Richard McCulloch's brilliant new study of Nordic esthetics
In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communiques will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

British historian A.J.P. Taylor suggests that the 1914-18 and 1939-45 wars be known collectively as The War of European Succession. He argues that Russia and the U.S. emerged as the only “victors” in these conflicts, the result of which was the end of Europe’s world supremacy. Mr. Hall’s piece, “Renaming the Wars” (Dec. 1986), considers these events separately. I guess it’s a matter of perspective.

Zip 920 (Dec. 1986) fails to understand that Instaurationists do indeed get together—every month through the pages of this magazine. In time, we also shall come together physically. He also fails to see that an esthetic statement contains a political message. “Black is beautiful” means one helluva lot more than mere aesthetics. Just ask Jesse Jackson.

Have you heard that in 1980 the Lutheran Church banned Martin Luther’s catechism as “too controversial”? Too controversial for whom? One wonders. In his catechism, Luther called usurers, “murderers and thieves.”

An important element is missing in the lamentations of female Instaurationists about their men. Far too many of us have received our marching orders from these same women to go out and bring home lots and lots of bacon. These ladies fail to see the connection or, better yet, don’t want to, since whining is their whole life.

I am conducting a one-man campaign against the use of the terms anti-Semitic and anti-Semitism, and for their replacement by the longer but more accurate expressions, anti-Jewish and anti-Jewish attitudes. The first pair are, of course, almost universal; but they are inaccurate and misleading. Jews are not the only Semites in the world. In the modern world, there are also Arabs; in ancient times, there were also Phoenicians, Carthaginians, Philitines, Moabites, Amorites and many other tribes. To be truly “anti-Semitic,” one would have to be hostile to all of these groups. It is better to “call the child by its right name” (das Kind beim rechten Namen nennen, as they say in German) and, if one is speaking of hostility towards Jews, to speak of anti-Jewish positions and attitudes.

From this point of view, the terms anti-Semitic and anti-Semitism are ethnic slurs, in that they imply that the Jews are the only Semites of any importance. I had long thought that these two expressions had originated as euphemisms, used by non-Jews to spare the feelings of Jews. According to Dennis Klein, however, in his Jewish Origins of the Psycho-Analytic Movement (University of Chicago Press, 1985), it was the Viennese Jews of the late 19th century who started appropriating the term Semite for themselves, and began applying the expression Austrian and German fellow citizens who were not Jews. It is time for us to try to rectify this century-old misuse of the term Semite, and when we are speaking of Jews and things Jewish, to call them by their true names.

I hope Marv was one of Ivan Boesky’s investors.

All this sex analyzing stifles everyone. Both sexes should shut up, live naturally and wisely. “The soul that lives must have hours of silence and repose.” A quiet woman; what a blessing it would be to have her (me) back in the home and she (I) will be respected again; and men will be men again.


We are doing great here. So many active groups within groups, meeting under various designations. I am rather surprised this strategy is not used in the U.S., though you may yet be forced to adopt it. The idea of one great umbrella organization is folly. So easy for them to destroy. Our great new young rightist Premier Bill Vander Zalm of British Columbia is supporting the whites of South Africa all the way. He handles the media flaw beautifully. He is the only high elected official in this country worthy of the word “Honorabile” before his name. He is extremely popular—Mr. Fantastic, they are calling the man. Incidentally, he is a self-made millionaire.

The Western Canada Concept Party recently held meetings in Winnipeg and Edmonton. Doug Christie addressed both and they were packed. They signed up thousands. The millions will come. Doug is incredible. Never stops. Thank God, he’s a big, tough hombre! By steadily building our own strength—always within the law—we are whipping ‘em.

Canadian subscriber

Have you heard that in 1980 the Lutheran Church banned Martin Luther’s catechism as “too controversial”? Too controversial for whom? One wonders. In his catechism, Luther called usurers, “murderers and thieves.”

An important element is missing in the laments of female Instaurationists about their men. Far too many of us have received our marching orders from these same women to go out and bring home lots and lots of bacon. These ladies fail to see the connection or, better yet, don’t want to, since whining is their whole life.

Last Sunday I was listening to a black radio station here in Chicago. The announcer was taking calls about South Africa. Suddenly he breaks in and starts to tell his listeners how clever and inventive blacks are as a race. He said many household items in daily use were invented by blacks—the dustpan, the ironing board and the light bulb!
WWIII is overdue. Fifty thousand nuclear warheads will totally destroy life in the northern hemisphere, leaving the southern hemisphere intact. Both the white and Jewish populations will be considerably reduced. Jews claim worldwide to be 15 million out of a current 5 billion, making them 0.3% of the earth's population. In the southern hemisphere they comprise only 754,000 out of a billion, according to the Jewish Directory. This would leave them with a mere 0.075% of the world's population or about one-fourth of their current percentage after a nuclear war.

Man's evolution has finally come full circle. From a brutal, naked Homo erectus to -- 500,000 years later -- Homo imbecilus, the American sports fan. Remember what Met boosters did to Shea Stadium after the playoffs? Even Homo erectus, beast though he was, wouldn't tear up clumps of grass or demolish parts of his cave to celebrate a successful hunt.

Here in Montana our largest minority is several tribes of the noble Amerindians. The Crow tribe (7,000), with the largest and richest reservation, is the most adept at conning palefaces. Every negative cliche and stereotype you have heard about the Indians are true. They neither sow nor reap. They own thousands of acres of prime range, farmland, timber and coal, yet get food stamps, welfare, Aid to Dependent Children, free medical care, housing, education (this latter little used). Last year a white rancher neighbor was assaulted and nearly killed by Crow-Cheyenne punks. Later his house was burned. Guess who was arrested and charged? Right. Thelander. The Indian "youths" are still free and unbooked. My forebears (Volga German-German) settled here pre-WW1 on land purchased from Indians. They cleared and created productive farms where the Redskins created nothing but more government wards. The Indians have no interest in self-help or self-discipline. They are a bunch of pampered children, thanks to government policy.

I'm slowly going native. There's an old saying that the second your plane touches down in California, your IQ drops 10 points. Make it 20 in my case.

Occasionally Instauration refers to Ted Kennedy as Fat Face. My 92-year-old uncle has a more appropriate name for him. He calls him Jaws.

I have read Wilmot Robertson's The Dispossessed Majority and keep referring back to it like the Bible. I fear for my children and grandchildren's future in this country, especially now that our large cities have been made uninhabitable by the presence of the Negroes. We are in the crisis stage. I cannot understand how our government in Washington can be so indifferent to the destruction of our civilization.

I personally believe we have evolved only in materialism and that in the sphere of morality-character-guts, we have only gone one way -- backwards. Most of our people have only one goal in life and that is tangible, bucks-in-the-pocket success. They are blind to all the important things in life.

Someone or some group back at the dawn of history understood what it took to mold people into civilization, which has no other meaning than bringing about the specifically race-related groups. Any other meaning such as multiracial or economic is bastardization of the true meaning and concept of an organized society. The legends and old religions that have been handed down even to our day attest to the fact that they were well conceived; even most of our laws, governments and judicial systems are plainly descended from the ancient foundations. People intelligent enough to create civilization and the culture to sustain and further it had to be first-degree geniuses, because many can build on an original idea, but very few can create one. It's quite possible that in the past some of the ideas that now dominate the modern world occurred to these great thinkers and were completely rejected after they had thought them through.

I firmly believe that most modern inventions and pushbutton technology have worked only to the detriment of our people. A far-seeing statesman who understood what is necessary to produce strong, moral and independent men and women would never have allowed much of this modern technology to take shape, for without hard physical work people become weak. Now with everything pushbutton, all is in a state of decadence and confusion. If it was not such an easy, worthless modern world, things would not be so chaotic. Women cannot do the hard physical work of men, which pushbutton technology now allows them to do. Women would still be in the home looking up to their breadwinners, and men would still have pride knowing they were the sole providers.

Even the advent of the gasoline engine, though heralded as the greatest invention of man, has had undreamed-of repercussions. How many small towns, once lively, bustling local centers of culture and commerce, are now totally defunct, with most of their people traveling to larger cities for amusement and business? Before the gasoline engine it was impossible for farmland to be farmed by just a few men with huge modern equipment. So without the gasoline engine there would have always been rural folk. With all the modern inventions, people are losing their old jobs and ways and are caught in the vortex of the big metro areas, where they are forced to live in an artificial environment and be locked in their place by having to train and specialize in one job and be dependent on others for everything else. We are all spoiled by too much materialism and too easy a life, and all we want is more of the same poison.

They are not called "ghetto blasters" anymore; they are "multiple personal recreational devices." I saw this in an expensive catalog purveying the damn things.

I believe Europe should be allowed to break up into its ethno-linguistic constituent parts, each autonomous and relinked not to the previously dominant Paris, Madrid or London, but directly to a federal Europe. These elements would cleanse themselves of non-European aliens. Central governments located in Belgrade or London seem to like mud people, in contrast to genuine homes of the heart like Crete or Brittany. Bretons consider the French not friends but neighbors/cousins. Sengalese would be a different matter. North America should not consist of 60 provinces and states, but of about 16, exclusive of the South's black belt and the lower Southwest's Mexican belt. These 15 or so North American provinces of Northern European population should be re-linked by confederate ties weaker than the ones in Canada, and not much stronger than the old Confederacies of 1783 and 1861, with greater provincial than federal authority in immigration and citizenship and practically no power resting in the Supreme Court concerning intraprovincial matters. Perhaps a supreme court consisting merely of the Chief judge of each state/province, as the late Senator Everett Dirksen once urged. We might thereby be able to cleanse and reclaim this most beautiful of continents. A pleasant fantasy, a pipe dream to be kept on a far back burner pending some abrupt upheaval that could reawaken the old American ability to dream and build on a titanic canvas. We Instaurationists and others of like mind are probably all a little bit apocalyptic, because it would probably take a huge racial upheaval -- yes, with terrible suffering that we cannot look forward to with satisfaction -- before our folk regains not only the collective will to live, but the realization that we even collectively exist.

We are down here off Colombia, supporting the U.S. Coast Guard and Navy ships in an attempted surprise drug blockade. The surprise was a failure, apparently because we were forced to include a few token or symbolic Colombian and Venezuelan military or police boats. However, it certainly feels good to be on the side of the Good Guys, after my years of being a sea-going errand boy for Israel.

On the high seas

Some Instaurationists are priceless. Especially that warrior who obliquely warns that if the enemy "forces us underground," we're just liable to really organize -- and then watch out! Brother, a lot of you Instaurationists are now underground,ducking for cover. You need to be forced into open action with the rest of us embattled activists. Your own timidity is the problem. Not an inability to organize. A clever anonymous letter to Instauration is one thing. A signed letter to your community paper is quite another.

Canadian subscriber

The best thing you're running nowadays is John Nobull's More Talk. Absolutely delicious, as Cynthia would say. They'll never show it in the West End, but I'm content just to read it, awash in Nordinness.

English subscriber
I would like to add to the Talking Numbers item (Oct. 1986) regarding estimates of the number of Frenchmen killed by other Frenchmen in the 1944 Left-Wing and Communist purges during and after the fall of Vichy France by quoting from Noam Chomsky's and Edward Herman's remarks in Robert Aron's France Reborn, the History of the Liberation (Scribner's, 1964):

The aftermath of World War II was not linked to pleasures of military occupation — pillage, rape and murder — judicial murder, "intellectual diversion," years of forced labor, occasional killings of POWs in prison camps, massive purges and other such humane practices for the defeated; ... it also included direct retribution against collaborators with the Nazis on a scale that is not appreciated in the West, though it has been well documented. French historian of the Resistance, Robert Aron, is one of those who has honestly faced the grim task of determining the facts. He cites police and other reports of murderous reprisals up to "ten months after the liberation of practically the whole country," including collective massacres discovered many months afterward when mass graves were located. Many of the facts are unknown because "the families of the victims had often been terrorized and prevented to conceal their misfortunes rather than go to the authorities." Aron cites journalists' figures of 50,000 killed, but notes, correctly, that such estimates must be disregarded as "figures adopted lightly in a climate of excitement by which armies in a campaign or frustrated civilian populations crystallize their emotions." He also cites the study of Pleyber-Grandejean (one of the "victims of the liberation") "who made an effort to give an objective account of a number of atrocities in Écrits de Paris. The facts he gives are for the most part exact, but he exaggerates the conclusions he draws from them." Pleyber-Grandejean estimated the number massacred at seven million — no doubt an exaggeration.

According to Chomsky and Herman, Aron concluded that the number killed in summary executions just before or after "liberation" was, at a minimum, between 30,000 and 40,000. See After the Cataclysm: Postwar Indo-China and the Reconstruction of Imperial Ideology, South End Press, Box 68, Astor Station, Boston, MA 02123, 1979, pp. 38.

I applaud Zip 101 (January) for seeking new ways to oppose our oppressors, but he should be aware that King-Gandhi passive resistance is not practical for whites in Occupied America. In these days such tactics are totally media-oriented. Martin Luther King would today be just another aging Negro preacher in Atlanta if it had not been for the media making him a "superstar," before and even more so after his death. We simply cannot count on this favorably publicity, and how can the people whose psyches we're aiming at be affected if they never even know of our existence? We will never have a U.S. President calling one of our people in jail, or pity- and guilt-evoking TV pictures of minority police officers joyously bashing in our heads. Injun Dan will simply say -- with no film footage -- "Another group of Nazis was arrested today after terrorizing Congressman Schlorno Steinwitz by demanding that he listen to their hate-filled claims of discrimination," and then shake his head and smile in disbelief at the antics of these uppity honkies. That is, if he says anything at all about it.

Le Pen is obviously returning to the old alliance of the 1956 Suez War, when Israel was hitting Arabs with the intense cooperation of French and British troops (and the French Dimona atomic plant as a gift).
Hockey is not a "natural" game. It demands the use of tools - skates and sticks. Players are disciplined from early childhood to use these tools with consummate skill, reflexive action tempered by training. Mental, as much as physical, toughness is developed over the years. Extended concentration is vital on a fast-shifting geometric plane. Hockey as an international sport is, at its highest levels, played only by whites. The German-Canadian forward Wayne "The Great" Gretzky, while now slowing up, still leads the National Hockey League. In his prime he dominated the game as no other athlete in history has dominated his particular field. His record has been compared to a major tempering by training. Mental, as much as physical, toughness is developed over the years. Extended concentration is vital on a fast-shifting geometric plane. Hockey as an international sport is, at its highest levels, played only by whites. The German-Canadian forward Wayne "The Great" Gretzky, while now slowing up, still leads the National Hockey League. In his prime he dominated the game as no other athlete in history has dominated his particular field. His record has been compared to a major

The most modest Albertan is also a wholesome model for every sports-minded white kid to emulate. A quiet man of average size, he is the opposite of that mouthy black boxer who, to avoid sanctions there will be instant peace because the West cannot survive without our minerals. (This is certainly true of platinum, which only accounts for 5% of South Africa's income, and which the West cannot do without, and there are vast stores of it only here. But the government is too craven to take counter-action.) Irving also said that South African blacks were living "better than anywhere else," saying he had seen black people in America and Britain living in conditions "my mother would not even keep her chickens in." Certainly South Africa is a welfare state for blacks compared with anywhere else in Africa, which is why they are all struggling to get into it. But the Western suckers must not be told a word of this.

South African subscriber

When, oh when, will our media masters tell us the reason why hostages are being taken in the Middle East?

The favorable impressions of Boston perceived by Zip 201 (Nov. 1986) serve to illustrate how a frog can be boiled slowly to death without bothering to move. The writer had become so conditioned to the "normalcy" of our Chocolate Capital that Boston was like a breath of fresh air! I wonder what part of Boston Zip 201 visited. He certainly didn't ride on our infamous "mass transit" Green Line. There, any self-respecting white feels only revulsion.

I have noticed an apparent increase in blonds among Boston's white population in recent years. Some phenomenon is operating but I'm not sure what. It is interesting to observe the physical and racial qualities of people in shopping malls in Boston suburbs. I classify them from 1 to 10, counting whites only. I would say that about 70 out of 100 fall in the marginal 4 to 5 category; 20 are 6 to 7's; 4 or 5 are 8's, and only very rarely do I see an apparent 9. About 5 are total washouts in the 1 to 3 range. I have yet to spy a godlike 10! Possibly some of these 8s and 9s, if subjected to physical, mental and genetic evaluation, would prove to be less desirable than their outward appearance suggests.

Historically the accession to power by any one group is accompanied by the ritual humiliation of its predecessor. This is evident in the U.S. by the indignities heaped on the white race. We may note the virulence underlying the technique of using WASPs to demean and ridicule the traditional values of whites. The dehumanization of the white is matched only by the saccharine glorification of everything Jewish. Those familiar with the Soviet establishment's internal propaganda can testify to the obnoxious and tasteless level of Russian self-glorification agitprop. In both instances, U.S. and USSR, the concept of the "natural rulers" is ever present, as well as demonstrations of utter submission by the underlings. Objectively, if the ceaseless barrage of Jewish self-praise nauseates the instaurationist, he will at least be amused or amused by the Russian bilge, but he can hardly avoid recognizing its basic similarity in principle.

In the West the Jews envision a population composed of a mixture of whites, blacks and yellows into a racially unrecognizable type as insurance for their domination. The Russians of the Kremlin are feverishly creating "Soviet citizens" out of the 54 recognized ethnic groups under their rule. The Russian commissar mercifully excludes the blacks, which reflects on the wisdom of their immigration policy, but little else. They are engaged in creating a monolithic mass which can sustain casualties without fragmenting in the anticipated conflicts of the future. This mass will be a mestizo type consisting of white and yellow components, will speak Russian, strum the balalaika, and dance the kazachok. There does not appear to be a conscious effort on behalf of the white race in Soviet policy. But the Kremlin appears fascinated by numbers.

I am afraid that Satcom Sam is just as gullible as all those naive folk who believe that the Belsen bulldozers "prove" the existence of "gas chambers." Contrary to his assertion that Harvest of Despair contained "no fake film clips," in fact it was all faked! The Soviets simply did not allow movie cameras into the Ukraine during the forced famine. Consequently, all the moving footage in the program was of the earlier food shortage period of 1921-22 -- which the Soviets acknowledged! And the scene toward the end of "Ukrainian nationalists being shot by Soviet firing squads" was in actual fact Germans being executed by Americans. There was a big split in the Ukrainian community over this sleight of hand. I am surprised that Satcom Sam would side with the fakers.

As Instauration (Dec. 1986) pointed out, the German-American Information and Education Association is a sign of promise. That legal threats and uninvestigated firebomb episodes have been employed against it is indicative of the fear with which it is viewed by the power structure. The GAlEA has a sharp sword -- truth -- and truth is still easier to sell than lies, despite the distortions visited on the West for so many decades. There are tens of millions of German descendants in this country and their muscle has not begun to be flexed. But Barbarossa is stirring in his sleep.
Much like whales, bald eagles and many other endangered species, young Instaurationists have to range far and wide to find an acceptable mate. Regrettably in many of our best youthful specimens of either sex are delaying and even forgoing marriage and child-rearing simply because they can’t locate that special person.

In the interests of eugenics and preserving Western civilization, not to mention the great individual benefits to be derived therefrom, I propose Instauration inaugurate a new department in which, for a nominal fee, a personal advertisement could be placed. Almost every conceivable group and subgroup (military personnel, Jews, fundamentalists, homosexuals) have such outlets.

If a single child is brought into the darkening world through this means, or even one happy union is made, then I feel the department would have such outlets. I, on the other hand, would be in favor of anything that encourages white women, especially smart white women, to reproduce. For example, we ought to provide high quality and completely free child care for working mothers. “Conservatives” won’t go for this, but if it resulted in a few of today’s white, college-educated “career” women having another child or two it would be well worth it. In the meantime, let’s congratulate 967 for caring enough about his race and being willing to spend some money to help it survive. Whatever else 967 might be, he is apparently a bright fellow and something of an independent thinker. Perhaps one or more of his progeny might inherit the same traits. Zip 967 might even, by chance, produce someone who will lead us to the type of society where the 327s and 229s of this world can raise their children under the ideal conditions they are seeking.

Richard Swartzbaugh (Safety Valve, November) makes a good point in favor of eugenics over emotionalism. As I see it, the battle is primarily spiritual. But whether it is spiritual, psychological or a shooting war -- overabundance of emotion does not make us stronger, better, nor more effective. It is merely counterproductive and self-destructive.

While appearing on Phil Donahue’s show to plug his book, David Eisenhower denied his grandfather and Kay Summersby (Ike’s “Irish driver”) were romantically involved during WWII. That is not the point. British intelligence probably arranged for Kay to come aboard his personal staff. Why Washington allowed a foreigner in that position is beyond comprehension. Rank Has Its Privileges (RHP), I presume. I doubt Westmoreland in Saigon was allowed a pretty Australian driver.

It is becoming ever clearer that America is losing its independence as our leadership struggles under a yoke of Jewish clandestine operations, media pressure, PACs and pressure groups which demand Montenghau & Co. lorrying FDR to get America into WWII. With the increasing number of Jewish millionaires in power, it is only a question of time until American boys will be fighting in the Mideast to do the Zionists’ dirty work there, while ever more billions will be extracted from the American taxpayers. H.L. Mencken’s term, “boobus Americanus,” is more appropriate than ever, for the average American seems to have little grasp of how his mind and dollars are being controlled by the Chosen.

When I was a boy I saw Hitler in Vienna during the Anschluss, and when I waved to him he waved back, unless it was to the thousand people who were surrounding me. From Instauration I learned that the author of the Lives of a Bengal Lancer was there too, and that he, Yeats Brown, I think, promptly became a Nazi after he got back to England and saw in the press nothing but tales of Jewish suffering and no mention whatever of Austrian joy. It was called “The Rape of Austria” by the English rodent press, but at least one newspaper had the uncommon courage to comment that if that was a rape, there had never been a more willing victim. After all, Hitler himself was a local boy, and the Austrians hated their Jewish oppressors; a pretty girl in Vienna couldn’t get a job without the written permission of both, yours truly a Christian American, promptly became a Nazi after he got back to England and saw in the press nothing but tales of Jewish suffering and no mention whatever of Austrian joy. It was called “The Rape of Austria” by the English rodent press, but at least one newspaper had the uncommon courage to comment that if that was a rape, there had never been a more willing victim. After all, Hitler himself was a local boy, and the Austrians hated their Jewish oppressors; a pretty girl in Vienna couldn’t get a job unless she submitted to her iguana. I remember standing in the streets of Vienna and shaking hands with the German motor-borne SS troops who were driving through the city, with the Austrians shouting out, “Hail our German brothers!” At that time I was holding a beautiful little German-American boy in my arms, dressed in naval uniform with ribbons on his cap, who was the son of an old German Army sergeant-major who had built up a big business in Chicago and was visiting his old relations. He stood to attention while the German troops were rolling past and he obviously had difficulty in controlling his emotions. He had no objection to an Englishman holding his beloved son, mainly I think because the son would howl his head off if I left him. I might add that I saw, or heard, a German regiment in Vienna, in the Burg itself, singing, in English, “It’s a long, long way to Tipperary.” To me it seemed like heaven, with our race finally coming into its own, so sentimentally I circumscribed. Circumspice.

Religion has most certainly become secularized. However, Instauration appears to view Christianity within the context of religious denominations which fly under its flag. Denominations (or religious organizations) are man-made; hence are subject to becoming secularized. Christianity, on the other hand, is Christ-made and is of a spiritual nature. Therefore, Christianity per se cannot become secularized. Denominations result when a person or a group attempts to “shore-up” Christianity by placing building blocks around it when there is only one valid building block and that is Jesus Christ. Christianity is independent of denominations and has, therefore, never been properly represented by any denomination. Christianity has existed since Jesus Christ independent of Catholicism or any other organization.

Occasionally I have been a critic of Mr. Bilderberger over the last few years, but I must say that news of his departure from the magazine has brought to me a bit of sadness. Cholly is a classic, and I shall miss him and Sutter greatly.
AN RATHER MUTTERED the word “lynch” to describe what happened in that largely Italian enclave on the south shore of Long Guyland. He was expanding on Mayor Koch's totally irresponsible response to the anti-Negro stalwarts of Howard Beach, whose act he compared to “lynching parties that existed in the Deep South.” The media as a whole escalated the event to the realm of a Hitlerian final solution. But what really happened?

What happened is that four Negroes were driving near Howard Beach toward midnight on Dec. 23, when their car broke down and three of them went to look for help, ending up downing some pizzas at a local pizzeria, while the fourth member of the party, Curtis Silvester, fell asleep in the car and was still asleep when he was awakened the following noon by a tow truck.

Some whites spotted the three blacks eating their fill. When they emerged, they were set upon and beaten with clubs, sticks, bats and even a tree limb, which induced them to use the full measure of their congenital sprinting ability to run for safety. Two of them, Michael Griffiths, a 23-year-old immigrant from Trinidad, and his stepfather, Cedric Sandiford, 36, born in Guyana, dove through a hole in a fence along a highway. Both had been beaten. The third black, Timothy Grimes, 18, escaped unscathed by heading in a different direction.

As Griffiths was running along the highway, a car driven by Dominick Blum ran into him, tossing him up against the windscreen and killing him. Blum left the scene of the accident, but being a court reporter and having a policeman for a father, reported an hour later to police headquarters and confessed. (Senator Pat Face took eight hours before he confessed.)

Sandiford finally made it home, not so much the worse for wear that he couldn’t hold a press conference a few days later with only a slight swelling over one eye being noticeable. The same day, Timothy Grimes was arrested for stabbing his live-in girlfriend, Cheryl Sandiford, who happened to be Cedric’s niece.

Two of the three Negroes involved had police records. A toy pistol and a stolen “beeper” were found near the body of Griffiths. Both Sandiford and Grimes, on the advice of their black lawyers, refused to testify against their white assailants, on the ground that Blum was a member of the whites’ gang and was being protected because of his police connections. The blacks had a point, because although he had committed a crime, Blum was not charged and was allowed to go free. (Blum is a pretty powerful name in Zoo City.)

Charges of second-degree murder were preferred against the three whites, all teenagers, but later thrown out because of the two Negroes’ reluctance to testify, and reduced to “reckless endangerment.” After the blacks decided to talk, 12 white kids were arrested, chained together and marched off to jail.

While the media raved on and on about the “racial attack,” it was discovered that one of the white teenagers, Jon Lester, had a Negro girlfriend, who gave him extremely good character references. The fact is, the incident could just as logically be blamed on the desire of the whites to beat up potential robbers as to beat up blacks. There had been so many black robberies in Howard Beach over the years that the community had to hire a private security patrol.

No matter what it was, Howard Beach was not a lynching. It was an intrusion, perhaps deliberate, perhaps by unlucky chance, of unwelcome and suspiciously acting blacks into a white community that had learned from experience that the appearance of blacks on its turf often means trouble, especially that kind of trouble known as theft.

The inciting way Howard Beach was “reported” in the media proved once and for all that the chief fomenters of racial violence in this country are TV anchormen and reporters. Someday these overpaid professional fearmongers and prevaricators may drive whites, out of sheer frustration, to do what they have not yet done, but which they have been accused of doing for the last 20 to 30 years -- that is, to rise up in their wrath and show the world that two can play at racial violence and that on the day Dan Rather reports that whites have initiated some mass racial violence, he’ll finally be telling the truth.

Howard Beach:
No Apologies

Spare me the elegies, the piled lies of priest and pol.

Shrug off their rounded squares where running dogs jump through the hoops
and tell me why, if I cannot walk a street in Watts,
or D.C., Harlem, Detroit, Newark,
and live to tell the tale
should they in this long-running war emerged from Howard Beach unskinned?

Thank God, I say, that white folk’s blood can run so hot to melt the coin
of this and every other realm
that would to mongrel slaves reduce
the issue of the loins of gods
who gave the law with sword in hand!

Are we mere flaccid clerks whose shapes are lumps to fill the schools and banks
with briefcase eyes and smiling lies,
a flight from pain and sacrifice,
our fear like rodents in our souls?
We shield our eyes from sights of war
and rarely hear the laughing scorn
dropped in the wake of panicked mice.

We know though in our straightened spines
the skirmish off Jamaica Bay,
that tribal hunt by rowdy boys
who had not yet been beaten down
to slouch and crawl as office serfs,
will make some plunderer of the streets
pause for a trice, before unsheathing
gun and knife, to wonder if
the spirit of those New York men
runs in us all, if Howard Beach
has killed the mice that eat our heart.

V.O.
The third of three articles on the West’s tragedy of errors

THE FINAL MISTAKES

The chain of errors which has brought the world into its present sorry state began in the early 20th century. Britain reversed its long-standing foreign policy and shifted to an active alliance with France. Further grievous errors committed after “The Kaiser’s War” brought about a second bloodbath, often termed “Hitler’s War.” Then came three final mistakes in the middle of the century, whose effect is sure to last for centuries to come. The seeds of these errors were sown during and immediately after the second of these global conflicts, although their full effect has only become evident in recent decades.

Soviet Expansion and Domination, still active today, was due originally to the pro-Communist policy of Franklin D. Roosevelt and his government. U.S. recognition of the Soviet Union opened the door, throughout the Americas, for the penetration of Communist espionage and propaganda. But the fatal errors were committed at Tehran (1943) and Yalta (1945). At these conferences, Roosevelt—already aged, ailing and by all accounts not wholly componsens—allowed himself and the Western Allies to be royally duped by the canny, proletarian Stalin. As a result, all of Eastern Europe was brought under Soviet domination by the establishment of Communist “peoples’ republics” (satellite states of Russia). Czechoslovakia was taken over in 1948. The Hungarian revolt against Soviet military occupation was crushed in 1956.

Since then, Soviet machinations against the non-Soviet world have been carried out with virtual impunity—both directly from Russian embassies and indirectly from satellite countries such as Bulgaria, Cuba, Nicaragua, Libya and Angola. The United Nations was at first represented, in the late 1940s and 1950s, as an organization devoted to world peace. As such, it gained the enthusiastic support of naive, idealistic and gullible Westerners. In the 1960s and thereafter, however, its actions could no longer conceal one of the chief purposes for which it was founded, namely to serve as an instrument of furthering Soviet interests.

Had resistance to Communist aggression been left in the hands of the post-1945 European governments, all of Europe and most of the world might well have succumbed to communism. It was only a determined stand on the part of post-Rooseveltian America that made partial resistance possible (Korea in 1950-52, the Cuban crisis in 1962). In the American involvement in Vietnam in the late 1960s, however, Soviet-inspired propaganda among American lovers of “peace at any price” succeeded in spreading disaffection among its youthful and not-so-youthful dupes. U.S. intervention against the imposition of Hanoi’s tyranny was falsely branded “imperialist aggression” and put on trial by a fake tribunal held in Stockholm in 1970 under the sponsorship of the senile Bertrand Russell. In this way the “peaceniks” were able, by calumniating their country’s defenders, to snatch defeat from the jaws of victory. Further American opposition to Communist expansion was then rendered immensely more difficult, even in clear-cut cases like that of Nicaragua.

Jewish Hegemony over the “free world” was often alleged to exist in the 1920s and 1930s, especially by Nazis. But it was not until Hitler’s War that Jewish power, always considerable in the economic sphere and in revolutionary Russia, really began to wield an almost irresistible influence in the political and military affairs of Britain and the United States. The notorious Nuremberg Trials of 1945-46 were primarily a Jewish vendetta. Although grave miscarriages of justice, these kangaroo courts affected only a few hundred people. Far more serious was the achievement of Zionist aims in 1948, with the establishment of the state of Israel, which by the mid-1980s posed a threat to the peace of the world second only to that of Soviet Russia.

It had been an outspoken aim of Orthodox Jewry ever since A.D. 70 to return at some time to Palestine, which Jews were taught to regard as their exclusive, God-given possession. This longing for Jerusalem found political expression in the Zionist movement, founded by Theodor Herzl in 1896. The Balfour Declaration of 1917, aimed (as is universally recognized) at rallying Jewish support (especially financial) to the Allied cause, gave the first material impetus to Zionism. Jewish immigration to Palestine increased under the British mandate in the 1920s and 1930s to the point where it was justifiably regarded by the Arab inhabitants of that region as a mortal threat to their culture and nationality. British efforts to maintain a fair balance between the two sides resulted only in increased anti-British feelings among both Jews and Arabs.

Widespread sympathy for the Jewish victims of Nazi persecution favored the establishment of a new Jewish state, which became a fait accompli, thanks largely to the work of Jewish terrorists in 1947-48. Historical or ethical justification for the state of Israel was practically non-existent, since the Arab-speaking inhabitants of Palestine were the true descendants of the original Canaanites and their ancestors had been in continuous possession of the territory since ancient times. The Jewish immigrants, on the other hand, were very largely unrelated, genetically, to the Jews expelled by Rome. In any case, they did not constitute a race, a nation, or even a culturally unified group. Their only claim to rule in Palestine could be summed up in an implicit slogan, Glaube und Boden (religious belief and land), an ironic parallel to the Nazi shibboleth, Blut und Boden (blood and land).

Once established in Palestine, the state of Israel behaved, in both domestic and international relations, like a law unto itself, with no heed for the rights of anyone except

* See “The Initial Mistakes” and “The Intermediate Mistakes” in the two previous issues of Instauration.
The local Arab population was treated as second-class citizens and reduced to the status of present-day helots. The Israeli government’s disregard for international law was shown most clearly in 1961-62, in the illegal kidnapping of Adolf Eichmann from Argentina and the subsequent star chamber trial ending in his execution. This legal travesty, with all its attendant publicity, was simply one of numerous Zionist ploys inside and outside Palestine to keep alive the memory of Nazi persecution and to justify claims for huge amounts of reparations from West Germany, as well as to elicit continued sympathy and financial aid from the West.

Opposition to the state of Israel grew feverishly throughout the Arab and Moslem world. In view of the continued and unshakable support for Zionism in non-Islamic countries, many Palestinian Arabs saw no possible relief in nonviolence. Various violence-prone groups, such as the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), appeared on the Middle Eastern scene. The activities of this and similar groups closely paralleled those of the (now conveniently forgotten) terrorism of Zionist mobs like the Irgun Zwai Leumi and the Stern Gang in the 1940s. To a great extent, however, the hijacking of airliners, bomb attacks on embassies and airports and frequent assassinations were counterproductive, giving rise to demands for anti-terrorist measures rather than arousing sympathy for the oppressed Palestinians.

At present, Jewish dominance over Western opinion on any matter involving Zionism, the state of Israel or the history of Germany is well-nigh absolute. Few public figures in the West dare to criticize any Jew or anything Jewish, or even to comment on doubts that have been raised concerning the accuracy of Jewish assertions about the so-called Holocaust, without encountering hostility, vilification and in some instances jail sentences and homicide. Freedom of speech means nothing to the apologists of Zionism, as the present writer knows from first-hand experience. At the same time, the state of Israel has come to be the tail that wags the dog, as it were, in determining both domestic and foreign policy in its three chief satellites -- West Germany, Canada and the United States. American military intervention in the Levant, already tried on an insufficient scale to bring about anything but defeat and humiliation, looms nearer and nearer as a result of Israeli demands for support against Syria, Libya and other Arab nations. A reasonable (but at present unthinkable) solution to U.S. Middle Eastern policy would be withdrawal of American support from Israel and Egypt with "a curse on both your houses."

Decolonialization, especially in Africa, has been the final mortal blow, not only to world peace, but to the survival of the Western culture of the last thousand years. From the 16th to the 19th centuries, several European nations established vast colonial empires. Their record was mixed. Some countries like Belgium were notorious for their maltreatment and exploitation of the natives. In the majority of instances, however, and on balance, European colonialism was beneficial to the colonized areas. Especially in Africa and Melanesia, what little civilization the indigenous populations have acquired was due to their training under European empires.

Owing to persistent falsifications in socialist and Communist attacks on colonialism, it has come to be an incontrovertible dogma of well-meaning but deluded idealists and do-gooders that colonialism was an undiluted evil and should be abolished as soon as possible. In pursuance of this aim, and especially under pressure from the United Nations, a large number of regions have been given "independence" and set up as separate nations. For many of these so-called "nations," the German term Zwergstaaten (dwarf nations) is appropriate. Africa in particular has now been "Balkanized" into a congeries of basically tribal states which are returning to their previous level of savagery, internecine warfare and massacre. Virtually all such "states" have fallen under dictatorial rule, either rightist or leftist. Little else could have been expected, given the blacks' incompetence to run their own affairs except on the village level. In the United Nations, the "decolonized" Zwergstaaten now form a powerful voting bloc, which serves largely as a tool for Soviet imperialism.

In sub-Saharan Africa there were, up to the 1970s, two countries in which the only stratum of the population fit to govern remained in power -- Rhodesia and South Africa. In the former, especially as a result of intense pressure from Britain's Labour government, the competent white minority of the onetime British colony (by then an independent country) was ousted and replaced by a black Marxist government, which falsely claimed to represent the utterly incompetent African majority. The only remaining country in Central or Southern Africa with civilized rule, South Africa, is now under a violent worldwide attack because it still, quite logically and quite necessarily, segregates non-whites from whites. This attack (heavily financed by Soviet Russia) involves in large part demands that American firms withdraw their investments from South Africa under the false pretext that Apartheid "violates human rights." (The ordinary African native would not recognize a "human right" if it were served up to him on a silver platter with watercress around it.)

Soviet Russia attacks Apartheid, not because of "human rights," but because it wants to drive the United States out of South Africa. Bringing the latter country into the Soviet orbit would deprive the former of valuable and much-needed raw materials. The nonwhite majority, which is totally unfit to run its own affairs, is already engaged in turbulent intertribal conflicts which account for a large proportion of the casualties. The abolition of Apartheid and the establishment of a black majority rule would bring about an orgy of violence similar to and perhaps even worse than that which has occurred in other African "nations" and would eventually make South Africa a satellite of Soviet Russia, a state of affairs the self-proclaimed "champion of human rights," Archbishop Tutu, has already said he would welcome.

The Conclusion, not only of this discussion, but also of Western civilization, is implicit in the results of the crucial errors of statecraft the 20th century has had to witness. It is probably quite unrealistic to expect any revitalization of the West, in view of the many destructive
forces attacking it from without and corroding it from within. It is perfectly possible that our literature, music and art and our present patterns of civilized interpersonal and international relations may survive for a century or two in some Western countries, but the long-range outlook is Der Untergang des Abendlandes (Decline of the West), to use the prophetic title of Oswald Spengler’s book, and its replacement by a new culture, arising out of some currently unpredictable political, economic and social forces.

At present we can only console ourselves with the verses from Schiller’s Wilhelm Tell:

Es stürzt des Alte, es ändert sich die Zeit
Und neues Leben blüht aus den Ruinen.

The old collapses, and the times are changed
And new life blossoms from the ruins.

ROBERT A. HALL JR.

An educator has an interesting but unacceptable idea

MERIT PAY FOR TEACHERS

IT TOOK the U.S. Army 17 years of research to determine that ice water makes a very effective first aid treatment for burns. It has been recently brought to our attention that our schools and the byproducts thereof are not at all what they should be. This startling revelation, courtesy of yet another gaggle of bureaucrats, has precipitated a clamor for action. State governments, one after the other, are instituting programs designed to raise SAT scores and make sure that a high-school diploma certifies that the holder can at least spell his own name correctly. In Florida, study halls have been abolished and extra courses added. Teacher competency tests are proliferating in many states. New Jersey claims it will have the most stringent high-school competency tests in the nation, so stringent that some educators worry the dropout rate will rise to 40%. Should this occur, the average SAT score would also rise, a phenomenon that would likely be lost upon those who believe the lowering of the average SAT score is primarily due to the moribund teaching profession.

The NEA has been consistent in its view that money is the answer to the problems of American education. Governor Cuomo of New York wants higher teacher salaries. President Reagan proposed that teachers should be paid according to their merit.

The notion of merit pay was stillborn, perhaps because of the anticipated complexity of evaluation. If it should ever come to pass, it will almost certainly be corrupted with the usual “affirmative action” postulates. Unaware of any concrete proposal for evaluating merit pay for teachers, I am prepared to offer one. As with any proposal, we must first declare our variables, definitions and axioms.

A teacher is one who instructs and gives training to another. This other person is a learner and is usually called a pupil. Teaching implies learning. If nothing was learned, then nothing was taught. One person may present information to another. If that information is absorbed, then we have a teacher-pupil relationship. If no learning takes place, then we have a presenter-audience relationship. If no information is presented, then we have a caretaker-object relationship. It should come as no surprise that our schools have an oversupply of presenters and caretakers, who are often referred to as lecturers and baby-sitters, respectively. Whether a person with teaching credentials plays the part of a teacher or lecturer depends solely upon the object of the exercise, that entity often erroneously called a student. Any casual and objective observation of current education must certainly reveal that pupils are in the minority. It follows that teachers are also in the minority. It is toward this minority that the benefits of merit pay should be directed.

So far we are assuming that we have students, that is, those who are willing to learn. We are also assuming that the instructor is well versed in his discipline. One other
assumption is necessary. Not everyone can learn differential equations or play a cello. Not all birds can fly. Imagine, if you will, being saddled with the task of teaching a penguin to fly and then being called to account for its failure on a soaring exam. After all, aren’t all birds equal? Is it any wonder that competent teachers are deserting the profession only to be replaced by the incompetent? The merit of any teacher’s effort must be measured by the merit of the student’s post-instructional performance. This latter merit is the product of the teacher’s effort and the student’s ability to absorb what is presented. Therefore, a teacher’s performance should be evaluated according to what should be expected versus what has been accomplished. The ratio of a student’s actual performance to the expected performance would seem appropriate. This ratio lends itself to a percent grade for the performance of the teacher. If little Susie were expected to receive an 85% on her biology exam and she received a 68%, then the teacher would have accomplished 68/85 or 80% of the goal. Merit pay, however, should not be a direct proportion. In Susie’s case the teacher’s grade should not be 80% of the maximum value. It might better be related to the cube of 80% or about 51% of the maximum benefit. At this point we should be reminded that the assumption is that little Susie is full of eagerness, desire and attentiveness.

Since a student’s post-instructional performance is measured by common standardized tests, we must now consider how we might evaluate our hypothetical student’s expectation performance. A person with an IQ of 65 cannot be expected to perform on the level of a person with an IQ of 125. Hence the futility of the common attempts to raise these scores with special courses. The U.S. Army uses a multi-purpose intelligence test and calls it the AGCT, a general classification test. Until the intrusion of affirmative action rules, the Army would not accept as officer candidates those with scores below 110. Perhaps this requirement is still honored. If so, the tests have probably been diluted. Many educators subscribe to the view that anyone other than college after graduating from high school.

A person’s academic expectation is obviously related to his IQ. For the sake of argument, I have accepted a linear relationship where the school grade (class) level is a function of the IQ. Traditionally, there is much evidence to support this view. An IQ of 100 would allow students to satisfactorily complete their 12th year. Each increment of five IQ points would adjust this level one year. This corresponds to an IQ of 120 for a bachelor’s degree and an IQ of 130 for a master’s degree. A student of physics, however, is not on the same bus as a student of Eskimo studies. A physical education major could not be expected to understand the polymerization of butadene, but a chemistry major could be expected to complete a few laps around the swimming pool. If we decrease the 100 IQ by 15 points, it would correspond to the first year of high school. Most observers know that the “disadvantaged” are usually wiped out at this level. The disadvantaged also seem to have an average IQ of 85. It is not my purpose to defend this particular mathematical relationship, but to point out that it is possible to objectively delineate a close approximation of expectation. The index of expectation would more than likely be different according to subject content. Most people can converse rather well in at least one language, but three out of four people are baffled by the simple, visual language of ninth-grade algebra. Most animal piles of protoplasm can squawk something comprehensible to each other, but remain completely helpless when it comes to manipulating visual symbols. It is this latter ability that separates the shuttle-launching European from the spear-chucking African. It probably shall be so until the advent of Dark Ages II.

As former Governor Lamm of Colorado has suggested, circumstances may force us to leave the yellow brick road and traverse the rock-strewn path of hard choices. Perhaps we could choose to bar the incompetent from classrooms altogether. Let those that call themselves teachers be sufficiently versed in their discipline. In the meantime, let those who shall be called students have all the attributes of a pupil. Let none enter a course where his evaluated expectation is low. Let academic failure be a little more harsh than a brief attendance in summer school. Let us hitch our academic endeavor to ever-increasing levels of performance instead of ever-decreasing standards.

Merit pay, needless to say, will be opposed by those having no merit. “Excellence in Education” will remain nothing more than a catch-phrase. One does not make a garden by the mere tossing of seeds. The gardener must be willing to pull out weeds.

In a rather brief way I have shown that the ratio of expectation to achievement is a far better way to judge teacher merit than mere performance alone. It is often the case that “old-timers” are given the bright kids, as a reward perhaps, and the newcomers are assigned a potage of dregs. Regardless of the effort of a teacher, some students will achieve a high level of performance all by themselves. Any teacher having a class of these kids will certainly look good, while the unfortunate teacher, the one shepherding all the slugs, will appear never to do anything worthwhile. It is certainly a far greater teaching achievement to push and coax a cretin into passing an exam than it is to have a 140 IQ student whistle through with an A.

In a particular discipline, merit rating the teacher is not the greater problem. How does one formulate a method to measure the performance of teachers whose subject areas are poles apart? Is there a way to fairly assess the effort and success of a teacher of calculus versus the effort and success of a teacher who merely moderates heated classroom discussions of subjective material? We have all taken courses where we had to do something and courses where we had to merely be something. In the latter, the be usually means to be present. Here we might again mention that a merit rating of 80% should be related to its cube, namely 51% of the maximum benefit. Let’s play with exponents and have each subject have its own exponent. Let’s give math an exponent of 1. If a teacher gets a merit rating of 90%, then he gets .90 or 90% of the merit pie. Social studies might have an exponent of 4. Thus a social studies teacher with a merit rating of 90% would draw .90 or 66% of the merit pay allotment. The sciences would have the smaller exponents, while the “talk” subjects would have exponents directly proportional to their gas content.

Anyway, it’s a start.
A S THE NORTHERN EUROPEAN branch of the Caucasoid race dies a little more each year, few seem to be paying serious attention. Even fewer are describing what is happening. Almost no one is carefully analyzing how and why it is happening, and developing a systematic ethical response to this supreme act of destruction. Richard McCulloch, a young Floridian, is one of the very few writers on this planet who will not shield his own or his reader’s eyes from the dreadful implications of the impending Northern European removal from the world scene.

Most Instaurationists know McCulloch’s first book, The Ideal and Destiny, which was reviewed at some length in the March 1983 issue. Now comes a companion volume, Destiny of Angels, which develops and expands certain seminal ideas foreshadowed in McCulloch’s first work.

Whatever one thinks of the book, no reader can deny that it is among the most passionate books ever written on any subject in any language. Only by putting works like these into a larger number of hands can we hope to see the bold steps taken which might yet prevent the eclipse of a great and ancient people.

McCulloch’s passion pours forth in nine compelling chapters, each of which deserves to be summarized.

Chapter 1: Ultimate Ethics

Macroethics involves the ultimate questions of survival or destruction, integrity or corruption. The successes of the Northern peoples in the four centuries preceding our own gave rise to a false sense of security when, in fact, their manifold new contacts with alien peoples endangered their identity as never before, despite their superficial status as “conquerors.” The disasters of the 20th century have been caused by Northernkind’s acceptance of ethical premises and beliefs which have disarmed it in the face of these massive contacts.

The branching of the tree of life is the essence of creation, and the “liberal” internacist position which demands the amalgamation of created races is evil in every sense of the word. “Racism” is a basic “pro-life” position, which should be “taken for granted” as a condition of existence. McCulloch writes, “It is as if -- on the micro-social or individual level -- there were a word, such as levitanism, for those who wish to live . . . .” By this analogy, internacism is the macro-social equivalent of deathism -- the desire for non-existence -- on the micro-social or individual scale.”

Today, the Northern World confronts: (1) a dominant alliance of non-Northern Europeans who have invaded the Northern homelands and (2) Northerners whose runaway altruism puts the interests of the invaders ahead of the invaded. This alliance, controlling all the chokepoints of information, effectively censors all serious consideration of the ultimate racial issue.

“The battle is for the hearts and minds of Northernkind,” writes McCulloch. The other races who would invade and supplant the Nordics hope to gain the latter’s heretofore superior “metaphysical significance.” For this reason, they dread “any manifestation of Northern racial loyalty, identity or unity” which might yet turn the tide. Consequently, all healthy Northern racism is the object of intense vituperation and misinformation which portrays it as an evil and negative phenomenon based on malice or hate. Northernkind’s fate “will depend upon millions of independent decisions, the outcome of millions of individual battles and struggles, in the hearts and minds” of its people.

Those who actively pursue the destruction of Northernkind are today classified as “moderates.” Those who would merely allow it to continue living are termed “extremists,” regardless of their other economic, political or cultural goals. Indeed, pro-Northern racism is often regarded as an indication of mental illness.

The ideological assault on the vulnerable young is especially deplorable, but it is important to understand that the rootless “Me generation” created by this assault is not truly selfish (for true selfishness is healthy) but rather self-negating.

Attempts to cure this alienated disorientation, and the behavior and values that stem from it, which are based on the assumption that it is selfish rather than self-negating, are exercises in futility doomed to failure. The victims of pluralistic alienation and disorientation can only be successfully addressed with a logic similar to that used to address those with suicidal or other self-destructive tendencies, to restore a healthy sense of direction and purpose, and give them back their proper sense of identity and knowledge of themselves, their race, and their place in Creation. Without such sense and knowledge they are reduced to a universe of one, shrinking in upon itself to nothingness. No man is an island, and those who would persist in being so, in defiance of all natural law, will find their island sinking beneath the rising waves of an alien sea, and their race -- the former mighty continent which they broke asunder into an archipelago of innumerable tiny separate islands -- will be submerged with them.

Most Christians would take exception to the claim that if their race dies everything they are also dies, but even Christianity, in its less Levantine/apocalyptic and more European/conservative forms, stresses the need for this-worldly stewardship. Blaise Pascal’s famous “wager” suggested to him that belief in God was a prudent course for the uncertain. McCulloch might respond with a converse wager of his own, that race-consciousness is prudent
for Northern European Christians, who, like Christians everywhere, often freely and unashamedly admit that their faith in the hereafter falters periodically.

“It is ironic,” writes McCulloch, “that Northernkind, the race which is constantly accused of being racist to the extent that racism is regarded as an almost exclusively Northern phenomenon, is presently threatened with racial death and destruction due to its lack of racism, kindredness and pro-self-kind ethics.” Much of the confusion stems from the fact that a belief in group superiority is often falsely believed to be the only motive for racism. In fact, “Other peoples often appear to be racist for the opposite reason—a belief in racial inferiority.”

All Northern migration tends to be in flight from other groups, while much non-Northern migration is in pursuit of Northerners. The pre-Columbian population of the New World was distributed with 95% in the future Latin realm and 5% in the future Anglo realm. Thus, Latin America was a very rich natural environment. At first, the Mediterranean immigrants moved into the many nations which they had created there. But by 1880 it was clear to all that the two Anglo nations of the New World were far more affluent and so the tide of Mediterranean immigration turned strongly from places like Argentina and Brazil to the U.S. and eventually Canada.

Given (1) the genetic recessiveness of most Northern traits, and (2) the tendency of Northerners to prefer more room and fewer people than do faster-breeding groups, the certain outcome of such trends will be virtual Nordic extinction. It is not a question of Northerners “making a little room for others in their great lands,” but rather of the world finally recognizing that Northernkind must have some exclusive territory of its own simply to survive.

As McCulloch puts it, “Racial separation is to Northernkind what water is to a fish. It was created in it and cannot continue to live outside of it or without it. The lack of it means certain death. The only variation is in the temporal duration of the process.”

McCulloch warns, “Northern Man can be killed more easily by kindness than by cruelty.” Unkindness causes him to rally defensively, a point his sugar-tongued enemies well understand. Today, when a “vast, cataclysmic reversal of Creation is unfolding,” and the genetic resubmergence of Northern traits is well underway, Northerners are seduced by the illusionary dramas of television, while those few who would describe to them the “real drama of racial dispossession” are furiously silenced.

This generation is the pivotal generation in the history of Northernkind. To it has been given the task of confronting the issues of ultimate ethics, making the ultimate decisions and choices that will determine the direction not only of itself, but of the uncounted generations yet to be, which will never be if the present generation fails to accept and properly exercise the sacred responsibilities of leadership entrusted to it by the imperatives of life.

Chapter 2: Ethereal Esthetics*

As the human tree has branched, only one limb has tended notably in the direction of what Europeans regard as angelic beauty—the limb of Northernkind.

As human populations assume an ever greater degree of cultural control over their environment... the survival advantage of physical or biological adaptations... diminishes rapidly, and is replaced by cultural adaptation, social factors and esthetic preferences. Once such a level of environmental control is achieved the evolution of esthetic-physical traits is primarily determined by preferential or discriminatory treatment, selection or favoritism, and the survival advantages bestowed thereby, interacting with the latent or inner potential residing within the population, rather than by physical adaptation to the climate.

The angelic beauty of the Northern European esthetic ideal is a relatively recent creation of evolution, based on a racially unique inner potential and longing under the direction of the Life Force. It is unique to Northernkind, and within Northernkind it is most prevalent among the children.

Destiny of Angels includes a brief picture section, which shows some of the living human results of this “inner potential and longing...” results which were realized only through centuries of fierce, esthetically based “discrimination” and “favoritism.”

McCulloch emphasizes that while “the preference, yearning and longing for the angelic... may be universal, the creation of the angelic is clearly not universal, but is an exclusively Northern European development. Other races have evolved away from the apelike, but not towards the angelic.” The Mongoloids, for example, are often extremely paedomorphic (i.e., retaining youthful traits into adulthood), but their paedomorphism commonly remains less than angelic in certain respects (texture and refinement of the facial musculature). The European angelic ideal is something quite specific, toward which Northern Europeans in particular were steadily progressing before the “anti-Creation” disasters of the past few generations reversed the trend.

Beauty is just one part of “natural wealth,” which, unlike the material kind, can never be transferred from those who have it to those who do not. “Natural wisdom,” which “comes from a close affinity with nature,” is a part of this wealth, and its performance “vastly excels [that] of more abstract and unstable forms of intelligence.” The demand for natural wealth always exceeds the supply, and “this is especially true of beauty.” When unnatural conditions cause large numbers of the unbeautiful and the uncreative to come into great sums of material wealth, a civilization is shaken to its roots by the problem of “status inconsistency.” This is a painful existential condition which leads some to plastic surgery and others to “marrying up.” Unfortunately, “eternal beauty”—which McCulloch calls “the natural livery of angels”—has a very precarious existence, because it derives from rare and recessive traits within an interfertile species. Instead of confronting the realities of this potentially tragic situation, the “dominant interracial forces” evade or falsify them. The casting of motion pictures provides a good illustration of this. The reviewer recalls an episode of the Alfred Hitchcock television series where a father who looked like Simon Wiesenthal had a stunningly fair and fine-featured daughter. The more people believe in the racial falsifications of television, the more they fail to see the crisis which surrounds them.

The matter of quality extends to man’s artifacts and institutions. The most sublime and finely textured art, music, and literature, “from Ancient Greece to the last free breaths of the Northern spirit in our own century,” was the creation of well-made people. At a recent art symposium, the critic Susan Sontag stated, “When you start using quality [meaning high quality] as an abstraction that never has to be explained to anybody, then you’re into fascism.” (Art and Antiques, March 1985.)

McCulloch describes two extreme human types which presently seek to guide the Northern World:

In ancient times, the sharp contrast between the coarse textured Armenid Assyrians and the fine-textured Northern European Hel­lens was eloquently demonstrated in every aspect of their life and civilization, from personal manners and political institutions to philosophical ideals and art. Even the most casual observer cannot fail to be impressed by the vast difference between the fierce Armenid faces of the boastfully cruel Assyrians on their bas-reliefs and the serenely beautiful Northern European faces celebrated in Greek sculpture, each race expressing itself by its portrayal of itself, as different as vitrol and honey.

In modern times, the caustic tenacity and intense subjectivity of the Armenids has assisted their rise to dominance in the lands they
have infiltrated, invaded or colonized, and their volatile coarseness has found its political expression or outlet in the promotion of lumpenproletarianism, interracism and other anti-Creation and anti-Northern European causes. From the time of Marx they have dominated the extreme or radical left, injecting it with their ma­

By “form,” McCulloch means a society’s institutions; by “con­tent” its people and all their characteristics. “Historians and ideo­

The need, says McCulloch, is for more racial empaths, men and women who, through a long introspective process, have come to understand the incongruence of their racial and individual identity. “The true leadership class, natural nobility, or aristoi, consists of racial empaths.” Such people feel Northernkind’s wounds as their own.

McCulloch cites Shakespeare’s “procreation sonnets” as sub­lime poetic instances of racial empathy. At the opposite extreme are today’s “intellectual terrorists,” who teach the would-be racial empath that the “devil” is inside him. Against the constant false association of healthy race consciousness with every sort of deviance, only those empaths with the most “natural wisdom, intuitive perceptiveness, sensitivity, will-power and psychological independence [can] see the truth through the fog of falsehoods.” Yet even such superior empaths are rarely permitted, under the dominant interracist morality, to express their hopes and fears in any way without risking the most “terrible reprisals.” The anti-Northern forces of repression are supremely effective today, and yet

Chapter 4: Form and Content

Chapter 5: Sexual Idealism

A sexually promiscuous environment discourages racial out­

McCulloch cites “Rome after the Gracchi” as the classic example of the sexual, and general moral, deterioration and deca­

Outward form can be grasped and dealt with more readily than inner content. The systems, structures and organizations of form can be easily charted by the historian, and lend themselves to facile manipulation by diomatic ideologues and superficial “re­

The esthetics (and ethics, or lack thereof, of the counter-culture . . . can be largely attributed to Arminian influence. A race so coarsely textured has a natural resentment towards ethereal esthet­ics . . . .

The fine textured have been inundated in values and ideals, morals and manners, ethics and esthetics of the coarse textured in every aspect of their cultural, political, economic, social and sexual life . . . . [Many of the fine textured -- the weaker, more dependent and conformist elements -- have succumbed and been carried away by this tide, imitating the vulgar and debased speech, behavior, morals and mannerisms of their mentors, like angels mimicking apes.

Chapter 3: Racial Empathy

Just as a little knowledge is a dangerous thing, so too is a little objectivity. Northern Europeans are presently in the condition of a moulted crab, having shed the protective constraining shell of subjectivism but not yet risen to that higher level of objectivity which is racial empathy. At their present awkward stage, many Northerners have an acute feeling for the interests of other races, yet lack the deeper understanding of life which is required for an appreciation of their own group’s truly unique vulnerability.

The systems, structures and organizations of form must be cast aside. “Each generation must be free to determine its own future, as a consequence of a truly creative consciousness.” But re-content does occur. The inner content or substance has undergone complete and total transubstantiation, decisively altering the nature and values of the country or civilization, with little or no transformation or change in the outward form of its institutions. This decisive process, the most important phenomen­on of history, is missed or ignored by historians obsessed with the unchanging illusion of outward form, who are then perplexed by the profound changes which develop in the behavior, perform­ance, capabilities and values of the culture they are studying . . . .

Specifically, Northern Europeans have repeatedly founded great civilizations which have attracted “non-Northern infiltrators, immigrants and peaceful invaders” in great numbers because of their excellence. The usurpers “retained -- in distorted forms -- the language and name of the Northern population they have . . . replaced, as well as the credit . . . for their great deeds.”

The anti-Northern forces of repression are supremely effective today, and yet lack the deeper understanding of life which is required for an appreciation of their own group’s truly unique vulnerability.

The destructive events and actions which disturb, agitate and activ­ate empathy have yet but barely begun to reveal the full extent of their terrible effects. As the casualties mount, empathic awareness will be ever more difficult to deceive or repress, infeeling will quake ever more resistively under the superficial crust of outthink­ing, and the angelese host may find a strength within itself that can only be given by Creation . . . .

Chapter 4: Form and Content

By “form,” McCulloch means a society’s institutions; by “con­tent” its people and all their characteristics. “Historians and ideo­logues have both tended to be obsessed with form at the expense of content” -- and this bizarre obsession has only worsened in the past several decades.

McCulloch cites “Rome after the Gracchi” as the classic example of the sexual, and general moral, deterioration and deca­

which speak only of reform -- changing the form -- never of re-content -- changing the inner content or substance, the nature, values and identity, of the people.

But re-content does occur. The inner content or substance has changed -- and changed radically -- in many countries and civil­izations. The inner nature and identity of the people within the form has undergone complete and total transubstantiation, decisively altering the nature and values of the country or civilization, with little or no transformation or change in the outward form of its institutions. This decisive process, the most important phenomeno­n of history, is missed or ignored by historians obsessed with the unchanging illusion of outward form, who are then perplexed by the profound changes which develop in the behavior, performance, capabilities and values of the culture they are studying . . . .
Chapter 6: Evolutionary Responsibility

In McCulloch's view, evolution is far too important a matter to be left to capricious government control: "It should always remain an activity based on voluntary participation, enjoying the freely given support, cooperation and involvement of an enlightened, internally governed and responsible population." His analysis of the dysgenic disasters of the past generation may leave some readers wondering just how the vicious circles involving, on the one hand, increasing racial integration, nonwhite immigration and female participation in the labor force, and, on the other, a declining white birthrate, can be reversed without forceful government action. Certainly, the revolution in values which McCulloch demands would require, at a minimum, a revolution in Hollywood and other cultural centers. It is hard to imagine the entertainment tycoons vacating their powerful positions voluntarily.

The TV programming which glorifies intentional childlessness and other familial anomalies must be ended:

When the family is weak the race is weak and defenseless, easy prey for those who would rule it or destroy it . . .

Among the patricians of Rome, the character of the family, the role priorities of women, and the birthrate underwent a drastic change between the eras of Cincinnatus and Nero and the failure of the women to bear sufficient offspring undid all the potential racial benefits of centuries of success on the battlefield.

Northernkind today is steadily embracing the decadent values held by the urban women (and men) of Nero's time. The civilizing function of homemaking and the life-giving function of baby-making are shunned for outwardly glamorous but inwardly shallow or destructive pursuits. Women become sex objects and men success objects as a bumper crop of heterosexual playboys and playgirls joins the gays in direct competition -- political, economic and cultural -- with "those who have assumed the responsibilities and burdens of full adulthood" by raising families. All the wild and fancy living, all the energy poured into helping children of other races, all the infertility brought on by sexual promiscuity combine to prevent the births of Northern children. Yet the big media almost never trace these causal connections.

Chapter 7: Ascending Life

McCulloch seeks to understand and eliminate man's "incongruous juxtaposition of personal hope with cosmic fatalism." He finds encouraging signs of an historic and enduring divergence from the doomsaying norm among the Northern peoples. Even in their old Sky-god religions, the "Götterdämmerung" "was not regarded as imminent or as a cause for personal concern or fear, but relegated to the distant mythical future."

It is to the credit of the Northern peoples of both Ancient Greece and Viking Scandinavia that they had largely abandoned their native heritage of mildly entropic cosmology, and were displaying increasingly modern and bold patterns of thought, liberated from the old regressive superstitions, before their indigenous religions -- perhaps already on their last legs and in the process of being replaced by a new Northern European religious formulation -- were overcome by the much more strongly entropic religious doctrines of the Levant.

Entropic religions, as closed and foredoomed systems, offer little hope for future growth, improvement or greatness, and provide no sense of mission, purpose, reason for being, or destiny. They are "doombeat" religions, promoting a doctrine of fatalistic hopelessness and resignation, of an inevitable decline and descent, regarding the concept of progress as inimical to their basic premises. "End-Times" religions, such as Christianity, which preach the imminent catastrophic end and destruction of the world, are the most entropic and doombeat of all, believing that the entropic process of decay is almost complete, and advising potential converts to abandon the world and its concerns and come to them for salvation, like rodents deserting a sinking ship. They offer the hope of personal salvation and continued life in their escapist paradise while denying all hope for the salvation and continued life of the world.

The biological discoveries of the 19th century led to a new sense of hope and mission for many Northern Europeans, yet Darwinism was often resisted when its early enthusiasts mistakenly "emphasized a distorted, brutally harsh and repellent vision of a 'nature red in tooth and claw,' and 'survival of the fittest' in a continuous and merciless 'struggle for existence,' rather than the limitless hope for improvement and progress which was the central -- and truly important and revolutionary -- fact contained within the revelations of Darwin and his successors."

Only a relatively few intellectuals had the stamina to see through the harsh image the distortions of evolutionary progress had put forward -- which had alienated much of the populace -- to perceive the underlying promise of unlimited hope and improvement inherent in evolutionary theory, which offered a macrocosmic as well as microcosmic, racial as well as individual, salvation.

People vary tremendously in their perceptions of "salvation." Some insist that only the most personal kind of salvation has any meaning for them. In sharp contrast is Richard McCulloch, who attacks much contemporary Christian teaching for "promoting personal salvation while accepting racial damnation." Why die now? he asks of Northernkind. The sun has several billion years to go. We should stick around and make good use of all that time.

The sun, which "gives its own life to break the closed system of lifeless entropy on earth and makes ascending life possible," is rightly a high and noble symbol in all the natural religions of Northern Europeans.

In recent decades, the very idea of ascending life has begun to be seen as "criminal immorality," since all "metaphysical significance" is increasingly invested in the humble, lowly and entropic. Against this tendency stands the Promethean conviction that man cannot afford to rest -- that all sources of energy, both psychic and material, must be tapped -- that the "primary condition of ascending life, [which is] speciation through divergent evolution," must be pursued for thousands of generations if necessary, so that the protective status of "species integrity" is secured by a new Promethean race which cannot breed with the biological detritus left behind.

The right to separation and the solitary pursuit of excellence must be preserved at all costs. If this sounds radical, consider that the 1960s television series, Star Trek, featured a space mission whose "prime directive" was non-interference with the integrity and separate development of all the races and species encountered in deep space. What appears self-evidently wise and decent in the context of a fantasy exploration of the universe becomes problematic when non-Northerners seek a part of what is not truly theirs, even when doing so destroys all Northernkind.

Chapter 8: The Struggle for Survival

The "ultimate question" of ethics, writes McCulloch, revolves around which tried or untired systems of behavior allow us to be truer to our better selves. To answer such a question we need to "commune with the best minds of our race from previous ages," because they were free from many of the dogmas and prejudices which burden us.

A knowledge and appreciation of the history of the Northern race is an important tool for the attainment of salvation, and its typical absence is noteworthy among those elements who support the
causes of Northern destruction and extinction. The modern trend toward intermarriage, devotion and ... decession ... is assisted by a lack of racial knowledge ... and sense of identity.

With this in mind, McCulloch launches into a concise history of the Northern European race in its struggle for independence over the past 10,000 years. The high point in the narration comes coincidentally during the lifetime of Jesus Christ, when the great German patriot, Hermann, rebelled against the genocidal Roman army of occupation in Central Europe, driving it back beyond the Rhine and thereby winning for the most Nordic parts of Europe another 2,000-year lease on racial life.

Hermann, whose name was latinized to Arminius, had to fight first against his father, brother, uncle, father-in-law, brother-in-law and the entire timid, collaborationist “leadership” of his nation in order to prevail against Rome. The odds had seemed impossibly unfavorable since the Evil Empire had long since established the custom of utterly obliterating defiant pagan tribes.

It was this Roman ruthlessness which turned Hermann’s kinsmen into cringing cowards -- that plus the plump carrots which Rome held out as a reward for docility. McCulloch speculates that if Hermann had not prevailed in that momentous and decisive battle of the Teutoburg Forest in A.D. 9, all Northernkind might have been “dragged down with Rome and [shared] its racial fate.” It is only in our century that the stakes for the entire Northern European world have again reached the supreme level of danger.

The “holocaust of angels, a burning, melting and evaporation of Northern European flesh” that was seen in places like Dresden some 40 years ago was but a foretaste of the “genocide of angels” which is being promoted in all corners of the Nordic world today. The allegations of wartime German atrocities are used as a shield by the forces promoting Northern genocide to protect them from all criticism as they carry out their nihilistic designs. This hypocritical guerdon will only be shattered when enough Northern Europeans understand that the Jewish Holocaust, the enslavement of blacks and the conquest of the American Indians were not the sum total of “man’s inhumanity to man,” and that Northernkind, through the centuries, has been as much sinned against as sinning.

That balancing of the guilt factor, plus a reborn appreciation of the Nordic race’s unique record of accomplishments, will be necessary if millions of Northerners are ever to make the initially great sacrifices which will be needed if the “genocide of angels” is to be stopped.

Chapter 9: The Will to Live.

All the cultural institutions of the Western World now actively support the Great Desecration, by which McCulloch means the decreation of Northernkind through racial mixing. “The alleged grievances of non-Northern peoples have become a cultural obsession, blocking all considerations of the ultimate interests -- the imminent extinction -- of Northernkind.” The Great Desecration is nothing less than “the most tragically calamitous event of all time ... the catastrophic destruction of the metaphysically significant pinnacle of ascending life.” The only alternative course is a Great Consecration, promoting “the highest and finest expression of kindredness, pro-Creation ethics ... and racial empathy.”

Northern Europeans have grown obsessed with “niceness” in recent years. Thin-skinned Nordic types have become “so sensitive in their objective concern for the interests and ‘feelings’ of others that the mere presence of a single non-Northern European within hearing range -- in a classroom, a crowd or an audience -- is sufficient to inhibit them from expressing pro-self-kind ideas, values or sentiments.” But this “niceness” is all too rarely reciprocated by members of other races, whose anti-Northern demands should be seen as supremely offensive and unreasonable.

“Those who consecrate themselves to the cause of Creation and Northern salvation,” writes McCulloch, are the aristoi of their race, the megalopsych of “great and high-minded ones who both see and serve the broad interests and purpose of their kindred and Creation as a whole.”

Herom is called for now as never before, a heroism motivated by love, which alone can provide the inspiration needed to stiffen our resolve.

If we find the love for our race -- the empathy and interrelating of our kindred -- to give us the will to live, we will find that, for Northernkind, love and life are one, and that each creates, and is created by, the other, and cannot continue without it.

Richard McCulloch’s Destiny of Angels (hardcover, 314 pages, available from Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc., P.O. Box 79, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920, for $20 plus $1.30 postage and handling. Also in stock is his earlier volume, The Ideal and Destiny (hardcover, 314 pages), for $20 plus $1.30 postage and handling. Please make your check payable to Howard Allen, not Instauration.

Civil War Nomenclature

In Instauration’s December 1986 issue, there is an article about renaming World Wars I and II. Below is a roster of suggestions for a more accurate and more appropriate name for the American Civil War. Some of these are probably already familiar to Instaurationists. Many may not be. (Source: Burke Davis, The Civil War: Strange and Fascinating Facts. The Fairfax Press, NY):

1. The War for Constitutional Liberty
2. The War for Southern Independence.
3. The Second American Revolution
4. The War for States’ Rights
5. Mr. Lincoln’s War
6. The Southern Rebellion
7. The War for Southern Rights
8. The War of the Southern Planters
9. The War of the Rebellion
10. The Second War for Independence
11. The War to Suppress Yankee Arrogance
12. The Brothers’ War
13. The War of Secession
14. The Great Rebellion
15. The War for Nationality
16. The War for Southern Nationality
17. The War Against Slavery
18. The Civil War Between the States
19. The War of the Sixties
20. The War Against Northern Aggression
21. The Yankee Invasion
22. The War for Separation
23. The War for Abolition
24. The War for the Union
25. The Confederate War
26. The War of the Southrons
27. The War for Southern Freedom
28. The War of the North and South
29. The Lost Cause

The editor prefers numbers 2 (for accuracy) and 12 (for the racial connotation).
Although white-on-black crime in Howard Beach (see page 7) was the "crime of the month" if not the crime of the year, black-on-white crime, which outnumbered the reverse variety by at least ten to one, went off on a vengeance trip. There were several incidents in Zoo City, where black groups attacked whites in subways and bus terminals, while screaming, "Howard Beach, Howard Beach." Some "retaliation" murders may have been included in the 20 or so in the week following the Howard Beach affair. But the media, always quite circumspect and restrained when it comes to black-on-white mayhem, was careful not to investigate such a possibility.

In the nation at large two particularly gruesome black-on-white murders occurred in Pittsburgh and Miami, neither of them reported in the New York press. In Pittsburgh, two blacks admitted they were members of a party of three responsible for the robbery-murder of Timothy Anderson, a white wholesaler. The intruders got into the Anderson home at 9:00 A.M. when one of them pretended to be a mailman delivering a package. They pistol-whipped Mrs. Anderson at the door and shot Mr. Anderson while he was standing guard at the entrance to the bedroom where his two daughters and a sister-in-law were hiding under the beds. The robbers got away with $200. Two of them confessed, but the third man is still at large.

In Miami, a black broke into the parsonage of Rev. Bill Bosler, a white pastor who specialized in bringing the word of God to minorities, and knifed him to death in front of his 24-year-old daughter, Sue, who happened to be visiting at the time. She was then stabbed in the back and knee, but somehow managed to live and get on the phone to the police. Excerpts from the tape recording of her desperate call for help should be read by every brainwashed Ratherite who thinks that white-on-black crime, but not its opposite, is the Great Satan.

Sue: They stabbed us, my Dad and my knee, please hurry.
911: number: Who stabbed you, ma'am?
Sue: It was this nigger.
911: Is he there now?
Sue: He left, hurry.
911: Were you held up, ma'am?
Sue: He stabbed us, please have the ambulance and police come here right now.
911: Is that a house, ma'am?
Sue: It's the Brethren Church parsonage, 18200 Northwest 2nd Avenue. Please, I'm bleeding all over the place.
911: Where is the person with the knife?
Sue: I don't know, He left. Please just come on, My Dad is gonna die.
911: Now I want you to try and tell me if the person who did this left on foot or in a car.
Sue: I can't... stop please, I'm gonna faint if they don't come.
911: Can you open the front door?
Sue (sobbing): Oh, my God. Please hurry... [More sobs]
911: O.K., honey, just stay on the line with me.
Sue: Oh, my God. [Sobs]
911: Ma'am, they're on the way to you now. But I want you to try hard to give me a description of the person who did this so we can catch him.
Sue: I can't, I need you to go to the hospital. They stabbed me. I have three stabs on my knee.
911: O.K., honey, just stay on the phone with me. Don't try to talk if it hurts.
Sue (sobbing): My God, I hope my Dad's not dead.
911: Honey, don't even try to talk, you're doing marvelous. They're gonna be right there to help you and your Dad.
Sue: Oh, my God. [Groans] I'm gonna faint.
911: Are you sitting down?
Sue (breathing heavily): I'm gonna die.
911: No, you're not. I have help on the way to you. You're gonna be fine.
Sue: Oh, my God. [Moores]
[Rescue personnel finally arrive]

Cognet Words About Race and Genetics

Race and species usually differ from each other in many genes and chromosomal alterations. Interbreeding of race and species results in a breakdown of these systems. . . . Some of these combinations are the harmonious genotypic systems adapted to the different ecological niches in the environment. But the interbreeding could be just as efficient in breaking down the harmonious gene combinations as it was in forming them. Unlimited interbreeding of distinct species would result in submergence of the existing genetic systems in a mass of recombinations. Among the recombinations some may be as harmonious, or in fact better, than the existing gene patterns, and thus by hybridization the species may "discover" new evolutionary possibilities. But the chance of discovery is pitted against the fact that a majority, and probably a vast majority, of the new genic patterns are discordant, unfit for any available environment, and represent a total loss to the species.

If life is to endure, the gene combinations whose adaptive value has been vouchedsafed by natural selection must be protected from disintegration. Without isolation the ravages of natural selection would be too great. But too early and too rigid isolation of the favorable gene combinations formed in the process of race differentiation would mean too much specialization of the organism to environmental conditions that may be only temporary. The end result may be extinction. Favorable conditions for progressive evolution are created when a certain balance is struck; isolation is necessary, but it must not come too soon.

The above is taken from page 179 of Genetics and Origin of Species by Theodosius Dobzhansky. No more cognet words about this all-important subject have been written. In his public utterances, Dobzhansky, a first-generation American from Eastern Europe, was careful to play the liberal game and echo many of the platitudes of egalitarianism. But in his scholarly writings, he told what he knew to be the facts of the matter.

The Instauration subscriber who sent in the excerpts from Dobzhansky's book added this illuminating gloss:

The sacredness of race lies not in its purity, which doesn't exist, but in its harmony. Once mongrelized, it is lost forever and never can be bred back. Mongrelization has happened again and again. Witness the Ajanta Caves. Asian Indian history is lost in clouds of obscenity, but the pictures on the walls of these caves bespeak a marriage of the races in the name of peace and religion.
Lowest of the Low

The film, *Mano Lisa*, which was playing in many cities last fall to favorable critical reviews, is unarguably the crummiest piece of supposedly serious cinema ever to de­


ative magazine, called it an “adventure movie . . . a love story, a fairy tale, a mor­

ality play and a Christian redemption fable. It tries to be all these things, and it succeeds.”

Such words wasted on such anti-art proves once and for all that Arnold Toyn­

bee was right when he wrote about the schism in the Western soul. When good be­

comes evil, black becomes white and filth becomes a “Christian redemption fa­

ble.” then it’s time either to clean up the Augean stables or deservedly drown in the filth.

Orwell’s Great Lapse

No one is pure anymore, not even George Orwell. As revealed in his newly published *War Commentaries* (Pantheon, NY), Orwell wrote propaganda for the BBC during WWII—not only wrote it, but volun­

teered to write it. He penned broadcast scripts full of dire threats that Japan was about to attack Russia, though he admitted at the time, “I don’t believe this to be so.” Violently opposed to the Raj, he neverthe­

less wrote reams of propaganda boosting the British occupation of India. Ironically, the man who later wrote *Animal Farm* meekly obeyed the BBC command to build up a “positive picture” of Stalin’s Russia.

One of Orwell’s greatest -- and meanest -- propaganda coups was to glorify the Dieppe raid (Aug. 22, 1942), though he knew perfectly well it was a catastrophe and that some 40% of the Allied troops who made it to shore were killed or cap­

tured.

Economic Racism

There are all kinds of unconstitutional regulations now in force requiring govern­

ments (city, state or federal) to “set aside” certain percentages of their contracts for minority contractors or subcontractors. Harold Washington, the black mayor of Chicago, has a “30% rule,” which man­

dates that almost a third of city business must automatically go to nonwhite firms.

Now comes the inevitable next step in the “racification” of the American econ­

omy. Black Contractors United, a group of minority builders in Chicago, is now de­

manding that quotas and set asides be en­

forced in private as well as public construc­

tion projects.

Who’s to say that when minority black­

mailers -- bosses as well as workers -- get their 5%, 10% or 30% of every piece of private and public business, they won’t use their extortionate race-based demands ever year or so? A major business dynamic is greater profits out of greater sales. A major union dynamic has been annual raises for its members. Only the loss of jobs due to foreign competition has put this Big Busi­

ness and Big Labor modus operandi on hold.

Will the future dynamic of American business be expanded to include demands for ever larger set asides for minority firms? Will the future dynamic of American unions be expanded to include demands for ever larger quotas of minority workers to be employed on such projects? If so, this is not good news for the Majority worker, whose job has already been threatened or lost by American companies moving abroad. He is likely to find his chances of unemployment doubled by this further distortion of the U.S. economy.

Missing Questions

Watching the “get Reagen” hearings on TV and reading the stick-it-to-Ronnie cal­

umnies in the press yields the impression that Watergate II is losing a little steam. Try as it will, and try as it must, the liberal­

majority coalition’s smearings, shriepers and snooping cannot seem to get the public sufficiently hot under the collar. Nixon was a much better punching bag than Reagen.

Question: Did anyone note the great re­

luctance of camera-hogging congressmen on the multifarious investigation commit­

tees to ask questions about the Israeli con­

nection? Enough has come out in govern­

ment reports and the testimony of witnesses to make Israel’s part in the affair a major focus of inquiry. Yet the senators and repre­

sentatives stepped over this aspect of the foreign policy snafu as if it were a coiled rattlesnake ready to strike at the first politi­

cian to even whisper such a question.

Another point ignored by the various congressional committees followed logically from the deliberate lack of interest in Israel’s part in the confused operation. Why did Israel originate the idea of arms for hostages and why did it press so hard to have the arms deliveries continue even af­

ter Iran had welshed on delivering addi­

tional hostages? The obvious answer is that Israel and the Israeli merchants of death were making millions out of the deal and didn’t want the racket to stop. How strange, but how understandable, considering the obsequious groveling of U.S. politicians to Zionism, that the profit angle has been muted to the level of abso­

lute silence! And speaking of profit, how many hundreds of millions, if not billions of dollars, have American companies lost be­

cause Congress, at the urging (command) of Israel, Israel-fishers and America-lasters, has prevented the sale of warplanes, radar­

scopes and other costly military equipment not just to radical Arab states, but to friendly countries like Saudi Arabia? Yet all the while, Israel itself has been selling such arms and weaponry to Iran, America’s and the media’s #1 enemy in the Middle
East. In other words, Israel sells and continues to sell to anyone, yet it stops, through its overwhelming power in Congress, the U.S. from selling great quantities of weaponry even to its friends.

We may be sure that this intolerable situation will continue to be tolerated even as the American trade imbalance reaches catastrophic proportions. What a country is this sad political monstrosity known as the United States! The only glue that holds it together is the work habits, innate honesty and inherent respect for law of the majority of the Majority. We have all become slaves, but because a lot of us are still slaves to good habits, the system holds together. When we join the minorities by becoming slaves to bad habits, it's finito.

Reagan and the Mob

Before switching over to politics, Ronald Reagan was a Hollywoodian, and being a success in his trade (actor, producer, writer or director) during the 40s and 50s almost automatically meant having some direct or indirect connections with the mob. In 1947, Democrat Reagan resigned from a Hollywood citizens' committee, heavily infiltrated by Communists, and started turning toward Republicanism. Two years later his agent, Lew Wasserman, one of the richest West Coast powermongers, managed to persuade Warner Bros. to let Reagan out of half of his contract -- no mean feat. A year later, perhaps as a reward, the Screen Actors Guild (Ronald Reagan, president) gave Lew Wasserman's Music Corporation of America, and only MCA, a waiver of the industry rule forbidding agencies to be producers.

In 1954, MCA got Reagan a $125,000-a-year contract to host the General Electric Theater on TV. In 1960, Reagan "returned" as president of the SAG for six months, during which time he pushed through a deal that allowed pre-1960 movies to be televised without paying actors' royalties -- an incalculable windfall for MCA. In 1962, Reagan testified before a grand jury about the anti-trust activities of MCA.

Reagan was elected governor of California in 1966. His finances at this time were managed by Jules Stein and Taft Schreiber, both MCA executives. They got him $8,178 an acre from 20th-Century-Fox in a sale of some ranch land.

Stein was the founder of MCA, which started out in 1924 booking bands into speakeasies on Chicago's South Side, the fiefdom of Al Capone. At about that time the Chicago mob began moving into Hollywood by getting control of a theatrical workers' union. As the New York Review of Books stated, "Reagan was not represented by Snow White for all these years." As Dan E. Moldea wrote in his new, Dark Victory, "[As Governor] Reagan made executive decisions that were generally beneficial to MCA ...." Moldea's opus tries somewhat unsuccessfully to develop direct links between Reagan and various Mafia or Mafia representatives.

Anything is possible with Reagan. He is an actor, remember, and the one part he has learned to play well is that of a Middle American good ole boy. He may not have had any real connections with the mob, but his whole film and TV career involved working for some of the world's most unscrupulous and despicable characters. What kind of a person, what kind of a leader, what kind of an American could emerge from such an odorous background?

Cop Car Syndrome

Martin Luther Kane is one small part of America's gift to the new world. Last July, Kane arrived in his dump truck at the Vienna (VA) Town Hall to pay a $10 parking fine. Despondent over some previous tickets, he claimed he suffered a "nervous breakdown" upon seeing a row of parked police cars. So he hit the accelerator on his truck and smashed into the new cruisers, totaling two and severely damaging a third. The loss was estimated at $40,000. Five days later, Kane was walking down a Reston street when he spotted another police car and promptly had another attack of nerves. His employer having taken away his wheels, Kane was forced to use a rock to shatter the cruiser's windows.

Biggest Business

While the government is claiming to have dealt a mortal blow to the Mob by locking up some of its senescent bosses, Mafia Yuppies are engaged in a murderous power struggle. The $50-billion-a-year take of organized crime is very tempting to the younger Mafia generation. Some $20 billion of this sum goes to expenses; the rest is net profit, says the President's Commission on Organized Crime. That dwarfs the net profit of two or three of the largest American corporations combined, and it doesn't include the return on Mafia investments in legitimate businesses, such as the film and record industry, trucking, building and construction, and food and drink marketing.

Crime families are organized on something like the same lines as the management of General Motors. The boss or CEO is at the top. Under him are the chief operating officers, otherwise known as the underbosses. Next come the capos or vice-presidents, each of whom is given a specific area of responsibility. At the bottom is the rank and file, the soldiers who do the menial work and also do the killing. Successfully rubbing out "enemies" and "rivals" leads to rapid promotion.

The President's Commission has estimated that organized crime boosts consumer prices nationwide by about 0.3% and reduces the GNP by $18 billion a year. It raises taxes for the law-abiding Americans by $6.5 billion a year and lowers per capita income by $72. Some 250,000 people - all law-abiding working for some of the world's most unscrupulous and despicable characters. What kind of a person, what kind of a leader, what kind of an American could emerge from such an odorous background?

Still Free as a Bird

The whole world now knows that Ivan Boesky (originally Boefsky) is one of history's biggest con men. But sister-in-law Muriel Stein got it all the time. After Ivan managed to chivvy control of the Beverly Hills Hotel, of which she was part owner, he stole $50,000 from the plush West Coast hostelery, as stated in the $200-million lawsuit she has filed against him, and gave it to the United Jewish Appeal in order to boost his eleemosynary standing. Muriel also accuses her brother-in-law of using $25 million of the hotel's money in an abortive raid on Cities Service Co. The hotel, which is the meeting place of the Hollywood cocaine set and which was recently bought by Marvin Davis for $135 million, lost $7.6 million on this venture.

Boesky's lawyer now tells Wall Street that his client is broke, although Forbes magazine indicates he will still have $100 million left after he coughs up an equal sum in fines and penalties for his illegal trading on Wall Street. One way to hang on to his ill-gotten gains and avoid the many lawsuits (including his sister-in-law's) that outraged stockholders have initiated, is to transfer his remaining assets to his wife, which is exactly what the Federal Communications Commission charges he is doing. The agency cited one instance where Boesky had given control of an Oklahoma TV station to Mrs. Boesky and some other associates several weeks after the SEC had called him on the carpet.

Despite all the talk about Boesky having pleaded guilty to one felony count, he has not yet been brought to justice, and no trial, not to speak of any jail sentence, has yet materialized. While the country's prisons are full of inmates who stole a few hundred or a few thousand dollars, Boesky continues to cruise about in his stretch limousine, commuting back and forth from Wall Street to his multimillion-dollar spread in the rolling hills of exclusive Westchester County.

INSTAURATION -- MARCH 1987 -- PAGE 19
Inklings

How to Become a Criminal

Wilbur Brooker, a Homestead (Fl) white man, had a lethal confrontation with black Terry Lee Johnson, who broke into his real estate office last October, held him up, fished his wallet and emptied his strongbox. When Johnson left, Brooker grabbed a shotgun and ordered him to halt, but found himself looking down the barrel of Johnson's revolver. Brooker decided to shoot first. Johnson fell with 16 pellets in his body, one in his heart.

For doing the right thing and killing his potential killer, Brooker faces a 15-year sentence on a manslaughter charge. Fingerprinted and released on $10,000 bail, he was also accused of using a firearm while committing a felony.

Such is the way the world turns -- the world of crime. It's now a felony to save your own life and retrieve your stolen possessions.

Double Loyalty

Any time anyone brings up the question of double loyalty in the American media, the media-crits laugh it off. It's a rank impossibility, they insist. A figment of an anti-Semite's diseased imagination. A loud racist slap in the face.

Before you buy that, try this on for size.

Sidney J. Brown, a presumably loyal Jewish American, went to work for the U.S. State Department in 1946 and was given the assignment of ferreting out the lawbreakers smuggling arms and money to the Irgun and Stern gangs that were mounting a reign of terror against the British mandate in Palestine. Says Brown, at present a multimillionaire DC real estate developer, in an interview published in the Washington Jewish Week (Dec. 18, 1986), "I refused to do anything about it."

After this demonstration of high fidelity, Brown was appointed a prosecutor in the Nuremberg Trials. But he never had a chance to add his voice to the hangman's chorus that enjoined that orgiastic act of judicial murder. A real estate deal came up that he couldn't resist.

When the U.S. Holocaust Museum building program ran into a financial snag last year, Brown made the first million-dollar pledge. "I'm what is called a professional Jew," he declared. "I go out and pump all the causes and all the people into the causes."

If we haven't proved the double loyalty thesis with Sidney Brown, there's always Dov Hikind, New York State Assemblyman. An early leader of the terror-prone Jewish Defense League, Hikind had no problem in advocating the use of violence against Soviet diplomats in the U.S., as well as other native non-Jewish enemies, an attitude that seemed to advance rather than retard his political career.

What is Hikind up to now? He wants to win another legislative seat, not in the New York Senate, not in Congress, but in the Knesset, as a member of the Likud Party. "I often wonder who needs me more," he pondered, "America or the state of Israel? I do intend to go home to Israel, and it won't be when I retire."

If there are any Instaurationists who are still doubtful about the validity of the double loyalty charge, we might add the name of Jonathan Pollard (see below).

He Did It for Love

As the time for the sentencing of confessed spy Jonathan Pollard approaches, we may expect to hear nicer and nicer things about him. Jews have a habit of rallying behind one of their own, which is unfortunately more than can be said for Majority members, who seem to take a masochistic joy in watching their people be picked to pieces by the media vultures.

Just to set the record straight, Israel has returned only 163 of the "thousands of pages" of secret U.S. documents that Pollard gave to his Zionist paymasters. He has admitted he feels "no remorse" for his treasonable dealings and, wrapping himself in a hero's mantle, he compares himself to "an Israeli pilot who, after being shot down behind enemy lines, is left by Israel to languish."

It's true that Israel distanced itself from Pollard when he was first caught, but this was obviously a ploy to stop any anti-Semitic capitalizing on the double loyalty theme, now that it was possible to point to the ultimate in double loyalty -- an American Jew who not only loved, labored and lobbied for Israel, but actually came right out and spied for Israel. To show Jewish solidarity for Pollard, the Israeli ambassador to Washington, Meir Rosenne, invited Dr. and Mrs. Morris Pollard, his parents, to the embassy, where they talked over ways of having their errant son serve his sentence in Israel.

Pollard says he did his dirty work for love. Yet he got $2,500 a month from the Israeli espionage network, a total of $50,000, and was promised an additional $300,000 to be deposited in a secret Swiss bank account if he would keep on betraying the country of his body for the country of his soul. To sweeten the pot, his Zionist controllers treated him and his (Gentile?) wife, Anne Henderson-Pollard, to lavish European vacations, during one of which they bought Anne a $7,000 diamond and sapphire ring she happened to take a fancy to as it glistened in the window of a Paris bijouterie. Pollard's spymaster, by the way, was Rafael Eitan, an old Mossad hand, who was ordered back to Israel after Pollard's arrest. Instead of being disciplined for masterminding the theft of secrets from Israel's faithful ally, he was promoted to head his country's largest industrial firm, the state-run Israel Chemical Corp.

In order to explain the acquisition of all this additional wealth, in case the IRS got interested, Israeli forgers composed letters for the Pollards from a rich "Uncle Joe." One handwritten note stated that Anne's ring was an avuncular gift.

Extended Memories

Whenever liberals, Jews, Marxists or minorities lose one -- the Hiss case, the Rosenbergs, * the Dreyfus Affair (Part I) or the Leo Frank lynching -- it's never over. Exculpatory books and plays are written; trials are retried; pardons are handed out; verdicts are reversed, if not in the court of law, in the court of public opinion.

A long half-century ago, a Jewish professor of German, Dr. Lienhard Bergel, was dropped from a New Jersey college, now part of Rutgers University, in a budget-cutting program. Bergel promptly raised a huge to-do about it, charging that another German professor, who wasn't dropped, was a Nazi sympathizer. At great expense in time and money, five trustees looked into the matter for months and ruled against Bergel. The other professor, they stated, had seniority. The college had to trim its expenses. Bergel had to go.

In late 1985, almost 50 years later, a long-time supporter of Bergel and onetime left-wing radical, one Alan Silver, re-opened the case against Dr. Bergel. Nazi machinations lay at the bottom of Bergel's dismissal. To buttress his argument, he showed that the other German professor, Fredrich Hauptmann, had returned to Germany in 1940 and allegedly became a Nazi bureaucrat. This time a three-man panel of historians spent 15 months rehashing the affair. Its 103-page "preliminary report" stated that the principle cause of Bergel's being sacked was indeed budgetary. Bergel, now 81, refused to accept the verdict. He called it a "whitewash." Once again, he and his backers hinted darkly of Nazi forces working in the shadows.

In another 50 years, another commission will probably be set up to answer charges

* A blown-up illuminated photograph of Julius and Ethel recently adorned, and may still be adorning, the bus shelter on 14th Street and Third Avenue in New York City, the atom spies' hometown. There were some complaints, but Zoo City officials refused to remove it.
filed by Bergel's great-grandchildren. (There is already an active agit-prop group called Children of the Holocaust.) Sooner or later, Rutgers may be worn down and, rather than let the accusations drag on forever, will decide to settle and pay a fortune in damages to Bergel's posterity for the "horrible act of discrimination" that never took place.

Holocaustiana

To keep hate alive and kicking and to keep great quantities of payola flowing into the Israeli treasury are two chief motivations for the never-ending, continent-spanning Holocaust hysteria. Memories fade, however, according to Bert Falbaum, a Detroit Holocausteer, who was born in Germany one month after Kristallnacht (1938) and who is running a national campaign to promote some newly designed jewelry that spells out the Hebrew word, "zachor." Soon Jews and non-Jews will be able to buy zachor bracelets, pins, necklaces, even tie clips -- all set in gold and silver and sparkling with diamonds, emeralds, sapphires and what-not.

What does the word zachor mean? You guessed it. "Remember." * * *

Shocking news was heard at the December meeting of the Holocaust Memorial Council in Washington (DC). Ivan Boesky resigned, something deeply regretted by all because it indicated he would never make good on his lavish pledges. An even worse blow was another resignation, that of Elie Wiesel as chairman. If those two setbacks were not enough, a Council resolution delegating sweeping powers to the Council's Museum Development Committee was declared "illegal."

The Holocaust Museum project in the nation's capital was started seven years ago by an executive order of President Carter, backed up later by an act of Congress. As of today, not a single stone has been laid. Already a catfight is brewing, as different nations for the never-ending, continent-spanning Holocaust hysteria. Memories fade, however, according to Bert Falbaum, a Detroit Holocausteer, who was born in Germany one month after Kristallnacht (1938) and who is running a national campaign to promote some newly designed jewelry that spells out the Hebrew word, "zachor." Soon Jews and non-Jews will be able to buy zachor bracelets, pins, necklaces, even tie clips -- all set in gold and silver and sparkling with diamonds, emeralds, sapphires and what-not.

What does the word zachor mean? You guessed it. "Remember." * * *

Jail Bait

In 1974-75, John Peter McGoff tried to buy the now defunct Washington Star for the Republic of South Africa. That made him an associate of a "racist regime," so the liberal-minority hit squads went to work to "get him." The expected indictment didn't materialize, however, until last November, when McGoff was formally accused of failing to register as a foreign agent.

The charge was so long delayed that U.S. District Court Judge Charles R. Richey threw the case out. It's a pity that the case didn't go to trial. It would have shown that the mere fact of believing in the separation of races is now being advanced as a criminal offense in this country. But since it's difficult to prosecute a person for his thoughts in an alleged democracy, some other charge has to be dreamed up in order to get the offending thinker and his offending opinions safely behind bars.

* * *

Another type who is always susceptible to criminal prosecution and who has left-wing legal beagles sitting up late at night plotting ways to incarcerate him is the conservative Republican. The former congresswoman from Idaho, George V. Hansen, was the ideal target. He had failed to make the accurate disclosure of his financial situation required by Congress. It made no difference that his sins of omission were nothing compared to those of another former House member, Geraldine Ferraro.

Hansen went to jail for half a year. Ferraro was never even charged. The moral is that if you are a congressman and want to violate Congress's ethical standards, or what passes for them, it's wise to be a liberal ethnic Democrat.

Another Holocaust Whopper

The Associated Press (Nov. 7, 1986), as is its habit with Holocaust stories, swallowed the latest Six Million tearjerker hook, line and sinker. In one of those staged Jewish reunions with "righteous Gentiles" who saved them from death in Nazi concentration camps, three daughters of the Razdas family claimed they had evaded capture in WWII by hiding in a ditch 5' wide, 7' long and 1 1/4" deep under the floorboards of a storage room owned by two charitable Polish Christians, Jozef and Stephania Macgowksi. The AP dispatch specifically pointed out that the three girls and their parents - later joined by four other refugees - didn't just hide in the trench from time to time when the tramp, tramp, tramp of jackboots was heard. They lived there steadily and uninterruptedly for 2 1/2 years! Here are the AP's direct quotes: "[D]uring which time they never stood up, never spoke in voices louder than a whisper and never saw the light of day."

For time out of mind the world press has been printing such "must believe" miracle tales. No editor, no copyreader, no reporter who works for the "respectable" media feels it politic to question them.

We wager that one person alone could not survive in the Razdas' trench for more than a week, yet the three sisters are now quite healthy, married and living normal lives in and around New York City.

Like so many of the others, this Holocaust tale has some special embroidery. The Germans eventually took over the storage room, and the Christian owners were reduced to caretakers, who somehow managed to keep the nine "trench people" alive until the arrival of the Russians, who released the entombed Jews, but beat them because they believed they were drunk (wobbly legs, you know) and were hiding vodka.

Next time some friends come to visit, invite them to dig a 7' long, 5' wide, 1' 8" deep trench. Then ask nine of them to get in, put some boards over them and ask how comfortable they are. Inquire if they think they could live in such a confined area without once getting up -- for anything -- for 2 1/2 years.

Scientific Mendacity

The lie has become so institutionalized these days than when people hear a scientist speak, they tend to believe him, looking upon him as the last repository of truth. Even here, unfortunately, people are being gulled. As Instauration has been careful to point out over the years, science has fallen into the same kind of hands as the other professions. What a scientist may say in one of those mendacious times is often as unnatural as what comes out of the House Press Office, the Supreme Court and the CBS Evening News.

Last year, Science magazine published the dramatic story of a newly discovered substance, IL-4A, which was hailed as an important breakthrough in cancer research. In November the same magazine issued a humiliating retraction. Science's sources for the story, Harvard medical researchers Claudio Milanese, Neil E. Richardson and Ellis Reinberg, confessed they had been less than forthright in their original claims. In fact, Milanese, the villain in the piece, has now returned to Italy, where he broke down and admitted he had manipulated the data. Although the cells which were supposed to produce IL-4A stopped producing in mid-experiment, Milanese and his assistants were quite willing to issue a public statement claiming their research had opened up a whole new dimension in cancer therapy.

Is science going to become a gigantic lie factory? It will if journals like Science promote scientific claims, no matter how far-fetched, without bothering to check. One problem is that science publications feel constrained to give minority scientists (they are, of course, disproportionately involved in the frauds) an affirmative action break in the form of premature and occasionally misleading publicity.
HE NEW SCOPES TRIAL or which monkey should get the banana? It seems to me that the evolutionists have brought this new crisis on themselves. As awful as the Supreme Court decision is apt to be, they will have only themselves to blame. They seem to be trying to insure that the teaching of evolution will not go on. They are as sunk in the Judeo-Christian tradition of intolerance as the creationists themselves. The two sides are a good match for each other, and neither is a credit to the species.

The creationists say that evolution is just a hypothesis, and that their own hypothesis deserves equal time. To their amazement, and mine, the evolutionists react to this by saying that evolution is not a hypothesis, but a proven fact. And that the Biblical account cannot be allowed hypothesis-standing: it is a myth. Some of them cite even psychiatric judgments about crazies who believe in God.

Now, it seems clear to me that evolution theory is just that -- a theory -- a hypothesis. To call it a fact, as every prominent evolutionist seems to have come forward and done, is an abuse of words, showing the same intolerance as the Bibolaters. The creationists have said nothing remarkable; they are perfectly correct. Theories are theories. Heretofore this has been the scientific position, including the insistence that biblical explanations are theories, too. Theories are only as good as they fit the facts. This used to keep the Bibolaters on the run. Now the tables are turned, and the scientists are scattering like incompetent nineties. I say, for shame! Who are these men who stand on the shoulders of giants? The new Scopes trial is showing us that the occupants of our great academic chairs have the mentality of imams and rabbis of Tehran and Jerusalem, as blind to the truth as Kremlin ideologues.

The proper response -- and the response that would have kept the case from ever getting this far -- is that evolution theory is indeed only a theory, and its merits are only such as appear to anyone who is shown the facts and the fit, relative to rival theories, such as the biblical hypothesis. It is almost impossible to teach evolution theory without teaching the biblical theory. Indeed, a large part -- I once counted the pages -- of the Origin of Species is devoted to the biblical account of creation. The Bibolaters have been given equal time, and this is, at bottom, what they regret. What they are asking for is not equal time, but to have their theory forced down the throats of schoolchildren as a proven fact. Their lawsuit is an outrageous assault on freedom of thought. They are trying to regain their historic position: religious intolerance with the law behind it.

But what have the wishy-washy, mealy-mouthed evolutionists managed to do? They have let themselves be maneuvered into the position of being the ones who are forcing proven facts down the throats of poor suffering students. It is obvious from the briefs filed by their lawyers that they want to join the battle on religious, not scientific, grounds. They are responding to the empty charge of the creationists by demanding that the court give them exclusive right to preach in the classroom, and the gist of their sermon is that religion is a myth and there is no God. Now, evolution theory covers a lot of ground, including, as Darwin maintained, religion and the question of the existence of God. But this doesn't mean that scientific scrutiny in these areas is entirely destructive, or that the question of whether there is a God or not has been settled.

It remains, at least in my opinion, one of the central issues confronting the species. Darwin put the matter thus: Who is God, Man or Nature? If it is Man, then the atheists are correct, and also their credo that any and every human life is worth the whole world. But if it is Nature, then there is an external standard of behavior to which humanity must conform, or face extinction. In this view, the study of evolution is the friend of religious thought and striving. It answers to the species cognomen of sapiens. But the imams of evolution want to put a stop to this kind of thinking.

I never could have imagined siding with Bibolaters against science, but this is the reaction the evolutionists inspire in me with their spirit of mutual intolerance. The scientists have been well and truly outmaneuvered, and I almost hope they lose. It would serve them good and right. If they do win, those of us who love freedom of thought in the pursuit of truth will be losers anyway.

THE POPULARITY OF TREASON. Decline of civilization is not immediately apparent. The state does not die overnight. Even when the army and police are undermined, the worst does not happen. The citizen feels a heady sense of release. One grows used to living with bad omens. Now it appears that we can no longer keep a President. For the past few elections we have been putting into office any Congressman who will vote for entitlements, and any President who will lower taxes. Thus the stage is set. Congress escalates entitlements. The President exercises the veto. Pretexts for impeachment are looked for, and found. The crime, however, as the whole world knows, is fiscal responsibility. The protagonists follow the script written at the polls. But it is not Greek tragedy. It is children at play -- the pure in heart -- the dependent and naughty -- resents of parental discipline united by the common enemy -- democratic autocrats.
Without the knack of self-government.

Considering how long it has lasted, and considering that it was designed for literate, property-owning adults, we think our government cannot collapse. We think it is immortal. We think it is the enemy. We do not support it. We say it is destroying us. Thus we come to that most astounding of national crazes: the popularity of treason. Treason becomes the road to riches and acclaim. Subverters of peace, subverters of war become the children of the hour. Anyone who dares act in our behalf we betray. The craze extends to, and consumes, corporate America (caveat vendor), and labor unions are by no means spared. Good is bad and bad is good. The future is cashed in on, sold and voided. Continents lie in ruins. Treasure is given away.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

The absolute nadir of my North American trip was the city of Detroit -- a great wasteland struck by the black blight, with shuttered shops and barricaded entrances to the supermarkets, permitting the entry of only one customer at a time. The air tank of sulphur compounds emanating from the steel factories, a stench only exceeded by that of urine in every convenient corner. Even the factories are run down. They can't be compared to the new steel rolling mill up at Hamilton, not to speak of those in Japan and West Germany. Unlike their foreign counterparts, the U.S. factories are not heavily subsidised, and pollution is politically unimportant beside the preservation of jobs. The so-called Renaissance Center, which has to be guarded night and day against the good citizens of Detroit, is the sum total of culture in the city. In the evening I was taken to a Hungarian restaurant where the aging clientele, speaking very quietly, ate without a single Negro in sight. The entry of a crowd of ebullient young tourists from Europe was described as "more life than we've seen in twenty years." "America is nice, isn't it?" said the manageress rather uncertainly to a young German girl. "Very nice," said the young girl, who, once outside, put on a scarf to her face and hurried to her bus through the reeking air.

That evening, my guide, a native Detroiter who has inexplicably made a career elsewhere, took me downtown, explaining that all would be well as we were going to park near the central police station. He was wrong. Where we parked the sidewalks were crammed with a motley crowd of young Negroes in sneakers, passing envelopes to each other and dashing away, older Negroes sniffing round parked cars for loot, and white teenagers dressed in punk outfits, some obviously out for a cheap thrill, others completely shot to bits with drugs. When we got out of the car, we heard a curious slapping sound accompanied by low cries through several layers of wall. My guide explained that the Negro detainedees were trying to attract the attention of the police guards. A few minutes later, a Negro demonstration against South Africa came right up the middle of the street, as two cars on the other side of the road were burnt out with a Molotov cocktail (though none of this appeared in the national press the next day). It had been a fascinating evening, but now it was time to go.

The biggest contrast between the cities of Detroit and Windsor, just across the river-like strait, is that Windsor still has plenty of flowerbeds and one can walk about freely, though already the streets are beginning to pullulate with nonwhites. One can foresee the fate of Windsor in twenty years as very little different from that of Detroit. At the tunnel or the bridge, the Canadian police are unobtrusive but the American border guards are really under threat -- edgy and nervous with enormous pairs of pistols sticking out from holsters. But no police were to be seen when we drove out on the freeway towards Sault Ste. Marie. It was pure bedlam -- with Negroes in rusted-up jalopies swinging clear across four lanes. In no European country would such vehicles be allowed on the roads.

However, I must add that a party of Austrians I later came across in Jasper National Park demonstrated the following driving idiosyncrasies on the road down to Banff: crossing double yellow lines in a convoy, overtaking in convoy on a curving road, driving at a hundred miles an hour in a built-up area, stopping suddenly on a bridge to take photographs (it nearly resulted in several deaths, that manoeuvre).

At a roadside stop near Banff I innocently asked one of the Austrian drivers whether he thought Canadian drivers were any good. Well, he said guardedly, they were very disciplined as regards keeping their lanes, but they did stay so far apart, and one couldn't really judge a driver unless he was forced to demonstrate quick reactions. A recent report on
road deaths in the First World puts Sweden at the top of the league with 9.4 deaths per 100,000 per year on the roads. Britain was second with ten. Italy (where at least the drivers concentrate on their driving) has 14, Australia 17, the U.S. and West Germany 18 (better than before), Canada and France 23 (mainly because of alcoholism), Austria 26 and Portugal over 30. I am not planning to drive in Portugal any time soon. Not that the figures necessarily reflect on the extent, like everyone else. However, whenever one approaches a big city, the slaloming vehicles are almost invariably driven by minorities.

The hinterland of Canada is splendid. But it is sad to see how it is being struck, not by the black blight but by produce-and-consume. The mining town of Sudbury, in Ontario, is a case in point -- literally blackened by the compounds released from the sulphuric acid process electrolysing ores at the big processing plant nearby. As pollution is slightly curbed and tiny signs of plant life begin to reappear, encouraged by the summer labour of students putting crushed limestone on the bare earth, the inhabitants are beginning to complain because the mosquitoes are coming back.

Nevertheless, there is so much in Canada worth seeing and doing. The tourist ship sailed me right up to within a few yards of the thundering falls at Niagara. Nearby is an attractive park, where the war memorial records almost exclusively British names. Later I saw the magnificent Takakkaw Falls above Kicking Horse Pass, flowing down from the Dali Glacier. I often camped out in Alberta and British Columbia, meeting a lot of helpful people and eating extremely well (steaks on the grill, enormous mushrooms from the woods, wonderful fruit from the Okanagan Valley). There was no room at the inn when we arrived in Vancouver, because of Expo '86, so we camped down at Lawson. The people were extremely friendly, and the young man who managed the campground had figured out that unemployment in British Columbia was directly related to uncontrolled immigration. The next morning a courtly Southerner greeted me with the words, “Good morning, sir, and how are you?”

Expo '86 made a mixed impression. The low point was the Australian Pavilion, where we were shown a film of Balloon Safarls. The Chinese did the sensible thing -- and I heartily endorsed this idea.

In the race-crossed eyes of the Wall Street Journal, E. Digby Baltzell is the world's greatest authority on WASPs. Retiring from his job as a full-time professor at the University of Pennsylvania, Baltzell is now writing a new book that claims, correctly, that in the good old days of amateur tennis, when manners were more important than money, a brash character like John McEnroe would have been thrown off the courts for good the first time he opened his brassy mouth.

In earlier books, Baltzell, not a very WASP-like name, insinuated that the WASP aristocracy practically committed suicide because it didn't welcome Jews into its ranks fast enough. The fork-tongued pedagogue gave further proof of his Semitic tilt by explaining that his obsessive interest in WASPs was triggered by watching his prep school classmates “taunt” a Jewish student.

It's easy to see why all this subtle anti-WASPery appeals to the Wall Street Journal, whose editor and publisher are both Jewish and whose owner is Dow Jones. Warren Phillips, Dow Jones CEO, was born a Jew, though he later converted to Christianity.

Baltzell may be a WASP, but he is playing a Jewish game, as Murray Friedman, an American Jewish Committee official, recognized when he wrote that the professor's book, The Protestant Establishment, was a "major weapon in the battle against prejudice and bigotry."
As TV becomes more heavily saturated with black faces, we are being told that they are not black enough. A letter to the New York Daily News (Sept. 21, 1986) says that in TV dramas black actors kiss and fondle actresses who are so devoid of melanin they are almost white. The writer wondered why darker-skinned Negroes are not getting those cushy parts. She was sure that the TV producers' strict adherence to the "lighter is better" aesthetic was doing immense psychological damage to a "whole generation of young girls with unmistakably brown skin and kinky hair."

Another letter printed the same day in the same paper stated that The Cosby Show, which the writer called "cutesy," was bad because it set "impossible goals" for blacks. To be a family like the Huxtables, both parents have to be affluent doctors or lawyers and all the kids have to be "intelligent and pretty" with "no hang-ups." Cosby was chided for huckstering such high-sugar products as Jello and Coke, which black kids consume in dangerous quantities.

* * *

From Zip 361: Well, country music fans, our next-to-last refuge from the blacks has entered the final stage of "integration." I say "next-to-last" because we still have bluegrass music, unless that's a little too "down-home" for y'all.

At the Country Music Awards show, viewers were treated to no less than three performances featuring blacks. Alabama whites must have been truly flattered when the group which bears that state's name teamed up with a black Alabamian, Lionel Ritchie, to sing a current country hit, "Deep River Woman." Next came a duet with Earl Thomas Conley and Anita Pointer of the black Pointer Sisters. It was a relief to note that the salt-and-pepper duo refrained from hugging and kissing while acknowledging the audience's applause. Finally, there was a gospel number by two whites (I don't recall their names) backed up by black gospel singers.

The gospel combination could perhaps be defended as having some cultural authenticity, given the origins of this genre. The other black performances, however, fly in the face of country music tradition and would seem to indicate that what we, out of habit, call country music has reached a point where it is less and less distinguishable from any other form of American popular music. Oftentimes now, you have to listen to a radio station during two, three or four songs before you can judge whether it's a "country station."

This year, its 20th, the Country Music Association show was emceed by Willie Nelson and Kris Kristofferson, the former recently honored by the B'nai B'rith, the latter an ex-hippie -- hardly what you would call traditional country folks. Old timers like Roy Acuff and Grandpa Jones were conspicuously absent. Maybe they declined to attend after seeing the program sched-

ule. Next year when the show comes around, I won't be watching. Let 'em have it. Bluegrass, anyone?

* * *

TV's antiwhite crusade gathered steam with renegade Martin Mull's announcement that he has made four new episodes of his smearcom, "The History of White People in America."

* * *

On January 10, 1985, when Jesse Helms first called for a conservative buyout of CBS, its stock was selling at $73.88 per share. On Sept. 12, 1986, it was quoted at $131, a rise which immensely enriched Messrs. Paley and Tisch. A little later, the company fired Its WASP CEO, Thomas Wyman, and was once again back in Jewish hands. All rather counterproductive, wasn't it, Senator?

"Upon examination, 95% of the villains on TV and the movies are pudgy, middle-aged white men . . . . I think I know why . . . . They are the only remaining minority group that can be offended with impunity. Offending anybody else is fascist, sexist, agist, or imperialist . . . ." (Dale McFetters, Scripps-Howard News Service)

Japanese children, age 10-15, watch as much TV as American boys and girls of the same age. Why, then, do the Nipponese kids score better on tests? Because they spend two to three hours a day on their homework, compared to the Americans' skimpy half-hour.
Talking Numbers

106 unattributed quotes were counted in a single issue of the Washington Post (July 30, 1986).

Ralph Lauren and Calvin Klein are the two richest fashion designers. The former, worth some $300 million, had an after-tax income of $15-20 million in 1985; the latter’s net worth is more than $100 million. Served by an English butler, Lauren lives in a $6.5 million Fifth Avenue duplex, when he is not on his 10,000-acre Colorado ranch. Klein owns a $1.5 million Central Park West duplex and homes on Fire Island and Key West. Both are Jews. The third richest fashion designer, Liz Claiborne, is married to Art Ortenberg, her business partner. They fly to their $4 million weekend estate on Fire Island in their own seaplane. Other residences include a winter hideaway in St. Barts and a Montana ranch.

The Book of the Month Club turned 60 last year. It has shipped 440 million books, enough to put 5 books into every American household. The all-time best seller? William Shirer’s The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich, which is still actively promoted despite its countless proven errors.

Sylvester Stallone is still worth $40 million after his ex-wife got $25 million in the divorce settlement. His father was an Italian immigrant; his mother a chorus girl.

Of the 75,123 reported car thefts in Michigan in 1985, 63,126 occurred in Metro Detroit.

In May-July 1986, the New York Times ran 219 stories on South Africa, 36 on the rest of sub-Saharan Africa. The Washington Post’s coverage was slightly less skewed: 196 stories on South Africa, 45 on Black Africa.

3,777 Negro heads of families owned slaves in the U.S. in 1830.

Approximately 53% of the country’s 8.7 million single mothers receive not a penny of child support from the fathers.

The Sultan of Brunei banks $3.78 billion a year in oil revenues, which more than pays for his $422 million, 1,788-room palace and its 110-car garage.

Parade recently asked former Maryland Congressman Robert Bauman to “guessmate” the number of gays and lesbians in the 99th Congress. “Ten gays and one lesbian,” he replied. “He should know,” commented Parade.

In 1401, Mongol hordes sacked Baghdad, supposedly killing all but 100 residents. The libraries were looted and all the books were thrown into the Tigris. Legend has it that “the water ran blue with ink for four days.” It is no legend that, in the city of Leipzig during WWII, more than 1.5 million manuscripts were destroyed in a single night by Allied bombs.

Insurance companies, gouged by lawyers, are now gouging the rest of us. In 1984 alone, the cost of malpractice premiums rose 300 to 900% for architects, 200 to 500% for day care centers, and 300 to 1,000% for public transit authorities.

Ex-Beatle Paul McCartney has made $57.5 million since 1979.

A survey of 386 men between 21 and 46 in West Germany revealed that 72% of the black-haired respondents would like to have sexual intercourse daily; 58% of the blonds; 43% of the brunets; 31% of the redheads. The count for those wishing intercourse twice a week was redheads 66%; brunets 43%; blonds 32%; black-haired 11%. The above is what they wanted. This is how the respondents metered their success in having intercourse twice a week: 56% of the blonds, 55% brunets, 47% black-haired, 27% redheads.

Of the 100 top art collectors in the U.S. listed in Art and Antiques (March 1986), 46 were identifiably Jewish. Many others were minority members of various hues and types. One was Bill Cosby.

42% of the first-year graduate students in physics at U.S. universities are foreigners.

The Gannett chain owns 92 newspapers. Its biggest loser is the minority-titled USA Today (1.46 million circulation), whose losses were expected to reach $360 million by the end of 1986.

In 1960-84, “civil rights” suits rose from 280 a year to 28,000.

Blacks, Hispanics and Asians now comprise 34% of New York City’s population. In 1980-85, the number of Hispanics in Zoo City increased by 416,000; blacks by 146,000; Asians by more than 20,000. In the same period, whites decreased by 276,000.

Of the 4,449,238 Palestinians in the world, 1,834,800 (41.2%) are in Israel or lands occupied by Israel. Jordan has 1,148, 334; Lebanon 358,207; Kuwait 299,700; Syria 222,525; Saudi Arabia 136,779; U.S. 104,856. The rest are mostly scattered throughout the Middle East and North Africa.

Iceland has had one armed robbery in its history.

Apples cost $5.25 each in Tokyo; a box of 104 cherries $240 (that’s $2.30 a cherry); imported cantaloupes @ $6.

A recent Gallup Poll in Britain indicated that 34% of the Japanese want to be rich; 15% of Americans; 9% of Brits.
The median time served for a “life sentence” was 5 years, 9 months, as of 1982. (Crime and Justice Facts, 1985, U.S. Department of Justice)

It costs $17,210 a year to attend Bennington College, which started out as and largely remains a hyperliberal brainwashing clinic for females.

12% of U.S. whites and 70% of U.S. blacks experience some symptoms of intolerance to milk.

Israel has 130,000 men and women under arms, backed up by about 3,800 tanks and 645 aircraft. Syria has 396,000 under arms with 4,100 tanks and 650 aircraft.

The United Nations Relief and Works Agency, established to help Palestinian refugees, has an annual budget of close to $200 million and a staff of 17,500, of whom all but 135 are Palestinians. Two-thirds of the money and man-hours are allocated to educating 350,000 Palestinian children through the ninth grade in 637 schools.

The typical black family in the U.S. has less than one-tenth the net worth ($3,397) of the typical white family. Net worth of the typical Hispanic family is $4,913.

The Helena Rubinstein Foundation gave $3 million to the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington (DC). The money will be used to build a movie theater to show Holocaust horror films. Roy Titus, the son of Helena Rubinstein, announced the gift, the largest so far in a campaign to raise $100 million, saying that many members of his mother’s family and the family of his wife, Niuta, perished in the Holocaust.

In 1985 the South African census showed 4,586,739 whites (17.8%), 821,361 Indians (3.1%), 2,832,705 Coloureds (10.1%) and 15,168,840 blacks (68.7%).

In 1985, 10,600 immigrants came to Israel; 15,300 Israelis moved out.

In 1980 the federal government took in $517 billion and spent $577 billion; in 1986 it took in $769 billion and spent $990 billion. In 1980, the national debt was slightly over $900 million; today it stands at more than $2 trillion.

The blakcest congressional district is Illinois’ First in Chicago’s South Side; the most Asian is Hawaii’s First in Honolulu; the most Indian is New Mexico’s Third in Santa Fe; the most Hispanic is Texas’ Fifteenth in McAllen; the most “English” is Utah’s First in Ogden; the most Irish is Massachusetts’ Eleventh in Boston and environs; the most French is Louisiana’s Seventh in Lake Charles; the most Italian is New York City’s Fourteenth in Staten island; the most German, Wisconsin’s Sixth in Oshkosh; the most Polish, Wisconsin’s Fourth in south Milwaukee.

Australia has some 80,000 Jews (0.4% of the population), 30,000 live in Melbourne, 28,000 in Sydney. The first Jews to arrive in the island continent were eight convicts. The first “free” Jew, Barnett Levey, landed in 1821. Until the turn of the century, Jewish immigrants came from Britain. In the 1930s to 50s, European Jews dominated. Most recently, South African Jews have begun to arrive in some numbers. Australia has already had two Jewish Governors-General and a half-Jewish Prime Minister.

In last November’s elections, Jews voted 71% for Democratic candidates for the House of Representatives; Catholics 57%, blacks 87%; Hispanics 69%. The white vote was split almost evenly between Democrats and Republicans. In Dade County (FL), blacks voted 18 to 1 for Democrat Robert Graham, the retiring governor and now senator; Hispanics 5 to 1, Jews 6 to 1, Anglos 3 to 2. Blacks and Anglos voted against legalizing gambling casinos. Jews and Hispanics voted for the measure, which was defeated.

Gregory King, an early-bird English demographer, calculated in 1696 that a population of 22 million would be the most his country could support, a number that would be reached by the year 3500 or 3600 -- “in case the world should last so long.” He estimated that the English population in 1900 would be 7,350,000. (The Menace of Colour by I.W. Gregory)

157,000 Puerto Ricans moved to the U.S. in 1980-85.

500 Hungarians, 1,200 Czechs and 2,500 Poles have returned from the U.S. to their native countries since WWII.

Japan has 705 illegal aliens in a population of 120,866,501. Of the 841,831 registered non-Japanese, more than 80% are Koreans.

2,147 persons were killed in the accident at the Union Carbide pesticide plant in Bhopal, India, Dec. 3, 1984; 30,000-40,000 were severely injured; 200,000 sustained some injuries. Claims for damages totaling $3 billion have been filed. The Indian government owned 25% of the plant at the time of the accident. Union Carbide claims a “disgruntled employee” deliberately caused the disaster.

The S.I. Jacobson Mfg. Co. won a $500,000 libel judgment against Lauren Januz, formerly of Lake Forest (IL), for a letter in which he called the plaintiff a “big bunch of kike crooks,” and referred to a Jacobson executive as “their little Jew bastard.” Januz is or was a direct-mail specialist.

The Hispanic voting rate in the U.S. is 48%, compared to the 53% rate for all American citizens. 35% of legal Mexican immigrants are naturalized, compared to 66% of Asians.

Detroit and Chicago rate highest among large cities for racial segregation. New York and Los Angeles are tied for third and fourth. Washington (DC) comes in fifth.

In 1966 Congress spent $231 million on itself and its agencies; in 1986 $1.9 billion. Not including the agencies, that’s $3.6 million per senator and $1.3 million per representative. Among the 1986 congressional expenditures was $140 million worth of “free” mail.

Ponderable Quote

Atrocities were committed by both sides. That fall our fighter group received orders from the Eighth Air Force to stage a maximum effort. Our seventy-five Mustangs were assigned an area of fifty miles by fifty miles inside Germany and ordered to strafe anything that moved. The object was to demoralize the German population. Nobody asked our opinion about whether we were actually demoralizing the survivors or maybe enraging them to stage their own maximum effort in behalf of the Nazi war effort. We weren’t asked how we felt zapping people. It was a miserable, dirty mission, but we all took off on time and did it. If it occurred to anyone to refuse to participate (nobody refused, as I recall) that person would have probably been court-martialed.

Chuck Yeager
What an ignoble noble! The future Duke of Marlborough, if drugs don’t kill him in the interim, was given a two-year suspend­ed sentence for cocaine possession. The 27-year-old MARQUESSE OF BLANFORD was so hooked on coke he stole silver and antiques from his father’s Blenheim Palace. Other big-name junkies recently in the news in Britain included Count GOTT­fried von Bismarck, great-grandson of the Iron Chancellor, and OLIVIA CHAN­NON, daughter of Trade Secretary Paul Channon, who choked to death on her own vomit after a wild alcohol and dope binge at Oxford.

Minneapolis Judge ALBERTO MIERA JR., says his former court reporter in a sexual harassment suit, was constantly trying to seduce him and, when he resisted the advances, fired him. Miera, an Hispanic, was one of the recent signers of a public letter to Congress urging the denial of aid to the Contras.

Groom for a wedding planned for some­time this year will be MARK THATCHER, 33, son of the British Prime Minister. The bride is DIANE BERGDORF, a Dallas business­woman.

Paul Engle, 26, an Arizona State Prison inmate known for his pro-Majority views, was stabbed to death. Inmate MARK OS­BORN, 30, race unspecified, was charged with the crime.

Though only two months old, Channel Hurley of East Flatbush (NY) died after being raped and sodomized.

LYN NOFZIGER, one of Reagan’s most trusted cronies, was given $1 million worth of stock by Wedtech, a Bronx defense con­tract company, for helping steer federal business its way. Another half-million in stock was handed to Wedtech stockholders: RICHARD BIAG­GI, son of Congressman Mario Biaggi, and JAMES ASPEN, brother of Representative Les Aspen. Wedtech was founded by FRED NEUBERGER and JOHN MARIOTTA, an Hispanic. It was declared a minority company (lots of set-aside plums) because originally Mariotta owned 51% of the stock. But it illegally clung to its minority status even after it went public. Nofziger was hired by Wedtech as its PR man after he left the Reagan administration.

ANWAR SADAT, long after his death, is still being paid off for signing the Camp David accords. The University of South Carolina gave his widow, JIHAN SADAT, $313,000 to teach one course on Egyptian culture for three semesters.

Onetime heroin addict TERRY GARRETT won an October spin of the California lottery, which gives him $40,000 a year for life. Not many days later he was arrested on narcotics and firearms charges and for stealing two bottles of cognac from a liquor store.

WOODY ALLEN, the IRS asserts, owes Uncle Sam more than $300,000 in back taxes and penalties.

Even the best pols are tainted. Gov. RICHARD LAMM of Colorado, opposed to gambling in his own state, wrote a letter to Nevada gambling authorities on behalf of his friend, MEYER BLINDER, who wants to get into the casino business. Lamm had an account with Blinder’s brokerage firm, which has been suspended from trading by the SEC. As a “favored” customer, Lamm saw his account quickly grow from $5,000 to $49,000. Lamm says he will give his profits to charity and that he no longer deals with Blinder, who was known as the king of penny stocks.

ROBERT MUGABE, the white-hating black Napoleon of Zimbabwe, was given an honorary doctorate of law by the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. When Mugabe was told that Western nations might not like his plan to violate his country’s constitution and cancel the 20 parlia­mentary seats reserved for whites, he replied, they “can go hang.”

One way to kill the allegation that SAT tests are culturally biased against blacks would be to have a black appointed boss of the Education Testing Service, which puts out the SATs. That is exactly what has been done. DONALD M. STEWART, the former president of black Spellman College, is the ETS’s new head.

How much further down the integration­ist path can you go than have black politi­cian MICHAEL LOMAX play the part of Rhett Butler at Atlanta’s $125-a-ticket Armony Ball? Scarlett O’Hara, his dancing partner, was white beauty queen CAIA COLEY, a former Miss Atlanta. The South, as Atlanta Journal columnist Martha Wood­ham happily reported, has really gone with the wind.

Two art lovers had their picture taken together at a New York City sculpture show. They were SYDNEY BARROWS, the so-called Mayflower Madam, and MRS. SAMMY DAVIS JR.
SENG VEE CHAN, 21, was charged with mortally wounding James Gallagher, 23, by stabbing him in the heart after an argument that started when Seng claimed his vehicle was cut off in Greenwich Village by a car in which Gallagher and three other white students were riding.

JAMES BALDWIN, the black faggot racist, warned Americans that they had "5,000 days" to "recreate the world" or face a racial Holocaust. What Baldwin meant by this delphic utterance was that infaceable racial distinctions in the U.S. must be effaced within 13.6 years or blacks will efface them by force.

ELIE WIESEL, who led the almost successful worldwide crusade to keep Reagan worldwide crusade to keep Reagan in office, was cut off in Greenwich Village by white students riding in a car in which Seng claimed his vehicle was cut off in Greenwich Village by white students riding.

viet Union several months ago. No comment that started when Seng claimed his vehicle was cut off in Greenwich Village by white students riding. His wife Cristina, a white model, got together for a friendly game of two-handed poker. But the game broke up when LEMIRA WHITE, who is not white, accused son David of cheating and shot him dead.

JOHN Z. DeLOREAN, videotaped in the midst of a drug deal but acquitted, was in Detroit last November to stand trial for bilking stockholders of his defunct auto company out of $8.5 million. He was not accompanied by the new love of his life, COCO MITCHELL, a black model who, he confessed to the press, was teaching him a lot about race and racism. Of Romanian descent, DeLorean, whose jaw was rebuilt to make him appear more macho, was given the gate by wife Cristina, a white model, one day after he beat the drug rap.

DANIEL HELLER, the Miami income tax dodger ($260,000) who managed to get his 1983 conviction overturned because one of the jurors had made anti-Semitic remarks, was re-convicted last November and given a three-year prison sentence. Dragging in a hundred friends and admirers, including his rabbi, to sing his praises at the sentencing hearing did the millionaire peddler, spent the night in jail after joining a violent student demonstration protesting CIA recruitment at the University of Nebraska. That is, until he was caught inside a doctor's office one night last summer and charged with burglary. Investigation proved he was a suspect in the murder of two New York policemen. A onetime member of the white-hating Black Liberation Army, he had been mistakenly released from a New York prison 11 years ago. Hired by the university on the basis of a phony résumé, Stewart taught courses in criminal justice.

JOSE CARBRERA, a Seattle fag, was acquitted of murdering his lover, MAXIMILIANO MARIN, a black Cuban. His lawyer used the battered woman defense.

ABBIE HOFFMAN, the convicted dope peddler, spent the night in jail after joining a violent student demonstration protesting CIA recruitment at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. AMY CARTER, arrested with him and 50 other headline hounds, avoided jail and celebrated her third arrest in two years by paying a fine of $15. Abbie now makes $1,500 a night on the college lecture circuit. Amy, who has dyed her auburn hair black, indicated that father Jimmy approved of her scalawagish behavior.

MAY APHAYLATH, a Laotian refugee, stabbed his wife 16 times for speaking on the phone to a former boyfriend. But the good fellow was clearly "disoriented" by American culture, and all of that. So the trial judge at Monroe County Court should have permitted two experts on Laotian culture to testify in the wife-killer's defense. Since he didn't, Aphaylath must have a new trial. So ruled the NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS in a unanimous decision.

The new head of the National Security Council, taking the place of sacked Vice Admiral John Poindexter, is FRANK CARLUCCI, longtime Washington bureaucrat, who began his housecleaning in true affirmative action form by naming black Lt. Gen. Colin Powell as the agency's deputy director. Meanwhile, Linda Poindexter, the wife of the ousted admiral, was ordained an Episcopalian priest by JOHN T. WALKER, Washington's black Tutu-like bishop.

In his article on "Nakasone's World-Class Blunder," Newsweek's EZRA BOWEN spent some time thoroughly muddying the waters of the race/IQ controversy that isn't really a controversy. Bowen wrote that "the prevailing modern perspective" on the subject is that of LEON KAMIN, the Marxist psychologist at Princeton (who, in fact, has practically zero support in the scientific field of psychometrics but instant access to all the big media).

PAUL STEWART was, so other faculty members said, a "model" black professor at the University of Nebraska. That is, until he was caught inside a doctor's office one night last summer and charged with burglary. Investigation proved he was a suspect in the murder of two New York policemen. A onetime member of the white-hating Black Liberation Army, he had been mistakenly released from a New York prison 11 years ago. Hired by the university on the basis of a phony résumé, Stewart taught courses in criminal justice.

JOSE CARBRERA, a Seattle fag, was acquitted of murdering his lover, MAXIMILIANO MARIN, a black Cuban. His lawyer used the battered woman defense.

ABBIE HOFFMAN, the convicted dope peddler, spent the night in jail after joining a violent student demonstration protesting CIA recruitment at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. AMY CARTER, arrested with him and 50 other headline hounds, avoided jail and celebrated her third arrest in two years by paying a fine of $15. Abbie now makes $1,500 a night on the college lecture circuit. Amy, who has dyed her auburn hair black, indicated that father Jimmy approved of her scalawagish behavior.

ERIC BLAU, REUBEN HOPPENSTEIN and DOUGLAS FAIRBANKS JR. combined their talents to create a musical comedy based on the life of THEODOR HERZL, the founding father of modern Zionism. It had a one-night stand, its first tryout, at Zoo City's Cathedral of St. John the Divine. Tickets ranged from $9 to $1,000. Although it obviously wanted to be enthused, the audience was not.

JOHN and ALCIA CARNES were arrested in Ft. Worth for "possession of a controlled substance" and illegally carrying a weapon. Alicia is the daughter of JIM WRIGHT, the new Speaker of the House.

From a fuzzy newspaper photo, it can be deduced that HALLE BERRY, who represented the U.S. in the Miss World contest, is either a mulattress or an Hispanic.

Mom and son got together for a friendly game of two-handed poker. But the game broke up when LEMIRA WHITE, who is not white, accused son David of cheating and shot him dead.

HENRY RONO, a Kenyan who holds four world records in running, was arrested in New Jersey for participating in what the press called a "bank flimflam."

The Memphis Police Department posted its "most wanted" list of criminals. The "top 8" rogues' gallery consisted of 7 BLACK MALES AND 1 BLACK FEMALE.

The former Israeli vice-consul in Miami, DAVID MORDECHAI, was convicted of conspiring to help the notorious Jewish swindler, GURDON WOLFSOHN, to escape to Israel in return for a payment of $125,000. Wolfsohn, who cheated some 10,000 Americans out of an estimated $56 million by selling them bogus gas and oil leases, was sentenced in absentia to 20 years. He is now in Israel fighting extradition.

MAY APHAYLATH, a Laotian refugee, stabbed his wife 16 times for speaking on the phone to a former boyfriend. But the good fellow was clearly "disoriented" by American culture, and all of that. So the trial judge at Monroe County Court should have permitted two experts on Laotian culture to testify in the wife-killer's defense. Since he didn't, Aphaylath must have a new trial. So ruled the NEW YORK COURT OF APPEALS in a unanimous decision.
Britain. Britons still loyal to the Union Jack have long been looking for a “fifth man” in the disheartening tale of spy big-shots who have made a mockery of the nation’s intelligence service since WWII. The tour who have been discovered -- Guy Burgess (1st man), Donald Maclean (2nd), Kim Philby (3rd), Anthony Blunt (4th) -- all were homos except Philby, who was a drunk, and all went to Cambridge at about the same time. There has always been talk that the the late Sir Roger Hollis, head of MI5 (the hiv of counter-espionage old boys: MI6 is the spy agency) was also in the pay of Russia, but lately the finger of suspicion has been pointing at Baron Victor Rothschild, who was also at Cambridge with the “class of renegades,” who was a close friend of Anthony Blunt and who worked for MI5 during WWII.

As rumors began to swirl around Rothschild, he wrote a letter to the London Daily Telegraph demanding that Maggie Thatcher clear his name. Mrs. Thatcher, leaning the wrath of the Rothschilds, as all Britons have learned to do in the past two centuries, reluctantly and somewhat belatedly obliged.

It is true that Rothschild helped blow the whistle on Philby, but it is a common practice in the espionage business when one spy’s cover is blown, for a brother spy, to avoid suspicion, to lead the pack in denouncing him.

On the subject of spying, a newly published book, Blunder, by Tom Agoston, claims that in May 1945, American intelligence officers beat their British counterparts to 14 tons of secret German rocket documents by a few hours. But a “naive” U.S. officer didn’t believe the documents had much value, so when the Russians asked to see them, he handed them over. Agoston writes, “Washington has resolutely suppressed all attempts to prove [the truth of] the story for almost four decades.”

Agoston also believes that the Russians got precious additional information about German rocketry from General Hans Kammler, the top man on the German rocket totem pole, who mysteriously disappeared at war’s end.

John Tyndall, as we reported in the January Instauration, is now out of jail and back at his job as head of the British National Party. While behind bars, he pondered on his fate and the fate of a once great people. His thoughts, as well as an account of his day-to-day experiences in the lockup were published in Spearhead (Dec. 1986). His warnings about how far Brits can go in discussing race without falling afoul of Britain’s race laws should be of some interest to Majority activists in the U.S.

Tyndall and his colleague, John Morse, were accused of having “conspired . . . to publish divers items of written matter which were threatening, abusive and insulting in cases where, having regard to all the circumstances, hatred was likely to be stirred up against racial groups, namely coloured people. Asians and Jews in Great Britain.”

We’ll let Tyndall explain what followed:

Pending the trial, we were supplied with photocopies of all the material that was being used in the prosecution’s case. We asked for information on the specific passages that were considered to have landed us on the wrong side of the law but this information was never provided. It was obvious that the prosecution’s strategy was to produce in evidence as much material dealing with racial matters as the jury could be expected to digest, and hope that the general impact would shock the latter into finding against us.

In the outcome we were never told, even after we had been found guilty, which actual words were considered by the jury to have been unlawful and which were not, and we have had to surmise, in the light of the emphasis that the prosecution placed on each piece of evidence, which were the ones that were our undoing . . . .

The composition of the prosecuting team was itself a revelation of the powers currently ruling Britain. Remember that this was an action brought by the Crown, by the State; it was not a private action. Two British subjects were on trial in their own country, and it was a department of their own Government, not merely the office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, that had brought the charges against them.

The prosecution team consisted of three male barristers: Mr. Cassel (who led), Mr. Solly and Mr. Topolski. Accompanying them, and seemingly as a concession to the “Goyim,” was a Negress. It might have been asked of the Crown that one member of the team -- if only one -- should be a person with his or her ancestral roots among the Anglo-Saxon or Celtic peoples indigenous to this country. But we were not to be allowed even that small concession!

As I have said, we have got to guess at what items eventually secured our conviction, and it is exceedingly important that we should do so as correctly as possible, as our prosecution was obviously a test case, intended to be followed by others if successful. At stake is not only our own future freedom but that of our party colleagues and friends, and indeed all people in this country who venture to speak out in opposition to the multi-racial madness. The guidance that we give arising out of the outcome of our own case has a vital bearing on the whole future strategy and tactics employed on this issue . . . .

We consider that one of the major causes of our being found guilty was an editorial in the May 1985 issue of British Nationalist dealing with the South African situation, in which certain races were referred to in terms of superiority and inferiority. In the witness box we were asked what we meant by “superior” and “inferior” and we replied that we were referring to the accomplishments of the respective races throughout the world, and in particular Africa, and we had in mind as criteria the particular arts and capabilities required for the construction of our own civilization; we were not attempting to establish any absolute and all-embracing standard of what was superior and inferior . . . .

We feel that this item was in all likelihood one of those that influenced the jury’s state of mind, but we cannot indulge in the luxury of going into a long dissertation on that subject here; our concern is to protect ourselves and others against future race convictions, and we must therefore advise that the use of such terms as “superior” and “inferior” in description of different racial groups should in future be regarded as treading on thin ice . . . .

In the case of the contents of Spearhead, which did not concern the charge against John Morse, there were 3 items of evidence which probably had the greatest impact on the case. One of these was a series of 6 drawings printed in the January 1984 issue, which was subsequently reproduced as a leaflet, and which showed the various stages of change brought about in an area as it became settled increasingly by members of certain racial groups. This “cartoon strip,” as it were, was entitled “Middle Class Awakening,” and the drawings were underlined by captions quoting the remarks of a white couple living in one of the houses as they saw the change taking place . . . .

The second of the items in Spearhead used against me which must be considered as a possible cause of conviction was an article printed in the August 1984 issue which was in fact a reprint from an American publication affirming the belief that there existed a global race war and that in that war there could be no neutrals. It was quite obvious to us from the nature of the proceedings that this item had an impact on the court . . . .

I cannot elaborate on the wording contained in this article without running the risk of repeating the very same passages as those which led, probably, to my own conviction.

It would probably not be overstepping the bounds of “legality” in the future to use the expression “race war,” or indeed to admit that such a thing is in progress. For instance, suppose that someone wrote
that the effect of throwing different races

The British Broadcasting Corporation is

instauration has previously recounted the
testimony of blacks in Britain to the
cracy embedded in the English lan
guage by such words as "blackmail," "blackball" and "blackguard." After some
consideration of the problem, an English
woman, Peggy Mason, wrote a letter to a
London paper pointing out the difficulty of
substituting other colors for "black."

Red would be unacceptable to Marx-
iasts and possibly to Red Indians.
Yellow would offend the Orient, and is
associated with cowardice.
Brown would be offensive to Asians.
Orange would be offensive to the Irish.
Green would be offensive to Ulster-
men.
Blue is associated with blue films and
porn.
Pink might be offensive since most
"whites" are indeed pink.
So there seems to remain only mauve
as a non-racist substitute!

Mrs. Mason ended her letter by asking
what word might be suggested to take the
place of "our delicious blackberries."

The inauguration of Britain's first tele-
phone dating service for gays was tempo-
arily postponed "for technical reasons.

Ireland. Lt. Gen. Tadg O'Neill, chief of
staff of the Irish Army, says all its weapons
are worn out and his soldiers must be com-
pletely re-equipped. As it has never used
any of its old weapons, one wonders who
has worn them out.

Sweden. Olof Palme, the super-wimp-
ish, super-liberal Prime Minister of Swe-
den, was shot on a downtown Stockholm
street almost a year ago, but the murder is

view about the undesirability of the racial
change in Britain there are many who are
so flabby of mind that they cannot see justi-
fication in some of the vigorous methods
of racial self-defence that we have employed.
Better, in other words, racial death than to
risk hurting anyone outside one's own
group.

It was quite clear that this was a case the
"establishment" did not want to be highly
publicised. For most of the time there
seemed to be no reporters in court apart
from the representatives of far left, black
and Jewish publications. Scarcely a work
appeared in the Tory press usually so vehe-
mement about "anti-racist" persecution when
it comes from their political opponents. In
this case the persecution came of course
from a Tory Government, and that made it
a vastly different matter. The verdict having
been reached, the reports were very small
and, needless to say, quoted only what the
prosecuting counsel and judge said, with
nothing quoted of what we said.

The British Broadcasting Corporation is
miles above any U.S. television network,
commercial or noncommercial, especially,
in regard to taste, quality of programming
and level of intelligence. Nevertheless, it
is infected with the left-wing virus that cor-
rupts so much of American TV. Last sum-
mer Stuart Young, the Jewish accountant
appointed by Mrs. Thatcher to run the BBC,
unexpectedly succumbed to cancer. He
was succeeded temporarily by the Vice
Chairman, Lord Barnett, another Jewish
gentleman without any particular qualifica-
tions for this all-important job. No one
knows much about Marmaduke Hussey,
the new chairman, only recently appoint-
ed. Meanwhile, Michael Grade, the son of
the British Sam Goldwyn, Lord Lew Grade,
continues to serve as the boss of BBC-TV.
Top-heavy with ideology-ridden hier-
archies like those listed above, the BBC,
with its left-liberal-minority racial bias, was
bound to provoke the ire of fair-minded
Britons, Ian Curteis, one of the country's
most talented television writers, was com-
mmissioned to write a three-hour play on
the Falkland Islands operation, only to have
it turned down by a Mr. Peter Goodchild
(Gutkind?), BBC-TV's Head of Plays, be-
cause it was too kind to the lady Prime
Minister, who ordered that successful mili-
itary foray.

A Tory MP, Neil Hamilton, almost had
his political career ruined by a backhanded
liberal BBC's popular Panorama program,
in which he was denounced as a "right-
wing racist." He characterized the broad-
cast as a "vile, crude and wicked character
assassination with no vestiges of truth. . . ."
A jury agreed, and the BBC had to pay
Hamilton a huge amount of damages. At
about the same time, Lord Barnett had to
confess that the BBC played fast and loose
with the facts in a program called The

the race war is the responsibility of any
missible. What would, on the other hand,
not be prudent wou Id be to suggest: (1) that
Whites should take up a position of
enemy that has more firepower than we
must maintain the same uncompromising
and not his ....

that the jury were satisfied that one of these
fight him on ground of our own choosing
bound to be cut to pieces by him. We must
have. In a direct frontal challenge we are

We were found guilty of using words that
were "threatening, abusive or insulting." Here
the operative word is "or." It means
that the jury were satisfied that one of these
descriptions applied, though not necessar­
y to "stir up hatred." We offered in our de-
fence ample evidence that words which
them .... The general view was thatthe 12
psychological study to us as we were to

Throughout our trial the members of our
jury were, naturally enough, an object
of psychological study to us as we were to
them .... The general view was that the 12
jurors (all white, as we had eliminated no
less than 5 nonwhites by exercising the
right of challenge) were mostly a weak-
looking lot, predominantly middle- to
lower-middle-class and probably largely
with liberal sentiments. If they were con-
sidered to be the representative of the white
population of the Greater London area, it is
virtually certain that at least some of them
were unhappy about the immigration and
forced multiracialism and would favour
some repatriation scheme. Nevertheless,
they arrived at a unanimous verdict that we
had done wrong against the law. From this
it is quite clear that among the very large
section of the populace that shares our

One other item in Spearhead is likely to
have contributed to my own conviction
and this, if anything, more so than the others . . . . Again I am not able to quote the
offending words but can only say that they
contained decidedly unfavourable refer-
ences to the standards of morality achieved
by certain racial groups. Quite clearly, such
outright condemnation of the morality of
any identified racial group must now be
regarded as "dangerous," though it still
should be possible to say that concepts of
morality do differ between differing races.
and that this is an argument against the
proposition that they should be integrated
together . . . .

In the future both l and everyone else will
just have to "watch our footwork." We
must maintain the same uncompromising
opposition to the conspiracy of multiracial-
ism, but in doing so we must employ tactics
that ensure so far as possible that we main-
tain our freedom. We are up against an en-
memy that has more firepower than we
have. In a direct frontal challenge we are
bound to be cut to pieces by him. We must
fight him on ground of our own choosing
and not his . . . .

Jurors (all white, as we had eliminated
les'> thdn
some repatriation scheme. Nevertheless,
were unhappy about the immigration and

Although his political career ruined by a backhanded
liberal BBC's popular Panorama program,
in which he was denounced as a "right-
wing racist." He characterized the broad-
cast as a "vile, crude and wicked character
assassination with no vestiges of truth. . . ."

A jury agreed, and the BBC had to pay
Hamilton a huge amount of damages. At
about the same time, Lord Barnett had to
confess that the BBC played fast and loose
with the facts in a program called The

Monocled Matinee, about a WWI traitor.
A red herring was tossed into the dispute
by British Jews and Conservative Party big-
wigs who attacked the BBC for being "anti-
American" because it showed the death
and destruction meted out to Libyan civil-
ians by the U.S. air raid. The same kind of
complaints had been launched by British
Jews when BBC-TV cameras focused on
Israel's bombing of civilian targets in Beirut
in the invasion of Lebanon.

British TV families have to shell out £38 a
year to pay for the BBC. Despite its faults
and bias, we wish Americans could have
television that is half as good as that pro-
duced by the BBC. Most of us would be
happy to pay almost anything to get rid of
the asinine and mind-deadening commer-
cials. Moreover, government control of
programs couldn't possibly be more tilted
against anti-Majority news and anti-Majority sit-
coms than the bilious bilge put out every
24 hours by the Tweedledum and Tweed-
deeue overseers of NCB, ABC and CBS.

Red would be unacceptable to Marx-
iasts and possibly to Red Indians.
Yellow would offend the Orient, and is
associated with cowardice.
Brown would be offensive to Asians.
Orange would be offensive to the Irish.
Green would be offensive to Ulster-
men.
Blue is associated with blue films and
porn.
Pink might be offensive since most
"whites" are indeed pink.
So there seems to remain only mauve
as a non-racist substitute!

Mrs. Mason ended her letter by asking
what word might be suggested to take the
place of "our delicious blackberries."

The inauguration of Britain's first tele-
phone dating service for gays was tempo-
rarily postponed "for technical reasons.

Ireland. Lt. Gen. Tadg O'Neill, chief of
staff of the Irish Army, says all its weapons
are worn out and his soldiers must be com-
pletely re-equipped. As it has never used
any of its old weapons, one wonders who
has worn them out.

Sweden. Olof Palme, the super-wimp-
ish, super-liberal Prime Minister of Swe-
den, was shot on a downtown Stockholm
street almost a year ago, but the murder is
still unsolved. As expected, the police, inspired by the media, first tried to hang it on a Swedish rightist. When that ploy fell through, detectives ran into a stone wall, mainly because government authorities refused to permit any prying into Palme's private life, which was not exactly spotless. For one thing, he had had a long “association” with a Rothschild, Emma Rothschild to be precise, which had nothing to do with banking.

Emma, 38, is a British Rothschild, the daughter of Baron Victor Rothschild, who is now competing with her for headlines in British newspapers. She is a part-time faculty member at MIT, where she teaches a course on “Science, Technology and Society.” The Rothschilds used to exert a powerful influence on the European press, an influence that has not altogether waned. After Emma bought a $250,000 home less than 100 yards away from Olof’s residence, the Swedish secret police began to eavesdrop on the duo’s trysts. What would normally have been one of the juiciest newspaper scandals of the year, if lesser folk had been involved, for months was dead in the water, mainly because government authorities refused to permit any prying into Palme’s private life, which was not exactly spotless. For one thing, he had had a long “association” with a Rothschild, Emma Rothschild to be precise, which had nothing to do with banking.

The Palme-Rothschild liaison is a reminder of the strange alliance that so frequently exists between rich Jews and not-so-rich non-Jewish politicians, who ride into high office and stay there by attacking the rich.

Lisbet Palme, 55, the late Prime Minister’s widow, was walking by his side when he was killed, yet she has been of minimal help to the police in identifying suspects. After her husband’s death she was frequently seen in the company of Emma, who recently attended a riotous Stockholm Peace Conference, which awarded a prize to Nelson Mandela, the South African terrorist.

At last report five Kurds had been arrested on suspicion of having killed Palme. If some of Sweden’s “new immigrants” did the killing, it would be a case of Palme’s bread coming back on the waters. No politician was more responsible than the Viet Cong-loving, Sandinista-loving Olof for flooding Sweden with nonwhites and dark whites. After being held for a few days, the Kurds were released.

France. The defeat of Prime Minister Chirac’s educational reform was defeated in the same way a few years ago, but then the demonstrations were composed of average and above-average Frenchmen. The anti-Chirac mobs had a large substrata of professional agitators and teenage hoodlums.

The Socialists wanted to eliminate the last government subsidies to non-state-owned schools, which could then be incorporated into the monolithic left-wing educational system. Chirac wanted to give more independence to French universities -- all of them government-owned and tuition-free -- by letting them be more selective in student enrollment. He also wanted to raise registration fees from $20 to $100. Le Pen, who had just returned from a visit to Japan, reacted to Chirac’s surrender with these words: “Either the government reform was wrong, and if so, should never have been undertaken, or the reform was right and the government has shown its basic weakness in not implementing it.”

In the March 16, 1985, French elections, ethnic parties did very poorly. The Breton movement, by far the most numerous and vociferous, managed to get only 3,500 votes, probably because the party faithful were bitterly divided. The Occitan movement in southeast France got 2,500 votes. The Basques in southwest France and the Catalans in the eastern Pyrénées got 5,000 votes each. The Corsican movement pulled in 10,000 ballots.

Surprisingly, the ethnic party which received more votes than any other, in fact more than all the rest put together, was the one least heard of and least known -- the (German-speaking) Alsatian Party, which garnered 47,000 votes. The inhabitants of German frontier lands are beginning to stand up and be counted once again.

Parodying the recanting Galileo confessing to the Inquisition that the sun goes around the earth, although he knew the opposite to be the case, Konk, the French cartoonist, has the great Italian scientist genuflecting and admitting, “The gas chambers do exist.” The cartoon, which caused a mild sensation in Paris, appeared in the book, Droit et Histoire, by the French revisionist author, Pierre Guillaume.
Claude Lanzmann, the producer of the Jewish hate film, Shoah, was not well liked in the rarefied stratosphere of the French intelligentsia. An Israeli paper, in reporting his relationship to the late Simone de Beauvoir, mistress of the late Jean-Paul Sartre and one of the world’s leading feminists, attributed this quote to her, “He was such a proud and self-possessed jew he hated the goyim.” At one time, Lanzmann allegedly said to her, “I have an unending desire to kill them.”

When Shoah was shown on West German TV some months ago, only 2% of the viewing audience tuned in. Israel gave Lanzmann $800,000 to help finance his propaganda epic. In return it will become the property of the Yad Vashem Holocaust horror show in Israel.

Netherlands. This spring a $172,000 pink granite memorial will be unveiled “right slap in the middle of” Amsterdam. It will be the world’s first monument dedicated to the honor and glory of homosexuals. Some of the money was raised at a pink champagne party hosted by Dutch queers. The city fathers of Amsterdam contributed $43,000 to the project.

A company called De Slegte runs a dozen or so bookstores in the Netherlands and Flanders. In one of them a seminary student, Erik Koster, discovered a copy of Arthur Butz’s The Hoax of the Twentieth Century, along with Holocaust-critical works of Michael Hoffman II, Charles Weber and Udo Walendy. When Koster, in tried-and-true liberal fashion, protested strongly to the publishers, the non-Arab intelligence service vowed to prevent book-burning. It is Index on Censorship, 39c Highbury Place, London N5 1QP England. So far this group doesn’t seem too concerned about the destruction of revisionist books or the torching of the offices and warehouses of the publishers of such books. But a letter citing examples of these ultimate forms of thought control and Big Brotherism might at least induce Index officials to have second thoughts on where the major efforts to combat censorship should be concentrated.

West Germany. The German facsimile of Time, Der Spiegel (Nov. 30, 1986) stated that a West German intelligence report suggested that the two Palestinians convicted of bombing the West Berlin nightclub in March 1986, killing a black GI, were working for Israel. Der Spiegel quoted the report as also intimating that Nizar Hindawi, the Palestinian convicted in London of trying to smuggle a plastic bomb on an El Al airliner in the suitcase of his Irish fiancée, was connected to a “non-Arab intelligence service.”

The revelations more or less backed up what French Prime Minister Chirac had said in an interview published in the Washington Times -- words which he diplomatically took back after a walk with (and lecture from?) the Israeli Ambassador to France. Could it be that the U.S. bombing of Libya was a wild goose chase set off by Israeli missionaries and that the El Al affair was a deliberate Zionist hoax to stir up hatred for Syria, which was then accused of being closely involved both with Hindawi and the bomberdiers of the West Berlin nightclub?

Serge Thion, a leftist French writer of some repute, not only believes this but accuses Mossad of staging most of the terrorist attacks on Jews in Europe in recent years, including the famous blast outside the Paris synagogue and the more recent massacre of religious Jews in an Istanbul synagogue. He reminds his readers about the proven grenade attack in 1970 by Mossad on Iraqi Jews in a Baghdad synagogue in order to panic them into packing up and moving to Israel.

Switzerland. A Swiss doctor, Siegfried Ernst, published a remarkable article in the journal Medizin und Ideologie (Feb. 1986). Wondering why politicians and government leaders are so reluctant to do what is conducive to peace and prosperity in their countries, he came up with the idea that practically all political, economic and social issues in the present-day West are based on reactions to Hitler and Nazism. Whatever Hitler stood for, Western leaders feel duty bound to support the opposite.

A corollary, the massive liquidation of unorthodox people is Indispensable for the social order. Today mass conformity is being preached, and no one has the right not to conform.

Because Hitler stood for the principle of absolute authority, today all authorities are diabolized, treated as repression, and the call is out for total freedom and democratization.

Because Hitler esteemed that an elite is indispensable for the social order, today mass conformity is being preached, and no one has the right not to conform.

Because Hitler gave women and young ladies the motto, “faithfulness and beauty,” the women of today neglect these qualities and repel them whenever possible.

Because Hitler rewarded motherhood, contemporary women have as few children as possible.

Because Hitler had inscribed on the belt buckles of his soldiers, “Your honor is called fidelity,” there is no place anywhere today for fidelity and honor.

Because Hitler taught homosexuality, it is now openly promoted.

Israel. Mordechai Vanunu, the technician who blew the whistle on Israel’s nuclear stockpile, is in an Israeli jail, though how he got there from London no one seemed anxious to know. Some said he was lured onto a Mossad-chartered yacht by an overweight Israeli blonde named Cindy, who posed as an American cosmetic saleswoman on a European tour. Others suggested Vanunu was snatched off a London street and flown to Tel Aviv in an El Al airliner. The truth came out when Vanunu flashed the information on the palm of his hand as he was being taken to a preliminary hearing. He wrote he had been hijacked from Rome by Israeli agents.

Israelis have acquired a lot of experience in the kidnapping trade ever since they whisked Eichmann away from Argentina. But they have also been known to foul up -- e.g., Mossad’s abortive attempt to fly a drugged black politician from London to a fringe squad in Nigeria.

The kidnapping of Vanunu cries out for a full investigation, though little or nothing has been or probably will be done about it -- only a few muffled complaints about the violation of Italian sovereignty have so far been heard. No outraged politicians have appeared on TV every night to demand that civilized nations condemn the gangster tactics of a gangster state. Whenever Israel is involved, the laws of every country seem to go by the board.
At present, Vanunu is being held incom­
municado and charged with treason. In line
with the cardinal principle of Jewish law
that the accused is guilty until proven inno-
cent, the Israeli media have launched a ferocious campaign of slander against him.
Among other crimes, the onetime em-
ployee at the Dimona nuclear bomb factory is
accused of being a Communist, a friend of
the Arabs, and, worst of all — a convert to
Christianity.

As a further dig at international law, Is-
rael refused to allow France to extradite
William Nakash, a 25-year-old French Jew
who murdered an Arab and then took off
for Israel, where he was welcomed and
protected under the “law of return,” even
though he arrived with fake identity papers.
(When non-Jews arrive from Eastern Eu-
rope in Western countries with false iden-
tity papers, Jews are not as forgiving.)

In Israel, Nakash found it politic to be
“born again” and was soon a God-fearing
member of the Orthodox sect, which has a
lot of clout in the Knesset. Even though the
Zionist state has an extradition treaty with
France, an Israeli judge decided to ignore it
and ruled that Nakash could remain in the
country of Yahweh’s elect “as a free man.”

Law-abiding Israelis (they seem to be in
the minority) have appealed the case to the
Supreme Court, which ordered Nakash
held in jail until it has time to rule. Tribal
Israelis, on the other hand, have promp-
ted a heated campaign to let the lower court
ruling stand. Paunchy Ariei Sharon an-
ounced, “I object to handing Jews over to
non-Jews,” though he had never objected
to the opposite, which happens a hundred
times a day in the courts of the U.S., Britain
and the white British dominions.

Nakash was born in Paris, the son of an
Algerian Jewish couple. His elder brother,
opened a bar in Besançon, where he had
trouble with an Algerian Moslem, Abdelai
Hakkar. William decided to help his broth-
er “solve” his problems with the North Af-
rican. After a friend joined them, the three
went hunting for Hakkar. When they found
him, they put eight bullets in his back. It
was murder, pure and simple, but since
Jews were involved the crime inevitably
took on a new dimension. As William’s
lawyer explained, “He was fighting to curb
anti-Jewish actions promoted by local
Arabs.”

Nakash also claims that if he is sent back
to France and put in jail, his life would be in
danger because the deceased Hakkar “has
300 members of his clan scattered about in
French prisons.” William’s brother, who
didn’t get away, has been given a 14-year
sentence and is now in a French jail. The
third member of the hit squad, a Mr. Mimi,
feld to the U.S., which sent him back to
France, where he is now awaiting trial for
the murder.

William was arrested in Israel, not for
murder or for having false papers, but for
planning a local holdup, a charge which
has since been dropped.

Three Jews slay a man. Two are caught
and punished. The third, because he made it
to Israel, atones for his crime by spending
a few months in a comfortable jail with
friendly jailers. It may not have paid to be a
Jew in Hitler’s Germany, but it does pay to
be one in Sharon’s Israel. And it may soon
pay even more because the Knesset is now
considering a law that would allow every
Jew convicted of a crime in a foreign coun-
try to serve his time in Israel.

Grace Halsell in her new book, Proph-
ey and Politics: Militant Evangelists on the
Road to Nuclear War (Lawrence Hill,
$14.95) writes about certain American fun-
damentalists practically cheering for Israel
to start a nuclear war in order to fulfill what
their cramped minds conceive to be bibli-
cal prophecy. After taking part in two jen
Falwell tours to the Holy Land, Halsell
writes, “If Jewish fanatics, aided by Chris-
tian fanatics in a Holy War against the Mus-
lims destroy the Muslims’ most holy Jeru-
usalem shrines, they might easily trigger
World War III and a nuclear holocaust.”

In Falwell tours, Halsell points out,
Christians are not allowed to attend church
services in Israel or to meet Arab Christians.
They cannot even go to Bethlehem. “For
every hour for Christ’s teachings, we spent
about 30 hours on the political-military as-
pects of Israel’s life.”

India. Mahatma Gandhi wrote more
than 260 letters to his close friend, Herm-
mann Kallenbach, a Polish-Jewish archi-
tect who struck it rich in South Africa and
who gave him an 1,110-acre spread near
Johannesburg. As other Jewish owners of
letters written by important people on a
Jewish theme have done recently (Jeff-
erson’s letter to Mordechai Noah, Einstein’s
letter to Franklin Roosevelt), Kallenbach’s
heirs have put Gandhi’s epistles on the auc-
tion block. Just as Einstein’s words to FDR
begging him to crank up the world’s first
atomic bomb project are not exactly what
one would expect to flow from the pen of a
media saint, equally unsaintly was a letter
the revered Mahatma wrote about his wife:

She is the most venomous woman I
have ever met. She has been making my
life hell for me . . . If she could over-
come the strong desire to live with me,
she would have left me long ago.

Japan. In his Atlantic Monthly article on
the Japanese last September, author James
Follwer noted that “Japan seems differ-
ent and better in those details of daily life that
reflect consideration and duty.”

A thousand times a day in modern soci-
ety your life is made easier or harder,
depending on the care with which some-
one else has done his job. Are the news-
papers delivered on time? Are vending
machines fixed when they break? In
general, can you count on others to do
their best? In Japan you can.

From bureaucrats at the Ministry of
Foreign Affairs . . . Department store
package-wrappers, the Japanese seem
immune against the idea that discharging
their duty to others might be considered
“just a job.”

This same sense of social obligation is
imprinted in the Germanic peoples, or was
until very recently. Jack Anderson’s col-
umn last July 14 gave one good reason why it
is so precious a national asset: safety.
Anderson cited a study made last spring by
the Industrial Fastener Institute which con-
cluded that 80% of the Grade 8 alloy-steel
bolts sold on the American market are actu-
dally counterfeits of cheaper boron-steel.
Instead of being reliable to 800° Fahrenheit,
they “turn to putty” at 500°. As Anderson
puts it, “fraudulent fasteners may be
screwed into America’s vitals.”

With 3,000 bolts in the average family
car and perhaps 3 million in a jet aircraft,
an 80% counterfeit rate for the most dur-
able grade of bolt is serious business. The
tragic results of the fraud surround us: two
helicopter crashes on the West Coast last
spring, killing six men; the evacuation of a
Texas neighborhood after deadly gas
spewed from a plant; the collapse of a
Houston radio/TV tower, killing five; the
failure of three main anchoring bolts at a
nuclear plant in Midland (MI). In these and
many other accidents, the proven cause was
“fastener failure,” brought on by the
illegal substitution of counterfeit bolts, of-
ten imported from Japan and other foreign
countries.

In the past 10 years, nearly half of all U.S.
faster manufacturers have closed be-
cause of cheap foreign competition. As ear-
ly as 1982, a Commerce Department study
found that domestic makers could meet
only 45% of our military’s emergency
needs.

If Follaw is right, the Japanese bolt-
makers would be very reluctant to substi-
tute boron-steel for alloy-steel on their own
domestic market. But, as Anderson states,
“some U.S. importers appear to be cooper-
ating with the Japanese bolt counterfeiters
or even to be instigating the fraud.” Giv-
en so selfish and slipshod an American at-
titude, can one really blame the Japanese
for going along with it?”
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Compassion Conspiracy

It was a shock, but it was there in big, bold print - an advertisement pleading for leniency for Rudolf Hess, the 20th century prisoner of Chillon, who has been incarcerated for nearly 46 years and now at age 92 languishes all by himself in Berlin's Spandau prison, where he is guarded by large and expensive detachments of non-German troops.

The Washington Post, St. Louis Post-Dispatch and Christian Science Monitor ran the ad toward the year's end, perhaps as a tribute to the spirit of Christmas. But Sue Ann Wood of the Post-Dispatch acted the Scrooge. She limned the ad as some kind of Nazi plot. After all, since Nazis (read Germans) are evil incarnate and the wickedest mortals who ever defiled the blue planet, even the smallest chip of sympathy for a Nazi, even a half-alive, nonagenarian one, could only be an invidious gesture of rank anti-Semitism.

Harboring such "Christian" thoughts, Sue decided to get to the bottom of the plot. She started ferreting out information about the German-American Information and Education Association, which sponsored the ads. Her snooping inevitably led her to that fountainhead of all knowledge, the omniscient Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, which is really a pro-defamation (of whites) league and operates as an unregistered agent of guess what foreign power. Practically every American newsmen has been housebroken to go to the ADL to get the information necessary to attack and smear any opponent, German or otherwise, of minority racism.

On cue, ADL spokesman Stanley Anderman asserted that the GAIEA was composed of "out-and-out Nazis" and accused the group, falsely by the way, of denying the Holocaust. What it does deny is the fantastically souped-up horror tales designed to keep U.S. taxpayer money flowing into the bottomless vaults of the Israeli treasury.

Let us hope that the next time a Zionist or Communist front group runs an ad in the Post-Dispatch (founded by the Hungarian Jewish inventor of yellow journalism, Joseph Pulitzer) that Ms. Wood's investigative juices will be as highly stimulated as they were in her attempt to quash any compassion for a forlorn old Nazi.

One question we'd like to ask Ms. Wood: What was her reaction to the release of Communist bombfather Andrei Sakharov from his exile in Gorky? We'll bet she cheered.

What Else Is Not New?

Holy Toledo! The outgoing president of the American Historical Association, Carl N. Degler of Stanford, told that venerable body that modern American historians are missing the boat. Echoing Disraeli, he stated that everything going on nowadays involves race and that historians who fail to deal with this all-encompassing subject are ignoring the main thread of 20th-century American civilization.

We half agree with Professor Degler. Fortunately or unfortunately, everything is race in these times. But we differ from the learned academician on what to do about it. He wants to focus on Majority or white racism, which is withering on the vine, and practically ignore the Jack-and-the-Beanstalk growth of the minority variety.

We'd like to get rid of the ongoing racial obsession by separating the races and thereby giving everyone less reason to think and worry about the subject. Degler wants his colleagues to write and lecture about it in the hope that through knowledge we'll come to a better understanding of race. All this kind of knowledge will do is keep the obsession boiling.

Tooth Fairy Tale

Instauration subscribers were asked some months ago to track down one L.M. Boyd, who authored a newspaper squib to the effect that crime lab technicians could identify the race (black or white) of a skeleton by crushing its teeth. When the resulting powder was put under ultraviolet light, the teeth of whites glowed green; the teeth of blacks glowed red-orange. Several readers described L.M. Boyd as a columnist and furnished his address. The information was sufficient to allow Instauration's editor to telephone him and ask for the source of his story. Boyd apologized, saying it was impossible for him to oblige. He explained his source material came from all over and that after it was used, he either threw it away or buried it in such a monstrous pile of clippings it would be almost impossible to fish it out.

In the meantime, Zip 554 found a forensic odontologist, Dr. Ann Norrlander, who specializes in identifying human remains by their teeth. She said she had never heard of the ultraviolet method of dental identification, though she admitted there were substantial racial differences in dentition. Indians, for example, have shovel-shaped upper teeth, and blacks have larger teeth than whites. Blacks in Africa, Middle Easterners and Orientals have practically no fillings, but their teeth are usually damaged by a huge build-up of plaque, which eventually causes bone deterioration (periodontal disease). Dr. Norrlander concluded by saying it was getting much harder to identify the racial background of dead persons by their teeth because of the increase in inter-racial marriages.

Straight Masses

The Catholic diocese of Buffalo is not quite as gayish as some others. Bishop Edward Head has banned masses "specifically and exclusively for homosexuals." However, individual gays, presumably with or without AIDS, are always welcome.

Mother N. Wins a Few Rounds

Father Nurture vs. Mother Nature. The feud boils along with a slight shift noticeable in the last few years in the direction of Mother, even though the overwhelming thrust in textbooks and the "impact media" still favors environment over heredity, the trick being to leave the layman with the impression that anyone who talks up genes is slightly racist and walks about with a heart scarred with an invisible swastika.

Bernard B. Davis, professor emeritus of the Harvard Medical School, is one of the brave few who have been fighting the environmental juggernaut for a decade. His newly published book, Storm Over Biology (Prometheus Books, Buffalo, NY), discussed the "atmosphere of intimidation" responsible for the situation where "few graduate students today are likely to enter the field of human behavior genetics." Davis also took a well-deserved swipe at the supercliche, "all men are equal," which has been as responsible as fuddy-duddy Marxism for trying to halt the progress of the most interesting and revolutionary of the new scientific disciplines.

Among many other things, Davis is a dedicated opponent of "the exemptionist doctrine," so dear to the hearts of Stephen J. Gould and his ilk, because it exempts human beings from the laws of biology (and in more than a few skewed minds from the laws of evolution). The author states flatly, "It is ironic that human implications of evolution and genetics are now opposed primarily from the left." What absolutely horrifies him is the attempt by so-called scientists, chatted up by professorial Marxists and liberal propagandists, in such meetings as the 1974 Asilomar Conference, to actually stop recombinant DNA research. Genetic engineering, Davis promises, is not dangerous. Since the countless recombinat-
tions of DNA have already been tested over the last 10 million
years, any man-made recombinations would not be likely to yield
any new or dangerous organisms. All genetic engineers will be
doing is artificially recombining what nature has already done at
one time or another -- without producing any of the biological
disasters imagined by people who are not so much against biological
destruction as they are against genetic research per se.

Richard Dawkins, author of The Selfish Gene, has joined Ber­
nard Davis in trying to vacuum clean the halls of science of liberal
mysticism and equalitarian obscurantism. His latest book, The
Blind Watchmaker, is a well-written, commonsensical paean to
Darwin for replacing the supernatural in theology with the nat­
al.

Dawkins has less than friendly feelings for the creationists who
are trying to bring God back into the laboratory and for the
luke­warm Darwinsists like Stephen J. Gould, who claim to have
developed a punctuated equilibria theory of evolution (sudden
leaps or saltations) that has gone beyond Darwin and, in passing
him, supposedly corrected some of his gaffes. This half-pro, half­
con approach to Darwin, Dawkins explains, has put words in the
master's mouth and painted a coat of confusion over his thoughts.

As a parting shot at the creationists, Dawkins categorizes them
as a taxon of individuals so simple-minded that they believe
whatever is beyond their limited powers of imagination cannot be
true.

Fruit flies, worms, chickens, mice, pigs and humans have simi­
lar genes for developing the blueprint for growth. This is an
extremely important discovery because nothing is harder than
fruit flies for genetic research. They not only proliferate like crazy,
but on average a fruit fly generation is about nine days.

Doctors used to think that the reason blacks were twice as
susceptible as whites to heart attacks was the former's fondness for
salt. Actually, blacks consume less salt than Caucasians. A more
accurate answer may be provided by genetics -- in the way black
kidneys handle sodium. Blacks suffer more stress than whites, and
stress tends to increase sodium retention. Whereas whites can
control stress more effectively with beta blockers, blacks do better
with diuretics, which eliminate excess bodily fluid that can be
caused by excessive sodium intake. African blacks, by the way,
have the same hypertension levels as American blacks.

A genetic factor that favors blacks has to do with Type I (juve­
nile) diabetes. Since this disease is virtually unknown in Africa,
American blacks must have picked it up from whites (an estimated
20% of the black gene pool in America is composed of white
genes). American blacks could significantly reduce their suscepti­
bility to Type I diabetes by segregation, since the more miscegena­
tion, the more they are at risk.

Immigrant Spouses

It remains to be seen how much "reforming" will be accom­
plished by the new immigration reform law. But it can be stated
with some assurance that recently enacted legislation designed to
curb fraudulent marriages will reduce the number of mail-order
brides infiltrating the country. Some 150 marriage brokers are in
the business of marrying foreigners to American citizens. It is
estimated that 40% of these marriages are undertaken not for love,
sex or companionship, but solely to get the foreign spouse into the
U.S. The new law puts a two-year conditional hold on the acquisi­
tion of permanent resident status, which would-be citizens must
have before they can be naturalized and without which they must
eventually exit the "Golden Door" and go back where they came
from. Within this two-year period the foreign spouse must petition
the INS to remove the conditional classification. This gives the INS
the opportunity to find out if the marriage was made in good faith
and is still holding up. If it is already on the rocks and if, as is so
often the case, the foreign spouse has been abandoned or has
deliberately abandoned the citizen spouse, the INS can then start
deporation proceedings.

Some of the female foreign spouses never see their husbands,
except at the wedding ceremony. After that, they go out on their
own, some of them to become the slaves of the pimps and
madams who bring them over from houses of ill repute in Taiwan
and other East Asian countries.

It's the Law

Despite the vociferous opposition of Los Angeles Mayor Tom
Bradley, AIDSville Mayor Diane Feinstein, California Attorney
General John Van de Kamp, Governor Deukmejian and the high
and mighty grandees of Hollywood, the people of the Third World
slough of California voted 73.2% for Proposition 63, which des­
ignated English the official language of the state.

Instauration is not terribly enthused about this speak-a-da
English campaign promoted by an outfit called U.S. English.
Forcing English on non-English-speakers encourages race-mixing.
We think the future of the Majority and perhaps of the West
lies in separating races. Language, like religion, can often act as
a sort of racial camouflage which allows people who are not like us
to pretend to be like us and to some degree "pass" as us.

In reference to U.S. English, two of the major pushers have been
Executive Director Gerda Bikales, whose first language was Yid­
dish, and S.I. Hayakawa, the Japanese-American semanticist and
erstwhile senator from California.

Incidentally, there are about 2,700 different languages in the
world. About 350 million diverse people (many too many) have
English as their mother tongue. The Oxford English Dictionary
lists 500,000 words. German has about 185,000; French less than
100,000. As English becomes the world's lingua franca, it is
bound to lose much of its artistic and literary punch. The language
that moves from the heart to the brain, from the pen to the word
processor, may become richer in scientific parlance, patois and
slang, but definitely poorer in the high-quality prose and poetry
department.

The Spanish Constitution states, "Castilian is the official Span­
ish language of the state. All Spaniards have the duty to know it
and the right to use it."

Such a statement is appropriate for a relatively homogeneous
nation like Spain. We wish the U.S. was monoracial enough to
merit a similar pronunciamento in its Constitution. Too late, too
late!

Nordic Goings-On

If you want to know what's going on in the Scandinavia music
and folk dance scene in the U.S. and Denmark, Norway, Sweden
and Finland, subscribe to the quarterly Nordiska News (868 S.
Emerson St., Denver, CO 80209). It will set you back $5 a year.

Want to go on a music and folk dance tour of Scandinavia in
July 1988? -- that's 1988, not 1987. Then write to Harold Ryan (is
he a Norsk?), Box 19525, Dept. B, Denver, CO 80219.