Some Instaurationists have complained that the young lady on the cover of the May issue didn’t look -- “Nordic enough.” Our intention was not to present an idealized Nordic type -- a Grace Kelly, Prince Philip or Greta Garbo. We wanted to keep the Nordic image within our range of duplication.

Recently Instauration was given permission to reproduce the drawing of the Nordic female on the cover of the German magazine, Neue Anthropologie (Postfach 550380, 2000 Hamburg 55, West Germany). This illustration may be more pleasing to our subscribers. Once again, she is not an idealized Nordic, but someone of flesh and blood, whose likeness may still be found in Northern Europe, North America, Australasia and South Africa. Unfortunately, the generations that come after us will have more and more difficulty coming across such a face.

To give credit where credit is due, the previous Instauration cover was the work of Fritz Berg, the intrepid organizer of a demonstration against NBC some years ago when it was televising the anti-German, anti-Nordic miniseries, Holocaust. The Neue Anthropologie artist is Wolfgang Willrich, who used a Westphalian peasant girl as his model.
Quite well. His interlocutors baited, badgered, Hundreds of thousands of sacrificial victims of the Amerindian. Gadzooks, Injun Tom joins Injun acts of Kurt Waldheim represent Austria's iden-
tification with Tamerlane, not Vlad the Impaler, not the hun-
dry racial illusions, but we should give the full-blooded Negro who seeks a lighter skin tone, straight hair or a “crazy blue-eyed look” credit for knowing that he or she will always be a Negro. They’re just trying to look better as Negroes.

As black columnist Lawrence Wade convincingly argued in the Washington Times (June 29, 1987), the current fad of Negroes buying blue-tinted contact lenses does not suggest a craving to resemble Robert Redford. The olive-skinned lady who tries to go blonde (against the universal advice of the beauticians) may be under foolish racial illusions, but we should give the full-blooded Negro who seeks a lighter skin tone, straight hair or a “crazy blue-eyed look” credit for knowing that he or she will always be a Negro. They’re just trying to look better as Negroes.

Words can scarce describe the impact your genuinely revolutionary periodical is having on my mind. I am procuring a bottle of very good brandy as a special gift to the man who introduced me to Instauration. What more can I say?

To have a chance in 1988, the Democrats must force Jesse Jackson out of the party and into a third-party candidacy. They simply can’t let him on the ticket.

Having just returned from Europe after more than a month’s visit, a friend of mine was eager to catch up on what had taken place during his absence. Among the questions he asked me was what had happened to the garbage barge that no one wanted, the scow without a country. When I told him it was once again just off New York, he came up with one of the most innovative suggestions I’ve ever heard. He proposed that it be declared a movable Holocaust Memorial, one that could travel wherever needed, thereby relieving the American taxpayers of the burden of erecting one in every major city.

In keeping with Instauration’s policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

We now know what constitutes “all that is beastly, all that is

I enjoyed “Aural Demoralization” (June 1987) very much. There are indeed some races which must have quiet, notably the Northern European. One of the things which made my military life such a misery was being subjected to the tympanic assaults of blacks and Hispanics while in garrison. It was the closest thing to prison that I can envision, because there was no escape from this crashing din. I well remember my servitude at Henry Kaiser in Munich, while a member of the 19th Infantry back in the mid-60s. No sooner would we return to barracks after days in the field, my only desire being a little rest and quiet, when out would come the ghetto blasters and record players and on would come the soul and cantina music, torturing the ears and nerves. (“My girl, my girl, my girl, talkin’ bout my girrrrrrrlllll!”) A Majority member is helpless if he is unable to escape the barracks, as we often were. And this in Germany! Of course, I’m well aware that Jews have been huckstering this garbage for years, just as they have been in control of most of what passes for music in America, from show tunes to classical. But surely even they, as culturally degenerate as they are, don’t listen to this stuff! Yet how they bang its drum!

David Duke appeared on CNN’s Crossfire program, flanked “on the left” by old lib Tom Braden and “on the right” by New Republic truckler Fred Barnes. Duke comported himself quite well. His interlocutors baited, badgered, screeched and whined. The low point came when Braden allowed how he had recently discovered in an old family Bible that he was part Amerindian. Gadzooks, Injun Tom joins Injun Dan!

I responded to David Duke’s plea for emergency funds with $50. I tried to get two friends, who alleged concern for our political situation, to contribute. Both blow money on entertain-
tment to such a degree that one must wonder whether or not they are actually mad. I see our great problem as overcoming the inbred apathy of a large number of our tribe. Or are they “our tribe”?
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I'm not sure what John Nobull meant when he said that "the consensus of opinion is that [the Buddha] was Mongolid in physical type." I didn't realize that the Buddha's appearance had ever been noted by even one eyewitness. I'm more interested in John's suggestion that Jesus may have been a Nordic. If John would like a little physical anthropology to back up his theories, I can recommend an article by no less a Nordic than Colonel Dr. Dr. Robert Gayre (yes, three doctors, not one of which was honorary). His piece appeared in Mankind Quarterly (Oct.-Dec. 1963), the journal he edited. As I see it, the whole point of his article, "Northern European Elements in the Eastern Mediterranean," was to claim Jesus as a Nordic, but Gayre was so diplomatic that one has to read between the lines to see what he was driving at. The closest he comes is near the article's end, when he says, "These Christian Arab communities of Gallie are... derived from the early Christian peoples of that country, racially descended from the ancient peoples of Gallie... from whom Christ drew eleven of his twelve disciples... who in their turn were derived from the Indo-European conquerors of the early part of the second millennium B.C."

Hmmm, very ponderable. What Gayre should have said was that Jesus too came from "Gallie of the Gentiles." If it's not racist to say so, the only disciple who didn't come from the Nordic-Dinaric Gallie was Judas.

British subscriber

Even before the excellent article on AIDS (April 1987), I was aware (1) many facts about the plague were being kept from the public; and/or (2) much about the disease was unknown. To find out more, I read the book, The AIDS Cover-up by Gene Antonio (Ignatius Press, 1986). Like most books worth reading, it was published by an obscure publisher.

I get the impression that from time to time an orthodox writer will tentatively question the misinformation campaign. However, there is no follow-up. AIDS is mentioned, then dropped. For example, Bill Buckley (National Review, Oct. 18, 1986) points out the contradiction between the insistence that AIDS cannot be casually transmitted and such precautions as having Rock Hudson eat with plastic utensils and burning the garb of attending nurses. Why is the danger being downplayed? Is it an attempt to prevent unreasonable panic? I doubt the latter, because the Establishment has not hesitated to whip up hysteria in the past when it suited its purpose.
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In a recent Newsweek story on Howdy Doody Koppel, NBC's Marvin Kalb nominated Mr. Nightline for secretary of state in the next administration. He'll have to queue up. Stephen Solarz, the New York representative who gave us Cory Aquino, thinks he should be first in line. They're all Kissinger clones.

319

The revisionists overlook one important point regarding the presence of gas chambers in the European camps. There was at least one major gas chamber at several camps, as I recall. It was recently awarded a Nobel Prize.
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The report was that without gays there would be no art. Not true. The gays can produce stylistic, satirical, campy, lightweight work, but they fail when they try to compete with Wagner, Beethoven and Bach. Essentially, their minds are immature. It’s Tennessee Williams for them and Eugene O’Neill for us. Tolstoy for us and Vidal for them. Noel Coward was a typical gay playwright -- light, frothy, stylish, but basically superficial and catty.
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Did they name that doll after the Butcher of Lyons?
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I found Demos’s ideas (July 1987) about focusing on referenda and direct voting to be quite sound, even brilliant. Alas, they are non-starters. As he mentions, after Californians had knocked it down. An old man had the European camps. There was at least one major gas chamber at several camps, as I recall. It was recently awarded a Nobel Prize.
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NBC’s evening news program gave out a few secrets about the AIDS-related death of Michael Bennett, the choreographer. The gist of the report was that without gays there would be no art. Not true. The gays can produce stylistic, satirical, campy, lightweight work, but they fail when they try to compete with Wagner, Beethoven and Bach. Essentially, their minds are immature. It’s Tennessee Williams for them and Eugene O’Neill for us. Tolstoy for us and Vidal for them. Noel Coward was a typical gay playwright -- light, frothy, stylish, but basically superficial and catty.
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Did they name that doll after the Butcher of Lyons?
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I found Demos’s ideas (July 1987) about focusing on referenda and direct voting to be quite sound, even brilliant. Alas, they are non-starters. As he mentions, after Californians had voted two to one against open housing in 1964, the state Supreme Court declared the referendum unconstitutional. So much for participatory democracy. That ruling was a display of naked judicial power that told the voting public to go to hell. It can be answered that Proposition 13 was okayed by the same court. A bit of history here. In his autobiography, the late Howard Jarvis said his most important contribution to the proposition was to let it be known to the courts he would lead a tax strike if they knocked it down. An old man had to threaten them to get approval of another proposition that passed with a better than two to one majority. I don’t wish to be unkind, but our laws are now controlled by the liberal-minority crowd who have perfected the tactics of delay, intimidation and coercion. Any pro-Majority referenda and direct-voting bills will be challenged in every court in the land.
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The revisionists overlook one important point regarding the presence of gas chambers in the European camps. There was at least one major gas chamber at several camps, as I recall. It was recently awarded a Nobel Prize.
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I’m not sure what John Nobull meant when he said that “the consensus of opinion is that [the Buddha] was Mongolid in physical type.” I didn’t realize that the Buddha’s appearance had ever been noted by even one eyewitness. I’m more interested in John’s suggestion that Jesus may have been a Nordic. If John would like a little physical anthropology to back up his theories, I can recommend an article by no less a Nordic than Colonel Dr. Dr. Robert Gayre (yes, three doctors, not one of which was honorary). His piece appeared in Mankind Quarterly (Oct.-Dec. 1963), the journal he edited. As I see it, the whole point of his article, “Northern European Elements in the Eastern Mediterranean,” was to claim Jesus as a Nordic, but Gayre was so diplomatic that one has to read between the lines to see what he was driving at. The closest he comes is near the article’s end, when he says, “These Christian Arab communities of Gallie are... derived from the early Christian peoples of that country, racially descended from the ancient peoples of Gallie -- from whom Christ drew eleven of his twelve disciples -- who in their turn were derived from the Indo-European conquerors of the early part of the second millennium B.C.” Hmmmm, very ponderable. What Gayre should have said was that Jesus too came from “Gallie of the Gentiles.” If it’s not racist to say so, the only disciple who didn’t come from the Nordic-Dinaric Gallie was Judas.

British subscriber

Even before the excellent article on AIDS (April 1987), I was aware (1) many facts about the plague were being kept from the public; and/or (2) much about the disease was unknown. To find out more, I read the book, The AIDS Cover-up by Gene Antonio (Ignatius Press, 1986). Like most books worth reading, it was published by an obscure publisher.

I get the impression that from time to time an orthodox writer will tentatively question the misinformation campaign. However, there is no follow-up. AIDS is mentioned, then dropped. For example, Bill Buckley (National Review, Oct. 18, 1986) points out the contradiction between the insistence that AIDS cannot be casually transmitted and such precautions as having Rock Hudson eat with plastic utensils and burning the garb of attending nurses. Why is the danger being downplayed? Is it an attempt to prevent unreasonable panic? I doubt the latter, because the Establishment has not hesitated to whip up hysteria in the past when it suited its purpose.
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In a recent Newsweek story on Howdy Doody Koppel, NBC’s Marvin Kalb nominated Mr. Nightline for secretary of state in the next administration. He’ll have to queue up. Stephen Solarz, the New York representative who gave us Cory Aquino, thinks he should be first in line. They’re all Kissinger clones.
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When I wrote the article on illegal Mexican immigration, it was not known what effect the 1986 reform law would have on the traditionally sizable summer influx of wetbacks. Here is an update: the 1987 summer invasion from Mexico has been the largest ever recorded, and apprehensions by the Border Patrol have set a new record.

It would probably be a good idea to translate the law into Spanish and publish it in flyer form, on tissue-thin paper. These leaflets could then be air-dropped by the millions along the U.S.-Mexican border. Such an action would have no effect whatsoever on illegal immigration, but would permit the northbound peones to at least maintain certain minimal standards of personal cleanliness.

Vic Olvir

D The information about the Jewish conception of history (July 1987) is of the utmost importance for our own appreciation of the history of this century. What the author fails to emphasize -- though he may have felt it to be self-evident -- is that the inclination of the Jews to conceive history in an imaginative way is in itself relatively harmless. What is really dangerous, however, is the practice of the Gentiles to accept Jewish imagination as fact.

D Dutch subscriber

D Don't our men know they are being emasculated by petty tasks forced upon them by working wives?
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I agree with Satcom Sam's basic premise (March 1987) that so-called "country" music these days is barely distinguishable from rock 'n' roll, complete with long-haired musicians cranking out a back beat. Bluegrass, with its Scottish and Irish roots, is true country music, and it's hard to find on the radio. It's healthy, not only because of its Gaelic origins, but because it's uptempo, both musically and lyrically. But when Sam uses the term "ex-hippie" as a pejorative to describe Kris Kristofferson, I must take exception. Many ex-hippies are now decent Majority members. Just as romantics like Richard Wagner had a disdain for moneyminded interests and bureaucrats, the counterculture movement of the 60s was an attempt to break away from a system that puts the Almighty dollar ahead of everything else. In the America of the 60s, though, it didn't take long for the counterculture to become perverted with "brotherhood" and drugs. Many young people played scorching rock 'n' roll and rhythm and blues then because any other sort of music belonged to the Establishment. A lot of these people had a natural musical talent that needed some sort of outlet.

My own excursions into the realm of rock are becoming less and less frequent. I am now for the first time really listening to the words of these songs. The message of negativity comes through even when the words are difficult to decipher. The sexuality of the lyrics of early rock was usually subtle, but as the years went by it became more and more blatant. This was a major cause of young women thinking it fashionable to be promiscuous. I find it difficult to sit through an entire song of the heavy metal variety of rock now being played by some of the new bands. It not only lacks the back beat that appealed to early rockers; it is music that is downright frenzied. I call it mania music. Speaking as an "ex-hippie" and rock 'n' roller, I find that I can get more of a natural high from listening to a good classical piece than from any sort of rock.

The article, "Immortal Thoughts" (June 1987), certainly was thought-provoking. My reaction, having researched the technical, legal and practical issues to a certain extent, is: Why not? There can, of course, be no consideration whatsoever given to the insemination of nonwhite females. Zip 821 is quite correct in this regard. If that ever became the sole option, I think Nordics would be all washed up.

At this stage, a Nordic genetic "factory" would be foolish. Hostile elements would certainly gain control or destroy it. I would suggest that the operation be conducted as a low-profile "family affair," not as a profit-making business. A cryogenic container weighing about 60 pounds and holding enough frozen sperm for insemination attempts over four ovulation cycles can be shipped at reasonable cost by any of the private express companies. The actual insemination, after the frozen "straws" containing the sperm have been thawed, can be performed by the woman herself or her husband. No medical expertise is necessary. The freezing procedure is simple and can be accomplished by the donor in the privacy of his home. There is no need to travel to a "facility." The half-life of human sperm is about 1,000 years.

What I suggest is a network of trustworthy Majority types sharing a belief in this grand scheme - guardians of the Holy Grail, if you will. We know what we want, genetically and racially: the unqualified exaltation of the white race on this planet and throughout the endless universe. There is no need to worry now about the methods of freezing human ova or of achieving successful Nordic-producing pregnancies should there be insufficient suitable Nordic females. Technology is advancing rapidly in these areas. The main thing is to begin now with a modest sperm bank operation.

I hadn't realized that all the Senate and House Committee's public testimony (except North's) had been given first in private. Why do these people so terrified by the idea of a witness testifying without rehearsals? Haynes Johnson admitted in a Washington Post column that FDR's Lend-Lease was a direct violation of a 1940 "Boland Amendment." He tried to justify this horrendous piece of unconstitutionality because Roosevelt did not do it in secret. That, he says, is the essential difference between FDR and Reagan. But if secrecy is always bad, why do the Senate and House investigators hold closed-door testimony and rehearsals? And why was the Constitution written in secret?

The Arabs here in Detroit are content to run their grocery stores. They want no static from the Jews, who are the food distributors and meat packers. I never buy gasoline from an Arab. They are totally untrustworthy. Politically they pitch only to the blacks. The Negroes in this city know they are no good, hate themselves and would love to be Arabs. They all distrust the Jews. I can talk to blacks more frankly about the race situation than to any other ethnic group. They never dispute what I say. They listen intently.

I was surprised to see Instauration defending Hawthorne (Jan. 1987) about his lasting over a Jewess. A typical Jewess would be largely Armenian, and even Marlon Brando's pulse rate must keep pretty steady when he's face-to-face with an Armenian gal. I believe that the "di-vine" Sarah Bernhardt was only half-Jewish and, in any case, she had red hair, blue eyes and the look of a Nordic. There aren't many other Jewesses whom the media promote as "beauties." Joan Collins is one, though she's another with the luck to derive half her genes from Gentiles; her mother, according to a book I was looking through today, "was a blonde, blue-eyed beauty." That fits. Another snippet of instantly forgettable data: the luscious Sophia Loren -- well, luscious 20 years ago -- had a mother who must have looked distinctly un-Italian. Back in 1932 she came in tops in a nationwide Italian contest to find a lookalike of Greta Garbo, no less. Sophia is living proof that the Gothic and Lombard invasions weren't entirely in vain.

British subscriber

Something to "make your day": I just watched the "Russian" emigre comic Yakov Schmirkoff on TV, touting the bargains available at -- get this -- the Scot Lad group of food markets.

I think we were rather clever to turn the Pope's visit to American Catholics into an expiatory pilgrimage to American Jews.
THE TRIUMPH OF THE UNFIT

In 1930, Spanish philosopher José Ortega y Gasset published his seminal book, La rebelión de las masas (English translation, 1932: The Revolt of the Masses). In it he voiced a number of criticisms of modern civilization that have since become widespread and almost commonplace. His major contention was that the civilized, cultured European man of the previous century had been replaced by a “mass-man” who, like a spoiled child, was self-satisfied, ignorant (especially of the past) and unmanageable (indolent). “Feeling himself vulgar, he proclaims his right to vulgarity and refuses to recognize any arguments superior to himself.”

Jose Ortega y Gasset

This new species of man, Ortega contended, had taken over a large slice of Europe. In Russia he called himself a Bolshevik; in Italy a Fascist; and he was threatening Spain. In 1933, only three years after the appearance of Ortega’s prophetic work, the National Socialists assumed power in Germany.

Many years later, Ortega’s complaint was echoed in the United States by the humorist Roger Price in his acerbic book, The Great Roob Revolution (1970). Price’s Roob is a descendant of the classic rube or “hick,” who has become citified and who, having lost the virtues of his rustic ancestor, has been transformed into “the eternal plebeian.” Recognizing that he has become a dynamic new social force and using his purchasing power as an appallingly effective political, economic and social weapon, he imposes his ideas and attitudes on entire populations. Consequently, pandering to the Roob’s power of the purse has become “our largest national industry,” as consumerism becomes an end in itself. Price describes and analyzes with heavy sarcasm the devastating effects of Roob-rule on culture, television, religion, morality, sex and education. He concludes, “this revolution is slowly expanding like a universal cultural solvent, making all that it contains a part of itself or blurring all that is excellent, qualified, individual or select” (p. 55).

Without reading either Ortega, Price or any other of the many writers who take a similarly pessimistic worldview, most thoughtful people these days can think of countless examples of the reduction of all societal standards to a lowest common denominator, especially through the agency of commercial television. The degradation of culture, morals and public and private life has by now become so obvious that it is no longer arguable.

In the decade and a half since 1970, the triumph of the unfit has become nowhere more evident than in education, where special efforts are being made on all levels to salvage the unsalvageable. On the level of primary education, legally imposed requirements of “racial balance” in schools are achieved by busing colored children to predominantly white schools and vice versa. Tests have shown that colored children gain nothing in intellectual development by these forced transfers, as in their new schools they continue to associate with other colored children. The white children, on the other hand, show significantly less progress because the pace of their learning has been slowed to that of their nonwhite classmates.

On the undergraduate and graduate university level, special efforts have been made to recruit members of various minority groups (not only blacks but Hispanics, Amerindians and Asians) in order to meet government-imposed requirements. With the exception of some Asians, most of the students so favored have less intelligence and ability than those who have been excluded by these preferential programs. In teaching, minority members also receive favored treatment in competing for positions. In far too many instances they are either given posts in preference to others who are better qualified, or else a great deal of money, time and effort is wasted in interviews which demonstrate that their unfitness is even more evident than had been shown in their applications and resumes. Those unable to learn effectively are, in general, also unable to work effectively. Yet affirmative action has, for many years, been touted as a means of overcoming alleged discrimination against members of those groups presumably hobbled by racist or sexist bias. Affirmative action is often paired with equal opportunity as a desirable goal for employers. The fact is, these two goals are mutually exclusive, since affirmative action by its very nature stands for unequal opportunity. In attempting to redress previous imbalances, it only introduces new imbalances. Reverse racism or
reverse sexism simply replaces old injustices with new ones, and usually leads to the incompetent replacing the competent. This is especially true in factory jobs, though it occurs in other fields as well. The administration of the affirmative action requirement by the Department of Labor has been carried out as if all jobs were of the factory type. Minority members equipped with the bare minimum qualifications have continually been given preference over more highly qualified Majority members.

Not only are the less competent in learning, teaching or working preferred over the more competent, but hardened criminals who should never be let loose on society are either allowed to go free or receive the lightest of punishments. Many a police official has complained of the futility of trying to enforce even the most elementary laws because of the ease with which criminals can obtain bail. Clever, unscrupulous defense lawyers can get their clients off scot-free or obtain drastic reduction of their punishment by plea bargaining or by emotional appeals to easily swayed juries. Their technique, as Dr. Willard Gaylin puts it in his book, The Killing of Bonnie Garland, is to “sentimentalize the criminal, trivialize the crime, and ignore the victim” -- or, all too frequently we might add, cast the blame on the victim. The device of an insanity plea or extreme emotional disturbance to obtain acquittal or conviction on a reduced charge was demonstrated dramatically in the trial of John Hinckley in 1982 for his attempted murder of President Reagan. He was acquitted -- to the great wonder of observers in other countries and to the great shame of the American criminal justice system -- and sent to a mental hospital, where he is receiving “psychiatric treatment” at an expense to the taxpayers of some $25,000 a year, which is more than an assistant professor earns at many small colleges or universities.

These judicial idiocies could be multiplied many times. Indeed, it would take a whole book to develop them thoroughly. Meanwhile, the question arises: how could this widespread triumph of the unfit have come to pass? The answer is not far to seek. It came to pass because of the abdication of the fit. Ortega pointed his finger in the right direction when he observed (chapter 9):

But it is necessary to avoid the greatest error of those who were in charge in the 19th century: insufficient awareness of their responsibility, which caused them not to remain alert and vigilant . . . . Today it is necessary to arouse an excess of awareness of responsibility on the part of whoever is capable of feeling it, and the most important thing appears to be to emphasize the obviously disastrous aspect of the present-day symptoms.

Ortega makes this observation in passing and does not press his point. In the half-century since 1930, the responsible classes of society went far beyond their 19th-century predecessors in their failure to maintain control over those elements which were patently incapable of behaving properly towards others or even running their own affairs. Power has consequently passed to these latter groups, who are making a shambles of all aspects of modern life.

At least five basic attitudes have contributed to the breakdown of control on the part of responsible elements of society: (1) ethical and cultural relativism; (2) political equalitarianism; (3) collectivism; (4) sentimental and self-gratifying humanitarianism; and (5) downright ignorance of history, especially recent history.

From the 1920s onward, American anthropologists were discovering previously unknown cultures that exhibited various traits that were foreign to American morals, ethics, language and other aspects of behavior. Popularizers such as the late Margaret Mead used these findings as a point of departure to preach a cultural and ethical relativism, whose chief tenet was that all standards were tailored to and dependent on the social order, none being superior to any other. This doctrine, widely taught in universities, colleges and ultimately in high schools from the 1940s down to the present day, flowed through all levels of society. The farther down this gesunkenes Kulturgut penetrated, the more it served as a pretext for the abandonment of all standards of probity.

With the appearance of contraceptive pills, limits on acceptable sexual behavior almost vanished. Promiscuity, from the earliest onset of puberty, has in many milieus become an approved norm. Indulgence of any kind of perversion is not only not frowned upon, but actively encouraged by “sex counselors” in schools and universities. Those who indulge in male or female homosexuality have come to consider themselves constitutionally entitled to their “sexual preferences,” no matter how medically dangerous they are to the community at large. Gays, as they are euphemistically called, have presented themselves in extensive and well-financed propaganda campaigns as victims of irrational prejudice. They even claim special legal dispensations and privileges for their aberrations.

Ethical and cultural relativism is by no means limited to the sphere of sexual morality. Cheating, whether in or out of school, is widely condoned. Bankruptcy, once considered shameful, is now looked upon as a minor economic contretemps. Such honorable men as Sir Walter Scott and Mark Twain made herculean efforts to repay their creditors. Today bankruptcy has not only lost its social stigma; it has become a standard means to escape payment of even minor debts. The rapacity of the Internal Revenue Service has also played a large part in eroding the average citizen’s sense of duty to the state. “If the government robs me and cheats me,” he tends to reason, “why should I be so naive as to be honest while everyone else gets away with paying less than he owes?”

Business ethics, never a strong point in American folkways, have disintegrated (in some instances) to the vanishing point. Immense financial power, coupled with the almost unlimited resourcefulness of unprincipled lawyers, have freed large corporations from any need to refrain from even the most obvious forms of dishonesty. Ordinary citizens who dared to protest a corporation’s flagrant violation of law or ethics have been haled into court on charges of libel. After a few such well-publicized cases and after newspaper reports of the huge legal costs incurred, the “man-in-the-street” learns not to protest.

Decline of Art

Cultural relativism has lowered art, music and literature to previously unknown levels of tastelessness. Aesthetic charlatanism has persuaded an uncritical public to accept as an authentic work of art the painting of a Campbell Soup can. In popular music, endlessly repetitive, melodically unimaginative and harmonically banal “rock” has replaced the semi-creative jazz of the 1920s and 1930s. Modern “serious” music consists of either horrendously harsh and noisy dissonance, etiolated “pings” of single notes or descissed serialization, much of it based on the atonal theories of Arnold Schönberg, who regarded all 12 notes of the tempered scale as absolutely equal.
In literature, what Northrop Frye has termed "low mimesis" and "irony," with no limitations on obscenity, indecency, violence and sadism, has taken over. Pornography or cheap erotic romances are the main characteristics of what the Germans call "Trivialliteratur" and the Italians "romanzi da consumo." To attract attention, the writers of avant-garde works have resorted to confusion and obtuseness in the "anti-novel" and the "theater of the absurd." When presented as comedy (as in some plays of Ionescu), such efforts can be amusing. When intended seriously (as in Beckett's "Waiting for Godot"), they are pretentious, deliberately vacuous and artistically nil. In compliance with the fashionable Parisian "nouvelle critique," literary critics have descended to even lower levels by denying all meaning to language while indulging in endless logomachies aimed at demonstrating the aporia or impossibility of arriving at the meaning of any piece of writing. These critics paint themselves into a corner, as it were, by denying that both the literature they discuss and their own work have any worth whatsoever.

In social relationships, relativism is buttressed by an equalitarianism derived from political considerations. The authors of the Declaration of Independence stated that all men are created free. At best this utopian proposition can be taken to apply to equality before the law and to the desirability (at least in theory) of seeing that every person has an equal start in life. But as Roger Price and others have pointed out, the doctrine of equality has now become a demand that all people must not only start the race of life as equals, but finish it as equals. No one must be allowed to get ahead of anyone else.

"Reverse discrimination" is often justified by its defenders on the ground that some minority members in earlier times did not have the same opportunities enjoyed by some Majority members. Ergo, their descendants should receive extra compensation. This attitude is rooted in the notion that a wrong done to a given member of a collectivity can be set right by recompensing some other member of the group, regardless of how tenuous or virtually nonexistent the relationship between the two individuals concerned may be. This is a central tenet of Marxist theory, on the basis of which members of the "working class" are given preference or used to be given preference, in Communist-ruled countries, whatever their merits or demerits. From Marxism this ideal spread, with leftist intellectuals as intermediaries, into the attitudes and practices of American pseudo-liberals.

The Problem of "Do-Goodery"

The obsession to right presumed wrongs on a collective basis is often allied with a theoretically laudable but realistically harmful humanitarianism. As historians have often pointed out, the decline of belief in religion has led in the 19th and 20th centuries to the rise of an ersatz faith, secular humanitarianism. People manage to feel righteous and pleased with themselves when espousing what they are persuaded is the cause of mercy, justice or "human rights." Such people rarely stop to consider what is involved, who is defining "justice" or "human rights," or what the results of their actions may be. More frequently than not, attempts to set an injustice right in one area result in the creation of one or more new injustices in another. This kind of "uncritical, indiscriminate underdoggery," as it has been called, solves nothing and creates more of the same social sticking points it was designed to eliminate.

Sentimental "do-goodery" is at the root of many failures to keep the gates shut on the unfit, for whom those who should be the "gatekeepers" feel an unfounded and bottomless pity based on ignorant misapprehensions of the facts of the particular situation. Far too often the well-intentioned sentimentalist will justify leniency towards even the most violent criminals by saying, "Oh, the poor dears! They are but victims of society!" Or by declaring, "The villain is American imperialism" or some other bête noire that haunts the mind of the do-gooder. * This ignorance extends to both the past and the present. The movie, Bonnie and Clyde, portrayed that pair of criminals as two innocent, harmless people who were driven by social injustice to a life of crime. In actual fact, the real-life Bonnie Parker and Clyde Barrow were cold, unfeeling, vicious murderers who killed for the pleasure of killing.

Present-day circumstances are often ignored by "human rights" enthusiasts who denounce the United States government for supporting regimes in Latin America, Africa or anywhere else which do not live up to their standards, while supporting Third World leftists governments or revolutionaries in the childish belief that they are advocates of "human rights." The Latin American campesino or the African bush Negro would not recognize a "human right" if it were served him on a silver platter with watercress. He has all he can do to scratch a bare living out of the earth, his condition since time immemorial, one that cannot be changed by outside intervention, at least in the foreseeable future. In such countries some kind of dictatorship is the only kind of rule that the inhabitants have known or ever will know. The sole question is whether the inevitable dictatorship is to be friendly or hostile to the United States -- a question that can be decided only on the basis of enlightened self-interest. The withdrawal of European imperial rule from Africa has shown how disastrous it is to leave the natives to their own, far worse type of misrule. Who can forget the genocidal warfare between the Ibo and Hausa tribes or the ruination of the continent's land resources through overgrazing and the resultant desertification?

How, if at all, is this threat of the universal triumph of the unfit to be averted? It won't be unless those who are fit to rule wake up to their responsibilities and once again grasp the reins of power. The unfit should be put back where they belong as they lead us down the road to oblivion.

ROBERT A. HALL JR.

* A do-gooder is defined by the Random House Dictionary as a "well intended but often naive and clumsily ineffectual social reformer." In plain English, someone who is naive and clumsily ineffectual is a "bungler."

Ponderable Quote

As we come closer and closer to true democracy, we are ever more susceptible to a certain kind of mob rule in which popularity substitutes for principle and consensus is mistaken for wisdom . . . . The right to speak is meaningless if no one will listen, and the right to publish is not worth having if no one will read. It is simply not enough that we reject censorship and will not countenance suppression; we have an affirmative responsibility to hear the argument before we disagree with it.

Kurt Luedtke,
Out of Africa screenwriter
THE NONSENSE ON OUR SPORTS PAGES

A L CAMPANIS is no hero. The Los Angeles Dodgers executive, forced to resign last April following his televised remarks on racial differences in sports, clearly has the interests of Numero Uno on his brain—not the reformation of a rather sick society.

Reporter Stan Hochman of the Knight-Ridder syndicate recalled an interview which Campanis gave him back in October 1979. Campanis recalled his graduate work in sports physiology at New York University in the 1940s. Blacks tend “by nature,” Campanis said he had been taught by a Dr. Lawton, to have less body fat, longer legs and a formation of the hip which makes them quicker. All of which is as true today as it was 45 years ago. But Hochman, in his April 19 exposé of Campanis’s “racist” thinking, described Lawton’s scientific work as “warped ideas.” Hochman also referred to Campanis as having “babble about blacks lacking buoyancy as a reason why you see so few outstanding black swimmers.” He further noted that Campanis’s “marbles did not suddenly melt in the harsh lights of that television interview with Ted Koppel....” On the other hand, Hochman cited with favor Koppel’s own repeated characterization of Campanis’s (and, indirectly, Lawton’s) thinking as “garbage.”

How did Al Campanis respond to Hochman’s verbal assault? Humanly, not heroically. His grandson is a catcher on the University of Southern California baseball team. The kids in the stands were yelling at him, “Your grandfather is a bigot. Are you one too?” That sort of thing loomed largest in Campanis’s mind. So, in early July, he told interviewer Jim Murray of the Los Angeles Times, “I would like to rebuild my image. I have been hurt by this. I not only lost my job after 46 years, I lost my image.” Some things Campanis said will not help his image with Instaurationists:

I screwed up. I got confused. I buried myself. I found myself saying things I didn’t mean, explaining things I couldn’t. You can understand in that environment where you can hit on a word that does not express exactly what you mean....

I want to explain to black people and white people alike that I’m not that kind of guy.

What kind of guy might that be, Al? As Instauration’s WASPishly Yours column noted recently, “The [TV] transcript shows that nearly every word Campanis uttered was at least debatably accurate.”

Enter Harry Edwards

In the wake of the Campanis affair, a real tragedy has hit baseball. Commissioner Peter Ueberroth has hired Harry Edwards, the black radical sociologist from Berkeley, to advise him and all baseball on “racial affairs.” The Lie is now enshrined in The Game.

Harry Edwards is the fellow who tried to get black athletes to boycott the 1968 Olympics in Mexico City. He failed, but runners Tommie Smith and John Carlos did carry through with their “black power” salutes from the victory stand. For 20 years, Edwards has been America’s “professor of protest,” a virtual Angela Davis of sport. His reward is to be touted as American as apple pie. The appointment symbolizes the dishonesty which now pervades the field of sports writing and sports “thinking.”

Consider the following:

• Ted Koppel calls Campanis’s recitation of racial differences “garbage.”
• Stan Hochman writes of “warped ideas.”
• Stanley Eitzen, a Colorado State sociology professor, writes two books on alleged racism in sports, claiming that blacks are “steered away” from quarterbacking, place-kicking and pitching. (Apparently brown-eyed WASPs are also massively “steered away” from positions which require highly deliberate activity! Or could it be that Eitzen has never read Morgan Worthy’s research on eye color and sports performance?)
• Howard Cosell praises Harry Edwards to the skies for his brilliant militancy: “Edwards, all 6’8” of him, is the kind of black who scares the hell out of some whites. And that is why, in part, he is good for baseball, and good for America.” Like an Old Testament prophet of vengeance, Cosell declares, “The sports world must and will be purged of its racism one day.”
• Bruce Fein of the “conservative” Heritage Foundation presents the “other side” for USA Today (April 10, 1987) in its full-page treatment of the Campanis affair. Fein damns Peter O’Malley, president of the Dodgers, for failing to sack Campanis at once for his “scurrilous statement.” (O’Malley took a whole day!) “What should be done?” asks Fein. He answers his own question:

Major league baseball should establish a [race-relations award] for best exemplifying and promoting non-discrimination in the sport. Its emoluments and celebration should be equivalent to those rewarding all-star ballplayers.... The owners should create an identical award for Little League ballplayers throughout the nation. The youthful winners should be awarded tickets to the World Series and be introduced at the opening game by Commissioner Peter Ueberroth as “little giants” in the national quest to eradicate racial discrimination from public life.

The promotion of non-discrimination should be made a criterion for selection to baseball’s Hall of Fame.

• Michael Katz of the New York Daily News froths at the mouth -- a 1,000-word frothing -- over Campanis’s “sick remarks.”
• Dick Heller of the Washington Times praises Stanley Eitzen’s call for sports egalitarianism.
Jeff Greenfield of the Universal Press Syndicate takes a (very slightly) different line. He says that Campanis deserved to be fired because he lacked the hypocrisv to keep his rather ordinary ideas on differential buoyancy and musculature to himself. "Hypocrisv," reasons Greenfield, "has an important role to play in the work of building a fairer society."

Hypocrisv is, in the words of La Rouchefoucauld's famous maxim, "the homage that vice pays to virtue."

Any public figure -- candidate, baseball executive or journalist -- who lacks the hypocrisy necessary to keep such bigotry out of the public eye is too dumb to be allowed out in public.

Joan Rivers ridicules Campanis on national TV by jumping into an onstage swimming pool with two black men, and declaring: "What do we learn? We learn it's Jewish white women who don't float." (On the same show, Rivers characterized the entire population of Forsyth County (GA) as "white trash.")

No, Instauration is not being selective here. If the preceding names all have a certain ethnic ring to them, it is because the folks who responded most viciously to Campanis's gentle words nearly all had a common heritage. Bruce Fein, for example, should be working for something called the "Jewish Heritage Foundation," because his queer idea that Baseball Hall of Fame membership should be based in part on "ideological purity" is in sync with the oldest Jewish tradition.

Compare the healthy reaction of one of our saner Gentile pundits, Mike Royko, to a Slavic-American writer for the Chicago Tribune. Following the Campanis flap, he had a female assistant who knows nothing about basketball call up some pro officials in that sport and pester them mercilessly with questions about the reasons for black predominance at the hoop. What the young lady found was at once hilarious and sobering. Jerry Krause, general manager of the Chicago Bulls, was asked, "Why all the blacks?"

QUESTION: I don't look at color. I look at a player's ability and character.

QUESTION: But are there reasons why blacks make better basketball players? Do they possess the athletic and physical characteristics needed in this game?

KRAUSE: That is a very sensitive issue these days. In many ways.... Why different or certain people are in the league, that's a question that can't be answered in a short time or at all. There's no answer one way or another.

QUESTION: But that seems like such an obvious question, why are there so many more blacks?

KRAUSE: That might be an obvious question. I'm sure that sociologists might have better answers than I could give. There's just no way to answer it. I've been in basketball 20 years.... There's no answer to why certain groups are in it. There's no way to answer it. You're asking a question that most people would say "no comment" to.

Royko correctly observed that there indeed was no possible way for Krause and other officials to safely answer his simple and important question. (That is, he might have added, no safe way without lying.)

Later, on July 3, Royko gave his answer to Jesse Jackson's threatened black boycott of the ballparks:

Mr. Jackson made a mistake. Instead of threatening a boycott, he could have taken the opposite approach. He should have said he would bus hundreds of thousands of baseball-loving blacks to the ballparks in white-hued cities like Milwaukee, Minneapolis, San Diego, Seattle, Montreal and Toronto. That would have really put the locals in a tizzy.

What sharper contrast to the mirth of a Royko than the barely contained mud-volcano rage of a Cosell -- ever ready to explode messily against those who would truly "tell it like it is" (to use Cosell's old expression).

Is Larry Bird the White George Washington Carver?

As the Campanis affair subsided, a similar incident rocked pro basketball. The heavily white Boston Celtics team had just beaten the typically black Detroit Pistons 117-114 in the final game of the Eastern Conference playoffs. In the heat of the moment, Pistons forward Dennis Rodman called Celtics forward Larry Bird "overrated." He said Bird had won three straight most valuable player awards (in 1984-86, but not 1987) because he was white. Rodman, a Negro, described Bird, a Nordic, by saying he "can't run. He's slow. He's white, that's the only reason he gets [the MVP award]."

Pistons guard Isiah Thomas, also black, later seconded the opinion, saying: "I think Larry is a very, very good basketball player. An exceptional talent, but I'd have to agree with Rodman. If Bird was black, he'd be just another good guy." Thomas went on:

When Bird makes a great play, it's due to his "thinking" and his "hard-work habits." It's all planned out by him. It's not the case for blacks. All we do is run and jump. We never practice or give a thought to how we play. . . .

I have heard the media refer to black athletes as a player [sic] with "natural ability" while performing a great play. For someone to make that comment, they are not taking into consideration the rigorous training schedule and numerous hours of practice it takes to reach that level.

Frequently, in my summer basketball camps, I am confronted by white kids telling me that they lack confidence because they do not feel they are capable of performing against the natural ability of black children. It becomes apparent those statements made in the media do have an impact on the public's perception.

Susan Watson, a black columnist for the Detroit Free Press, observed, "Some folks will probably shake their heads and bemoan the fact that a nice guy like Thomas has to get involved in that 'race' stuff." Her own response: "Well, kid, hang in there. Those of us who were proud of you before are doubly proud of you now." The message is out: whites must speak guardedly if at all about racial differences or the lack of same; blacks have a virtual civic duty to always speak their minds.

Instauration certainly won't chastise Rodman and Thomas for their pulling Bird down a notch. We might simply remind them that many a top agricultural researcher has noted that George Washington Carver would have
remained an absolute nobody had he only had a white skin.

But we would like to assist Thomas with his logic, because we aren’t sure he has thoroughly reasoned out his position.

(1) Thomas knows there are far more whites than blacks in America, and that these many whites play at least as much basketball collectively as the fewer blacks.

(2) He believes, with Rodman, that “if Bird was black, he’d be just another good guy.” He apparently subscribes to Rodman’s characterization of Bird as “slow” by pro standards.

(3) He knows that most of today’s basketball greats, and presumably all of the really “fast” ones, are black.

(4) Yet he insists that these great black basketballers should be credited not with “natural ability,” but with putting in long hours of practice.

Such reasoning collapses upon inspection. Thousands upon thousands of superb white college basketballers are putting in hours as long and arduous as anyone. But the few who make it to the pros will mostly warm benches. Why, then, should the black superstars be publicly credited with something they share with thousands of complete nonentities rather than with that which truly makes them superior?

It isn’t because some white announcers speak of “black talent” that the white kid at the basketball camp is intimidated by the sleek black bodies around him. He can spot obvious differences with his own eyes, thank you very much.

And if Isiah Thomas -- and, lately, many other blacks -- can attack white sportscasters who dare to compare a “hard-working” white athlete with his “naturally gifted” black teammate, why don’t they also go after Jesse Jackson? He’s the one national figure who has gone on record as saying that one race -- the black -- is “natively superior” in athletics. No one, black or white, has effectively called him to account for that. Shouldn’t Isiah Thomas logically be enraged at the contretemps Jesse has set for the nation?

Verily, a person could go crazy listing all the double standards and absurdities in the public discussions of race. That is, if he did not starve to death first, for there are zero dollars to be made in this huge, overgrown country by pointing out these obvious things. Fame and fortune await only those who are prepared to spout nonsense -- and never to bother themselves with the internal contradictions of their mouthings.

MIXING IT UP

During the Holocaust Jews were destroyed by the Nazi S.S. Today, yet another S.S. wipes out Jewry. It is the Shiksa Spouse. There is no difference. Rabbi Meir Kahane

T

HE FOUNDER of the Jewish Defense League was never one to mince words. Last December 23, his column in the Brooklyn Jewish Press attacked prominent Jews, like New York’s Lew Lehrman, who marry Gentile women and let their children (five in Lehrman’s case) go to church. “Lehrman,” wrote Rabbi Kahane, “is the very symbol of the death of Judaism and Jewishness,” and “an abomination to be condemned daily.” The Spleen of Zion also went after Tom Dine, the executive director of AIPAC (the American Israel Public Affairs Committee), and Peter Goldman, the head of AFSI (Americans for a Safe Israel), both of whom have married Gentiles. Don’t they realize, Kahane wondered, that “they represent a far greater danger to us than Arafat ever could?”

Popular press reports on Jewish-Christian intermarriage certainly suggest that America’s own Six Million are teetering on a demographic precipice. Cotten Timberlake of the Associated Press recently cited a 40% Jewish mixing rate in America -- and also reported a Jewish population of “about six million worldwide” (which is 8% million below the current official figure). Nadine Broznan of the New York Times also claimed a 40% intermarriage rate for American Jews, and added some other data to shake up the rabbi. While most children of mixed marriages consider themselves Jews, she wrote, they generally define their Jewishness in religious rather than racial, ethnic or cultural terms. In other words, while the Jewish parent usually wins out formally in terms of the children’s religion, the Gentile community gets revenge of a sort by rendering many of these children as watered-down, one-dimensional “Jews” who perceive Judaism as the functional equivalent of Methodism. Only 36% of mixed children join a synagogue later on, and even fewer regard their Jewish ethnicity as “very important” (25% in cases where the Gentile parent converted to Judaism, 10% where the Gentile parent did not convert).

While many Jews still take fright at intermarriage, and even the most liberal consider conversion to Christianity an outrage, most Christian clergymen now seem delighted to have their own children join the ranks of the Jews. So reports Rabbi David Max Eichhorn, a specialist on the subject, in Jewish Intermarriages: Fact and Fiction (Satellite Books, Box 2629, Satellite Beach, FL 32937, 1974). Eichhorn relates,

I have had quite a number of marriages where non-Jewish clergymen participated in the Jewish ceremony. I have married the children of clergymen to Jewish husbands and wives with the non-Jewish fathers participating eagerly and joyfully in the Jewish ceremony, considering it a great privilege to take part. Never has any of these clergymen exhibited anything but a feeling of genuine satisfaction that his child was marrying a Jew.

This is in sharp contrast to what goes on normally inside a rabbi whose child marries a non-Jew, even if the rabbi performed the ceremony, yes, sometimes even when the non-Jew converts before the ceremony.
Between 1963 and 1974, Rabbi Eichhorn officiated at 680 intermarriages, but he only did so when both the bride and the groom promised him they would raise the children as Jews. Almost none of the 1,360 later backed out. Surveys show that close to half of all Reform rabbis will perform intermarriages, but most insist on extracting a similar promise. The results are staggering. While 98.4% of the children of a Jewish mother and a Gentile father are now reared as Jews (and 100% are considered to be Jews), so too are 63.6% of the children of Jewish fathers and Gentile mothers. As for conversion of the spouses, less than three-quarters of 1% of Jews who marry non-Jews convert, while 30% of the non-Jews formally convert and 45% consider themselves an integral part of the Jewish community. The ratio of conversions is thus either 45 or 60 to one! These figures come from a major nationwide study covering the years 1966-72, made by Professor Fred Massarik of UCLA.

The timidity of most heavily-holocausted Christian ministers when it comes to converting a Jew explains a part of this pattern. More importantly, however, most educated young Christians in this country really appear to believe that Jews are morally superior. Aren’t Jews always the good guys and Christians always the bad guys (unless the villains happen to be Arabs) on TV, in modern literature, in social science and in the daily press? How, in this day and age, could a lowly Christian presume to ask his or her Jewish spouse to abandon so exalted a tradition?

Whether the foregoing facts would appease Rabbi Kahane and his goons is doubtful. Mellow Rabbi Eichhorn loves intermarriage because, as his book says 20 times, race is just a myth and most mixed children consider themselves Jews. But Rabbi Kahane believes strongly in race and sees all the dilution as so much pollution.

REHABILITATING BURT

CYRIL BURT WAS a genius who found that genius is indeed based on genes. He spent a lifetime studying family resemblance in behavior. In particular, he focused on identical twins brought up in separate homes in order to nail down the genetic factor. He found incredible similarities in the behavior of identical twins, even in cases where they were raised apart under greatly different circumstances. The resemblance is far greater than that found for siblings reared together. For this and for the intelligence tests he devised, he was given a knighthood. But then, after he was safely dead, Jewish social scientists like Leon Kamin and Stephen Jay Gould (correction, Gould), called him a liar, a forger and a crook who cooked his research figures.

It’s true that some of Burt’s correlations seemed to be too good to be true, so good even Arthur Jensen had to agree that Burt had occasionally played fast and loose with the results of some of his studies, at least in his latter days. But Jensen went on to point out that virtually all other twin studies have come up with correlations very close to Burt’s.

Needless to say, this kind of grudging admiration from “naturalists” simply goaded Burt’s “nurture” detractors to greater efforts to do him in. After a decade or so of such denigration, the Western social science community has now reached the consensus that Burt was a prime example of a right-wing ideologue who would stop at nothing to prove his case.

Fortunately, the story does not end here. On August 2, 1987, a dark day for the Kamin-Gould demolition team, Ronald Fletcher, emeritus professor of sociology at Reading University in England, published a blockbuster of an article in the Sunday Telegraph (London) that may rehabilitate Burt as deftly and thoroughly as the Kremlin has restored the reputations of dead Bolsheviks who fell afoul of Stalin.

Fletcher begins by emphasizing that Burt was an educational progressive. His IQ tests helped break the class barrier in British education by giving thousands of poor children a chance for a decent grammar school education. Those who got high marks were given scholarships to schools which put them on the track to a university education. Without these tests, even the most gifted of these children would probably have had no serious education at all. Because of the 21-gun campaign the lib-mins waged
against Burt, his intelligence tests to select students capable of higher education have now gone by the board. The poor can still go, but now it is first-come, first-served, and the quality of the students and their courses have plummeted, perhaps contributing to the malcontent of today's British youth.

Fletcher said he became interested in the case because of the irrationality of the Burt-bashers, whose animus seemed based more on politics than on any commitment to science. All Burt's critics have strangely, or not so strangely, belonged to the environmental school of social thought. They were using, said Fletcher, the old guilt-by-association ploy. Burt was a fraud; therefore his main theme -- the importance of heredity -- had to be fraudulent.

Burt died at the age of 88 in 1971, after a distinguished career that began in 1913 when he was the first educational psychologist ever appointed by the London County Council. Far from being a dogmatic hereditarian, Burt in his first book, The Backward Child, stressed the effect of a squalid home environment on the child's marks at school. If given a more conducive environment, he asserted, children would do much better academically. Burt's reforms actually doubled the number of scholarships to lower-class students and sharply reduced the number of scholarships going to the offspring of the middle class.

In 1946 Burt was knighted not by the Conservative Party, as would have been added to the acerbic tone of the Kamin-Gould philippics, but by the Labour Party, whose leaders would have nothing whatsoever to do with a right-wing elitist. On the other hand, Burt was no egalitarian. He wanted to give all children an equal chance to make the most of their capabilities, while never failing to take into account that these capabilities differed. It was this attitude which caused him to fall out with Labourites when the Ice Age of the environmentalists set in during the 1940s and the hereditarians became intellectual pariahs.

The enemies of Burt declared he was an advocate of selective breeding, though he never wrote a sentence or a word to support that charge. All that could be pinned on him in this regard is that he belonged to the Eugenics Society, a very low-key group that is still in existence. Kamin found some words in Burt's papers which mentioned the idea of preventing the proliferation of the poor, which he passed off as Burt's own notion. Fletcher shows that it was actually a written summation of a book by Pigou, an economist. On the basis of this false accusation, Dr. Oliver Gillie, Burt's principal British critic, tried to link him to Hitlerism. Some articles Burt was supposed to have dreamed up and for which he was roundly blamed, Fletcher found, were merely abstracts of Ph.D. theses by some of his pupils. Burt summarized them so they would receive greater professional attention.

The main attack on Burt, however, was concentrated on his twin research. He was accused of inflating the number of pairs he claimed to have studied from 21 to 53, despite the fact that during this time he had been in retirement. He was also accused of claiming to have had two assistants who supposedly never existed. Even worse, he worked out his correlations in three different studies to three decimal points (.771), an accuracy which seemed impossible to achieve.

While such a consistency is hard to swallow, the University of Minnesota Center for Twin and Adoption Research summarized a host of identical twin studies as producing correlations in the range of .75 to .80 (1.00 represents complete positive correlation; —1.00 represents total negative correlation; 0.00 represents no correlation. Simply stated, correlation measures how one variable varies in regard to another.) William Shockley has devised a series of mathematical measures of twin resemblance that were not even in existence at the time of Burt's studies. When they are compared on Shockley's new measuring techniques, Burt's results are indistinguishable mathematically from the more recent, independent and fully authenticated studies.

If Burt did "cook" his data, it was only after he had practically perfected the theoretical model of inheritance of human intelligence. Mendel did something similar with his famous study of peas after he got tired of arguing with "blockheads." If anyone could pick the closing Dow Jones average with the accuracy Burt picked IQ correlations, he wouldn't be working on Wall Street, he'd own the street.

As for the mythical assistants, one of Burt's pupils stepped forward and said he remembered one of them personally. Moreover, a closer study of Burt's diaries and the numerous entries he made in them demonstrates that his data were by no means invented, but were based on solid fact. Because many of the last years' pages of his diaries were blank, Kamin sneered that this was proof Burt had done little of the research he claimed credit for. Fletcher studied the diaries and found that not just the last years' pages were blank, but the pages of many other years as well. Since the diaries were by no means a comprehensive summary of Burt's work or a complete set of laboratory notes, they cannot be a definite assurance that he was or was not doing research at the time. Also, if Burt was not working during his retirement years, why, Fletcher asks, was he advertising in educational journals for people who could put him on to new sets of twins? In fact, according to Fletcher, it is quite possible that many of Burt's post-WWII twin studies were the outcome of earlier research that he had not yet had time to organize.

Professor Fletcher ends his apologia pro vita Burt by wondering, very justifiably, why such a famous and intelligent man would want to ruin his reputation and compromise his life's work by falsifying research when he had no need to do so. He suggests that Burt may have suffered a grave injustice. To get to the heart of the matter, Fletcher made this recommendation:

It is high time that the case for Burt's defence was given a full and fair hearing. Then, if these questions cannot be satisfactorily answered by his detractors, he should be acquitted of the charges brought against him.

**Articles We'd Really Rather Not Read Dept.**

SOME REFLECTIONS ON WATERGATE I AND II

WATCHING THE IRAN-CONTRA hearings turned my thoughts back to the original 1973-74 Watergate version. That one had some red meat! This time the congressional committee itself is engaging in theater and hotly defended what she had done, in sharp contrast to wimp McFarlane, who appeared weak, confused and emotional. Fawn refused to play the John Dean role by snitching on her boss. Shes my choice for the first Instauration Woman of the Year award. An American heroine with true grit. Compare her testimony with that of the Watergate wafflers (Haldeman, Magruder et al.). A lot of good their apologetic appearances did them. They all went to the slammer.

Albert Hakim was a Jewish sleaze artist (though the media have always called him an Iranian). Only innocents like Secord and North would admit they even knew this type. With a straight face, Hakim told the committee how he "loved" Ollie so much he set up a $200,000 educational trust for his children. Well, one man's bribe is another man's educational trust fund. While I admire Secord for standing up to the committee, he should never have besmirched his reputation by joining up with the likes of Hakim. He was in way over his head, slightly arrogant, a tool of the arms dealers. Retired officers should be forbidden to get involved in the merchant of death trade. If they do, they should lose their pensions.

The Chairmen. Remember how in Watergate I the liberals glorified the late Senator Sam Ervin as a constitutional scholar and civil libertarian? Forgotten was his reputation as an arch-segregationist. Old Sam, even then in the first stages of senility, went along with the game. I remember his saying Watergate was a greater tragedy than the War Between the States. Greater than a million dead and Sherman's march to the sea? The media ate it up. In Son of Watergate, Lee Hamilton of Indiana and Daniel Inouye of Hawaii, the latter inscrutable and trying to play it cool, couldn't hope to top that act. Each was a disappointment to the media. They both knew the hearings were mummmery. Why didn't they act their part? However, if they understood early on that the hearings would fail to nail the President, then their low-key behavior is more understandable.

The Committee Members. With a few exceptions -- Cheney, Hatch and Hyde come to mind -- they were a bunch of sad sacks. Sharp brains with blunt minds. Boland of Massachusetts ought to go back to law school to learn how to draft a bill. His amendment was such a mess his salary should be cut in half. Did he think he was going to drill teeth when he was elected as part of that queer Bay State congressional delegation (two admitted fags, Frank and Studds, and counting)? The only black, Stokes of Ohio, was probably sober most of the time, but you never know. Alabamians like a good joke so much they keep sending Heflin to the Senate. He's the latest version of Senator Claghorn. Boren of Oklahoma was a pear-shaped, loud-mouthed windbag playing to the cameras.

I cannot let the Cohen-Rudman duo with their New England morality and Talmudic certainty pass without comment. Rudman is the updated Jewish version of Charles Robey of the 1951 Kefauver Crime Committee, terribly shocked at such goings-on and artificially contentious. Cohen's trouble is that his head is too big for his short body. He looks like a ventriloquist's dummy. I have a feeling if he and Rudman were interrogating Ivan Boesky, their questions would be soaked in milk, honey and forgiveness. The half-Arab(?) Mitchell of Maine -- his mother's name is Saad -- was the most adroit Reagan trasher for the Democrats.

The Lawyers. The Liman-Belnick crew are distinguished members of the abbatoir called the New York Bar, Israeli division. Their Bronx accents and intonations are our Masters' Voices. Arthur Liman was a TV curiosity with his frog-like face and second-generation body language. As for John W. Nields Jr., who had the first go at North, committee members received a lot of calls telling them to order him to get a haircut. It was a late-blooming lawyer hippie against a decorated Vietnam vet. No contest. Brendan Sullivan started flourishing his disruptive tactics the moment he and his client sat down. He knows all about making an impression before the cameras. Forget his Irish name; he was kosher right off the bat. If Liman had represented North, he'd have acted just like Sullivan. Call it the Yiddishization of the Bar. The only true beneficiaries of Watergate I were the ambulance chasers who received millions in fees. In Watergate II, their price climbed to as much as $300 an hour. Cui bono may lead us to believe they were orchestrating the show for their own benefit. The witnesses were dumb to hire shysters. They should have cut out the mediators and saved themselves from bankruptcy. Watergate I proved they can't save you from jail.

The whole production was amateurish. The set made the members look like rejects from Hollywood Squares. The lighting and camera work was third-rate. The show only came alive when Ollie and Fawn were fielding questions. The money spent could have given every Contra soldier his own tank.
The death of Edmund Perry, shot and killed by a white undercover cop he was mugging, almost started a race war in New York. How could such things be? Here was a “brilliant” black kid who won a scholarship to Exeter, a kid who had everything going for him, who was only a few months away from attending Stanford on a four-year scholarship, ending up dead like a common ghetto bum! It just didn’t make any sense. Perry’s death was greeted by the media as one more example of white racism run amok.

Robert Sam Anson, an ex-Time reporter who decided to dig a little deeper into this mystery, came up with an image-shattering book, Best Intentions (Random House, NY, 1987). Perry, Anson discovered, was not the Great Black Whiz Kid described in the New York Times. The Village Voice hardly had it right when it mourned “the death of the Moses of his people.”

The fact was that the “brilliant” Perry was a drug dealer at Exeter and cheated on his exams. In his senior year at the preppiest of prep schools, he became an outspoken black racist, who spouted antiwhite racism to whoever would listen. At one time he physically and brutally attacked a white student who had previously bought much of his racist line. On vacations in Harlem he fancied a Black Panther pose, boasting that he had thrashed two white football players in his school for purely racial reasons.

Perry’s mother called Anson a “blue-eyed devil” for writing the book about her son. Anson, being a good liberal, apologized profusely for having written it. But it is doubtful he is contributing his substantial royalties to an Edmund Perry Fund.

Another media falsification, this time of a more serious nature, but as usual involving a minority member, concerns Stephen Breuning, a former assistant professor of child psychology at the University of Pittsburgh. Breuning specialized in falsifying his research. He was so adept at it that in 1979-84, he published about one-third of all the literature on treating retardates with drugs. Despite being charged by the National Institutes of Health with “knowingly, willfully and repeatedly [engaging] in misleading and deceptive practices in reporting results of research,” Breuning now directs the psychological services at Polk Center, Polk (PA). It’s a demotion, but it is far from the permanent exile from the social sciences that Breuning so richly deserves.

Still another large piece of media misinformation is the work of still another minority member. Kevin Lerner, claiming to be a Naval intelligence agent, flooded newspapers and news services with dramatic stories of corruption in the sorely pressed Southern Methodist University Athletic Department. He claimed that alumni and boosters of college sports had hired prostitutes to sleep with SMU athletes and had paid bright students to take the exams for dumb jocks. He later admitted it was all a pack of lies.

Still another minority fraud must be exposed. Reggie Jackson, the baseball star, liked to tell this story about the time he was farmed out to a team in Lewiston (ID): “When I was there I got hit on the head by a pitch and was taken to a local hospital, but they wouldn’t admit me because I was black.” So, he bitterly related, he had to fly off to Modesto (CA), hundreds of miles away from the scene of his injury, for treatment.

Records at St. Joseph Hospital in Lewiston show that Jackson was received for observation on July 6, 1966, and released on July 8. The doctor who treated him, William Bond, is still practicing.

Jackson’s story appeared in Sports Illustrated (May 11, 1987) exactly as he told it. No magazine editor these days checks a black’s racial attacks on whites, just as no magazine editor dares check any atrocity tale recounted by a Holocaust survivor.

The refusal of a white hospital to accept a black is becoming a common media fixture. Jackson probably picked up his anecdotable fabrication from what supposedly happened to Dr. Charles Drew, the black credited with developing the blood bank system. Urban League Director Whitney Young once wrote that Drew was turned away from a white hospital after being in an automobile accident on April 1, 1950 — an appropriate date considering the truth of the story. Because of the hospital’s refusal to admit him, Drew bled to death a few hours later, Young said. Other black and minority authors wasted no time in picking up such a juicy story. William Loren Katz included it in his history of the American Negro. It was repeated on TV’s MASH, when one character told a patient, “If you don’t behave, we’ll let you bleed to death like that hospital in North Carolina did to Dr. Drew.”

The story is a complete fabrication. After his wreck, Drew was taken directly to the Alamance General Hospital in Greensboro (NC), which not only admitted him immediately, but rushed him to the emergency room where a team of doctors and nurses worked frantically but unsuccessfully to keep the severely brain-damaged black alive.
Satanic Colorado

More than a dozen crimes committed in Colorado since the first of the year have had "Satanic" overtones, state law enforcement agents claim. Last year's murder of Raymond Terry, 29, was accomplished with 117 stab wounds, and the initial "D" was carved on his chest. Dana Sue Jones, now in a Denver mental hospital, is supposed to have drunk the victim's blood.

Colorado gangs have sprung up with such names as BOSS (Boys of Satan's Service) and GODS (Girls of Demon's Service). Runaway boys and girls have been recruited for child pornography. It's getting so bad that the Denver Police Department has formed a special detective unit to handle ritualistic and occult crimes.

The moral seems to be that when mainstream religions are in a slump, as is the case today, people drown their sorrows in their own religions. They join even crazier religions.

Sadistic Slavers

If the Satanism in Colorado isn't enough to turn your stomach, listen to this: An 18-year-old girl arrived at the Port Authority bus terminal in Zoo City in early July. She was from New Mexico and had come to New York to "better her life." A man approached her, started talking about job openings in Jersey City, and in no time the two were in a Jersey motel where she was bound and tortured.

For the next three weeks she was raped, sodomized, beaten and debased by five men. She managed to make her escape from a car in midtown New York as she was being driven from New Jersey to be "offered for sale" to a Zoo City sex ring. Half-naked, she opened the car door at a stop light, ran up to the nearest cop and screamed for protection.

Prosecutor Frank DeStefano reported, "She was burned with cigarette butts, a knife was run across her throat and her finger was broken in a padlock."

We are told that white slavery came to an end a half century or so ago. We are also told that we are living in a civilized society. We are not told the race of the sadists who "took charge" of the girl. Perhaps those TV sitcoms which constantly preach that minority members are more to be trusted than Majority members had something to do with her predicament.

Subteen Bondage

As further evidence of our "high civilization," we point to the case of an 11-year-old girl in New Bedford (MA), whose parents let her sleep occasionally in the home of a friendly couple, the Mendes, whose only apparent fault was that they watched X-rated movies on their VCR. Later it was discovered that the Mendes had a few other vices, one of which was to spreadage their naked subteen victim in their bed and force her to reenact some of the things she was forced to observe in their hosts' porn films. On one occasion the girl was dragged out to the Mendes's garden, where they dug a hole, poured in some liquid, made a cross and ordered their 11-year-old "guest" to read the 23rd Psalm backward.

For all this Mrs. Mendes, who was the pervert in charge, was given only one year in jail. Her husband got a suspended sentence.

First PR Man

The world's most notorious public relations man, in fact the founding father of this disreputable profession, is Austrian-born Edward L. Bernays, a nephew of Sigmund Freud. Bernays will celebrate, if all goes well, his 96th birthday this November. He prefers the title "public relations counsel" to "press agent" or "flack." "Puff artist," which he didn't mention, is another appropriate term, as is "steamer," a semi-mythical Hollywood number cruncher whose principal job is to add a zero to any figure emanating from film companies. If Paul Newman gets $100,000,000, a zero is tacked on so it becomes $1,000,000,000 in the press release. If a Steven Spielberg production grosses $10,000,000, the steamer boosts it to $100,000,000,000.

A Monster Worse Than AIDS

As AIDS makes ever greater inroads among blacks and Hispanics, minority leaders and media overlords are chafing at the Reagan administration's refusal to channel anti-AIDS funds into specific minority programs. Since nonwhites are contracting AIDS at twice the rate of whites, targeting such funds makes sense not only for inner-city folks, but also for relatively healthy Majority communities that might subsequently be exposed to less risk of contamination.

Minority leaders have been relatively silent on the issue until recently because they fear a civil rights backlash when white taxpayers are forced to cough up ever more funds to combat what is still basically a disease of nonwhites, queers and drug addicts. In fact, they may be worried about a threat far greater than mere civil rights reverses or even the spread of AIDS. We are talking about racism, the monster that will not die. This surely will rear its controver-
a nest of WASPs in the 1940s, by the 1970s has been letting in non-WASP intruders who have all but ended the members' once sacred but now blasted freedom of association. Barney, the prodigal, renegadish son of a staid WASP papa, after making a fortune in pornography, tries to buy the club. He is determined either to razee it to the ground or open the gates to every unassimilated minority member in the metropolitan racial potpourri. To rub salt on the wound, he plans to marry an old Jewish flame who is getting a divorce from his brother. The play ends with the fall of the club and the Ragnarok of WASPdom. Almost as an anti-climax, Charles, the stately WASP father, takes to wife Myra Goldberg, the brash mother of his son's love interest.

The Philadelphia Inquirer headlined its review of Middle Ages, PLAY DEPICTS DOWNFALL OF THE WASP.

What the Inquirer reviewer and the playwright seem to know, or pretend not to know, is that if the WASPs really “downfall,” then the rest of the country will go down with them. Does anyone think for a moment that blacks can run this country? Look at their record in Africa. Or that Jews can do the job? The U.S., not Zionists, keeps Israel alive. As for the Asians, the Japanese might be capable of keeping the country on an even keel, but they would bring over their emperor and turn the place into Nippon East, a political development which would be most unpleasing to Chinese, Vietnamese and other Asians.

Those who applaud the downfall of the WASP are applauding their own funeral. The people most responsible for keeping this country going is the American Majority, which is racially affiliated to WASPs, closely linked to the core WASP culture, and in whose ranks WASPs are still the largest population group.

Blondes Are the Target

It was half a warning, half a sad admission. New York’s black police chief, Benjamin Ward, while speaking to an evening gathering in Forest Hills about what his cops were doing to catch the rapist or rapists living in the community (13 rapes in the past few months), was interrupted by real estate agent Marla Fridman, who yelled out, “I’m afraid.” Ward replied,

You’re out here at night, so you can’t be too frightened. You’re the kind he’s looking for — under 30, beautiful and blonde.

Ward was later compelled by a public outcry to apologize to Ms. Fridman, who is actually 36, and not overly beauteous, in a short and grudging phone call.

Instauration would like to know wherein was Ward’s sin. Was Ward resorting to some kind of perverse flattery when he categorized blondes as the preferred targets of New York rapists? Or did his remarks make brunettes jealous? Or was he discriminating against older women because he assigned an age limit to the victims?

It’s hard to pinpoint why there was such a perverovid reaction to Ward’s straightforward though not exactly reassuring attempt to alleviate Ms. Fridman’s fears. Zoo Yorkers are a pretty weird bunch.

In the Courts

In its various public housing and public accommodations laws, Congress has cancelled the traditional right of Americans freely to associate with whomever they choose. In a recent case, Janice Paul of Anchorage (AK) asked an appeals court to remove from Americans the opposite right -- the freedom to shun whomever they choose. Remarkably, the court came down on the side of the shunners.

Janice Paul was a member of Jehovah’s Witnesses. When she fell out with that strange cult, as many members do after a time, she was ostracized by other Witnesses. The practice is called “shunning” and is meant to be a not-so-subtle warning to dropouts of the lonely fate in store for them.

After Janice was given the cold-shoulder treatment she sued, stating that it had caused her severe emotional distress and asked the court to order Jehovah’s Witnesses to forbid the process. Later, an appeals court refused to act on the grounds it would be interfering with religion.

Another interesting case with religious underpinnings is the current legal action of pro-abortion groups challenging the tax-exempt status of the Catholic Church. They claim that Catholic groups, by their lobbying against abortion and by their support of certain political candidates, are violating IRS regulations designed to prevent tax-deductible organizations from politicizing.

A worthier and more important suit along this line would be for a Palestinian American group to sue the IRS and demand the removal of the tax exemption for Jewish organizations which funnel vast amounts of tax-deductible money every year to Israel. And how about the NAACP and all the other tax-exempt groups which are vowing to fight to the death the nomination of Robert Bork to the Supreme Court?

AIPAC

No one should be fooled into believing that Jews and their lobbies restrict their massive political input to U.S. Middle Eastern policy. As Jerry Rubin once described his co-religionist and his co-irreligionist, “We are everywhere.” He should have added, “And we get our fingers into everything.”

Here is what Thomas A. Dine, head of AIPAC and in some ways a far more powerful man than Reagan, has to say about his organization’s extensive operations (New York Times, July 6, 1985):

We’re involved at every point that a decision is being made. . . . We are being questioned constantly about the proposed federal budget, getting phone calls from the House Budget Committee leadership and staff, the Senate Budget Committee leadership and staff: “What do you think of? What do you think about? Hey, how about it?”

No Mother Eve

One of the most pernicious media myths is the one concocted after the recent discovery of female-transmitted cellular material that can be traced back to the “first woman,” who has been dubbed “Mother Eve.” Somehow she’s presented as proof that we are all descended from one race, indeed from one woman, indeed from one African woman, indeed from one black woman.

We asked our in-house geneticist for comments:

As for the Mother Eve bit: This involves mitochondrial DNA. Mitochondria are to be found in the cytoplasm of every plant and animal cell, and contain the whole Krebs cycle, i.e., they provide all the energy of life. They also happen to be bacteria and are still somewhat autonomous in that they have their own DNA — in other words, they have a heredity separate from ours and it goes back much further. It’s a story that would have delighted Darwin, a bacterium that was willing to solve the energy problem for other organisms and as a result became the most successful and widespread organism on earth. Anyhow, the mitochondria in the spermatozoa get shoved into the tail (where they are needed to provide the energy for swimming) and the tail breaks off before the sperm enters the egg. Consequently, strange to tell, all mitochondrial transmission and heredity comes through the female line — none ever from the male. So it is natural for scientists who are studying mitochondrial DNA to speak of Mother Eve. Though some press reports have used the discovery to strike a blow for feminism and blacks, the discoveries themselves don’t mean anything sinister. Naturally, they are aware that there had to be an Adam, but Adam doesn’t enter into their specialty. It’s crazy and confusing, but cute, and I can’t really see how it can do any harm.

Finally, I’d like to point out that there are some new data on the origin of man. What it boils down to is that man is recent, not ancient — a new species. This really shakes the worst of our enemies — the ones who’ve been pushing humanoid origins back to the beginnings of time.
**Ben’s Baby Bust**

For years demographers have been warning Western nations about their collapsing birthrates. Yet it suddenly becomes front-page news when Ben Wattenberg writes a book about it. In *The Birth Dearth*, his new, hugely profitable bestseller, Wattenberg carefully omits the racial implications of the catastrophic decline of the white fertility rate. He concentrates on such topics as the possible loss of “our values,” shrinking markets, a growing proportion of old folks and the decline of Hollywood -- as if the latter “loss” would not be everyone’s gain. He goes on to prove his equalitarian bona fides by attacking the notion that the U.S. should be populated by a “clear majority” of citizens of white European heritage. What really worries him is the thinning ranks of the middle class, which as far as he is concerned can consist of any race.

To overcome the birth dearth, Wattenberg recommends such bromides and placebos as education, more day-care facilities, bonuses for babies, bigger tax deductions for each child, maternal leave for working mothers, flextime, more family planning in the Third World and more immigrants from everywhere. Genes don’t count with Wattenberg, only numbers.

**Gushing Over Metzenbaum**

Although American citizens of Eastern European descent are being deported to the vengeful Soviet Union and Israel for alleged Nazi associations almost 50 years ago, when a Republican committee produced a 72-page research paper on Senator Howard Metzenbaum’s political associations with Communist groups many decades ago, the media and Congress treated it as the greatest piece of *lèse majesté* since the beheading of Mary, Queen of Scots.

In general, the report was true. But Metzenbaum, up for reelection next year and the most Jewish of the nine Jewish senators, complains he had “never seen a document that went to the depths this went to -- to smear, to lie, to misrepresent.”

Apparently there is no statute of limitations when Jews want to punish Nazis and Nazi fellow travelers. But non-Jews are silenced and paralyzed by time restraints when they want to criticize Jews for fellow-traveling with Nazis.

One reason Democratic and even Republican senators were so quick to conspire with Metzenbaum for the “wrong done him” is that many of them also have ghosts in their political closets. Senator Byrd, for example, one of the loudest in denouncing the Republican campaign paper, was once a member of the Ku Klux Klan. Could it be that Byrd has a special interest in letting sleeping dogs lie?

**Shady Congressmen**

Now that Congress has spent untold millions of dollars investigating the foreign policy of the Reagan administration in the Francis degree, why doesn’t it take a breath and undertake an investigation of itself? William Murchison, a columnist for the Heritage Feature syndicate, presents some good reasons for such an exemplary act of self-examination.


Another Tennessee congressman, Rep. Harold Ford, the state’s most powerful black politician, has been indicted for bank, mail and tax fraud. Rep. Tony Coelho of California, the House Democratic whip, accepted $48,000 in unlawful campaign contributions from the bankrupt Vernon Savings and Loan, as well as the use of the S&L’s large yacht for fundraising parties. Rep. Austin Murphy of Pennsylvania has been charged with letting other congressmen vote on legislation for him and having members of his staff cut his grass, clean his pool and polish his boat. Rep. Jim Wright of Texas, the House Speaker, did a great deal of shady lobbying for the shady savings and loan association that gave illegal money to Coelho.

There are several other congressmen, not to mention senators, who could and should be added to the rogues’ roster. The fact that all those listed above are Democrats does not mean that the Republicans do not have more than a few splotches on their moral résumés.

**Newsy Jews**

The Jewish nut who threatened to bomb the demolition crew razing the two buildings in Washington to clear a site for the Holocaust Memorial eyesore was also responsible for an even nuttier act. Kenneth Kipperman, an engraver in the Bureau of Engraving and Printing, sneakjed a Star of David onto a $1 stamp honoring Bernard Revel, a rabbì so little known in the U.S. he doesn’t even rate an entry in the multi-volume *Jewish Encyclopedia*. Hardly visible, but nevertheless there, a tiny, six-pointed star was etched in the rabbì’s beard. What a media earthquake this would have made if a swastika or even a hammer and sickle had been discovered in someone else’s beard on some other postage stamp. The government has said it has no plans to recall the stamps or remake the plates on which they are printed. How fast would the recall go out if a Christian cross were found hidden in a stamp?

Kipperman, despite the bomb threat, despite sacrifying the stamp, is back at work. Would a Majority member have been kept on the job -- and out of jail -- if he had committed this crime? Some people are Chosen, and some aren’t.

If a swastika is burned on the lawn of a Jewish family, it automatically follows in media logic that a mad anti-Semite is on the loose. If detectives would stop a minute and say to themselves, don’t *cherchez le Nazi, but cherchez le juif*, they might have a better chance of tracking down their quarry. When two young men were arrested in Rockville (MD) recently for lighting a crooked cross on the lawn of a home that sheltered a Catholic husband, a Jewish wife and a black non-citizen, lo and behold, one of the two was Gary L. Stein.

As to the seriousness of the crime, it becomes a matter of legal nitpicking. If a swastika qualifies as a religious symbol, the deed carries a minimum fine of $5,000 and/or three years in jail.

*Cherchez le Juif* may also be the right advice for finding the man responsible for that synagogue fire ($150,000 in damages) in Silver Spring (MD) more than a year and a half ago and only a few miles from Rockville. No one has been arrested so far. The police officer in charge of the investigation, Lt. Carvel Harding, says he believes he knows the motive. When asked if the assailant was a synagogue member, Harding replied, “This is very touchy.” He would not elaborate.

**The Money Tree**

Mayor Marion Barry of Washington (DC) publicly chewed out a black welfare mam-
my last spring for having 14 chilluns, planning on several more, and expecting John Q. Whitepublic to foot her housing bill. Jacqueline Williams was indignant, and has already told her side of the story on Phil Donahue's show. Meanwhile, one Cleophus Johnson, a top bureaucrat in the DC housing department, was contacting local landlords and putting together a very special deal for Washington's most misunderstood black baby factory. The Williams family will receive a newly renovated, three-story, seven-bedroom house, and pay only $522 of the monthly $1,300 rent (with the $522 all coming from public assistance and Social Security checks).

Two weeks earlier, in another Washington neighborhood, 60 black sixth-graders were told by white multimillionaire George Kettle that he would pay their entire way through college. Some of the black kids are poor, but others live in “large houses on wooded streets.” Kettle is part of a nationwide movement of rich whites who promise to “adopt” poor (and not so poor) black children and put them through the best college they can enter on the “affirmative action” rolls.

“I’m the whitey from Virginia,” is how Kettle, 57, described himself to his barely comprehending black beneficiaries, none of whom applauded his generosity. “I’ll help you alter your life.” In fact, recent studies of IQ and job performance made by Johns Hopkins sociologists indicate that Kettle will not be altering many lives in any socially useful way, but simply raising youthful expectations to a dangerous pitch.

Four thousand students nationwide are enlisted in this latest handout scheme, which was started in 1981 by Eugene Lang, a Zoo City millionaire. One of Lang’s college-bound blacks, Manuel Lopez, has already made arrangements for him to attend a university when he is released this fall.

### Millionaire Deadbeat

Mortimer B. Zuckerman, one of America’s richest men ($250 million net worth, according to Forbes magazine) and, although a self-proclaimed small “c” conservative, a very warm friend of feminist Gloria Steinem, has been ordered by a judge to pay the $2.8 million he illegally withheld from the previous owners of the Atlantic after he bought the magazine in 1980. Zuckerman, whose budding media empire includes U.S. News & World Report, has also failed to pay any income taxes for the past four or five years.

Nothing daunted, Mort, who bought the most expensive penthouse ever sold in Zoo City a few years ago — perhaps with the money he saved by not paying any income taxes -- is now putting together a deal to build a 58- or 68-story tower at Columbus Circle. Here he is running into a little trouble. Jackie Onassis has called the plan “monstrous.” The buildings, she complains, will block out air and sunlight from Central Park. She is busy organizing civic groups to oppose Zuckerman’s skyscrapers, which have already been approved by the City Planning Commission and the Board of Estimate.

### Redskin Jailed

The first Marine ever to be convicted of spying, Sgt. Clayton Lonetree, was found guilty on all 13 counts and handed a 30-year sentence, which means that if his appeal fails, he’ll probably be out in six years.

Much was made of defense counsel William Kunstler’s claim that Lonetree was a scapegoat who was being punished for the sins of other Marines because he was an Indian. Nothing was made of the fact that one of the reasons he gave away important secrets to his Soviet girlfriend, Violetta, was that she was white (though not too terribly white, since she was a Ukrainian Jewess). Indians like Lonetree are willing to do quite a lot of spying or anything else if they can get their paws on a white girl. The Soviets know this very well, which is why they sicced the KGB female on him and why she had such an easy time of it.

Lonetree was an illegitimate child, had a drinking problem (a common Indian complaint) and relied on Senator Rudy Bosch­witz to get him into the Marines’ elite embassy guard unit after he had failed his entrance examination.

One aspect of the affair that has only been discussed in passing is the Marine recruiting system that allows questionable characters like Lonetree to get into what used to be the toughest and most patriotic division of the Armed Services. In Detroit it was recently revealed that five young men, probably Negroes, were accepted into the Marines on the basis of forged transcripts which gave them credit for high-school courses they had never taken.

### Joe the Pander

In his mailer to raise campaign money, presidential hopeful Joe Biden, the man who first said he would, then said he wouldn’t vote for the confirmation of Robert Bork, Reagan’s Supreme Court nominee, mentioned his warm affection and support for Israel in four paragraphs on page two of his pitch for funds:

> Under President Biden, the United States will at least have a consistent policy in the Middle East.

We will consistently make clear to Arab states that Israel is a permanent presence in the Middle East.

We will consistently make clear that a close relationship with Israel is a permanent feature of American policy.

And we will not -- repeat not -- compromise principle by providing weapons to countries that refuse to recognize Israel’s right to exist.

Page three contains this piece of tearful pathos:

I remember standing with my sister Valerie by a railroad track in Delaware weeping openly as Robert Kennedy’s funeral train passed by.

The squeeze comes on page four:

And please, write that check for as much as you possibly can, whether it be $250, $100, $50 or even $30.

Biden’s pandering was less mute at an American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) meeting in Los Angeles, where he assured the gold-plated crowd, “If there were no Israel, the United States would have to invent one ....” He then related how his father had imbued him with a huge sense of guilt because the U.S. had not done enough to avoid the Holocaust. The nagging, gnawing guilt forced Joe to wonder whether the next generation of U.S. leaders might not lack compassion and empathy for the Jews’ “reasonable” obsession for Israel.
ALLAN BLOOM’S *The Closing of the American Mind* (Simon & Schuster), the #1 nonfiction bestseller in late June, is mostly old hat to those who have been saying the same thing for several decades. The book, however, does have some interesting moments, especially when he writes about the influence of Weimar Germans on the American flower children of the 60s. I knew something about the clout of the refugee intellectuals on U.S. education, but had never really been aware of the effect of the pro-Nazi Heidegger on the left-wing double-domes in the States after WWII. In some ways, Bloom looks upon the present-day U.S. as a sort of “speckled” Weimar Republic.

I compliment Bloom on his courage, which unfortunately is easier for a Jewish writer to have these days than his Majority counterpart. He comes right out and says Céline is a “far more talented artist” than Thomas Mann and Albert Camus. This is not necessarily true, but any professor who is willing to praise “out loud” the most anti-Semitic novelist of modern times either has a lot of guts or a deathwish.

On many points, Bloom’s mordant attack on American education is quite justified. I wonder, though, if he would have been so adamant had he not had the good or bad luck of being at Cornell during the 1969 Negro blow-up.

Viewed as a complete work, Bloom’s opus seems to come apart at the seams. At one time it is a scholarly critique of Plato and Rousseau; at another an essay on music (the best part of the book); at still another a half-serious obituary not of American institutions of higher learning, but of America itself. Bloom’s attitude toward Nietzsche and Heidegger, two of my favorite philosophers, strikes me as ambivalent. He praises them for the power of their thought, but damns them for their nihilism. Sliding over their positive aspects, he utters not a word about Nietzsche’s egging us up the evolutionary ladder to the Übermensch or about Heidegger’s insistence that we cast aside the “every day” in our lives and concentrate on the deeper levels of being.

Bloom calls Henry Adams a crank and Mencken a buffoon. He insists that anyone who takes race seriously is “pathological.” He is not overly enthusiastic about science and scientists. He unfairly denounces B.F. Skinner. I am not so sure that “A Jew in America . . . is as American as anyone” (p. 53). I don’t think “egalitarianism is founded on reason . . .” (p. 201) or that the “artist, not the scientist, has become the admired human type” (p. 182). On the other hand, he is not afraid to criticize blacks and leaves us with the impression, which may be accurate, that rock music is the curse of modern civilization.

Bloom’s book will probably turn out to be a “one-year classic.” It’s too uneven and erratic to last much longer. He writes of America as if it were all in one piece (except for the blacks). His basic message -- not as new as he pretends -- is that we must be on the alert against moral relativism; we must acquire and treasure values (vaguely defined); and we must go back and immerse ourselves in good books.

THE SECOND nonfiction bestseller in early summer was by E.D. Hirsch Jr., another Jewish educator. Entitled *Cultural Literacy* (Houghton Mifflin), it can only be described as a tour de force of schizophrenia. The author spends half his book urging a standardized educational curriculum focused on “American values” and then spends the other half apologizing for his proposal by assuring his readers he is not a cultural imperialist, a chauvinist or -- God forbid! -- a racist.

Hirsch wants everyone to be exposed to the same standard brand of English, but is all for bi- or multi-lingualism. He stresses that “family background” has significant influence on academic achievement, but never once mentions the more important IQ factor. Just get blacks, browns and whites in the same classroom, throw out Rousseau and John Dewey with their antiquated do-it-yourself teaching, douse students with a heavy infusion of democracy and American documents (from the Declaration of Independence to Rev. King’s “I Have a Dream” speech) and U.S. education will take off like an F-16.

Hirsch, who confesses that Gunnar Myrdal’s book, An *American Dilemma*, turned him against the South and who worships the Supreme Court for its Brown decision (which, seemingly unbeknownst to Hirsch, mortally wounded American education), tells us that culture and a carefully regulated language is the result of a sort of conspiracy of jingoists. He also informs us that modern English language and modern American culture have little to do with the old WASP culture. They now belong to everyone -- and anyone who still connects these great cultural accomplishments to the race responsible for them is a bigot. (Just wait and see what happens to English, Professor Hirsch, when WASPs become extinct!)

Hirsch wants a standardized language taught in standardized courses so that Americans, as diverse as they are, can “share information” freely and thereby build and maintain a standardized “democratic” culture. Taking into account some of his devious omissions as he presents his case, I wonder if he is not really more interested in setting the stage for sharing misinformation.

Multiculturalism and multiracialism are the principal banes of American education today. Yet Hirsch goes out of his way to praise them. He is the surgeon who, called in for an appendectomy, thinks he will cure the patient with a
tion in California sweated and strained to get IQ tests banned from the state's public school system. The tests, it was claimed, were being used to winnow out students whose mental apparatus was inadequate for normal educational wear and tear. American education is not falling apart because of a lack of a standardized language and a standardized curriculum to promote American values. It is falling apart because one educational system cannot possibly do the job for a nation that is fragmenting into several competing cultures and several competing races. It is not too much of an exaggeration to say that at present we are educating cats and dogs to be horses and fish.

Talk about painting yourself into a corner! For 15 years the liberal-minority coalition in California sweated and strained to get IQ tests banned from the state’s public school system. The tests, it was claimed, were being used to winnow out students whose mental apparatus was inadequate for normal educational wear and tear. Those who couldn’t make the grade were placed in special classes for the mentally retarded, where they were given remedial programs fitted to their meager learning capabilities. As expected, most of the pupils who ended up in these classes were Negroes.

Discrimination, shouted the NAACP, which has tried to defeat every effort that in any way, shape or form even hints at the ability of blacks to do as well as whites and yellows in a Western industrial society. Their cry was immediately taken up by Jewish lawyers, and in 1979 a political judge ruled that public schools in California could no longer give blacks IQ tests. The tests were culturally biased, said the court. They were unconstitutional, said some liberal Petitfiggers. But somehow they weren’t culturally biased against Hispanics, Filipinos, Eskimos or any other race or ethnic group. As for the tests’ unconstitutionality, many states relied on them and continued to rely on them without any successful challenge from the courts or from black and white pressure groups.

Now the whole can of IQ worms has been reopened, not by a white bigot, not by a cranky social scientist with a score to settle with the California educational system, but by a black Hispanic woman, Mary Amaya, after she had received a note from the superintendent of her illegitimate son’s school saying he couldn’t be given an IQ test because he was black.

Discrimination, shouted Mrs. Amaya. Since she wasn’t white, a few fearful white educators summoned up enough courage to jump aboard her bandwagon, one of them a newly appointed member of the U.S. Civil Rights Commission, William B. Allen, a professor of government at Harvey Mudd College.

Separate but equal education was classified as unlawful by the Supreme Court’s Brown decision in 1954. But integrated education also turned out to be unequal. When forced to learn with whites, most blacks simply couldn’t keep up. When unequals have to take the same courses in the same classroom, their inequality becomes more apparent and consequently more glaring than when they are separated. These feelings of inferiority are compounded when students of one race get Bs and students of another race get Ds.

IQ scores, since they correlate with race, have become the separating agent in American education that race once was.

In Georgia recently, a judge voided the execution of a black because he had an IQ of only 65. So blacks are having it both ways. They won't let IQ scores in California be used for classroom assignments, but they use IQ with a vengeance in Georgia to let a black murderer escape the electric chair.

Nothing is more beautiful than non-standardized languages, languages composed of various dialects, accents and linguistic idiosyncracies. That’s what gives life to poetry and speech. If you want to communicate with a stranger, learn his language or use a lingua franca or, if you are a scientist, use mathematics. But educate your kids in your own language, the language that comes from your ancestors’ hearts and from your family’s heart.

Aeschylus, Sophocles and Euripides wrote their glorious poetic dramas when their Attic speech was a Greek dialect and when only a few score miles away Greeks spoke a different kind of Greek. When Greek became standardized for all the folks of the eastern Mediterranean in the Hellenistic era, millions of people understood each other, information was “shared,” but the level of culture took a nosedive and Greek drama descended to the level of Saturday Night Live and Jerry Lewis.

This plain fact seems to have escaped Professor Hirsch, who can’t seem to realize that getting at the truth by beating around the bush is a very difficult task -- a task which he is simply not up to.

Both Way Blacks

Both Way Blacks

Ponderable Quote

I belong to the school of thought that believes the Supreme Court acted recklessly and irresponsibly in precipitating this crisis at the worst possible time when we already had enough crises on hand . . . . I wonder what kind of trouble this may get me in, but I don’t care . . . . The justices acted with moral irresponsibility because apparently they were ignorant of the true situation. That thing was taking care of itself very well . . . . The down-trodden minorities are organized into tight little cabals to run the country so that we will become the down-trodden vast majority if we don’t look out . . . . The only things that make the world interesting are the differences in nations and people. Why destroy that?

Katherine Anne Porter, in 1958, four years after the Brown v. Board of Education decision
Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

Regular readers of Instauration will recall the name of Sir William Stephenson, the Canadian industrialist whom Cholly described as animated by a sort of angry decency. It was Stephenson who organised the dirty tricks campaign which prepared America for entry into World War II. Roosevelt’s deliberate failure to inform the U.S. Navy of the imminent Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor merely formalised America’s participation in that war.

Of course, Stephenson cooperated with the Jews in neutralising all prominent Americans who saw participation in the European war as detrimental to their country’s interests, but I think we can assume that he was himself an honest man who acted in what he conceived to be the best interests of the British Empire. When Churchill appointed him head of British security in 1940, he certainly chose the right man from his point of view.

Stephenson is still around. Recently, at the age of 91, he confirmed that Sir Roger Hollis, the postwar Director-General of Information (read Disinformation) was in fact a Soviet mole, the fifth man after

... Continue reading the full article on the Instauration website.
service in revealing how Harold Macmillan was involved in the disgraceful hand-over of helpless Russian civilians and prisoners of war to certain death. Now a certain Mr. Nigel Watts has succeeded in getting Lord Aldington, who was also involved in that unhappy episode, to resign as Warden of Winchester College, the great public school in southern England. Watts demonstrated, with the help of Count Tolstoy's incontrovertible evidence, that such frightful episodes as British soldiers bayoneting women and children were the direct result of Lord Aldington's insistence on the return of all Yugoslav nationals to the tender mercies of Tito's partisans. The headmaster of Winchester, Sabben-Cleve, attempted to whitewash Aldington's reputation by saying that no British Brigadier could possibly have been guilty of what Lord Aldington was accused of. At the same time, he tried to besmirch Mr. Watts's reputation by saying that he had been denied a loan by Lord Aldington's insurance company. But Watts persisted, his most telling point being that Aldington's last years will be poignantly remembered by unspoken social censure and exaggerated public support from the safeties (a word designating those who always seek safety first). No one is happier about this than I am. Better to be a Hess and keep a good conscience than be a vicious, lying old hypocrite, however privileged.

Whatever one may think of him, Rudolf Hess has one unchallenged claim to historical fame. In all history no political prisoner of comparable status has endured so long a captivity.

The establishment of all four occupying powers were fully involved in the Hess case. All, not merely the Russians, must bear the responsibility.

Never let it be doubted that digging up the past is a useful occupation. Count Tolstoy and the Young Conservatives here in England (or some of them, anyway) did a useful
An FCC review board has recommended that the license of WBUZ, Fredonia (NY), be revoked. On what grounds? Henry Serafim, the owner of the little 250-watt radio station, had allegedly complained to a government employment agency that had sent him a black female applicant for a job opening, "Don't you have any white girls to send me?" He then, again allegedly, threw in the remark that the applicant "would make charcoal look white."

Serafim sharply denied he made any such statements and also denied that he had turned down the jobseeker because of her race. He pointed out that he already had an Indian and a Puerto Rican secretary on his payroll.

It looks very much like Serafim will lose his license, which will effectively shut down his station. A similar FCC axe has fallen on several other radio and television stations over the past decades. The most egregious case was what happened in Jackson (MS), where the city's leading TV station was taken away from a white conservative Southerner and handed over to a mixed black-white cartel.

I have yet to hear of a case where the FCC kicked out the owners of a black radio station and handed it over to a white, as a penalty for airing black racist remarks and refusing to hire Caucasians. Double standards like this hardly make for free speech.

Various black-owned radio stations, particularly one in Washington (DC), are noted for their racist spoutings against "honkies." Not only do they not lose their licenses for expanding their innate envy into open vilification; they are praised by the media for instilling "black pride" in their listeners.

Until recently, though there have been several black TV stations, there has been no black public television station. This defect was corrected by the premiere, June 1, of KDDE-TV, Los Angeles. The black owners and managers promise that nearly all the programs will be built around or slanted toward minorities. Since the program schedules of all TV stations -- white, black and Hispanic -- are already bulging with minority sitcoms, documentaries and miniseries, the appearance ofKDDE on the TV scene means that the Majority will get more unequal time than ever.

Speaking of blacks in TV land, Liz Walker, the co-anchor of a news show on Boston's WBZ-TV, is about to give birth to an illegitimate child. The daughter of a Negro minister, Walker announced she would not finger the father and has no plans to make her predicament legal. Since her bosses would not dare to fire her, she will continue to preside over the popular local TV news show and continue to serve as a role model for other proliferating females of her race.

Every once in a while the television public, which often seems to have none, exhibits a modicum of taste. Joan Rivers, the most reprehensible cultural throwback of the late-night broadcasters, has been canned for low ratings. Fox Broadcasting paid her $2.5 million to get lost. Rivers was so bad that even Tom Shales, who is usually in the vanguard of the TV critics who automatically have a good word for minority boobtube personalities, had to confess that Joan was too much. Auf Wiedersehening her last show, he wrote,

Toilet paper [was] strewn about the set and Howie Mandel told some of the filthiest jokes ever heard on television. Rivers, gasping, rode out on the same wave of tastelessness that had first washed her up on shore.

Gary Deeb, a more fearless critic than Shales, put it more succinctly: "The woman stunk out the joint from coast to coast . . . for seven months."

A subscriber writes from Sweden. Christianity is on its last legs here, but Holocaustianity is thriving. Shoah is being shown on successive nights during a Wednesday-Sunday holiday period, to ensure maximum audience on the first channel in prime time. I'm surprised it isn't on the other channel as well.

Ron Reagan Jr., who has made an anti-AIDS TV spot, complete with spermicide, condoms and other contraceptive contraptions, says he and his wife, Doria, have lost a dozen friends to the gay plague. "These were people who had a positive influence on my life and Doria's," he mourned. Perhaps having been subjected too long to this "positive influence" is what is wrong with Reagan's balletomane son.
As the walls erected against Hollywood cultural imperialism come tumbling down in France and elsewhere, it becomes all the more important for the American Majority to assert some sort of control over the interminable electromagnetic mendacity of U.S. television exports. What is the average Frenchman to think if he ever sees a program like NBC's *The Bronx Zoo* without an Instaurationist by his side to point out all the deliberate distortions? Consider what critic Martha Bayles had to say about this new Ed Asner vehicle (*Wall Street Journal*, May 4, 1987):

The main challenge -- to dramatize without stereotyping the lives of the minority poor -- does not even arise on *The Bronx Zoo*. How can that be? Well, it's simple. Even though this high school is located in the Bronx, most of the student body is white. And I don't mean Hispanic; there are as many Slavic and Italian surnames as Spanish. With a single exception, the one or two black students in each classroom are shown sitting silently in the back row, safely behind all the talkative blonds, brunettes and redheads. The single exception is a girl named Roz, a poor imitation of Whoopi Goldberg whose main problem in life is that she wants to learn how to read, and her elderly, authoritarian English teacher refuses to teach her . . .

Certainly we don't want negative racial stereotypes on TV, but does that mean we have to rule the black poor out of existence?

Even "quality" shows like *Hill Street Blues* portray the ghetto as full of nasty white criminals and innocent black victims -- distortions that, in the aggregate, come off as both hypocritical and unconvincing. But at least some of the specific incidents in *Hill Street Blues* are plausible, drawn as they are from the ever-changing street population of an unnamed large city. By contrast, *The Bronx Zoo* focuses on one well-defined group of young people in one well-defined locale. Thus the distortion is even greater when it dumps a bucket of whitewash on them.

Such TV programs are certainly "unconvincing" to Americans above the moron level, who know that any blonds and redheads left in the Bronx school system are cowering under the weight of black and brown racism. Surely many Europeans have heard that the Bronx is now one big zoo, but do they grasp the racial nature of that zoo?

Whites are turning to cable television and VCRs with increasing frequency, leaving blacks to concentrate their attention on network TV. If the trend continues, the black prime time audience, now 14%, will be 20% in five years. This prophecy bodes ill for TV program quality, which can hardly afford to sink much lower. Rating NBC's *Stingray* and *Crime Story* and CBS's *The Equalizer*, the A.C. Nielsen Co. ranked them 30th, 39th and 42nd among whites; 9th, 11th and 12th among blacks. This discrepancy comes as no surprise -- at least to Instauration subscribers -- because racial differences inexorably produce differences in taste.

Blacks, according to recent findings, prefer shows that are even worse than those preferred by whites -- soap operas, for instance, and shows that feature "rebels." This means that the American TV public is becoming a prisoner, so to speak, of black TV tastes. What 15% or 20% of the national viewing audience likes or dislikes wields great influence on the survival or extinction of TV shows, whose life blood and whose advertising dollars depend on ratings.

* * *

*The Cosby Show*, which by the end of last season was growing more message-ridden by the week, is not all black by any means. Lisa Bonet, who plays one of Cosby's daughters and who just starred as a semi-nude voodoo priestess in an R-rated movie, has a Jewish father and a black mother. As for the fetching Phylicia Rashad, who actually makes the show, she looks and acts as if she were born far away from Lenox Avenue and 125th Street. The fact is, she is a tawny Texan.

* * *

Kirk Kerkorian, an Armenian with ties to the Mob, has bought into Turner Broadcasting. His $550 million just barely allows Ted to keep control. So much for that Majority media enterprise.

* * *

**Boxism**

The Master of the World
Has a fluorescent eye
And a tinny speaker
That knows how to lie.

The Master of the World
Will never shut his eye
Or turn off his speaker
Till the day you die.

Be resigned to twaddle
You cannot toss back.
Yours is but to listen
To the conning clack.

No escape from the eye
Or the horn and its speeches.
You will do precisely
What the Master teaches.

In olden days when people
Were more orthodox,
They glorified god --
Not a blinking box.
In 1976 a national sample of female high-school seniors was asked how many expected to be "full-time homemakers by age 30." Only 12% said they did. By 1986, the figure was down to 3%.

In 1985 U.S. colleges and universities handed out 4,500 Ph.D.s in the physical sciences; 1,000 went to foreigners, 49 to American blacks.

Medicare outlays rose from $250 million in 1965 to an estimated $75 billion in 1987. Medicaid shelled out $38 billion in 1984. The nation's personal health care bill is now running at $342 billion a year, 40% of which is paid for by public programs.

In 1961, 2,544 Asian Indians listed Sanskrit as their mother tongue in India's 1961 census.

601,708 legal immigrants came to the U.S. last year. The ten countries that furnished the most immigrants were all non-white.

Dr. Andrei Vroublevski of the Soviet Union says his country has 4.5 million alcoholics, "compared to 10 million" in the U.S.

85% of the 48,000 Jews in Italy lived through WWII.

2,544 Asian Indians listed Sanskrit as their mother tongue in India's 1961 census.

The Supreme Court hears oral arguments and renders written decisions for 180 of the 5,000 cases submitted to it each year.

10,000 constitutional amendments have been introduced in Congress since 1789. 33 of these were approved by two-thirds or more of the senators and representatives. 26 out of the 33 got through three-quarters of the state legislatures, thereby becoming official add-ons to the Constitution.

On July 1 the earth had an estimated 5,026 billion Homo saps, of which approximately 16,932,000 or 0.0034% were Jews.

For every living Jew who moves to Israel from New York City, six dead Jews arrive in the Holy Land for burial.

In 1988 San Francisco plans to spend $24 million on AIDS. Since the city's population is 685,000, that's $40 per person.

One-quarter of Americans who have AIDS are black. Half of the women with AIDS are black. Two-thirds of the children with AIDS are black.

In 1970, 15% of American men and 9% of American women ages 25-34 were bachelors or spinsters. Today, 30% and 20%, respectively, of these two categories have remained unmarried.

Of the Swedish immigrants who arrived in Bishop Hill (IL) in 1846 after a 160-mile trek from Chicago died of cold and exposure during the following winter.

Bonn reportedly pays the Romanian government $5,000 a head for the 11,000 ethnic Germans allowed to emigrate to West Germany each year. The German word for ransom is Lösegeld. Romania still has 250,000 x $5,000, or $1.25 billion worth of Germans left.

Figured on a per capita basis, illegal aliens cost every American $146 a year. 42,200 illegals have already been convicted of crimes; 92,800 others are suspects.

Circulation for U.S. News & World Report in 1986 was 2,287,016; Time's was 4,720,159; Newsweek's 3,101,152. Cost of printing and mailing a newsweekly ranges from 65 to 80¢ a copy.

40 pairs of Jewish twins who were allegedly guinea pigs for Dr. Josef Mengele survived Auschwitz. Each twin will receive about $15,000 from the West German government for the ordeal he or she went through 43 years ago. No news about compensation for non-Jewish twins.

Only 40 or 7% of the 603 faculty members of the University of Colorado's College of Arts and Sciences are Republicans; 369 are Democrats; 192 claim to have no ties to any political party. 11 of the college's 27 departments are totally void of admitted Republican professors and instructors. The law school faculty (30 in all) has 1 GOP member.

Contrary to conventional wisdom, the Louis Harris Poll claims only 1 out of 8 American marriages ends in divorce. The National Center for Health Statistics counted 2.4 million marriages and 1.2 million divorces in 1981 does not signify a 50% divorce rate. Some 54 million marriages were held together in the same year. Nevertheless, the number of divorces did triple between 1962 and 1981. The high was 5.3/1,000 Americans in 1981. The year 1985 rang up a divorce rate of 5/1,000.

98% of American homes have a TV set; 97% an indoor toilet.

13% of Americans and 32% of Canadians live on the rim of the Great Lakes, which contain 6,000,000,000,000 gallons of fresh water.

1,879,237 agricultural acres of Maine are owned by foreigners. Next state in amount of foreign-owned farm land is Texas (1,018,812 acres). In Massachusetts, Corazon Aquino's family recently sold 17 acres to a condo builder for $600,000. Till now the media let it be known that it was the ex-strongman of the Philippines who owned property in the U.S., not the present strongwoman.

The rent for the Washington (DC) offices of independent Irangate Counsel Lawrence Walsh and his overstaffed staff is costing the U.S. Treasury $59,808 a month. The lease is for two years with an option to renew for another 12 months.

African food production is up about 20% in the last decade, but the per capita food supply fell 11% in the same period.

New York City's poorest black neighborhoods have 14% fewer men than women in the 20-44 age range.

"water bar" in Beverly Hills sells 200 brands of water.

A `water bar' in Beverly Hills sells 200 brands of water.

Jesse Jackson's Operation PUSH ended 1985 at least $150,000 in the hole.

By A.D. 2050, only 1 out of 8 persons on earth will be white. In 12 years the world's population will probably be 6 billion; in 24 years, 7 billion.
His latest multimillion-dollar TV spots for Pepsi are said to be flops. He has dropped out of Jehovah's Witnesses. With a new remodeling job of his already remodeled nose and a new chin, he is getting more androgynous by the hour. But MICHAEL JACKSON is not going bankrupt, and he has just released a new album, Bad. Perhaps in the belief that one monstrosity deserves another, he offered one megabuck for the deformed and twisted skeletal remains of the Elephant Man, presently kept under lock and key at the Medical College of London hospital.

☆ ☆ ☆

Minnesota's ranking black politician was State Representative RANDY STATEN, previously dropped from the legislature and put on probation for writing bad checks, was arrested in May for shoplifting and assault. Staten had twice filed some female garments from a department store and then turned them in for a refund or more merchandise. When questioned by security guards, he scuffled a bit and then took to his heels. A few days later Staten was rushed to a hospital for treatment for a drug overdose.

☆ ☆ ☆

HARVEY GOLDBERG is dead of cancer at 63. He was the loud-mouthed University of Wisconsin history professor who spent almost as much time in the street demonstrating against the Vietnam War as he did in the classroom. In 1965 he joined North Vietnamese delegates in an anti-U.S. meeting in Paris. Ezra Pound was put in a cage under lock and key at the Medical College of London hospital.

☆ ☆ ☆

One of Reagan's favorite black neo-Toms, GLENN LOURY, a Harvard professor, was arrested for assaulting a 23-year-old Boston woman (race unspecified). He had just been nominated for the influential post of undersecretary of education. Loury turned down the job before the nomination could be withdrawn.

☆ ☆ ☆

The fourth of six children, playwright AUGUST WILSON (raised in Pittsburgh, moved to Minnesota) had a white father and a black mother. Wilson identifies 101% with black interests and can't even conceive of such a thing as a "white interest." At the black-oriented Penumbra Theater in St. Paul, Pulitzer prizewinner Wilson is producing a cycle of plays which address "the largest issues faced by black people." Some of Wilson's black characters are ridiculed by others for "denying their racial roots."

Arrested in a drug raid in Zoo City was STEVE SMITH, 20, the youngest son of William J. Smith, chairman of U.S. Can Co. Police described Smith as a "drag queen." Sic transit gloria WASPus is the appropriate comment on this news item.

☆ ☆ ☆

Senator EDWARD KENNEDY, his three sisters, sister-in-law Ethel, nine Kennedy kids and a gaggle of aids flew to Poland in May to present (two hours late) a humanitarian award to two Solidarity leaders, one of them Adam Michnik, touted in the media as Solidarity's and Polish Jewry's top intellectual. One of the high (low) points of the excursion was Fat Face's solemn posturing at Auschwitz.

☆ ☆ ☆

Catholic priest RAYMOND GUTHRIE sermonized that the polar bear that mauled and killed 11-year-old Juan Perez, who crawled into its fenced-in turf at the Prospect Park zoo in Zoo City, may have helped God save the Hispanic subteen before going to hell. Father Guthrie explained, "God allowed him [Juan] to enter the cage of the enormous beast and lose his life to a bear, rather than lose his soul to the traps of Satan." A week after Juan's funeral, Sammy Farraj, who violated the bear's lair with Juan but escaped uninjured, was arrested for breaking into a house in Flatbush and stealing some jewelry.

☆ ☆ ☆

Miss Rhode Island of 1986, 20-year-old TONI LANGELO, was arrested for cocaine possession in May. A few weeks later she was replaced by Barbara Ann Longo, who had had a considerable part of her nose shaved off ($2,000) and her teeth straightened ($300) before being acclaimed third runner-up in her state's 1986 beauty pageant. It was the briefest of reigns, however, because a new Miss Rhode Island was crowned in June.

☆ ☆ ☆

A black security guard at Loretta Lynn's Richmond sognette was so offended by remarks the coal miner's daughter directed at him that he sued her for $2 million. CAESAR GAITERS JR. claimed he was turned into an impotent alcoholic by Lynn's words to the audience: "If you people don't know what coal is like, here's somebody who knows what coal's all about." The spotlight was then turned on Gaiters, as the country singer continued, "Black is beautiful, ain't it, honey?" A lower court judge was not impressed by the plaintiff's pleadings. The case is now in the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals.

☆ ☆ ☆

BERNELL HEGWOOD, black and 17, was arrested for killing three employees of a Wendy's hamburger joint, where he had a part-time job. Dead were manager William F. Schmidt, 46; Michael Peters, 20; and Sharon Reeseman, 19. The press carelessly omitted to mention the race of the victims of the mini-massacre.

☆ ☆ ☆

HUBERT HUMPHREY once wrote JULIAN ALTMAN, "You make your violin talk, sing and radiate happiness. I'm proud to be your friend." The violin in question was a priceless Stradivarius stolen from a Polish virtuoso in 1936. In a deathbed confession to his wife in 1985, Altman admitted paying $100 for the instrument. Mrs. Altman waited nearly two years before going public with the story. Altman may or may not have been a thief, but Hubert's good friend was a known wife-beater and a convicted child molester.

☆ ☆ ☆

AIDS-carrying gay activist MICHAEL HIRSCH was formerly director of the People With AIDS Coalition in Zoo City as well as an "arts administrator" on Long Island. During the big AIDS conference held in Washington (DC) in June, Hirsch was arrested at a White House sit-in. Later the same day, he spotted a hotel exhibit booth of the Family Research Institute of Lincoln (NE), a nonprofit group which specializes in charting the deadly international travel patterns of promiscuous fags with money to burn. Hirsch became so enraged by the public truth-telling that he spat in the face of the lady from Texas who was staffing the booth and shrieked, "Now you have AIDS!" He then overturned the exhibit table. Released from jail after a few hours with all charges dropped, Hirsch observed, "Here's this nice Jewish boy from Long Island and I get arrested twice in one day."

☆ ☆ ☆

SERGIO PEIJEIRA, the Hispanic-Jewish, $114,500-a-year manager of Dade County (FL), likes to dress well and likes a bargain. That's why he has been buying stolen clothes at cut-rate prices, paying $135 or so for $850 handmade suits. Police impounded 15 of them after arresting the fence, EMELIO MARIO PIREIRA, who also bears an Hispanic-Jewish surname.

☆ ☆ ☆

An anonymous EDITORIAL WRITER at the Washington Times has learned all the right buttons to push on his way to the top. In his June 15 editorial on genetic engineering, he warned against the danger of a "molecular Auschwitz" produced by "the future's Josef Mengeles" and leading to "the nightmare of millions of little Hitlers sprouting from the test tubes."
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Crooked politicians in Detroit come in all shades and sizes. DOVIE PICKETT, 65, the ex-Republican chairman and boss of Detroit's 13th Congressional District, loaned a friend's driver's license, which she had picked up at a party, to another friend, who used it to defraud a bank. When this second "friend" returned the license, Ms. Pickett, a Negress, burned it -- but not before receiving $1,500 for the loan. Pickett is a George Bush supporter; the friend, who is now serving a jail term for bank fraud, is a Kemp backer.

Before it went bankrupt, Vernon Savings and Loan of Texas was $350 million in the hole. Yet it maintained a fleet of luxury automobiles, a hunting club, a yacht, five airplanes and three beach homes for its top executives. Donald Dixon, who owned Vernon S&L, was a heavy contributor to the election campaigns of House Speaker JIM WRIGHT. In return, Wright tried unsuccessfully to keep the Feds from closing election campaigns of House Speaker JIM WRIGHT: strong support for D.C. statehood; his statement at a Holocaust Day of Remembrance gathering that the U.S. was "not wholly blameless" in its conduct toward Jewish refugees from Nazi Germany.

A NEGRO FAMILY of four in Inkster (MI), about to be arrested by police officers on a bad check charge, took three of the lawmen hostage in their motel room and killed them before surrendering. The mother, 69, blames her murderous conduct on white racism.

BLACK STUDENTS, supported by a wimpish administration, succeeded in forcing Anna Feinaur to cancel her summer course in Afrikaans at Portland State University (OR). PSU officials said they couldn't guarantee her safety. CANDICE GOUCHER, assistant professor of Black Studies and the university's African Area adviser, complained that the money used to bring a professor from South Africa could have been used more profitably in teaching languages like Swahili or Zulu.

Mayor JAMES W. HOLLEY III of Portsmouth (VA) refused to resign after his fingerprints were found on three of 30 pieces of "hate mail" loaded with racial epithets, obscenities and threats sent mostly to black community members who opposed the closing of a local high school. Mayor Holley also happens to be black. A recall campaign is in full swing.

ARDITH JACKSON hated Ronald Reagan so much that when she heard of John Hinckley's assassination attempt, she commented to a co-worker, "I hope if they go for him again, they get him." Jackson's employer, a Texas county constable, promptly fired the black woman. She sued to get her job back, and eventually the Supreme Court got it back for her by a 5-4 decision. She was only exercising her First Amendment rights, said the five most noisome members of the Noisome Nine. Would the learned justices have voted the same way if a white had cheered an attempt to assassinate, say, Jesse Jackson? Assuredly, some would have. Assuredly, most would not have.

On Memorial Day in Chicago, the assembled gays and lesbians remembered those who had "given their lives," as AIDS victims, to the free sex movement. The city's health commissioner, DR. LONNIE C. EDWARDS, was on hand to salute the gathered homosexuals. "No other source has played a greater part in the effort to limit this disease," he said. Thanking them for their "leadership," Edwards opposed mandatory testing for AIDS, to loud applause.

WILLIAM N. HARRIS of Crystal (MN) took offense when LaDonna Stuhr came to his motel room in an effort to "buy back" her two children he had fathered. A black prostitute for whom he had pimped for many years, she now apparently wanted to go straight. Instead of discussing the matter with her, and while the children watched, Harris, a Negro, after beating her almost senseless, poured gasoline over her and set her afire. She survived, but with a severely burned and paralyzed left arm, a burned face and head, skull fractures, a broken leg, disabled right hand, fractured ribs, a cut liver, a collapsed lung and a broken jaw. In addition, she lost an ear and one breast. Harris got 20 years.

A not-too-dissimilar case of a human being burned involved ANTONIO RAMIREZ, a Chicago Hispanic, who decided to discipline his 12-year-old son by dousing him with rubbing alcohol and then lighting a match. The son now has second-degree burns over 12% of his body. Bond for the elder Ramirez was set at $2,000,000.

MICHELE SCOTT BLAKE of Atlanta has petitioned to have the name of her child changed from Kimblishaka Abuhuruhatubilhah to Kevin Scott.

In his column (June 23, 1987), ANDY ROONEY, the generally unfunny commentator who often winds up 60 Minutes, lended off any charges of racism that might derive from his pro-defendant comments on the Bernhard Goetz case by pointing "with pride . . . to my arrest in St. Augustine, Fla., in 1942 when, as an Army private, I insisted on sitting in the back of the bus with black soldiers . . . . I was hauled off the bus by white military policemen." What a humiliating catechism Rooney and his fellow wimpy administration succeeded in making less anti-Russian and more "pro-peace." Then he went to Moscow to take part in some Red anti-nuclear propaganda stunts. Then he went to Albany (NY) to raise money for a veterans' memorial, in the course of which he was given a plaque for his "unselfish support." The next day, after he had departed, the plaque was found in a pile of trash in his dressing room. So it goes with KRIS KRISTOFFERSON, the Army officer's son who became a drug-dabbling Majority renegade. As for the benefit, he actually charged a hefty fee for his appearance.

ALTHEA FLYNT, the go-go-dancer and part-time lesbian, the better half or slightly worse half of the nauseous porn king, LARRY FLYNT, died in her bathtub at 33 recently, of AIDS, heroin addiction and vice-induced debilitation. Her genes were probably not too good to start with. When she was eight, her father killed her mother and two other people in a fit of madness before committing suicide. Before she died, Althea signed up with the Alcor Life Extension Foundation of California, which charges $100,000 to deep-freeze corpses for future resurrection at a time when medical technology will be so advanced it will be able to raise the dead. But Althea's body was so wasted that only a religious, not a medical miracle, could have pumped any life back into it. Bob Harrington, a Christian evangelist, called her "a daring career woman" at graveside.

Another of those mythic Jewish success stories has been demystified. BARRY J. MINKOW, the boy wonder who made his first million when he was only 18, is no longer a millionaire. After four years of countless public testimonials to his genius and greatness, his company and his inflated reputation have collapsed. Behind the camouflage of media adulation, Minkow was apparently running a Mafia money laundering operation.
Canada. Ernst Zündel has won again. Last winter the highest court in Ontario unanimously overturned his March 1985 conviction for publishing information on the Holocaust which he "knew to be false." On June 4, the Supreme Court of Canada upheld the verdict.

Within hours, however, the Attorney General of Ontario, Ian Scott, called for a second Zündel trial -- one which, thanks to the higher court's findings of government unfairness, should allow the Toronto publisher to present his case more favorably.

Round two, set for Jan. 4, will cost the Zündelists an estimated $300,000 in legal and other expenses. But that will be a bargain if they again reap the "millions of dollars worth of publicity" which flowed from the first trial. The hitch is that this time Canada's Zionist community is determined to have its cake and eat it -- to have Zündel's head on a legal platter and not give his revisionist defense team the same intense national publicity that it received in 1985.

The warning flags are out everywhere that this could be a semi-secret trial. More ominously, Ian Scott himself wasted no time telling assembled reporters that the success of the second trial would depend partly on whether Zündel was given another public forum, which in turn would depend on them:

It will, of course, be up to you people, who are the press, to determine to what extent he will be given publicity. I am quite confident that in the usual way, the press will do the right thing.

Zündel answered these subtle warnings and threats by formulating a counterattack against the threat of press censorship. Most notably, he called for the creation of a "special newspaper for the duration of the trial, which will cover the proceedings from inside the courtroom in a fair and unbiased manner."

This approach will not only break the censorship, but will influence the media to adopt a similar standard of honesty and accuracy. This special newspaper must be written in the evenings, printed at night, collated and folded and delivered to volunteers who will distribute it at strategic locations in Toronto, such as main subway entrances and exits, near universities, large office buildings, law offices and the courts. They can be sold or given out free of charge to passersby every day the court is in session . . . .

We should include in this special Holocaust Trial Newspaper [the] locations of storefront operations offering revisionist video films and books to the public. These . . . would be similar to the type of temporary offices used by certain accountants' firms at tax time. In our case, Holocaust Trial Time will be our opportunity to get the message across to many who would not otherwise be interested in the issue. I envisage such information centres being located in all neighbourhoods (of Toronto).

Will the Canadian Broadcasting Company (CBC) carry the news of the Second Zündel Trial on its nightly national broadcasts? The first trial was kept most effectively from the American public's attention, although many Jewish Americans heard all about it through their special communal newspapers (which now exist in almost every moderately large city). This time around it could work out that the Jewish papers would be the only Canadian means to follow the trial proceedings with any completeness. Much will depend on the decency and integrity of a few individuals -- Canada's news editors.

Not knowing what to expect, Zündel is taking no chances. He has issued an all-points appeal for "censorship-busters" of every description. The following people are "Wanted Most Urgently in Toronto!" proclaims the Zündel newsletter:

Fast, competent shorthand writers who can transcribe court proceedings . . . .
Competent verbal translators of interpreters, preferably with courtroom experience . . . .
A competent offset printer, willing to work nights on our Holocaust Trial Newspaper.
A computer typesetter and operator, to work nights on the newspaper, as well as on the setting and laser-printing of handbills, flyers . . . .
Writers and graphic artists to work on the Holocaust Trial Newspaper, evenings and nights.
Experienced video and still photographers to film our demonstrations and court appearances.
Drivers, watchmen, bodyguards, demonstrators, leaflet distributors, sandwich board advertisers, cooks, dishwashers, food servers; people willing to run errands and do shopping; house cleaners, maintenance people, etc.

People to man our video rental stores.
Researchers familiar with libraries' data retrieval procedures, the use of reference materials, and the photocopying and collating of same.
Typists, envelope-stuffers, and messengers to the courts, libraries, lawyers . . . .
Activities coordinators who are skilled at motivating people of diverse ethnic backgrounds.
Paralegal advisors and/or law students . . . .

Needed just as urgently are expert witnesses in a number of crucial areas:

Experts in cremation, including funeral directors and crematorium operators, especially those with experience in operating 1940s-era crematories. Persons who can provide the defence with plans and specifications, operating temperatures and time required for cremation of a body using 1930 and/or 1940 model equipment. North American and/or European equipment is equally relevant on the subject of cremation.

Persons having experience in the operation of North American morgues of the 1930s and 1940s, including plans and layouts of such facilities.

Experts on the construction of furnaces and their operation, especially persons who would be able to explain the effects of intense heat on ovens, flues and chimneys on a 24-hour basis of operation.

Experienced railroad personnel, including locomotive crew members, station masters, etc., who can throw light upon the allegations that "secret trains" can operate on "verbal orders" . . . .

Persons other than "Holocaust Survivors" who experienced rail transport by "cattlecar" during World War II.

Persons familiar with extradition procedures for people, barracks, bedding and clothing during World War II; especially those who are aware of differences and similarities between Allied and German dehumanizing procedures.

Allied veterans of World War II who were prisoners of the Germans and who can testify as to their treatment, housing, food, medical attention . . . .

Allied military and/or civilian personnel who witnessed Allied brutality against [Germans].

Axis civilians and/or military personnel who witnessed [the same].

Jews and other ethnic groups who experienced humane treatment at the hands of Germans during World War II.

Zündel is predicting a trial of from three to four months duration, although many Canadian Jews would like to shortchange this unique educational opportunity by having the judge take judicial notice that the Holocaust is "a fact," on the order of "the sun rises in the east," (which "fact," is, however, "false news" to astronomers). Such a legal maneuver would shorten the trial to perhaps one or two weeks.

Zündel compares himself to "a field commander in a war who must beg for sufficient troops and supplies to win a forthcoming battle."

My victories can only be in direct proportion to the means of achieving them. The benefits we shall all receive from the Second Great Holocaust Trial will be in direct proportion to the money available for this trial . . . .

[If cases like this are lost] all beliefs, all creeds, all parties, and all individuals will be subordinated to the Dogma of the Holocaust, which is the moral imperative of Zionist domination. There will be no middle ground, no neutrality. Either you will be for the Zionists or you will be
against them. As Orwell prophesied in 1984, it will not suffice to keep silent: everyone will be required to pay loud lip-service.

In a related positive development, a West German court ruled last spring that Ernst Zündel, as a citizen of the Bonn Republic, may obtain a new German passport. The West German consulate general in Toronto had previously refused to renew the document because of Zündel's unorthodox views.

Ernst Zündel's address is 206 Carlton St., Toronto, Ontario M5A 2L1, Canada.

**Britain.** This year’s general election has reemphasized the regional divisions in the United Kingdom. In Scotland, Labour won 50 seats out of 72, while the Tories lost 11 and only held on to 10. The Scottish National Party lost two seats to Labour but gained three from the Conservative Party, thereby ending up with three. The Alliance lost nine seats but held on to nine. All in all, in Scotland, the Tories garnered only 713,000 votes, a mere 24% of the total -- 11% less than in 1983. Labour pulled in 1,258,000, a quarter of a million more than in the last election.

The Labour sweep in Scotland was repeated in Wales, where Labour gained several Tory seats, Plaid Cymru, the Welsh party, won Anglesey from the Tories, partly because Keith Best, the sitting Tory MP, had made numerous applications for shares of immigrant population far larger than has already the majority party in Scotland and Wales and the recent election victories have made its political position there almost impregnable. There was a small swing around London, where Labour had been especially impressive, and three blacks, two of the latter, Paul Boateng and Diane Abbott, with white mothers. In Boateng’s case the mother is English; in Abbott’s, Jewish. The third black, Bernie Grant, comes from Guyana.

Having deserted his black wife to take up with a blonde girlfriend, he was the one who crowed about the “good licking” the police were given during a black riot in London. Since the nonwhite MPs were elected with much smaller Labour margins than usual, many white voters must have put race above politics.

Blacks are now sitting in Parliament for the first time, and Asian Indians were MPs many years ago. Dadabhai Naoroji, a businessman, won a seat in 1892, but lost it in the 1895 general election. Sir Mancherjee Shapurji Saklatvala, a certified Communist, won a Labour seat in 1922, lost it in 1923, regained it as a Communist in 1924 and lost it again in 1929. At present, there is one black in the House of Lords, Baron Pitt of Hampstead (a life peer from Grenada) and one Asian, who lives in Calcutta, the third Baron Sinha.

The Alliance did very badly. The Social Democratic Party started with eight MPs and finished with five -- two in the far north of Scotland and three in the south of England. At present, there is a bitter dispute going on as to whether it should be swallowed up by the Liberal Party, which has 17 MPs.

John Tyndall collected money to put up 50 British National Party candidates. When the election was announced, he decided not to run any of them. This has caused bitter disputes and the secession of several of the BNP branches. The official National Front line is now against elections, but the "Flag Group" put up a few candidates who made hardly a splash.

If the trend toward regionalization or devolution demonstrated in the recent general election continues, in several decades there may no longer be a United Kingdom. One evidence of this is a comment by British newsmen Richard Boston:

> The Irish, the Welsh and the Scots can call themselves by these names. The English, meanwhile, have been turned into Brits, a word which for some reason sets my teeth on edge. Probably that is its intention.

Ulster Protestants lost a great deal of faith in the British government because of Mrs. Thatcher’s deal with the Irish Republic. Britain is planning to play down the celebration of the 300th anniversary of the Glorious Revolution next year for fear of offending its Catholic population. William of Orange is considered to be the George Washington of Ulster, who rescued the Ulsterites “from slaves and slavery, popes and popery, rogues and roguesy, brass buttons and wooden shoes.”

One of the reasons for Labour’s poor showing in England, as compared to its successes in Scotland and Wales, was the Loony Left. In South-East London, women voters received a pamphlet featuring a Fairy Dykemother who invited them to come to a “lesbian workers’ meeting.”

Mrs. Thatcher is finally doing something about immigration reform by sponsoring a bill which would allow only those wives and children of male immigrants who have a home and a steady income to enter Britain. To stop the not-too-infrequent arrival of polygamous families, only one wife will be permitted to join her husband. Last year 46,000 immigrants came to Britain, a little less than half from India and Pakistan.

At the same time, Maggie is going to make it much harder to talk about the immigrants who are already in Britain. Scotland Yard has now been authorized to charge "racial attackers" with assault even when the victim shows no sign of injury. “Psychological stress” suffered by non-whites will be considered as serious a crime as causing bodily harm. Racist taunts will be categorized as insulting or threatening behavior and can get the perpetrator as much as a five-year prison sentence.

Britain’s top cop, by the way, is Peter Imbert, the new Metropolitan Police Commissioner, who is in charge of 27,000 police officers and 18,300 civilian staff. He is 59, speaks Russian, has a couple of black in-laws and a punk daughter who runs around with a pink hairdo.

The name of the black who raped the 24-year-old daughter of an MP at knife-point in front of her white boyfriend during the last London riot will never be known. He was found not guilty by a jury, half of whose 12 members were black. Under Brit ish law the identity of persons involved in rape cases cannot be revealed.

Mohammed Giashuddin, a 52-year-old teacher with two convictions for indecent assault, one against a five-year-old girl, was nevertheless allowed to hold a teaching job in a Birmingham school. A few months ago he expanded his criminal record by being found guilty of indecently assaulting five girls in a biology class for 11- and 12-year-olds.

London is getting more like New York every day. At a public housing development near West London, a young woman with a “rape alarm” sounding off in high decibels was raped on a stairway almost in front of the eyes of a woman and four men -- if you can call them men -- none of whom bothered to pick up a phone to call the police.
Two black riots took place in Britain last summer, along with the usual black-on-white and Asian-on-white rapes. The racial violence came close to touching Princess Diana who, after attending a night showing of the movie, *Jumpin' Jack Flash*, was taunted by a gang of six West Indian blacks. One ran up to her in a menacing mood, jabbed his finger at her and sneered, "I'm gonna tell Charles on you. I'm gonna tell Charles on you." Her hubby was off vacationing in the Outer Hebrides.

The movie, starring Whoopi Goldberg, overflowed with her customary gutter vocabulary and was so bad it was even panned by those critics who usually go out of their way to praise sordid inter racial films. That the next Queen of England would pick this kind of trash for an evening outing is one more sign not just of the decline but of the degeneration of the Sceptred Isle.

Prince Charles's attempt to blacken the collective countenance of the crack Grenadier Guards has run into trouble. The first black recruit, Richard Stokes, is rumored to have already spent 14 days in the lockup for being involved in the disappearance of another Guardsman's wallet. Meanwhile a national search produced his real mother, a hospital nurse who bore him out of wedlock and then gave him up for adoption to a white couple. The white father strongly denied he was the father, and then gave him up for adoption to a white couple. The white father strongly defended his adopted black offspring. While admitting Richard could not make it in the noncom training division, he denied strongly that his son had been jailed or accused of theft.

What's interesting in all the publicity surrounding Stokes is that after the successful search for his mother, no one seemed interested in unearthing the real father. Below is a cartoonist's response to the Guards' new race-mixing policy.

British Jews managed to kill Jim Allen's play, *Perdition*, before opening night. Ever the conscientious censors, they tried but failed to ban the publication of the drama, which dwelt fearlessly on the collaboration of Zionist leaders with Nazis in the Third Reich and wartime Hungary. Jews desperately want to hush up this bit of embarrassing history. Now available from the Ithaca Press, the play has several pages blanked out because of a libel suit launched against the publisher by an Israeli. At last report, the play was produced in Edinburgh without too much Jewish backlash.

France. After being sentenced to life in prison, Klaus Barbie was ordered to pay each of the 149 civil parties ranged against him in the Lyons trial one franc. Only 62 of the 149 accepted the 16¢ in damages. A little more harmful to Barbie's pocketbook was the judge's order that he pay $166,000 in court costs.

Also in prison in Lyons is Christian Didier, who tried to kill Barbie last May while posing as a urologist. Suspicious police found he was carrying a .44-caliber revolver. In jail, the would-be assassin has been staging a hunger strike.

The big news in France has been the visit of nine right-wing members of the Chamber of Deputies to South Africa, four of them belonging to the Front National. They came back with favorable statements about the Botha regime. This immediately produced scathing remarks from the Catholic hierarchy, which keeps edging over from the left to the ultra right.

Spain. Now that Spaniards and Jews are getting back in bed together after a separation of almost 500 years, the latter are being singled out for their part in Columbus's exploits. In preparation for the 1992 celebration of the 500th anniversary of the discovery of the New World, Toledo, where Spanish Jews once congregated, has been made a co-equal city with Barcelona and Seville for the festivities. Barcelona will host the 1992 Summer Olympic Games; Seville will put on a World's Fair. Toledo was chosen, explains Luis Yañez, Spain's secretary of state for international cooperation, "to publicize Spain's Jewish past both at home and abroad."

Samuel Toledano, Spain's leading professional Jew, informed an Associated Press reporter (falsely), "a third of the crew members" on Columbus's three ships were Jews and repeated the old wives' tale that the money for the voyages was largely put up by King Ferdinand's and Queen Isabella's court Jews. Some of it was, but by then the Jewish moneybags had turned Christian. Toledo also brought up the other old Jewish claim that Columbus himself was a Jew, a piece of pure wishful racism that has been easily rebutted by such experts on the subject as historian Samuel E. Morison.

Some cynics say that the best reason for Spaniards to celebrate the 500th anniversary of Columbus's first voyage (Spain doesn't recognize the Norse discoveries) is that in the same year, a few months before the great navigator sailed off into the great unknown, Spanish Jewry was expelled from the country, lock, stock and barrel.

Austria. Back in the middle 1930s the midnight sailings from New York on the *Bremen* and *Europa* were the "in things" of WASP society. German ships and German soil were the few places in the Western world where travelers would not run into crowds of Jews. Today, Austria is slowly emerging as the new promised land for non-Jews. When Kurt Waldheim became president of Austria against the express wishes of world Jewry, a wave of media hatred was triggered against the country and its inhabitants. In a recent issue, Parade magazine suggested that because of the Waldheim affair, "tourists will boycott that historically anti-Semitic country." If they do, then Austrian tourism is bound to boom. Despite their small numbers and because of their great wealth, Jews often take over the world's plushest resorts. But there are still enough affluent non-Jews to keep tourism quite profitable in a country where the Jewish presence is conspicuous by its absence.
Poland. Curious news is emanating from Polish sources -- both in the U.S. and Poland. At a New York meeting a few months ago between Mayor Koch and a Polish delegation which included Jozef Czyrek, Poland's deputy prime minister, Koch's city bossman complained that in a trip to Auschwitz earlier in the year he was shown a 22-minute Soviet film on the liberation of the camp. A lot of footage was devoted to the huge death toll, but it was never mentioned that the vast majority of the dead were Jews. Koch said he had been assured, "by people who were there who were not Jews, that 3,500,000 of the 4,000,000 who were killed were Jews . . . ."

At that point a member of the Polish delegation piped up: "No, no, no. I was in Auschwitz. Only 10% of the inmates were Jews."

In reporting the above conversation, the Polish-American monthly, New Horizon (June 1987, p. 10) said that Koch was shocked at the statement. He later confided, "I don't want to accuse him of anti-Semitism, but it shows a monster."

Poland. The article provides some startling figures. In reporting the above conversation, the Polish-American monthly, New Horizon (June 1987, p. 10) said that Koch was shocked at the statement. He later confided, "I don't want to accuse him of anti-Semitism, but it shows a monster."

Grunwald is the one magazine in the Soviet world that a Polish-American subscriber has compared to Instauration. The May-June 1987 issue partly justifies this rather surprising comparison by an attack on Zionism by A. Romanienko (pp. 9-16). The article provides some startling figures.

Those who believe that Jews only came to power in Russia as the result of the Bolshevik Revolution might be astonished to learn that in the first decade of the 20th century, 18 Christians and 414 Jews came to power in Russia as the result of the Bolshevik Revolution. Moreover, in European, as opposed to Asian, Russia, 55% of the salesmen were Jews. As early as 1886, Warsaw (Poland) was then ruled by the Tsars) had 7,867 Christian and 32,285 Jewish salesman. In 1890, in Russian-run Poland, a total of 19,857 people were registered as owners of factories. Of this number, 14,895 were Jews.

Russia. A Soviet psychologist, G.A. Aminev, claims that rock music is as addictive as drugs. When fans are not able to hear it for a week or so, they suffer withdrawal symptoms, developing hand tremors and an erratic pulse. "The euphoria of listening to such music is connected with the formation of morphine-like substances," he explains.

If Aminev is right, the number of dope addicts in Russia must be on the rise. The Soviets, as a proud part of Glasnost, are letting in Western rockers. Billy Joel, one of the worst of these drum-beating throwbacks, toured Russian cities this summer with his Nordic wife, Christie Brinkley. True to form, he had a fit at one of his concerts and broke a few musical instruments because the Russians had turned the klieg lights on the audience and away from the great Him.

Attending a Billy Joel concert would probably convince Aminev that his theory is on the right track.

Israel. Sexism has practically become a crime most everywhere in the West, especially in the eyes of Western Jews, but in the town of Migdal Ha'emek in northern Israel, it is a virtue -- so much so that the town's two rabbis have banned women from all Jewish funerals. Why? Because they have the evil eye. There have been a lot of deaths recently in the community, so it must have been the fault of the women. It has to be, since it is written in the Zohar, one of the weirdest books in the weird Jewish Kabalalah, "Women's attendance at funerals can cause disasters . . . ."

Alan Cranston and friends keep silent when this kind of news emanates from their favorite country. They would not keep so silent if some Arab mullahs had emulated the Stone Age antics of the rabbis of Migdal Ha'emek.

Jews everywhere oppose the sale and distribution of Adolf Hitler's Mein Kampf. In West Germany and Holland, it's a prison offense to be caught selling the book publicly. Now we hear that a Hebrew translation is in the works in Jerusalem. What they have made a crime for others, they turn into a research project for themselves.

In announcing the news, the New York Post came up with one of its more typical headlines: HITLER'S HATE BOOK NOW IN HEBREW. Actually, it won't be published for several months.

Every seven years, says the Bible, Jewish farmland must lie fallow and whatever is grown must be grown by non-Jews. Since strict adherence to what may once have been good agricultural advice would not be good for Israel's shaky economy, fundamentalist rabbis are urging their followers to "nominally" sell their land to Arabs for a year.

Ariel Sharon, the commerce minister (yes, he is still high up in the government, even after the Shatila and Sabra massacres), has an even wackier idea. He wants to export Israeli wheat to the U.S. and buy American grain. This would be kosher in the sense that the Israelis would only be eating what was grown by non-Jews, there being very few Jewish farmers in the U.S. and practically zero Jewish wheat farmers.

The Sharon plan would cost Israel millions of dollars in unnecessary transportation costs and other millions from losses incurred by selling and buying at disadvantageous rates. But the irreligious Sharon keeps promoting his idea, because it wins him political support from the fanatic religious parties whose members believe the Old Testament is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help them Yahweh!

Liberia. One way to get up in the political world in Liberia is to kill someone and use special parts of his body as charms. When David K. Clarke, a former Methodist minister, decided to run for county superintendent, he got together with five supporters and allegedly killed two young boys. The six men have now been charged with ritual murder. Five of the defendants come from Liberia's elite, the descendants of the American slaves who began to colonize the country as early as 1820.

Aside from being a Methodist minister, Mr. Clarke was the chairman of Liberia's ruling political party, a school principal and the local representative of the Liberian dictator, Samuel K. Doe.

A second defendant was a well-known businessman and judge. Half of the defendants were parishioners of an Episcopal church. The one who was lowest on the social scale was a butcher. He did most of the butchering.

The bodies of the two boys were terribly mutilated, but the press did not say which parts of the bodies were put to what use.

The relatively high social standing of all but the butcher indicates that bush Negroes are not the only blacks who go in for what Africans call juju (no pun intended). It also indicates that Christianity has difficulty penetrating black skin.

Zimbabwe. John Knight, the white former dean of Zimbabwe Cathedral, objected when a witch doctor was appointed principal of a church school. Then, after a mob attacked his cathedral, Knight dared to spread the word about the outrage. For these two reasonable acts, his life was threatened by a gaggle of blacks, and he and his family had to go into hiding. Finally they made it to England, having been allowed to take with them only £350 and a few household articles. Everything else the Reverend Knight had accumulated in the 51 of his 52 years he had spent in what was once flourishing Rhodesia, he had to leave behind in what is now the black political and economic sinkhole known as Zimbabwe.
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Fighting terrorists in and out of South Africa, white South Africans have rounded up large numbers of black children, some of whom are quite willing to have undertaken dangerous assignments without objection. Robert Mugabe's forces in Zimbabwe have recruited 50,000 children in their ranks, from the age of five up. In Namibia, the black guerrillas of SWAPO have the habit of kidnapping children from schools, brainwashing them and sending them back to blow up white installations and kill white officials and civilians.

A 13-year-old confessed how he had committed various mayhem and murders before he was caught. An 11-year-old black girl described how she and some youthful companions had wrecked cars and buses and burned a man to death after dousing him with petrol.

Such are the “children” Dan Rather weeps about.

Scores of similar cases are recounted in the booklet, Children of the Storm published by Lone Tree Publications, P.O. Box 1994, Halfway House, 1605 South Africa.

Australia. A subscriber writes. One can’t state categorically that the two major parties agreed not to mention immigration as an issue in the recent general election. The fact is they didn’t, although most Australians are strongly opposed to nonwhite им­migration.

Commentators admit there were some other important issues which were not actively raised by the parties in the lead-up to the election. One was the proposed identity card for all Australians, which precipitated the early election, four months ahead of schedule, after it was twice rejected in the Senate. As a non-issue in the election, it proved once again the politicians’ contempt for the public. Labour Prime Minister Bob Hawke called the early election because the opposition parties were in such disarray that he was sure he would win. He did, easily.

Argentina. When his leftist and Zionist propaganda became too much for the Argentine government (and Argentines in general), when his close friendship with the late David Graiver, the Jew who bankrolled Argentina’s left-wing terrorists, became widely known, Jacobo Timerman quit editing his daily newspaper in Buenos Aires and took off for Israel. While there, he fell out with Menahem Begin. Two vast Jewish egos are as mutually repellent as the north poles of two magnets.

Today Jacobo is back at his editor’s desk in the Argentine capital, gloating at the humiliation and punishment of his old enemies, the military, who had once jailed him and who, though he has no physical scars to prove it, allegedly tortured him. His book, The Longest War, has been translated into English and it contains a couple of items worth noting.

Timerman recounts that many years ago a friend of his filed an insanity suit against a Catholic priest who at the time was Argen­tina’s leading anti-Semite. His lawyer tried to convince the judge that anti-Semitic statements have nothing to do with politics or economics, but are expressions of sheer madness. The argument ran as follows: “If the accusations against Jews were not declared insane, any Argentine could begin killing Jews in the belief that they were destroying the country, corrupting its women and enslaving its men.”

Apparently the suit failed, because Tim­erman says nothing further about it. Just as the Soviets have a habit of putting dissi­dents (many of them Jews) into psychiatric clinics, Jews themselves would have no doubt like to send their critics to madhouses, as Timerman’s friend wanted to do in Argen­tina. In Canada and Western Europe, Jews have developed a more straightforward app­proach to silence their opponents. They have managed to make objective criticism of Jews illegal on the basis that it might bring about a new Holocaust. Another excuse for this underhanded assault on free speech is that in multiracial nations, such as most Western countries are becoming and such as the U.S. has already become, any manifestation of racism, especially anti-Semitism, tends to disturb the public order.

It is unnecessary to point out that if this see-no-evil, hear-no-evil and speak-no-evil policy is adopted in regard to Jews and other minorities is permanently installed in Western law books, then minority members may soon feel free to do anything they like without fear of exposure. Political and economic groups that cannot be criticized for their collective behavior will have a much easier time “making it.” They will be able to criti­cize Majority members on racial grounds, but Majority members who seek to return the favor will end up in jail.

Throughout his book, Timerman goes af­ter Begin hot and heavy. He recalls the time in 1947 that Menahem blew up a British officers’ club in what was then Palestine, killing 13 persons. When Begin went under­ground in Tel Aviv, the British surround­ed the city and prevented the entry of all supplies. They did, however, permit the inhabitants to have water and electricity. When he was prime minister of Israel and his army was besieging and his air force was blasting West Beirut, Begin would not allow the inhabitants to receive any sup­plies -- and he cut off their water and electricity.

In 1956, in Israel’s attack on the Sinai, four young Israeli commanders, two of them later becoming army chiefs of staff, accused the general in charge of their units with deliberately and wantonly sending young soldiers to their deaths. Although the officers pushed hard to have him court­martialed, Moshe Dayan got the comman­der, Ariel Sharon, off the hook and thereby saved his career.

Ponderable Quote

[Ariel] Sharon was a man who combined an outspoken racism and a total lack of human compassion with burning political ambition. He realized that his reckless actions on the west bank of the Suez Canal, whether or not they precipitated World War III, would certainly provide the Israeli press with crowd-pleasing images of the swashbuckling derring-do with which he planned someday to propel himself into the Israeli Prime Ministry. He had started successfully cultivating his ruthless, strong–man image many years earlier. One night in 1953 he had led his Unit 101 into the West Bank village of Qibya. His paratroopers shot all of the villagers who tried to escape from their houses, blocked up all of the doors, and then blew the houses and their remaining occupants to smithereens. International observers counted 60 bodies of men, women and children in the devastated village the next day, but with no one left alive in the village to report the missing, the full toll would never be known.

Einstein Over a Barrel

Finally! A totally sensible, totally convincing, totally coherent putdown of the saint of modern physics, whose glowing nimbus is polished daily by a math-physics priesthood that has no tolerance for critics! It's a book entitled Heretical Verities: Mathematical Themes in Physical Description (Classic Non-Fiction Library, Box 926, Urbana, IL 61801, $19 postpaid), authored by Thomas E. Phipps Jr., a Harvard and Naval Research physicist who could be an honored and distinguished member of the scientific establishment if he had not chosen to cast a critical eye on the quasireligiosity of his profession. Having done so, he was duly excommunicated.

Phipps is not only a crack physicist, but a crack writer to boot. His tome resounds with the wit, sting and sarcasm that would have earned him high praise from H.L. Mencken. Handling his prose with the same sure-footed ease he applies to his equations, Phipps is actually fun to read, once you get past the high-flying quantum mechanics and the intricate math he uses to disprove Einstein and his sycophantic gofers.

Although he lays out revolutionary new paths in science, Phipps is basically an experimentalist in the grand old Western tradition. Unlike most of his present-day colleagues, he fore­swears playing around with numbers and dabbling in the meta­physics of physics. His particular animus is those theories that seem purposely designed to be untenable. Like Faraday, Phipps swears he imagines which have some factual basis can be explained, as Phipps demonstrates, by more traditional, more logical and more intuitive methods. Einstein's wilder fancies, such as the Twin Paradox, are, in Phipps' view, off the wall.

It all adds up to Phipps' adversarial relationship with the 20th century's effusion of theory-mongering physicists who seem chained to their blackboards and note pads. Einstein's is the bull's-eye of his target, and he goes after the Special Theory of Relativity hammer and tongs. Those parts of the Great One's imaginings which have some factual basis can be explained, as Phipps demonstrates, by more traditional, more logical and more intuitive methods. Einstein's wilder fancies, such as the Twin Paradox, are, in Phipps' view, off the wall.

After laboriously explaining what is wrong with the Einsteina­canon, Phipps offers what he believes to be the right answers and the right approaches to many of the problems which the theoret­i­cal physicists and math wizards have either screwed up or ducked. He never mentions the word Jew, of course, but it is the Jewish influence on modern physics and mathematics that he is really attacking, whether he realizes it or not. Einstein has become as sacred and uncriticizable as the Holocaust. Yet while seriously questioning the divinity of the former, he makes one or two routine obeisances to the latter. Sadly, the heretic falls momentar­ily for the greater heresy.

Phipps does a great service, however, in never ceasing to remind us that Western science was glorious, when it was experi­mental. Newton, not Einstein, got us to the moon. Numbers games and esoteric musings about subatomic particles, instead of putting physics on firmer ground more often than not, steers it into the slough of fantasy.

To squeeze all the juice out of Heretical Verities, the reader needs a solid background in nuclear physics and higher math. But even without these props, he will know after thumbing through a chapter or two that the author has an extremely original mind. It is possible that Phipps is the man to rescue his sorely battered profession from the clutches of the obscurantists and restore it to the experimental hands-on track that has allowed the West, and the West alone, to crack the safe containing some of Mother Nature's most closely guarded secrets.

First the Cats, Then the Nordics

Instauration has mentioned several times the use of new tech­niques of fertilization for preserving endangered species, along with the thought that today the most endangered of all species is ourselves.

The National Zoo in Washington (DC) has successfully employed in vitro fertilization to bring into the world five rare tabby kittens, one of the feline breeds headed for extinction. Eggs were collected from the mother and fertilized with male tabby sperm in a laboratory, then inserted in the other tabby's womb. This method, which may soon be extended to implating tabby embryos in surrogate housecats, is necessary because in the case of exotic breeds of cats, it is becoming increasingly difficult to get them to breed in captivity. Caged, they are more likely to kill each other than mate.

Nordics are also having difficulty breeding. Although they are not yet in cages, some, including the many Majority activists now behind bars, must be already experiencing intimations of captivity as they continue to be burnt by the ongoing anti-Nordic cultural firestorm. Feelings of being culturally cooped up may have just as dampening an effect on human birthrates as zoos have on the proliferation of tabby cats.

Scores of "test-tube babies" have already been created in vitro (to be correct, the apparatus in which the fertilization is accomplished is not a test tube but a Petri dish) -- none, as far as we know, for the specific reason of saving the endangered Nordic race. Someday, before the last Nordic couple dies off, biotechnology should be put to work on this all-important task.

Surely, if we can save an exotic breed of cat, we should start thinking about saving the most exotic breed of Homo sapiens.

David Duke Seeks Bucks

David Duke hopes to finance his presidential campaign by individual contributions, since he obviously won't get any significant amount of PAC money. In his mailings, he states that any contribution up to $50 is tax deductible, $100 if a couple files a joint return. As a bonus, Duke promises anyone who contributes $20 or more a free copy of the Popular (condensed) Edition of The Dispossessed Majority. The address of the David Duke for President Committee is P.O. Box 65039, Washington, DC 20035.

Arab Governor

Instauration has devoted some space in previous issues to the independent-minded Evan Mecham of Arizona. The only other maverick governor in the land seems to be John Sununu of New Hampshire.

Ever since the UN went on record as stating that Zionism is a form of racism (it should have said "the most intensive form of racism"), Jewish organizations have been twisting the flabby arms of Western politicians to sign petitions to get the resolution rescinded. The latest outcry in this campaign is a "Zionism is not racism" proclamation that has been signed by Reagan, Bush, every member of Congress and every governor except New Hampshire's.

When the political heat was first put on Sununu, he refused to sign. When it became as hot as the interior of the sun, he still said no.

The governor's unique courage has little to do with ideology; it comes primarily from his original habitat. He is of Lebanese descent. To avoid accusations of anti-Semitism, he tells the press that, because he is the highest elected Arab-American official in the U.S., he is preserving his neutrality so he may someday be able
to play an important part in a Middle Eastern peace conference, if Israel ever gets around to permitting such a confab to take place.

The irony is -- irony always abounds when politicians are booted -- Sununu heads up the Bush for President campaign in his state. Bush, of course, is begging him to cool his politically dangerous even-handedness and sign the Jewish-inspired proclamation. This amounts to Sununu being asked to betray his heritage for the glory of the Republican Party.

Instauration will continue to focus an ironic eye on this situation.

Blonde Fever


 Ms. Markey declared that only 16 percent of American females were genuine blondes (other “experts” say only 5 percent after puberty). She admitted that the rest were fakes, including Gloria Steinem, who generally has it in for blondes and the race that produces them.

 Judy, after being an ersatz blonde, gave it up, then felt “dowdy,” “frumpy” and “humdrum.” So she went back to her hairdresser and “bought a sliver of gorgeous, a sliver of glamour and a sliver of goddess.”

 Judy Markey is noted for her all-out support of minority racism and her putdowns of any evidence of white pride or WASPism. Yet she spends a lot of time and money trying to look like the people for whom she has such little respect.

How to Socialize with the FBI

 David R. Dorr, who belongs to the Aryan Nations, was sent to jail for six years after pleading guilty to two charges of counterfeit ing. He claims the man who made the plates for him worked for the FBI. He also claims that his “onetime friend,” Robert Pires, who later “cooperated” with the FBI, was actually one of the “biggest people” involved in some bombings in Coeur d’Alene (ID), not too far from the Aryan Nations’ HQ.

 All of which proves once again that if you want to meet FBI agents on a social or professional basis, you needn’t join the FBI; join a right-wing activist group. If a considerable number of their members are not working for the FBI when you meet them, they will be by the time you are railroaded into jail.

 But if you don’t want to wait until you are entrapped and wish to go to jail immediately, then attend an Aryan Nations meeting. Martin Cox of Fullerton (CA) did just that at the Aryan Nations Congress (July 11-12). When he drove away from the meeting, he was arrested because he “looked suspicious.” Apprehended for driving without a valid license, a charge which turned out to be false, he was then held in jail for six days in lieu of $1,000 bond, without being allowed to contact an attorney for the first five of those days.

 “It was appalling,” said Hollis Anderson, a lawyer who finally got through to Cox. “This kid was not going to cause any trouble whatsoever. I’m convinced he was a political prisoner.”

Almost Half of Them Squealed

 Richard Kemp, one of the 22 members of The Order, was somewhat chagrined when he found at his trial that 10 of his comrades had become government witnesses. Given a 60-year sentence, Kemp, all 6’5” of him, now languishes in a federal maximum security prison at Lompoc (CA).

 Bill Soderquist was the member of The Order who first opened Kemp’s eyes to the race question. Bill Soderquist was one of the 10 who “turned” and testified against Kemp and the others. Bill Soderquist is not in jail at Lompoc or, as far as anyone knows, anywhere else.

Pacers Aced Out

 The standard spiel of those who honestly or dishonestly oppose political violence is that if you don’t like your government, don’t try to overthrow it by force. Haste thee to a soapbox and speak thy piece! Words not bullets, ballots not bombs, we are warned, are the preferred means of changing political systems, despite Jefferson’s recommendations that the “tree of liberty be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants.”

 It’s a convincing argument. But what happens if some groups are not allowed to speak and not allowed to organize a political movement? How then can anyone hear what these outcasts have to say, and not being able to hear, how can anyone vote sensibly on issues on which he is not allowed to be informed? What, then, are these forcibly silenced people to do? Give up and shut up? Violence can be used to silence them, but they are not supposed to use violence to protect their constitutional right to speak.

 To be more specific, what should the League of Pace Amendment Advocates do? They are a group committed to the passage of a 27th Amendment that would restrict U.S. citizenship to people of Northern and Western European descent and would subsidize the repatriation of all others, citizens and non-citizens, legal and illegal aliens, to their respective homelands. Though it may sound like a monumentally impractical proposition in view of the present-day political mindset, the Pace group is not proposing something that is prohibited. There’s nothing in the Constitution that forbids the advocacy of such an amendment.

 Nevertheless, when the Human Relations Council of Glendale (CA) invited the Pace people to a public debate in late June, a left-wing, minority rent-a-mob broke up the debate before it started by strongarm tactics and incessant howling and yowling. To emphasize their determination not to permit any verbal give and take on the proposed amendment, the largely Hispanic and Jewish canaille beat up a few of the open-minded individuals who had come to listen.

 Since the First Amendment seems to be inapplicable to the supporters of the 27th, it’s hard for the Pacers to believe they are living in a democracy. Nevertheless, they still insist they believe in free speech and still insist they want to play by the rules. But will those who make the rules let them? Or will the Pacers have to resort to undemocratic means to get their point of view across? They are now learning the sad lesson, which so many of us have already learned from bitter experience, that those who speak loudest about democracy are often those who make it impossible for democracy to work.

Two Great Meetings, One Great Book

 David Irving is coming to town again -- two towns, in fact -- Los Angeles on Oct. 17; Atlanta on Oct. 24. He will speak about his great new super-revisionist two-volume opus, Churchill’s War. Whoever reads it, be he a liberal, conservative or a steatopygic Hottentot, will never again look at Britain’s wartime prime minister with those misty eyes of divine adoration that the media have been assiduously -- and recklessly -- irrigating for the last 46 years. Churchill was no hero; in fact, he was 75% sham. But only Irving, of all modern historians, has the guts and knowledge to say so.

 Instaurationists can obtain the first volume of Irving’s immensely significant work by writing to the Historical Review Press - USA, P.O. Box 2010, Decatur, GA 30031. Price is $29.95, which includes postage and handling.

 The Anglo-European Fellowship is sponsoring the Irving meetings, which will also feature talks by the prominent writer, Grace Halsell (in Los Angeles only) and the prominent historian, Robert John (in Atlanta only). Speakers at both meetings will be, in addition to Irving, Eric Butler, head of the Australian League of Rights, Sam Dickson, noted Atlanta attorney and law-wise defender of persecuted Majority activists, and Michael Hoffman II,
video producer and newsletter publisher.

For information about these exciting oratorical and literary events, write Historical Review Press — USA at the above address. Or phone (Los Angeles) 714-677-8053 or (Atlanta) 404-377-7335.

Ethnic Switching

There ought to be a name for it — deceiving whites into believing that they need a product made primarily for nonwhites. Included in this deception is the subliminal message that all races are equal because they have the same medical problems.

In the above ad for head lice (from Ladies Home Journal, Sept. 1987), which only rarely inhabit blond heads, note the words “anyone can catch them.”

If any subscriber can invent a word or two to describe this new practice of using one race to “sell” products primarily designed for other races, please do. The best we could come up with is the title of this article.

Vineyard Gadfly

David Wayfield of Vineyard Haven (MA) has set aside a plot of ground for a Garden of Remembrance of Righteous Hebrews — a gesture that is most unappealing to many inhabitants of Martha’s Vineyard, a sea-breezy island to which members of the Zoo City intelligentsia repair in summer to cool their superheated brains.

Lately Wayfield, a full-time Majority gadfly, has been buzzing the Martha’s Vineyard Times, owned by the son of James Reston, the columnist of another Times, the Times, the Sulzberger Times of NYC. Having run two of his classified ads, the paper notified him that they were “political” and could no longer appear in the classified section. The ads are reproduced below for the information and delectation of our subscribers.

Unfortunately, these ads pulled in no replies, perhaps because no one in the liberal lair of Martha’s Vineyard would dare to do the things that would make him eligible for such rewards.

Since political ads cost more than classified ads and generally require more space, Wayfield has been hesitant to renew his advertising campaign and accept the Times’ ungenerous offer.

Meanwhile, the Vineyard gadfly stung again, when he attempted to give the local library a free subscription to Spotlight, the only mass-circulation weekly with an anti-Israel editorial policy. Librarian Mary Fuller promptly turned down the gift, which was motivated, Wayfield explained, by his desire to place among the library’s papers and periodicals one publication that would “thwart haters of free speech.” Fuller rationalized her rejection by claiming that no other library in Massachusetts subscribes to Spotlight.

Rebuffed in his campaign, rebuffed in his intended gift to the library, Wayfield wrote to the ACLU for help.

He was rebuffed.

One Courageous Voice

Is there a president of a U.S. business with a stiff enough spine to reject sales orders contingent on the company’s compliance with quotas and other affirmative action strictures? Indeed, there is such a president: Harold C. Palmer of Palmer Chemical and Equipment Inc., Palmer Village, Box 867, Douglasville, GA 30133.

Here in his own words is Palmer’s unique sales policy:

All orders carrying minority or racial clauses will be returned as unacceptable. My interest has always been in character, merit and quality. These characteristics a lot of government agencies wouldn’t know about, so I’m not having them dictate to me the manner in which I run my business.

Some Interesting Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>USSR</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doctors</td>
<td>896,000</td>
<td>361,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita alcohol consumption</td>
<td>3.3 liters</td>
<td>9 liters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV sets</td>
<td>85 million</td>
<td>143 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP</td>
<td>$734 billion</td>
<td>$3.8 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work force in industry</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work force in agriculture</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual movie attendance</td>
<td>4.1 billion</td>
<td>1.04 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books published (1980)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public libraries</td>
<td>1.6 million</td>
<td>387,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battle deaths (1816-1980)</td>
<td>9.7 million</td>
<td>664,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World ranking in abortion</td>
<td>first</td>
<td>ninth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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