Reagan’s Voices

When Reagan speaks is Reagan really speaking, or are we hearing the words of Aram Bakshian Jr., the 38-year-old bachelor who directs the presidential speechwriting office? Bakshian, who received $59,500 from us taxpayers last year, is the grandson of an Iranian rug dealer. He has this to say about his experience as a ghostwriter for three Republican presidents:

Richard Nixon could be very convincing in a business-like way, when his personal credibility wasn’t in question, and he was like an analyst, a lawyer looking over a brief. Gerald Ford was not an effective speaker, his basic sort of awkwardness came out and there was no way that could be disguised . . . you tried to write short, clipped simple sentences. Jimmy Carter’s difficulty was that he wanted to sound like somebody else, just like Lyndon Johnson tried to sound like John Kennedy. And Reagan, well, he just projects what he is and has a sound sense of phrasing, a feel for a phrase. The jokes just trip off Reagan’s tongue . . . he’s a quipster and doesn’t need any comedy writer.

Other members of Bakshian’s stable of ghostwriters: Tony Dolan, Ben Elliott, Landon Parvin, Mari Maseng and Diana Rohracher. The chances are it is one of these ventriloquists you are hearing when Reagan stops joking.

The Hound of Justice

Allan A. Ryan Jr., the Zionist collaborator whose Justice Department vengeance squad spends $2.5 million a year of public money hunting down alleged Nazi war criminals, was asked in an interview with the Chicago Lawyer (Oct. 1982) why he doesn’t go after Nguyen Ngoc Loan, the Saigon police chief who shot a suspected Viet Cong in front of a photographer. It was one of the liberal-minority coalition’s favorite pics. He replied in part, “I don’t know . . . . For one thing the guy was on our side -- for better or worse.” Was Ryan saying that war crimes can only be committed by people who are not on our side?

Ryan said that information from Simon Wiesenthal’s Jewish Documentation Center had been “very helpful [though] a lot of it has turned out to be insufficient and at times unreliable.” Asked about “evidence” provided by the Soviet Union, Ryan described it as “generic and reliable.”

How to Beat Down Anti-Semites and Anti-Holocausters

Writing in the hyper-Jewish publication, Present Tense, a Zionist tactician named Jonathan D. Sarna recently weighed the pros and cons of four basic strategies to combat “intellectual” anti-Semitism and Holocaust revisionism:

(1) Outrage. Loud, continuing, mass-circulation reaffirmations of the Holocaust are necessary to fix it firmly and forever in the public memory. However, too much shouting “often focuses attention on the very sentiments the shouters most wish to see forgotten.”

(2) Silence. Not a whisper of publicity for the anti-Semites. Unheard arguments convince no one. “Obscurity -- total obscurity -- is a sad and lonely void for agitators.”

(3) Instruction. Education is the best way to lay the anti-Semitic ghost. “People do not know enough not to be anti-Semitic.” But education gives the revisionists free publicity and a certain legitimacy. It can trivialize the Holocaust into a sterile historical debate.

(4) Obstruction. Treat the revisionists as “enemies and work to undermine them . . . Seize the offensive.” Cut off the hatemongers’ main sources of support. ostracize them with the help of the media. Sue them. Put pressure on anyone who rents them halls or meeting places. On the minus side, however, persecution and violence has certain risks. They can backfire and create sympathy for the victims.

Having said all this, Sarna came to the conclusion that the best way to fight anti-Semitism and Holocaust revisionism was a judicious combination of all four strategies -- “a little outrage, a little silence, a little instruction and a little obstruction.” He admitted, however, “The ideal mixture -- the exact proportional balance -- may prove elusive.”

Meddling Yankees

Tchula, Mississippi, was a peaceful farming community until 1977. Then Eddie Carthan was elected as its first black mayor. Now murders, robberies and hostage-taking are almost commonplace. Carthan’s administration came to an end in 1981, when a predominantly black jury found him guilty of assaulting a policeman during a routine mob scene at city hall. The new mayor and aldermen were only able to meet when guarded by armed security men, some toting machine guns. Last April, Carthan was arrested again and charged as an accomplice in the murder of Roosevelt Granderson, a black businessman and Tchula’s most popular alderman.

Enter the global ministries of the United Methodist Church with $13,000 for Carthan, and a telegram for the sheriff: “Protest arrest of Eddie Carthan on murder frame-up and regard this as a major violation of American judicial system. The entire country is watching process of justice in [Tchula].”

Local Methodist ministers were outraged by this intrusion of a New York-based organization which had not even talked to them or Tchula officials about the complicated case.

One must surmise that Granderson and his allies were the town’s black moderates, while Carthan led the radical antwhite party. For the busybody activists at Methodist Central, that alone would have justified intervention on Carthan’s behalf.

Minimal Justice

Nothing new about black gang rapes of whites -- both male and female. But what was new about that black gang rape of an 18-year-old white girl and her girlfriend at a Chicago rock concert (Instauration, May 1982) was that it took place in the aisle in front of 6,000 eyes while the band played on as if nothing was happening. No one in the audience except the girls’ white escorts
raised a hand to stop the gruesome doings, which involved rape, sodomy, assault and battery, robbery and all the other goodies that have come to be associated with the late 20th-century American way of life. Of the 20 young blacks arrested, five plea-bargained the charges down to probation, two were found guilty, and one has been acquitted. One of the guilty, a 25-year-old gang leader, showed not one iota of remorse at his trial and kept winking at the prosecutor and fellow gang members. Charges against the remaining 12 suspects were dismissed.

Our Own Papa Doc

Being accused of racism by Jesse Jackson is like having a fish say you’re “all wet.” A few years back, Jackson was declaring that the struggle for racial integration was misconceived and should cease. Since then, he has kept busy threatening white businessmen with boycotts unless they appoint more blacks to top jobs. On June 2, Jackson declared in an open letter that Ted Turner, the media entrepreneur whom the New York Times calls the “ultimate outsider,” was yet another you-know-what.

Ted Turner

Turner came from absolutely nowhere to the point where today he is challenging the three networks for control of America’s mind — and he did it without begging for sons. Xeroxed his form letter accusing Victim of racism (filling in the name “Turner” where necessary) and threatened to have a “coalition of black organizations and attorneys” investigate the uppity Turner Broadcasting System. Copies of the letter were sent to Atlanta Mayor Andrew Young, all the black players on Turner’s sports teams, and “selected others.”

The Washington Post observed, “It is not the first time Turner’s loose tongue and occasionally abrasive manner have gotten him in trouble.” But the Post gave no indication Turner had said or done anything the least bit offensive. Perhaps Turner should have turned the tables by firing off a letter of his own to Jackson and sending copies to all his white athletes.

One corporation which has not let Jackson push it around is Anheuser-Busch. The world’s largest brewery reports “record sales” in the face of Jackson’s “Bud is a dud” campaign. In 1969 the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) protested the beer company’s minority hiring practices. Over the last 13 years, its nonwhite employees have increased from 3% of the total to 18%. Today, 9.5% of the company’s managers and 17.5% of its technicians belong to racial minorities. Anheuser-Busch also recently announced a $5 million grant to train minorities to become beer distributors. None of this satisfies Jesse.

Coke USA signed a $30 million “covenant” with Jackson last year, yet his henchmen are already threatening various Coke bottlers, according to Marvin Herb, the president of Chicago Coke. In St. Louis, these strongarm tactics have silenced the entire black business community. One leader anonymously told the Chicago Tribune, “People are scared to death . . . of retribution by Jackson.”

Competition

When Howard Allen Enterprises was born back in 1972, we checked to see if there were any publishing companies with similar names. We found nary a one, though there had been a Howard Allen book company in Cleveland some years earlier.

Recently we received a piece of junk mail from the Allen Publishing Company of Los Angeles. It touted “opportunity books” and promised its distributors “about $100 a day” for promoting such volumes as Making It Rich in the 1980s. How to Find Your Fortune and Follow Me to the Money Tree. The head of Allen Publishing is Michael Wiener.

Freeloading Editor

In 1980 Harper’s magazine fell on bad times and at the last minute was saved from going under by the “conservative” MacArthur Foundation, four of whose ten directors are Jews. Inevitably, Harper’s was delivered into the clutches of a minority editor, Michael Kinsley, who moved over from the hydrophobically Zionist New Republic. We’ll let our readers identify Kinsley’s race by studying his photo.
In America, our land of eternal optimism, realism is bad form, and exaggerated hopefulness — on everything from the national debt to kidney transplants — is de rigueur. The attitude has — more precisely, has had — its practical side. From 1775 on, Americans found that the establishment of a technological society went along more smoothly and effectively if all “negative” comment was eschewed. The mystique of “can-do” had no room for doubt. Even though this essentially immature view of the point of life — boys playing with Erector sets on a grand scale — has outlived such usefulness as it may have had and even though it ended in the chaos of modern existence, the American taboo against realism — which cannot avoid a large dose of “negativism” — remains remarkably intact.

It is entirely possible, for instance, for a good American to admit that the quality of American life is dreadful, but in the next breath he will earnestly caution against “losing hope.” In the next breath after that, he will usually offer some ludicrous and non-sequential panacea, almost always based on some technological “breakthrough” — computers, for instance, or solar energy. He will not, if he is a good American, permit himself to analyze the American situation “negatively,” even if that means sacrificing accuracy, as it invariably does. (He cannot, for example, allow consideration of the heresy that technological breakthroughs may actually be iminical to the quality of life rather than beneficial.)

This taboo (against realism and “negativism”) extends even to that handful who see the fact of minority domination, and who have come to the conclusion that racial sanity is the only sanity. When pressed as to how that sanity is going to prevail, they become exceedingly vague, commonly going off into a rosy picture of the young rising to reverse the tide. Faced with an objective assessment of the situation, they draw back in dismay from the specter of negativism. In the psychological breech, they are Americans before they are racists.

As noted above, this Pollyannaism served the country well in its climb from colony to world power. It did not serve the country well in its simultaneous descent from a primarily homogeneous, North European-descended, common language, common ethos, functioning society to a sundered, multi-racial, multi-language, multi-ethos, dysfunctional disaster. If the present mess is ever going to be cleaned up, Pollyannism must be the initial casualty. The first order of business is an objective assessment of the situation as possible, with no shrinking from the negative.

Such an assessment starts with an understanding of the odds against racial sanity ever prevailing. There are now some 4.5 billion people in the world. Only about 400 million, or 9%, are North Europeans or of North European descent. These whites, as they are loosely termed here, are a beleaguered species. The ratio of nonwhites to whites may have changed drastically since the year 1500, but the relationship has changed even more. At that time, both whites and nonwhites kept to their own sections of the globe and lived in comparative racial harmony and purity. Then the whites — so short-sighted despite their energy and ability — broke this calm by going forth from Europe to found colonies and then empires all over the earth. For a time — but a very short time — they ruled the world. But they had no long-range plan, and no firm understanding between themselves, so they ended up fighting each other. Then the awakened nonwhites turned on them, and began to drive them back. First from the territory they had occupied, and then inside their own lands. This assault has a terrible inertia, an overwhelming weight. It is the awesome pressure of 4.5 million people against one-eleventh their number. (This ratio is going to increase to 15 or more to 1 by the year 2000.) It is a pressure to absorb white assets — money, land, and blood — on every level. It is a relentless sea of darkness enveloping everything in its path, its ultimate desire to absorb all whites in its vastness and to return the world to primitivism.

The momentum of this dark surge is so stupendously irresistible that it is not possible to fight it in part, or regionally. That is, it is meaningless to talk about curbing black and Hispanic takeovers which are really only the cutting edge of all dark peoples. It is not a question of resisting 40 million-plus blacks and Hispanics in this country, but of resisting 4-plus billion endlessly pressing dark people worldwide. The hard fact is that nothing less than global resistance will do.

But how can a half billion resist 4 billion? Or 6 billion by 2000? Only by forging themselves into a single force dedicated to survival, making their energy and ability compensate for numbers. That may not sound impossible at first — after all, we have many examples of what organization can do — but consider what is required. The world’s 400 million North Europeans would have to:

1. Understand that there can be no half-way measures. It is a question of ruling or being ruled on a global scale. A system (the Pax Nordica?) would have to be imposed and maintained on the entire world, very likely at tremendous and continuing effort and cost. It could be South Africa on a world scale for an indefinite time.

2. Be able to submerge all national and intraracial differences in this grand design. No more distinctions or arguments between white Americans and Europeans, between French and English, between Nordics and Slavs.

3. Be able to ruthlessly dispose of all unworkable political devices. For example, if necessary, no more habeas corpus, jury trials, or lengthy litigation; no more “democratic processes,” parliaments or congresses. The only criterion for any institution would be its usefulness and necessity in the grand design.

4. Accept austerity to the necessary degree. This could mean a tremendous decline in the material standard of living.

5. Become totally dedicated, ready to fight to the death for racial sanity, and willing to use any means to achieve that end.

This list could go on, but these are the indispensable basics. Can any white honestly say that he is capable of meeting...
these requirements? Or that anyone he knows is? It would seem that the answer would have to be a resounding “No!” (or the program would have begun long ago, even on a small scale). But let’s examine each of the requirements in rebutting a possible “Yes” to any one of them:

(1) Whites everywhere have brainwashed themselves into believing that “Rule or be ruled” is a false premise. They have been dedicated to compromise for so long that change on this point is unthinkable. In addition, whites are now so lazy that the effort of rule — the self-responsibility — would be beyond them.

(2) Differences between whites have been more important than similarities for a long time — see both World Wars — and it has been impossible to get them to agree to anything important and work for it. (Instead of deploring this weakness, the great majority of whites point to it proudly as healthy diversity.)

(3) The passion of whites for political delusions is notorious. Besides, can any American imagine American politicians, lawyers, administrators, educators and other parasites giving up their control of this society, a control which rests firmly on their control of this society, a control which rests firmly.

(4) Whites have labored for 500 years in the service of their religion — materialism. It is preposterous to think they will give it up. Even today, as the end approaches, they concentrate all their energies on more technology and more material well-being, not less.

(5) Whites have convinced themselves that they are incapable of dedication, readiness to die for racial sanity, and willingness to use any means to that end. They believe that only the Sicilian Mafia, Chinese Tongs and similar subgroups are capable of such passionate activity. They forget the English Privy Council, a dedicated cabal (us against the world) which ruled England and the Empire on a racial basis for centuries (Parliament has always been only a sham got up to divert the masses), to say nothing of similar national castes and cabals throughout North Europe. In this country, a white cabal (the so-called WASPs) started a revolution, and ruled the country racially until about 1945. Before 1500, all North Europe was ruled racially for nearly a thousand years by a very capable cabal — the Roman Catholic Church, which was, as Gibbon pointed out, a continuation of the cabalistic Roman Empire. It is babyltalk to say that we are not capable of a racial cabal. Or of dedication and readiness to die for racial sanity. Our history proves otherwise. It is equally childish to say that we are likely to exercise those capabilities today. We are too brainwashed (by ourselves), too tired, and, above all, the problem seems too enormous.

It is very possible that the average (racially indifferent) white understands all the above — especially the enormity of the problem. Such an understanding — instinctive rather than intellectual, and thus even more binding — may well be what lies behind the average man’s apathy to minority takeover. The only genuinely persuasive, conscious counterargument to his apathy may be to deal with its root — that is, to talk only of the enormity of the problem and the enormity of the situation; to overcome his secret fear of these enormities by bringing them — and them alone, at least in the beginning — into the open.

Those interested in racial sanity are usually ready to give up on any action by anyone over thirty, but insist there is hope in the young and in future generations. Anything, of course, is possible in the future, especially in the distant future, but any significant resistance to the dark tide would require a dramatic change in future whites, a change which seems more unlikely with each generation.

Today’s elderly and middle-aged believers in racial sanity may be pathetic in their inability to understand the enormity of the problem, let alone act on it. But their children and grandchildren show even less capacity for racial sanity. Each generation, rather than increasing its resistance to absorption by the dark tide, seems to become more inert, to accept the inevitability of being drowned.

Wishful racists can grasp at straws and point to shorter hair in young men than was fashionable a few years ago, and a slight shift to “conservatism” on college campuses. But the surging tide of minority domination in this country, to say nothing of the world, is progressing at an infinitely faster pace than the cumulative total of such tiny changes. (And even the substance of those tiny changes is questionable, as was pointed out in “Conservatism and Racism,” Instauration, October 1982, in which the deep split between conservatism and racism was discussed.)

Far more indicative of young white America than shorter hair is the mob of thousands which invariably shows up to scream — faces contorted with religious hysteria — at any KKK parade of twenty-odd marchers. Or the millions of young white Americans who pack football stadiums to cheer themselves hoarse for “their team” of adored black athletes. Can anyone be so naive as to imagine that these young are going to reverse themselves racially in numbers of any significance? Or that, without a genuine mutation, their descendants are going to do so? After all, they are even blinder racially than their parents, and their parents more so than their parents, and so on, back countless generations. Resistance to the surging dark tide becomes more inadequate by the day, to say nothing of the long haul. It is not only dark dynamism which is hurrying us now, but white inertia as well.

If they could comprehend and face it, the ultimate chagrín for those Americans who believe in racial sanity is the strong possibility that their prior American commitment to eschewing “negativism” (read “realism”) may be causing more trouble for their cause than any good which can come from their hopeful babyltalk. Even assuming that they did understand the five requirements outlined above, it is doubtful that they would discuss them openly. Being correctly American before everything else, they would shrink from giving a true picture of the problems and the terrifying odds against success. They would prefer to be unthinkingly hopeful — just as they are with a retarded child, for example — rather than face facts. Insofar as the young — who are all retarded to the extent to which they avoid racial sanity — are concerned, they prefer to keep them in the dark about their condition, an immoral as well as an inefficient decision. (It should be noted that it is not hope itself which is undesirable — in the long run we can’t do anything without it — but hopelessness masquerading as hope.)

All in all, a bleak picture. But the only practical approach to the problem — the only approach with a chance of success — is to acknowledge its enormity, its reality, and the price which would have to be paid to solve it, as the first order of business.

Babyltalk, then, although not the ultimate enemy, is the first, and must be overcome. It is not realism which is “negative,” but Pollyanna-ism, which shirks the problem instead of facing it in all its colossal difficulty, and thus actually adds to it. Certainly the only chance of rousing the average white — especially the young white — is to deal honestly with the enor-
Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

Recently we have been having a lot of fun with that new folk-hero, Rastus the Rasta:

Di Rastas are comin', hurrah, hurrah,
Di Rastas are comin', hurrah, hurrah,
And if dey make trouble,
Be off at de double,
Di law won't help you, be sho', be sho'.

The Rastas worship Haile Selassie as God and smell strongly of the holy ganja. On September 14, 1981, Detective-superintendent Holland of the West Yorkshire police was reported as saying that policemen had to be pre-judiced. They knew by experience that long-haired youths in bedraggled clothing were likely to be using drugs, just as they knew that West Indians wearing tea-cosies for hats (a Rastafarian mode of dress) were likely to be muggers.

On January 19, 1982, the London Times reported that the Catholic Commission for Racial Justice had recommended that RC premises should be made available to Rastafarians, since they practice a bona fide religion. Auberon Waugh duly took this pretentious nonsense to pieces. But most amusing of all were the Spectator articles by Roy Kerridge.

Rastas, it seems, have a ritual called Kyabingi, which involves a great deal of ganja-smoking, and follow Jewish dietary laws, adding some of their own. (This must be very profitable to the kosher food producers.) Kerridge described a typical Rasta pub, full of characters in dreadlocks selling ganja, “Altogether, it was the sort of pub that made you glad to be alive, once you got out of it.”

Apparently, the Rastas made a clear profit on their ganja because they can always live on the money freely provided by the local authorities as bribes to black groups, and of course taxation does not enter into it. So it seems that the much-touted “enrichment” which we are supposed to derive from the presence of Negroes is entirely cultural.

Best of all was Kerridge’s meeting with the Rasta leader, Jah Bones. Mr. Bones discoursed learnedly on “the intellectual activation of the position of the situation” and on his long conversations with “Lawd” (his own spelling) Scazman.

Private Eye followed this up with an “Alternative Form of the Lord’s Meal for Joint Services with Rastafarians”:

And it came to pass in the land of Brixton that there were honest Rastas sleeping in their beds at night. And lo, the fuzz broke in upon them where they lay, even the forces of Babylon, and they said unto them, “Behold, we are come amongst you in order to find incriminating evidence — e.g. petrol bombs and the like.” And the Rastas were sore afraid. For they had done nothing and did not even have a job. Thanks be to Haile. And now, brothers, we come to the high spot of the evening, the sharing of the holy ganja. (Here the President will light the reefer or joint and pass it among the congregation with the words, “Peace and love, man.”)

My own view is that the blacks are less pernicious, at least in the short run, than the other immigrant groups. Their unpredictability and reactive violence must inevitably create chaos in any higher society, and some sort of solution will have to be found if we are to survive.

The chief danger is that the Negroes may act as a lightning rod for the much larger numbers of Bangladeshis and other Subcontinentals.

It is felt that the Asians at least keep their shops open to all hours, as indeed the Jews do on Sundays. But the smelly shops of the Jews and Indians are a small return for all the money salted away in Israel and the Subcontinent.

The good thing about Negroes is that in the future increasing numbers of their victims are going to be middle-class liberals. This will be very sad, but a necessary part of the alienation process.

* * *

Despite all our enemies can do to prevent it, the veil over the past is slowly being lifted. A good example of what I mean is an article by the British M.P. Alan Clark in The Daily Telegraph (23/10/82). He describes how General Wavell’s small, efficient professional army, which had reconquered East Africa, was broken up on Churchill’s orders after El Agheila, parts of it being wasted in the Greek campaign and parts sent to Scotland. Wavell, a civilised soldier whose chief love was poetry (see his anthology, Other Men’s Flowers, all of which he knew by heart) was “remaindered” to a desk job in India. The result was that when Montgomery came to take over in the desert, he found a rag-bag of units, the commanders of which were often not on speaking terms. On the German side, efficiency was the rule. Clark comments: “In the end, of course, sheer numbers (three to one) wore the Germans down. . . . The North African campaign must take its place as a significant element in the near-suicidal clash of civilised Western peoples.”

In addition, as Clark says, Churchill diverted the best weap-
ons and equipment away from the Far East to Egypt, which adversely affected the position of the British in Singapore. There may be something in this, but there is also the fact that the British outnumbered the enemy three to one. I can never forget that Major-General Beckwith-Smith stopped the Japanese advance at the battle of Kamper, only to be ordered to retreat once more. Even when the Japanese entered Singapore, they were still heavily outnumbered. Percival should have been shot, as Admiral Byng was on his own quarter-deck after failing to engage the French. Re Singapore, Clark quotes Hitler’s comment, “So oder so, one cannot help regretting it!”

The U.S. district court judge who threw out the government’s case against David Alan Wayte, a 21-year-old Yale philosophy student, for defying the draft-registration law, was TERRY HATTER JR, who just happens to be black. Wayte’s lawyer, MARK D. ROSENBAUM of the ACLU, just happens to be Jewish.

The newest black mass killer may be JOHN NORRIS HANKS of California. Convicted in 1967 for stabbing his sister-in-law to death, Hanks was free by 1972. Last summer, he apparently killed six women in the Seattle area, and his life total may be 13 or higher. San Francisco Police Inspector Earl Sanders says merely, “I have made a career of studying Hanks. Everywhere he goes, women start coming up dead.”

ROBEN JONES of Kanawha City wrote a fawning poem about black leaders. She was all set to read it at the Black Cultural Festival. But then West Virginia state officials learned she was white and forced her out.

For 80 years the bust of Charles O’Conor, a prosecutor who helped break the corrupt Boss Tweed ring in pre-Koch New York, adorned the lobby of the Appellate Division of New York State’s Supreme Court. Suddenly in mid-November it was discovered that O’Conor was a “bigot.” In 1860 in his argument against a proposed state law freeing black slaves, he declared, “The Negroes, alone and unaided by the guardianship of another race, cannot sustain a civilized social state.” By order of presiding JUDGE FRANCIS MURPHY, O’Conor’s bust has been removed to a dark corner of the basement, where it will no doubt gather dust and ignominy until Judgment Day.

MERVIN FIELD, director of the way-out-in-left-field California Poll, happily announced over Los Angeles TV station KNXT on election night, “It’s a big win for Tom Bradley to become America’s first black governor.” The San Francisco Chronicle came out with a front-page headline that all but announced a Bradley victory.

The Official Preppy Handbook, written by a Jewish anti-preppy by the name of LISA BIRNBACH, was a monument of tastelessness as well as a book-length racial slur of well-heeled Majority youth. Now comes a sequel that out-smears the Birnbach smear. It’s called The I-Hate-Preppies Handbook, celebrates “jocks, nerds, freaks and grease- ers,” and contains one inspiring illustration captioned, “For Throwing Up During Intercourse.” Who authored it? Who else but someone named RALPH SCHEINSTEIN, who published it? Who else but SIMON AND SCHUSTER.

District Judge HARVEY FORT of New Mexico calls himself a “hard-nosed conservative.” Yet when DEBBIE BLACK BARRET came before him on charges of shooting her husband, kidnapping, armed robbery and threatening the police with a gun, Fort sentenced her -- to college. That would be good “therapy,” he reasoned. The prosecutor was so appalled he announced his resignation.

The above table appeared in Neue Anthropologie (April-June 1982). Instauration might argue about the large white component allotted to Brazil. Probably two-fifths of the 1980 Europids are Nordic or predominantly Nordic, a fraction that will become significantly smaller by the year 2000.
Chicago's first Italian-American archbish­
op, JOSEPH L. BERNARDIN, hangs a cut­
out picture of "E.T." in his window to re­
mind himself how darn nice aliens can be.
At a recent dinner in the Drake Hotel's Gold
Coast Room, Bernardin told the city's power
elite that poverty simply had to go — espe­
cially the "black, brown, yellow and red"
inds. Why not have an "urban renais­sance" instead of decay, he asked. The 500
guests applauded and then settled down to
the real business at hand: shrimp cocktail,
Caesar salad, Chateaubriand, au gratin po­
tatoes, buttered baby carrots and broccoli,
dinner rolls, raspberries sabayon and vintage
Cabernet Sauvignon to wash it all down.

The Walter F. Mondale Exploratory Com­
mittee is now in business. Its goal is to test
the political waters for a Mondale for presi­
dent campaign in 1984. KEVIN COTTILEB
is director. MICHAEL BERMAN is treasurer.
The moneybags for the "Gary Hart for presi­
dent" boom is MARVIN DAVIS, the Jewish
millionaire owner of Twentieth-Century Fox.

ALLEN LEWIS, the Brooklyn black acquit­
ted in 1979 of pushing an 18-year-old Jew­
ish flautist in front of an oncoming subway
train (she lost her right hand, which was
stolen from $8 to $18 billion per annum to U.s.
america of illegal aliens. In California an estimated 35% of
illegal aliens receive unemployment benefi­
ts.

Bangladeshi illegal aliens were caught in
Florida after disembarking from a 30-foot
motorboat. The present population of Bang­
ladesh is 93.3 million and, at the country's
present breeding rate, doubling every 25
years.

The federal government is forced to hand
out from $8 to $18 billion per annum to U.S.
unemployed workers displaced by illegal
aliens. In California an estimated 35% of
illegal aliens receive unemployment benefi­
ts.

Three in every 10 American households
were victimized by crimes of violence or
theft in 1981, the Department of Justice re­
ports.
A Roper Poll (March 1981) asked which immigrant groups had been a "good thing" or a "bad thing" for the country. 66% of the respondents said the English had been good, 61% the Irish, 59% Jews, 57% Germans, 56% Italians, 53% Poles, 47% Japanese, 46% blacks, 44% Chinese, 26% Mexicans, 24% Koreans, 20% Vietnamese, 17% Puerto Ricans, 10% Haitians, 9% Cubans. The "bad" immigrant groups ran from Cubans (59%), Puerto Ricans (43%), Haitians (39%) to English (6%). In spite of almost three-quarters of a century of virulent anti-German propaganda, only 11% of those responding considered Germans a "bad thing" for the country.

With one two-year interruption, the 2c stamp for a first-class letter endured from 1885 to 1932. Then it went to 3c, in 1958 to 4c, in 1963 to 5c, in 1968 6c, 1971 8c, 1974 10c, 1975 13c, 1978 15c, March 1981 18c, November 1981 20c.

Rabbi Sanford Seltzer says that "in excess of 7,000 people a year are being converted to Judaism" in Boston.

An editorial in the San Francisco Chronicle (May 17, 1982) declared that "an enormous percentage of voters who asked for the bilingual ballot are not entitled to have it. About one-fourth ... were found to be non-citizens and illegally registered to vote."

With the highest homicide rates per 100,000 population are Miami, St. Louis and Newark, where the homicide rates are 61, 58 and 49 per 100,000. If you don’t want to be robbed, bypass Newark, Miami and Boston, whose citizens are being ripped off at the rate of 2,362, 1,786 and 1,643 per 100,000.

Lt. Roscoe Robinson Jr., presently the commander of U.S. troops in Japan, will become the Army’s first black four-star general. He has been named by Reagan to be the U.S. representative to NATO’s Military Committee. The only previous four-star black general, the late Daniel (Chappie) James Jr., was in the Air Force.

In 1975, 35 countries (including all the Western nations) voted against the UN resolution equating Zionism with racism. In 1979, only 4 countries (the U.S., Canada, Australia and Israel) voted against it. The Western European countries abstained from voting in 1979. In 1975 only 2 Latin American nations (Mexico and Brazil) voted for the resolution. In 1979, all the Latin American countries voted for the resolution, except for 4 abstentions.

In 1975 half of Black Africa refused to support the resolution, with 5 states actually voting against it. In 1979, Black Africa supported the resolution.

A New Jersey welfare mother who hit a $919,559 jackpot in an Atlantic City casino explained that her gambling money came from her boyfriend. She did agree however, to repay the state welfare agency $2,000.

At the height of the Israeli air blitz, while Begin was thumbing his nose at Reagan’s request for no more Jewish settlements on the West Bank, the House Appropriations Subcommittee, in a "closed-door" session, voted to increase military grants to Israel by $50 million in fiscal 1983.

Norway (pop. 4.9 million), with only 13,300 Asians (half of them Turks) and 2,448 Africans, has fewer immigrants than any other Northern European nation, except Iceland. Another way of saying this is that Norway and Iceland are the only two Northern European nations attempting to preserve their racial identity.

If you don’t want to be murdered, stay away from Miami, St. Louis and Newark, where the homicide rates are 61, 58 and 49 per 100,000. If you don’t want to be robbed, bypass Newark, Miami and Boston, whose citizens are being ripped off at the rate of 2,362, 1,786 and 1,643 per 100,000.

The "high-impact" media are religiously for women’s rights and are religiously against sexism. Yet only 1 woman is to be found in the top 24 positions at Time magazine. In the top 16 Newsweek jobs, 3 women. New Republic (top 6), 1; New York Times (top 16), 2; Washington Post (top 17), 3.

West Germany now has the world’s lowest birthrate: 10 per 1,000 inhabitants. Kenya has the highest: 53. Icelanders have the longest life expectancy; Ethiopians the shortest.

Federal funding for black colleges is expected to top $546 million in fiscal 1982, an increase of 4.4% over fiscal 1981. The average federal grant to black colleges is $448,000, compared to $260,000 for white colleges.


Iowa, North Dakota and South Dakota were, respectively, first, second and third in the 1980 state averages for SAT tests. These states have few blacks. North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina were, respectively, 48th, 49th and 50th. These states have very many blacks. The rank order comes as no surprise to Instaurationists.

The “high-impact” media are religiously for women’s rights and are religiously against sexism. Yet only 1 woman is to be found in the top 24 positions at Time magazine. In the top 16 Newsweek jobs, 3 women. New Republic (top 6), 1; New York Times (top 16), 2; Washington Post (top 17), 3.

West Germany now has the world’s lowest birthrate: 10 per 1,000 inhabitants. Kenya has the highest: 53. Icelanders have the longest life expectancy; Ethiopians the shortest.

A New Jersey welfare mother who hit a $919,559 jackpot in an Atlantic City casino explained that her gambling money came from her boyfriend. She did agree however, to repay the state welfare agency $2,000.

25% of those who sweated out the West Beirut siege were Christians.

In a poll of ethnic groups conducted by a Houston firm, 57% of Italians and Poles designated themselves “conservative” or “somewhat conservative.” 52% of Hispanics, 44.5% of Irish and 35% of Jews also so labeled themselves.

An Illinois judge awarded six Chicago lawyers $3.3 million for 7,300 hours of work on a complicated workmen's compensation case. This comes to roughly $460 per hour for each shyster.

It is estimated that it will cost $19.8 million to care for 7-year-old Gregory Ost­erman for the next 70 years. So far his parents have won a $7 million malpractice suit against Chicago’s Lutheran General Hospital, where Gregory suffered irreversible brain damage during a botched hernia operation.

The “high-impact” media are religiously for women’s rights and are religiously against sexism. Yet only 1 woman is to be found in the top 24 positions at Time magazine. In the top 16 Newsweek jobs, 3 women. New Republic (top 6), 1; New York Times (top 16), 2; Washington Post (top 17), 3.

West Germany now has the world’s lowest birthrate: 10 per 1,000 inhabitants. Kenya has the highest: 53. Icelanders have the longest life expectancy; Ethiopians the shortest.

A New Jersey welfare mother who hit a $919,559 jackpot in an Atlantic City casino explained that her gambling money came from her boyfriend. She did agree however, to repay the state welfare agency $2,000.

25% of those who sweated out the West Beirut siege were Christians.
to sprout out of his shoulders without the help of a neck. Her first daughter looks like her. The two others look like him. Though blond and light-complexioned, they are not all that attractive. Sometimes, with their almost lidless eyes, they look like bleached Orientals.

One day several women were gathered on the lawn outside my friend's house. One had been a beauty contest winner; another a runner-up; another an airline stewardess and a fashion consultant. Two others were very cute. All loved their husbands. But a local doctor walked by and we all swooned. He was very tall, slender, blond, blue-eyed and very British-looking. 'I know that we all love our husbands and that we wouldn't want to hurt them,' said my friend, shushing her obese baby from one hip to the other, ''but don't you wish we could all have his children? Think how beautiful they'd look!'

We all sighed, even though we had married blond husbands. Clearly, being blond is not enough. It helps to have a thin nose, good bone structure, long legs, slim hips, a narrow chest, slim waist and normal ears.

It might be well to stop here and point out that really beautiful women who have been spoiled and pampered from earliest childhood often marry men who are less attractive. Men not noted for being handsome are willing to tell the woman how beautiful she is, and how lucky he is. When he himself is good-looking, he might want to be the admired rather than the admirer. So he may look for a spouse whose looks won't rival his.

As we all know, women and men considered unattractive by members of their own racial group are often very attractive to members of other racial groups. I know one blonde, white-skinned, blue-eyed German woman who was rather plain. Men didn't date her, and she wanted children. Then a Puerto Rican doctor came along and told her that she was the most beautiful creature he'd ever seen. She married him posthaste.

I have a Scottish friend who has black hair and fetching dark eyes. Her parents went to India and left her in an English boarding school. Most of the other girls, who were very Nordic in appearance, made fun of her Scottish accent and her dark coloration. As a result of this "hazing," she finally came to look at herself as tar-brushed and decided that her mission in life was to defend minorities. She ended up marrying a blond Puerto Rican!

Many a male who reads Instauration has at one time or another probably dated a minority female -- black, Asian, Indian, Oriental, Hispanic or Jewess. But he might not want any of his friends or associates to know too much about it. He would not be likely to take her home to meet his parents.

Probably half the women who read Instauration have, at least once, gone out with a "strange" man. Why? I think it goes back to what was said earlier. It's humiliating to a white woman to fall in love with a man and then find out that he has done or is doing something she considers to be filthy and disgusting with some nonwhite female. It's even more disgusting if her father did it behind her mother's back. At any rate, the aggrieved woman can react in several ways. She can hate him. She can break her engagement or get a divorce. Or she can get even -- and never tell her boyfriend, her husband or father that she got even.

All too often Majority males and females are, at first meeting, fascinated with minorityites. Then something happens. The minorityite wants to marry the Majority member, while the latter doesn't want anyone to know he or she even knows the minorityite. At this point the Majority member probably feels disgusted, is taking ten baths a day, and swallowing penicillin and getting secret blood tests and praying that he or she has nothing that can't be treated.

And yet at moments it's satisfying to have a deep, dark secret. Mixing it up, for example, under a full moon on top of a tombstone at midnight. Nothing is more tempting than that which is forbidden.

Finally, I might say that a black-haired, dark-eyed white woman is hated more by minority women than are her blonde and red-haired sisters. A black-haired white woman might look very much like an Indian or a Mexican. But a man who would not take an Oriental, Hispanic or Jewess home, will and does take a black-haired white woman home. She's borderline -- forbidden -- not quite safe and pure -- a little wicked. He often marries her.

---

**Ponderable Quote**

Muhammad's relationship with the Jews is important . . . . At first sympathetic, the Jews turned against Muhammad when he claimed to have a fresh revelation and to be the prophet for the present age. This they would not accept . . . . When the attacks from Mecca began Muhammad suspected some of the Jews of treachery. One clan he expelled, and of another, the Qurayzah, 600 men were massacred and the women and children enslaved by Muhammad's followers. It is not to excuse this drastic deed but to place it in its setting, to remark that David had been as harsh with the Moabites (II Samuel, 8).

Geoffrey Parrinder
The World's Living Religions
Britain. John Tyndall's new National Party is making some progress. On October 16 it held a rousing rally in London with 300 people in attendance, some from as far away as Plymouth and Glasgow. There were speeches, a film and a silent two-minute memorial to the Brits who fell in the reconquest of the Falklands.

Most important, perhaps, was the singing of three old marching songs, with new lyrics written expressly for the occasion. History seems to prove that the effectiveness of any movement can be measured by its music or lack thereof. No music; no action. If the party's goals are not exciting enough to capture the imagination of an intelligent composer, they are not likely to be exciting enough to capture the minds of a sizable number of dedicated followers.

When the National Party reaches the point where its songs have both original words and music, then it may really be on its way. The same may be said for any American Majority movement, all of which are presently quite musicless and therefore quite quiescent.

* * *

The elitist and segregationist attitudes in today's British Army are markedly different from those of the U.S. Army. British regiments still hail from local settings like Lancashire, Cornwall and East Anglia. Many have histories dating back hundreds of years and a correspondingly high esprit de corps. Ethnic and regional segregation is also the rule in most other NATO armies, not to mention those of the Communist bloc. The American soldier is almost unique in being a lone individual among other lone individuals. This is the single most important reason for the prevalence of "pot" and hard drugs among American troops in Europe, according to studies made by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research in Washington and the U.S. Army Medical Research Unit in Heidelberg, West Germany.

* * *

Consider the fate of the 221 British seamen who served aboard the requisitioned passenger liners Canberra and Uganda in the Falklands battle zone. Upon returning to England, they learned that the ships' owner, the P & O shipping group, was replacing them with Asian Indian workers -- at a quarter the pay! A spokesman for the British National Union of Seamen said, "It is tragic that men who have risked their lives and been hailed as heroes by the prime minister should return home to find themselves on the quayside without jobs."

* * *

Contrary to a headline in the June 3 issue of the Jewish Sentinel (Chicago), there was not a Jewish unit in the Falklands War. The headline stated, "300 Jews in Britain's Falklands task force." Those readers who read on discovered:

There are about 300 Jewish members of Britain's regular armed forces but only one of them, a Royal Navy officer, is known to be serving in the Falkland Islands task force.

Netherlands. It took 200 policemen to escort Hans Janmaat to his seat in the Dutch Parliament. Thousands of Communists, Jews and nonwhite aliens screamed abuse in the streets outside as he took his rightful seat. Janmaat belongs to the new Centrum Party, whose platform demands that foreign workers and their families be sent packing.

West Germany. Jürgen Rieger, a Hamburg attorney, caused a sensation in Germany last year when he asserted that in World War II the Warsaw ghetto had been established by the Germans as a precaution against the spread of typhus. In a land where arguing against the Jewish version of modern history is often a criminal offense, it wasn't long before Rieger was brought into court. But to everyone's surprise, a Hamburg tribunal acquitted Rieger by stating, "This thesis is perhaps historically doubtful, but in itself is not injurious."

Jewish organizations were quick to attack the ruling, because it opened the door for removing penalties for entertaining even more dangerous ideas. The Jews' worst fears were realized when another German attorney, Eberhard Engelhardt, obtained a ruling from another German court to the effect that questioning the existence of gas chambers was no longer a punishable offense. The decision clashes with earlier rulings that have already sent some Germans to jail and subjected others to heavy fines. While the ruling does not release those already incarcerated for the offense, it does indicate a certain surge of independence in the Ger-

In Sweden anyone 18 and over, who has lived in the country for three years and registers, can vote in municipal and city council elections. Shown above are appeals in 13 languages urging immigrants to vote in the most recent elections. Immigrants, by the way, can vote for other immigrants and elect them to office. About 90% of Swedish citizens vote in elections; about 50% of the qualified non-citizens.
man judiciary, which has long been swallowing the Jewish dogma hook, line and sinker in matters pertaining to the Holocaust.

The German government, however, is another kettle of fish. One of the last acts of Helmut Schmidt’s cabinet was to approve a law that would outlaw “the minimalization or questioning of genocide atrocities committed in the era of the Third Reich.” It remains to be seen if the new German parliament, with its Christian Democratic majority, approves this frontal attack on freedom of expression in a country which claims to be a democracy.

Meanwhile, Thies Christoffersen remains a fugitive from “German justice,” the kind of “justice” that sentences a man to 18 months in prison for writing a book, Auschwitz. As a member of a German agricultural team, Christoffersen was at Auschwitz at the very time the gas chambers were supposed to be working overtime. Though he had the run of the camp, he saw not one gas chamber.

Norman Spinrad is a popular Jewish writer of science fiction, best known for his 1972 novel The Iron Dream. In it Spinrad, currently the president of the Science Fiction Writers of America, posed the question: What if Adolf Hitler had emigrated to the United States and become a science fiction writer? His answer was a book within his book, entitled “Lord of the Swastika.” The French translation of The Iron Dream won the prestigious Prix Apollo, but the new German translation has been blacklisted by the Ministry of Education and Culture in Bonn. Though Spinrad’s work is decidedly anti-National Socialist, the Ministry fears young people will not get the message.

The Bonn censors may soon be working overtime. The sale of Nazi memorabilia has long been banned, but, if a Justice Ministry commission has its way, their import will also be verboten. On a more serious note, Section 140 of the criminal code (“Rewarding and Condoning Crimes”) may be extended to provide for the persecution of scholars who publicly question or deny the Six Million dogma. These two proposals have already been tabled in the Bundestag in a draft bill.

Forbidden or not, thousands of West German teenagers are somnambulantly dancing “the Hitler” and “the Mussolini.” Teuton Punk’s riding high in the headlines. Some observers say the “harsh, guttural” German language (it isn’t) lends itself to the tough, strident style of punk music. Also, dancing to punk music only requires bobbing up and down like a zombie, a relief to those Germans who are sick and tired of pretending they possess Negroid or Latin rhythms.

Last summer’s biggest punk hit was “Da Da.” These inspiring words were repeated for almost five minutes, except there the band interjected, “Ich liebe dich nicht, Du liebst mich nicht.” An English version climbed to fourth place on the British pop charts.

Teutonic Punk echoes the “No Future” slogan which appears on walls throughout the despairing country. Its bands have names like Deutsche Amerikanische Freundschaft (German-American Friendship) and Das Wirtschaftswunder (The Economic Miracle) which mock West Germany’s spiritual malaise. Some German punks don swastikas and other Nazi regalia in the best British punk tradition.

German despair is easy to comprehend. Entire districts of West Berlin, containing hundreds of thousands of people, are almost devoid of young Germans. Turkish children pervade the scene. Nor do the Germans want these aliens. A recent unpublished survey by Burkhard Hirsch, the Interior Minister for industrial North Rhine-Westphalia, showed that only 3% of Germans there would accept a Turk as a son-in-law. Thirty-eight percent of the respondents desired that even those Turks born in Germany be sent home without delay.

But Germans dare not act on many of their wishes because someone is always monitoring them, as a New York Times reporter delicately puts it. The Israelis took loud offense when the West German press used words and phrases like “holocaust,” “war of extermination,” “final solution” and “Warsaw ghetto” in its coverage of the encirclement of Palestinians in West Beirut. “Exterminators and sons of exterminators” should not use such language, said Menahem Begin. But some German papers daringly turned the tables by calling Begin’s own comparison of Yasser Arafat to Hitler monstrous.

Vatican City. Don’t expect ecumenics pioneer Robert K. Graham to receive an audience with the pope anytime soon. According to the Vatican’s official newspaper, L’Osservatore Romano,

In 1972, when the papal visit to Israel was scheduled, he spoke Yiddish. The London correspondent of the Moscow Times in 1977 wrote, “While the Catholic Church in Israel has made great efforts to promote ecumenical relations, the situation in West Berlin is more problematical. The Pope’s visit to Israel would be a step in the right direction.”

In 1979, Graham visited Israel and met with President Begin. In 1980, he wrote an article in the New York Times, titled “The Pope’s Visit to Israel,” in which he said, “The Pope’s visit to Israel would be a step in the right direction.”

In 1981, Graham wrote an article in the New York Times, titled “The Pope’s Visit to Israel,” in which he said, “The Pope’s visit to Israel would be a step in the right direction.”

In 1982, Graham wrote an article in the New York Times, titled “The Pope’s Visit to Israel,” in which he said, “The Pope’s visit to Israel would be a step in the right direction.”

In 1983, Graham wrote an article in the New York Times, titled “The Pope’s Visit to Israel,” in which he said, “The Pope’s visit to Israel would be a step in the right direction.”

Supposedly, John Paul II is a “scholar” among popes, a man who speaks several languages and knows some European history. One hopes he has grasped the simple idea that the Europeans remake a racially alien Christianity “in their own image.” As Jefferson Butler Fletcher put it in The Religion of Beauty in Woman, “From Fra Lippo to Titian, Italian religious art is mostly a vision of fair women, labeled saints, madonnas, what you will, but conceived and valued as fair women.” We emphasize, “conceived and valued as fair women.”

Alas, the racial type of Fra Lippo and Titian, both the artists and their models, has nearly vanished from Italy and most of the urban West. The neo-levantines encountered on every street corner in Paris, London and New York are demanding a religion fit for them. Since it wouldn’t do to put Barbra Streisand, Jesse Jackson or even Elton John in stained-glass, official Christianity -- along with other “Western” institutions -- is moving rapidly toward regarding a Devo-ton to (easily manipulated) Intangibles (like “Justice” and “Equality”) as the summit of human perfection. Sperm banker Graham and his followers, on the contrary, speak of “sculpting with genes.” The pope, who is conversant with world population trends, and knows where his Vatican bread is buttered, would clearly rather meet with a boorish black Muslim art-purger than confront a latter-day Michelangelo of DNA.

Russia. Ever since he was appointed head of the KGB 15 years ago, racialists have been looking askance at Yuri Andropov. Now that he is the new Red czar, the looks and sounds have multiplied. There is something about his face, some troubling expression, something disharmonic, something possibly -- perish the thought! -- Semitic.

A UPI story from Moscow during Brezhnev’s funeral may help clear up the mystery. Although most media reports called him an Armenian, Andropov is of Jewish origin, and knows where his Vatican bread is buttered, would clearly rather meet with a boorish black Muslim art-purger than confront a latter-day Michelangelo of DNA.

Speaking of charlatans, Muhammad Ali was given an audience with John Paul II on a recent trip to Italy.

I told the Pope that he ought to take off all the white statues out of the churches. All this is heavy. I hope the Pope will come out and do this. You know how it says in the Bible, in Exodus 20, chapter 4, they shall not make any graven images. All the white statues are wrong, they’re graven images. The Pope wants me to come back and discuss that.

On the other hand, a recent dispatch from the Moscow correspondent of the Washington Post stated, “One of Andropov’s grandparents was of Jewish origin.” And the London Times reported that his mother’s family was “almost certainly Jewish,” and that Yuri spoke Yiddish. The London Jewish Chroni-
cle expressly denied these allegations and said they were part of a disinformation campaign disseminated by Andropov's enemies. Andropov, the Jewish Chronicle added, was born in a part of the northern Caucasus that was practically Judenein.

If Andropov is in fact part-Jewish and is "consciously Jewish," it would certainly be fair to ask why, as head of the KGB for so many years, he had so many Jewish dissidents arrested, why he let so many others emigrate to Israel and the West, and why he so warmly shook the hand of Yasser Arafat on the occasion of the PLO leader's visit to Moscow.

Second in command of the Andropov administration is supposed to be Konstantin Chemenko, who is described as an ethnic Russian, in spite of his Ukrainian name. Chemenko has the broad face and slightly slanted eyes of the typical Russian muzhik.

As far as can be ascertained, the rest of the Politburo (it presently has 12 members) is stacked with Great Russians or at least Slavs. We can't say as much for the members' wives, since few Westerners have ever had the pleasure of meeting them. Many veteran Reds had already married Jewesses before Stalin let his anti-Semitism become known to Party insiders -- among them Molotov, Andrei Andreyev, a Politburo member, Marshal Voroshilov and onetime Soviet president Nikolai Podgorny. Brezhnev managed to keep his mate, although he spent his later years in the company of young, on-the-make Russian secretaries and airline stewardesses. He even brought along his blonde, Playboyesque "masseuse" to Camp David during a Nixon summit.

Molotov, however, was not so lucky. His Jewish wife was given the Siberian treatment by Stalin, though it did not seem to affect Comrade Hammer's devotion and loyalty to Comrade Steel.

For the past 20 years, the Soviet leadership has promoted a doctrine of total war against the West. It believes that historic necessity requires the Communist bloc to practically annihilate the capitalist nations, occupy and enslave their remnant and institute a global imperium. The element of surprise and timing will provide the margin of victory.

Members of the John Birch Society used to present scenarios like the preceding, and got themselves dismissed as paranoid cranks. However, "The Soviet Doctrine of Total War" did not appear in Robert Welch's American Opinion magazine, but in the December 1981 issue of Midstream, a leading Jewish publication. Its author was Oded Yinon, who once worked for the Israeli Information Ministry and now lectures and writes on Middle Eastern affairs. Yinon, who once worked for the Israeli Information Ministry and now lectures and writes on Middle Eastern affairs. Yinon cannot be summarily dismissed as a denizen of the (America First) lunatic fringe because, after recounting the horrors awaiting the West, he ends on an utterly unfringe note: what it all means for Mother Israel.

The first phase of total war, according to Yidon, would be a massive strike against the West's missile bases, nuclear bombers and nuclear submarines (which happen to be centers of Jewish power). Phase two would involve conventional warfare. Key strategic areas "near the continental United States and along the west and east coasts" would be occupied. (The coasts happen to be minority bastions.) This limited occupation would pose few problems because "the Soviets have attained absolute superiority in means of conventional warfare." America could not hope to defend its own territory against the two thousand or more divisions which Moscow would mobilize within six weeks. This gigantic reserve of about 25 million troops "makes a mockery of the comparison charts published so frequently by institutes of strategy in the West." Unlike the situation in World Wars I and II, the United States would have neither the time nor the ability to mobilize its people and resources. The Soviets, however, would be well-drilled in striking at the West, for the painfully simple reason that that is precisely what they have been learning to do in 7,000 military academies ever since the beginning of the Brezhnev era.

Phase three, the phase of physical conquest, "will be accomplished under circumstances of anarchy and total collapse both in America and Western Europe. As a result, shock, chaos, and confusion will have a share in the attainment of Soviet aims." Police and security units will accompany the masses of troops, writes Yidon:

In declarations that have been transmitted to the West, but against which Western embassies in Moscow did not take the trouble to protest, the leaders of the USSR, particularly [former KGB Chief Yuri Andropov, have openly spoken of Communist rule in the U.S. and in Europe under a brutal and merciless Soviet conquest in which these countries will be enslaved. The inhabitants and the economies of the defeated countries will be plundered by harsh measures of terror and mass intimidation and will be controlled by the Soviets in accordance with all the historical experiences they have accumulated in their own land.

Needless to add, a "divide and conquer" strategy will be used among the West's various racial and ethnic groups. "The leading population strata of the conquered countries will be physically liquidated, while another segment of the population will be organized as a leadership of Soviet collaborators."

According to Yidon, "Andropov actually named three elite groups in the United States, each of which will have to deal with in a different manner." The anti-Soviet hawks (who include a far larger Jewish contingent now than in the past) would be "finished off immediately." Collaborators, those "who lack ethics and conscience," would be treated well. As for scientists, economists and industrial technologists, they would be "useful . . . and then disposed of like the hawks."

Phase four would involve shuffling populations around and transferring industry from the West to Russia. The fifth and final phase would be the setting up of Communist puppet regimes in the Western countries, as in Eastern Europe after World War II.

While the Soviets would direct their main thrusts at the American heartland, such places as Norway and Denmark, Greece and Turkey would be key objectives "solely because of their geographical position." The claimed neutrality of countries like Sweden and Switzerland would be ignored in the coming war, supposedly because of their material wealth. Southern Europe, on the other hand, would not be "relevant to such efforts." Israel, too, will be seen as unimportant:

The kind of future Israel would face in a world taken over by the Soviet conception is not as clear and unambiguous as the fate that would befall the Western powers, which are regarded as primary, not secondary, enemies. Israel's participation in the Western alliance in the first phase would not make Israel's situation any better because the West is weak. Therefore Israel must see to its own survival without any additional involvement in the "strength" of Western survival in which it does not have a real share to this day.

Or, in plainer language, you may have scratched my back but I'll be dunned if I'll scratch yours.

Yidon's analysis ends on a particularly questionable point. "The chances of Israel's survival," he writes, "are greater today than the chances of most of the Western powers . . . ." Furthermore, "it is Israel's own strength and its own forces that will protect it . . . ."

This sounds like General Sharon's claim that Israel is virtually the third greatest military power on earth. It would seem that too many years of fighting Arabs and taking American aid for granted have left some Israelis complacent, in the same manner that too many wars fought on other countries' soil and too many years of peace with the Soviet Union have left nearly all Americans feeling smug. We had best keep one ear permanently cocked toward the Birchers, the Solzhenitsyns, the Oded Yidons, and anyone else who warns of Soviet might.

On the other hand, we must not ignore reports of Soviet weakness. A recent example is Robert A. Heinlein's latest book, Expanded Universe (Ace paperback, $3.50). The retired naval officer and engineer found the reality of modern Russia stranger than the science fiction for which he is best known. The Soviet Intourist agency determined exactly where Heinlein could go, as it does for all visitors, and that wasn't very far. Still, drunks passed out in public places.
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were the most familiar sight. Take a picture of a drunk, however, or of shoddy housing, and you find your film exposed to light and re-spoiled while you are out of your hotel room. Someone saw you snap that unflattering picture. And should you make a point of keeping your film with you at all times, you will run a serious risk of being arrested as a spy. The censors must be given a chance to exercise their rights and fill their quotas.

Heinlein, who spent two years mastering Russian for his trip, found the country remarkably backward technologically. On the basis of his limited personal prying, he calculated that the population of Moscow (and perhaps the rest of Russia as well) has been greatly exaggerated by the Soviets. If true, this would mean that communism is literally killing off the Russian people.

According to the New York Times, Soviet economists privately concede that Russia may be in for ten to twenty years of minimal economic growth and perhaps even some shrinkage. Paradoxically, such internal weakness could make it all the more dangerous to the outside world. The hungry lion is the tightestest lion.

**Israel.** The generally accepted reason why Israel wasted Lebanon is that Begin wanted to eliminate Palestinian rocket and artillery attacks on Israel's northern settlements. Hence the Orwellian name, "Operation Peace for Galilee," which at first was supposed to stop 20 miles into Lebanon, then to stop before Beirut, then to stop after Beirut. At this writing the "Operation" is still going on in the east and even to the northeast of Beirut.

It so happens that no PLO shells or rockets fell on northern Israel for nine months preceding Begin's blitz -- except one or two wild shots in answer to an Israeli air raid on Beirut. Not one Israeli was killed. Arafat's strategy was to eschew all political violence to gain more respectability and backing in the UN and the councils of Western governments.

So, forgetting the false pretext, what was the real reason for the invasion? Some Zionologists speculate that Begin wanted to destroy the PLO as a political as well as a military force because the time is coming when Israel will have to give some measure of support to Palestinian autonomy on the West Bank and Gaza in line with Camp David. As long as the PLO is in being, Begin knew he would have great difficulty setting up a puppet state on the order of Poland or Romania.

There is something to be said for this explanation, but it still misses the mark. *Instauration* believes that the main cause of the Lebanese invasion can be found in the character and temperament of Begin, Sharon and other members of the Israeli old boy terrorist network. Only the Western media and Western governments are naively enough to believe or venal enough to pretend to believe that men who spent a great deal of their lives planning and committing violence and mayhem can metamorphize into statesmen. Can a vampire bat switch from blood to milk? Can a tiger give up hunting for grazing? The men who got their kicks out of hanging British soldiers, blowing up crowded hotels, wiping out Arab villages, shooting down a commercial jet full of Arab women and children, pirating uranium on the high seas -- can such men ever feel happy and content in an atmosphere of serenity and calm and give their full attention to the dreary routine of day-to-day governing?

These men have smelled blood most of their years, and they still have a nose for it. Impelled by the itch of violence, addicted to the terrorist tempo, they go on playing the same game of death and destruction they have always played. Peace, order, statesmanship, the rule of law -- all are just too bloody dull and soporific.

For the Begins and Sharons to sleep soundly at night, crisis after crisis has to be manufactured, Phantom jets have to take to the skies, people have to be driven out of their homes and hunted down in refugee camps. As long as a single Palestinian is alive anywhere, there will always be a target and an excuse for more shower baths of napalm and cluster bombs.

The Middle East is in an uproar. It will remain in an uproar as long as there is an Israel. Begin is noted for quoting the Old Testament at the drop of a yarmulke. But what is the Old Testament if not a book of terror, a blood-curdling account of early edition genocides of ancient Palestinians by ancient Jews? Indeed, isn't Yahweh himself the supreme terrorist? It was he, remember, who directed the killing of the first-born in every Egyptian family, for which the Jews still adore him. Indeed, they have made the commemoration of this grisly event their chief religious holiday.

*There are those who solemnly swear that Israel had no part in the Shatila and Sabra massacres -- and there are those who solemnly swear that Israel was deeply engaged in both bloodbaths. The case of the latter group was bolstered by eyewitness reports that have belatedly filtered out of the Middle East and filtered into a few American newspapers.*

**South Africa.** Last year, in Vancouver, British Columbia, hundreds of Third World immigrants and radicals clashed violently on two occasions. The issue: whose brand of "anti-racism" was best.

Last summer, in University Park, Texas, three people were stabbed and policemen were pelleted with rocks and bottles when a miniature Iranian civil war erupted at the Southern Methodist University student center. About 50 to 75 Khomeini supporters had attacked an anti-Khomeini faction twice as large. And, days later, the once-white University of Witwatersrand in South Africa was the scene of a brawl between 5,000 black and Moslem backers of the PLO and 1,000 Jewish students.

A black Christian minister had inflamed Jewish feelings by addressing the Black Students Society and the Moslem Students Association and saying things like "Zionism is racism equals apartheid." When Rev Lubbe topped this with, "What a pity Hitler only killed six million of them," the Jewish Telegraphic Agency reports, he "evoked thunderous applause." Nearby, Jewish students were holding a monster pro-Israel rally of their own. It is surprising that a bloodbath did not ensue when the clenched-fist groups met.

The men who run South Africa are ensuring a bloody future. *Growth* magazine, which should be renamed *Black Growth,*
The familiar academic phenomenon of "grade inflation" has an amusing analog in South Africa's color-conscious society. Last year, 15 "whites" found themselves reclassified -- no doubt accurately -- as "colored." At the same time, 353 colored South Africans were reclassified -- no doubt inaccurately, in most cases -- as "white." It is well known that a segment of the South African white population has some slight Negro or Hottentot admixture. Bringing into the white fold people whose families were surely once classified as colored for some good reason does nothing to improve the situation. If reclassification is necessary from time to time, the numbers shifted in either direction should at least roughly correspond. But South Africa's race experts have become as soft-hearted and mush-headed as the rest of their kinsmen. Apparently, it is now precisely 17.2 times easier to tell a colored man he is white than a white man he is colored.

India. The Brahmins were not necessarily the wealthiest caste in India. Nor were they always the most powerful. But as the human "link to the gods" in a highly religious country, they stood unchallenged for thousands of years at the top of the social status pyramid. The founding fathers of modern India were mostly Brahmins. So are Prime Minister Indira Gandhi and most of her retinue. Nevertheless, the official government policy of caste "equality" is fast turning the priestly Brahmins into unofficial whipping boys.

A recent report in the New York Times suggests many parallels between the Brahman plight in India and the Majority predicament in America:

- "Affirmative Action" is in full swing in many Indian states. Brahmins must make far better test scores than other Hindus -- especially "untouchables" -- to get into many professional schools. Even top grades may not help a Brahman.
- In southern India, where Brahmins are relatively few, the discrimination is especially fierce. In Tamil Nadu, of which Madras is the capital, Brahmins are being systematically shut out of governmental activity. Those who already have jobs are being kept from advancing.
- Brahman-baiting is becoming common in the south. The younger non-Brahmins verbally and sometimes physically intimidate their erstwhile betters, whose retirement is a further handicap. This is forcing many young Brahmins to pull up stakes and move to other parts of India or abroad -- "Brahman flight."
- While some Brahmins have begun to organize locally, the powerful Brahmins in New Delhi have chosen to ignore the "little people" of their own caste.

The resemblances here to the plight of many Majority Americans are almost inexplicable, yet they managed to escape the Times's worldly-wise reporter. William K. Stevens was terribly solicitous toward the Brahman "awakening" against "reverse discrimination," but not for a moment would he publicly commiserate with an American group like the National Association for the Advancement of White People (NAAWP).

Australia. The radio broadcasts are in 52 languages. The telephone books have instructions in six languages. Forms for the latest census were printed in 11 languages. It could, of course, be Sweden or any other Nordic country hell-bent on mass suicide, but it happens to be Australia. Naturally, the Australians are constantly reminded that theirs is a "wonderful experiment." Naturally, they hear again and again that their physical type as "worthless." Anyone who says that the racist Aussies of 1850-1965 were right-thinking to-day's are all wet is a certified "Nazi loony" who wants to take the continent back to the "dark ages."

Since the people who created Australia should be worrying about their own future, it is passing strange that the secretary of the Department of Immigration and Ethnic Affairs, John Menadue, is so worried about the future of the aborigines. He speaks of the country's 50,000 pure and 150,000 half-breed "abo" in almost cosmic terms: "The principle problem of an Australian identity is that we have not yet come to terms with the aboriginal problem." Again: "The future is tied up in that issue. We talk of multiculturalism, but what is it without the aborigines?"

Henceforth, the race which went to the moon must not think about the ultimate issues of identity and future without factoring in a bunch of IQ-75 throwbacks lost in a permanent "dreamtime."

Mexico. In this country, as in Japan, advertising is dominated by blonde models with fine Nordic features. Phil de Kanter of Macho Films says, "It's the same all over the world . . . in Mexico, what looks best works . . . is the girl next door." Ever since the conquistadores arrived -- tall, strong, fair -- the masses have seen their own physical type as "worthless." So says media researcher Noorene Janus of Mexico City. Social psychologist Jose Medina Pachardo, and just about everyone else, agrees. Few see any prospect for change. The slogan, "Short, squat and brown is beautiful," would go nowhere in Mexico.

The prototype of Nordic advertising here is a major brewery's "La Rubia [Blonde] Superior" campaign. Superior blonde women are shown drinking a superior light-colored beer. After 20 years the campaign remains a hit.

White Americans in Mexico have started acting silly and embarrassed at this recognition. Bryan Wilson, general director of Young & Rubicam-Mexico, traces the "fascination for the Marilyn Monroe-like model" to secondary sources like American wealth and Mexico's alleged "domination" by foreigners. Wilson actually calls this very natural and entirely proper phenomenon a "fad" -- which is a bit like calling the rise and fall of the tides a "fad."

Japan was never dominated by foreigners. Arabs are rolling in money. Individual Jews have ranked among the world's wealthiest people for centuries. But no one wants to look like Yasser Arafat's sister. That is because ideal Northern European types are instinctively perceived, the world over, as wealth-makers in the broadest sense -- and also as shimmering, emotionally rich beings.

Stirrings

Graz is Greener

One G.C. Christenson of Whittier, California, wrote a very poignant letter to the Los Angeles Times.

This summer I spent five weeks in the beautiful city of Graz, Austria. It is the second largest city in Austria with a population of a quarter of a million. There was no graffiti. There was no litter on the streets. The jewelry stores sell thousands of dollars of beautiful jewelry in the window at night with no iron grillwork. It was safe to walk through the park at 1 A.M.

Where did we go wrong?

Quite likely, Christenson knows the answer to his own question. Whittier is near the edge of Los Angeles County's practically endless ghetto-barrio combine, and anyone who lives there knows the score by now. But a Los Angeles Times editor would probably tell Christenson he must accept half a loaf or nothing. A local Instaurationist could not resist providing a better answer.

Today's Times printed a letter from G.C. Christenson of Whittier, who just returned from Graz, Austria, and commented on the city's beauty and orderliness.

By inference he criticized Los Angeles, and then asked the weighty question. "Where did we go wrong?"

Permit me to answer:
Stirrings

In Graz there live no “Latinos,” hence there are no youth gangs and there is no graffiti.

In Graz there is no litter in the streets because the people are mostly of German stock and languages. It was safe to walk at 1 A.M. through a park because there are no blacks, hence there is no great traffic problem.

And last but not least, the jewelry stores left their merchandise overnight in the windows for all the reasons given above plus the fact that the people who traditionally supply many “fences” (without whom criminals could not survive) were driven out of the city many hundreds of years ago, and presumably never returned in force.

Round Two in Oregon

Last October we reported that a Jackson County, Oregon, jury had found Arlo Studer not guilty of “racially slurring” a black poet. Governor, Victor Atiyeh, initiated a minor traffic violation. That was the first C. Ravitz of Detroit is a Marxist Jew who American flag out of his courtroom, and police officer who arrested him following a praises Cuba and Red China, threw the “racism” case tried since Oregon’s Syrian opposed the law on First Amendment grounds. The verdict was a victory for a local Citizens Bar Association which opposed the law on First Amendment grounds.

Atiyeh’s amendment was subsequently dealt a more serious blow, when Lane County District Judge Bryan Hodges found it unconstitutional. Hodges ruled that it violated equal protection clauses in both the U.S. and the Oregon constitutions by singling out one group of people — those with strong racial views — for unusually severe treatment. The law was also declared unconstitutionally broad.

Blue Solidarity

By one newspaper account, Judge Justin C. Ravitz of Detroit is a Marxist Jew who praises Cuba and Red China, threw the American flag out of his courtroom, and wears blue jeans in what he calls a “people’s court.” He is not the kind of guy a white suburban police officer like Cpl. Gregory Tabaczynski of Dearborn would want to come before. But Tabaczynski made the mistake of chasing a black suspect across the Detroit line and accidentally killing him during an arrest attempt. The alternative to Ravitz was a trial before a mostly black Detroit jury. Tabaczynski chose Ravitz, who found him guilty of involuntary manslaughter, provoking Dearborn Police Chief John T. Connolly to say, “He was just trying to do his job; the whole system has gone crazy.”

The prospect of their fellow officer spending up to 15 years behind bars for doing his duty moved 300 policemen from 150 Michigan police departments to attend a Dearborn rally. Some 3,500 local residents were also on hand, and $31,000 was raised for a defense fund. Tabaczynski is free on bond while he seeks a new trial. “I’m being used as a scapegoat,” he says. “It’s reverse discrimination. I was in the minority there.”

Stirrings and No Stirrings

It sounded too good to be true — and it was. Twenty-five Majority activists at Southern Methodist University in Dallas got together and decided to give minority student organizations a run for their money by forming the Association for White Students to “promote equality by ending reverse discrimination.”

At SMU blacks and Hispanics receive college money for their various ethnic functions. In addition, they get special treatment in regard to sports and admissions, and have guaranteed quotas on student bodies, to which members of the lesser breed have to be elected. If all these perks were given to minorities just because of race, why couldn’t whites get some of the same? It was a dangerous, almost revolutionary thought. Nevertheless the Association for White Students did win the approval of the student senate by a large vote, which forced SMU’s President Donald Shields reluctantly, very reluctantly, to go along with the project.

But it was all for naught. Within a few weeks a tidal wave of media hate-mongering, alumni pressure and minority howls forced the group to disband. The clinching argument was that if SMU allowed prowhite organizations to exist, the black athletes would shun the college and the football team — and all the money and publicity it raked in — would go down the drain.

It wasn’t a question of free speech or freedom of association or one man, one vote. In these enlightened times, these legal guarantees only work for minority racists. It was a question of cold, hard cash. Once again in the color contest, blacks beat whites. Will it ever be so? All SMU whites had to do was stick with their fellow whites who had stuck their necks out. But whites in college or out of college don’t do that — yet.

Voting for Guns

The gun-grabbers lost all over the country on election day. In California, the voters rejected Proposition 15 by a solid 63%. It would have registered all handguns, even forbidden visitors to bring them into the state.

In Nevada, 71% of the voters favored adding a clause to the state constitution which guarantees the citizens’ right to keep and bear arms “for security and defense, for lawful hunting and recreation use and for other lawful purposes.” And, in New Hampshire, 72% approved a state constitutional amendment: “All persons have the right to keep and bear arms in defense of themselves, their families, their property and the state.”

The election results were the same on the local level. In Sunnyvale, California, near San Francisco, 63% of the voters decided against banning handguns in their city. Meanwhile, two Illinois towns, Goreville in the south and Palmer in the center, made owning a gun and ammunition mandatory for every householder. They were responding in part to an ordinance forbidding handguns in Morton Grove, Illinois, whose crime rate has since risen. In Kennesaw, Georgia, where gun ownership is mandatory, the crime rate has fallen.

Washington, D.C., passed an anti-handgun ordinance in 1976, and violent crime has increased locally by 53% since then. The city had dropped to number 7 nationally in overall rate of violent crime; now it is back to number 2.

Even in San Francisco, a poll of 39,000 metropolitan residents showed that 59% object to the city’s handgun ban (since declared unconstitutional under the state constitution). This poll result was obtained even though, according to Greg McDonald, executive director of the Second Amendment Foundation, many such statistics have been manipulated for years by anti-handgun forces.

Finally, a study by the National Injury Information Clearinghouse of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission revealed that, in 1981, guns came in 38th place as a cause of household injuries requiring emergency room treatment. Stairs came first, with some 683,000 serious injuries. Knives — the favorite weapon South Africa’s gunless blacks use to slaughter another another — placed thirteenth. Guns came just after “fishing activity, apparel or equipment.”

Hill vs. Einstein

In spite of clamour and threats of physical harm, Professor Henry Hill is continuing his efforts to disprove Einstein and says, “The possibility is 95% that Einstein’s [general] theory was wrong.”

The old liberal-minority ploy of demolishing any criticism of St. Albert by attacking the critic’s credentials does not work too well in the case of Hill, who has a Ph.D. in physics. For years he was a member of the hot-shot physics department at Princeton before he moved in 1966 to the University of Arizona. There the 48-year-old scientist heads the astrophysics team, whose pioneering observations of the sun’s rotation led to the questioning of Einstein’s theory. According to Hill, the gravitational effect of the different rates of rotation of different parts of Old Sol, causing it to bulge in the middle, is responsible for the discrepancy in Mercury’s orbit, a discrepancy hitherto explained by Einstein’s mathematical hypothesis that the sun’s huge mass distorts the space around the solar system’s smallest planet.