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CARLETON STEVENS COON (1904-1981)
Cholly’s July piece was his masterpiece. It was 1984 all over again. I’m having copies made to send to selected friends.
I cannot understand why some people are surprised that Jack Kemp's face is beginning to look more and more like a bagel. As a football player, he sold himself to the club that offered the most, as do all professional athletes. No allegiance to anything except self-serving interest. Now, as a politician, he exercises the same characteristic. There are rewards when one starts gnawing bagels. Kemp is still selling himself to the highest bidder. Any nation that elects athletes and actors to direct its future insures that it will have no future.

I had a short period of employment as an enumerator in the British census last April -- questions about race forbidden -- and found that though coloureds were few on my patch, they were a majority of the under 16 age group. The Vietnamese "refugees" (actually Chinese) had huge families, all the members of which were on relief. The other day BBC Radio mentioned there were 10,000 acres of abandoned farmland in overcrowded Hong Kong, the inhabitants all having gone to Europe (mostly Britain). Another radio talk show announced that the boat people in Hong Kong had relations in various parts of Southeast Asia, but the countries concerned would not have them. Anyway, the boaters are determined to get to the fleshpots of the white man's world.

George Washington (while he did believe in God) would not take communion. Thomas Jefferson (while he believed in God) did not believe in the clergy of his day) remained a skeptical god-believer to the end. Of course, they did agree that the church and state should be kept separate, but that did not mean that morality and honesty should also be divorced from government.

The cover asking if Marx had Negro genes ('Instauration', June 1981) is the latest in a series (Mahler, etc.) posing quasi-facetious questions about well-known minority figures. The effect is a bit of cuteness for its own sake, a touch of yellow journalism, and borders on the kind of tangential emphasis one finds in the publications of the wholly lunatic right.

Some years ago, I used to watch Billie Jean King on the central court at Wimbledon, and was much struck by her extreme unattractiveness as compared with some of her opponents. When she won, as she usually did, I reflected that truly feminine women had little chance against such masculine-looking ones. Now that Billie Jean has had a "galimony" case brought against her by an accomplice in her activities, we learn that tennis-playing women have for years been got at by lesbians in the changing-rooms and showers.

Nominations are now open for Majority Renegade of the Year. Please send them in by November 15. If you have any clippings or articles to support your choice, send them along too.

Your story about a so-called conservative foundation giving huge sums of money to blacks and Russian Jews ('Instauration Sept. 1981) should have included a mention of the Rothschild prizes. This year the 12 Rothschild awards went to 12 Israelis.

Last week I encountered a young white female soliciting contributions for the Unification Church (Rev. Moon's outfit). I declined an offering, saying that I wasn't in favor of mixed-race marriages. Quoth the blonde: "Don't you know that marrying someone of the same race can cause all kinds of genetic problems?" As I walked away she brightly called out, "Have a nice day!" I didn't.

My copy of 'Instauration' is usually delivered at a late hour. I'm a slow reader. So, on more than one occasion, the dawn has been chasing away the moon by the page 36 is reached.

In regard to the screwed-up postal service, I am told that Saint Paul's Third Epistle to the Corinthians has not yet been delivered.

Several thousand students of Christian schools, after a two-year preparation, marched down Central Park West on Thursday, June 11, with very few onlookers lining the sidewalks to cheer them on. They acted as if they were marching unarmed into enemy territory, which happened to be the case. There was absolutely no advance publicity about the march, so nobody knew about it and nobody went there to watch the students who had taken the trouble to travel to New York City from remote areas of the nation. A few weeks before there was a Puerto Rican parade, and the television stations covered it from all angles. Obviously, some marches are more equal than others.

A neighbor told me, "My daughter called the hospital to reserve a room to have her baby." She was informed that all the rooms were filled with "Vietnamese having babies." Another woman was shopping at a supermarket and had the last package of a food product in her shopping cart. A Vietnamese woman came along and said, "Give me, I want," pointing to the package. The woman refused and an argument ensued. The Vietnamese woman finally shouted, "You owe us! You owe us!"
If Aristotle had lived long enough to see the likes of Ronald Reagan and the Majority troika which does his thinking for him — Meese, Baker and Deaver — he would not have called them political animals. He would have categorized them as political amoebas. Take the matter of White House appointments. Reagan makes the Viennese-born “New Christian,” Robert Neumann, ambassador to Saudi Arabia, a gratuitous insult to the Zionist-deprecating Saudis, even though Neumann posed as a pseudo-Arabist and claimed to be for the AWAC sale. Then Ronnie clams up when Secretary of State Haig fires Neumann for going behind his back and communicating directly with Richard Allen, the Zionomaniac National Security Adviser.

Next, William Casey, a slobbish 68-year-old Washington hanger-on and Reagan campaign manager, is appointed head of the CIA. True to form, he hired Stanley Sporkin, the SEC enforcer, to be CIA general counsel. Piling Ossa on Pelion, he then puts a loud-mouthed Brooklyn Jewish stock manipulator named Max Hugel in charge of all CIA spying. What a boon to Mossad! Fortunately, Hugel’s addiction to shady financial deals was brought to light before he could do too much harm to America’s already severely harmed and severely demoralized intelligence gathering agency.

Hugel avoided combat duty in World War II by claiming to speak fluent Japanese. When assigned by his company commander to spy on his fellow GIs, he accused them of damaging pronouncements which he himself put in their mouths. After the war, Hugel persuaded the owner of a Japanese auto repair concern to pay $30,000, sight unseen, for 30 second-hand DeSoto taxis. They were so worthless the purchaser was forced into bankruptcy. This, in short, is the honorable man to whom Hon. William Casey, whose wife is the former Sophia Kurz, gave one of the world’s most sensitive jobs.

For even thinking about appointing such a person, Casey should have been fired before Hugel. But he is hanging in there, despite his own less than pure Wall Street shenanigans and despite an “exclusive” Newsweek story that he was plotting the assassination of Libyan strongman Gaddafi. Anyway,
after kissing off Hugel, Casey replaced him with a spook named John Henry Stein. So nothing has really changed.

From Bad to Worse

An equally disgraceful appointment was that of Leonore Annenberg, the wife of the once indicted media Croesus, Walter Annenberg, to become the State Department’s Chief of Protocol. Counting Walter, Leonore has had three husbands; the first Beldon Katleman, a notorious Jewish gangster; the second Lewis Rosenstiel, the liquor mogul (Schenley’s). She was brought up as a Christian Scientist (?) in the home of her uncle, Harry Cohn, the Hollywood producer who probably surpassed all his rivals in the rock-bottom vulgarity of his business behavior and in the Flintstone taste of his films.

But Reagan’s very worst choice, one that induces a feeling of horror cumulative hopelessness, was his selection of Norman Braman to head the Immigration and Naturalization Service. A multimillionaire Miami car dealer who actually welcomed last year’s invasion of 125,000 Cubans, many of them softened gays and hardened criminals, Braman also won notoriety for footing the legal bill for the Jewish Defense League goons who tried to break up the concert of a Russian singer in a Dade County auditorium. Since pay-off politics takes precedence over every other kind, Reagan didn’t seem to mind that Braman is adamantly opposed to the administration’s desire to sell AWACS to Saudi Arabia. Needless to say, Braman’s appointment was saluted warmly by the media.

Braman, vice-president of the Greater Miami Jewish Federation, raised a lot of money, not only for Reagan’s election, but for the election of Paula Hawkins, Florida’s new Republican senator. He recently escorted Paula and her husband on an all-expenses-paid trip to Israel. Madam Senator repaid the favor by recommending the wheeler-dealer Zionist to Attorney General William French Smith, the great and good friend of Frank Sinatra. If the nomination had gone through, Braman would have been the least qualified head of the INS since it first saw the light of day in 1933. At the last moment, however, Americans were saved by the bell. There was such a secret outcry against Braman that his nomination was never made public, and apparently it has been shelved for good. One rumor has it that Braman somehow had been involved in Watergate, and the administration decided it did not want to have another Hugel on its hands.

Irony

What Reagan doesn’t seem to understand is that America is being saturated with immigrants, illegal and legal, black, brown and anything but white, who come from countries with economic systems totally antithetical to Reaganomics. Today’s -- and tomorrow’s -- immigrant is exactly the type of person who is not going to reverse America’s declining productivity. What is needed to turn the American economy around is more industrious, intelligent and productive workers, not more illiterate, low-IQ stoop laborers. Yet, as if in a blue funk, Reagan devotes most of his time to budgets and taxes, totally oblivious to the fact that the productive capabilities of America are sinking, not primarily because of overregulation, overtaxing and overspending, but because of the high birthrate of nonproducers, the high number of nonwhite immigrants, and the high rate at which the producers are aging and retiring.

After the main evil, declining productivity, there are other problems besides unbalanced budgets. If Reagan really wants to fight inflation, why does he allow labor unions to win large wage hikes in the very industries -- automobile and steel -- that are in deep trouble? (He takes a strong stand against PATCO, a small union, but actually went out of his way to praise the corrupt Teamsters.) If Reagan wants to put the economy back on its feet, why doesn’t he fight the Federal Reserve’s high interest rates, which are lowering the American middle class’s once incomparable standard of living and stifling sales in nearly every industry? If Reagan is for free trade, why is he permitting, even encouraging, the merger of giant corporations, whose semi-monopolistic operations are closer to the Brezhnevian style of economics than to the economics of Reagan’s adored Milton Friedman?

There are ups and downs in both growing and shrinking economies. Reagan may be lucky enough to ride one of the upcurves for a year or two, but we may be sure that the overall curve will be down, down, down -- and in the end Reagan, as he deserves, will go down with it. It never seems to occur to Reaganites, hardline Republicans and other dollar worshippers that sick economics cannot be restored by acts of Congress. Men make economies, not vice versa. When races change, the economic systems change.

Reagan may be a hero today with his “great victories” in Congress. But he is doomed to lose his nimbus tomorrow. The key to economic recovery and the ending of inflation is productivity. The key to productivity is a productive work force. By his low-caliber appointments, by his toothless immigration policy, by his caving in to Big Labor and his half-caving in to affirmative action (the worst and most destructive form of federal regulation), by his neglect of the antitrust laws, by his switcheroo on the Voting Rights Act, by his refusal to take the tough measures necessary to control crime (no economy can flourish in civil disorder), Reagan is actually continuing to

## Immigrants’ Son Chosen to Head INS

**The Justice Department yesterday recommended Norman Braman, a multimillionaire Miami car dealer, to head the Immigration and Naturalization Service.**

---

**Braman, 48, head of one of the country’s largest automobile sales and leasing organizations, with annual sales of about $1.16 billion.**

---

**The attorney general has indeed selected the individual that he believes is best could be identified as one of the nation’s top immigration specialists.**

---

**Braman, 48, head of one of the country’s largest automobile sales and leasing organizations, with annual sales of about $1.16 billion.**

---

**In a related development, White House spokesman Larry Speakes downplayed a story in yesterday’s Washington Post that said a presidential task force would recommend a tough enforcement policy to prevent another mass influx of Cubans and Haitians into South Florida. A draft final report said the detaining of newcomers could be viewed as using “concentration camps.”**

---

**Speakes said he thought the plan was dead and the draft was an extremely early one that “will probably bear little resemblance to the final product.” Justice Department spokesman DeCair, however, said the draft report wouldn’t be changed substantially.**

---

**Per usual, the Washington Post jumped the gun.**
create the very social and economic conditions which he was elected to end.

Reagan is a combination of decent Joe, antediluvian patriot and unprincipled politician. As time ticks on, by his appointments, by his pathetic and prideless groveling to the Nibelungs of East Jerusalem, by his friendship with low creatures like Jerome Zipkin, the Annenbergs and Frank Sinatra, he is proving to be a worse president than even Instauration was willing to prophesy last year. Any Majority activist, any Majority member who takes this ex-General Electric huckster seriously, who hitchs his wagon to the ex-star’s star, who finds excuses for him or believes in his one-eyed economic program is simply going to look as bad and stupid as Reagan himself when the final payoff is displayed on history’s tote board.

If two muggers approach you on a dark street, knock you down and rob you, you don’t forgive the one who claims he is a Reaganite.

In a way, Carter was less dangerous than Reagan. The Tooth was such an obvious hypocrite that he could only fool the foolable. But Reagan, whether maliciously or half maliciously, is fooling some of the best and most decent people in the land. To mislead stupid men is a crime against the state. To mislead good men, even with the best intentions, is a crime against history.

THE LAST OF THE GREAT ANTHROPOLOGISTS

Carleton S. Coon, America’s foremost physical anthropologist, died on June 3 at his home in Gloucester, Massachusetts. In addition to making important and lasting contributions to his profession, he conducted several major anthropological and archaeological expeditions. From 1949 to 1964, Dr. Coon was the host of the popular television show, “What in the World,” on which a panel of experts would attempt to identify arcane or little-known artifacts. His several scholarly monographs and books on race include The Origin of Races (1962), The Living Races of Man (1965), and The Races of Europe (1939). For a wider audience he wrote such works as The Seven Caves (1957, about archaeological expeditions), Caravan: The Story of the Middle East (1951), and The Hunting Peoples (1971). His autobiography, Adventures and Discoveries, will be published this fall by Prentice-Hall.

Dr. Coon’s most controversial and most important book was The Origin of Races, in which he expanded the thesis of Franz Weidenreich -- proposed earlier by Sir Arthur Keith -- that Homo erectus had split into five geographically independent races as long as half a million years ago. By the not uncommon process of parallel or convergent evolution, each of these races, at separate places and times, evolved into Homo sapiens. As if this were not enough to grossly weaken the egalitarian notion of the “unity of man,” Coon further argued that the Congoid (Negro) race was on a much slower evolutionary timetable than the white and yellow races and had trailed them to the sapiens state by some 200,000 years.

The Races of Europe was a monumental study of European ethnology that may never be equalled and almost certainly will never be surpassed. For the first time the confused racial picture of Europe was brought into clear focus, making it possible for even the interested layman to grasp the past and present ethnic composition of each country. It was in Races that Dr. Coon pointed out that Russia had once been a Nordic country and that the United States had become the world’s largest reservoir of Nordic genes -- a reservoir, needless to say, that is fast running dry.

Until Origin, Coon was widely respected. Once it was published he became an unperson -- vilified by the press and shunned by his colleagues. At one meeting of the American Association of Physical Anthropology, of which he was president, he was attacked so violently that his health, already weakened by his expeditions, began to deteriorate. A year later, he gave up his post at the University of Pennsylvania, and returned to his home in Gloucester, commuting a few times a week to Harvard, where he served as a research associate of the Peabody Museum.

Origin promised a follow-up book, full of talk about “blood and brains,” but Coon’s publisher, Alfred Knopf, told him that he could talk about anthropology only “from the eyes down.” His Living Races of Man, consequently, had a wealth of material about blood groups and bones but almost nothing about that seat of human evolution, the brain. We must hope that his unpublished work on racial differences in brain structure,
tentatively titled Racial Aptitudes, does not fall into the memory hole and that passages in his autobiography about his mistreatment -- he communicated several horrendous stories along these lines to this writer in 1976 -- won't be blue-pencil.

Dr. Coon believed that 90% of physical anthropologists privately agree with his central thesis, although most of them are still afraid to speak out. He related that once, during an international anthropological convention, a Russian sidled up to him and recited the first few lines of one of Coon's replies to Ashley Montagu. This is not surprising, because anyone but a fundamentalist egalitarian would have to be impressed by the sheer mountain of physical evidence with which he established distinct racial types and their transformation into sapiens. Mushy talk about equality cannot compete with the hardest of hard evidence -- bones.

A review of the attacks on Origin reveals that they came in two types: obvious political diatribes and minor clarifications regarding specific classifications. Today, Coon's thesis of parallel evolution, though ignored, has not been overturned. (Reviews of The Origin of Races by Theodosius Dobzhansky and Ashley Montagu, with replies by Coon and rebuttals, appeared in Current Anthropology, October 1963. Thirteen reviews in a similar vein of The Living Races of Man appeared in the same journal in February-April 1967.)

In the icy obituaries of Coon in the Washington Post and the New York Times, The Races of Europe and The Origin of Races were not mentioned -- only his lesser works. (By golly, they never do forget and never do forgive.)

A great anthropologist, Coon was also a great scientist. Future generations of readers will not only turn to his books to understand the state of the art but also, as with Newton and Darwin, to see a great mind at work. He is lucid, often entertaining, astonishingly well read, and exhibits that rarest of human virtues, common sense, in his handling of theories and evidence. Scrupulously honest, he states all sides to a controversy and indicates just how strongly he feels the preponderance of the evidence leads to his own conclusions. However, if he doesn't know something, he will say so. If you want to learn about the nature of scientific activity, don't read treatises on the philosophy of science, read Carleton Coon.

There are some signs that Coon is again becoming respectable. His works are being increasingly cited in the professional literature, while his opponents have retreated into either blatant shrillness or silence. The bused generation has learned the hard way Coon's thesis that the races of mankind are very old and very incompatible. It is quite possible that Coon will not only be remembered as a great anthropologist, but also as the man who finally made the science of race respectable.

Vita

Carleton Stevens Coon was born of old New England stock on June 23, 1904, in Wakefield, Massachusetts, the son of John Lewis and Bessie Carleton Coon. Expelled from Wakefield High School in his sophomore year for swinging from and smashing pipes in the boys' lavatory, he completed his secondary education at Phillips Academy in Andover in 1921. He received a bachelor of arts magna cum laude from Harvard in 1925 and an M.A. and Ph.D. in anthropology three years later. His first marriage was to the former Mary Goodale in 1926, who bore him two sons (Carleton S. Coon, Jr., was recently appointed U.S. Ambassador to Nepal). In 1928 he joined the faculty at Harvard, where he eventually became professor of anthropology.

During World War II, Coon served in Africa and the Middle East with the Office of Strategic Services, publishing a book about his experiences, A North African Story, as recently as last year. In 1945, he married the former Lisa Doherty Geddes, who drew the maps for many of his works. In 1948 he became curator of ethnology at the University of Pennsylvania, where he remained (when not on his many field trips) until his retirement in 1963.

Personal Note

An Instaurationist, who was with Carleton Coon in Kathmandu, Nepal, writes this about him:

He was tall, distinguished and extraordinarily fit. His conversation was fascinating, to the point and radiated authority. For weeks I drove him around the Kathmandu Valley, visiting temples, huts, hills and rivers. Everywhere we went, he commented on the different racial types, preserved by caste and tribal systems, and justified their exclusiveness as the only way of survival. He already knew nearly everything about the local art, architecture and customs, having visited the Valley before. But I was able to tell something new about the strange village of Hari Siddhi. Once every ten years, all the local Brahmins gather there in secret. A Jesuit who had studied the matter in detail told me that the purpose of the meeting was to ensure good crops -- by sacrificing an idiot boy. No Nepali would answer questions about this, though those I asked about the story did not deny it. Coon was willing to give it some credence, since the tale squares with so many blood sacrifices of animals in the area. Up at Trisuli, for instance, at the full moon in early spring, the priest drinks blood from the neck of a young buffalo. It takes all sorts to make a world.

Finally, Carleton Coon was a most handsome Nordic. His determination to preserve the white race in general and the Anglo-Saxon part of it in particular should be an inspiration to all Majority members. He never sold out and he was a hell of a sight higher in intellect than those who do sell out, whatever their pretensions.

Witticism Department

I trust them when they say, "We don't want war."

Rev. William Sloane Coffin, after a visit to the Soviet Union

Question: What's the largest country in the world?
Answer: Cuba. Its heart is in Havana. Its government is in Moscow. Its graveyards are in Angola and Ethiopia and its people are in Miami.

Soldier of Fortune
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### THEY ARE SWAMPING US

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nation or Region</th>
<th>Mid 1980 Population (millions)</th>
<th>Birthrate</th>
<th>Deathrate</th>
<th>Natural Increase in % (excluding migration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>4,471.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>477.6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>363.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>2,604.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.S.R.</td>
<td>265.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>252.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>484.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Germany</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Germany</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>228.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red China</td>
<td>1,027.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>662.9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaza Strip</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A little quick math reveals that while West Germany is having just under 600,000 births per year, Turkey (with a much smaller population) is having over 1,800,000. Also 1/3 of all births in some large German cities are aliens, a majority of whom are Turks. Those births are attributed to the German total, not to the Turkish total. Turkey, by the way, is scheduled to enter the Common Market soon, which will give all its people free entry into Western Europe.

A few other quick calculations: 30% of all Swedish births are now to aliens, which suggests the real birthrate figures for West Germans and Swedes may be on the order of 8 per 1,000 per year -- lowest in recorded history. Actually, the rate drops to 5 per 1,000 per year among Germans in cities like Hamburg. Just to maintain zero population growth, every true Hamburger would have to live to be 200 years old!

Africa, with a population smaller than Europe's, has way over three times as many births.

Poland is now having a good many more births than West Germany, though its population is scarcely half as high. And they are all real Poles.

West Germany's population is well over ten times that of Senegal, but Senegal is having well over half as many births annually. And they are all real Senegalese.

Glossary

Birthrate: A measure of the frequency of live births in the whole population, generally expressed as the number of births during the year per 1,000 population at midyear.

Deathrate: A measure of the frequency of deaths in the whole population, generally expressed as the number of deaths during the year per 1,000 population at midyear.

Rate of natural increase (percent): A measure of annual population growth based on the difference between the birthrate and the deathrate per 1,000 population.

Fertility rate: A measure of the number of births during a given year per 1,000 women of childbearing age, usually defined as ages 15 to 44 or 15 to 49.

Total fertility rate: This measure expresses births in a year in terms of the implied average number of children per woman over a lifetime. The measure is calculated by summing the fertility rates for each age of women in the childbearing years. In 1970, the total fertility rate averaged between 2.4 and 2.5 children per woman.

Net reproduction rate: A measure of the number of live daughters that would be born to a group of newborn girls if up to the end of their reproductive age span they were exposed to the same mortality rates by age and bore daughters at the same rate by age as all women in a given year. An NRR of 1.0 means that if current mortality and fertility rates were to continue, the group would produce on average one daughter per woman, with the eventual result that population growth would cease.

Replacement fertility: The level of reproduction consistent with ultimate zero population growth. Under contemporary conditions of mortality, this averages out to 2.11 children per woman over a lifetime. The figure allows for deaths among women before they reach childbearing age, and also for the fact that slightly more males than females are born.

Median age: The age which divides a population into two halves.

Mexico and the Gaza Strip, with natural increase rates of 3.6% annually, stand right behind Kenya (3.7%) as the world's highest. Thus, the United States and Israel are faced with very similar forms of demographic disaster. Gaza's rates are about the same as those for the West Bank and Arabs in Israel proper. So the total Israeli figures represent a compromise between sky-high Arab rates and moderately low Jewish rates (which in turn are a compromise between high Oriental Jewish rates and low European Jewish rates).

Sweden's and Saudi Arabia's populations and per capita incomes are roughly comparable. The Swedish type of mind created the wealth of both; the Arab type lucked into it. The present Saudi Arabian birthrate is nearly five times that of Sweden.

More on the Birth Scene

The Municipal Council of Paris is offering $300 a month to working mothers who give up their jobs and have a third child. The subsidy comes at the very time black African mothers, whose older children are dying of malnutrition, are having their seventh and eighth babies. To demographers it's all a lugubrious numbers game. France's population, they predict, will sink from 53.4 to 35 million by the year 2050, as the earth's population climbs to 11 billion from the current 4.4 billion.

You can stand for 20 minutes on almost any street corner in Paris without ever noticing a pregnant woman pass by; and, if you were to draw the conclusion from this simple observation that pregnancy is going out of style in France, you'd be absolutely right. Since the early 1960s, the average number of children for a French woman has plummeted from 3 to 1.8, well below the replacement rate of 2.1.

- -

The Western press has been gloating for some time that the ethnic Russian majority of the Soviet Union would become a minority in 1980. The 1979 Soviet census belies this. The Russian majority is losing some ground each year (54.6% of the population in 1955, 53.4% in 1970), but it still holds the lead at 52.4%. Taken as a whole, the three Slavic groups in the Soviet Union (Russians, Ukrainians and Byelorussians) grew by 6%, but Moslems increased by nearly 30%. Jews in the Soviet Union decreased from 2.3 million in 1959 to 1.8 million in 1979 -- a loss of half a million.

China, with a population surpassing the billion figure, is planning for zero population growth by the end of the century. Some measures, already law in a few provinces, vary from a cash bonus for one-child couples to reducing parents' wages from 5 to 20% upon the arrival of the third, fourth or fifth child. "Imbeciles, lunatics, hemophiliacs and those who are color-blind or carry other hereditary diseases" are not to be parents, warns the new Chinese Communist party line. Already some local authorities have been threatening couples having more than one child with severe economic penalties. This presents a problem because the one child may be female -- a catastrophe to old-line Chinese, who are congenital misogynists.
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To show they mean business Chinese officials ordered the sterilization of a high-ranking woman bureaucrat who had a third child. One couple, which had two girls, begged not to have their third child aborted. Officials refused, then back-tracked by agreeing that in return for an induced premature birth the child would be allowed to live, if a boy. It was. Since promises are not binding in Communist imperiums, the authorities reneged and let the baby die. Later, the grandmother of the dead baby threw the four-year-old son of one of the government decision-makers into a pond and jumped in herself. Both drowned.

* * * * *

In the year 2000, according to the latest population forecast, more than 6 billion people will jam this planet, of which only 10% or 600 million, will be white. Of these only about 250 million will be predominantly Nordic. Australia grew by about 1.4% in the last decade; non-Communist Europe a little more, 3.1%; Communist Europe, 3.29%; North America, 8.6% (thanks largely to the disproportionate nonwhite birthrate, to the boat people from Indochina and to Mexican and Central American legal and illegal immigrants). The population of the U.S.S.R. increased by 11.4%, owing largely to the proliferating Soviet Central Asians. The number of Latin Americans shot up 44%; the number of Africans, 64.2%. But Asians hit the jackpot (or the pits) with an increase of 250.2%

Europe, which had 20% of the world's population in 1800, now has 9% and will have only 4% in 2075, say European Common Market demographers. To make things worse, he suicide rates of some European countries — 29.9/100,000, 18.4/100,000 in Denmark and West Germany, respectively — are among the world's highest.

The brave new world of the 21st century will be a not-so-brave nonwhite world unless whites quickly shore up their culture and civilization and stop pouring money, food and medical technology into Asia, Africa and Latin America and thereby putting their inhabitants temporarily beyond the reach of the Malthusian law. The nightmare of scattered and disconnected Fortress Nordinicas withstandingly multiplying hordes of nonwhites storming the walls is not a pretty one. The outcome of such sieges may be either a general massacre of whites à la Haiti or a worldwide string of Gulags for those unfortunate enough to have been born with a short supply of melanin.

* * * * *

American demographers noted a strange phenomenon in the 1950s. In the U.S. at large, the birthrate was going up, but in the South it was declining. The sharpest decrease occurred in 1954-55. Those who specialize in dates and sad memories will recall that that was the era of the Supreme Court's Brown decision, which many white Southerners felt would do more damage to the South than the Civil War.

When hope and morale sink, so does the birthrate. Later, when white Southerners decided Brown would not physically destroy their cherished Dixie, that it was just one more chapter in the 150-year-old Northern assault they had faced up to before, they took heart and the birthrate went up.

The stark figures reveal what happened. In 1953-54 white births in the South were 0.8% above the national average. In 1954-55, when the national figure was increasing by 1.9%, Southern births declined by 0.7%. In 1955-56 the Southern birthrate climbed back to 2.2%, as compared to the 2.6% national average.

As these figures demonstrate, the havoc wrought by the Supreme Court on American society in the name of desegregation included a short-lived decimation of the Southern white population. Chief Justice Warren did not deliberately order a massacre of the innocents, but the result was the same. In retrospect and as the years go by, it is not certain that the effect of the Supreme Court's Brown decision was entirely restricted to the South in the middle 50s. Today the white birthrate in the U.S. has fallen way below the replacement level. Not only Brown but other Supreme Court rulings, particularly those supporting racial discrimination against whites, may have equally demoralized the white population in both the North and South and played a rather important part in lowering the white component of the populace, while increasing the black component.

In other words, the Nogood Nine may have an additional sin to answer for before the Great High Bench in the Great Beyond -- the cardinal sin of allowing court rulings to be used as contraceptive devices to change the racial make-up of the American population.

* * * * *

Religion is one of the chief instigators of the population explosion. The pope and the Moral Majority, with their attacks on birth control, are directly responsible for influencing women to have unwanted babies. If a ghetto brood mare pregnant with her eighth infant wishes to have an abortion, she is committing a sin in the eyes of John Paul II and Jerry Falwell, and it both of these churchmen had their way, she would be committing a federal or state crime along with the doctor who aborts her.

Doctor Stephen Mumford of the International Fertility Research Program (Research Triangle Park, NC 27709), in a long paper on overpopulation, has not been afraid to call the Catholic Church to account for its part in the right to life crusade. He quotes one population expert as saying, "In Latin America the church has such a stranglehold on politics . . . that nothing positive will happen in population growth control efforts until the hold by the church is broken." Mumford himself claims, "the pope is leading the world on an international suicide course." Mumford's solution: "The only hope for the American Catholic Church and the American people is that the American church break away from the Roman church."

When Mumford's paper was submitted to the Georgetown Center for Strategic and International Studies, for whom it was written, Michael A. Samuels, the executive director, rejected it.

At the end of his paper, Mumford produced a table to show that 161,570,000 people from all over the globe would attempt to emigrate to the U.S. by the year 2000.
Finally, we have Lyndon LaRouche, jr., the eccentric ex-Marxist who is not afraid to badmouth the ADL, but very loath to criticize the Soviet Union (he wreathed the invasion of Afghanistan in deep silence). Lyndon was very much angered by the Carter administration report, “Global 2000,” which predicted dire consequences for an overpopulated, underfed world in the beginning of the next century. In fact, he was so angered he accused the adherents of “Global 2000” of deliberately planning or acquiescing in the genocide of 2 billion people by forcing birth control on Third World nations and by withholding the technology needed to support their burgeoning populations.

What Lyndon wants to do is build a lot of nuclear power plants in Africa, Asia and Latin America, so billions of the Third World unborn can live in the style to which we are accustomed and which they, in his view, deserve. It will be as easy as pie. All it will take is more fusion and fission, more fertilizer and more technocracy.

Two of America’s most prominent futurologists, Herman Kahn and Ernest Schneider of the Hudson Institute, agreed in part with Lyndon when they said, “The insistence of ‘Global 2000’ that the whole world is heading straight for disaster is intrinsically implausible.”

Ben Wattenberg, one of those new conservatives, is also unflapped. He believes that the world’s population will “only” reach 8 to 12 billion before it levels off. “Global 2000” went as high as 30 billion.

It is true that man has a penchant for doomsdayism, for forecasting the world’s destruction at a date certain and for wallowing in the fire and brimstone of the Endtime. But it is also true that anyone familiar with the present demographic picture would not bet too heavily on the future.

---

**DUMB IDEAS AND SMART**

Experts now concede that America’s “urban renaissance” -- the middle-class, back-to-the-city movement -- was, except for the heavy participation of gays, an illusion. As a follow-up, the Gallup Poll decided to check public feelings about government relocation of the ghetto poor. If Gallup can be trusted, 40% of whites favored the proposition, 32% were opposed, and 8% had no opinion. (The nonwhite tally was 67% in favor, 22% opposed, 11% uncertain). Supposedly, 39% of all suburbanites and 43% of those outside metro areas favored the scheme. We wonder.

---

More credible are the poll results which appeared in the May 1981 issue of *Mensa Bulletin*. 1,400 readers responded as follows:

**Question 1:** Do you believe generally that high intelligence is:

- Entirely hereditary? 8%
- Largely hereditary? 56%
- Equally hereditary and environmentally influenced? 28%
- Largely environmentally influenced? 7%
- Entirely environmentally influenced? 1%

(Note that fully 8 times as many Mensans go for heredity as environment).

**Question 2:** Do you feel that the proportion of highly intelligent people in the general population is:

- Increasing? 14%
- Static? 32%
- Declining? 54%

**Question 3:** If you feel that the proportion of highly intelligent people is declining, to what would you primarily attribute its cause?

- Declining birthrate in the highly intelligent segment of the general population: 30%
- Increasing birthrate in that segment of the population which is of lesser intelligence: 41%
- The declining quality of education: 21%
- The general break-up of the family unit: 7%
- No response: 1%

**Question 4:** A program designed to increase the birthrate among the highly intelligent.

Approve: 47% Disapprove: 41% No opinion: 12%

**Question 5:** Sperm banks to increase the number of offspring from among the highly intelligent.

Approve: 42% Disapprove: 38% No opinion: 20%

**Question 6:** Fundamental research in genetics to enhance the general level of intelligence.

Approve: 75% Disapprove: 15% No opinion: 10%

**Question 7:** Would you personally participate in a sperm-bank-type program?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Men Only</th>
<th>Women Only</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes:</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No:</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response:</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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orbits, and the space mining that will be an adjunct of them.

Serious international legal and political questions have been raised regarding future major developments in space, including space solar power satellites or space colonies, their orbits, and the space mining that will be an adjunct of them. International political and ideological pressures will probably force future space activities to adopt some form of worldwide demographic balance. A test battery and set of population selection criteria are discussed herein which can be applied across ethno-cultural group lines to select for universally accepted quality traits, corrected for regression and assortive mating. Given the effects of gene resegregation and trait reemergence, the resulting space population in a few generations will probably resemble existing or historic homogeneous gene pools of significant accomplishment.

The Space Solar Power Satellite dovetails with the space colonization or space industrialization proposals put forward by men such as Professor Gerard K. O'Neill of Princeton. The first SSPS's will apparently be bases for the construction of much larger combinations of industrial space homes. Once a significant number of such later SSPS's begin replicating themselves, exponential growth in their numbers may provide earth-replica homes for a sizable percentage of the human population before 2100 C.E.

The impact on human evolution will be considerable. O'Neill suggested that his "L-5" SSPS's domicile broad samples of humanity, whose relative isolation and small size would ensure rapid genetic drift. The SSPS's could duplicate the late Pleistocene when incipient Homo sapiens was evolutionarily selected for the next stage in human development (greater visual spatial intelligence with its attendant rise in technology; reduced violence and increased altruism; both of which supported larger and more complex social organization).

However, it now appears likely there shall be some political impetus towards some form of nationality balance in future space activities, whether in the large settlements or in the preliminary construction crews building their precursors. Equatorial nations have laid claim to air space out to the geosynchronous orbit distance of 22,600 miles. There have been Third World and Communist Bloc efforts to collectivize ocean exploration and resource recovery, undersea habitation and arctic and desert work. Beyond the first few SSPS's,
the picture changes substantially, probably involving the sele-

c tion of builder crews from existing SSPS’s or colonies.

Conservatively, it is all the more important to select the first

builders with at least secondary characteristics in mind and go

beyond the primary qualifying background in aviation, space,

construction and severe terrain. What, then, should be the

basis for population selection, with each major nation and

group on earth probably insisting upon a share?

There are two main forms of selection in population genet-

ics. A population may be elevated in traits and quality under

long environmental stress, as in the cases of Iceland and Japan.

Or a new and more able population may be formed by selec-

tive migration through some effective quality filter, as in the

cases of the overseas Chinese and Cavalier Virginia and Mary-

land. Future space settlements will probably be intensified

versions of the latter process.

The most popularly known approximation of human capa-

city, IQ, is not particularly adequate. Intelligence is not the

only major personality trait with a high heritability, nor is

a significant correlation with IQ and heritability (h² correlation

of spouses’ IQ scores to within 12 points. Other

traits are involved in the assortive process and exhibit a

resemblance in the macrocosm. Assortive mating results in a

distribution: its highest occurrence at the IQ level 110 to

115 in the U.S. and U.K. Historically, the mid and upper-

average strata of society retain its fiber in periods of
degeneracy by the social polar opposites. The average

IQ for both professional noncommissioned military offi-
cers and police is 105-115. Social Conscience or

Superego will, without necessary regard for tapping

the upper mid-range IQ’s, tap the capacities exhibited

by career military NCO’s and such other yeomanry. It
goes without saying, this is practically a model of

what the members of a builder crew on an immense-

complex and huge job ought to be.

Neuroticism, which psychologist Raymond Cattell de-
defines as the degree to which a personality is likely to

break down under the stress of societally imposed inhibi-
tions, is mildly heritable. Not all relatively minor emo-
tional disposition problems need be concerns: Personali-

ty rigidity, not ordinarily considered a desirable trait, is

somewhat associated with two correlates, science in-

terest and convergent thinking. The latter two should be

tested for, and no penalty should be attached to person-

ality rigidity, which has adequate antidotes in a gene

pool: in one of the peculiarities of serology or compara-
tive blood studies, Blood Group A plus is associated with

“tender-mindedness” on the psychological test scale

“tender-tough” or T-T Scale (coolly rational to compas-
sionate). It may seem strange that an SSPS builder crew

selection board should concern itself with blood types,

but it is a truism in serology that blood groups are often

favored by some feature in the environment. A plus has

its highest occurrence in populations with low violence

rates, with high intragroup cooperation and social com-

plexity, and high tendencies to intergroup altruism. Such

equilibrium states seem to be an outgrowth of natural

selection and seem desirable to retain.

The Minnesota Multi-Phasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)
is one of the foremost tests in seniority and acceptance for

testable pathological traits. Other individual tests, such as the

Neuroticism Test of Prof. H.J. Eysenck of the University of

London, can be combined to form a comparable battery. But

the MMPI was originally standardized upon, and has its high-
est validity with, the older immigrant population of the north-

ern tier of the U.S. and Canadian Midwest. Like Cavalier

Virginia and the middle-class intelligentsia and yeomanry of

Colonial New England, the old Midwest population consisted

largely of ideologically or idealistically motivated immigrants.

But unlike Virginia or Massachusetts, the population did not

include any increments of convict labor or contract labor. The

north Midwest became one of the most intelligence-tested

regional populations on earth.* It can be presumed to have a

good disposition of associated traits, pathologies upon which

the MMPI was standardized.

A new population contains not only the phenotype of the

incoming individual or couple, but also their children’s geno-
type. Genetics includes the regression effect: an individual

with a trait far from the gene pool average for that trait, will

The extremes as scored on the test scale “extrover-
sion/introversion” are both considered pathological and

both have a high h². Extroversion, associated with men-
tal dullness, involves a major lack of foresight and socie-
tal inhibitions, as found in life-long petty criminals, pros-
itutes or high illegitimacy situations. This is practically a

model of what humans should not be in a cooperative,
technologically intensive SSPS construction crew.

Social Conscience or Superego correlates with hered-

ity and intelligence at about .40 in a “U” shaped dis-

tribution: its highest occurrence at the IQ level 110 to
usually have offspring whose trait level has regressed towards the average. Equally important, a trait well above or below the gene pool average, if it is one of those traits which are partly linked or correlated with other traits, such as intelligence and social conscience or extreme extroversion, will likely be accompanied by these other traits which themselves regressed back towards the gene pool average. An unusually high IQ member of a low IQ extended family may have less to commend him than a modestly intelligent member of a high IQ extended family.

Thus we should make some effort to ascertain a crew candidate’s family rate of debility or ability. Though it is not practical to seek many ancestral MMPI or IQ scores, we can discover his nuclear family and extended family record of major distinctions, legal offenses and mental or emotional syndromes. The candidate might gain or lose three rating points for each such matter in his own record; two for each in his nuclear family, and one for each in his collateral relations. Were his ancestry wholly or partly unknown, an assessing agency might simply take his presumed gene pool mean and subtract a point or two from the highest score in each unknown familiar area.

Finally, individuals carry external indications of their internal and familial genetic standing. These phenotypes are most commonly associated with physical neoteny or infantilization. Although few of these phenotypes can be directly and quantitatively measured in a living adult, several can be broadly assessed. Existing standard figures can be used to derive broad estimates of an individual’s brain weight to body ratio. From Neanderthal to Homo sapiens our teeth have shrunk in size and have begun to decrease in number from the anthropoids’ three molar arrangement. In a few areas, as many as one person in six never develops the third molar. It appears odd, but defensible, to select later SSPS crews partly by counting prospective entrants’ molars!

In areas of the world where pottery (hence cooked food) was first developed, one finds the minimum for human molar size, which is also clearly in the forward direction of hominid/

| M.M.P.I. | Loss of 1 point for each point into a problem syndrome range. Loss of 10 points for each syndrome cluster diagnosed as psychopathy. |
| Extroversion (e.g. Factor A on the IPAT 16 Personality Factor Test) | -2 points for each Standard Ten (sten) placement out from the mean. A sten divides a standard distribution curve into equal units of length; the top sten is about 4% of the norm group. |
| General Intelligence | The IPAT Culture Fair IQ Test, Raven’s Progressive Matrices, The Porteus Maze or combination of such culture-fair tests. +2.5 points for each sten above world normal range; maximum of 10. |
| Proneness to neuroticism (Factor C, 16 PF) | +1 point, each sten toward non-prone. |
| Social Conscience (Factor G, 16 PF) | +1 point, each sten toward highest |
| Tender-mindedness (Factor I, 16 PF) | +1 point, each sten toward highest |
| Convergent thinking | +1 point per sten. No penalizing of personality rigidity if found elsewhere. + 5.5 points, sten mean, for blood type A+. |
| Substantial distinction or creative output | Individual + 3 points, each Nuclear Family + 2 points, each 1st Degree of kinship + 1 point, each |
| Legal offense record, emotional disturbance, drug and alcohol dependency | Major: -4 points, each Nuclear Family -2 points, each 1st Degree of Kinship -1 point, each Minor: -1 point, each |
| Physical data | Dentition. +10, lack of third molar Cranial capacity estimate: +1 point, each sten, world standing and such other categories as prove feasible to employ. |

NOTE: If unascertainable, use the apparent gene pool average.
When Negroes act like Negroes in Detroit or Chicago, it is because of their "horrible urban ghetto environment." When they behave in much the same way in rural Alabama or Mississippi, it is due to their "deprived Southern environment." One drawback to this reasoning is the existence of small towns in bucolic settings like Benton Harbor, Michigan and Chatham, Ontario, and modern, potentially attractive suburbs like Compton, California, whose large black populations are maladapted in precisely the same sorts of ways.

The process of selecting for these traits should result in a new group sharing genetic dispositions toward those traits and abilities that appear to characterize compatible, accomplished societies, and are almost universally accepted standards of quality. Such a group will be a proto-nationality in its own right, keeping in mind the all-important point that gene resegregation and group trait re-emergence are quite commonly encountered effects in selective migration.

The selection instrument is more fully spelled out in the Table on the facing page. The maximum score is 75.5 plus the performance and family variables. Borderline would be about 40, obtained by allowing a point or two off in each category, and a point or two gained or lost in the positive or negative performance areas. Validation testing would alter these figures and factor or cluster analysis would eliminate some scales and change the weighting of the remainder. But assuming the propriety of 40 as a cutoff point, the physical data, (A + blood, two molars, and such other admitted oddities as are found usable) would put a borderline scorer just over the cutoff point. Conversely, an individual fairly high in intelligence and with some major distinction in his own right, but otherwise mundanely endowed and with no particular distinctions in his family, would score just over 30. Ancient European tribes required that a family line be distinguished for three generations in succession before the family could join the hereditary titled nobility, which was simply a good intuitive grasp of genetic regression.

Some of the more visionary suggestions regarding the later SSPS's and space colonies have it that each nation, group, or set of climate preferences should decide on their genetic composition. But for the first SSPS's there will likely be conflicting demands for world-wide demographic, ideological, perhaps even religious balance. An instrument such as suggested here, that can select for intragroup quality over an indefinite variety of different kinds of groups, would seem to be strongly in order.

*The traits and heritability levels discussed in this article are primarily taken from the IPAT 16 Personality Factor Battery, the "16 PF", Institute for Personality and Ability Testing, Champaign, IL. Other instruments and the critical literature that attends them which inspired portions of this article include the Torrance T-Test in creativity and other standard instruments for assessing convergent or divergent (potentially scientific vs. potentially artistic) thinking; and Prof. Eysenck's Neuroticism test.


When Negroes act like Negroes in Detroit or Chicago, it is because of their "horrible urban ghetto environment." When they behave in much the same way in rural Alabama or Mississippi, it is due to their "deprived Southern environment." One drawback to this reasoning is the existence of small towns in bucolic settings like Benton Harbor, Michigan and Chatham, Ontario, and modern, potentially attractive suburbs like Compton, California, whose large black populations are maladapted in precisely the same sorts of ways.

Compton's school system was the subject of a brilliant expose which appeared in the July 1 *Los Angeles Times*. The headline -- "Island of Fear in a Sea of Subsidies" -- could not have been more appropriate. The Compton Unified School District receives higher per capita federal funding than any of the other 41 school districts in Los Angeles County. This recently provoked a former district administrator to declare:

All the buildings in the district are painted and look beautiful, but the kids can't read.

The kids come to the school board meetings in choral groups. But they can't spell.

They're excellent in sports ... but they can't understand their textbooks.

From my point of view, the school district is putting on a public show to look as if something is happening here when nothing is.

But as *Times* reporters Ann La Riviere and George Reasons pointed out (they would deserve a Pulitzer if the award was worth receiving), quite a lot has been happening in the Compton schools. The way the administration spends its money has resulted in several different investigations by the state Education Department this year alone. But the biggest scandals have involved test-fixing. The folks in Sacramento knew that something was wrong when Compton's academic ranking shot up from near the bottom to near the top of the statewide scale -- "an impossible achievement and one that made the district look foolish," the *Times* observed. The $54,500-a-year Compton superintendent, Aaron C. Wade, says that state officials' suspicions are racially motivated.

The test-fixing had three aspects. First, there was only selective testing of the better students. Second, Wade apparently asked a district supervisor to obtain confidential testing materials which were then duplicated and distributed among principals who used them to coach students. When even these deceptions could not accomplish the impossible, 1,800 tests were laboriously doctored to obtain the correct results. "It took a lot of bodies to do it," observed one state official. Each question on each test had to be read individually because the tests were varied and no single answer sheet or visible coding device existed!

An investigation made by the Los Angeles County Grand Jury proved futile. No one in the entire school district would
supply crucial records or otherwise cooperate beyond the barest minimum. The jury found itself dealing with a kind of Black Hole which sucked all evidence of malfeasance into itself and held it tight. The Times reporters encountered the same sort of paranoid, anti-white attitudes, which makes their fact-finding all the more remarkable. Among the other dirt they pried loose:

The district stands accused of massive nepotism, as well as employing people with phony degrees received from St. Stephens Bible College, a local diploma mill whose president is facing trial on 18 felony counts, including forgery and grand theft. Compton employees with St. Stephens Ph.D. degrees insist on being addressed as “doctor.” Dr. Bernice Woods is sold on positive thinking:

Education we must have, but we must have God first. We must get on our knees and pray and try to get together and love each other and stop thinking negatively about each other and finding all the problems in this school district because you have problems in every school district . . . . United we stand and divided we fall. The Lord has demonstrated by putting me back on this [school] board that He means to clean it up.

Black unity is the linchpin of the Compton mentality. Never criticize one another’s failings or whitey will pick up on it. Remember, Compton Unified is the city’s largest employer, so shut up any trouble-makers. Says a grand jury member: “The climate of fear in the Compton district is so thick you can feel it.”

School board member Saul E. Lankster (who is black) had a bright idea recently, “The same way they package cigarettes and sell them, the same way they package political candidates . . . . we have to package the image of this community and spread it abroad.” Lankster, under investigation himself by the district attorney, may not have realized that Compton already has a P.R. man, José Y. Lopez, who is hard at work. After a respected Long Beach columnist blasted Compton, Lopez, who holds a master’s degree in communications from the University of Southern California, blasted back in his own fashion. In a letter typed at taxpayers’ expense, Lopez wrote: “I once saw a dog urinating on the Long Beach Independent Press-Telegram after it had been tossed onto a neighbor’s yard. On closer inspection, I noticed that the dog was urinating on Tom Hennessy’s column . . . . Hennessy also hasn’t pulled out the puberty pit stop. He is still in the uro-genital fetish stage of his life. His ideal night out is wearing diapers and going ga-ga with his pee pee.” Lopez’s closing sentences defied publication.

Sinetta Trimble was elected to the Compton school board in 1977 fresh off the welfare rolls. When she dared to challenge the status quo, she and an aide received “live bullets” in the mail. Paul Richards is one of many local residents with no use for such boat-rockers. After saying at a school board meeting that outsiders are not wanted in Compton, he offered a more positive solution:

A cousin of mine years ago broke his leg in two places. He went to see a woman named “Mama Dee.” She put some herbs and wrapped his leg in different spices and today [my cousin] is catching touchdown passes for the San Diego Chargers.

We have in our superintendent [Wade] a kind of Mama Dee who people don’t understand how things can occur, how we make progress and achieve certain goals. People coming in from the outside say, “How can this happen?”

It’s important for us to realize that within this community we have to control our own destiny . . . . and when we begin to let persons outside this community dictate the course that we take, then something’s wrong.

But some critics feel that pursuing one’s destiny should mean doing it on one’s own funds. Last school year alone, the district received nearly six million in federal Title One dollars. The program began in 1966, and no progress has been made. “There are high school seniors today who have been on the Title One program since they were in the first grade and they still can’t read,” complained a former program overseer. “They still can’t do math.”

One brave teacher remarked: “I wish Reagan would pull every federal dollar out of Compton and leave us with just the bare bones. The way we use this money makes us look like tools.”

A state education official was recently heard to groan, “There are days when I just wish Compton would go away.” Without realizing it, he or she had contributed the best idea yet to the entire dilemma, one which put the speaker in an ideological league with most presidents during America’s first century — an era when presidents were something more than front men.

Superintendent Wade refused to be interviewed by the Times. “I’m sick and tired of people like you,” he yelled at a reporter. “All you do is print gossip.” Later, while thanking the school board for a $10,500-a-year pay increase, Wade boasted, “I want to simply say in the words of a great writer, the eyes have not seen nor the ears have heard the work that I am going to do during the next four years.”

He never got the chance. So horrendous were the revelations in the Times that one week later the school board and community turned completely around and sacked their chief. All well and good, except for this: the basic point of the Times article was that Compton’s rot was communal in nature. And one more thing: the scandal is not in Compton but spotted all across America.

Unponderable Quote
What should men do? Become more like us.
Marilyn French, bestselling feminist author

Ponderable Quote
Jewish history is a story of ideas. The world is already governed by Jewish ideas . . . . The future is being designed by secular Jews.
Max Dimont
CLEANING OUT THE PHOTO FILE

For one reason or another -- lack of space, lack of interest, too dated -- Instauration was unable to use the following photos. Rather than throw them out, we decided to lump them all together in a picture page.

Onetime Iranian hostage, Jerry Plotkin, receiving a standing ovation at a Bel Air, California, synagogue. At left, blonde wife Debbie. At right, Rabbi Isaiah Zeldin. Plotkin, according to Los Angeles police, was once arrested on a drug charge.

Ken Khachigan, Reagan's chief speechwriter. Washington insiders call him the real Great Communicator.

Diane Feinstein, mayor of Jonestown by the Bay.

Cuban "refugees" running amuck in Fort Chaffee, Arkansas.
An Instaurationist disagrees with Instauration

A Well-Reasoned Argument Against the Draft

Instauration’s concern about the emergence of a “minority-dominated” U.S. Army is justified. The last thing that the Majority needs is an armed horde of blacks and Hispanics running around. But, I fail to see how the reimposition of the military draft is going to change the trend toward a minority-dominated military — save in the very lowest echelons where draftees have traditionally served their tours of duty. A draft will have little impact upon the ranks of the NCO’s and the officers — who are all volunteers — unless the draft is extended to include middle-class professionals and older workers and managers. (Such an event is unlikely to occur during peacetime for economic and political reasons).

Regardless of whether a draft will eliminate the specter of a minority-dominated military, I question the wisdom of having a military draft for a number of reasons: first, the ready availability of unlimited “cannon fodder” is likely to encourage our military planners and politicians to use that resource in a host of questionable foreign adventures — or “brushfire wars” as they are called now. A better strategem would be to finance and arm various Third World nations and to employ them to do the fighting and the dying for us. In that way, the loss of Majority manhood could be kept to a minimum. It was this technique of playing one native group against another which permitted the British to maintain their empire for as long as they did.

Second, a draft will tend to perpetuate the present military establishment which is becoming more and more technologically outmoded in an era where sophisticated weaponry requires the employment of highly trained professionals. The principal reason why the military is having difficulty in attracting and in retaining the qualified manpower that it needs is because it is not paying a competitive wage for the work that is being done. The average civilian policeman, for example, is better paid than the average infantryman, and when it comes to technicians and middle-level managers, the pay differential between the civilian and military sectors is even more pronounced. A secondary reason is the oppressive manner in which military personnel are treated — all in the name of “discipline.” Thus, even if military pay scales were high enough to attract and to keep quality manpower, the fact remains that the Uniform Code of Military Justice turns the employment relationship into a form of modern serfdom where the serviceman must surrender his civil rights and acquiesce to a system of military feudalism. Since this is a compelling reason not to enlist, the military establishment needs to be extensively reformed — particularly in a legal sense. The reinstatement of the military draft will delay such reform by permitting the military to conduct its business as usual.

Third, the fighting performance of military professionals, volunteers by definition, has been better, historically, than that of conscript armies. All of the modern elite combat forces which come to mind — from the Waffen-SS to the Green Berets — have been composed of volunteers who wanted to be where they were — in the thick of the fight and the record of their achievements proves it. It is almost axiomatic that persons who are being forced to do something against their will (e.g., serving in the armed forces) are going to do a mediocre job. This conclusion holds true for the civilian sector, and there is no reason why it should not hold true for the military also. Moreover, a military that is composed of highly motivated professionals seeking to make a career of the military will reduce the expense of training them. At the present time, huge sums are spent to train personnel who leave the military as soon as their tours of duty are finished. Consequently, the cost of the training is not amortized.

Fourth, the basic premise behind the concept of universal military conscription is the idea that human beings are a cheap national resource, one that may be expended as so-called “military necessity” dictates, without much thought or concern. (Military annals are full of examples where manpower was sacrificed in order to husband scarce material.) In a world where the Majority is already outnumbered, this kind of thinking is dangerously out of date. For the Majority to win at this late date, it is necessary that western technology be utilized in a manner that will increase the effectiveness of Majority troops — i.e., their “kill-ratio” — while conserving Majority manpower. A draft would have the opposite effect.

It seems to me that the way to transform the U.S. military into a high quality, Majority-dominated fighting machine is as follows: 1) reorganize the military along the lines of a private corporate business; 2) institute a pay-scale which is competitive with civilian occupations involving similar activities or risks; 3) replace the Uniform Code of Military Justice with the civil law; 4) establish a training system which embraces a more humane attitude and is similar to that in certain European armies; 5) utilize modern technology to increase the lethal effectiveness of limited manpower resources; 6) adopt realistic strategies and tactics which will fully exploit the advantages of a numerically small, but technically sophisticated, fighting force; 7) utilize indigenous minority manpower wherever possible to keep Majority losses at a minimum.

The sending of Majority draftees to bleed and die in an endless series of meaningless “brushfire wars” is not in our best interest. Rather, if such wars really need to be fought, a point which is not conceded, the employment of a small, highly trained, cohesive, and well-armed group of military professionals, enjoying a high esprit de corps and employing an awesome killing potential, is the way to proceed and, then, only in those situations where Third World manpower resources will not suffice.

Editor’s Note: Instauration would agree with many of the points stressed in the above plaidoyer if — and this is a crucial if — the U.S. were a homogeneous nation. But this is far from the case. A racially divided army is an army ready for war, not against a foreign enemy, but for war within its ranks. If the present minorityizing of the armed forces continues, it is no exaggeration to say that in a few decades they may be fighting the American Majority instead of Russians or Arabs. It is this horrendous possibility which must be avoided at all costs. And the only way we see to avoid it is to institute the draft as soon as possible, which will force the armed services to be representative of the population at large, a population that is still 12% black and 8% Hispanic.

A draft would also sharply raise the military IQ. At present, no intelligent Majority member, no matter how much the remuneration, would consider joining this low-caliber, mixed-race mess that goes by the name of the U.S. Army, whose white enlisted men are at the bottom of the white barrel, and whose Negro soldiers dye the army’s lower ranks with a black ethos. To an above-aver-
age Majority member, joining the army today is like moving into a no-man’s land where the enemy is beside you and behind you, not in front of you.

Also, we strongly disagree with the idea that a mercenary army is a good army. We don’t believe that the volunteers of the Waffen-SS were paid salaries commensurate with those received by Germans and pro-German Europeans working in the private sector. The best fighting forces, in our view of history, were those who fought for family, race and nation—not for money.

Racial Backbone for Hire

Minorities hell-bent on creativity have always found it convenient to have a Northern European population base nearby or in their midst. An illustration which comes to mind is South American Jewry. If Jews are scientifically able, why have half a million of them, packed into the Argentina-Uruguay-south Brazil axis, achieved so little? Perhaps if Jews gave matters like this a bit more thought, they would use some of their political clout to help preserve North America’s racial makeup.

An analogous situation occurs in cases of racial intermarriage. The silver screen is forever casting forth images of “exotic” specimens whose unusual features seem to betray some new genetic blend. It makes a great advertisement for miscegenation. The problem is that the parentage tends to be half classical Nordic and half whatever. The result tremendously flatters viewers of the miscellaneous race, who proudly recognize their own features made over in a version that is bigger, brighter and more glowing than they had dreamed possible. These half-Nordic hybrids (the ones that come out right, that is, for we seldom see the others) tend to be a lot more “interesting” and more “complex” than the purer and more commonplace girl- and boy-next-door Nordics.

Most revealing, however, is the total lack of popular interest in crosses like the black-Oriental, which flatter no one—or in many of the age-old mixtures among various non-Nordic white stocks.

All of the egalitarian decrees in the world will not change these things. So as America’s minorities grow more and more marvelously creative, while the Majority sinks ever deeper into utter stagnation, please note that the creative minorities are pressing ever harder against those plodding Majority populations—get ready, Sunbelt—and raiding ever more of their genes.

George C. Wallace says that those who use the Bible to support racial separation (read racial survival) are “deadly wrong.” At a racially mixed Baptist conference, the former Alabama governor begged divine forgiveness for aligning himself with segregationists during his heady days. How could the Warren court have known, back in 1954, that, for millions of Americans, it was reinterpreting the Bible as well as the Constitution?

We hope that even freedom-riding George would have felt a bit uncomfortable with the brand of theology offered in Milwaukee’s St. John Cathedral last winter. A wildly enthusiastic congregation of black Catholics celebrated the establishment of an archdiocese-level Office for Black Concerns by praising God for their “unique gift of blackness.” The Catholic Herald Citizen gushed, with that unique kind of gushiness found only in Christian publications, “It was the kind of celebration that sent shivers down the arms and spine, that spurred both joyful hand-clapping and moistened eyes.” Participants danced as though they had never left African soil for a minute, and a banner read: “The Lord has breathed SOUL into us.” What did he breathe into white people? Character? Brains? Spirit? Grace? Try coming up with an alternative that does not sound racist as hell.

When kids won’t listen to whatever brand of silliness one Michigan preacher is peddling, he zaps them with six volts on a homemade “electric stool” and they snap to. The idea is to show how God talks to us. One 8-year-old admitted, “It hurt me until I went home and got in the tub,” but added that everyone in the kiddie congregation had a good laugh.

There was no laughter in Tucson in June, when a preacher-cum-aeronaut and his fifty followers failed to float heavenward like balloons, as he had so flatly predicted. Since some of those bound for glory had sold homes, businesses and cars in preparation for their flight departure, a little fast-talking was definitely in order on someone’s part. Not missing a beat, the hot-air Christer said he “may” have had the date wrong, “but we know it’s going to happen shortly.”