to know” about war crimes in the Balkans. But the delegation presented no evidence directly linking the Austrian President to atrocities or war crimes. The New York Times reported (May 17, 1987) that one senior Austrian official was so angered by the presentation that he nearly broke off the meeting.

Waldheim himself categorically dismissed charges of criminal behavior and announced in a televised address that he had a “clear conscience” about his wartime activities. In fact, he is so confident that the charges against him are baseless that he has filed a slander suit against World Jewish Congress head Edgar Bronfman, who has played a key role in the character-assassination campaign. Waldheim also approved a blue-ribbon commission of six internationally respected military historians, including an Israeli and an American, to carefully investigate the charges (see below).

If there is no real evidence that Waldheim was personally involved in atrocities or war crimes, why was he banned? One answer lies in a peculiur U.S. statute. The legal basis for the banning order is the October 1978 “Holtzman amendment” to the Immigration Act, named for former Representative Elizabeth Holtzman of Brooklyn who, together with former Representative Joshua Eilberg of Philadelphia, a convicted felon, was primarily responsible for pushing the law through Congress. This is also the key statute used by the Justice Department’s Nazi-hunting Office of Special Investigations.

The statute specifies that anyone who “ordered, incited, assisted or otherwise participated in the persecution of any person because of race, religion, national origin or political opinion” under “the direction of, or in association with, the Nazi government of Germany” or of any government allied or associated with it is to be excluded from the United States.

It doesn’t take a professional civil libertarian to realize that this law’s vague language could cause mischief. Just what do “incitement,” “assistance,” “participation” and “persecution” mean in the above context?

In a letter to the Washington Post (July 28, 1986), Ms. Holtzman and former U.S. Supreme Court Justice Arthur J. Goldberg pointed out that, according to the statute, it is “immaterial” whether Waldheim actually participated in any war crimes or atrocities. Merely by serving in the German army during the war, Waldheim “at least ‘assisted’ Nazi persecution and under our law this is enough to require that he be excluded. It is not necessary to prove that he is guilty of what is commonly known as war crimes.”

Under that interpretation, the Holtzman amendment is so vague that it could theoretically be used to bar every surviving honorably discharged veteran of Germany’s armed forces in WWII, including West Germany’s former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt, a Luftwaffe officer, and current West German President Richard von Weizsaecker. By barring Waldheim, the Reagan administration held the Austrian President to a standard it does not apply to the leaders of even hostile nations.

If the Holtzman amendment, which applies only to Nazis, was broadened to cover non-Nazis, scores of foreign leaders could easily be prevented from coming to the U.S. How many Communist and Third World officials have “incited, assisted or otherwise participated” in the persecution of people on the basis of their “political opinion”? How many Israeli leaders have “incited, assisted or otherwise participated” in the persecution of Palestinian Arabs on the basis of their “national origin” or “political opinion”?

Nor is the United States without sin. In early 1942, Americans of Japanese ancestry living on the West Coast were forcibly rounded up and deported to internment camps. President Franklin Roosevelt signed the executive order and a number of prominent Americans, including a majority of Supreme Court justices and liberal columnist Walter Lippmann, publicly supported the move. Thousands of Americans who “ordered, incited, assisted or otherwise participated in the persecution” of Japanese Americans during the war years because of their “race” or “national origin” are still alive. Imagine the indignant reaction if, let us say, the Soviet Union chose to bar such Americans from the USSR.

The people of Austria have generally stood by their democratically elected head of state, in spite of -- or perhaps because of -- such provocative statements as World Jewish Congress general secretary Israel Singer’s warning last year: “It should be clear to the Austrian public that if Waldheim is elected, the next few years won’t be easy for the Austrians.”

The daily organ of Austria’s People’s Party, the Neue Volksblatt, commented:

Is there anyone who is really so primitive that he believes he can outlaw the democratically elected head of state, the representative of a nation, without at the same time also outlawing the people who elected this representative? Do people in the United States really believe that a president can be slapped in the face without also slapping the people?

In West Germany, the prestigious and sober daily Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung editorialized: “In order to pacify the World Jewish Congress and the East Coast press, which has steadily criticized the Reagan administration in recent months, a small country which cannot defend itself has been rudely smacked in the head.” One of the FAZ’s publishers, Johann Georg Reissmüller, accused the U.S. of hypocrisy for having received a number of foreign politicians over the years who had been involved in “bloody mass crimes.” Reissmüller added, “No one has so far proved that President Waldheim did anything dishonorable, but the superpower America thinks it can deal with little, neutral Austria as it likes.”

Here in America, politicians of both parties and most of the press have uncritically accepted the Reagan administration’s decision to ban Waldheim. Only a few dissident voices have been heard. Denver Post Associate Editor Leonard Larsen noted (May 15, 1987) that Waldheim was many times accused by the Israelis and the U.S. Jewish lobby of being “pro-Arab” during his tenure as Secretary General of the United Nations. Larsen denounced the Holtzman amendment as “a legal disgrace” and went on to say,
The sorry and embarrassing point is that this law and its use against Waldheim can be seen across the world as another obvious response by the entire U.S. government to demands of the Jewish lobby.

President Waldheim was diplomatically isolated until his dramatic June 25 meeting at the Vatican with Pope John Paul II. "All of your activities in international life, as a diplomat and a foreign minister for your country," the Pope said to Waldheim, have been "dedicated to safeguarding peace among peoples." Since the Vatican reception, Waldheim has met officially with Soviet Prime Minister Nikolai Ryzhkov, made a state visit to Jordan as the guest of King Hussein, and has been invited to West Germany for a so-far unscheduled "neighborly meeting" with President von Weizsaecker.

Waldheim Is Banned -- Shamir Is Honored

Jewish leaders around the world promptly condemned the Vatican meeting, calling Waldheim an "unrepentant Nazi." Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir was particularly emphatic in his criticism -- a classic case of the pot calling the kettle black. Shamir has a well-documented past as a terrorist. As chief of the Jewish terrorist group known as the Lehi or Stern Gang, he was personally involved in organizing the November 1944 assassination of British Middle East envoy Lord Moyne, and in the September 1948 murder of Swedish UN mediator Count Folke Bernadotte. But none of this kept official Washington from rolling out the red carpet for Shamir during his state visit last year.

American Catholic leaders have been particularly concerned over the often strident and emotional criticism of the Pope's Vatican meeting with Waldheim. The Public Affairs Director of the Catholic League for Religious and Civil Rights, Kevin Long, sharply criticized "all the ranting and raving" about the Vatican meeting, and he charged that "the Waldheim affair is merely one more opportunity to smear Catholics with the charge of persecuting Jews."

Kurt Waldheim is the freely elected president of one of the world's most peaceful and civilized countries. As Secretary General of the UN from 1972 to 1982, he was widely praised for his efforts on behalf of world peace. The decision to bar him from the U.S. was based on an aberrant law that embodies a highly selective and one-sided kind of "justice." It is a legal double standard that has no place in American jurisprudence.

There was a time not too many years ago when the entire campaign against Waldheim would have been all but unthinkable. Times have changed, but the decision to bar the Austrian head of state from setting foot on these shores will be remembered by future Americans and by most foreign-ers as hypocritical and arrogant. It has further diminished America's tattered reputation as an ethical and fair-minded nation.

FRANK EDWARDS

Editor's Comment: It's amazing that Kurt Waldheim has remained president of his country as long as he has. The age-old Jewish tactic of removing their perceived enemies, a relentless accumulation of charges that pile up and pile up until even a Hercules would crumble under the strain, has been working at full force. The latest charges are that Lt. Waldheim's army unit was directly involved in atrocities in Yugoslavia, and he was so high up in the Wehrmacht hierarchy that he was one of only two German intelligence officers in the Balkans to receive a secret briefing on the failed 1944 plot to kill Hitler.

In the midst of this Jewish backbiting, the head of the Austrian Social Democratic Party, Fred Sinowatz, and Hans Pusch, his chief aide, were accused of leaking state secrets to foreigners by the Austrian attorney general. On the conservative side, the general secretary of the Austrian Peoples Party, Michael Graff, resigned when it was learned he had told a French magazine, L'Express, that Waldheim is no problem for Austria, "so long as it's not proved he strangled six Jews with his own hands."

The last piece of news was widely reported in the Western press, but not the news that three separate investigations by the British ministries for defense and foreign affairs were unable to dig up any incriminating evidence whatsoever against Waldheim. Neither did a 500-page "white book" compiled by the Austrian foreign ministry, which was sent to all Austrian embassies abroad. Another investigation of Waldheim has been undertaken by an international commission, chaired by Swiss historian Hans-Rudolf Kurz. Its most prominent members are West German historian Manfred Messerschmidt, Israeli historian Yehuda Wallach, left-wing British academic Gerald Fleming and General James Collins, an American. Though somewhat critical, it absolved Waldheim of any complicity in war crimes.

In February, Der Spiegel, West Germany's imitation of Time magazine, published a telegram linking Waldheim to the deportation of some 4,000 Yugoslavian citizens in 1942. It turned out to be a vicious forgery.

1. "One does not crush the dragon's tail, but its head. Who is the dragon's head? Lord Moyne. He is a minister of state, the representative of the London Government in Cairo for the whole of the Near East. Even more than the High Commissioner for Palestine, he is the incarnation of England's anti-Zionist policy. He is the one we must destroy. Kill the minister!" — Yitzhak Shamir, quoted in The Untold History of Israel by Jacques Derogy and Hesi Carmel (Grove Press, 1979)

2. The Pope will have a second meeting with Waldheim when he visits Austria this year.
The talkingest numbers of all

AFRICAN APOCALYPSE

If ever there was an instance where "Talking Numbers" should be given the freedom to talk and talk and talk, it is now in Black Africa, with the runaway rates of AIDS infection. Instead, readers of America's periodicals are immersed in mega-articles where, amidst unbridled speculation about AIDS and the African future, it is briefly noted that "x percent of the prostitutes in Nairobi tested positive for AIDS in 1985." A week or two later, the same publication will run another windy treatise with much the same weary speculation, but this time the reader will be teased with the tidbit that "x percent of all blood donors in Kinshasa, Zaire, tested positive for AIDS in 1986."

Scientists have strongly depended on numbers to illuminate their understanding of natural phenomena. Once the numbers are available, the understanding falls rapidly into place. Journalists are a different breed. They imagine their readers can grasp what is transpiring epidemiologically on the dusky continent by giving them a mixture of 99 parts hot air to one part "just the facts, ma'am."

Since Instauration would prefer to see these ratios reversed, we have pored over several dozen recent news reports on the African plague, extracted from some of them the occasional hard number, and tried to arrange them in a comprehensible fashion. One figure here, and two or three there, have gradually added up to the first truly meaningful picture of the spread of AIDS in Africa.

Not a single number has been dropped for being "too low" and therefore "undramatic" -- though undoubtedly the reporters on whom we've depended did choose selectively. Nonetheless, it is impossible to survey the following data without wondering if the "three major races" may not soon be reduced to two.

But first a few notes. Percentages refer to rates of infection with the AIDS virus. All of the cities listed are the capitals of their respective nations, except for Entebbe, Uganda (cited twice). Some of the reporters were more vague about the nature of the samples described than they might have been. On the other hand, most reporters gave their medical sources, some of which were impressive: the World Health Organization, the Red Cross, Harvard University, and so on. The numbers given in the right-hand margin refer to the bibliographic sources, which are listed at the end of this article. Finally, many of the medical sources also gave doubling times -- usually eight months -- for the growth of the infection rates.

### General Populations -- Local

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Infection Rate (%)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>&quot;some areas&quot;</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Rakai region (southwest)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### "Adult" or "Sexually Active" Populations

#### Infection Rate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>&quot;some areas&quot;</td>
<td>15-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Lusaka</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Lusaka</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td>10-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td>10+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>Kinshasa</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>Kinshasa</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### General Populations -- Regional

#### Infection Rate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;AIDS Belt epicenter&quot;</td>
<td>15-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS Belt (dozen nations)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Central African nations generally&quot;</td>
<td>c. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;All Africa&quot;</td>
<td>6+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;East central Africa&quot;</td>
<td>c. 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### All Blood Donors

#### Infection Rate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>&quot;some parts&quot;</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Lusaka</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>Kinshasa</td>
<td>14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Kigali</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>nationally</td>
<td>8-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>nationally</td>
<td>8-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* females, aged 20-40 years)

### Prostitutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Infection Rate (%)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala/Entebbe (1987)</td>
<td>nearly 100</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Nairobi (1987)</td>
<td>88*</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Kigali</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Truck Town&quot; Trans-Africa Hwy. (1986)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Nairobi (1987)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Nairobi (1985)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>Kinshasa</td>
<td>25+</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Nairobi (1981)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(* sample averages 1,000 customers each annually)

### Pregnant Women and New Mothers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Infection Rate (%)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala (general hospital)</td>
<td>70*</td>
<td>14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Kampala (maternity hospital)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Present Rates for Active AIDS Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Infection Rate (%)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zaire</td>
<td>Kinshasa</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Kigali</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources

16. Official Zambian seminar on AIDS.

Ponderable Quotes

The relationship of language to thought is an old and horily debated issue. At one pole are those who assert that thought and words are coextensive . . . . At the opposite pole are those who argue that we think without words, and that words are simply the labels we attach to our thoughts. One piece of evidence offered in support of this view concerns the words for colors in various languages. Anthropological studies have shown that in many other cultures, people do not have the same boundaries for color categories that English-speaking people do; some have fewer basic color terms than we, and one people, the Dani of New Guinea, have only two color terms — mili ("dark") and mula ("light").

Morton Hunt, The Universe Within

[Saul] Bellow is an awful writer -- all that pity, pity, pity me -- ugh! I remember when an excerpt from one of his early novels was published with a literary review, I asked the editor why he took that terrible thing and he said he didn't like it either but it was the coming thing, and he was right. Pity and smut, like Mailer. He writes like a smutty little boy; I can't read him . . . .

Katherine Anne Porter

The foremost political necessity is to recognize the demons which are hidden in words.

Abel Bonnard, Les Mascarades
Racial Camouflage

The Unassimilable just can’t resist rubbing it in. A current TV ad has Mexicans on a visit to the U.S. praising a patent medicine cure for diarrhea. This is Montezuma’s Revenge with a capital “R.”

A Jewish cosmetic company ran a full-page ad in the New York Times magazine (Feb. 22, 1987) with the headline, “The Most Unforgettable Women.” Four women were featured -- two from America, one from Czechoslovakia and one from Sweden. Incredibly, the Swede was a rather unattractive nonwhite.

Michael Jackson submits to repeated plastic surgery to acquire a more “Majority” look. Oprah Winfrey and Whoopi Goldberg, who make negritude their profession, wear colored contact lenses, not because they have impaired vision, but because such lenses make their eyes appear blue or green.

A leading Majority ad agency has produced a TV spot showing a black male infatuated with a Negro female who "wears" green eyes. Black magazines are full of ads for DuraSoft Colors as the $200-$300 optical gadgets are called. The company conducted a poll of black women and found 43% were interested in hazel lenses, 24% in blue, 14% in green.

But while they deride us, insult us and throw racial barbs at us, they still try to capitalize on us. Israel’s travel folders feature Nordic-type women with the familiar touristy “come hither” look.
The Missing Photos of Julius Streicher
May Be a Time Bomb

As with all of Hitler’s “Old Fighters,” Julius Streicher had the privilege of going straight to the boss whenever some problem threatened to overwhelm him. By 1939 he was faced with just such a problem. He had been brought before the highest party tribunal and charged with corruption. Reichsleiter Buch presided over the court which investigated charges that Streicher had enriched himself (and others) by somehow getting control of confiscated Jewish property.

Rudolf Hess, Hitler’s Deputy Führer, and Mayor Willy Liebel of Nuremberg were the two persons chiefly responsible for having Streicher hauled before the bar. Hitler, blindly faithful to his old comrades, deplored what his deputy and the mayor were doing, and considered it nothing less than gross disloyalty. Hess and Liebel, for their part, felt that Streicher had betrayed the National Socialist revolution. With an entire nation acting on the principles of sacrifice and self-denial, they felt that Streicher was subverting the Volksgemeinschaft ideal by diverting assets that rightfully belonged to the German people.

After the court found Streicher guilty, Hitler protested and complained, pronounced the whole affair ridiculous, and said he would have Reichsleiter Buch’s decision overturned. But in the end he took no action and let the decision stand. Secretly, he knew Hess was right.

Streicher was allowed to continue as head of his publishing ventures, but was stripped of his position as Gauleiter of Franconia. The court also penalized him in another way. He was prohibited from reentering the city of Nuremberg. He remained, in a sense, under house arrest.

The story goes, according to Albert Speer, that as the Americans were closing in on Nuremberg, Streicher sent an agent into the city and had Mayor Liebel murdered. If this is true, then Streicher could have been charged with an authentic capital crime instead of being executed for the specious ones invented by the Allies for their show trial. But no one was interested in Streicher’s real offenses. The International Military Tribunal sentenced him to death because of what he thought and wrote, not because of anything he did or acquiesced to. None of the other “major war criminals” were convicted for disseminating their views.

Streicher was condemned by the victorious Allies because, as a vociferous anti-Semite who identified Jews as the source of Germany’s misfortunes, he stooped to the crude racial stereotypes which aroused the lumpenproletariat, thereby pleasing Hitler, who had complained for years that German propaganda was too genteel and intellectual.

Der Führer observed how the venom of British propagandist Lord Vansittart, the atrocity-mongering of unprincipled journalists and the vile productions of Hollywood movie-makers had inflamed -- and united -- Britain and America against Germany. He wanted his publicists to be as effective.

It is perhaps a tribute to the importance of war propaganda that Julius Streicher was the only IMT defendant to be systematically tortured before he was hanged.

The Journal of Historical Review (Spring 1984) reported the vicious treatment accorded Streicher was not sanctioned by the IMT, but was a simple case of “low-level revenge and sadism.” But there are too many facts that point to a larger pattern.

Before his death, Streicher wrote a seven-page handwritten complaint to an unnamed U.S. Army officer -- who held it for 37 years before having it auctioned off in October 1982 at the Charles Hamilton Autograph Gallery of New York City for $1,200. In it, Streicher describes his arrest in the village of Waidring, after which he was moved to Salzburg, where he was “put into handcuffs by a Jewish police-officer.”

If he had not identified the officer who took charge of him in Wiesbaden (May 27, 1945) as also being Jewish, and as having “acted correctly,” it would be tempting to dismiss Streicher’s statement as fantasy.

It was no fantasy, however, that when the prisoner arrived in Wiesbaden, he required medical attention. Instead he was moved to a jail cell in another town, where he claims to have had some bad experiences:

1) After being stripped of my clothes, two Negroes tore my shirt into two pieces. Dressed only in my underpants and barefoot, I spent three days in the cold room. During the night and during a few hours in daytime, I was handed an old military coat. It was taken away immediately, whenever I tried to resist the torturers.

2) Two or three times daily I had to stand against a wall, with my handuffed hands held above the head, whereupon a Negro or the police officer kept hitting me on my genitalia, with a leather whip for up to a minute. Whenever I made a resisting move with my handcuffed hands, I received a blow with the foot in my testicles. My testicles and genitalia were badly swollen.

3) Two or three times daily I had to open my mouth, whereupon the white police officer or the Negroes spat into it if I kept my mouth closed, it was forcibly opened with a wooden stick.

4) I was repeatedly photographed by people of the press, while wearing underpants and my genitalia were visible. The photographers were Jews.

On trial for his life before the IMT, when Streicher told the court he was tortured, no one wanted to listen. His words were stricken from the official record.

Standing on the gallows, Streicher observed, “This is Purim test 1946!” British journalist Douglas Reed also thought that the October 16 date was significant. In his book, The Controversy of Zion (1978), Reed says, “After the Second World War the Nazi leaders were hanged on the Jewish Day of Judgement in 1946, so that their execution was presented to Jewry in the shape of Mordechai’s vengeance on Haman and his sons.”

Now it could be a case of plain bad luck that Streicher was handcuffed by a Jew and then tortured. That other Jews photographed him during his ordeal might also be described as a coincidence. But when an international tribunal removes all mention of this from its records, and then sentences the torture victim to be hanged on the Jewish Day of Judgment, is that also a coincidence?

No one blinked an eye when Streicher dropped through the trap door of the gallows and into history. That’s why the stage managers of the Nuremberg trials never bothered to cover their tracks. But there may be some delayed explosives in the “unpublishable photographs” that Streicher mentioned. Should some investigative reporter ever discover that these pictures have circulated privately among prominent persons in the upper reaches of the world Zionist movement, then the gullible public may finally have reason to believe that something more than the pursuit of justice was on the docket at the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials.
Shocking Difference

Anyone these days who talks about racial differences is by definition a racist and by further definition a white racist. If a black talks about racial differences, pro or con, he is simply a black talking about racial differences, pro or con. Whatever position he takes he is always right, or at least uncriticizable.

Instauration would like to present a case for racial differences that stems from behavior, and has nothing to do with buoyancy or thigh bones.

- Shelly Carter "sold" her six-year-old illegitimate daughter for a few doses of crack. The selling consisted of holding the little girl firmly as two black males raped her. Ms. Carter pleaded guilty on Dec. 1 and was sentenced to jail for a maximum of 12 years. Her incredible act of child abuse was repeated three other times in the fall of 1986.

- Then there was that other black mother, Darlwin Britt, who locked her nine-year-old daughter in the attic of a condemned house in Cary (IN) for five days. The girl was discovered only by chance when a white worker who was boarding up the house heard her pitiful voice crying for help. Since the mother had departed several days earlier, since it was freezing weather outside, since there was no heat in the house, the girl was half-frozen and had to have both of her legs amputated at midnight.

Is it correct to say that this peculiar attitude toward motherhood is common to all races or could it be described as a valid racial difference?

Forbidden Word

Government-issue bumper stickers? That's what you can have in states that allow you to order "personalized" license plates. Anything goes but "fighting" or obscene words.

No bureaucrat objected when Mary E. Carr of Black Jack (MO) requested that ARYAN-1 be imprinted on the plate for her gray-blue Ford Tempo. But when she started to drive around her one-horse town, someone tipped off the ADL, which immediately defined "Aryan" as an incitement to a new Holocaust. "I see it as terribly offensive because it promulgates Nazi racism and anti-Semitism," roared Stanley J. Anperman, the ADL's regional director. "It is my belief that such an obscene license plate -- which proudly advertises its very name hatred, bigotry and anti-American revolution -- should not be in circulation."

Since government and state agencies have learned to cave in instant to Jewish complaints, the Missouri Board of Revenue ordered Mrs. Carr to return the license plate. A woman with a spine, she refused. Denying it had any evil connotation, she said, "It's just a good Indo-Iranian word."

The ADL wouldn't let it go at that. Neither would the media. Ms. Carr was promptly described as a "leader of the Ku Klux Klan" and a white supremacist. "Aryan" was said to be a favorite word of Adolf Hitler. Since the license plate is good until July, it's possible that Mrs. Carr, by means of a constant barrage of appeals, will be able to keep it until then. But it certainly won't be renewed.

The currently accepted meaning of Aryan is somewhat blurry. In the Third Reich it more or less stood for a white who was not Jewish and whose skin was not too dark. Historically it describes the fair-skinned people who invaded India in the second millennium B.C. Linguistically it means someone who speaks an Indo-European language or is a descendant of an Indo-European speaker. In right-wing circles in America, it generally stands for a non-Jewish white. Since Semites are not Indo-Europeans, Arabs and Jews are excluded from the Aryan designation.

Not only because of Hitler, but more recently because of the Aryan Nations group in Idaho and various Aryan brotherhoods in prison, formed to protect whites against Negro violence and rapes, Aryan, once a proud term for a proud people (Aryan means noble in Sanskrit), has degenerated into something no better than a swear word.

Chipping Away

In the last several months in these less and less united United States, no noticeable let-up was detected in the ongoing war against Western culture. Steve Allen and wife Jayne Meadows finished taping, "Shakin' Loose with Mother Goose." And how they shook her! Remember Mary, the little girl with the lamb? She has now been transformed into a pickaninny (if Governor Mecham's critics will forgive the word). Remember Peter, Peter, Pumpkin-eater, who had a wife and couldn't keep her? Well, Peter is no longer a misogynist. He "learned to read and spell and then he loved her very well." Remember the Old Woman Who Lived in a Shoe, the one who had so much trouble with her brood that she "beat them all soundly and put them to bed"? She's had a change of heart. She "kisses her kids sweetly and puts them to bed."

David Zaslow is the producer of this sorry nursery rhyme rewrite. He turned down "Rock-a-Bye Baby" because of its "violent image." He didn't like to hear that little boys are made of "frogs and snails and puppy dog tails" or that little girls are made of "sugar and spice and all that's nice." These old favorites smelled of rank sexism.

Peter Rabbit is also being gutted. The latest edition of the classic children's tale by Beatrix Potter omits the death of Peter's father and various violent encounters with other animals. The Inner London Education Authority, a part of Britain's loony left, was the first to demand the book be censored. Peter, it was charged, is a "middle-class" rabbit.

Muffler Department

Aside from the squelching of Jimmy the Greek, the following incidents of First Amendment trashing appeared in the news in recent months:

- William C. Verity Jr., who became secretary of commerce last October, used the word "japs" in his remarks about Japanese methods of inventory control. Hit hard by the Japanese American Citizens League, Verity kowtowed very low, saying, "It was just a slip and I very much regret it."

- Although Tim LaFay, an evangelical preacher, had been a hard-working supporter and longtime friend of Jack Kemp, he was dumped as national co-chairman of Kemp's presidential campaign when it was revealed that years ago he had written that Roman Catholicism was a "false religion" and that some Jews "have often yielded to a secularistic, even atheistic spirit." At first Kemp decided to stand by LaFay -- an act of friendship, trust and courage most unusual for a contemporary American politician. But he didn't stand by him for long.

- When Dwight D. Eisenhower became Supreme Allied Commander in 1943, he wrote his wife Mamie he would miss the "darkies that live in my house." Moving from Algiers to London to organize the invasion of the European continent, Ike was apparently referring to the Negro soldiers who had been assigned to him as servants. These comments were carefully expunged from the letter in John Eisenhower's book, Letters to Mamie. The expurgation was revealed when Ike's epistle was put up for auction in New York on December 20.

- The memoirs of T.H. Bell, secretary of education during President Reagan's first term, recorded racial slurs supposedly emanating from various White House staffers. Martin Luther King Jr. was called 'Martin Lucifer Coon' and a law guaranteeing equal educational opportunity was dubbed the 'lesbian's bill of rights.' This "sick humor and racial cliches," in Bell's words, were uttered by "mid-level, right-wing staffers at the White House and at OMB [the Office of Management and Budget]." Reagan's men quickly denied this gratuitous talemongering by a man who, having re-
turned to the groves of academe (professor of educational administration at the University of Utah), apparently wants to get back in the good graces of the liberal establishment that rides herd on American education.

- Talk show host Mike Levine suggested a couple of months ago on Pittsburgh's KDKA radio that shipping flash-frozen cats to the hungry might be a way of solving the world's endemic famine problem. When Bill and Patti Burns, the father and daughter team that hosts a KDKA-TV talk show repeated the idea, the Pittsburgh chapter of the NAACP demanded that the Burnses -- but not Levine -- be fired.

- "It's Martin Luther King Day. Let's break out the watermelon and fried chicken!" That's how disc jockey Frank Turck of WBEC-FM, Pittsfield (MA), greeted the only federal holiday named for an American. Next day he was given a permanent holiday of his own.

- John Roland, the anchorman of WNYW-TV, Zoo City, got a little too wound up while interviewing Joyce Brown, a local "homeless" heroine who was forced into a hospital for 83 days of treatment after turning her street turf into an open-air toilet. When a couple of Jewish lawyers kept moaning about how her civil rights had been violated, she was released by court order. For bringing up Ms. Brown's habit of relieving herself in public and for emotionally demystifying some of her other peculiarities and quirks, Roland, who had been with the station for 19 years, was suspended without pay for a week by owner Rupert Murdoch.

Flag Flap

Flags are symbols of power. Striking the flag is generally viewed as the act of a conquered, powerless or abject people. Today, in the South, a concerted campaign is under way to force the four states that still have the Stars and Bars in their flags to get rid of them. The Confederate flag, says Earl Shinholster, regional director of the NAACP in Savannah (GA), is an "odious symbol of a bygone era." Shinholster has collected 16,000 signatures from people who supposedly agree with him and has sent stern letters to the governors of the four recreant states. Georgia Governor Joe Frank Harris has been urged to design a new state flag so "Georgia can move into the 21st century with a symbol that ... is not divisive."

In Alabama, State Representative Thomas Reed, who took public hound, vowed that on Feb. 2, he would climb to the top of the capitol dome in Montgomery "like a cat" at "high noon" and pull down the flag unless the legislature ordered it removed before that date. Alabama Governor Guy Hunt, on his weekly talk show, warned Reed to keep his feet on terra firma. One caller guessed that Reed would never make it because the flagpole had no branches. Another caller recommended that the capitol dome be greased. On D-day, Reed was arrested as he was climbing over the fence surrounding the capitol.

The New Trinity

Jerry Harrison owns a gas station in Pensacola (FL), one of the thousands of Exxon pumperies throughout the land. What makes Jerry's station special is that he gives a 10% discount on labor to "those who [sic] Jesus loves." At first he affixed a notice to his pumps that was more specific, "For Christians only, 10% discount on labor." But then the ADL got on his tail and forced Exxon to tone him down. However, he is now resisting any further compromises. He claims he is not discriminating because "Jesus loves everyone."

I run my station, live within the law and have paid my debt to society like anybody else. I don't need Exxon breathing down my neck. Jesus Christ represents me, and he's bigger than Exxon.

It is Instauration's opinion that in the year of our Lord 1988, Exxon is pretty big. So is Jesus Christ. But the ADL, unfortunately, is the biggest of the three.

Christian Wimpery

Jesus is still pretty big (see above), but he is not as big as he used to be -- as demonstrated by a recent happening in a fifth-grade classroom in Accomac (VA). One day not so long ago, representatives of Gideons International, the Bible distributing group, walked in, led the class in prayer and gave the pupils copies of the New Testament. A local rabbi, Israel Silver, was terribly offended, as was the Virginia branch of the American Civil Liberties Union. The upshot? The Gideons were banned from the Accomac school.

Jesus is also losing some of his steam in Alabama, where writer Dan Bloom published just in time for last Christmas a Santa Claus yarn for Jewish kids. It was an outright literary smack in the face to Christians, since it reduced Jesus down to size, naming him Joshua. "Some people believed that Joshua was the son of God but we all know this isn't true, because God has no sons or daughters."

Not a peep out of Christians. But strong, exceedingly strong, criticism came from Jewish fundamentalists, who attacked Bloom for claiming Jesus was Jewish. Many Orthodox Jews believe, still without a peep, that Jesus was the offspring of a Roman centurion and a prostitute. Since Jesus came from "Galilee of the Gentiles" (Matthew 4:15), Jews may have a point. It's quite possible he was not a member of the Chosen. Or, if he was, he may have been a very recent member. Historian Arnold Toynbee advanced the proposition that the Galileans were forcibly converted to Judaism only a generation or two before the Nativity.

Spurious Demotion

In what can only be considered a theological public relations stunt, Rabbi Leon Klenicki has opined for the benefit of the Religious News Service that Jews are not the Chosen people. He is willing to settle for the indefinite article -- a Chosen people. Since "a" can mean one of several, what other population group does the rabbi have in mind for Chosen status? Apparently, "all people who live a religious life, who have chosen God, are in turn God's people."

Rabbi Klenicki hardly speaks for all Jews. In point of fact, he is only speaking for the ADL's Interfaith Affairs Department, of which he is the director. To Orthodox Jews and the Jewish man in the street, his words are likely to be blasphemous. Most Jews would rather give up their stock portfolios than their Chosenness.

No longer Chosen, what would a Jew do these days if he agreed with Rabbi Klenicki? This is a purely rhetorical question, because the venerable rabbi was, of course, not talking to Jews but to the press. Totally unfazed, Joe Blowstein will continue to think and operate like his people have thought and operated since the very first day a jingoistic Jew had the hubris to dream up the "Master Race" idea.

Racist Fantasy

A company called Dynamic Design Industries of Anaheim (CA) has taken the game of Monopoly and transformed it into something called Blacks & Whites. A more accurate name should be Blacks Trample Whites. Every shake of the dice is slanted against what the game's promoters call "the land-hungry majority type."

Players try against all odds to buy into white suburbs, to overturn the status quo, to redistribute the wealth. While allowing whites to wallow in self-hate, the game gets blacks in the mood to grab by force what they cannot gain by merit.

Blacks & Whites is not only for sale to the public, but has been found in various public libraries. It's minority racism at its most banal. If you can't beat whites in the game of life, then beat them in a game that imitates what present-day blacks perceive to be life -- namely a giant 24-hour-a-day conspiracy to keep them down. It's a strange conspiracy, considering that a sizable cut of the taxes of every white American is being spent for no other purpose than to push blacks up.