THE MOST NUMEROUS U.S. ETHNIC GROUP IS . . .
ed from a monkey?” He replied, “Yes.” “And asked him, “Do you really believe I am descend­
ied from monkeys. Look at the hair on your bod­
also?” I inquired. The Chinese man replied,
 thaH” I said, “U’s about the Darwin theory.”
Oh, no! It is you Westerners who are descend­
ed mere “painus posteriorosis.” She became a standing joke all over the lot. As one Hollywood
trade paper related, a studio writer had reason to call her office. “Sylvia Fine Kaye,” she an­
ounced grandly. There was no response on the phone from the other end; only a long stage
wait. “Who is this!” she snapped. The caller came on finally and identified himself. “Why didn’t you answer before?” demanded La Kaye.
“I was busy,” he said, “bowing.” As for “Danny Boy” himself, when actors like Clark Gable, Jim­
ny Stewart and Robert Taylor were flying combat missions, Danny remained in Hollywood
clamoring loudly for a “Second Front” to rescue Russia. Apart from his hammy excesses as an
outraged ex-concentration camp survivor in CBS’s bogus “Skokie,” he hasn’t had a part in a
movie in years.

While I was in Hong Kong recently I was
sitting beside a non-Communist Chinese. While
thumbing through a magazine I came across a
double-page spread of pictures of an ape, a

While a professional man can be held accountable for
a moral monster.” Those behind the scenes who put together the Donahue show
should at least have the decency to show them­selves to the ladies, instead of their pretty-boy
front man. Then the ladies would have a clue as
to who really wants to turn their country and
race upside down and inside out.

It’s too bad that your otherwise excellent
magazine is flawed by a lack of understanding of
monetary economics and the Federal Reserve
System. Paul Volcker is one of us.

I realize it’s kind of old hat newswise, but the
thought just occurred to me. Can you imagine
what that Washington jury’s verdict would have
been on John Hinkley Jr. if, instead of shooting
Reagan, he had plugged any of the following:
Benjamin Hooks of the NAACP, Andrew Young
or Jesse Jackson?

When the plantation owners and their sons
got to the War Between the States, their wives
and daughters remained perfectly safe at home,
surrounded sometimes by hundreds of slaves.
They were far safer than women are today on the
streets of any city with a Negro population.

Israel is the ally of the United States as a
fungus is the ally of the stumps on which it feeds.

Instauration (Dec. 1981) reported that
Michael Hansen, a candidate for mayor in Glen
Cove, Long Island, had claimed that citizens of
Mediterranean and Jewish descent were over­
represented in local politics while Nordics and
Slavs were virtually shut out. In a newsletter poll
Hansen asked registered Republicans, “Do you
believe that the priorities of Nordics are differ­
ent from those of Mediterraneans?” That per­
fectly reasonable question got him denounced
as a “bigot” by incumbent Republican Mayor
Alan Parente, who, naturally, was later reflect­
ed. Lately Glen Cove has been in the national
news for refusing to let members of a local So­
viet installation use the city’s recreational facili­
ties. The ensuing controversy got Mayor Parente
on ABC News Nightline with Ted Koppel — and
guess what? He has the silky blond hair and
regular features that any Swede might envy.

The Jim Thorpe Association was founded not
too long ago. Its sole reason for being — and it
has been successful — was to restore the late
Indian athlete’s medals, which were taken away
from him when he compromised his amateur
status. A few months ago the TV news showed
a yardful of intelligent, articulate, well-dressed
young Nordic types, gathered to fight for “jus­
tice for Jim Thorpe.” All I could think was: we
could never get a group like this to attend a
white survival meeting or even a rally to demand
that America enforce its immigration laws. They
are all “much too good” for that.

In our country if a landlord practices racial
discrimination he may be put in prison. If a
school practices racial discrimination, it can be
denied all federal aid. It is rather hypocritical for
us to pour billions of dollars into a country that
has officially been designated as “racist” by
90% of the world’s nations.
I have found Cholly Bilderberger’s recent discussion of evidence for the acceleration of America’s collapse so interesting that you might pass on to him a couple of core ideas upon which he could expand:

1. Among the full range of planetary biota, only humans evince a propensity for suicide so widespread that self-murder might be considered to have a genetic basis. Liberalism in its present form is actually the mask of an unconscious drive to kill the self. “Meaninglessness generates liberalism generates meaninglessness,” not the other way around.

2. The incessant and ubiquitous advertising which is America generates insanity. Absolutely no source of information to the public is free of it. It urges us on to even more frenzied attempts to fulfill mutually incompatible goals and destroys the sanity-bringing attempts at reflection which man’s natural psychotherapies, the religions, used to prescribe. Advertising thus gives rise to the notion of ephemeral meaninglessness, a contradiction in terms, with its fads and fashions.

These two elements have jockeyed America into the grip of the deadly vortex in which it now finds itself. Given the inevitable increase in economic and other competition in the coming years, we can expect total fulfillment of the American death wish. Seeing that the international banking system has in large part already collapsed, this day cannot be far off.

In fact, a very severe economic collapse may occur next year. This may be one reason why the U.S. military has been straining every sinew to get the much-discussed military space platform in which we now live, only the viable threat of American death wish. Seeing that the international banking system has in large part already collapsed, this day cannot be far off.

Oddly you should mention Eleanor Roosevelt’s alleged Jewish paramour and Young Communist League member, Joseph Lash (Prime Watch, August 1982). It brought to mind a couple of columns the late Westbrook Pegler did on the affair during WWII. Peg pointed out that while those on the home front were risking life and limb coating their cars down hills to save a little gas, Eleanor the Grate, in a makeshift Red Cross uniform, commandeered a gas-guzzling bomber to fly all over the South Pacific to visit her boyfriend. According to a WWII vet who was there, when fellow Marines chided her son, a captain, as to why the old girl hadn’t visited him on their island, his reply was, “Look, fellows, who needs her -- besides, she’s nutz anyway!”

There have been recent allegations of the lady’s past Lesbianic leanings -- a revelation made, then quickly covered up, but not before her “She-Was-One-Of-Us” picture was carried in a Gay Rights parade. In light of these declarations regarding the lady’s lifestyle, imagine how Pegler, a former sportswriter, would have delighted in pointing out that La Boca Grande was a “switch hitter.”

Canada’s loathed (by four out of five whites, polls now indicate) Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau bummed the Governor General’s private railway cars to cross the country on an August vacation. Enroute, the train was bombarded with rotten fruit, eggs and stones. At one stop in B.C., little (5’4”) Pierre gave the silent, respectful, placard-carrying throng the rude finger. That triggered it. From then on huge cardboard “fingers” and chants of “Trudeau is a bum!” greeted our revered leader right across the land. He hardly dares go into the western countryside any longer. A small army is required to protect this wretched “one-worlder” from being pelted with rocks and insults. Some of the bumper stickers are downright brutal. One has a big raised middle finger with the words, “Pierre, Canada Salutes You.”

Marv

If we can make everyone remember something that never happened, it’ll be a cinch to make everyone forget what did happen.
The Safety Valve

☐ The recent spate of murders of white IBM employees by blacks in the District of Columbia and North Carolina is not the common garden variety of Negro street crime. These are "black-frustration-at-working-in-an-advanced-white-technological-society" killings.

☐ The victor in the California Senate race, Pete Wilson, is neither fish, flesh nor fowl politically. He left the State Assembly in 1971 to come down to San Diego to be mayor -- the idea being that the Republican National Convention was to be held here in 1972, and he figured being mayor of the convention city would catapult him into national prominence. Unfortunately, the Canadian manager of the San Diego Sports Arena refused to give into all the demands of the GOP, so their convention was moved to Florida. Anyway, it left our boy mayor with considerable coen en visu. He later backed Ford against Reagan in 1976, and has now come out against the president's new tax hike. Ask any San Diego cop what he thinks of our Pete! He's taught their pay raises for years. Unloved by almost all city employees, Wilson is an egotistical ass and a dull character with a dull intellect. His wife divorced him last year after 13 years of connubium. Loser Wilson is an egotistical ass and a dull character with a dull intellect. His wife divorced him last year after 13 years of connubium. Loser

☐ Over the years I have, like so many others, noticed some grave distortions by the media -- particularly in presidential primaries and elections. Those of us who remember that LBj was "finished" after New Hampshire in 1968, even though he had 65%, were amazed that Gerald Ford wasn't finished in 1976 with his mere 50%. Somehow Reagan's 49% in the GOP and 10% write-in in the Democratic primary in New Hampshire wasn't good enough. LBJ was finished, not because he lost the support of the Democratic rank and file (he didn't), but because he lost the support of those who count, the you-know-whos. That's why he quit. Hubert Humphrey understood the simple truth. The same media did not seem to think that New Englander George Bush's disastrous showing in New Hampshire in 1980 should disqualify him from the race. Only when it was realized, grudgingly, that Reagan was going to get the nomination with or without CBS/NBC/ABC's wholehearted support, no one except preppie Bush was ready to risk his political career against the veteran campaigner. Moreover, as Reagan sacrificed more and more of his "conservative" positions in the interest of "pragmatism" (i.e., "getting there"), it was not necessary or possible to present him as an "extreme" right-wing Goldwaterite. Considering all the above and that Carter was very unpopular and probably "un-relectable," Reagan was "allowed" to make it to the general election. At that point the professional pollsters and pundits joined the media in propagating the final big lie of the campaign by telling us that the race was too close to call. So close that Carter ended up with 39%, perhaps the worst percentage for a defeated incumbent president since Herbert Hoover. Reagan squeaked by with a "landslide" 55%.

☐ I suspect that if the Arabs were ever able to achieve even a near technical and quantitative parity with the Jews they would give them one heckuva licking. PLO members, in particular, appear to me far better motivated than do the Israelis. Murderous Israel may be digging itself a big hole. But then I've never considered the Jews a truly intelligent race. Cunning, cruel and politically sophisticated, certainly. But careless now with their awesome international clout, appearing hell-bent to self-destruct. Strange, afflicted people, unable to see that if they do indeed win it all, in the end they will have lost.

☐ At a time when almost all currencies are endangered by all sorts of machinations and debasements, remember what happened to the "mint men" of England in 1125. King Henry I had hoarded silver pennies of good quality, worth four of the so-called Rouen pennies. He was determined to keep these coins from being debased. When the King found out they had been, he summoned all the ministers of England to come to Winchester. I quote from the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle: "When they came thither, they were taken one by one and deprived each of the right hand and the testicles beneath, for they had ruined all the country with the great quantity of base coin . . . ."

☐ The minority media barons who control our lives by controlling the tube may have made a gross miscalculation in their fall programming, one which may come back to haunt them. I am referring to "Bringing Back Alive." The late animal hunter and collector, Frank Buck, on whom this series is loosely based, was one of the Majority heroes of the 20th century. A handsome, virile, adventurous man, Buck personified the Anglo-Saxon stereotype which until the present had been the model for Majority youth. Of impeccable Northern European credentials, son of a Texas pioneer family of the type which created the U.S., Buck was idolized by one and all. The popular folk hero image that he created while exploring the jungles of Asia in the 30s and 40s remained with him until his death in 1950 and lives on even today. A resurgence of interest in such a man can only work to our mutual betterment and, therefore, to the ultimate detriment of the present masters of America.

☐ Your analysis of Jewish "inductionism" in the article about Stephen Jay Gould (August 1982), though good, missed the point, which is that there is no such thing as "inductive" logic. As Bertrand Russell pointed out in his Logic and Knowledge: Essays, 1901-50, all inference is really deduction, including the inference commonly referred to as the inductive inference and what passes as induction is either a disguised deduction or methodical guesswork. It seems, like so many things Jewish, this preeminently Jewish thought form subsists on fraudulent premises -- a supreme irony and a double entendre on the ever guillible goyim.

☐ Did you ken that Associate Supreme Court Justice Sandra O'Connor sided with the Court majority in sanctioning the NAACP's extortion operation against white Mississippi merchants and in approving election laws that permit a white elected to office in a predominantly black district to be challenged on the grounds of racial discrimination? Sandra, however, was decent enough to vote against the Court majority's ruling that Texas has to pay for the education of the multiplicative kids of illegal wetbacks.

☐ Regarding "Man as Sense Organ of the Earth," it is satisfying that a penetrating white thinker is finally coming around to a world view similar to that of many mystics. Although the author uses materialistic methodology, he is nonetheless forced through this circuitous route to the same conclusions arrived at long ago through other modes of experience.

☐ I can't resist a word of praise for the keen and noteworthy issue of August 1982. Super, super was "Ravished Lebanon," written by a master.

☐ My professor in a composition course I completed last spring was enraged at the idea of my questioning the Holocaust. While she gave me a "B-" for the course, she wrote lengthy rebuttals on all my papers.
Here in Philadelphia the Personnel Support Command ordered the celebration of "Hispanic Week," Sept. 13-19, "in honor of the Hispanic people who have enriched our daily lives, our traditions and our national security." Weinberger said the Department of Defense "owes a debt of gratitude to the Hispanics for their contribution to the culture, heritage and freedom of the nation." Reagan one-upped him: "Thirty-nine of them have received the Medal of Honor, America's highest military decoration. This is a higher proportion of such heroes than in any other group." It would be interesting to know how Reagan defined "group." 191

Some years ago I decided to become a Catholic. As is the case with many converts, I probably became more Catholic than the pope. In the 1960s, however, disillusion set in. The so-called eucumenical movement was, to me, a farce. Subsequent changes undermined the discipline and continuity of the Church, which at first irritated, then totally offended my sensibilities. At that time, about 1963, I had my first heart attack. During convalescence I had time to think, reflect on what had happened. Gradually, it became clear to me that I had become an agnostic, that I really could not believe in a personal God who would listen and answer the petitions of one of the billions of peculiar-looking creatures running around on an obscure grain of the universe. If there was a Supreme Being, a single originating force, we, as humans, would never be capable of understanding his nature or the purpose of this world. I have come to believe that "organized religion," for the most part, is a waste of time and it does not serve the intent and objectives of the teachings of Christ. Those denominations which align themselves with the National and World Councils of Churches are so busy demonstrating and marching and fighting for "equal rights" that they have abandoned the moral and spiritual responsibilities owing their communicants. The so-called Moral Majority has entered into some sort of alliance with Israel which defies my understanding. Contrary to the beliefs of many, there is really no relationship between a man's morality and his views toward religion. I think it is a shame basic morality is not taught in our public education. The sound philosophy of our founding fathers is teaching it.

Reagan's brother Neil once said, "I know that he doesn't dye his hair and he is not a conservative." No one listened. 928

A reader's digest (August 1982) carried a short item on occupational family names like Miller, Carpenter and Smith. The article then went on to predict occupational names of the future, such as Bill Fundraiser, Richard Analyst and Mable Seller, Willy Arsonist, Charlene Dolewoman, le+ Dr. Abel Abortionist. 552

I was recently talking to a Coast Guard recruiter of obvious Majority background. Our conversation touched on computerization. I mentioned that many errors blamed on computers were actually equal opportunity errors. He said he often felt bad about having to turn qualified whites away and accept nonwhites with less preparation or promise. He mentioned that he often had good white prospects check their genealogy for a remote American Indian ancestor. 442

Cholly was very poor in the August issue. While Poe had been truthful in what he said, his brush was far too broad. If the U.S. were a homogeneous Majority society living according to natural law, it would not rape the environment. The U.S. is really an occupied nation ruled by alien internationalists who force alien values and lifestyles upon us. In our ignorance we permit this. Cholly should take the time to explain this, not make us feel guilty and unworthy. What Cholly seems to be saying is that we are no better than nonwhites -- that we are basically rotten and incorrigible. We are trying to awaken our people and show them that we have the ability to win. Cholly is a specialist in negativity. 204

I am taking a course called Western Civilization (1600-1939). One of the 50,000 Jewish college professors is teaching it. 311
THE MOST NUMEROUS
U.S. ETHNIC GROUP IS . . .

Richard Smith is a typical member of the American Majority. He has calculated that his ancestry is 3/4 English and Scots-Irish, 1/8 German, 1/16 Polish and 1/16 Ukrainian. Rather pleased with this little breakdown, he readily recites it to all and sundry who show the least interest. One day several years ago, an employee of the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Study (CPS) called on Richard at home with matters of ancestry and language sorely in mind.

Usually our Census bureaucrats seem to care more about the number of garbage disposal units on each floor of an apartment house than about the kind of people using them. In November 1979, however, the residents of 54,000 randomly selected households were questioned about their ancestry, citizenship, year of immigration, mother tongue, ability to speak English, and related matters. Aside from the almost insurmountable problem of putting together a sample that represents an accurate cross-section of the American population in its present state of racial anarchy, the CPS study used the wrong methods.

When the Census man asked him his ancestry, Richard Smith began, “Well, I’m three-fourths a mixture of English and . . . .” He was promptly cut off with, “We aren’t interested in percentages.”

“Actually,” rebounded Smith, “I’m a little of everything -- Polish, German, English, Scots-Irish, Ukrainian.” The tabulator wearily recorded “Scots-Irish” as “Scottish-Irish,” though he actually knew better. For all the Census Bureau cared, citizen Smith’s ancestry was now composed of six equal parts. Worse yet, even that misleading response was later coded simply as “Polish, German” since in most cases of three or more ancestries, only the first two ancestries, not necessarily the primary ancestries, went into CPS data banks. Richard Smith’s wife, who is one-half English, one-quarter Irish and one-quarter Danish, was coded as “English, Irish.”

When the CPS released its report No. 116 of the Special Studies Series P-23, entitled “Ancestry and Language in the United States” (compiled in late 1979 but not issued until March 1982), a lot of newspapers announced the results with headlines like “German Ancestry Leads in a Survey of U.S. Ethnicity” (New York Times) and “U.S. Roots Deep in Germany” (Chicago Tribune). According to the press, more than 51 million Americans consider themselves at least partly German (i.e., extrapolating from the 54,000 households) and 44 million at least partly Irish, but only 40 million partly English. Furthermore, some 17 million Americans allegedly call themselves “pure German,” as opposed to 11½ million entirely English. There is a greater element of truth here, given the German Americans’ greater regional concentration and later arrival.

On the other hand, how many of the “mixed” Germans were Jews? The Census, as usual, did not dare ask the all-important question of Jewish ancestry. What, besides “Russian,” did Jews call themselves and, more to the point, how many Jews are there in America? If there are many more than the “official” 5,920,000, in what ancestral categories did they take refuge?

Had our hypothetical Smiths read about the Census Bureau’s tally of ancestry, they would likely have concluded, “It looks like our kind is dying out.” The “evidence” was that only 22.3% of those Americans who reported at least one specific ancestry included English, while only 6.4% reported English alone. The Smiths would have taken the findings a lot less seriously had they known that their own WASPish selves had as good a chance of being recorded as “Polish” and “German” as “English” and “Irish.” Also, if the Smiths had added up a total for the British category (English, Scots, Welsh), they would have obtained a figure of more than 56 million, which would have topped the German total by 5 million. If a few million of the 43.7 million who claimed Irish ancestry were included (there must have been quite a few from Northern Ireland), the British contingent would have shot up even higher.

One does not expect the newspapers to report a complex demographic study very accurately. Regrettably, a careful perusal of the Census Bureau’s own report of its ancestry findings does not throw much more light on the problem. Apparently its ancestry question was open-ended (i.e., no prelisted categories were provided), and it was based upon self-identification. Some respondents (including many blacks) reported no ancestral group; most reported one or several. All single- and double-ancestry responses were recorded as given. In addition, 17 triple-origin ancestries which were expected to be common, such as “English-French-Irish,” were recorded in full. But in all other cases of triple-or-greater-origins, only two origins were recorded -- and as previously noted, not always the primary ones.

This helps to explain why, in the CPS survey, nearly 10 million Americans reported their ancestry as wholly or partly “American Indian,” while only 1.4 million called themselves racially “American Indian” in the 1980 Census. Anyone who has spent much time in the South knows how some bemused Anglo-Saxons there will off-handedly say something like, “I’m English, Irish and Cherokee.” (That usually means they are partly Protestant Scots-Irish, and that their great, great Uncle Clem married a hillbilly girl who said she was descended from Pocahontas.)

Since a great many people in the Survey were recorded as having two ancestries (and those in the 17 special triple-origin categories were scored for three), the total ancestral percentage comes to 156.9% rather than 100%.

The ancestries most frequently recorded are listed on the chart at right. We do not say “reported,” since many multiple ancestries were reported but not recorded.
# AMERICAN ANCESTRAL GROUPS
## BY NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ancestry</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
<th>Single Ancestry</th>
<th>Multiple Ancestry</th>
<th>Single Ancestry as %</th>
<th>Multiple Ancestry as %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported at least one specific ancestry</td>
<td>179,078</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>96,496</td>
<td>82,582</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>51,649</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>17,160</td>
<td>34,489</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>43,752</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>9,760</td>
<td>33,992</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>40,004</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>11,501</td>
<td>28,503</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afro-American, African</td>
<td>16,193</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>15,057</td>
<td>1,136</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scottish</td>
<td>14,205</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>12,590</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French (not French Canadian)</td>
<td>14,047</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3,047</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish (mostly mestizo)</td>
<td>12,493</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9,762</td>
<td>2,731</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian, Sicilian</td>
<td>11,751</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6,110</td>
<td>5,641</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2,053</td>
<td>7,847</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>8,421</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3,498</td>
<td>4,923</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>8,121</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1,362</td>
<td>6,759</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>4,886</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>3,670</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>4,120</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1,232</td>
<td>2,888</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian (mostly Jewish)</td>
<td>3,466</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1,496</td>
<td>1,970</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh</td>
<td>2,568</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>2,113</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czechoslovakian</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>53.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danish</td>
<td>1,672</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>1,234</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>1,592</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss</td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrian</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Canadian</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuanian</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Slavic”</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese, Taiwanese</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukrainian</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yugoslavian</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgian</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Scandinavian”</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romanian</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanese</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Indian</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaican</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indian</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iranian</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other specified ancestry groups</td>
<td>4,942</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2,372</td>
<td>2,571</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancestry not specified</td>
<td>37,535</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“American” or “United States”</td>
<td>13,592</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassifiable (such as religion)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>23,748</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clearly this tally cannot be accepted at face value. Even when the English, Scots and Welsh are combined into one British group (and some overlapping individuals are eliminated), the total barely exceeds the German one. This finding is completely at odds with the careful nationality estimates made by the 70th Congress for the year 1920. Then, 41.4% of America's white population was calculated to be primarily of British ancestry, as against 16.3% German, 11.2% Irish and a tiny 1.9% French.

It is true that British Americans have had somewhat smaller families than most other groups since 1920, and that they have been underrepresented in the immigration total in the last 60 years (as have Germans, Irish and French, for that matter). Still, anyone who knows the America of the 1980s -- who has traveled the land, studied the faces and, perhaps most importantly, scanned a good many telephone books, knows that, even allowing for name changes, the white American stock remains considerably more British than either German or Irish.

A survey which reports that there are 14 million French Americans (not French-Canadian Americans, a separate group) as against only 40 million English Americans cannot be taken seriously. There is simply no record of so much French immigration to the United States at any time, nor do many French names exist to support the claim. Apparently, the idea of being partly French is a romantic one to millions of old stock Americans, many of whom undoubtedly are 1/64 or 1/128 French. After all, small numbers of Frenchmen did roam widely over the American interior at a very early date.

The CPS survey is not entirely without value. For one thing, it suggests the number of Americans who misappraise their ancestry, and how they do so. Secondly, it offers a rough index of assimilation for European ethnic groups. Generally, the smaller a nationality and the nearer it is to England, the lower the proportion of Americans who will be solely (as opposed to partly) of that ancestry. Thus, at one extreme, are the Scots, the Dutch and the Welsh. Only 11.4%, 16.8% and 17.7% respectively of these kinds of Americans reported a single ancestry. The much more numerous English Americans have a higher proportion of nationally "pure" specimens -- 28.7%. Other heavily mixed groups are the (primarily self-styled) "French" Americans, only 21.7% of whom claim purity; the Irish, 22.3% pure; Swedes 24.9%; Belgians 25.2%; Danish 26.2%; Norwegians 29.9%; Germans (shielded somewhat by their numbers) 33.2%; Hungarians 35.5%; and Austrians 36.0%.

The Eastern European nationalities are notably less intermixed. The Romanians, though few in number, are still 39.4% "pure"; Finns 41.4%; Poles 41.5%; Russians (apparently mostly Jewish) 43.2%; Ukrainians 44.0%; and Czechoslovaks 46.8%.

Less intermixed are the Mediterranean groups. Fifty-two percent of Italian Americans are still all Italian. The equivalent figures for other groups are: Portuguese 52.1%; French Canadians (whose French ancestry is genuine) 55.3%; Greeks 57.3%; Yugoslavs 60.6%. An extra-European group which has joined the melting pot (though who they are melting with is another matter) is the Lebanese, only 55.6% of whom are still ethnically "pure."

As for the Afro-Americans, 93.0% of them reported just that one ancestry, though sophisticated blood tests would probably show that nearly all have a few white genes.

The groups which interest us most are the 13.6 million Americans who insisted on describing their ancestry as "American" or "United States," and the 23.75 million who would not report an ancestry at all. The Census Bureau had this to say about the former:

About 14 million persons provided a response of "American." If persons gave an initial response of "American" to the ancestry question, interviewers were instructed to explain that ancestry refers to the specific nationality of the person or his or her ancestors prior to their arrival in the United States. However, if the respondent still reported "American," then that response was accepted.

Who are these people? What was going through their heads when they stated for the second time that they were of "American" ancestry? The answers to those questions could supply many of the "missing" English Americans. But only an American leadership which cares passionately about the makeup of its people can hope to fully untangle the genetic skein produced by generations of reckless immigration and breeding.

Note: In 1971 the Census Bureau released a 1969 study similar to the CPS study above. Entitled "Characteristics of the Population by Ethnic Origin," it stated there were 20 million Americans of German descent, 19.1 million of English descent. Consequently, the figures in the new CPS study represent an increase of more than 50 million German and English Americans in the last ten years. What are we to think -- that the myriads of illegal aliens sneaking in from Mexico were incognito Germans and Anglo-Saxons?

Ponderable and Unponderable Quotes on the Middle East

I was in Shatila and Sabra and I killed Palestinians. I will continue to kill them all my life . . . For my part, I was very happy with what happened.

Elias Shuweikah, Lebanese Christian Militia Commander, in an interview on Israeli television, Oct. 3, 1982

Sooner or later we'll have to announce: if any Arab army crosses this green line we reserve the right to use atomic weapons, and if it crosses the red line we'll drop the bomb automatically, even if this whole country is blown up by nuclear retaliation. You don't believe it? Try us!

Ephraim Kishon
Jerusalem Post, April 25, 1976

Far from restraining Israel, Washington has become its satellite. No American president since Eisenhower has taken a stern line with Israel. Israeli politicians have learnt to ignore Washington's verbal plaints while Arabs regard them as mere show . . . . Washington supplies Israel with arms on condition that they shall be used only for defense, and goes on doing so despite breaches of the condition.

London Sunday Times, June 13, 1982

When we are true to Israel, we are true to ourselves.

Alexander Haig
speaking at a United Jewish Appeal dinner in New York
HOLOCAUST REVISIONISM FOR BEGINNERS

What exactly is meant by “Holocaust Revisionism”?

The word “revisionism” has been used throughout this century, at least, to describe those who have dissented from orthodox dogma. There have been Communist Revisionists who were condemned by Stalin. There have been Zionist Revisionists led by Jabotinsky. Now, in the 1980s we have Holocaust Revisionists: those who disagree with the widely accepted belief that six million, or some other number, of Jews were exterminated in gas chambers by the Nazis as part of an extermination program.

In what respects do the Revisionists disagree with this theory?

Fundamentally, in three respects. First, the Revisionists argue that six million Jews did not die in the war; the true number must be less than one million. Second, the Revisionists argue that there were no gas chambers, so the number of Jews exterminated in gas chambers was precisely zero. Third, the Revisionists maintain that there is no solid evidence that the Nazis ever had an extermination program per se.

Who are the Revisionists?

The leading Revisionist academics right now are Dr. Arthur R. Butz, associate professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at Northwestern University in Chicago; and Dr. Robert Faurisson, former professor of Literature at the University of Lyon-2 in France.

How come neither of these are historians? What right do they have to pontificate on areas outside their field?

The sorry truth is that these academics have had to venture into the historical arena because of the default of the regular historians. When one brave German historian, Helmut Diwald, challenged the Holocaust orthodoxy in a book published in West Germany, the publishers withdrew the book from circulation and had the offending pages altered. When British historian David Irving expressed some skepticism of the slightest kind in one of his books, again the publishers tried to alter his findings. There have been calls for the dismissal and/or censure of academics in the United States for daring to associate themselves with the Institute for Historical Review, an organization which leads the field in Holocaust Revisionism. Clearly, the market in ideas in this area is not a free one. Whatever happened to the much-lauded right to dissent?

Are the opposing academics all historians then?

Although almost every modern historian pays lip service to the Holocaust dogma, not one of the leading Holocaust authors is a historian. Raul Hilberg teaches political science. Gerald Reitlinger was an art dealer. Lucy Dawidowicz teaches “Holocaust Studies” at a private Jewish medical school. These opponents of Revisionism are termed Exterminationists, because they believe in the theory of extermination. Very few history texts deal at any great length with the Holocaust precisely because there is so little evidence to go on. Historians are accustomed to having reams of first-hand documents on which to base their findings. In the case of the Holocaust, there is very little, and so the timid historians usually leave out any mention of it altogether. That way they can play it safe.

Let’s get down to basics and deal with some basic, practical facts and figures. Do the Revisionists deny that Jews were persecuted and herded into Nazi concentration camps?

No. Nazi Germany was an anti-Semitic regime. Jews were discriminated against and were rounded up and interned in concentration camps.

Do the Revisionists support this policy? Are they Nazis?

It is not the business of Revisionists to pass moralizing judgments on historical events. Neither do Revisionists pass judgment on Roosevelt’s rounding up of American citizens who happened to be of Japanese extraction, and herding them into concentration camps on the West Coast. Revisionists come in all political shades.

What about all the photographs from the camps, of piles of naked bodies being bulldozed into pits? Of human skeletons wandering aimlessly around?

No one denies that the camps were horrible places — both for the inmates and for the staff. Toward the end of the war, conditions in the camps deteriorated very rapidly due to Allied bombing of the rail and road distribution networks. Germany was deliberately starved into submission by Allied bombing and blockades. Many thousands of Germans also starved to death in the camps.

The tailor shop at Sachsenhausen
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death, or succumbed to disease. The horrible pictures one sees are not of victims of gassing; they are of victims of hunger and typhus. According to the legend, the victims were driven into the gas chambers straight off the trains, so therefore the bodies would have been in fairly good shape. The pictures are primarily from camps where even many Exterminationists admit there were no gas chamber installations.

But surely we are told that all the camps had gas chambers? Weren’t they called “extermination camps”?

This is a widely held popular view, but even a superficial study of the Exterminationist literature reveals that nowadays they divide the camps into two types: concentration camps (in the west), and extermination camps (in the east). By a curious coincidence, all the extermination camps are located in what is now Communist-governed territory. Over the years since the end of the war, the Exterminationists themselves have gradually had to revise their dogma, on account of ready public access to the Western camps. For example, in 1945 American Congressmen were taken on a tour of the Dachau “gas chamber” and were told that many thousands of Jews had died there. Now the Exterminationists agree that no Jews were gassed at Dachau. Similarly, several Germans were executed after the war for operating “gas chambers” at several camps in West Germany. Today, the Holocaust experts admit that there were no gas chambers at those camps. The Revisionists now ask: what is the difference in quality between the evidence for gassings at these Western camps (now admitted to be bogus) and the evidence for gassings at the Eastern camps (still maintained as genuine)? Why is Western evidence dismissed as bogus while Communist evidence is retained as genuine?

But what about Zyklon B? Wasn’t it found in the camps?

Zyklon B was most certainly found in the camps. Zyklon B was a very common pesticide used to delouse the lice-ridden clothes of incoming prisoners. Ironically, it was only when this fumigation process broke down that the lice were able to spread typhus throughout certain camps. If more Zyklon B had been used, more Jewish lives would have been saved.

What about the gas chambers? Surely one can see them displayed at the camps?

Various installations have been misrepresented as having been “gas chambers.” At Dachau, for example, at least two places were represented as being deadly gas chambers. The first was an ordinary communal shower-bath. The second was a fumigation closet for the delousing of clothes. Today at Dachau a sign on the shower-bath claims that it is a “gas chamber which was never used.” The fumigation closets are correctly labeled as such, even though a U.S. Army report by the OSS in 1945 claimed that these were mini-gas chambers. At Auschwitz there is now a room on display which was first used as a basement mortuary for the deceased from the hospital next door. Later in the war it was used as an air-raid shelter. Today the Communists claim it was a gas chamber. Any superficial study of the Dachau and Auschwitz “gas chambers” would show that they could not have been used for extermination because they are not air-tight. The room at Auschwitz has even had rough holes knocked in the ceiling to “prove” that this was where the gas pellets were dropped.

What about the ovens? Surely they prove that the Nazis exterminated people.

The perfectly ordinary crematorium facilities at the camps were just the same as those at any German city mortuary. People died in the camps from natural causes, disease, judicial executions and (later) hunger. Cremation is the most hygienic method of corpse disposal. It is used throughout the Western world today.

Didn’t the Nuremberg trials prove that the Holocaust happened?

The Nuremberg trials were a show of strength of victor over vanquished. The Germans were put on trial for doing the same things which the Allies had been doing, and continued to do in occupied Germany. The Germans were even charged with committing the Katyn massacre of Polish officers while the Soviets, who had really committed this crime, were sitting in judgment. Hardly any of the “evidence” admitted at Nuremberg would stand up in court today.

Such as?

Such as documents with no proof of source. Such as affidavits from dead people like Gerstein. Such as grossly leading questions to witnesses like Rudolf Höss. Such as “lampshades” and “soap” exhibited by the Soviets.

How else were the trials biased?

The defendants were not allowed to cross-examine witnesses (only their court-appointed lawyers could do this). The defense was not allowed proper access to documentary exhibits. The defense counsels were not allowed to exhibit Allied documents as proof of identical Allied “crimes.” Potential defense witnesses were arrested. Potential defense documents were kept from defense lawyers. Throughout, the defense lawyers were treated as naughty children. The defendants’ guilt was already determined in advance.

Didn’t the Nazis themselves confess to their crimes?

None of the Nuremberg defendants knew anything at all about “gassings” or extermination. The only top Nazi leader who would have known the exact details of what went on in the camps was Himmler, who conveniently “committed suicide” while in British custody, with his body being secretly buried in a wood. Albert Speer most likely knew that the allegations were bogus because he was in charge of War Production, and many factories used camp labor. But Speer felt that to challenge this cornerstone of the Allies’ theory would have been to risk greater punishment for “lack of contrition.” His humble approach paid off in the form of a prison sentence instead of the gallows.

But surely some Nazis confessed?

Yes, they did. The principal confession used in Holocaust literature is that of Rudolf Höss, a commandant of Auschwitz. He appeared as a witness at the Nuremberg trials, and his “evidence” consisted of asserting to an English-language affidavit he had signed earlier. There is no evidence that Höss understood English. His affidavit in many respects contradicts his later testimony and written confessions conducted by the Communist Poles. Much of his testimony also flies in the face of science, and in the face of the reality of the Auschwitz “gas chamber” on display today. There is evidence that Höss was tortured; even Exterminationists describe his psychological status as “schizoid apathy.”
Isn't there proof of an extermination program in Hitler's Mein Kampf?

*Mein Kampf* is certainly anti-Semitic. But nowhere does Hitler advocate the killing of Jews. Instead, he recommends their removal from Western Europe and resettlement in Palestine or wherever. This policy was curiously in harmony with that of the Zionists.

Surely some written order has been found proving Hitler authorized the extermination program?

No such order has been found.

What about all the eyewitnesses? What about all the survivors?

This is probably the most difficult area of all to explain in the short space available. It will have to suffice to say that very little of the eyewitnesses' testimony would stand up under cross-examination. Many survivors appear to be suffering from a kind of "group fantasy," where they imagine themselves witnesses to all kinds of horrific deeds. Many "survivors" at war crimes trials have wanted a place in the history books for themselves, and society holds back from questioning their testimony too closely for fear of offending their sensitivities. Several Exterminationists, such as Gerald Reitlinger, Hannah Arendt and Gitta Sereny, have admitted that much "survivor testimony" has been bogus. Even in the United States, witnesses from Israel and elsewhere came forward to testify against Frank Walus, whom they claimed was a camp guard. After losing his case, Walus's attorneys discovered new documentation which incontrovertibly proved his innocence. Therefore the witnesses knowingly or unknowingly committed perjury. Many of them were flown in from Israel and seemed to have been rehearsed. Several of the published memoirs of survivors contain scenes which are pharmacologically impossible, particularly in connection with corpse disposal.

In the National Archives, aren't there plans for gas chambers and orders for exterminations?

There are plans, invoices and orders for: Zyklon B, crematoria, deportations, judicial executions and anti-Semitic laws. One can even find documents showing the cost of the dog food for Auschwitz. But no one has ever turned up any documentation for gas chambers or programmed extermination. The blueprints for Auschwitz show the "gas chamber" as a mortuary.

The Exterminationists like to pretend that the Germans used code words for their operations, like "special treatment" for exterminations. But even at the Nuremberg trials it was shown that "special treatment" could mean special privileges like extra food rations for VIP prisoners.

What then was the true nature of the camps?

They performed a dual function. They were simultaneously internment camps for Jews and others who were considered a threat to national security, and also labor centers where factories or agricultural projects could be established to take advantage of the ready labor supply. Auschwitz was the biggest, with a galaxy of industrial factories employing both interned labor and voluntary workers from all across Europe. The volunteers outnumbered the internees. The factories included a hydrogenation plant for turning coal into synthetic oil, and a Buna rubber works for making artificial rubber. There were coal mines and botany stations. Most of the other camps in Poland had ancillary agricultural and lumber projects. Obviously, with all able-bodied Germans away fighting the war, the authorities needed to use every single available body for labor. It simply would not have made sense to exterminate able-bodied labor.

Where can I find out more about the Revisionist books?

By writing to: Institute for Historical Review, P.O. Box 1306, Torrance, CA 90505 or Revisionists' Reprints, P.O. Box 3849, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266.

**HEY THERE, DR. KING! THERE'S SOMETHING 180 MILLION WHITES HAVE BEEN MEANING TO TELL YOU . . .**

Dr. Charles H. King Jr. has been peddling his race relations seminars around America since 1970. He charges $181 a head to tell a roomful of middle-class whites that they are the sole cause of all black problems. He tells them this for two or three days running. "You don't break a man's legs and criticize him for walking like a cripple," is one of two dozen or so favorite lines he throws at every audience.

Supposedly, the only rules of these encounters are that the whites are to be "completely honest" about their "deepest feelings," although -- and here's the catch -- they are never permitted to talk about their own personal experiences with blacks.

King, an ordained Baptist preacher, is the uncouolest of the uncouth -- the antipodes of slick black operators like Maynard Jackson and Tom Bradley. He has much more in common with the millions of unemployed and unemployable denizens of
U.S. ghettos. Though he has made a living out of verbal confrontations with guilt-fixated whites for a dozen years, King still speaks with an inborn hostility to grammar and syntax, and can be exceptionally slow on the mental uptake. Accordingly, his more thoughtful white “students” are careful to phrase their remarks in simple sentences. They can often be seen -- and heard -- struggling with “simultaneous translations” into the King lingo, which can best be described as dumb-speak.

Ideas often register on King’s face so slowly that the process unfolds like time-lapse photos of budding flowers. The man’s IQ cannot be much over 90. His crudely formed vocal chords emit crude sounds. His primitive hands make primitive gestures. The poorly articulated structure of his cortex yields inchoate thoughts. His poorly differentiated facial musculature produces the most unsubtle expressions. We have seen 10-year-old whites who could think circles around him -- and sleepwalkers with a lot more grace. In short, Charles King, “one of God’s creatures,” is hardly more than a BLOB, a hominid which the Caucasoid and Mongoloid races left behind eons ago.

Any halfway intelligent, halfway sensitive white would have to agree with this belabored description of King within minutes of entering one of his tasteless, Upper Voltan bullying sessions. But not one member of his white audience in 12 years has apparently had the guts to tell him off. Thousands of whites have been enjoined to honesty, yet not one has stood up and dared to be honest, even when King has out-Kinged himself in outpourings of antiwhite racist vitriol. Black people are the greatest, he blusters, because they don’t discriminate. Whites the pits, he whines, because it is their “fault.” Whites are the only race problem in terms of personal experience. Sure, all of that may have happened to you. Anything might have happened to you. But who the hell are you? You shouldn’t let your life obscure the bigger picture -- which is that blacks are still kept out of the mainstream, their unemployment is still twice as high.

Of course, King doesn’t say this in anything like normal speech. Nuance to him is a congenital no-no. The man barks or grunts his words. Occasionally, a rare white, unable to bear the barbaric performance any longer, jumps to his feet and practically runs from the room. King complacently informs the rest of the audience that all of his blunt, no-holds-barred truthsaying has finally gotten to the poor devil. Everyone murmurs uncertainly -- something King’s audiences seem to do a lot of.

The whole Stone Age show is a giant fraud from start to finish. But it has served this unwitting function. It has revealed to many whites the cardinal truth of American race relations in the 1980s: that all of their best “holds” are indeed barred; that only a white’s outermost feelings on race may be voiced aloud. Few of King’s pupils have dared to communicate their discovery, even to their closest friends or relations. But at least King’s apelike antics have persuaded large numbers of whites to think seriously about what is likely to happen to them when King-type “seminars” will be required courses in every college and high school.

---

**IS THERE A FLORIDA IN YOUR FUTURE?**

 Castro, in a way, is using people like bullets aimed at this country.

— former White House aide Jack Watson

Florida Governor Bob Graham says that half of Miami’s violent crime is committed by approximately 100,000 “Marielito” refugees. They already make up nearly one-third of the city’s jail population. Policemen in Miami, New York and other large cities agree that the brutality of Marielito crime is beyond anything seen previously. Witnesses to their robberies are routinely shot. The killers tend to be either husky Negroes or slight young men of mixed race, “gaunt and hollow-eyed.” Last autumn Dade County Medical Examiner Joe Davis denounced the killer-refugees on a local TV show: “Those guys are not even human. They’re animals. Not even animals. That’s an insult to the animal kingdom.” A refrigerated hamburger van was rented by the morgue to handle the overflow.

“This is Dodge City, South,” says Jim Dingfelder, a local customs official. Other large American cities are routinely called the same thing, and the historically-minded residents of Dodge City, Kansas, do not like it a bit. One recently wrote to a large metropolitan daily, pointing out that the murder rate around Dodge City was rather low, even during the wildest frontier days.

The Marielitos are not the only Hispanic immigrants who are transforming South Florida. At the other end of the economic spectrum, Latino drug lords have remade Miami as the “Wall Street of dope.” At least 20 major smuggling syndicates are operating in the area. The 10 to 15 billion dollars that they “mainline” into the local economy each year rivals the state-
wide tourist industry in size. The big problem with drug money is “laundering” it, but local bankers have tended to be very obliging. “Most of Miami’s banks have drug money in their arteries,” says an Argentine economist on the scene. “The plastic bags and suitcases full of money from the narcotics business are their lifeblood. The banks not only manage this dirty money... they treat really big pushers as preferred customers.” Jeweler Richard Getz reports that if “someone lays out $100,000 from a paper bag, it’s no big deal... You get desensitized.”

Jose Antonio Cabrera-Sarmiento is a typical Hispanic cocaine dealer. He swaggers as he walks, gold chains dancing around his hirsute neck. His nickname “Pepe” flashes in diamonds set in a solid gold bracelet. The merchants exult whenever they see him coming. He pays the price tag in cash for everything. $139,000 from one dirty shopping bag bought him a blue Rolls Royce. Half a million dollars from another bag paid for a 60-foot Hatteras yacht. All of this cash is superheating the Miami economy and making it impossible for working people to keep up. The price of a new home in Dade County rose $5,000 last year solely because the drug-dealing combines were paying tens of millions in hot dollars for entire housing tracts.

The Reagan administration has placed south Florida’s law enforcement agencies on something close to a “war-footing.” The Miami FBI office is getting 81 new agents, 36 of whom are being brought in anonymously to work undercover. The city’s Drug Enforcement Administration office is getting 79 new agents, 19 of whom will be “deep-cover” investigators. (But won’t “deep-deep-cover” men be needed to keep them honest?) The Internal Revenue Service is setting up a Financial Law Enforcement Center in Miami. The Secret Service will get 24 new agents in Miami, perhaps half of them undercover. The local Coast Guard unit is being reinforced. Even regular military units are being beefed up.

All of the money going into crime control and refugee welfare payments is coming out of other places. At a recent meeting of Miami’s Metropolitan Commission, elderly and disabled residents pleaded against additional cuts in public services. They were shouted down by angry taxpayers who have taken to shouting at everyone. On another occasion, when a woman addressed the Commission in Spanish, a part of the angry crowd began crying, “Speak English!” and singing “God Bless America.” But God helps those who help themselves, and Miami’s Dispossessed ex-Majority has done far too little far too late. In last year’s mayoral race, when the Puerto Rican incumbent Maurice Ferre was challenged by the Cuban Manolo Reboso, both men made big appeals for the black and Hispanic bloc votes, but hardly seemed to care what the “Anglos” did. Not too surprisingly, the low white turnout split its vote almost evenly.

Thousands of lifelong Miami residents are now fleeing to central Florida and beyond. According to Grace Rockafeller, a white-haired civic leader, “Anglos in Miami have come to feel like they’re living in Russia or Iran. We hide behind double-bolted doors with a gun. And Mayor Ferre’s attitude is, ‘Anyone who doesn’t want to speak Spanish can move.’” For comments like this, Rockafeller has had a tear gas bomb come crashing through her window. “It’s war,” says Bernard Elser, the emergency room chief at Jackson Memorial Hospital. “What good does it do to send your kid to a good school,” asks State Rep. Tom Gallagher, “if he gets killed on the way or is not safe in your own house?”

Black columnist Carl Rowan writes that the Florida drug trade has damaged the area as “no Soviet missile ever could.” Armed policewomen and female FBI agents have to be escorted from their offices to their cars. “For sale” signs dot white-owned lawns throughout the city.

One group which isn’t moving is Miami’s 125,000-member black community. Most Negroes still live in Liberty City, which is worse off today than before the $100-million riot of 1980. Unemployment among the ghetto’s adult black males is about 60%. There are $8 million worth of new palm trees, park benches and other window dressing, but federal aid is falling off.

Welfare mama Brenda Quinn says that if “they” (the white genies? the fairy godmothers?) cut her food stamps and leave her six children hungry, she will march them into the supermarket and “feed’em right off the shelves... The worst they can do is arrest me for shoplifting.”

A black police officer recalls how “when we were growing up, it was simple to get a neighborhood job. Now it’s impossible.” Especially since “Hispanic businessmen tend to hire their own,” and the nice-guy Anglo have decamped. Tales of the riots are told endlessly. Those who torched buildings, chased cops and emptied liquor stores are treated like war heroes--even though their actions are an important cause of the present misery. Grenades and M16 rifles are being stockpiled for the next go-round. A local white (?) pharmacist named Jerry Stone (Stein?) is the most cynical resident of all: “I’d burn the place down this time” he says, apparently convinced that parasites are endowed with an inalienable right to their parasitism, forever and ever.

Patrick Buchanan is one nationally syndicated writer who isn’t buying that argument. The 353-page report of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights on the Miami riots enrages him because everyone is blamed therein but the rioters themselves:

To Buchanan, this is nothing but “the eternal rewrite of the Kern Commission Report, blaming all black depredations, past, present and future, on ‘white racism.’” How would he deal with rioters? Since federal programs will only increase the dead wood available for future conflagrations, he would simply “place a short phone call to the Hon. Frank Rizzo, former mayor, City of Brotherly Love.”

If the petty parasites of Liberty City are biologically corrupt, so too are Miami’s grand parasites. The Miami bureau chief of a national news service says, “In Miami, the legal profession’s disregard of the law is total. It cuts across from the smallest offense to the most serious felony.” Attorney Mark J. Friedman made the news recently by ignoring his 161 unpaid traffic tickets -- quite a feat for a man without a valid driver’s license. This “scufflaw” attitude is directly related to the gang violence and hoodlumism which prevail in present-day Dade County. Kickbacks and fearful silence on every level are what make it all possible. A vast collusion has sprung to life among “lawmen” with regard to one another’s ethical lapses.

The bloody handwriting is on the wall: Miami is lost.
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Elections, no matter what their results, cannot gladden the heavy hearts of Instaurationists any more than a physical checkup, no matter what its results, can make merry a man in his last stretch of terminal cancer. We can grasp at straws by cheering the victory of the less repugnant candidate and the defeat of the more repugnant. We can dig and delve and maybe discover some electoral upset in some obscure corner of the country and wishfully interpret it as the beginning of a Majority comeback. But, all in all, the 1982 election campaign was just one more replay of an aging and corrupt political farce which only the media and the media-ized can take seriously, a biennial billion-dollar passion play in honor of the great God Demos, in which the actors themselves are the most ardent disbelievers.

Sure, the Democrats won handsomely in the House and gubernatorial contests. Minority balloters are ballooning, and whites still split votes that the blacks know enough not to split. Blacks may have a lower I.Q. in general, but they have a higher political I.Q. That's why the number of Negroes in the House climbed from 17 to 20.

Sure, the Republicans kept their slim hold on the Senate, but what does that portend when a man like the reelected Lowell Weicker is listed as a Republican? Instauration would lieber have voted for General Jaruzelski if Instauration was published in Connecticut and the Red military marionette was on the state ticket. Jaruzelski only sells out his country. Weicker sells out his race.

Sure, Jerry Brown, the flaky Lochinvar of the counterculture, was beaten. But who beat him? Pete Wilson, one of the wimpiest of wimps, who has watched his section of the country turn browner and browner with the passing years and has not lifted a finger to stop it. Pete Wilson, who is all for human rights, but never uttered one word about Shatila!

Sure, dark darky Robert Clark did not become the first black congressman from Mississippi since the days of the First Reconstruction. Nor did light darky Uncle Tom Bradley become the first elected black governor of California or any other state (old half-white Massa Pinckney Benton Stewart Pinchback was appointed acting governor of Louisiana for one month in 1872). Who won in California? An Armenian with the charisma of a wet dishrag. All the Deukmejian victory signifies is a slight setback for California Jews who went all out for Bradley, the totally undignified mayor of Los Angeles who could not even govern his own family. One daughter has been arrested and rearrested and even jailed for a broad spectrum of crimes, including shoplifting, driving under the influence, possession of drugs, and attacking a policeman (her father is an ex-cop).

Parenthetically, a California Instaurationist has told us the Republicans had originally planned to run Wilson for governor, a race in which he would have been a shooin. But when Representative McCloskey, a leading Republican candidate for the Senate, came out against Israel, all bets were off. Only Wilson could have beaten McCloskey in the primary, so he was quickly shunted from the gubernatorial to the senatorial race. McCloskey was then effectively liquidated.

Sure, one power-famished Jewish Midas (Frank Lautenberg, net worth $100 million plus) bought his way into the Senate by defeating a Republican facsimile of Eleanor Roosevelt (Milli-centric Fenwick). The new senator from New Jersey is a true-believing Zionist, which means he is a political schizo. Sure, one WASPish Jewish Midas (Lewis Lehrman of the Rite-Aid drugstore chain) and a "mentor" of David Stockman, just failed to buy the New York governorship, losing to a Jewish-looking Italian (Mario Cuomo), a legal permisivivist whose daughter has been twice mugged. Hatchet-faced Lehrman is married to the daughter of a non-Jewish Time executive, but their five kids go to synagogue. What profits us from all this?

Sure, Reaganomics will limp along for two more years, with inflation supposed to "stay down," if you call 6 or 7% down, and unemployment "staying up." Interest rates, still double digit, are said to be "down," though in the old days there were laws against usury. As for the referenda, the voters seemed to want to keep their guns, but give up their nukes.

The future? Senator Fat Face, who was reelected in a walk, is certainly in our future. Although Kennedy helped wreck the Boston school system, although he forced his shifty Irish poor relations to mix it up with blacks in public schools and although he consorts socially and ideologically with the Harvard anti-Irish professoriat, his Irish minions still vote as solidly for him as Alabama blacks voted for the fossilized, deracinated Wallace, whose second wife voted against him, saying that he was on drugs and was in much worse physical shape than anyone imagined.

Yes, there is much that is genetic about American voting habits, except in WASP country. Wouldn't it be wondrous if some day Majority members should pick up Jewish, black and Hispanic voting habits? Wouldn't it be fun to hear the denunciations pouring out of the mouth of Injun Dan, as he explains how minority racist voters are bloc voters and Majority racist voters are racist voters? Unfortunately, by the time the Majority starts voting as a bloc, it may no longer be a majority.

Yes, 1982 was more of the same. And 1984, the fateful year of George Orwell, will be more of the same. The only trend noted in this election was that which has been noted in all previous elections (gubernatorial, congressional and presidential) for the last 50 years. As the quantity of the candidates and voters burgeons, the quality of the candidates and voters wils.

Ponderable Quote

I am afraid I am not even supported by a glimmer of patriotism in this remaining war [World War III]. I would not subscribe a penny to it, let alone a son, if I were a free man. It can only benefit America or Russia, probably the latter.

J.R.R. Tolkien
The Most Important Whole

Though we Majority activists repeat and repeat that we are not advocates of exploitation, genocide, totalitarianism or even bigotry, we meet with blind resistance when we talk about racial differences. We have to deal with doctomatic assertions that the right to propagate, regardless of the evolutionary consequences, is universal and unlimited. But repeated conversations with brick walls do not demoralize us so much that we stop trying.

What can be devastating is to confront a cynic who wonders why we care at all. Very often, of course, the cynic (in spite of himself) is an enthusiastic advocate of some cause beyond his narrow ego, and it is not too difficult to show him up by uncovering some idealistic impulse of his own. Yet his charges are separate from the man -- who may or may not be a hypocrite -- and the charges stick.

We are troubled by the cynic's philosophy because, deep inside us, we almost agree that it is naive, if not actually immoral, not to be cynical. The pursuit of self-interest is one of the two reigning moralisms today (the other being equality), and it is hard for us to jettison our upbringing. So we are apt to accept the cynic's philosophy implicitly and justify our concern about our race as a form of disguised selfishness. Thus, cynically my Majority-ism can be seen as an effort to dissolve my own personal shortcomings into a mass movement (Eric Hoffer's true believer), to be a big fish in a small racist pond, to cover up an inferiority complex by dominating lesser races, to satisfy my intellectual curiosity about the importance of race in human history, or just about anything that is not true and genuine idealism.

None of us is so lacking in introspection that we can't see partial truths in some of these charges. Certainly we all know racists for whom these charges possess a great deal of truth. But to reduce everything to ultimate selfishness is not only to rob the language of a word that used to distinguish selfish from non-selfish. It also plays a cheap semantic trick, for the word self can be construed very narrowly as my personal pleasures, my status, my stock market shares, my suburban house.

Or self can be enlarged to encompass my whole being. My being includes my narrow self and its personal pleasures, but it also includes that of which I am a small part: my nation, race, religion, profession, political party, even my home football team. And, of course, my family. It is programmed into the genes for people to form identity groups, and he who denies this, in the name of a cynic's philosophy, cuts out a large part of himself.

Professing an ideology of cynicism can have psychological penalties as severe as those of the worldly asceticism of early Christianity. The perfect ascetic would not stay alive; no more would the perfect practitioner of selfishness. The reason is that any ideology, when pushed to its own verbal limits, starts leading a life of its own and detaches itself from reality. Thus, the "ideal" selfish person would abandon efforts to improve his condition in the world, for the world is not in his self. Why climb up a totem pole that is not his totem pole?

We believers in race have the inestimable advantage of having a monopoly on reality. Others have monoplies on their multitudes of systems of words. It is futile to pick apart Ayn Rand, Robert LeFevre, Karl Marx, the Webbs, Spengler, Stalin, Jesus, Aquinas, Freud, Hitler and William F. Buckley one by one. It is enough to show that we stand on the strength of reality, identity and evolution, whereas the others stand upon words.

To answer the question, then: I believe in race because my race is a part of me. It would be psychologically crippling for me to profess an ideology that restricts me to a narrowly conceived self. To be whole, we must act in accordance with our whole being. And we must not merely imagine but learn what that whole being is. True, we all participate in many wholes -- are a part of many identity groups -- but the most important whole, at least for us at this precarious stage of our history, is race.

ROBERT THROCKMORTON

Funding the Enemy

The Women's Educational Equity Act Program (WEEAP) is not a large one by federal standards, with its annual budget of $6 million. But in the hands of a radical feminist director like Ms. Leslie Wolfe, it plays an important part in strengthening America's left-wing power network. In 1981, Wolfe and her associates actually doubled funding for the National Organization for Women (NOW) Legal Defense and Education Fund, an anti-Reagan advocacy group. This funding included $170,178 to train selected "community leaders" in "using the press to... develop strategies to cope with racism and sexism..." Meanwhile the Bay Area Bilingual Education League got $87,067 from WEEAP to train Hispanic women in "citizen advocacy and community organizing"; the Council on Interracial Books for Children received $141,087 to publish a "feminist basal reader" for third-graders; Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania got $25,000 for a project to eliminate (white) racism and (male) sexism in academic counseling; the leftist National Student Education Fund was given $1 17,411 to hold its annual convention.

When Congress passed the Women's Educational Equity Act in 1974, it did not reckon on a Leslie Wolfe turning WEEAP into a "money machine" for the leftist network. But now Wolfe holds a career position and cannot be fired without great difficulty. As an entrenched middle-level bureaucrat, she can maintain a low profile and yet be extremely effective. That is to say, "effective" in attaining political goals. Since WEEAP provides no official forms for the evaluation of its projects, officers charged with monitoring WEEAP-funded activities receive almost nothing in writing to guide them in their "oversight" duties. In the words of Conservative Digest, Leslie Wolfe is a "monarch in the feudal Washington bureaucracy, imperiously guarding her fiefdom." Higher-ups, including Secretary of Education Terrel Bell, usually rubber-stamp her edicts.

WEEAP is but one of hundreds of left-tilting agencies and recipient organizations which were closely scrutinized in April's special "pork barrel" edition of Richard Viguerie's Conservative Digest ($1.50 for the issue; order from 631 Independence Avenue, Marion, OH 43305). Anyone who wants to understand the forces with which the Reaganites -- and any future antiliberals -- must do battle, cannot afford to be without this issue.

A painfully selective review of the contents:

- Pages 4-9. A listing of 175 left-leaning
organizations which received federal funds at least once in the past five years. Among them are Washington's National Council of La Raza, Atlanta's Martin Luther King Center for Social Change, South Dakota's radical Black Hills Alliance, the Laurel Springs Institute, Tom Hayden and Jane Fonda's radical Campaign for Economic Democracy, and 170 other homosexual, radical feminist, minority and ultraliberal groups. The National Council of Negro Women has received $2,231,105 in taxpayers' money since 1978. But the "Leaping Lesbian Folies" (for nude women only) got just $41,000. All kinds of "gay" groups received funds for helping homosexuals cope with "coming out of the closet," even though sexual inverts now seem far less reticent and fearful than America's mentally-bound and gaggled Majority members. The black racist National Urban League received about $110 million in federal aid during 1980 alone. Over the past five years, the League and its affiliates were given at least 99 different federal grants for "talent searches." (Did they find any?)

- Page 10. A listing of recent federal payments (in the millions) to unions which used part of the loot to demonstrate against Reagan.
- Pages 20-23. How "legal services" funding provides a support network for leftist attorneys. For example, Neighborhood Legal Services of Pittsburgh, which received some $1.5 million in tax dollars in 1980, is a key member of the Fair Budget Coalition, which has called for Reagan's impeachment! Southern Arizona Legal Aid ($851,305 in 1980) filed suit recently to force a border county to pay for free health care for illegal aliens. (The county's health system, overburdened by the alien influx, went broke last year and is expected to do so again this year.) There are 325 private "legal services" groups in the U.S., all of them federally funded but (basically) accountable only to themselves. They and their magazine, Clearinghouse Review, actively pursue test cases designed to push American law leftward.
- Page 24. How CETA (the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act) has spent more than $50 billion on jobs training since 1973, with scant success. A 1979 survey found that more than 60% of CETA trainees were unemployed again 90 days later. Some of the trainees have been paid to: play checkers, attend dance classes, go door-to-door trying to get people to register for food stamps, stage a homosexual youth play called "Lavender Horizons," organize a tenant strike against landlords, etc.
- Pages 31-35. Reagan's bright young appointees to the directorships of ACTION and VISTA recount the political corruption they have fought.
- Page 55. Publisher Richard Viguerie appeals to all American conservatives to make use of "the issue of de-funding the Left" as their number one shared goal, something that all can agree on: "It was shocking when [this abuse] happened under earlier administrations. It is tragic when it continues under Reagan." The president is "still giving hundreds of millions of dollars a year" to groups bent on defeating him and his allies in 1982 and 1984; and the nature of this beast makes it almost unstoppable. Indeed, were it not for the Freedom of Information Act and "a very few persistent individuals," America would be in much greater darkness today than it is.

Nazi Leniency?

For a regime allegedly pursuing a bloody, fanatical Endlosung to the Jewish problem, the Third Reich, or at least some of its legal beagles, sometimes manifested an incredible permissiveness:

CASE #1: An 18-year-old Jew named Sib­il Minco belonged to the first World War II resistance group formed in Holland. Its members carried out all the usual terrorist activities: telephone and telegraph wirecutting, bridge demolition, bushwhacking of Germans, and so on. When finally apprehended, they were condemned to death by a German military court. The sentence was in perfect conformity with the Hague Convention. The Resistance fighters were well aware that they were acting outside the law, as proved by the fact that they called themselves "illegals." But the lives of three of the group, including Minco, were spared by the German judges because of their youth. They survived the war in German concentration camps.

CASE #2: In October 1938 the Polish government decreed that all Polish passports would be invalid without a special stamp which could only be obtained in Poland, not at Polish consulates abroad. The Reich government, recognizing this as a heaven-sent opportunity to get rid of its 17,000 Polish Jews, rounded them up and transported them to the Polish border. Only those who had jobs and permanent homes in Germany were allowed to return.

Among those dragged eastward was a Polish-Jewish tailor from Hanover named Grynszpan. He did not want to reemigrate to Poland; his promised land was America. His son, Herschel, was in Paris at that moment exploring just such a possibility.

The 17-year-old Herschel wandered uncomfortably from one emigrant group to another before attaching himself to a ragtag gang composed of ragtag Jewish refugees who swore by a hybrid version of Zionism and Trotskyism and were preparing to take violent action against the Germans.

Herschel had never been a Zionist in Germany and had never had anything to do with the extreme left. After all, he was only 17. His new Jewish comrades now providing him shelter, security and seemingly sincere friendship decided that he was not the type who would make a good guerrilla. They chose a better career for him - martyrdom!

The opportunity arrived when the Paris press was howling about the ongoing "drama" on the Polish border. It was reported that there had been many dead and wounded Polish Jews. The gang leader was very sorry to break the news to Herschel that his father had been among those killed. A fellow member, a Dutch Jew named de Haas, who called himself Haas in Paris, slipped Herschel the pistol with which he shot the first person he found at the German Embassy - Third Secretary vom Rath.

The well-known aftermath was Kristallnacht, the longest nail in the coffin of a possible peaceful solution to the Jewish problem in Germany. The assassination of vom Rath was "exactly what the Nazis had been waiting for," the foreign press was quick to explain. The truth was otherwise. If Nazis had felt they needed such an incident, they could have easily staged one themselves. In point of fact, Kristallnacht was exactly what the Zionists had been waiting for.

Although the French government had refused to send Herschel Grynszpan back to Germany for trial, he fell into the clutches of the Gestapo during the Occupation. A German court had already condemned him to death absente reo, but after he had described the brainwashing leading up to the crime and after his anti-Nazi ardor had cooled somewhat when he learned that his father was still alive, his sentence was commuted to five years in a concentration camp. With the Red Army getting uncomfortably close in 1944, Herschel and other inmates were moved west, where they were liberated by the Americans. Herschel tarried a while in Germany, then went to South America and, presumably, lived happily ever after.

Herschel Grynszpan was not only a Jew, but a Jew who had deliberately murdered a German diplomat. He was sentenced to death and was in the power of the Gestapo for nearly five years, yet he survived!

The leniency the Nazis extended to Herschel doesn't jibe too well with the kind of Gestapo brutality we see every night on TV. Nor does it add much credibility to the Myth of the Six Million. If Grynszpan wasn't gassed, who was?