Classical and Rock Blacks


That a black singer cannot possibly assume the lead role in a Wagnerian opera without making a mockery of the whole performance is dismissed as “stupid” by black singer Leona Mitchell in Opera News. But Peter Hall, an English opera director, wonders, “How can a black man play a Nordic god?”

One black bass now being heavily promoted by the Jewish music critics is Simon Estes. Feature articles in High Fidelity and Saturday Review have focused on his upward movement in the musical world. He made his Metropolitan Opera debut early this year in Tannhauser, and the critics raved.

Estes claims that he should have sung at the Met 16 years ago, after he had won the Tchaikovsky competition. “I would have had I been white.” But Joan Ingpen, the Met’s assistant manager, disagrees. “We don’t care what color an artist is. The only thing we’re concerned with is how well he sings.” She also pointed out, “In the time she had been there, the Met offered Estes two previous contracts, both of which he refused.”

Critical Mark Steinbrink had to admit in an otherwise fawning article in the Saturday Review that the 16 years it has taken Estes to appear at the Met is not that unusual. “Many of today’s best artists spent years abroad learning the operatic ropes.” Schonberg confirmed this in his New York Times piece:

[It is very hard for a singer, black or white, after spending 15 or more years trying to hack out a career, to concede that he will never be good enough to get near the top. So the all too natural defense mechanism is to look around for someone else to blame.

Estes has one other brownie point that should endear him to the minority and liberal intelligentsia. In 1980 he married Yvonne Baer, a Swiss kindergarten teacher he met while singing in Zurich in 1977. “Yvonne and I have a wonderful relationship . . . we can’t wait to have kids.”

Resegregation

At the moment the classical music scene beckons ever more alluringly to blacks, a curious resegregation seems to be taking place in rock. Time (Feb. 15, 1982) notes:

The results of radio stations’ demographic polls can be racist in fact, if not in intent. With some crossover exceptions like Michael Jackson and the Commodores, the place to hear black music on American radio now is either soul or oldies stations. And they play even less white music on black radio.

Says Jon Landau, the onetime rock critic who now manages Bruce Springsteen, “The cross-fertilization between black music and white music that created rock has greatly diminished.”

White Boy Singin’ the Blues by Michael Bane notes that the reaction against disco music can be equated with the “white backlash” of the early 1970s. Bane writes:

I think the real answer to the rise of New Wave music lies in the reaction itself. New Wave music is self-consciously white. The beat moved on and New Wave is an attempt to redefine music without it.

Governor Jerry Brown’s frontwoman, Linda Ronstadt, sounds off in Playboy:

For a while, it was very much an in thing for white musicians to be able to play with heavy black affectations; for instance, putting the rhythm emphasis way back behind the beat. If you could do that and keep the groove, that was a real hip thing to do and now it’s the opposite. The grooves are very rushed and very fast and the emphasis seems to be very much on top of the beat. The moves I see are very white . . . like something in a Holiday Inn disco, sort of Ohio housewife dancing -- very white.

What does all this breast-beating mean? It’s hard to say because no definite trend can be spotted. Young whites who have been closely associated with black music for a generation or more may be shifting back to their own roots. Or popular music may be in a transition stage. But whether we are leaving behind the minority-dominated past for something more authentically “us” is still unclear.

Zion in Santa Monica

Although Berkeley was really the first to deserve the honor, Santa Monica, California, has been given worldwide publicity for being the first “people’s democracy” in the U.S. Under the thumb of Mayor Ruth Yanetta Goldway, the city’s politburo, which like the first politburos of the Bolsheviks, is dominated by Jews, has come out strongly against American intervention in El Salvador. It has backed the nuclear freeze, yelled against the establishment (although it is part of the establishment), hated the rich, loved the poor, adored the minorities and supported gun control, forced busing and practically everything else in the ultraliberal book -- with one strange or not-so-strange exception.

In the Middle East it is not against militarism, it is not for the poor, it is not against colonialism and aggression. In fact, the Santa Monica city council passed by a vote of 4 to 3 a resolution strongly supporting Israel’s invasion of Lebanon (Operation Peace for Galilee). Instead of calling on Zionists to stop napalm ing and clusterbombing the wretched of the Lebanese earth, it has asked Senator Alan Cranston to investigate the Arab connections of Secretary of State George Shultz.

Jane Fonda, who happens to live in Santa Monica, went to Hanoi to support Uncle Ho’s militarists in the Vietnam war. She went to Israel to support Uncle Menahem in the Lebanese invasion. She visited the few hundred wounded soldiers in Israeli hospitals, but did not visit the tens of thousands of wounded and maimed in Lebanese hospitals which Israel attacked with phosphorous bombs.

How does Jane Fonda, the antiracist par excellence, rationalize her collaboration with the world’s most racist state? She doesn’t bother. Her husband, Tom Hayden, one of the more describable members of the despicable Chicago 7 and an ex-SDS hit man, is running as a Democrat for a seat in California’s 44th Assembly District. Jane has to be for the Israeli killers because otherwise Tom wouldn’t have a chance in his heavily Jewish district. That’s the way American politics goes.

Aren’t we all proud of being members of such a political system?
Cultural Catacombs

Nords and Meds
A paragraph buried in a New York Times story (Aug. 13, 1982, p. 6) sheds further light on why Britain clobbered Argentina in the Falklands. Discussing the components of the Argentina army, the Times reporter wrote:

The draft here is democratic, an honest lottery, but the assignments afterward are not. Many of the white sons of the middle class draw office jobs. But most of the fighting men for the Falkland war were one-year conscripts from poor and working-class families. Many came from the provinces, their dark, straight hair and wide cheekbones revealing their Indian ancestry.

So it was not just the old story of Northern Europeans beating Southern Europeans in mortal combat. Apparently the Argentine forces were composed of many members of a race with an even poorer military record than that racked up by Mediterraneans.

Mix-Up in Georgia
Those who think that black-white miscegenation is still largely confined to America’s big cities had better recompute -- at least it tiny Millen, Georgia, is anywhere near typical. Millen, situated midway between Augusta and Savannah, has won notoriety over the past two years as the home of Kathleen Blackburn. A white woman from Minnesota whose illegitimate black son was born to a white GIs in the town who had given birth to half-Negro children without suffering any blance to her whatsoever, and who may even have let her son watch her animal high-jinks.

Considering the royal treatment that Kathleen Blackburn has been receiving, more Southern girls may be tempted to blackburnize. The new-style Georgia Peach was flown to California for talks with a movie producer at Post-Newsweek Productions, a film subsidiary of the Washington Post, and given a $2,500 advance check for telling her side of the story (which is $2,500 more than Judge Hawkins will ever get for his). When she returned to Georgia in February with the check, Blackburn did not post the bond that would have allowed her to see little Nicholas for the first time in eight months. Instead she spent the money on what she called “essentials” -- such as a leather coat and a high-priced stereo.

The Best and the Dumbest
A conference held in Racine, Wisconsin, last June sought to refute the idea that black solders are unreliable. Alvin J. Schexnider of Virginia Commonwealth University presented data showing that, in all branches of the military, the percentage of black recruits with high school diplomas is significantly higher than the percentage of whites with diplomas. In the navy 90.6% of the blacks recruited last year had finished high school, as opposed to 76.3% of the whites. In the Navy the figures were 86.8% against 73.7%. To Schexnider this was proof positive of black quality, although the less educated whites.

To Schexnider this was proof positive of black quality, although the less educated whites.

Idiot Savant
Mix Carl Sagan with Stephen Jay Gould, add a dash of Lenny Bernstein and a soupcon of Soupy Sales, and who (or what) have you got? You’ve got “a genius of the highest order,” according to Professor Dan Segre of Harvard University. You’ve got “More than a Touch of Genius,” as the Washington Post shouts from a banner headline. You may not have God, but you’ve got the next best thing -- Talmudic scholar Adin Steinsaltz in neon lights.

How smart is Rabbi Steinsaltz? So smart that, “if [he] lived in the United States, he would likely be on the cover of Science or Time. His fiction might appear in the New Yorker, and op-ed pages of metropolitan dailies would invite his views on politics and society.” These are the opening words of the Post’s apologetic. So smart that his sort of mind comes around only every couple of thousand years.” So says the Post’s second paragraph.

Still not sold? Then hear this: Steinsaltz, with his scholar’s stoop, his blue eyes and his scrappily red beard, “links worlds others see as opposites: religion and politics, mathematics and mysticism... sees connections no one else sees...” He has written a book linking modern physics (Einstein) to traditional Judaism. Although coming from a family of leftist intellectuals, he now sees Marxism as “a cheap imitation” of the Talmudic dialectic. In his spare time he is now translating all 36 volumes of the Talmud and adding his own comments to every passage.

Steinsaltz! The man who “is interested in everything,” who delights in the “secret sympathy” between Israel’s far left and far right, yet regards Menahem Begin as “too much of a gentleman,” who sums up his life, his worldview, all in one sentence: “To me everything is Torah.” Steinsaltz, the Great Reductionist. Mr. Brains himself, The Mind of the Millennium. Tell us, Great Master, your views on the Lebanon invasion.

Slowly the hidden connection emerged: “Steinsaltz sees a parallel between his enlarged spleen and Palestinian terrorism. The invasion of Lebanon was ‘inevitable’ and ‘a necessity.’ ” The spleen had to go, and so did the PLO.

Kissinger Labeled?
Lyndon LaRouche is the chairman of the almost nonexistent U.S. Labor party. Yesterday he was some kind of weird Trotskyite. Today he believes the earth is populated and that the Queen of England and Arthur Jensen -- are part of a vast conspiracy to reduce the world population by 2 billion nonwhites. He calls Senator Moynihan and Averell Harriman racists and Kissinger a faggot. He is against drugs and for nuclear fusion. In all the newspapers, journals and intelligence reports he turns out, where he gets the money, no one knows; there is this word of criticism of the Soviet Union.

Lyndon has sued the ADL unsuccessfully and for his pains has been labeled an anti-Semite. One story has it that he is a French Canadian, but one of his recent statements indicates otherwise. In two press releases dated July 28 and August 1, he said the following:

Personally Kissinger is a nothing. Or, as we say in Yiddish, a g...mit. His first intelligence boss, back when Henry was
a Pic. jeep driver in Oberammergau, says, "I invented Kissinger . . ." Kissinger is no ordinary, common, garden variety of homosexual. His heathen sexual inclinations are merely an integral part of a larger evil . . .

Psychologically, Henry is of a distinct species . . . the species some psycho-pathologists prefer to describe as an extreme and usually avaricious . . .

The conclusion: they will be getting nicely in the near future, when few young white people are working women and the elderly.

What about increased fertility, specifically white fertility, which is currently well below the rate of biological replacement? Nobody -- but nobody -- talks about changing that factor to help meet econom-

ic needs. Keeping America white is an option far beyond the accepted parameters of our social planning establishment.

Yarn Unravels

Dr. Charles Drew was one of several people whose research helped to develop modern methods of blood transfusion and blood banking. But because Drew was considered black (he had a small Negro component), his name still pops up all across America during Black History Month (February). Part of the myth of Charles Drew pertains to his death in a North Carolina hospital on April 1, 1950. Supposedly, he bled to death because a whites-only hospital refused to treat him for injuries sustained in an automobile accident.

We Ain't Seen Nothin' Yet

When legal and illegal immigrants plus special-admissions refugees are counted, more people have settled in the U.S. in recent years than at any other time in our history -- between 1½ and 2 million per annum. But, says Bill Butz, the senior economist at Rand Corporation, "legally or illegally, we're in for larger streams of immigrants soon. He does not say "might be in for" or "will be in for unless we take certain measures." Top planners never talk like that. If they did, they would not be pillars of the establishment.

Butz was describing Rand's new 39-page report, based on a decade-long study (within carefully circumscribed parameters and assumptions) dealing with immigration and employment. The conclusion: while these two social factors clash today, they will begin meshing nicely in the near future. Twenty years from now, we are told, when few young white people are entering the job market, employers will be competing fiercely for the right to bring in immigrants.

Rand demographer Kevin McCarthy finds the present (terribly tepid) debate on immigration control to be possibly shortsighted, given the Baby Bust of the mid-1960s: "I think the conditions facing us right now, the debate, the hubbub, and the climate that produced it will shift dramatically," he says. Bill Butz calls immigration "one of three safety valves for the labor market," the others being the employment of nonworking women and the elderly.

What about increased fertility, specifically white fertility, which is currently well below the rate of biological replacement? Nobody -- but nobody -- talks about changing that factor to help meet econom-

Freudian Pervert

There are a lot more than 57 varieties of psychoanalysis to choose from, but few practitioners seem to know or care which ones (if any) are truly effective. Dr. John Nathaniel Rosen's specialty is called "direct analysis." The Rockefeller Brothers Fund gave Rosen $150,000 in 1957 to study his own theories. Temple University put two houses at his disposal. Novelist Millen Brand wrote The Savage Sleep, partly based on Rosen's life. To this day many acolytes sing his praises. But as psychiatrist O. Spurgeon English observes, Rosen's "seductive tactics" with patients "may appear unconventional":

It may not be so easy to understand his saying, "You are the kind of girl who appeals to me. I'd like to have intercourse with you. Will you go upstairs to have intercourse with me?" Or to understand the same kind of remarks made to a male patient in a homosexual form.

A spate of lawsuits is revealing that Rosen did not stop with verbal seduction. Former patient Janet Katkow asserts that, between 1970 and 1979, Rosen forced her to engage in the usual perversions with him and with other patients (including a federal judge). A Pennsylvania state board is examining allegations by former patient Sally Zinman that she was stripped nude and beaten by Rosen and tied down for 30 hours, then kept in isolation in the cellars at Rosen's million-dollar home for two months, wearing only what you would expect. At least five female patients and therapists have made sworn statements concerning Rosen's sadomasochistic antics.

In 1972, Rosen was indicted for federal income tax evasion. In 1976 a former patient (male) sued him on grounds of imprisonment and abuse. Since then, litigation has been unending. So far, Rosen has been saved by either the statute of limitations on assault or his alleged victims' "poor mental condition."

Canine Dysgenics

Humans aren't the only species perpetrating a crime wave. Last year, about a million dog bites were reported to U.S. health officials, and animal behaviorist Alan Beck estimates an unreported total five to ten times higher. Faulty training is partly to blame, but the scientific director of the Humane Society, Dr. Michael Fox, points an accusing finger at irresponsible breeding practices. Particularly bad are the so-called "puppy mills" which carelessly stock the retail pet store trade. "What we're getting today are dogs with unstable temperaments," says Fox. Some are hyperaggressive, some are fearful, some are both at once. "And these [traits] can be eliminated through careful breeding." Sounds like good advice -- but why stop with dogs?

Charles Drew

A black physician who was at the fatal scene is trying to lay to rest what he calls the "perpetual lie" of Charles Drew's death. Dr. Charles M. Quick has traced the tale to 1964, when the late Urban League director Whitney Young told it in a syndicated column. By 1971 historian William Loren Katz was reciting it in a black history book. More recently, a character on television's M*A*S*H series told a patient, "If you don't behave, we'll let you bleed to death like that hospital in North Carolina did to Drew."

Dr. Quick admits that Drew was never "admitted" to the hospital, but only because, as an emergency patient, there wasn't time. This, and the misplacement of Drew's records when the hospital moved, supposedly explain why the yarn grew. Perhaps. But we suspect that another factor will keep it eternally alive. The fact is that three white emergency room doctors struggled for two hours to save Drew's life.
Shoot Down the Americans

More than a year ago Menahem Begin said he had been advised by "important Americans" that Israel should not object to the sale of AWACs to Saudi Arabia. Israel, Begin was advised, should simply shoot down the planes if they came too close to Israeli air space. The advice held even if the AWACs should have American crewmen.

Begin called it "strange advice." The Los Angeles Herald-Examiner (May 12, 1981), which carried the story, never ran a follow-up, although it certainly would have provided a sensational scoop if the names of these "important Americans" were revealed. It probably wouldn't be too hard to identify them since they must have rather close connections to the Israeli government. They were probably, Jimmy the same "Americans" who shed not one tear over the massacre of 34 Americans and the wounding of 171 when Israeli jets and torpedos boats attempted to sink the U.S.S. Liberty. It was interesting that that particular massacre raised almost no stir in the American media, which has recently been so concerned about Shatila. Admittedly, Palestinians have been treated rather shamefully by American press and TV ever since the founding of Israel. But when they are massacred, they are given a hundred times more space than that given to the American victims of an equally foul act by the nation of racist blitzkriegers to which we seem to have been indentured for all eternity.

Survivor Knifes AT&T

How does someone who fled "the Nazi terror in 1943" (The Press, Oct. 1982) become the master of the fate of America's largest corporation? As reporter Gene Goldberg tells it, Harold Herman Greene joined the American army six months after his arrival in the U.S., was quickly shipped back to Germany as an intelligence officer, returned to America, and in not too many years was helping to draft major civil rights legislation. When the Plains moved to Washington, Jimmy the Tooth gave him a federal judgeship. A few months ago Greene hit the headlines when he demanded important changes in the settlement of the government's 13-year-old antitrust suit against AT&T. Although both sides had signed an out-of-court agreement, Greene stepped in and refused to approve it until AT&T promised to give up its Yellow Pages and allow the federal court to supervise the spinning off of 22 operating companies. Most important, Greene prohibited Ma Bell from entering into the "electronic information service" business for at least seven years. The effect of this ruling is to prevent Majority-controlled AT&T from competing with the minority-dominated or minority-oriented media.

We wonder if Judge Greene, now called "the media's newest hero," ever thought about that aspect of his ruling.

23,000 to 1

When the brutal killer Frank Coppola was executed in Virginia last summer, one Jerry E. Rosenthal took it upon himself to write to the Washington Post asking, "Why can't Dan Rather show the legalized extinction of a single human being?" He wanted to know if President Reagan, Chief Justice Burger or Virginia Governor Charles Robb had actually seen a man being done to death in the electric chair. Perhaps not. But have they seen a brilliant young cellist like Lawrence Foster of Oak Brook, Illinois -- acclaimed as an authentic musical genius -- brutally slain by a black thug named Sanchez while on a concert tour?

At about the same time, in Philadelphia, Jewish leaders eulogized Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. amid pleas for "restoration of the black-Jewish alliance," whose demise was somewhat exaggerated. Four hundred delegates from 117 Jewish organizations and communities applauded NAACP leader Althea Simmons when she promised to give her all to keep minoritydom united.

In New York last summer, the head of the National Urban League, John Jacobs, told the 93rd annual convention of the Central Conference of American Rabbis that it was time to forge a new black-Jewish coalition to break the grip of Reaganism.

In Atlanta minority coalitions were busting out all over. Mayor Andrew Young told 300 people at a local American Jewish Committee meeting that, "There is always a wealth of opinion on both sides because when you have three blacks you'll get four opinions, and when you have three Jews, you'll get six opinions." Cute. But Young neglected to explain why his hyper-individualistic blacks vote as a nearly perfect bloc in the halls of Congress -- or why, as he said in the same speech, "blacks and Jews are compelled to come together."

The most ominous new development on the anti-Majority circuit is the start of a serious dialogue between Hispanic and Jewish leaders. Tony Bonilla, president of the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), and nine other Hispanic leaders were invited to Israel in June for a VIP tour. Bonilla predictably reported, "We came back with a sense that Jews and Hispanics share a common ground, in suffering and persecution . . . ." He added, with a touch of avarice, "We feel that [Jews] can open doors for us in the private and governmental sectors."

But while America's minority leaders keep patching and repatching their differences for public show, the minority rank-and-file have increasingly taken to mutual bashing. During one week in June alone, two of California's largest prisons were turned upside down by nonwhite racial rioting. One man died and a dozen were injured at Folsom when Mexicans and blacks battle-tested their prison-made weapons. At San Quentin a thousand prisoners staged a minority racist free-for-all which injured at least 26. Elsewhere in the Golden State, growing numbers of Asian refugees in subsidized housing projects were harassed by jealous blacks. Some yellow families in San Francisco's Hunter Point project were even attacked with rifle fire and Molotov cocktails.

In Denver, blacks and Mexicans continued their intramural hatefest. And, in Chicago, where more than 60 fires have started in Arab-owned convenience stores in the past two years, most observers blamed the arson on black resentment against immigrants.

One of the newest and most explicit let's-exclude-whitey programs is the Rev. Sun Myung Moon's Minority Alliance In-
Oval Offal

In June 1973, when it was revealed that Richard Nixon had secretly taped many of his conversations in the White House, the prevailing sentiment among the Washington press corps was: who but Nixon would do such a thing?

Liberals everywhere just knew that the dirty tricks had commenced with Dirty Dick. JFK's court historian, Arthur Schlesinger Jr., said it was "inconceivable" that his hero had ever taped his visitors. One day when he wasn't drunk, Speaker of the House Carl Albert called the Nixon recordings an "outrage." AFL-CIO President George Meany said they were "so fantastic as to be almost beyond belief."

Over the past year it has become plain that every American president since Franklin D. Roosevelt has secretly recorded Oval Office conversations, Presidents Kennedy and Johnson taped White House visitors extensively without their knowledge. Even Herbert Hoover employed a stenographer who eavesdropped in shorthand. Historian Frank Friedel published transcripts of Hoover's 1932-33stenographic notes in 1973, at the height of the Nixon taping uproar. Few noticed, because the few in a position to spread the word chose not to spread it.

Harry S. Truman made only 10 hours of tapes, according to Strictly Personal and Confidential: The Letters Harry Truman Never Mailed (edited by Monte M. Poen). The book reveals sides of Truman which he usually kept hidden.

Truman's relations with newspapermen were excellent, but he hated many of their bosses, particularly Hearst, Pulitzer, McCormick, Gannett and Scripps-Howard. He once said that if Joseph Pulitzer was in heaven, "I want to go to hell." In one of his unmailed letters he wrote:

"Many a great and talented scribbler has sold his soul to these purveyors of 'Character Assassination.' The old Moslem assassins of Mesopotamia have a much better chance of a considered judgment" in the end, than have these paid mental whores of the controllers of our so-called "free press."

Harry the haberdasher was notoriously not a speculative thinker or philosopher. Yet he was much better read than any president since, and his notes and letters, full of historical and biographical references, show it. Monte Poen writes, "His highschool theme book looks like a present-day college honor student's, with world-history lecture notes neatly organized and detailed, English compositions that speak of duty, honesty, loyalty, and courage."

It is a long way down the presidential pike from Harry Truman to Richard Nixon, if there is any truth in the portrait of the latter drawn by Seymour M. Hersh in the May Atlantic. While President Kennedy allegedly liked to charge two dozen visitors around the White House, Nixon's favorite vice was getting drunk as a skunk around sundown and muttering harmless things like, "Henry, we've got to nuke them." By Hersh's account Nixon was "quite drunk early in the crisis" when North Korea shot down an unarmed U.S. Navy intelligence plane, killing all 31 aboard. When vital late-night cables arrived, Henry Kissinger would tell aides, "There's no sense in waking him up -- he'd be incoherent." Kissinger loved making fun of Nixon (and just about everyone else). He used to tell his staff how, at his first White House reception, he met Pat Nixon and "naturally began to praise the president lavishly, but Mrs. Nixon leaned over and interrupted him by saying, ' Haven't you seen through him yet?'"

Occasionally, Nixon would fume about "Jewish traitors" in Washington. World-unweary Heinz would lift his eyes skyward and mutter in his gutteral, "Vell, Mr. President -- dere are looose and joose."

All for Zion

No one was quite sure why Alexander Haig was fired from or quit the Reagan administration. The best bet is that it had something to do with Israel -- that Haig, knowing Reagan would have to make low-decibel objections to Israel's latest combination Holocaust-bitchkrieg in the Middle East, wanted to get out before he could be blamed for even being associated with a few reproving words to the Kosher Nostra gangs of the Promised Land. This way he could position himself to be the 1986 Republican presidential candidate most favored by Zionists -- a sort of GOP edition of Senator Henry Jackson.

To speed this dream, which does not entirely emanate from a pipe, Haig persuaded his State Department aide, Sherman Goldberg, to come along and join him in his new America Last crusade. Now raking in buckets of money on nationwide lecture tours ($25M for a speech before the American Bar Association), preparing the inevitable ghost-written memoirs and consulting for think tanks and corporations, Haig appeared before the United Jewish Appeal and came right out for further Jewish settlements on the West Bank.

Thus the supertruckling secretary of state who pretended to be all for Camp David while in office demonstrated that in his heart of hearts he was really against Camp David and for further Israeli land grabs.

If, God forbid, Haig should ever become president, the 50 stars in Old Glory will be reduced for all intents and purposes to one -- and that one will have an extra point.

Pollsters or Manipulators?

George Gallup picked Thomas Dewey to win the presidency in 1948 but that didn't hurt his reputation as a "public opinion" pollster in the least. Neither, writes Stephen Chapman of the Chicago Tribune, have the " sloppy, biased questions" he has been asking Americans ever since. Take this gem from Gallup's mid-June poll: "Israel recently began military operations in southern Lebanon to stop Palestinian artillery attacks on settlements in Israel. Do you approve or disapprove of this action by Israel?" Chapman was amazed that only a narrow plurality of 40% to 35% approved in light of the loaded phraseology used:

In Gallup's unique view of the world, Israel did not invade or even attack, it simply "began military operations." Sounds like the arm just went out on a 20-mile hike. The operations, said Gallup, were in "southern Lebanon." Well, the invasion did begin there, but unfortunately it didn't end there. By the time the poll was taken, the Israeli army was within a few miles of Beirut, which on my map lies in central Lebanon.

The question also provides its own excuse for Israel's "military operations" -- they were intended to "stop Palestinian artillery attacks on settlements in Israel." Gallup didn't explain to his respondents that the PLO had used artillery in only two occasions since July 1981 -- both times after the Israelis had violated a cease-fire by bombing PLO bases.

Worse yet were the methods of pollster Louis Harris. He asked 1,255 people if they agreed or disagreed that "Israel was right to take defensive action by moving into Lebanon, since PLO bases there were regularly shelling Israel." Fifty-seven percent said Israel was right and 32% disagreed. Chapman was left wondering how twoellungen in nine months could be called "regular," and how Harris warranted calling the attack on Beirut "defensive."

The biggest part of the polling fraud, according to Chapman, is the supposition that "people have clear opinions on all sorts of issues, and that those opinions can be precisely measured and tracked over a period of time." In an age when young adults have moved among the last five presidents, few respondents will be on guard against the wording of questions designed to evoke answers that reveal not the opinion of the respondent but the opinion of the pollster.

INSTAURATION -- NOVEMBER 1982 -- PAGE 21
The office of Menahem Begin, prime minister of Greater Israel. The furnishings are spartan, as befits a stern and unyielding leader. On one wall hangs a framed photograph of Golda Meir.

Enter a middle-aged woman and a young man. The woman is one of Begin’s secretaries. She is speaking as they enter.

SECRETARY: Oh, no, the prime minister will be happy to see you. He is never too busy to see a relative. Particularly the nephew of one of his cousins, and a Holocaust survivor.

YOUNG MAN (hastily): I’m not a survivor. That was my mother.

SECRETARY (smiling): I understand. (A slight bustle from beyond the open door) Here is the prime minister. (She stands straight and pats her hair. Begin enters.) Prime minister, this is the young man you were expecting.

BEGIN (all smiles): Cousin! (They embrace and break apart. Begin looks at his relative approvingly.) You certainly look Jewish. Even with that white name — what is it again?

YOUNG MAN (half-apologetically): Winthrop Saltonstall. My parents landed in Boston in 1951, and it seemed the thing to do. Of course, now . . .

BEGIN (waving off any explanations): What’s in a name? I’ve had half a dozen. The important thing is that big nose of yours and that marvelously kinky hair. You’re a proper kike and no mistake.

WINTHROP (a bit stunned): Kike? But that’s an insulting epithet.

BEGIN (jovially, putting his arm around Winthrop’s shoulders): Not between Jews, it isn’t. We have to raise hell when the whites use it, but in the profundity of our natures, we are kikes. Have you ever met a Jew who wasn’t?

WINTHROP (still amazed): Well . . . (He notes the photograph of Golda Meir and points to it) . . . what about her? Don’t tell me she was a kike.

BEGIN (with a whoop of laughter): The kikiest of kikes! If you’d only seen her in action. (Suddenly serious) Listen, you American Jews live in a dream world where you’ve forgotten your roots — what made Jews great: the fact that we are kikes. You should be proud of being a kike.

WINTHROP (coming back in): Minister Sharon is here. He . . .

SHARON (bursting into the room): A PLO kid has thrown a brick at an Israeli soldier! It missed him, but I’ve ordered saturation air raids for the next three days. They broke the truce! (He glares defiantly at Begin, then exits as tumultuously as he entered.)

BEGIN (sighing): Ariel is so stupid — you’d almost think he’s a white. He actually believes our propaganda.

WINTHROP: You mean the PLO kid didn’t throw the brick?

BEGIN (impatiently): I mean it doesn’t matter what the PLO does or doesn’t do. Long before we went into Lebanon, we set up our invasion timetable. We knew the world would call for “cease-fires” and we knew we would agree to them — in order to give our soldiers time to regroup. Ariel knows how it works — he sat in on all the planning sessions — but he becomes so involved he forgets.

WINTHROP: You mean that Israel did not go into Lebanon to chase out the PLO?

BEGIN: What did they ever do to us? That is, compared to what we’ve done to them? The real reason we’re in Lebanon is to speed up our overall drive to world domination.

WINTHROP: Wow! You mean like The Protocols of Zion?

BEGIN: The Protocols of Zion were a crude forgery.

WINTHROP: They were? But I was always told . . .

BEGIN (angrily): I don’t care what you were told. Listen to what I’m telling you now. (calming down) Just because they were a forgery doesn’t mean Jews aren’t on the march. As I told you, it has always been our destiny to cause trouble and drive the whites crazy. We — at least some of us — have always known that, but we had to wait for centuries until everything fell in line. The rise of capitalism over the past three or four hundred years gave us our great opportunity. Under capitalism, the white lost all sense of social cohesion. He cares for nothing but material goods, and has abdicated the control of his society . . . to us. We control America completely, with Canada, Europe and South Africa not far
are received, I can tell you. Worldwide, Jews are immediate­
the banner - finally, the great noise in the sky, Yahweh
world? Is that a ... desirable attitude?
profound historical controls, ours has to be cloaked in meta­
We are the symbol,
world to total disaster. And, also worldwide, the
against the portrait

SECRETARY (opening the door and putting her head in):
President Reagan for you, prime minister. (She disappears.)

BEGIN (winking at Winthrop as he picks up the tele­
phone): Shalom, Ron, what’s up? (He listens for some time.)
I get it . . . no, that’s all right with me . . . . Listen, business is
We’re all in this together. (He hangs up.)

(The door opens and Abba Eban comes in on tiptoe,}
shaking with laughter.)

EBAN: I heard he called. I can’t wait. (In appearance and
manner he is rather like Robert Morley, with overtones of
Dom DeLuise.)
BEGIN: I don’t want to say it twice. (He buzzes his secre­
tary.) Get Peres in here.

EBAN (to Winthrop): I’m Abba Eban.

WINTHROP: Winthrop Saltonstall. I’m a cousin of the
prime minister’s.

EBAN (as they shake hands): Divine name. But that gor­
age nose does rather give you away.

WINTHROP (deferentially): I guess you’ve been pretty
busy this summer.

EBAN: My dear boy, it’s been pure Marx brothers. Driving
the whites right round the bend, as our fearless leader puts it.

WINTHROP: But President Reagan did call (he gestures
toward the oblivious Begin) to tell him to stop bombing
Beirut so the PLO departure could be arranged.

EBAN: What was arranged was that call — months before.
Washington told us in January that there would have to be
some sort of token objection to the annihilation of Beirut.

WINTHROP: You did? I thought Washington was sort of
unhappy about . . . well, all the bombing and, you know,
the defenseless civilians and everything.

EBAN: Delicious boy, think again, as our fearless leader
says so often. Washington and Jerusalem orchestrate every­
thing. We all practice our parts beforehand.

WINTHROP: But President Reagan did call (he gestures
toward the oblivious Begin) to tell him to stop bombing
Beirut so the PLO departure could be arranged.

EBAN: What was arranged was that call — months before.
Washington told us in January that there would have to be
some sort of token objection to the annihilation of Beirut.

WINTHROP: Nothing of substance, of course.

WINTHROP: Wow!

EBAN (shaking all over with suppressed amusement): As
Washington puts it, “We are yours to command, but we
mustn’t be too obvious.” We all play our parts — it was up to
me to criticize the blasting of Beirut, for instance. And I did
it. Just as our fearless leader did his part, and Ron did his.
After all, we’re professionals.

WINTHROP: You certainly are.

EBAN: You see — may I call you Winnie? — we always
play for two to one or better. We put ourselves in positions
where we have no business to be in the first place — in
Lebanon, or right here in Palestine, if you come down to it —
and then we say we’ll get out, or stop bombing or whatever,
if certain conditions are met. When they’re met, we get no
more than half-way out. We always end up with more than
we started with. Of course, sometimes, after we’ve gone too
far, we have to make readjustments. For example, after our
fearless leader (another quick glance at Begin, still immersed
in his papers) — our very own Fuhrer, oh, my, yes — is no
longer with us, and he does say he’s going to retire in a
couple of years — we may have a less fiery leader and
policy. But the same two for one or better principle will still
be going on. I myself think that the time will come when we
can rule through puppet natives — much as the British did
in India, and you Jews do in America — but we shall certainly
have to develop, if I do say so, a rather more sophisticated
local Jew to handle those natives. You have no idea how

WINTHROP: You certainly are.

EBAN: You see — may I call you Winnie? — we always
play for two to one or better. We put ourselves in positions
where we have no business to be in the first place — in
Lebanon, or right here in Palestine, if you come down to it —
and then we say we’ll get out, or stop bombing or whatever,
if certain conditions are met. When they’re met, we get no
more than half-way out. We always end up with more than
we started with. Of course, sometimes, after we’ve gone too
far, we have to make readjustments. For example, after our
fearless leader (another quick glance at Begin, still immersed
in his papers) — our very own Fuhrer, oh, my, yes — is no
longer with us, and he does say he’s going to retire in a
couple of years — we may have a less fiery leader and
policy. But the same two for one or better principle will still
be going on. I myself think that the time will come when we
can rule through puppet natives — much as the British did
in India, and you Jews do in America — but we shall certainly
have to develop, if I do say so, a rather more sophisticated
local Jew to handle those natives. You have no idea how
The Israelis are getting to be. (He rolls his eyes toward Begin in mock despair.) Now there's even talk of setting up a 'Christian' massacre of Palestinian civilians. But of course, as I said, we are professionals, and even the Führer realizes that if he does go too far he will have to step down and we shall all disown him . . . up to a point. But in our hearts we shall remember what he accomplished. And who knows? After a judicious period of temperate expansion, we may well give them another Begin. Isn't it a lovely game we play?

(Peres enters.)
EBAN: Shimon, come meet this divine boy. He . . .
BEGIN (coming out of his papers): We can postpone the 'social' activities until later, Abba.
EBAN (chastened): Anything you say, of course.
BEGIN (to Peres and Eban): I've just had a call from Ron. He is under some pressure, and is going to have to placate the Arabs and his own whites temporarily with a peace plan calling for us to get off the West Bank. It will, naturally, all blow over soon. However, I don't propose to make it easy for them. Nor does Ron want me to. When he announces his plan, I'll throw a fit. So will you two.

(Long silence)
EBAN (clearing his throat): Well, I concur about your throwing a fit. After all — no offense meant — that's what you do so well. So superlatively well. But Shimon and I are not that good at it. Also, we mustn't seem wholly in agreement. I suggest that Shimon actually endorse the plan, and I take the middle ground between you.

(Long silence)
BEGIN: You know, Abba, sometimes I think you're just excess baggage, and then every so often you come along with a really subtle and workable plan. I like it!
PERES (anxious to please): It certainly muddies the waters.
EBAN: Oh, it's pure Marx brothers.
BEGIN (his face breaking into a broad grin): That Harpo!
EBAN: That Groucho!
PERES: That Chico!

(They break into immoderate laughter, rolling in their chairs. Winthrop looks on, smiling but rather outside the general hilarity and not sure how to enter into it. Then inspiration comes, and he leaps to his feet.)
WINTHROP: That Zeppo! That Gummo!
(The laughter, which abated for a moment as they listened to him, now breaks out louder than ever, with Winthrop's whoops as noisy as any of the others.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Mode of Inheritance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africans</td>
<td>Hemoglobinopathies, namely HbS, HbC, and persistent HbF</td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha- and Beta-Thalassemia</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenians</td>
<td>Familial Mediterranean fever</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Alpha-Thalassemia</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-6-PD deficiency, Chinese type</td>
<td>X-linked recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese (Koreans)</td>
<td>Acatalasia</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dyschromatosis universalis hereditaria</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oguchi's disease</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive or recessive?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jews (Ashkenazic)</td>
<td>A-Beta-Lipoproteinemia</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bloom's disease</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dystonia musculorum deformans</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor XI (PTA) deficiency</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaucher's disease</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Familial dysautonomia</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Niemann-Pick disease</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentosuria</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spongy degeneration of brain</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stub thumbs</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tay-Sachs disease</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jews (Sephardic)</td>
<td>Familial Mediterranean fever</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-6-PD deficiency, Mediterranean type</td>
<td>X-linked recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean people</td>
<td>Familial Mediterranean fever</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeks</td>
<td>G-6-PD deficiency</td>
<td>X-linked recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italians</td>
<td>Thalassemia (mainly Beta)</td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: This material has been modified from V.A. McKusick. The ethnic distribution of disease in the United States. J. Chron. Dis. 20:115, 1967.
Some years ago I wrote a piece for Instauration called "Conversational Propaganda." These notes are intended as a supplement.

All fruitful discussion demands agreement as to premises. Dead-end arguments are usually over premises, because intelligent people can normally come to some sort of agreement over the facts, each deferring to the other in cases where he appears to be better informed. There is not an extraordinary amount of disagreement over some of the more obvious facts, even among people who disagree wholly as regards their interpretation. Nobody who wishes to be taken seriously now denies, for instance, that the minorities contribute quite disproportionately to the soaring crime rate. All that can be claimed in extenuation is that the minorities also figure disproportionately among the victims of crime (for the very good reason that they live cheek by jowl with the criminals). In the same way, no one now denies that drug-taking and venereal disease are rampant. People who claimed that marijuana was totally harmless a few years back are now changing their tune, and the best answer to herpes so far found by homosexuals and promiscuous "swingers" is to learn to live with it. They have no choice! I think of this as I grind my coffee beans to a Turkish fineness: Gottes Mühlen mahlen langsam, mahlen aber trefflich klein.

Similarly, few people will now deny the very real danger of the Israeli-Arab conflict developing into a third world war. While such problems were in their initial stages of exponential growth, liberals did their best to minimise them, or even deny their existence altogether. For the liberal is a derivative thinker, who shies away from instinct (the assumption being that any response to instinct must ultimately lead to harming Jews!). The facts have to be filtered by sociologists and reinterpreted by the media before the liberal can trust himself to take notice of them. A case in point is the decisive influence wielded by the Jews over the press and television, which enables them to dominate culture, entertainment, politics and legislation. Lobotomized liberals (all of them goys) have not yet reached the stage where they can admit the extent of this Jewish influence. Jews are less squeamish, being inclined to boast about their power.

In the days when better-off neighbourhoods were relatively unaffected by riots and crimes, liberals, almost all of them middle class, comfortably explained away the dangerous symptoms of social sickness by claiming that they were a necessary aspect of "change" and should not be exaggerated or even mentioned "out of context." So it was that in the early stages, when the British immigration problem could easily have been solved by Mosley's methods (humane repatriation, together with economic development in the immigrants' homelands), the media in general, and liberals in conversation, deliberately minimised the extent of the problem. Senator civil servants regularly issued statistics which grossly underrated the numbers of the coloured population, and we owe a great deal to Enoch Powell for publicly demonstrating the falsity of those statistics. In these later days, when even liberals are being mugged, raped and murdered, the low-key approach is no longer deemed appropriate. So liberals join in deploring the problem, while at the same time proclaiming that, like it or not, "we are a multiracial society now" and must learn to accept the inevitable (viz. miscegenation on a grand scale). The strong implication is that the number of immigrants is now too big to be dealt with in any other way. In other words, they are appealing to the lethargy and inertia of the Majority. But the answer is that the biggest problems require the most drastic solutions.

The chief difference between liberals and ourselves may be summed up in the statement that we are people who want to solve problems under the guidance of instinct, whereas liberals prefer to live with problems and intellectualise their responses. Thanks to Richard Swartzbaugh (The Mediator, Howard Allen, 1973), we now understand much better what motivates the strange desire to live with problems rather than solve them. The existence of conflicting groups in the same living space increases the demand for the mediator's role. In other words, if there are not enough problems, the mediator has a strong motive for creating some.

Now that we have the enemy in our sights, it might be assumed that we should win against him pretty easily in argument. But that is not always the case, for the liberal has developed techniques of obfuscation which make it more difficult to nail him down. One favourite trick is to confuse cause and effect. The philosopher David Hume did indeed show that there is no necessary connexion between two sequential phenomena, but he did not deny that when a given phenomenon follows another given phenomenon in every known case we have to accept their causal relationship for all practical purposes. Science would be impossible without such assumption. The only hard and fast rule is that "cause" should precede "effect." But this rule is not observed by liberals and the unassimilables. Hence the so-called logic of the preemptive strike, which assumes intentions on the part of the enemy justify the attack in advance. The liberals claim, for example, that Negro crime is a response to white racism, whereas it is quite evident that most white racism today is a response to Negro crime. The ancestors of present-day Northerners in the United States tended to become very sentimental about Negroes in the South and about the token Negroes they occasionally met. Closer acquaintance seemed to modify this rosy view, and such is the usual experience of mankind where interracial contacts are concerned. At first, the other race inspires interest and sympathy, for it is the traits in common which most strike the new observer, but in the course of time
irreconcilable differences of temperament become evident. Hence Spengler's dictum that hostility between contiguous groups becomes greater with time. Proximity always precedes conflict, rather in the manner of a chemical reaction. That is why the use of the word "prejudice" is wholly unjustified in this connexion. Prejudice implies assumptions about other people which are not based on experience. In fact, the longer incompatible groups have experience of one another, the greater their hostility. Postjudice would be a much more appropriate word to describe this phenomenon.

Much more serious from the philosophical point of view is the liberal tendency to find a multiplicity of causes where one will do. This is obfuscation at its worst, and conflicts directly with Occam's dictum that entities are not to be multiplied needlessly. In other words, we may not be able to prove a necessary connexion between cause and effect, but it is unaesthetic, unsymmetrical and unscientific to claim a multiplicity of causes where one will do. A compulsive need to obfuscate explains the odium theologicum of liberals towards "terrible simplification," that is, reducing problems to their essentials. Hence also the compulsive liberal need to concentrate on analysis (guided of course by unexamined assumptions), rather than on synthesis checked by subsequent analysis. The synthesists are seen as "terrible simplifiers" because experience has shown that even the most liberal among them (Toynbee, for example), tend to reach conclusions which conflict with liberal assumptions. This is what a liberal meant when he told me that the exercise of logic, without regard to "what all decent people accept," was a certain sign of fascist mentality. The Marxist hatred of "bourgeois objectivity" is in line with this. Indeed, the differences between our opponents are largely a matter of tactics.

As a prime example of liberal confusion, let us take the explanation of Negro rioting as due to "complex causes." One of these is "relative poverty" (i.e., envy, because Negroes in English-speaking countries are far better off than in black Africa or the West Indies); another is unemployment (although the unemployed are taken care of by the welfare state, and there were no riots among the much larger numbers of almost destitute British unemployed in the 1930s); another is "white racism" (see above). In fact, any cause will do other than the obvious one of encouraging incompatible aliens to come here in the first place. As Instauration has often remarked, there are no race riots in Iceland, which may have something to do with the fact that the country has no coloured immigrants.

A further example of the liberal tendency to confuse cause and effect is the well-known phenomenon of civilisations breaking down. Such breakdowns have been ascribed to any number of complex causes: defeat in war, reaching technological limits, overpopulation, overtaxation, inflation, impoverishment of the soil, decline in agricultural productivity as slaves replaced free farmers, growth of emotional religious sects at the expense of sober traditionalism. Yet Elmer Pendell, in Why Civilizations Self-Destruct, has done us a considerable service by demonstrating that the only necessary precondition for the breakdown of civilisation is too many low IQs outbreeding too few high IQs. All the other phenomena are merely effects.

Beware also of the liberal trick of claiming all exceptions are refutations of your case. Exceptions do not invalidate rules unless there are too many of them. But apart from the diversionary techniques of obfuscation there is also the lie direct. Thus, liberals refuse to see any connexion between the numbers of the unemployed and the extraordinarily similar numbers of unassimilable aliens. (The connexion would of course be much more obvious if public and private entities were not forced to employ a proportion of such aliens. Naturally, this leads to lower productivity, and so the downward spiral continues.) Liberals also claim that the immigrants are a necessary addition to the workforce, whereas the evidence of our own eyes shows us that the immigrants have merely replaced whites who have either failed to breed or taken to the suburbs. The real test of liberal sincerity in this matter is to ask whether your interlocutor would be ready to advocate that whites should have exactly the same rights in the Third World countries from which the immigrants come. Reciprocity is a great weapon, and can soon reduce the cocksure liberal to a miserable display of illogic.

However, I must warn my readers that they can only take up an impregnable position in argument if they grasp the nettle of racial incompatibility. But if they do, they know that liberals will brand them as racists and perhaps even destroy their careers. This explains the paradoxical situation of those who tell the truth up to a point being forced to lie, at least by implication, about their most basic and instinctual beliefs. At the very least, those who do not go the whole way leave an impression of partial insincerity, while the liberal tends to be left in possession of the field, although one knows he is lying with every breath he draws. The reason is that he and his kind have made the rules to suit themselves. On the other hand, I have found that a public proclamation of one's belief in racial selection makes the audience uncomfortable. So what to do? The answer is to employ the technique of ridicule. This really gets under a liberal's skin, and will often lead him to overstate his case in a way which forces the audience to reconsider its vague assumptions. In other words, ridicule promotes a reductio ad absurdum of your opponent's case. Either he can be provoked into absurdity, or else you can state his absurd beliefs for him, leaving him in the unenviable position of being forced to accept or reject an unfriendly description of what he really believes. A good way to force him into an absurd position is the Socratic method, whereby you appear merely to be seeking information. Here is a sample conversation, reduced to its essentials:

**SELF** (after mugging has been discussed gingerly for about twenty minutes): Of course, this is a terribly big problem. How do you think we can make it more tolerable?

**LIBERAL** (giving a lead): Well, of course the problem is basically one of white racism rather than black, however painful it may be for us to admit it. The situation will ease as we learn to accept every person as an individual, without undue reference to his race.

**SELF:** But surely (one "surely," please. We mustn't gild the lily and awaken suspicion at this stage.) a sense of ethnic solidarity is tremendously important to the human dignity of these people.

**LIBERAL:** Yes, I think they have a right to stress what they wish to retain of their own cultures, provided this is not made an excuse for some sort of modified apartheid. In fact, I
think all children should be taught just how much their culture has been enriched by these new citizens, who are irrevocably part of our society.

SELF (if you don’t feel you can go on wearing the mask): Different immigrant cultures promote the enslavement of women, child marriage, the slow bleeding to death of animals, mutilating the genitalia, filing the teeth -- even bestiality and cannibalism. Should we encourage study of these customs in our schools, and if not, why not? (Or more safely, you can proceed as follows) But are we doing enough to promote integration?

LIBERAL: By no means enough. It is our only hope.

SELF: I was so appalled by the reaction to last year’s riots that I made contact with a black youth group on Brixton Hill. They really are the most interesting young people. Some of them are Rastafarians, and you would hardly believe the amount of prejudice there is against their religious activities. After all, why shouldn’t they smoke ganja if it’s part of their beliefs?

This will really put him on the spot. A hundred to one he will think up some lame excuse. At least, all three of my victims so far have done so. They dare not challenge one’s bona fides because one might really send some Rastas along. (I would.) Then one can appeal to the other people present, with the same result. It hardly matters whether they suspect one’s motives. The important thing is to checkmate our enemies and force our friends to make sense.

**Talking Numbers**

Fraud, corruption and waste cost taxpayers anywhere from $2.5 billion to $25 billion a year, says the Justice Department. The biggest rip-offs occur in Medicaid, welfare, crop loss loans, food stamps and school lunches.

Watergate added $159,816,683 to the national economy, claims Playboy. Nixon was paid $2 million for his memoirs. The government spent $51,474,165 in its various investigations. Legal expenses for the defendants amounted to $4,305,220. Senator Sam Ervin, who headed the Senate investigating committee, earned $1 million from Watergate-related speaking engagements, Gordon Liddy made $840,000; John Dean $750,000. Books, articles, movies and TV shows generated from the Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward expose was paid $750,000; if Playboy is to be believed.

The St. Louis police department reported that 1,792 blacks and 341 whites were arrested for murder, rape or robbery in 1981. The population of St. Louis (453,085) is 46% black, 54% white.

Japan now makes 23% of the cars sold in America, 90% of the motorcycles, 50% of the recording equipment, 10% of the radios, 30% of the cameras, 25% of the TV sets.

Of the 1,739 daily English language newspapers in the U.S., 252 subscribe to both the AP and UPI press services. 1,087 take AP only; 381 UPI only. UPI has recently been sold to the Media News Corp.

A racial study of TV children’s programs financed by the Ford Foundation indicated that out of 1,145 characters surveyed only 42 were black and 47 were members of other minorities. The only Indian noted was Tonto.

The World Council of Churches claims a membership of 400 million Christians, though the Roman Catholic Church has refused to join. Of the 301 member churches, 28 are American and one is the Soviet-controlled Russian Orthodox Church. The general secretary is a West Indian Negro, Philip Potter, who presides over a staff of nearly 300 paper shufflers from 40 countries. Potter, a Methodist, is an outspoken admirer of Malcolm X and Stokely Carmichael. Since 1970 the organization’s Program to Combat Racism has donated some $2.5 million to black guerrillas to hunt down whites in Africa. The United Presbyterian Church gives more per capita to the WCC than any other denomination.

413 cases of the “Gay Plague,” 155 of them fatal, have been counted since 1981. 85% of the victims were under 45. Most were free-wheeling homosexuals who needed themselves with drugs. Two cases a day are now being reported nationwide.

The Quebec birthrate, once one of the highest in the New World, is down to 1.7 per mother. Immigration, however, keeps the population increasing. As the Anglos leave, French speakers (of a sort) from Haiti (20,000 to 40,000) and some 25,000 North African Jews, Vietnamese and Cambodians are taking their place.

In the Japanese invasion of Hankow in 1937, the National Relief Commission “served in and out of the refugee camps some 25 million meals ... 25 million meals -- not people. By some garble in my own story, the figure was transmuted to the statistic that 25 million people had fled the Japanese invaders in the first years of Chinese resistance. The figure was cabled abroad ... appeared in magazine articles, constantly appears in learned accounts of the China war. The figure has now become a part of history. ... It may have been 2 million or 5 million, but the 25 million figure remains locked in most history books.” Theodore White, In Search of History, p. 79.

The first three weeks of its rape of Lebanon costs Israel $2 billion, which means that the U.S. taxpayer will soon be out an additional $2 billion. It is quite possible that before it ends the war will cost Israel $10 billion, which means U.S. taxpayer will have to cough up $10 billion.

Coca-Cola has agreed to spend $34 million a year on various black projects; Heublein $360 million in the next 5 years; Seven-Up $61 million in the next five years. Edward Frantel, president of Seven-Up, took out full-page newspaper ads to boast of his deal with Rev. Jesse Jackson. He failed to mention that such contracts violate anti-discrimination laws as well as laws against extortion.

White Americans (80% of the population in 1980) will decline to 77% in 1990 and 75% in 2000. In the next two decades Asians will proliferate to 10.6 million; Hispanics to 23 million; blacks to 33.5 million. Source: The Center for the Continuing Study of the California Economy.
JACOB GURYN, a resident of Miami Beach, is a fifth generation rabbi from Yemen, one of the very few rabbis authorized by Jewish law to repair the hand lettering on Torahs. Jacob Guryn is also a crook, who fences stolen crucifixes, medallions of saints, silverware, watches and TV sets. After Guryn’s arrest, Rabbi Pinchas Weberman, another Miami Beachan, said, “We are very disappointed.”

On Boston Marathon Day in 1980, ROSIE RUIZ emerged from a long subway ride to cross the finish line ahead of her honest female competitors. On Boston Marathon Day in 1982, Ruiz was arrested for stealing $15,000 in cash and more than $45,000 in checks from the New York real estate firm where she misbalanced the books.

DALLAS lawyer WINDLE TURLEY has filed eleven lawsuits against handgun manufacturers. Since they made the guns which people used to murder and maim, he reasons, they should be liable for damages. New York lawyer STUART SPEISER believes that Turley’s tactics will soon prevail: after all, judges and juries (unlike legislators) are immune from the people’s will. The first liability suits against gun makers were filed in 1980; by 1984, more than 200 may be clogging the courts. And even if the gun-grabbers lose every case, rising insurance costs could force an end to all handgun manufacture.

The stats are in on the REV SUN MYUNG MOON’s latest mass wedding ceremony, which united 2,075 couples at Madison Square Garden. Some 37% of the pairings were racially mixed and 35% were internationally mixed. We suspect an undercount on the former figure. Since the Moonies’ goal is to “unite all mankind,” many of the “all-white” marriages were probably off-white (Strong-Sharon, Nash-Nasser, Porter-Porcofacio). The most notorious son of one of the most racist nations on earth (Korea) boasted he had personally selected every one of the race-busting matches.

Whites attain an IQ of 115 at approximately 7 times the black rate. They reach IQ 130 at nearly 25 times the black rate. By extrapolation they must attain IQ 200 at something over 5,000 times the black rate. Indeed, it is highly doubtful whether any Negro was ever so bright. So how come NBC chose 14-year-old GARY COLEMAN to star in “The Kid With the 200 IQ”?

DEBORAH DAVIS’s biography of Washington Post chairman KATHARINE GRAHAM was on its way to excellent sales in November 1979. Then the Post’s executive editor, BENJAMIN C. BRADLEE, threatened publisher Harcourt Brace Jovanovich with bad reviews and publicity forever after unless it scrapped Katharine the Great. At once, many copies were recalled from bookstores and warehouses, and many more were “pulped.” Promotion ceased, the book died, and with it died Davis’s future. Today, Bradlee laughingly says, “I was just letting off steam.” But Davis is suing Jovanovich for breach of contract.

In 1978 Menahem Begin was given the Nobel Peace Prize. In 1979 the award went to Mother Theresa. In 1982 Mother Theresa was in Beirut caring for the sick and wounded Palestinian and Lebanese children that Begin’s bully boys were trying to kill. This was only a few months after a group of nuns had nominated Israel for a Nobel Peace Prize. Previous Nobel Peace laureates have included Henry Kissinger, who turned South Vietnam over to Uncle Ho’s killers; Willy Brandt, who in his Communist days may have murdered a political opponent in cold blood; Martin Luther King Jr., the black Don Juan of the motel circuit; Linus Pauling, the vitamin C health food nut and Soviet apologist; Ralph Bunche, the Negro diplomat who helped lay the foundations for the permanent reign of Israeli mayhem in the Middle East.

If there had been a Nobel Peace Prize for 1381, it probably would have gone to Tamerlane for piling up a huge pyramid of enemy skulls in his capture of Herat.
Primate Watch

When the Northwest Airlines 747 arrived from the Orient at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, eight of the 14 children disgorged were adoptees. GENE MOEN, an affluent attorney, and his wife PEGGY, greeted little Peter Hyuk Suk Moen, the wild seed of some black American serviceman and his Korean tramp, to add to the three other nonwhites they have adopted. (Quite likely, their dog is a purebred.) SCOTT NEILSON, a lawyer with the state attorney general’s office, greeted his two little Korean castoffs. His father, ROBERT NEILSON, proudly noted that one would be called Robert Neilson V. The Seattle Post-Intelligencer called the fatal flight “a planeful of joy.”

Elsewhere

Canada. In some ways, Canada, our buddy to the north, is in a more advanced state of decay than the U.S. The Trudeau regime, with the support of Jewish millionaires and Majority rank splitters, is about what a Ted Kennedy administration would be if the best-laid schemes of America’s liberal-minority coalition don’t gang agley in the portentous Orwellian year of 1984. A flood of nonwhite immigration, inflation, usury, high unemployment, a torrent of foreign aid to such bastions of high inflation, usury, high unemployment, a torrent of foreign aid to such bastions of high racism, but not vice versa all the signs and signals of organic decomposition are there. It ought to make the Spenglerians happy.

But bad actions sometimes produce good reactions. There is a lot of separatism going on in Canada -- and separatism is the first step toward isolating the host from the ravenous parasites. There are also a few activist organizations fighting the good fight for the Canadian Majority’s survival. One of the fightingest is C-FAR (Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform), which has a monthly newsletter (subscription $12) and emits a steady stream of books and pamphlets exposing the antiwhite politicking of the Canadian government, while offering cogent suggestions for preventing the country from going altogether down the drain.

One C-FAR pamphlet, “The Canadian Lifeboat,” probes deeply into the immigration mess to document the significant correlation between high nonwhite immigration rates and low Canadian birthrates. Recalled from the dust of libraries are the writings of wise old Canadians and non-Canadians who predicted the dire results of nonselective immigration, but were and are reviled for their warnings even though they have now come true. It’s the Cassandran story repeated a hundred times.

The second pamphlet, “Foreign Aid and Western Security,” dwells on the pernicious habit of the Canadian government of feeding the hand that bites it. With the blind support of the churches, the tax money of hardworking Canadians has been transferred into the itchy palms of assorted nonwhite or barely white dictators and corrupt bureaucrats who have made certain that only a very small proportion of the loot trickles Reaganistically down into the pockets of their starving populations. As author James P. Hull points out, despite all the hundreds of billions of dollars that Canada and the other Western nations have given the black and yellow world since 1945, there are many more poor and disadvantaged people on the planet today than then.

C-FAR pamphlets are available at $1 each, with sizable quantity discounts. A third pamphlet on sociobiology is now being printed. Write Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform, Box 332, Rexdale, Ontario M9W 5L3 Canada.

Britain. Manny Carpel, the Jewish torch artist who burned down the printing plant of the nationalist-oriented Historical Review Press in Sussex, has been released on parole. A professional burglar, arsonist and housebreaker, Carpel worked for the Jewish sheet Searchlight, which specializes in stirring up racial hatred against Britons and Arabs. David Irving’s magazine Focus has offered £100 for a photo of Carpel.

The world seems to have forgotten that Britain has custody of one of the gunmen who shot Israeli Ambassador Shlomo Argov in the head on June 4 as he was about to enter his luxurious limousine. This was the shot that Begin used as an excuse to launch his long-planned, scorched-earth assault on Lebanon. Begin blamed the PLO, of course, but a search of the assailant’s lodgings revealed that he had also included the chief of the London bureau of the PLO on his hit list. While the other members of the hit team remained at large, the apprehended gunman had been identified by the British government as Hassan Ahmed Said, a Jordanian student. The announcement was made before it was discovered he was carrying a fake passport.

Since then, Number 10 Downing Street has remained strangely silent. Wouldn’t it be surprising if the assailant turned out to be an Israeli agent or an Arab in the pay of Israel or even in the pay of the KGB? It would probably be more surprising if such surprising news was ever made public.

France. The French Catholic weekly Temoignage Chrétien is what the press describes as “prestigious,” which means that it has connections in high places and is considered reassuringly “liberal” and safely “progressive.” But all this respectability didn’t amount to a hill of beans when the journal ran an editorial linking the bombing of Beirut with “operations carried out by the Israeli authorities and Israeli settlers who believe they are allowed to kill their Arab neighbors because they are the Chosen People.” For this simple and easily verifiable statement of fact, editor Georges Montaron was fined $300 for inciting racial hatred against French Jews.

Shortly afterwards L’Opinion, the government newspaper of the former French colony of Morocco, appeared with an editorial stating that the Holocaust was a hoax and that Begin was more vicious than Hitler. Since the French law protecting Jews (but not Frenchmen) from criticism does not extend to African countries, international Jewry was perplexed as to how to punish Morocco for this exhibition of journalistic lèse-majesté.

Perhaps Reagan will turn over the U.S. Air Force base in Morocco to the Israelis so they can fly in some F-15s and platter...
Casablanca and Fez hospitals with American-made incendiary bombs.

Netherlands. For some reason, petty anti-Semitism seems most likely to break out at football and other sporting events in Europe. In Brighton, England, where the local soccer team is called the Seagulls, fans have favored the chant of "Seagulls! Sieg Heil! Sieg Heil!" for several years now. No one tries too hard to stop them, even when hundreds of hands go up in synchronized Roman salutes.

Israeli basketball teams are growing weary of tours in Italy and Greece, when a certain recurrent word -- "oven" -- to be precise -- in the fans' taunts would make only a Betty Crocker feel at home. Even Holland, the land which made "niceness" a way of life, is not immune from this kind of vicious silliness. At a recent football game between Utrecht and Amsterdam's Ajax club, 260 riot police were called out to confiscate knives, bludgeons and anti-Semitic banners brought along by the partisans.

Accepts the Ajax fans, who inhabit a city with a newfound reputation for sophisticated degeneracy, had previously taunted the Utrecht loyalists, calling them "peasants." Their country cousins retaliated by calling the Ajax players "Jews" and showing the Swastika. Amsterdam's Jewish major, Willem Polak, did not like that, so this year he called out the cops in advance. Even so, one banner flaunting the hooked cross and some unkink words for Jews got past the thin blue line and wound up featured in a local newspaper. This caused the usual furor, with the public prosecutor ordering the police to find and punish the teenaged culprits. Anyone acquainted with teenage psychology knows that it was like throwing an "oven" to be prevented.

Middle East. The two-year-old war between Iran and Iraq has delighted many Israeli observers. "It is a pleasure to see them killing each other," the Israeli chief of staff, Lt. Gen. Rafael Eitan, said last spring. "Let them continue to do so." Israel has no more than military. Edward Mortimer's new book, Faith and Power: The Politics of Islam, throws light on the matter:

Sunnni Islam [the dominant, orthodox kind] is the doctrine of power and achievement. Shia Islam [Khomeini's brand] is the doctrine of opposition. The starting point of Shi'ism is defeat; the defeat of Ali and his house by the Omayyads (the original governors of Meccal . . . Central to Shi'ism's appeal, especially for the poor and disposessed, is the theme of suffering and martyrdom -- a theme reminiscent at times of Christianit.

Shia Islam has usually been the preferred faith of Levantine failures, outcasts and ethnic minorities. The non-Semitic Iranians, outnumbered in the world of Islam by the Arabs, took to Shi'ism naturally. The one Arab country where Shiites are in a (bare) majority happens to be Iraq. There Sunni leader Saddam Hussein's brutal crackdowns on Shiite demonstrators -- culminating in the 1980 torture and execution of Sayed Baqir Sadr, a Shiite ayaatullah who supported Khomeini's theocracy -- have made the Shiite majority a fertile ground for religious revolt.

Another center of latent Shiite strength is the fractured state of Lebanon, where perhaps one-third of the three million inhabitants follow Shia. Ironically, Yasser Arafat's Fatah guerrilla organization was seen by most Arab regimes as the pragmatic, relatively unfanatic Sunni center of the PLO. Now that he is out of Lebanon, local Shi'ism may be strengthened. As one Sunni Arab politician observes, "Khomeini has shown that the Shiite religious movement is the only successful mobilizer of the masses in the region today." The Sunni elites, who served as "the natural interlocutors for the West and the avenues for Western intrusion into the region," are seen as compromised, if not schizoid, by growing numbers of reactionary young people.

Israel. The attitude of some Israelis toward the Palestinians was crudely summed up by an insecticide manufacturer who distributed thousands of postcards to soldiers at the Lebanese front with the slogan, "Israel's army exterminates the terrorist; Yaron exterminates household pests."

A lot more than terrorists were exterminated during Israel's summer offensive. According to a detailed survey of police and hospital records, conducted by the independent Beirut newspaper An Nahar, 17,825 people were killed and 30,203 wounded (excluding Israeli casualties). This total was before the Beirut Massacre and other September bloodlettings. An Nahar, one of the most respected newspapers in the Arab world, said the highest death toll occurred in Southern Lebanon, where 1,709 civilians died alongside 5,862 troops from the PLO, the Syrian Army and various private militias. The Israelis, who still insist on the Six Million myth, continued to give far lower casualty figures for their foes.

Kenya. Last summer's suppressed coup was accompanied by widespread looting and ransacking of Asian homes and shops. Kenya's 80,000 Asians, less than 0.5% of the population, control about 90% of the wholesale and retail trade. They are responsible for roughly one-fourth of the annual gross domestic product. Without them the economy would collapse. But the psychological damage caused by the coup may never be repaired. Asian women and girls were raped. Asian men shipped their families out of the country at the first opportunity. The government remained silent through it all.

One member of the Kenyan parliament, by no means atypical, says, "Politically they are anathema, and [Uganda's Idi] Amin was right to get rid of them, but economically we can't afford to do it. The Asians do all the things that keep life going. Even if Africans take over, it will be a generation before we reach the same level in the economy." A generation?

South Africa. On July 30, Prime Minister Pieter W. Botha outlined plans to modify the apartheid system through "power-sharing" with the country's 2.5 million persons of mixed race ("coloureds") and 800,000 Asians. The black majority, 21 million strong, would be unaffected.

The proposed changes amount to the "left-wing coup" which South African racialists have warned was coming for some time. The prime minister's office would be replaced with a presidency holding wide powers and not responsible to Parliament. This president would be elected by an electoral college consisting of 50 whites, 25 coloureds and 13 Asians. Botha and his fellow appeasers explain that this ratio would 'guarantee' white control of the government.

At the present time, the white population of South Africa is split almost evenly between those who wish to go on conceding ground and those prepared to finally draw the line, come what may. Conversely, the other racial groups are united against apartheid nearly 100%. Even the Indian minority, fearful as it is of a black takeover, hates its present second-rate status still more.

What the 50:25:13 ratio would mean in practice is that, if the 50 white electors voted 44 to 6 to a pro-white position, there would probably be a 44-44 deadlock in the college (6 white renegades plus 38 minorities). A mere handful of white liberals, mostly Jews and wealthy Anglophones concentrated in two or three large cities, would become the nation's swing vote, its decisive balance of power. The Afrikaner majority of whites would stand little chance of overriding them. Quite simply, state control would shift from the coalition of Afrikaners and conservative blacks which has held power since 1948 to a coalition of coloureds, Indians, Jews and urban white prodictors. Worse yet, that power would be much more concentrated because the president would no longer be...
 responsible to the all-white parliament (nor to the projected all-colored and all-Indian parliaments).

On July 31, Botha took this nefarious plan to a special "federal congress" of his ruling National Party and won its overwhelming support. Meanwhile, those who bolted from the Nats in protest last spring (the new Conservative party) and those who bolted several years ago (the Herstigte Nasionale party, or HNP), quietly seethed. Their day of glory came on August 18, in one of the truly pivotal elections of South African history, which was held in the Germiston district, near Johannesburg, to fill a vacancy on the Transvaal provincial council.

Germiston was considered a poor area for the conservative party to have its first test of strength. Sure enough, the National party won, with 3,867 votes, but the Conservative candidate received 3,559 and the HNP man got 1,638. Together, the two prowhite parties got 1,330 more votes than the ruling sellout party -- and not in an outlying farm district, but in the industrial heartland of Witwatersrand.

It is no wonder that the right wing increasingly fears a "constitutional coup" by Botha and company. The so-called "power-sharers" know they must act, and act decisively, before the next national elections, or face being shoved under by a prowhite avalanche.

India. After 35 years of independence, India is far from building the "noble mansion" which its first prime minister, Jawaharlal Nehru, called for. His daughter, dictator Indira Gandhi, has remade the nascent democracy into an effective one-party state. The fairly credible daily, The Statesman, recently quoted a price of $160,000 each paid to eight elected state assemblymen for switching to her side. Some thought the figure high, but few doubted the fact of the payoff.

Mahatma Gandhi's grandson, Rajmohan Gandhi, maintains that the nationalist leaders who once dominated Indian public life have nearly disappeared: "Our caste, language or ethnic group commands and exhausts our emotions. The symbols of India as a whole are vanishing." Many foreign observers find the total lack of social responsibility among Indians to be their most striking characteristic. Stuart Auerbach, who just completed three years as a correspondent in Delhi, writes:

Indians shamelessly elbow each other aside in waiting lines, throw garbage wherever they are standing, block public thoroughfares for weddings and private festivals, and betol walls and streets with urine even though public facilities are nearby.

Raj Thapar, editor of Seminar, a magazine specializing in Indian social commentary, describes it as a "unique, unparalleled capacity to disregard everything outside the self -- as if the earth was created especially to receive Indian dirt."

According to a Western-educated Indian psychoanalyst, the one standard of responsible adult behavior in India is "lifelong obligation to kith and kin. Dishonesty, nepotism and corruption as they are understood in the West are merely abstract concepts... irrelevant to Indian psychosocial experience." India is Sicily carried to the nth degree. Everyone beats down on everyone beneath him, and fawns and trembles before his supposed betters. The wealthiest 1% of the people are worth twice as much as the poorest 50% -- and the world's third largest pool of scientists and engineers is unable to change things. Everyone agrees that this is partly because no larger ideals exist to which a common appeal might be made -- for the simple reason that everyone is so different. With its hundreds of tribes, languages and castes, India is the America which may yet be.

---

Stirrings

Rescuers of History

The Institute for Historical Review (IHR) held its Fourth International Revisionist Conference in Chicago's Bismarck Hotel over the Labor Day weekend, even as Zionist thugs were shooting up IHR headquarters in Torrance, California. The shooting incident occurred in the early morning of Sunday, September 5, and was followed by the usual threatening telephone calls from boasting terrorists. At least a dozen shots were fired, demolishing two windows and damaging a door. Fortunately, no one was present at the time.

The star attraction of the conference was Tyler Kent, the American State Department official who was kidnapped in May 1940 by British police and held for five years and seven months without a chance to defend himself. Kent's "crime," actually a supreme act of heroism, was his attempt to alert the U.S. Senate that Roosevelt and Churchill (then a British private citizen) were conspiring via secret messages to drive Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain from office and get first Britain and later America into a war with Germany. As Kent remarked, "At the same time that Roosevelt was saying 'I hate war,' he was preparing to make sure war came."

One of the men to whom Kent passed his information was Capt. Archibald Ramsay, whose membership in Parliament could not save him from being jailed without trial for four years and four months. According to Kent, it was the Anti-Defamation League -- he calls it the "Defamation League" -- which "spread the lie" about Ramsay, himself and others like them. "The world has paid a high price" for the Zionists' misrepresentation of their motives, Kent added, in the understatement of the century.

The establishment is finally getting around to remembering Tyler Kent. He was recently interviewed by the British Broadcasting Corporation, and a few book-length studies are in the works. In light of Lyndon Johnson's disgrace by Vietnam and Nixon's downfall in Watergate, it is important to remember that Franklin D. Roosevelt acted illegally on a far wider front over a far longer period of time than any other president, and that he lied about his activities far more brazenly. Tyler Kent has made it clearer than ever that FDR was war-mongering long before September 1939.

Other IHR speakers also denounced Roosevelt's duplicity. Dr. Andreas Wesserle described him as a "merciless and unscrupulous power man" who willingly sacrificed entire nations to please his manipulators. Mark Weber, who is writing a book which promises to leave the orthodox Holocaust narrative in tatters, called attention to some little-known Polish documents captured by the Germans in 1939 which illuminate the Allied war path. James J. Martin, the dean of living American revisionists, dedicated the conference to the memory of Charles C. Tansill, author of Roosevelt's Back Door to War.

The intrepid Swedish researcher, Ditlieb Felderer, gave a slide presentation of pictures from the so-called Majdanek "extermination" camp in Poland. He said that the gas cylinders which guides tell tourists were used to exterminate Jews were actually manufactured in 1965. The date is printed right on them but few people bother to look.

Arthur Butz, author of The Hoax of the Twentieth Century, also tackled the Holocaust problem. "We require a speedy exposure of what really happened in the past," he said, "because the West is being destroyed by 'history' that is not based on fact."

The conference's keynote speaker, and the one most enthusiastically received, was Dr. Martin Larson, who examined contemporary Jewish character and Israeli foreign policy as excrescences of the Old Testament. Larson quoted the late Harry Elmer Barnes, "Israel is Murder, Incorporated, raised to the level of a state." Larson drew contrasting portraits of the Old Testament's god of hatred and caprice, called Yahweh, and the New Testament's god of love.

All in all, the Fourth International Revisionist Conference was a most impressive affair. There was no violence, the audience was polite and attentive, and everyone who attended went home with the feeling that good people and good brains were beginning to work together to rescue history, particularly contemporary history, from the intellectual terrorists who have held it hostage throughout most of this century.
Stirrings

Paisley Gets Visa

Was the State Department finally becoming human? Or was it that article in Instauration (Sept. 1982)? Whatever it was, and it was almost certainly not the latter, Rev. Ian Paisley, the Ulster leader who has been banned from visiting the United States for many years, was given a visa to attend the funeral of a friend in California. Any black revolutionry from Africa, any Israeli hit man from the Holy Land is given blanket permission to barnstorm through the United States to raise money to kill more whites in Africa or Palestinians in the Middle East. But since Paisley is not a black and not a Jew, since he is fighting for the self-determination of an oppressed white people, he has long been America’s leading persona non grata. Does his visa represent a permanent shift in State Department policy? Or was it merely a bone thrown by the Reagan administration to Bob Jones University, where Paisley once studied and that he has been kept from visiting in outright violation of the Helsinki Agreements, which the media claim only totalitarian states are guilty of violating. After all, the Bob Jones crowd voted almost solidly for Reagan in 1980, though little good it did them. Because they believe in and practice bedroom (but not classroom) segregation, their tax-exempt status is hanging by a hair -- a hair the Supreme Court may soon cut.

How to Deal with Sex Criminals

California’s Atascadero State Hospital spends an average of $30,000 a year to rehabilitate each of its rapist and child molester inmates. Nonetheless, four of its recent “graduates” have committed the following atrocities:

1. Killed a 2½-year-old girl six weeks after release.
2. Raped and savagely beat a 13-year-old girl two months after release.
3. Murdered a 6-year-old boy shortly after release.
4. Murdered a 14-year-old girl six months after release.

Mrs. Laura S. Sabin, founder and director of the National Association for the Prevention of Rape by Castration, Inc. (NAPRC), thinks this was “cruel and unusual punishment” for the small children who died needlessly under horrendous circumstances. Her organization’s alternative treatment would not take America “back to the dark ages,” as the liberals pretend. It would take us back about one decade, California, for instance, castrated some sex offenders as recently as 1970 -- until one man sued the judge and surgeon involved and won his case. The NAPRC says no less than 370 California men chose castration over prison between the mid-1950s and 1970 alone. Few regretted the decision. Not one of the 370 was ever involved in another sex crime.

The NARPC does not advocate mandatory castration. It merely offers the sex criminal the choice between an inexpensive operation and expensive life imprisonment. (The current reality in Philadelphia, says Judge Lisa Richette, is usually “six to 24 months in jail.”) The NAPRC also opposes castration in all dubious cases, as when a man has been accused of rape by a former girlfriend or by a female hitchhiker. It supports the castration legislation introduced by State Representatives Frank Shurden of Oklahoma, Joyce Lewis of Maine and Christopher Jackman of New Jersey.

Mrs. Sabin’s well-argued brochure on castration is available from NAPRC, Box 532, Vineland, NJ 08360. Please send a $5 donation to cover costs.

Pushing Back

The Irreverent Jesse Jackson has been scaring corporate executives half-silly for the last year or so with his threats of nationwide black boycotts of their products. At long last, someone has pushed back at Jesse and his aptly named PUSH organization, and proved them both paper tigers. That someone was Chicago Mayor Jane Byrne, who (quoting from a PUSH handbill) “has repeatedly insulted the [big-b] Black community by: Putting three [small-w] whites on the Chicago Housing Authority Board ... Giving orders for and condoning outrageous acts of Police Brutality ... Removing Blacks from key positions on the Chicago School Board ...”

PUSH called for a boycott of last summer’s Chicago Fest, a sort of 12-day party on the shores of Lake Michigan. “Don’t Buy a Ticket! Don’t Accept a Free Ticket! Don’t Come Near the Fest Area!” cried the PUSHers, “Our struggle for human dignity [read: for a black takeover of the city government] goes far beyond a few days of entertainment.”

Well, Jesse huffed and he puffed but he sure couldn’t blow the fest down. Attendance was off only 4% from 1981, and that was probably due to three days of torrential rain. It’s true that most blacks stayed away, but, just as columnist Mike Royko had predicted, that only encouraged reluctant white suburbanites to attend. The whites spent more money and, as one TV newsman observed in a rare burst of candor, crime at the fest was way, way, way down.

History for the Masses

Those interested in presenting the other side of the Holocaust story to large numbers of people will be pleased to learn that Revisionists’ Reprints has mass-produced an inexpensive tabloid, Holocaust News, which consists of four large pages cram-

med with introductory-level articles like “Who Questions the Holocaust Story?” and “Mass Gassing Accounts are Scientifically Impossible.” It is available for only $10 for 100 copies, postage included. Order from Revisionists’ Reprints, Box 3849, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266. Alternatively, $20 will pay for having 100 copies of Holocaust News mailed (from California) to lists of American opinion-molders.

Voting Against Crime

Proposition 8 won in California last June with 56% in favor. The anti-crime initiative adds a mandatory five years to the sentences of repeat offenders who are convicted of serious felonies. It also prohibits belligerence on the serious felony cases, abolishes the defense argument of “diminished capacity” resulting from intoxication or drug abuse, and gives victims the right to restitution from convicted criminals. The most important reform of all may be the “right to truth-in-evidence” section, which greatly expands the kind of evidence that prosecutors may introduce. Now it will be much harder to exclude evidence because of nit-picking technicalities.

Liberal forces are well organized to attack Proposition 8 on a variety of legal and constitutional grounds. They fear that it will catch on nationally like California’s Proposition 13 tax revolt.

Circuit-riding Realist

Gordon Liddy’s nationalistic speeches continue to win applause on America’s Majority campuses. Recently the Watergate veteran spoke on “The Illusions of the American People” at Mercersburg Academy.

The world views us as helpless. On the average, 90% of our military men fail simple IQ tests. We fixed the problem ... we stopped the tests ...

In President Kennedy’s time, when the Soviets moved missiles into Cuba, we told them to get them out. They did. [Did they now?]

In President Carter’s time, when the Soviets moved 3,000 combat troops into Cuba, we told them to get them out. The Russians told us where to go. The troops are still there.

Another disaster was the Freedom of Information Act, which has wrecked U.S. espionage capabilities in much of the world. Since the act’s passage, “15 friendly nations have shut off reciprocal information with us.” They simply don’t want their sources revealed. “We no longer can be trusted, even in the eyes of our friends.”

When Liddy was finished, the entire Mercersburg student body gave him a standing ovation. How does one translate these small-town Pennsylvania feelings into lasting punch in the urban centers of power? And, no less important, why do professional iconoclasts like Liddy usually steer clear of the really harsh aspects of American life?