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In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, communicants will only be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

□ Kindly cancel my subscription to Instauration. Although your political and sociological concepts are generally quite sound, you are suffering from several disorders which I fear will ultimately surface in such a patent fashion as to make contact with you or your organization somewhat embarrassing.

Fundamentalist preacher

□ If we make an exception for the very occasional sniper, blacks can walk, drive and work safely in any white area. Until the reverse is true, how can blacks complain if most whites look upon them as only partly civilized?

No surprise to read that minorities top the list of people who change their leftist spin. Quoting David doesn't prove anything except that he has a glib tongue.

No surprise to read that minorities top the list of people who change their leftist spin. Quoting David doesn't prove anything except that he has a glib tongue.

Instauration's article, "Will the Japanese Produce the Superman?" (Aug. 1980), was quite true. It is the outward reach of man that is the goal. But is being so objective, especially in an area where the subject is the essence of "us," the healthy approach? While it is desirable for man to continue upward, I cannot admit the possibility that it can happen without our race. To admit the possibility is the first step toward acceptance. Western man is the embodiment of evolution. This may not be true, of course, but can we live with ourselves if we question it?

Despite Instauration I still don't go for the idea that we should all work 18 hours a day so David Rockefeller can get his 20% pound of flesh. Let's tell those damn bankers to go on a diet.

□ It seems to me that the author of "Afterthoughts on Afterlife" (Nov.- Dec. 1980) remains stuck in the quicksand of the empirico-scientific epistemology which relates all knowledge and conception to observation.
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Just now there is a storm 1,000 miles off the coast of California. From afar we can hear tremendous breakers pounding the shore, as though knocking on the door of the continent, and rumbling, "Instauration, Instauration."

The author of "Protestantism in the Third Reich" (Feb. 1981) is quite right in implying that contemporary North Germans tend to be atheists. Since this is more clearly in evidence in East Germany, the cause is usually -- and wrongly -- attributed to the DDR's Communist regime. Atheism would be popular in East Germany even if there were no Communist government and no Russian occupation. Should anyone doubt this, let him stroll through a graveyard in one of the villages or small towns of the March of Brandenburg. He would be hard put to come up with a single Christian cross, inscription or symbol. As the Polish experience has shown, people cannot be forced to abandon Christian beliefs and practices, if they wish to retain them. In East Germany, they don't.

I see no way to permanently uphold in this modern world the old Levantine superstition. Where it still holds sway, it is generally associated with other forms of backwardness, as even a cursory glance at southern and eastern Europe reveals. It is regrettable that the more Nordic parts of Europe are not free from other forms of superstition -- the dogma of human equality, socialism and Marxism, to name a few. Eventually these too will vanish, as did the other religions. Medieval Protestantism was a first, tentative step in the right direction of intellectual emancipation. My only quarrel with it is that it did not go far enough.

German subscriber

Cholly Bilderberger is off to Europe. He'll be back in a month or so.
I cringe before the Trilateralists and their unholy influence on the American scene, but I agree that the constant barrage of attacks against the Rockefellers is overdoing it a bit. In all societies power and taboos are intertwined. If you want to find out who is the true power behind the scenes, look for the taboos. As long as the Rockefellers can be attacked so openly and viciously, their power cannot be very great. For comparison one only has to look at those who cannot be attacked.

When immigrants were made of good stuff, most of them paid to come here. Now we pay them to come here - and the difference in quality is what you might expect.

Blacks, Hispanics et al. are proliferating with such feral velocity that the Dispossessed Majority will soon become a Beleaguered Plurality. We are simply not vouchsafed the luxury of waiting until "conditions are right" or until "things get so bad that people start to resist" or until "a great leader will appear."

The movies these days are occasionally letting WASPs and Nordics become lovable and heroic. Flash Gordon is no match for Shakespearean drama, but it is great to see a superblond, sex-simbolic, musician like Sam Jones be the good guy. The movie Popeye had not one swart, dusky face.

I consider Solzhenitsyn a true giant of a man. How insignificant his adversaries become in comparison! Knut Hamsun was another such titan. The current nationalism of the Russians is really the result of Hitler's attack on the Soviet Union.

Bilderberger has stated, succinctly and bluntly, what many Americans have long thought but never dared to say aloud: "The plain fact is that no one except Jews can -- or should -- care what happens to Jews." He has pointed out a basic axiom of racial biology, "We have nothing in common with them; we can't empathize with them." That is something we instinctively feel, within my recollection. He is to be congratulated warmly and thanked heartily.

Do you expect that the Alabama black, who was judged too retarded to stand trial for raping three white women, will be counselled, tutored and coached to a level where he will understand why he rapes white women?

"The Legal Assault on the American Home" (Jan. 1981) was very timely and informative. I sent a copy to Judge Nauman Scott, the strangely named scourge of Buckeye High.

Though there was little to crow about in the Reagan election, it caused grave disappointment to the coloreds, the education lobby, the media, labor unions and the rifi-ratifish cognoscenti. Furthermore, what could provide more amusement than the ill-concealed attempts of Cronkite and Iroquois Dan to hide their grief? The booting out of such leftist sediment as Javits, Holtzman, Church, McGovern, Nelson, Magnuson, Bayh and Culver, to name just an odious few, was the beginning of the end for social democracy. The next three decades -- intellectually, socially and politically -- will be the property of the Right.

On January 9 I saw and heard what seems to be a new assault on the U.S. moral code. New York's noncommercial TV outlet, Channel 13, put on a program, "Children's Education," which acted out a sketch on how to answer a child when he asks Daddy and Mommy for a definition of that four-letter word. Mommy and Daddy were white; the son, Hispanic; the daughter, black.

Better to have left the space blank than to have printed that ridiculous article on the Rockefellers. I hope you have not become a recent recipient of a subsidy from David. Ignoring the influence of the Rockefellers in the decline and possible disappearance of the American republic makes about as much sense as ignoring the role of the Stuarts in 17th-century England or the role of the Bourbons in 18th-century France. Just when the American people en masse appear to be waking up to what the Rockefellers and their flunkies have been doing to America, your writer comes along to rehabilitate them.

The decline of our society coincides with the rise of scientific education and the decline of the classics.

The Nordic is not promoting racial nationalism. He is trying to preserve his rotten empire by giving his gold and his women to non-Nordics.

With me Instauration isn't just a case of grimacing from ear to ear. Reading it, I start feeling good all over.

I consider the Negro birthrate in the U.S. to be far more perilous for the white population than Russian or Chinese nuclear stockpiles. I don't know what Majority members can do about the alarming increase (doubling every 30 years) of blacks, a deadly differential birthrate in a political system where leaders are selected by counting votes and where fecundity is the real political determinant. New Orleans, Birmingham and Atlanta now have Negro mayors. Both the capital of the Confederacy, Richmond, and the stronghold of the Confederacy, Atlanta, have passed into black hands. Within 20 years probably all major U.S. cities will have Negro rulers.

Tell John Nobull if a republic can produce a Giscard d'Estaing, who needs monarchy, which inevitably leads to the Stuarts, the lesser Habsburgs, and the last Hohenzollern? Rome was greatest in the age of the Republic. Even England's greatest poet, in the midst of Elizabethan grandeur, recognized the value of a republican government. Nobull is wrong when he says our egalitarian Constitution is the origin of all our woes. Even if it were true, what would be England's excuse, since both England, the monarchical, and America, the republic, have similar woes? Forgetting the iron law of life, that race is the key to history, is the real origin of trouble. While it would be convenient to blame Masons, Christian ministers, and Jewish saboteurs for this situation, unfortunately the historical record shows it was the great Anglo-Saxon heroes, Drake and Hawkins, who took the slave trade monopoly away from Spain, and the great Anglo-Saxon nation-founders, Washington, Jefferson, Lee and Davis who promoted Negro chattel slavery in place of white free labor.

I enjoyed immensely Cholly's November article. A deus ex machina like "The Boys" would be most helpful for our success.

Canadian subscriber

After a year's subscription, I still don't know the meaning of the title Instauration. Editor's note: Webster defines it as "regeneration after death, esp. resurrection of a nation or one that engages in instauration."

I was rather baffled by the article on Mary Cunningham (Jan. 1981). She is hardly the first mixed-up kid to marry a black, and it's not too helpful merely to condemn her or, worse, question her racial pedigree. Why not try to understand her, even sympathize with her? I bet any money she doesn't even know there's a race war going on!

The liberal-minority coalition is hard to believe. Here is a group with essentially no moral principles whatsoever, yet it sets itself up as not only the moral arbiter of the U.S. population, but of the world. This is a not inconceivable achievement. The coalition can cause the overthrow of the white race in its own cities. It can urge racial quotas that exceed in scope those of Nuremberg -- quotas that discriminate against whites in their own country, that outrage common sense. It can pour the national treasure down rat holes in Africa and Asia, year in, year out, decade in, decade out, without serious challenge. It can lose wars (that is, if the opponents are Communists) to the rump halves of former European or Japanese colonies and suffer no criticism. I could go on and on. There isn't any limit to what this truly marvelous aggregation of entrenched Puritans, Jews and Negroes can do. I firmly believe that easily 50,000 whites a year could be killed by Negroes without official retribution. What would go on then is exactly what is going on now, i.e., whites, prevented by other whites, from taking collective action for survival.
When our revels are ended, when the human race has melted into air, and when the cloud-capped towers, the gorgeous palaces, the solemn temples, and the great globe itself have dissolved, what fragment of culture will remain behind to bear witness to the glory of Man to any who come after?

It is a sobering thought indeed to realize that it may not be a painting by Rembrandt, Leonardo, Vermeer or Michelangelo. Instead, the last surviving remnant of humanity may be a drawing of a naked man and woman by Linda Salzman Sagan, who got the assignment through nepotism -- by virtue of being married to Carl Sagan.

The drawing was etched on a 6” x 9” gold-anodized aluminum plate and attached to the antenna support struts of Pioneer 10, the space probe launched in 1972. Passing Jupiter nearly two years later, its trajectory was deflected by jovian gravity out of the solar system altogether. Billions of years from now, Pioneer 10 and the attached plate may be found by extraterrestrial beings. That drawing will probably be all they will ever know of us.

In his book The Cosmic Connection (1973), Carl Sagan wrote that the man and the woman on the plaque were, to a significant degree, “representative of the sexes and races of mankind.” Miffed by criticism that the couple looked too “straight” or too Aryan, Sagan explained that he and his wife had “made a conscious attempt to have the man and woman panracial.”

The woman was given epicanthic folds and in other ways a partially Asian appearance. The man was given a broad nose, thick lips, and a short Afro haircut. Caucasian features were also present in both. We had hoped to present at least three of the major races of mankind. The epicanthic folds, the lips, and the nose have survived into the final engraving. But because the woman’s hair is drawn only in outline, it appears to many viewers as blond, thereby destroying the possibility of a significant contribution from an Asian gene pool. Also, somewhere in the transcription from the original sketch drawing to the final engraving the Afro was transmuted into a very non-African Mediterranean-curly haircut.

Unfortunately, given current trends, the Sagans’ deliberate mongrels may well be representative of the human race in not too many more centuries. If photographs of Bruce Jenner and Susan Anton had been sent instead, as examples of the best the human race has to offer in physical structure, that genotype might be extinct before Pioneer got much past the orbits of the nearer comets.

A few years later, Carl Sagan attempted something similar with the two Voyager spacecraft. This time, however, instead of a drawing, a phonograph record was sent along with the probes. As Sagan wrote in his book Cosmos (Random House, N.Y., 1980): Although the recipients may not know any languages of the Earth, we included greetings in sixty human tongues, as well as the hellos of the humpback whales. We sent photographs of humans from all over the world caring for one another, learning, fabricating tools and art and responding to challenges.

Also included was music ranging from Bach to Chuck Berry. Finally, Sagan arranged for the electrical activity of the brain, heart, eyes and muscles of an individual he coyly describes as “one person” to be recorded, converted to sound, and immortalized on the record. That “one person” is his current girlfriend, Ann Druyan, a young novelist he met at a party given by Nora Ephron. “I was so moved thinking I would be the only spokesperson for the species,” Ann gushed. What happened to Linda Salzman Sagan, his second wife? The Sagans are presently going through an “acrimonious divorce.”

Most recently, Carl Sagan has turned up on PBS, hosting an elaborate 13-week series of Astrophysics Made Easy, Cosmos, the most expensive show ever produced for public television ($10.5 million). But while Cosmos may have begun as a laudable effort to make some of the most exciting advances in scientific knowledge of the century comprehensible and interesting to lay audiences, it ended as a laughably naive plea for all the fashionable causes: peace at any price, redistribution of the world’s wealth to feed nonproducers, unconditional and total equality for anything remotely human (and maybe chimps and whales, too), extremist environmentalism, and all the other shopworn liberal nostrums.

Carl Sagan’s ethnic background should come as no surprise. It was as obvious as the nose on his face each time the TV program showed him in profile. He was born in Brooklyn in 1934, the son of a first generation garment cutter from Russia named Samuel and his American wife Rachel.

According to People magazine (Dec. 15, 1980): “Carl Sagan has never won a Nobel prize and probably never will. Even his admirers concede that his best scientific work is solid but not distinguished.” We are not in a position to agree or disagree with this judgment. However, Sagan has won fame as a performer in show business, as a popularizer of science with a number of books and a television show to his credit, and those we can judge. We object to his sanctimonious air. We dislike his bizarre mixture of questionable social doctrine with incontrovertible facts about the physical universe. We are sick unto death of his self-promotion. Cosmos was too little cosmos and far too much Carl Sagan.

In all fairness it must be admitted that he does not appear overly obsessed with his heritage. Sagan spent part of one segment of Cosmos tearing apart the blithering nonsense of Immanuel Velikovsky, a Jewish psychiatrist who theorized that the miracles of the Old Testament (Joshua stopping the
sun dead in its tracks) really did happen as a side effect of a game of cosmic billiards then being played by some planets. Sagan not only disapproved of the Catholic Church's persecution of Galileo but also of the excommunication of Spinoza by the Jewish community of Amsterdam. In The Dragons of Eden (1977), he discussed paranoia and admitted that former Secretary of Defense James Forrestal, who believed Israeli secret service agents were following him everywhere, had every right to be paranoid because "later it was discovered that Forrestal was indeed being followed by Israeli agents who were worried that he might reach a secret understanding with representatives of Arab nations." It is possible that Sagan really does believe in the ad absurdum school of human equality and that he is as much cut off from his own community as he is from ours.

Reading Sagan's books leaves one with the impression that he literally is "otherworldly." After spending his life mentally "out there," he has little concept of the way most of us spend our lives down here. His social and political views are a joke and seem to have been plucked whole from whatever books he has read (none of which appear on the Howard Allen list).

In the introduction to Cosmos (the book), Sagan explains that he was so dismayed by the continual interference of the military during the filming of his television show in both NATO and Warsaw pact countries that his resolve to treat social questions "where relevant" was confirmed. "Our camera crews met innumerable kindnesses in every country we visited; but the global military presence, the tear in the hearts of the nations, was everywhere."

Sagan is terrified by the idea that the Soviet Union and the United States might blow up the world, but he has no grasp of the ideologies involved or why the superpowers are at loggerheads. One suspects that he doesn't even care -- mundane things like economics and politics are unnecessary for a man of science. In the last chapter of Cosmos, he puts the blame for the nuclear stalemate on the munitions manufacturers. "According to one estimate, the corporate profits in military weapons procurement are 30 to 50 percent higher than in an equally technological but competitive civilian market." He follows the old line that the military of both countries has a vested interest in war and without wars and the threat of war, the generals would be out of jobs. This kind of thinking was simplistic before World War II.

In The Cosmic Connection, Sagan describes a friendly meeting he had as a budding young astronomer with a visiting Russian scientist. Afterwards, an agent for Air Force Intelligence asked Sagan what he had found out. "With a rising fury," Sagan wrote, "I explained to him that it was possible to have a conversation for the benefit of science rather than for the benefit of American intelligence services." Legitimate security concerns seem to strike Sagan as outrageous intrusions. It was a similar form of blindness to the real world that killed Archimedes, who didn't even look up when the Romans invaded his native city of Syracuse.

Sagan's own ideology is one-worldism with a vengeance. He sees hope for human survival only if all forms of "chauvinism" (a word he defines so loosely as to encompass any form of preference for one's own kind) are replaced with a kind of higher loyalty to the human species. To that end, he writes blandly in Cosmos, "Rich nation-states will have to share their wealth with poor ones." It's as simple as that.

The reader of Sagan's books can only conclude that this is a man who simply does not live around here. In Chapter 24 of The Cosmic Connection, an incident of sexual contact between a male dolphin and a female human being seemed to him "significant" rather than unnatural (and the reservations most people have about bestiality were termed "pious"). In Cosmos he suggested that one way our culture might curb its aggressiveness would be to learn from the examples of nonaggressive cultures that coddled their infants and encouraged adolescent sexual activity. He commented, "more work on this provocative thesis is clearly needed."

Sagan wrote an entire book, The Dragons of Eden, on the subject of the human brain's tripartite structure, presenting the concept that the more highly evolved portions are later additions to a much older core that has been with us since our days as reptiles. So far, so good, but then Sagan proceeds to blame all the human characteristics he doesn't like (racism, territoriality, tendencies toward violence) on naughty atavisms oozing out of that nasty old R (for reptilian) complex. (For a fuller discussion of the subject, see "A Difference of Minds" in Instauration, July 1979.)

One of Sagan's most absurd flights of fancy is found in the lead essay of Broca's Brain (1979). Standing in a dusty museum in Paris and holding a jar containing the brain of 19th-century French anthropologist Paul Broca, he wonders if Broca is still in there. Then he heaves a sigh, thinking that despite Broca's humanism and contributions to science, he was "unable to shake the consuming prejudices, the human social diseases of his time. He thought men superior to women, whites superior to blacks." In at least the latter respect, Paul Broca was by far a better scientist than Carl Sagan will ever be, for he could recognize thoroughgoing racial differences where Sagan claims to see only minor variations in skin pigmentation. If Broca were still in there, he would have been horrified that the creature holding his mortal remains could claim to be a qualified "spokesperson" for the science he pioneered. ("Put me down, safe juit!")

Sagan's books and TV show, the products of Sagan's brain, are a strange case of interesting subject matter made somehow less than thrilling by being filtered through Sagan's interpretation. For all his pretensions of bringing the universe to the living room, he comes across as dull and even unimaginative. Where he doesn't belabor the obvious, he concocts off-the-wall speculation that is less a daring leap into the unknown and more a breakdown in common sense. In The Dragons of Eden he ponders:

I wonder if the unaccountable general appeal of babies and other small mammals -- with relatively large heads compared to adults of the same species -- derives from our unconscious awareness of the importance of brain to body mass ratio.

Carl Sagan the performer is a bore, given to banal pro-
nouncements in a professorial tone, interspersed with weak jokes. The heroic poses he assumed during his TV extravaganza were anti-heroically ludicrous. The nadir of insanity was reached when he straightfacedly tried to imitate the calls of the humpbacked whales.

Meanwhile, at this very minute, emissaries from Earth are speeding out of the solar system, bearing the greetings of the human race to whomever and whatever. These greetings include a capsule history of human endeavor on Earth as interpreted by two young minority ladies who were sequential bed partners of Carl Sagan.

Having mesmerized the Earth, our mediators are now turning their attention to the stars.

Let us learn from what has gone before

MAD DIPLOMACY AND MADDER WARS

So, march away, and let due praise be given
Neither to Fate nor Fortune, but to Heaven.
“The Jew of Malta,” by Christopher Marlowe (1564-93)

And, in 1945, they did march away, and if Western Civilization has not yet been extinguished, its preservation may well be attributed neither to Fate nor Fortune, but to Heaven, for as the American armies receded from Europe in that year, few of their members, and even fewer in the government that controlled them, understood the background of the conflict in which their power had been the decisive factor. In 1981 the same condition prevails. The obfuscation of history, and the political distortions of the 1939-1945 period of war, still remain. Since nowhere in an accepted, conventional American history text can a realistic background of the Second World War be found, it is propitious for an understanding of the present that Instauration offer one:

Until 1914, England -- or Great Britain, or the British Empire -- and Germany had never been at war with one another. The dynastic interrelationship; the cultural ties; the sense of a common origin in early times; a shared racial pride; and similar academic and industrial institutions had made the thought of war between these two kindred, predominantly Nordic nations of Western Europe unlikely. A powerful and friendly Prussia at England’s back had largely made possible the development of the English-speaking family of nations, a vast colonial enterprise that Germans had aided mightily and wherein they had mingled extensively with their Northern European kinsmen. Without an amicable relationship with Prussia and its associated German states, England’s strength, which was projected westward to new dominions, would have had to be concentrated on the eastern watch and not on transatlantic expansion. The catastrophic First World War, precipitated by a misinterpreted, ambiguous communication on its intentions from the British Government to Imperial Germany, produced a condition in Europe, by the Armistice in 1918, that resembled a stage of the ultimately disastrous Pelo-
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ponnesian wars of ancient Greece. Germany and England were so weakened as to be subject to the financial and military influence of the United States, a possibility that H.L. Mencken had warned them about in 1916. The issue of the struggle had been decided by the interposition against the Central Powers of American manpower and resources in 1917 after Germany had defeated the largest country of the Triple Entente, Czarist Russia. However, even as the First World War ended, the seed of the Second World War had been sown by the creation of a Bolshevik regime in Russia at the very moment of its defeat. The refusal of the American Administration of Woodrow Wilson to support the efforts of England and France to throw out the Leninist government of Russia in 1919 led to the permanent retention of that vast nation by the Communists, a fact of diabolical portent. The new Soviet rulers soon revealed themselves as a power cult that used archaic Marxist theories to mask a vehement and continuous rearmament adjacent to the Republican Germany of the 1920s, which was forbidden an adequate self-defense by the Treaty of Versailles.

If the seizure of power by the Communists in Cuba and its attendant immediate arming caused alarm in the United States in 1960, one may ponder the apprehension engendered in disarmed Germany during the third decade of the 20th century by the intensive militarization of the newly organized Soviet Union, comprising a population four times larger than that of the Weimar Republic, particularly after Germany itself had narrowly escaped a Communist coup d'état in the Spartacist uprising of 1919. Throughout the 1920s and early 1930s, the democratic government of Germany proved itself unable to eliminate its economic weakness or prepare that country once again for its historic role as Western Europe’s bulwark against Spengler’s “immeasurable East.” The victorious Allies continued to hold Germany, in spite of the visible and energetic Soviet rearmament, to the disarmament clauses of the Treaty of Versailles and to neglect even their own defenses. In 1933 the Germans, noting the success in Italy of fascism in stemming the onrush of Communists, erected a similar political system. In the eyes of the German people, a liberal democracy was too weak a form of government to withstand the ruthlessly organized, totalitarian Communist legions. To face this tremendous, developing world force, which aimed at control of the geopolitical world land mass, Germany produced a native form of fascism equally ruthless, organized and totalitarian. This was, however, a reaction to an already existing menace. Without the threat of communism, Nazism could not have taken control of Germany. The Nazis borrowed much from the Communists in organizational tactics, then defeated them.
internally in Germany. The Second World War became inevitable as the Germans and the Soviets positioned themselves for “the final conflict.”

No evidence exists to show that German National Socialism opposed the white empires of Europe per se -- the British, French, Italian, Belgian, Dutch, Spanish and Portuguese groups of territories existing in 1933. Nor can it be perceived that German policy opposed the Japanese Empire as a point of political principle. Nazi Germany was ideologically aimed at the destruction of the Communist colossus in the East, and its 1939 pact with the Soviet Union was a deceptive tactic on both sides. Germany intended, however, to fight any powers that attempted to interfere with this primary purpose.

In the course of riveting dictatorial control on Germany, the Nazis of the Third Reich undertook official, publicized, generalized measures against the Jewish minority resident in Germany, in contrast to the secret, adroit and cunning anti-Jewish actions taken by the Stalin government in Russia. The Kremlin’s tactics were concealed and superficially ameliorated by Marxist doctrine directed at “elitists,” “who just happened to be Jewish.” Hitler’s anti-Jewish actions were openly racial and in their implications two factors became apparent: (1) They served to support Communist accusations of inhumanity in the German government; (2) They grievously underestimated Jewish world power and influence. Wealthy Jews outside Russia might take no umbrage at the assassination of a relative handful of Jews using communism in Russia as a tool for control; they could not ignore the significance of a doctrine that likened Jewish genes to bacteria and undertook repression of Jews as a group. The Nuremberg Laws proved to be a most egregious German political error of Realpolitik and it had an ominous historic parallel. Prior to A.D. 732, King Rodrigo of Spain had used the Jews of that country most harshly. This Gothic monarch’s actions were caused by a Jewish subversion of his barons and knights, which led to his severely punishing the Spanish Jews in their entirety. What Rodrigo did not take into prudent consideration was that Jews existed in a position of power within the North African Mohammedan Caliphate, with a military force far more numerous than his. Jews in the Court of the Caliph, with the cooperation of the hard-pressed Spanish Jews, spread the numbers and dispositions of the Visigothic army and reported to the Caliphate the political situation in Spain, where the orthodox Catholic population was in theological dispute with the ruling Goths, who were followers of the monk Arius, a heretic. The Moslem ruler, Abderrahman, informed and importuned by the North African Jews, became convinced that an Islamic invasion of Spain would be successful. In A.D. 732 the Mohammedans crossed the Straits of Gibraltar, caught the Christian force on the banks of the Guadalquivir, killed King Rodrigo, and annihilated his army and state. The ensuing Moorish occupation of Spain lasted for 760 years. The historic lesson was plain: Do not take measures against the Jews if other Jews are ensconced in a state stronger than your own and within striking distance. But, as the familiar adage goes, those who do not read history are condemned to repeat it. From 1933 to 1939 the government of the Third Reich continued a repression of the German Jews while very powerful and influential Jewish enclaves were positioned in not one, but three states larger in population, resources and potential military power than Germany. Jews in the United States, the British Empire and the Soviet Union, plus others in several smaller states, proceeded to conduct an all-encompassing campaign of hate and alarm against Germany. Great Britain, without the means to defend Poland, hastily signed a treaty to come to the aid of that nation, which contained a major proportion of the Jews of Europe, if it were invaded by a foreign power. An important segment of pre-World War I Germany had been assigned to Poland, with American approval, in 1919. No one would have needed the cloak of a seer to predict that a rearmed Germany would take it back at the first opportunity. This, after the advent of the German Fascist regime in 1933, could be foreseen as a disaster to the Jewish population of Poland. When in 1939 Poland was invaded by both Germany and the Soviet Union, the governments of Great Britain and France, with the overwhelming voting majority in these democracies opposed to going to war “for Danzig” (a German city given to Poland in 1919), declared war on Germany, but not on Communist Russia, which had invaded and taken the other half of Poland, as well as the Baltic States, Bessarabia (from Rumania), and part of Finland. Several years before, the Soviet Union had narrowly missed seizing Spain but had been prevented by Germany and Italy, an action that assured a reliable NATO base in later decades.

As a finishing touch, the Soviets exterminated, in the Katyn Forest in Russia, most of the imprisoned Polish Army officer corps. There then followed a period when the Germans gave evidence of wanting no war with either the British or French. From September 1939 to May 1940, they made no attack on France while the build-up of Allied forces continued. Four of the seven European empires mentioned previously entertained no alarm at the probability of the conflict introducing American or Soviet military power into Western European affairs, which would certainly spell the removal of their worldwide possessions. The overwhelming fear of the British, French, Belgian and Dutch Empires was centered on Germany, which never in fact opposed their imperial states. On the other hand, the Italian, Spanish and Portuguese Empires entertained no such fear and were allied to, sympathetic with, or neutral toward Germany. The American government, far removed geographically, evinced no apprehension of what a triumphant Soviet Union would do to the continent of Europe in the event of the destruction of Germany as an integral nation, but only on the problem arising from a triumphant Germany. To give some idea of what the Roosevelt Administration in Washington either did not know (in which case its ignorance verged on criminal neglect) or did know and ignored (in which case it was guilty of criminal complicity), Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn may be quoted (From Under the Rubble, 1975, p. 118):

“...No country in the twentieth century has suffered like ours, which within its borders has destroyed as many as 70,000,000 people over and above those lost in the world wars -- no one in modern history has experienced such destruction.

Neither American, French, nor British foreign policy at any time took note of this titanic internal Russian slaughter. The
student of political science may well ask why. It is certainly one of the most curious bits of political absent-mindedness on record. Why would U.S. fears be concentrated on the German reaction to a menace rather than on the menace that caused the reaction? A possible reason is circumstantial, i.e. that the U.S. had the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans between itself and Russia, and the British and French had Germany. Yet how can such a cold-blooded attitude be explained in view of previous U.S. expressions of outrage over abused Cubans in 1898 or the Turkish massacres of Armenians in 1915? The ruminations of Freud, Adler and Krafft-Ebing would be taxed to explain this psychological aberration. The chief perpetrator of these hideous mass annihilations of human beings inside the Soviet Union, unprecedented slaughters unknown in history since the Mongol Khans of the 13th century, became affectionately known in Washington as “Uncle Joe.”

As the tide of battle in the East swung heavily against the Russian Communist giant in 1941, American alarm rose to fever pitch -- in the news media, if not the electorate. Masses of war materiel moved from the U.S. and Britain to prop up the sagging Russian armies. But, as in England and France, with over 90% of the American voters opposed to war with Germany, there was a problem. The Roosevelt Administration, in anguish after its undeclared war on the German Navy in the Atlantic had failed to provoke a declaration of war by Germany, appeared to seek an entry into the European struggle “by the back door,” as it was phrased. Japan was a member of the Anti-Comintern alliance and was led by a clique of Army officers far removed from reality in their assessment of potential American military and naval strength. It has never been proven that the U.S. battleship division at Pearl Harbor was used as bait for a Japanese air strike. The accepted explanation that the parking of these nearly obsolescent warships in crowded Kaneohe Bay was to “deter” the Japanese from their conquest of China and penetration of French Indochina, however, does not hold up to close scrutiny. Obviously the battleships were moored there for some other purpose. The utility value of the Pacific Fleet to deter Japan was nil and was so recognized in 1941.

The destruction of Germany and Japan as independent nations left the Soviet Union in 1945 the undisputed geopolitical master of Haushofer’s planetary land mass. Western Europe appeared on the map, with the great march-away of most U.S. forces, as a peninsular appendage on a primarily Communist Eurasian supercontinent. Russian military forces that had been struggling with great difficulty to hold onto the suburbs of Moscow in late 1941 were, by the 1960s, going through their exercises 90 miles off the U.S. coast. Africa had been thoroughly penetrated. Central America and the Caribbean were under siege. The Middle East, where most of American oil reserves are found, was being stealthily surrounded. The two firmest supports of American foreign policy in the world, Germany and Japan, had become in the 1970s what they could have been in the 1940s. In the Mediterranean, Spain, which had been retained within the Western sphere by the efforts of Hitler and Mussolini, was being undermined internally by continuous U.S. pressure to change its anti-Communist attitude. Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Poland, half of Germany, part of Finland, Czechoslovakia, Romania, Bulgaria, Outer Mongolia, Sakhalin, North Korea, Afghanistan, Ethiopia, Angola, Cuba, Nicaragua, Cambodia, North Vietnam, South Vietnam and Laos have passed into the Soviet orbit. There were near misses in Guatemala, Greece, Yugoslavia, Albania, South Korea, Indonesia, Malaysia and Kenya. Rhodesia, El Salvador and various newly independent Caribbean islands are in process. (And Germany had World War II forced upon it because it invaded Poland!)

Internally in the United States, the white race, like the external world, is tottering under the crenitous blows of the Liberal-Minority Coalition. We may close with a question: Is there any light at the hitherto dark end of the Liberal-Minority tunnel? We think there is. All governments, movements, empires, societies and ideologies have crumbled before the primal issue of race. None has survived its undermining influence. The vast Communist bloc, built up largely under the aegis of the U.S. Liberal-Minority Coalition, has already split asunder under the fatal impact of divisive ethnics as the Russian and Chinese face each other in Central Asia. To paraphrase Marx himself, the Liberal-Minority Coalition and communism bear within themselves the seeds of their own destruction. All that is needed is time.

Ponderable Quotes

We have enthusiasts for power systems that don't exist or that will be prohibitively expensive for years to come. We have antinuclear stormtroopers, who seek to shut down the nation's nuclear plants that were our salvation in recent winters.

Anthony Harrigan
Executive Vice President
United States Industrial Council

I think most environmentalists are long on winning skirmishes and battles over this or that pesticide, river or wilderness area, but short on winning the war to preserve our planet from the ultimate destruction resulting from overpopulation.

Lee W. Miller
Racial quotas are popping up in serious music

DO YOU HAVE TO BE BLACK TO PLAY MOZART?

Recently Leonard Bernstein took time off from calling for America's unilateral disarmament and accusing the FBI of lying about his radical chic cocktail party for the Black Panthers to make an impassioned speech against the growing apathy of orchestral musicians. Bernstein wants to return to the days of the dictatorial conductors -- Koussevitzky, Szell and Stokowski. It is only a coincidence, of course, that all of the foregoing are of the same race as "Lenny Baby."

Composer and conductor Gunther Schuller lays the blame for the apathy of orchestral musicians on the increasing "union mentality" of musicians. "Consider the spectacle of musicians getting up from their chairs," Schuller writes, "and walking off the stage in the middle of a phrase or even in the middle of a note because the clock has struck 4:30 or 5:00."

An example of union mentality was furnished by last year's strike at the Metropolitan Opera. The major issue was a reduction in the number of performances per week, from five to four. This demand would have necessitated the hiring of so many new musicians that Executive Director Anthony Bliss said simply, "We will not be able to afford it." As he pressed his case, I. Philip Sipser, the negotiator for the musicians, explained the union's position:

When I came into this field 10 years ago musicians' salaries were pitifully low; a top-flight player was earning $10-12,000 a year. In order to achieve a decent annual salary, there was a drive for a 52-week season. But when they finally got that, they found it was not so heavenly. They had attained a decent contract, but the demands on their artistry were so heavy that many of them suffered from severe physical and psychological problems. There is a desire on the part of musicians to express themselves in the arts without the crush and tension of constant performances.

To which music director James Levine replied:

We all would love for some of the pressure to be taken off our schedules. But all work has a certain amount of pressure attached to it.

Levine himself has come under attack for his performance as music director of the Metropolitan. In a Saturday Review article on the problems at America's top opera house, music critic Irving Kolodin writes:

While Levine as music director and de facto artistic director has brought about some welcome variety in the Met's repertory, he has failed to forge the company into a cohesive ensemble, has neglected, alienated or misused some of today's ablest singers, and has allowed his ambitions as conductor to cloud his judgement. Consequently, the Met today has too few resident artists, a handful of exceptional secondary singers, and an overabundance of guest performers. One of the glories of the world musical scene, the Met may be on the verge of becoming a second-rate opera company.

Dissatisfaction with the Metropolitan is shown by the attendance figures. According to the New York Times:

Over the past three years there has been a steady slight slippage in attendance, from 96.3 percent in 1977-78 to 94.2 percent last year and 90.6 this year. The Met chooses to interpret this loss as reflecting "a certain little resistance," in Mr. Taplin's [president of the Met Board, Frank Taplin] words, to ever higher ticket prices, rather than as a public rejection of the company's artistic offerings."

Last year's strike has further eroded support for the Met, as patrons have turned to the New York City Opera, whose "ticket sales have soared from 8 or 9 percent over last year," according to Newsweek. Some of this success has been attributed to Beverly Sills (Silberman), who has replaced Julius Rudel as music director of the New York City Opera.

With our symphony orchestras and opera companies becoming museums rather than vital cultural institutions, the lack of public interest is being felt nationwide. In an attempt to stir up attention, some orchestras have turned to hiring black conductors and performers. Negro Calvin Simmons has been appointed music director of the Oakland Symphony Orchestra, the kind of job rarely given to one so young. High Fidelity comments:

He is one of the very few black conductors ever to be chosen for an important symphony post. And this, of course, has given rise to speculation that Oakland might have picked him to help attract the city's large black population, or to improve the orchestra's chances for foundation grants. The fact is that the Oakland people offered him the job because they regard him as a remarkably talented conductor.

If anyone believes that, he must also believe that Beethoven was an "Afro-European."

That a quota system exists in the music world was freely admitted by black conductor James DePristo of the Quebec INSTAURA...
The Buffalo Courier-Express asked Ruth Spero, financial manager of the Buffalo Philharmonic, whether the orchestra should engage black instrumentalists over equally capable whites. Spero, who, the paper noted, “knows something about the art of securing government subsidies and foundation grants,” said she “believes the orchestra should go out of its way to hire qualified instrumentalists who are black. And it should hire them because they are black.” She then proceeded to add the ritualistic cop-out, ”everything else being equal.” As part of this policy, three fellowships were established by the orchestra in which instrumentalists would serve an apprenticeship with the orchestra. Financial support would come from three sources: The National Endowment for the Arts, a foundation or corporation, and the orchestra itself. The Fellowships are strictly racist in that they are limited to blacks.

Western music can only be performed and understood by members of the same race that created the music. That is the real dilemma in the music world today. When Leonard Bernstein notes that there have been very few great orchestral works written since World War II, he is pointing to the symptom, not the disease. Bernstein, presumably, would prefer to forget that his race inherited a vital cultural heritage when it took over Western music. Jewish instrumentalists and conductors, although they represent a monopoly which is hard for a Majority musician to crash, have contributed something to musical performances. But the composing of music comes before the playing, and here Jews are at a complete loss -- a loss which is burying serious Western music in the graveyard already dug by the likes of Schönberg, Milhaud and Gershwin.

The Reagan administration is settling in. Despite the opposition of the Senate’s only two Greeks, Paul Sarbanes and Paul Tsongas, Alexander Haig was confirmed and proceeded to mutilate the English language in a style reminiscent of Eisenhower. He couldn’t give the Senate committee his ideas about Central America “because I haven’t had a chance to steep myself.” William P. Clark, who doesn’t or didn’t know that Mugabe had taken over Rhodesia, was appointed deputy secretary of state, not by Haig, but by Reagan. For most other appointments Haig was given carte blanche. His chief of staff is Sherwood (Woody) Goldberg, a lawyer. His special assistant is Dr. David Korn, whose main interest in foreign policy is getting Jews out of the Soviet Union. Another whisperer in Haig’s ear is Dr. Harvey Sicherman, “a Middle East expert.”

Haig and Weinberger, the totally unqualified minority secretaries of defense, have surrounded themselves with all the old Kissinger hand-me-downs like Lawrence Eagleburger, Robert D. Hormats, Myer Rashish, Paul D. Wolfowitz, Joseph Churba, Edward Luttwak, Uri Raanan and David Abshire. John F. Lehman, Jr. is the new secretary of the navy. Murray Weidenbaum, a Milton Friedman freak, new chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, will be running our economy, in tandem with Donald Regan, the new secretary of the treasury, who contributed $1,000 to Carter’s reelection campaign.

Churba, as we have already mentioned in Instauration, lost his security clearance for leaking secrets to Israel. Luttwak is a Zionist warmonger who carries an Israeli passport. Raanan was once the Israeli consul in New York City. Abshire, another hot-rod Zionist, heads the madly pro-Semitic think tank known as the Georgetown University Center for Strategy and International Studies. Democratic party stalwart Jean Kirkpatrick, the new ambassador to the United Nations, was probably chosen for her job because, as William Safire put it, she “was the strongest voice of support for Israel” at last fall’s meeting of the Coalition for a Democratic Majority.

Terrel Bell, the new secretary of education, was one of those responsible for creating the department that Reagan has promised to dissolve. Bell’s appointment was hailed by the National Education Association, which supported Carter with the same fervor the Sandinistas support Castro. The withdrawal of the federal government from bilingual education struck an optimistic note, but it will soon run up against a previous Supreme Court ruling to the contrary. Moreover, the states’ role in teaching illegal and legal immigrants in their native language will not be affected. Also, liberal opinion is split on the matter. Some feel that bilingualism may seriously delay the great dream of one world, one culture, one race, one language and, yes, one sex.

Immigration reform is hardly going to make much progress when race-blind Father Theodore Hesburgh of Notre Dame heads the commission in charge of recommending change. Nor is there likely to be any halt to the stream of human traffic across the southern border when our new president has stated the U.S. should “document the undocumented workers and make them legal coming into our country... for whatever length of time they want to stay.”

To help fill the 3,000 unfilled slots in the federal bureaucracy under his control (out of the nearly 3 million filled slots), Reagan asked Hosea Williams and Rev. Ralph Abernathy for suggestions. Since the average IQ of bureaucrats is already far below the level necessary for the intelligent direction of history’s most complicated administrative mess, the Negroes
A politically hip Instaurationist advises us to

**PREPARE TO SCORE IN ’84**

The 1980 primary victories of right-wing extremists -- Metzger in California and Carlson in Michigan -- show that the time is ripe for the Majority activists to field a candidate for national office.

Even though Metzger and Carlson failed in their attempts to unseat entrenched incumbents, they did manage to win their parties’ nominations for House seats -- not inconsiderable achievements. Carlson garnered more than 52,000 votes -- double the percentage any Republican candidate has been able to score against the district’s long-ensconced Democrat in almost 20 years.

That Metzger ran as a Democrat and Carlson as a Republican demonstrates how little difference there is in the two parties, both of which reacted with horror, fear and loathing and immediately disowned and denounced their nominees. Though Republicans and Democrats boast of the broad appeal of their parties and the need to broaden it further, some viewpoints are streng verboten.

Under present federal campaign laws Majority activists can run a pro-white presidential candidate in 1984 and conceivably force the federal government to foot half the bill.

Before the reader dismisses the idea as an exercise in fantasy, he should recall that in 1976 anti-abortionist Ellen McCormack did precisely that. In 1980 U.S. Labor party boss Lyndon LaRouche qualified for federal matching funding as a Democrat and collected more than half a million dollars to support his campaign -- even though his accumulated vote could be tallied on a small, antique, hand-cranked adding machine.

We are not proposing a John Anderson or George Wallace third-party campaign. We recommend the Metzger-Carlson plan of running within the existing parties and playing by the rules. This is an absolute must since federal campaign laws have been carefully written to insure that there will never be a successful third party. George Wallace’s 13% showing in the 1968 election could probably never be duplicated under present campaign financing laws. And the possibility of a third party candidate ever winning the presidency is about zero.

The Federal Election Commission rules on matching funds are simple. Any major party candidate running in at least two states becomes eligible simply by raising $5,000, in contributions of $250 or less, in each of 20 states. The Feds will then generously match that $100,000 and any other contributions (up to a $250 per donor limit) dollar for dollar. The government green will continue to roll in until the qualified candidate fails to obtain at least 10% of the vote in two consecutive primaries.

Our candidate should not run in every primary. Instead, we would concentrate our money in those early-voting states which are potentially the most receptive to our message -- states with racial problems, with large, dissatisfied and even frightened numbers of whites who have moved out of the cities and are now threatened by the invasion of their suburbs -- states where millions of whites have been trapped in the cities and cannot get out -- states where busing, affirmative action and other federal nightmares are still important and immediate issues.

This will not, of course, be a campaign to win the presidency. Its purpose will be to get across a message -- the message of the Dispossessed Majority. Unlike Wallace, we are not interested in sending our message to Washington. We want to get our ideas across in Florida or Pennsylvania or whichever states we decide to target.

The primary dates in various states change with each election season. We should select our states well in advance and concentrate on campaigning there -- with an eye to the national publicity which always surrounds any “different” type of campaign during the early primaries.

The proliferation of “super-stations” in the TV medium -- WGN in Chicago and WTBS in Atlanta, for instance -- will make the spreading of our Majority gospel even easier and more cost effective by permitting us to cover the nation with our message while running in only a few states. WTBS, for example, is currently available to 9 million homes in 43 states via cable TV. By 1984 as much as a quarter of the entire American population may be able to receive a single broadcast from that station.

Jimmy Carter’s 1976 slogan, “Why Not the Best?”, must apply to our candidate, who must be our absolute best: articulate, bold, personable, dedicated, able and willing to devote his full time and energy to the campaign. He must, and this cannot be stressed too strongly, be believable, mature, with a charming wife and family, a successful career. Most importantly, he must be someone who is completely knowledgeable about our beliefs, totally dedicated to them and able to communicate effectively to a large range of people. He should be someone who, like Ronald Reagan, is easy to understand, agree with and follow.

Ideally, we could possibly find a present or former congressman or governor who might be interested. He would have to

"Stars of David" to the "markers bearing crosses" reference in his Inaugural Address. Even chief speechwriter Ken Khachigan was surprised.
be "one of us," through and through. Though his candidacy would not be advantageous to any career he might hope to have as a "respectable" politician in the future, he cannot be a repudiated, washed-up "loser" who has nothing further to lose by running with us.

If we can find such a man who believes as we believe, who places principle over his personal career and is willing to strike a blow for our race, the battle is already half won.

Should "our man" run as a Republican or a Democrat? A practical and pragmatic decision will have to be made after we see who else is entering the race on each side.

In its November 10, 1980, issue, U.S. News & World Report declared that the "consensus" among "political analysts" is that there will be a race between Ted Kennedy and Walter Mondale on the Democratic side and George Bush (the incumbent by then) and Jack Kemp for the Republicans.

In a primary race between Mondale and Kennedy, our man would clearly be the only decent candidate and would undoubtedly pick up many conservative votes, even from those who would violently disagree with our position on race. In a primary between two or more Republicans who have already betrayed (once again) the conservative cause, our candidate ought to do equally well.

We have people who can write speeches, people who can handle media, people who can develop and carry out the strategies necessary to make a political operation successful and to get our message across. Every single committed Majority activist can participate -- by handing out literature, stuffing envelopes, and, most of all, by giving money to help reach the $5,000 threshold in the necessary 20 states.

If each committed Majority activist were to begin now putting aside just $5 a month, each would be able to make a contribution of more than $150 to the 1984 campaign. It would only take 34 such contributors in each of the 20 states to put us on the federal gravy train.

There are those who will say this is thinking too big, that we shouldn't or aren't ready to try something on such a grand scale. There will be many who will say it won't do any good, and that we shouldn't even try.

They should be reminded that our admitted goal is the preservation and redemption of an entire race -- a moribund race which is under attack from every corner of the globe, from within and without.

Plainly, this can be a great opportunity. Too long have Majority activists talked only to one another through hundreds of mimeographed tracts and in thousands of meetings at which nothing was accomplished. We have already spent too much time proselytizing the faithful.

The 1984 election is our chance to reach those millions -- and do it with Uncle Sam's money. This is our chance to meld Instaurationists and the rest of the intelligent, rational, radical right into a cohesive, powerful working force that will go out and make the converts necessary to win the victory that counts.

It is time we became something more than a group of disorganized, dissatisfied people with the same complaints, endlessly echoing those complaints back and forth among ourselves.

Action or apathy?
The choice is ours.

---

Hostage Wrap-up

The return of the 52 hostages, in spite of the almost unbearable media hoopla, closed another shameful chapter in the loose-leaf history tentatively titled The Decline and Fall of the United States.

The hostages themselves were puppets entangled in the diplomatic blundering of the Carter Administration and Carter's characteristic wobbly leadership style. In December 1978, when the voice of the Ayatullah was first heard in the Shah's land, General Motors ordered the American employee in its Iranian assembly plant home. Yet Carter, although specifically warned what would happen if he let the Shah into the U.S. and although the Iranians had already staged a dry-run takeover of the American Embassy in Tehran, blithely invited the former occupant of the Peacock Throne to these shores while leaving the embassy full of personnel.

The release of the women hostages (save two alleged spies) was chivalrous. The release of the blacks (save one alleged spy) was racist and demonstrated how affirmative action has permeated American international relations. The rescue attempt eight months too late was to be expected from a president who took his foreign policy cues from the New York Times.

If the foreign service had not become as big a bureaucratic zoo as the other government departments, only a few Americans would have been seized. Why 50 or more diplomatic personnel in a country like Iran? What do they do all day? Give close-order drills to the Marine guards?

We can guess what hostage Jerry Plotkin was doing, though the news about his prison record was withheld for more than a year. Though he had pleaded guilty in 1966 to a marijuana-smuggling charge and had served two years of a five-year sentence, the media insisted on calling him a "businessman" who just happened to be in the embassy when the ragtag students clambered over the wall. Clark Wardle, an official in the narcotics division of the Los Angeles police, disagreed with this occupational classification. Wardle described Plotkin as a "heavyweight in cocaine and some heroin dealing."

As for the "53rd hostage," that Dwyer woman from Buffalo, what was she doing in Tehran in the first place? If she wasn't a spy, she certainly was a child abandoner. She left three children to fend for themselves at home while she was adventuring about in the Ayatullah's backyard.

It took more than 444 days for the Plotkin story to get out. How long will it be before we will find out about the secret clauses of Carter's deal with the Iranians? We will bet a year's subscription to Instauration that America gave much more than the White House is willing to admit.
The Hoax of All the Centuries

With all due deference to Arthur Butz, the hoax of the 20th century, or any other century, is not the Holocaust, which in essence is a hyperinflated piece of long-lived war propaganda designed to lure many millions of pieces of silver into the yawning coffers of Israel. Nations which cannot earn shekels on their own must be subsidized with shekels. No, the real hoax is the equalitarian hoax, the hoax of hoaxes, the universal lie that there are no differences in racial intelligence.

Anyone with an ounce of gray matter knows equalitarianism is woven out of whole cloth, yet our biggest and heaviest brains repeat and hammer out the lie until it has become gospel. Only a few valiant heretics dare to question it. One such is Lloyd G. Humphreys, professor of education and psychology at the University of Illinois. With only a modicum of the usual backtracking and apologetics, Professor Humphreys briefly summarizes how the case for racial differences in intelligence and scholastic achievement stands today (see The Humanist, July-Aug. 1980).

Humphreys posits that the true amount of the genetic contribution to human intelligence (which can only be inferred from behavior) lies somewhere between 20 and 80%. As for the differences between black and white mentality, the average white six-year-old has a mental age of six; the average black six-year-old a mental age of five. At age 12 the average white has a mental age of 10; the average black a mental age of 10. Humphreys comments:

Note that, at the end of the twelfth year in public schools, the deficit is not merely in scores on intelligence tests. The deficit is of equal magnitude in knowledge of the basics in English composition. In both visual and oral comprehension of English. In basic arithmetic as well as in elementary mathematics, and in knowledge of science, social studies, and the mechanical arts. One-half of black eighteen-year-olds after twelve years of public school have an achievement level in these basic skills below the ninth year of school, or fifteen-year-old level.

These deficiencies have been -- and still are -- blamed on the low state of black education. But Humphreys explains, "By the mid-sixties there were no appreciable differences nationwide in the quality of white and black schools."

As for other minorities, American Orientals score at the white level, while Amerindians score about as high in the first school year, but then drop back a little. Amerindians score higher than blacks even at the twelfth grade level, even though Indians are much more disadvantaged than blacks in income, housing and life expectancy. Moreover, "the white majority at each level of socioeconomic status also scores higher than its black counterpart." Even more distressing, IQ tests overestimate educational performance for blacks and underestimate white performance.

There is an additional problem with high IQ blacks. In obedience to "regression to the mean," a law of intelligence averaging, the IQs of the descendants of intelligent blacks regress to 85 while the IQs of the descendants of intelligent whites regress to 100. "High ability," adds Humphreys, "dissipates more quickly from generation to generation than large fortunes."

Humphreys does not confine his remarks to standard IQ or achievement tests.

Differences as large or larger are found in nonverbal tests of intelligence. Measures of mechanical information and comprehension that are not well represented in standard tests of intelligence show larger race differences than do verbal tests of intelligence.

What will be the outcome of continuing to ignore these demonstrable truths? Surely more racial friction, more bitterness from blacks who realize their underachievement in an age of high technology lies in themselves and is not the result of a white conspiracy. Surely an equal growth of bitterness in whites as they see less qualified Negroses taking their jobs.

The continuing fall in the national intelligence level will also have far-reaching effects in America's defense capabilities, American trade balances, American productivity and in the quality of American statesmanship. Dumb, lazy, wasteful countries can hardly compete with intelligent, industrious countries. Meanwhile, the vast quantity of envy and hatred which the equalitarian lie has engendered will undoubtedly grow and swell to where the present day sporadic racial skirmishing evolves into an all-out racial conflict.

What else can we expect from a lie so horridous it could only spring from the darker recesses of the human soul? This lie that eats at the brain and ravages the spirit. This lie of all lies. This lie against nature.

Nova Progenies

I have dreamt of a New Man. The New Man is not a Marxist dream-creature, a product solely of environment, whose station in life is only to help produce a yet more perfect environment. Nor is he some kind of biological/racial super-goodie (beautiful though that may be). He is exclusively neither of those materialisms. He is a New Man with a New Ethic. He is, first of all and above all, aware of who he is, what he belongs to. This means he knows also who he is not, what he does not belong to. He is not a member of a "human race" that does not exist, but of one of the races making up humanity -- races which lead to it the color and variety that make this world so fascinating. He is strong, proud. He knows beauty and loves it. He knows fairness and seeks to be fair and just -- toward all. He is kind and compassionate. He is something high, a man. And he is a ruthless animal. When he or his kind are threatened, he will spring upon the Enemy with a ferocity unmatched by any lower beast. Not cruelty, but swift destruction shall be his purpose. Respecting all life, he nonetheless respects his and his kind's most of all, and is ready to cast aside immediately the "humane" accouterments of "civilization" (that risk-filled phenomenon) in defense of his existence. He is, then, a dual creature: warm and cold, kind and terrible. He is a survivor -- not just for survival's sake -- but to live on -- forever, higher. He knows that he is not worthy of survival unless he fights -- and he knows that it is not he himself who ultimately makes this judgment, but Nature and History.
The Genetics of Inflation

Inflation is the economic disease that strikes when productivity falls to keep pace with consumption. America, whose people have been spoiled for so long by high living standards, is undergoing a racial change which is depleting the industriousness and inventiveness that made this high living standard possible. Since this racial transformation -- from Northern European to Southern European, from white to yellow, black and brown -- is accelerating, inflation is bound to continue and increase until the demand for goods and services falls off sharply. This fall-off can occur gradually and voluntarily by a resigned acceptance of the situation (a shift in popular psychology away from consumerism, materialism and hedonism) or as the result of an imposed rationing of goods by an authoritative regime which controls both production and distribution. Rationing brings with it shortages, long lines and black markets. Black markets are inflationary in the sense they will raise the price of all but the most essential goods.

Cutting taxes and maintaining high interest rates are economic placebos. They may dampen spiraling costs, they may temporarily contain the disease by lowering the fever, but they cannot and will not cure it because they are directed at the effects of inflation, not at its causes. Cutting taxes without cutting spending can be wildly inflationary. If the Republicans take this road, they will prove to be as unprincipled and financially irresponsible as the Democrats.

A balanced budget is only a partial brake on rising prices. It reduces demand by reducing spending, which is tantamount to reducing living standards. But the more serious part of the problem remains untouched -- the decrease in productivity, aggravated by an annual growth in population. The only real solution is biological. To raise production it is necessary to increase the number of producers or lower the number of nonproducers. A more utopian solution would be to breed a higher grade of producer in order to increase inventiveness, which could then meet demand by new marvels of automation.

There will be ups and downs in the inflation rate because there are ups and downs in every economy, whether growing or declining. But no matter what the economists propose, no matter what the White House promises, no matter what financial measures Congress approves, inflation is here to stay. It would take a near revolution to enact laws to end it. The people who turned America into a garden of plenty are now being replaced by people who want bountiful harvests but no longer have the will and skill to prepare the soil.

Inflation is gimmee-genes replacing workgenes, of lower IQs replacing higher IQs. Every diagnosis of inflation but this has been touted by the economists and politicians. Consequently, every means of ending inflation has been or will be tried except the correct one. All has been to no avail. All will be to no avail. When the diagnosis and the cure are both taboo, the malady will persist.

Klan Mania

Two somewhat contradictory themes ran almost simultaneously in the Los Angeles Times a while back. First came a rather amicable report on the war games of the Jewish Defense League. Accompanied by photos of male and female teenagers aiming semi-automatic guns at cardboard Nazis was a vignette of a girl "whose determined grimaces turned into a wide satisfied grin" as she blasted away. Mark Stein, the Times reporter, explained that he had been assured that the JDL's organization was not paramilitary, though similar operations by the Klan were "unabashedly military." This bit of logic-chopping seemed to impress the California attorney general, who announced in a splash of black headlines he was looking into the mushrooming armed cults and Ku Klux Klan groups. He pointedly announced, however, that he was not looking into the JDL.

Bill Wilkinson, the country's top Klansman, was thrown out of a congressional hearing when he objected to gruesome tales of Klan devilry recounted by minority witnesses. The congressmen were only interested in hearing one side of the story.

Justice was served. The Klansman's lawyer, Morris Dees, joined with Julian Bond in organizing something called the Klans Watch with money from the Southern Poverty Law Center, which gets some of its money from government. It was announced the group would use the same spooky techniques that Simon Wiesenthal developed into a fine art in his anti-Nazi dragnets. Dees' first move was to sue the Klan in Alabama for $1 million in damages.

To top off the anti-Klan razzia, a reporter named James Thompson produced a series of newspaper articles that "told all" about his horrible experiences as a Klans infiltrator. Thompson had been given the assignment by John Seigenthaler, the publisher of the Nashville Tennessean. "I felt myself submerged in a cauldron of racism and intolerance . . . . With a sense of guilt I would find myself engaging in the same sort of 'nigger and Jew' talk that offends decency," Unfortuantly for Thompson's hungry wallet, his revelations were published at a time when the country was so awash with anti-Klan propaganda they hardly made a ripple.
Religious Tidings

Since one of the firmest pillars of modern liberalism and egalitarianism is Protestant Christianity, we publish the glad tidings about shrinking church membership (see chart).

It should be noted that all of the leading Protestant denominations listed, only the Southern Baptists (hardshell Bible Beltists) gained in both numbers of churches and membership, and only the Methodists gained in number of churches, while losing members. The Disciples of Christ, which gave Rev. Jim Jones his start, suffered the sharpest loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>Number of Churches</th>
<th>Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Baptist Convention</td>
<td>6,117&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,538,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Baptist Convention</td>
<td>33,926</td>
<td>10,947,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Lutheran Church</td>
<td>4,899</td>
<td>2,566,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disciples of Christ</td>
<td>8,066</td>
<td>1,894,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episcopal Church</td>
<td>8,968</td>
<td>3,298,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Church</td>
<td>5,860</td>
<td>3,147,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Methodist Church</td>
<td>37,603</td>
<td>10,310,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian Church</td>
<td>9,002&lt;sup&gt;(b)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3,298,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Church of Christ</td>
<td>6,945&lt;sup&gt;(b)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,063,481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>(a)</sup> figures for 1969  
<sup>(b)</sup> figures for 1966  
<sup>(c)</sup> figures for 1979

Crime Almanac

They were whooping it up in a gay saloon in Greenwich Village when Robert Crumpley arrived with a made-in-Israel Uzi machine gun. He let the barflies have it. He then proceeded to another gay bar where he repeated the performance. Altogether two homos bit the dust, never to rise again. Six others were hospitalized. Crumpley has a reputation for disliking men with limp wrists. In the vernacular, he is what is called a fag-basher.

Dorothy Woods owns a Rolls-Royce, a Mercedes and a Cadillac, which she stables in her fashionable home in Pasadena, California. Mrs. Woods, 38, also owns 100 to 200 apartments in Long Beach, various residences in Chicago and southern California, two of which are worth more than $200,000 each. Mrs. Woods has been collecting welfare and food stamps for 38 nonexistent children at the rate of $5,162 per month. She is believed to have siphoned off some $300,000 from the public till over a period of seven years. This amount makes her America's new Welfare Queen. The old queen was Barbara Williams, another Californian, who only stole $239,587. Mrs. Williams was a mulatto. Mrs. Woods's race was carefully unspecified.

Five whites were stabbed, one fatally, near New York's Bellevue Hospital in a period of a few hours last November. The knife-wielder, who got away, was black. In December four men were stabbed to death in New York streets between 3:30 PM and 11:50 PM in a sixteen-block area. Three of those killed were black, the fourth was Hispanic. A white man is being sought.
The following poem was sent to Instauration with no identification of the author or sender. The letter bore only the request, "If these verses from the Book of the Stars catch your dream, share them with like spirits." They did, so we will.

MARK THESE WORDS, SAID THE OLD WOMAN, AND PLACE THEM IN YOUR BOOK OF THE STARS, FOR WHAT THIS STRANGER HAS WRITTEN IS TRUTH:

We are made of the stuff of Stars... We have lived out our lives amid the Stars, and our charter to explore the Stars is none other than the mysterious toilings of the systems of Stars that have lent us Life.

The Starships we hope to bequeath our great-grandchildren are not solely vehicles for leaving home; they are for going home.

AGAIN THE OLD WOMAN SPOKE, AND THIS TIME SHE SAID:

I walked the streets of a great city and my heart was sore, for on every side did I see the works of man and of woman suffer, and I saw that entropy was working its will on the tall buildings and on the cottages and on the walkways and on the roadways. And the winds blew filth hither and yon and decay was all about.

And yet I heard the people of this great city say, "I am not to blame." And I heard them demand that taxes be levied throughout the land and workers be paid thereby to halt the toll wrought by entropy.

And I thought: do these people not know that each individual life is battle against this same entropy within and on all sides of itself. And that rust will rot tools uncared for and bodies and minds will go slack and even our Starships will decay.

THERE CAME A MAN TO THE HEARTH OF OUR STAR FAMILY AND THE MAN SPOKE THIS WARNING:

There walks among us in the land a new breed of merchant and this new breed of merchant sells fear of the works of humankind.

But I say to you: Beware! Think on this question: What is it you truly buy from this merchant? And in what coin does this merchant profit?

And ask yourself: Will you or your children thereby reach the Stars?

AND THE YOUNG WOMAN SPOKE TO THE OLD WOMAN AND SHE SAID:

What say you, Old Woman of the Stars, of those who would build isles in the Heavens circling this earth of ours?

Is such a goal worthy of the Star Families who seek their future in worlds far beyond this earth?

AND THE OLD WOMAN ANSWERED THE YOUNG WOMAN AND SHE SAID:

Is not the first step that is taken by the crawling babe worthy?

Just so will the men and the women and the children of the first Star Families be counted among those who build and live in isles in the heavens circling this earth of ours.

And thereby begin to learn the ways of life and of living necessary to the long voyage through Space and through Time which lie in their future.

THE YOUNG MAN CRIED OUT:

Hear Me! Each man and each woman and each child of this family hear me! One full turn of this Earth about our sun has passed since last you did renew your oath to this Family and to its voyage through Space and through Time to our new home.

Tonight beneath the Stars we say our final vows of renewal to this Family and to the course that it chooses.

For one turn hence this Family will leave this Earth And each one should ken, Does his heart and his will and his love and his courage say "Go forth among the Stars with this Family?"

And if this be not true for you, then stay. We bless you but do not burden us in our mission to the Stars.
THE ELDERS HAD DECLARED
NIGHTFALL ON THE STARSHIP.
WITH THE STAR FAMILY
ON COURSE,
A YOUNG WOMAN
SANG TO HER FIRST BORN:

Will ever you know
green trees
and dark clouds
and warm rain,
firstling?

Will ever you know
four seasons
of the salt
spray of the sea,
firstling?

Will ever you know
city of man
and woman
or the neigh
of horse?

But you will learn,
with us the Stars
and the great voids
that lie between
and the wonder thereof.

AND THE OLD WOMAN
WHO BEGAN THE
BOOK OF THE STARS
SPOKE:

... The time
for this earth
draws to an ending
and the time
for the Stars begins!

For, hear me:

If the greedy man
can profit,
he shall not
oppose the Stars.

If the faithless
are witness to success,
they may
borrow conviction.

The slothful care not
if you seek the Stars
or stay abed.

And the fearful
will follow paths
worn into safety
by the sacrifice
of pioneers.

But the envious
lust after destruction
and will rouse
greed in the greedy
and doubt
among the faithless

and cowardice
among the fearful
and carelessness
among the slothful.

Thereby to destroy
your mission to the Stars.

AND THE YOUNG MAN
AND THE YOUNG WOMAN
WHO WOULD PLANT THE SEED
SUGHT THE OLD WOMAN
AND THEY ASKED OF HER:

Should we declare
our Union Before the Stars
To all of the people
and to all of the nations
of this earth.

Or should we vow silence?

AND THE OLD WOMAN ANSWERED:

There have been
and there are
and so there will be
those times
and those places
and those nations
and those peoples
and, yes, those Caesars

Who would be concerned not
with your Union
nor yet with the growth
of your House of the Stars
even when other young men
and other young women
and their elders
join your House
and young are conceived
into your House
and its ways.

Yet also there have been
and there are
and so there will be
those times
and those places
and those nations
and those peoples
and, yes, those Caesars

Who will hate or envy
or fear your Star Family
and as it grows stronger
will seek to destroy you.

And the times and the peoples
and the nations
and the Caesars
of the first kind
and those of the second kind
will change as time passes.

And your Family must determine
when it is time
for silence
and when it is time
for open avowal.

THEN DID SPEAK A YOUNG WOMAN:

I am told there are those
who hold that the tools
and the works
of man and of woman
are not of Nature.

Yet these tools
and these works
obey the same laws
of Nature,
as do the spider's web
or the comet's tail
or the wind
and the Stars.

And it is in the nature
of man and of woman
to build tools
and greater works
for they are born naked
and helpless.

I say that those men
or those women
who scorn the tools
of man and woman
and destroy the works
of man and of woman
are possessed by hatred
of their own kind.

And they are enemies
of the Voyagers
to the far Stars.

AND THE MAN STIRRED
IN HIS SLEEP
AND HIS MIND HURT.
FOR IN HIS DREAM
HE HEARD
A STRANGE OLD WOMAN
CRY OUT IN ANGUISH:

I reach
but our grasp falters.
I yearn,
but our ambition fades.

This world is too old,
too weary,
too worn out,
too tall.
This is not what I want.
This is not good enough
for my children
or my grandchildren.

I spent my whole lifetime
looking at the light
of one sun.
There are other suns
out there.
I want a new light.
I want a different light.

I want the Stars
for my children.

I demand the Stars
for my children.
Big Brother is Here

There is little Instauration can add to the litigation between Louisiana Judge Richard E. Lee and Federal Judge Nauman Scott. The Buckeye trio of teenage girls have now gone to private schools at the usual intolerable expense to their families, who refused to risk their offspring’s safety and physical intactness by allowing them to be herded onto yellow buses and transported to predominately black schools. What was unique about the case was Scott’s attempt, the first of its kind, to extend the power of the federal judiciary into the bosom of the American family. In effect, he was ruling that white students could not move to homes in areas with predominantly white public schools if the move could be interpreted as a device to escape forced busing or attendance at a predominately black public school. In other words, we are reaching the era of internal passports, in which government approval may be needed for a change of address.

The girls had all their friends at a high school from which they were suddenly banned because Judge Scott had doled some lines on a map that put their homes in a mixed-race district. When friends of the girls’ parents agreed to take them in so they could finish their studies at the high school they had been attending since they left grammar school, Scott, with the blessing of the Department of Justice, threatened the Buckeye Three, their parents and the state judge who was trying to protect their constitutional rights with huge fines and even jail sentences.

One can imagine the possibilities that Scott’s action offers to the power hungry petitfoggers of the federal judiciary. The ability to flee has been one of the few remaining freedoms left to the American Majority. When this is gone, they’ve got us.

Everybody’s Coming

The U.S., Canada and Australia are under increasingly strong pressure from Third World countries to open up “the uncrowded areas of the world” to the “congested areas of the world.” A lot of this pressure comes from nonwhite “intellectuals” who abhor the idea of family planning, believing the best answer to Third World swarming is mass emigration. Australia is under constant attack because Asians accuse the whites there of deliberately refusing to irrigate the continent’s vast desert spaces in order to keep out nonwhites. As any visitor to the darkening street scenes of Sydney or Melbourne knows, this argument is totally false.

The truth is, the nonwhite immigrants to Australia and most other white countries are not at all interested in pioneering or settling the land. They squat exclusively in big cities. It is expected that in future world population conferences the U.S.S.R. will back nonwhite demands to end all immigration barriers in Western countries, though Russia itself refuses to take in Third World immigrants. It might even come about that the Soviet Union will support some Asian and African migrations militarily, which could possibly lead to a worldwide racial war.

It seems the ongoing influx of Mexicans, Haitians, Cubans and Indochinese into the U.S. is only a dim shadow of the stark reality in store for white nations.

The Extremist Race

Like the waves beating on the sands of their beloved Miami Beach, Jews are never at rest and never silent. That loudest mouthpiece of Jewish racism, the New Republic, has now launched a scalding attack on Time magazine for “adversary journalism” against Israel. At the same time, the National Council of Young Israel charged that the New York Times had attempted to “undermine” Israel by reporting that a TV show made in Jerusalem was filmed in Palestine.

The editor-in-chief of Time, Inc. is Henry Grunwald, a Viennese-born Jew. The New York Times is owned, controlled, edited and financed by Jews. Both publications have supported Israel through thick and thin and their all-out unmoderated support bears a large responsibility for the American pro-Israel tilt that has brought such tragedy, misery and despair to the Middle East and has kept the area in constant military turmoil since Zionism first bared its teeth in the middle 30’s.

What do Jews want? Cannot there ever be one inch of deviation from the party line? Even Jewry’s dearest friends, even Jews themselves, are not permitted a few soft words of criticism or reproof.

Anthropologists tell us that the Jews of today are genetically different from the Jews of the past.

Math and Sex

Boys are better at math than girls. A courageous new study by Johns Hopkins University researchers admits this, though the authors are careful to point out that the differences are not necessarily genetic.

So far the best explanation of this true but

"unacceptable" sexual difference in mental proficiency is that of Richard G. Lehrke in Human Variation (Academic Press, New York). As H.J. Eysenck states in a review of Lehrke’s article:

Lehrke makes a very good case for alternative interpretation, namely that some of the genes contributing to intelligence are located on the sex chromosome, so that for females level of intellectual functioning would depend on the average of two alleles, whereas for males the second allele would be missing. This theoretically should give rise to differences in variance, and these of course are actually observed.

One deduction of the hypothesis is that if any of the genes relating to intelligence on the X-chromosome, then the correlations of test scores for mother-daughter, father-daughter and mother-son would be somewhat similar, as in each case, the parent and the child have one X-chromosome in common. The correlations between father and son should be lower since they have no X-chromosome in common, and the brother-sister correlations should be intermediate since they have an X-chromosome in common half the time.

Lehrke also deals with the objection that the differences in variance between the sexes are relatively small and would have no social repercussions. This is due of course largely to the fact that only some of the genes loading for intelligence would be located on the sex chromosome. Lehrke points out that in actual fact this objection is not tenable. Suppose, he says, that the standard deviation of an intelligence test, nominally 16 points as for the Binet, should actually be 16.5 points for males and 15.5 points for females. (This is actually a little less than some of the differences observed in fact.) On this basis, there would be expected to be 11/4 more males than females with IQs below 68 and the same would be true of IQs above 132.” In effect, very slight differences in variance can result in marked differences at the tail ends of the normal curve, and such differences would be predicted under the hypothesis of sex linkage of intellectual traits.

$28,000 an Ounce

A 2,100-pound Holstein bull named Round Oak Ray Apple Elevation has sired in the past 13 years 36,394 heifers and an unknown number of bullocks. His offspring outproduced other Holsteins by an average of 1,484 pounds of milk per cow per year.

Recently, 3.13 ounces of bull semen, worth $90,000, mostly if Round Oak’s, was stolen from a liquid nitrogen repository in Wisconsin. The FBI believes it has been
clergymen are always good for a good laugh or a good groan. Here are the latest. Jewish rabbi signed a petition demanding that the PLO should be a party to any Middle East peace negotiations, perhaps because the National and World Councils are funnels money to black African terrorists to cause the National and World Councils to oppose such a party. As well as the Berrigan brothers and of Andrew Young. Zionists received an additional shock when some 400 Christian clerics and one Jewish rabbi signed a petition demanding that the PLO should be a party to any Middle East peace negotiations, perhaps because the National and World Councils are headed by Negro clergymen who have never forgiven and never forgotten the firing of Andrew Young.

The National Council of Churches, which funnels money to black African terrorists to kill and maim whites, does draw the line on Israel über alles and recently recommended that the PLO should be a party to any Middle East peace negotiations, perhaps because the National and World Councils are headed by Negro clergymen who have never forgiven and never forgotten the firing of Andrew Young.

Zionists received an additional shock when some 400 Christian clerics and one Jewish rabbi signed a petition demanding that the U.S. and Israel begin direct negotiations, perhaps because the National and World Councils are headed by Negro clergymen who have never forgiven and never forgotten the firing of Andrew Young.

The United States Catholic Conference decided in 4,500 words that the Melting Pot was dead and that the church should oppose rather than support assimilation. "Americanization," said the group, "does not call for the abandonment of cultural differences, but for their wider appreciation."

Biggest laugh and biggest groan of the month was provided by Rev. Jerry Falwell, who wants to raise the moral level of the United States, though he sees nothing wrong with Israel's napalm bombing of Lebanese peasants and Palestinian refugee camps. Falwell's inspired homilies suddenly appeared amid the female genitalia of that raunchy porn mag, Penthouse. Falwell's cry of foul play did not ring too true since he had admitted giving the interview to two freelance journalists. By trying to stop the distribution of Penthouse and by suing the magazine for $10 million, Falwell, as any publicity expert knows (and he is one of the best), was probably doubling the sale of that issue.

Oral Roberts, another Moral Majority type, scaled to the heights of ridiculous religiosity by claiming he had a face-to-face talk with a king-sized Jesus while outside his City of Faith in Tulsa, Oklahoma. "I felt an overwhelming holy presence all around me. When I opened my eyes, there he stood... some 900 feet tall... looking at me." Jesus told Roberts to go and drum up the money needed to complete his half-finished, $200 million combination shrine and medical complex. About $5 million was raised by Roberts's mail campaign in the first month after the vision.

In a world in which those who monitor our thinking processes assure us that everything depends on environment and that unpredictability is simply a dirty word, why is Round Oak's sperm worth $28,000 an ounce -- about 36 times more than an ounce of gold? Why isn't any bull of any description who has been brought up right, given the proper feed, plenty of exercise and plenty of love able to produce cows that give as much milk as the daughters of Round Oak?

Bull semen is taken so seriously that the FBI enters the scene when it is stolen. Yet when Robert Graham, the retired California businessman, uses the same sperm bank technique to improve the breed of humans, visions of crooked crosses race through his critics' heads.

Religious Nut House

The day-to-day antics of our Christian clergymen are always good for a good laugh or a good groan. Here are the latest. The American Jewish Congress attacked John Paul II's criticism of the Old Testament dictum of "an eye for an eye." The Pope's statement was described as "inconsistent with the letter and spirit" of Vatican guidelines on Catholic-Jewish relations. In the Jewish interpretation of these guidelines no criticism of Jewry or Judaism should be permitted for any reason.

A letter-writing church group comprised of 35 religious leaders came out for the Castro team in El Salvador. Most of the 35 had supported the Communist takeover of Indochina and presumably would like to see the process repeated in Central America. Prominent among the signers was Father Theodore Hesburgh, president of Notre Dame, the baldest holy man since the Red Dean of Canterbury.

The United States Catholic Conference decided in 4,500 words that the Melting Pot was dead and that the church should oppose rather than support assimilation. "Americanization," said the group, "does not call for the abandonment of cultural differences, but for their wider appreciation."

Biggest laugh and biggest groan of the month was provided by Rev. Jerry Falwell, who wants to raise the moral level of the United States, though he sees nothing wrong with Israel's napalm bombing of Lebanese peasants and Palestinian refugee camps. Falwell's inspired homilies suddenly appeared amid the female genitalia of that raunchy porn mag, Penthouse. Falwell's cry of foul play did not ring too true since he had admitted giving the interview to two freelance journalists. By trying to stop the distribution of Penthouse and by suing the magazine for $10 million, Falwell, as any publicity expert knows (and he is one of the best), was probably doubling the sale of that issue.

Oral Roberts, another Moral Majority type, scaled to the heights of ridiculous religiosity by claiming he had a face-to-face talk with a king-sized Jesus while outside his City of Faith in Tulsa, Oklahoma. "I felt an overwhelming holy presence all around me. When I opened my eyes, there he stood... some 900 feet tall... looking at me." Jesus told Roberts to go and drum up the money needed to complete his half-finished, $200 million combination shrine and medical complex. About $5 million was raised by Roberts's mail campaign in the first month after the vision.

All in all, it was a banner month for religious quackery. If it didn't lose the various Christian denominations tens of thousands of believers, the laity must be more idiotic than the clergy.

Delayed Justice

John Gacy, the homosexual killer of some 30 white youths, bludgeoned one 18-year-old, Robert Donnelly, who after being sodomized, tortured and half-drowned was somehow let loose. Donnelly took down Gacy's license number and went to the Chicago police. After checking out the victim's story and talking to Gacy, detective Ted Janus decided that Gacy should be arrested and prosecuted. Jerry Latherow, an assistant state attorney, turned down Janus's request because "it was one man's word against another," even though Donnelly had no previous criminal record except a run-in for marijuana and Gacy had already served a prison term for sodomy. Because Latherow did not prosecute Gacy, he was able to kill at least five more youths before he was finally apprehended. The famous picture of Gacy, a Democratic party wardheeler, grinning broadly beside Rosalynn Carter, was probably taken after Latherow's decision.

Taboo Words

The editor of McGraw-Hill, the publishing house responsible for Clifford Irving's "autobiography" of Howard Hughes, has issued guidelines against sexist words in modern writing.

Federal and state agencies have demanded or strongly recommended these changes in word usages.

The Department of Health and Human Services will not accept or endorse policy statements, important documents or memos with words that include: he, she, lady, mother, sir, coed, father, his, hers, housewife and policeman. He/she is recommended as a follow-up to "everyone" and "each."
**Inklings**

**Punk Music?**

White youths are running around the shrinking white area of Washington, D.C., turning into what the Washington Post calls "raw music." Though they don't go in for strong drink or strong drugs and few of them smoke, the punks, as they are called, are looked upon with deep suspicion by the lib-min ruling caste because of the way they dress (combat boots, seedy jackets held together by safety pins, leather bracelets) and by the way they cut their hair (very short). Heightening the media distrust is one of their favorite songs, "Guilty of Being White."

I'm sorry for something I didn't do.
Lynched somebody but I don't know who
You blame me for slavery
Yet it was a hundred years before I was born.
I'm guilty of being white.

I'm a convict of a racist crime
I've only served 18 years of my time
I'm guilty of being white.

What's so punkish about these lyrics?

**Stamp Acts**

"We're everywhere," affirmed Jerry Rubin, and he should know. But in the quiet, supposedly nonpolitical profession of philately:

As it that Hanukkah seven-spiked candlestick which adorned 60 million Christmas Seals was not enough, the Postal Service has now agreed to issue a stamp in 1982 commemorating the Touro Synagogue in Newport, Rhode Island. It's mixing church and state, but this is the kind of mixing about which no one dares complain.

The Touro stamp was first unveiled by Gerald Ford at a DAR exhibit entitled, "The Jewish Community in Early America -- 1634-1830" financed by John L. Loeb, Jr., the Jewish investment banker who claims to be a relative of Mrs. Averell Harriman and Mrs. Godfrey Rockefeller, and who was convicted of violating federal election laws. During the course of the exhibit, it was alleged that American taxpayers owed the heirs of Haym Salomon, the "Revolutionary hero" who was probably a part-time British spy, $650,000 plus 200 years interest. Salomon was featured on a 10¢ stamp in the American Bicentennial issue, "Contributors to the cause of freedom," in March 1975.

Another foray of the Postal Service into racial philately was a 15-center issued in February to mark Black History Month (not day or week, mind you, but a whole month). The stamp bore the mulatto features of Whitney M. Young, a deceased Uncle Tom and his heirs at Haym Salomon, the "Revolutionary spy, 56¢0,000 plus 200 years interest. Salomon was featured on a 10¢ stamp in the American Bicentennial issue, "Contributors to the cause of freedom," in March 1975.

Another foray of the Postal Service into racial philately was a 15-center issued in February to mark Black History Month (not day or week, mind you, but a whole month). The stamp bore the mulatto features of Whitney M. Young, a deceased Uncle Tom who spent his life begging -- and getting -- millions from loaded WASPs and Jews.

Note: Our principal beef about the U.S. Postal Service is that it charges 8.4¢ each for mailing brochures promoting Instauration when "nonprofit" competitors like Commentary and Mother Jones only have to pay 3.5¢.

**Counting Today's and Tomorrow's Noses**

Leon Bouvier, a demographic consultant to the White House Commission on Immigration, paints a gloomy, sardinish picture of America in A.D. 2080, when he says the U.S. will no longer be a white country. Five to six Americans out of 10 will be black, Hispanic or Asian, and 40% of them will be immigrants or descendants of immigrants from Latin America and Asia.

"During the 19th and early 20th centuries," Bouvier asserted, "the United States indeed welcomed the tired, the poor and the huddled masses yearning to be free. Such a benign policy had changed the composition of the nation; people who would add: 'and for the better.'" The last four words don't do much for Bouvier's credibility, but they show he knows his catechism.

Not included in Bouvier's calculations was the strong possibility that his forecast, if it began to prove out, would never come true. Long before 2080, the U.S. would have come apart at the seams, the Hispanics would probably form their own independent state in the southwest and then hand it over to Mexico. Blacks and other nonwhites would have established "free cities" in many metropolitan areas. Most whites, or at least those who escaped the inevitable massacres, would retreat and create defensive zones in the boondocks.

The funny thing is, if Leon Bouvier is right, whites may have a better chance of survival than if he is wrong. A quick transformation of the Majority into a minority might spur a spirited and desperate response, whereas a much slower, less noticeable racial metamorphosis might keep whites off their guard until nonwhites were ready for Der Tag.

One way to speed up the minority takeover is to throw dust in the eyes of the Majority by tampering with the Census. Steven Gould, the racist antiracist Harvard Marxist, has recently suggested this in an article, "The Politics of Census" in Natural History, July 1981. Gould wants to stop all physical counting of people and base all future population statistics on sampling and estimates. This would put the Census ultimately in the hands of judges and politicians, where Gould apparently wants it. The numbers could then be adjusted to fit minority aspirations and causes.

A New York federal judge may have been following Gould's advice when he arbitrarily ordered the Census Bureau to increase the count of New Yorkers. Fortunately, Supreme Court Justice Potter Stewart overruled the lower court. Otherwise, the Census Bureau would have been unable to report its 1980 total population count to the government by December 31, 1980, as required by law.

**Air Sickness**

The Air Force Academy has been rejecting about five black applicants a year for being carriers of the sickle-cell trait. As a result of a bad experience with two black cadets, medical authorities believe that this genetic defect can cause collapse or death at high altitudes.

But since this smacks of antiblack dis-
criminalization in a country where only anti-white discrimination receives the government seal of approval, the Air Force Academy was sued by the NAACP and the usual "public service" shysters, even though the regulations affecting sickle-cell carriers were established by the Air Force Surgeon General based on the recommendations of the National Research Council.

The outcome of the litigation was never in doubt. The Academy had to withdraw its ban. It will now set up a special medical program to monitor the health of the afflicted black cadets. Will each have to be accompanied by a doctor on combat and bombing missions? Will the FAA welcome black sickle-cellers as pilots on commercial airlines? Will an extra seat in the cockpit have to be provided for airline doctors?

Why not? Recently the FAA was forced by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to hire a half-blind air traffic controller.

Masons in Politics

Unlike their Lodge brothers in Europe, American Masons have always boasted how carefully they keep their fingers out of politics. Perhaps this is going to change. Russell Gideon, sovereign grand commander of the United Supreme Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite of Free Masonry, Prince Hall Affiliation, northern jurisdiction, says the Masons are thinking about fielding a candidate in the next presidential race. If Gideon's own case is representative, the Masons have already paved the way for their entry into politics. The Masons will be running on the straight Democratic ticket. Gideon is black.

FBI Crook

Mel Weinberg, the Abscam setter-upper, is just about the biggest crook in the country, yet the FBI gave him $600,000 for yachts, hotels, parties, Lear jets and Dom Perignon champagne, all of which he claimed were good marks make an ideal FBI informer? the nimbus of Saint I. Edgar Hoover? Apparently he does, And Mel cannot be accused of being overgrateful to his employers. In an "authorized" biography due to hit the drugstore racks soon, he declares the FBI laid down some stringent conditions for his entrapment projects -- i.e., seven members of Congress were not to be touched. Three of them were House Speaker Tip O'Neill, Peter Rodino and Strom Thurmond. To learn the identity of the other four we'll probably have to buy the book and join the thousands of other Americans who have been conned by Weinberg.

Vox Populi, Vox Clandestina

What is the difference between Public Opinion and Popular Sentiment? The answer of historian John Lukach is food for thought. Public Opinion is what "respectable" people ventilate, especially eggheads, when there are eavesdroppers about. It's a form of verbal etiquette wrapped in the language of "compassion," "social justice" and "world peace" -- the liberal-minority way of saying sweet nothings. Public Opinion, in other words, is cant.

Conversely, Popular Sentiment is what people feel and say only in the bosom of their family. Anyone who utters Popular Sentiment in public, unless he is mad or drunk, is immediately accused of being bigoted, fascistic or sexist. One unfavorable word of criticism of blacks and other Unassimilable Minorities immediately renders the speaker "insensitive." That's why Popular Sentiment is never heard in the media, which stick religiously and exclusively to Public Opinion.

Pollsters approach an ordinary citizen to sound him out on some controversial issue. The answer they get back is more often than not Public Opinion. The respondent wants to be "respectable," which means he does not want to show his true colors. The polls then report the Public Opinion answers and ignore the Popular Sentiment factor. No wonder they made such a mess of predicting the recent presidential election.

Gays in Jonestown by the Bay

The Fifth International Conference of Gay and Lesbian Jews was held some months ago in (where else?) San Francisco. After the delegates had received a red carpet welcome from Mayor Diane Feinstein, the keynote address was given by Judge Stephen M. Lachs, the first out-of-the-closet pansy to be appointed to the State Supreme Court. There were workshops on such topics as "Problems of Anti-Semitism in the Gay Community" and "Studies of Holocaust Survivors." The latter was described as "the most emotionally draining workshop of the entire conference." The meeting closed with shouts of L'shana ha-ba'a b'Philadelphia, "Next year in Philadelphia."

While on the subject of homosexuality in Rev. Jim Jones's favorite town, we might mention that Mayor Feinstein gave $4,675 of the city's revenues to the Veteran's Day Parade, $9,325 to the Gay Freedom Parade.
John Nobull

Notes From the Sceptred Isle

Nationalism is justified by the fact that no other ideology has yet been found which will get the masses moving in a racially healthy direction. Like left-wing poets before the war when they met a real Communist, we feel ashamed when we meet a member of the National Front:

And though we never really joined
The party of the masses,
We were most awfully chummy with
The proletarian classes.

But nationalism is not necessarily racialism. In fact, the two tend to conflict in certain fundamental respects. First, a nation needs a definite territory, and, at least in the West, no territory large enough to be economically or politically viable is inhabited by a single racial group. What is more, the dominant group will almost certainly have kin in other nations which have interests which conflict with their own. In the past, nationalists have tended to exaggerate the racial similarities of their own nationals, while ignoring racial similarities with other nations. They have also tended to ignore the economic and political concerns of other nations. Time and again, such self-centeredness has led to war between kindred peoples, in which the Nordics, as the most adventurous and self-sacrificing element in the population, suffer disproportionately.

I suppose our most extreme nationalists are the British Israelites. They believe that we are really the Lost Ten Tribes of Israel, and that we merely “passed through” the contaminating Continent on the way to our promised land. Such a belief is especially attractive to the Nonconformist sects who set such store by the Old Testament. Alas, it is these very people who have shown the most willingness to cooperate with Jews in building a new Jerusalem in England’s green and pleasant land. Even the National Front is not untainted with this feeling, as was shown a few years back when NF supporters would point with pride to their token Jewish member. I would have had more respect for them if I had not felt that their pathetic joy was sincere. A variant of the British Israelite belief is to be found in the work of L.A. Waddell, who came to the conclusion that we were all Phoenicians, on the strength of some Phoenician influence in Cornwall and some very doubtful etymologies. This explanation has the advantage of avoiding the Continental dilemma altogether.

Please don’t misunderstand me. Although it is true that some of my best friends are foreigners, I am well aware that many foreigners have dirty habits and are definitely NLU (Not Like Us). Still, there are foreigners and there are foreigners. I see little point in claiming that darkies begin at Calais when they so obviously begin at Piccadilly Circus.

A more general British nationalist consensus favours the idea of an Anglo-Saxon-Celtic heritage. You may wonder at this, in view of seventeen centuries of conflict between Anglo-Saxons and Celts. The identity is achieved by arguing as follows: “The original Celts were Nordics, and so as such had everything in common with the Germanic peoples. Ergo, they are one and the same.” This won’t do. I know that the original Celts’ name for themselves was Teutha, which is cognate with Teuton. (Indeed, many scholars think that the Cimbri and Teutones, who burst into the Roman world at the end of the second century B.C., were Celtic rather than Germanic.) But in the British Isles the Celts conquered and Celtified a number of non-Celtic peoples, including the Silurians of South Wales and the Firboig of Ireland, who were not Nordic. There are extremists who try to avoid the Germanic connexion altogether by claiming that the Germanic element in England is negligible, the English being a Celtic people who were somehow induced to speak a Germanic language. This won’t do either. There is plenty of evidence, from contemporary accounts, place names and traditions, for widespread settlement by Angles, Saxons and Jutes, not to speak of Danes and Norwegians. The most I am prepared to concede is that there is a specialised type of British Nordic who is slimmer and darker-haired (though not darker-eyed) than his Scandinavian, Dutch or North German counterparts. This probably represents a fusion with previous Nordic and Atlanto-Mediterranean strains, but is not so differentiated as to constitute a separate race.

I think it is true to say that there was, at least until recently, a working-class culture which was fairly uniform throughout the British Isles, though I do not think it was a very rich culture. Class attitudes are expressed in the words used for one’s native land. Whereas the upper classes, with few exceptions, speak of themselves as English, the approved middle-class designation is British, and the working classes come from somewhere called “the U.K.”

Conversely, it is difficult to find a British nationalist who does not regard the European Community as simply a plot to destroy our race and nation by submerging us in a sea of aliens. Mosley, in particular, was exorcised for selling his patriotic followers out to a foreign ideal, though the EEC is hardly what Mosley had in mind. (He wanted a self-contained,
different pictures come into focus: the excellent little restau­
smell of wild herbs as we drive into Provence, the brilliant

On the face of it, the nationalists have some good arguments
against the EEC. The rules of the Community do permit exploi­
tation of our fishing grounds by foreigners. We do suffer from
Continental competition, largely because our products are
often inferior, our productivity low, our investment inade­
quate. What is more, we are forced to adopt Southern Italians
as settlers, and will in due course be forced to accept Andalusians,
Portuguese and Greeks. Not only that, but even Turkey
has been proclaimed a European nation, on the strength of a
Balkan enclave round Istanbul. The addition of Turkey to the
EEC will let loose a flood of 40 million people on the long­
suffering inhabitants of Western Europe. Still, Germany is
much more threatened by the Turkish invasion than we are,
and in any case the Turks, with their small Nordic element, are
more acceptable than, say, Algerians or Mexicans.

But there does exist something called European culture,
however we may define it, and Enoch Powell is the first to
affirm this. Hence the mainly upper-class preference for the
Continent over the rest of the English-speaking world. Let us be
honest about this. When we think of other English-speaking
countries, we are appalled by the cultural blight of Bible
Christianity and the dreary sameness of their “international”
values. We quail at all those geometrically featureless towns
and cities, with their gas stations, their hamburger joints, their
Seven-Up signs, their hoardings and their Hiltons. We have
enough mean-minded ugliness in the New Britain without
seeking it elsewhere. In her autobiography, A Life of Contrasts,
Diana Mosley quotes Churchill on a visit to Liverpool:
“Imagine how terrible it would be, never to see anything
beautiful, never to eat anything savoury, never to say anything
clever.” For Liverpool, read Los Angeles, Winnipeg, Regina,
Toronto, Chipitts (Chicago to Pittsburgh), or Boswash (Boston
to Washington). Our big problem in visiting North America or
Australia is how to enjoy the magnificent hinterland without
undergoing the recital of stale opinions taken from Time,
Newsweek, The Reader’s Digest or the TV networks:

Locked away in a Montreal lumber-room,
The Discobolus standeth and turneth his face to the wall,
Dusty cobweb-covered, maimed and set at naught,
Beauty crieth in an attic and no man regardeth,
O God! O Montreal!

But when we turn our eyes toward the Continent, what very
different pictures come into focus: the excellent little restaur-
ant in Deauville, the ever-fresh revelation of Chartres, the
smell of wild herbs as we drive into Provence, the brilliant
winter bougainvillea on the walls of the Côte d’Azur, the
palaces of Italy, the clear air of Castile, the cold breeze ruffling
a Norwegian salmon river, or those perfect medieval cities of
Franconia. Just compare these with our own medieval cities,
submerged among Jewish tower blocks and identical shopping
centres. Our Nonconformist local authorities, who loathe
anything aesthetic, were only too ready in granting the neces-
sary planning permission. As Diana Mosley points out, every
provincial city in Germany has an opera house; not one in
England has. And there was also that small-minded opposition
to the building of much-needed motorways (“Hitler built the
Autobahns”) which ensured that the growing traffic destroyed
much of our heritage.

The middle classes were much more drawn to the idea of
the Commonwealth after the war, because they thought of it in
terms of the Old Dominions. For them, these represented the
chance of work which was reasonably rewarded, of buying
a pleasant bungalow with a garden and perhaps a swimming
pool, of indulging in sports, which in England are mainly for
memories. Most important, they have an inadequate grasp of
Continental tongues. It is this language deficiency which once
led them to accept West Indians as “Black Englishmen.”

Egads, if the Continental connexion involves an influx of
foreigners, what about the coloured Commonwealth? It is not
only Enoch Powell and the National Front that want British
withdrawal from the European Community; it is also the ma­

Our primary loyalties should be to our racial kin, whether in
the English-speaking world or in Europe. Above all, we should
hope for racial renewal in the United States, because that is the
one country powerful enough to withstand the shock which
must follow any real declaration of independence. Mean­
while, I regard provincialism and nationalism as steps in the
right direction, provided they do not bring us into unnecessary
conflict with other white nationalists.
It is quite true that the vast majority of the Anglo-Saxon Protestants, who outnumber the Celtic Catholics by three to one in what is now Ulster, want no part of a united Ireland at this time. What they do want is the right to elect their own politicians instead of having to serve British appointees. The Ulster Protestants who want the British troops to remain are afraid they may lose the perks of a privileged class, a class that has lorded it over the Celtic minority for these many years.

Needless to say, this is a moot point because the armed British occupation of Northern Ireland is bound to end pretty soon regardless of what the Ulster majority wants or doesn’t want.

The Brits will not be able to remain much longer for the very same reasons the French were unable to remain in Algeria and the Americans were unable to stick it out in Vietnam. The British simply have no stomach to continue the conflict. Although the case for Ulster is a good one, American public opinion is turning against the English because of their utterly stupid treatment of nationalist prisoners and the Catholic minority in Northern Ireland. Also detrimental to British aspirations is the romantic image of the IRA as a band of patriots led by men of the same caliber as George Washington and Thomas Jefferson. This, of course, is utter nonsense, but many Irish Americans still fall for it.

Meanwhile many white English soldiers in Ulster are complaining about the small number of nonwhite troops being sent to Ireland. But if Maggie Thatcher should boost the number of nonwhite troops, race-conscious Englishmen would begin to sympathize with the Celts. It’s a Hobson’s choice.

Since the IRA has some Marxist and Communist connections, there is a lot more Karl Marx in the rebellion than Thomas Jefferson. Some anti-Communist Irish patriots are to be found in the movement, but it is not clear whether they have any real influence. Because America and England are too heavy with Marxism and Communist sympathizers, the British government has not seriously challenged the IRA’s denial of Communist influence.

As expected, more and more English liberals are howling for England to get out. If the British government were to run away, it would face as much or more division and bitterness at home as America faced after its desertion of South Vietnam or the French faced after they moved out of Algeria. It is one thing to abandon fellow Anglo-Saxons in far-off Rhodesia, another to abandon them next door.

* * *

While I am certainly in favor of a united Ireland, I think it would be wise to put the idea in the deepfreezer for a while. Let us first have equal rights for Catholics and Protestants in Ulster. Let us give the areas that have a Catholic majority the option of either becoming part of Ulster or part of the Free State. Let us help to relocate the Catholics in the North who wish to move South. After years of mutual cooperation along these lines, the united Ireland that we Celts have dreamed of for 800 years might well come about.

Any delay, of course, would evoke loud cries from the more radical Irish to fight on. But I can’t really see letting them act like a bunch of Zionists and go on a mad racist binge. Like the Jews, we Irish have assumed over the centuries that we still have some sort of holy right to Northern Ireland.

Celts had better wake up to the reality that an Anglo-Saxon majority lives in the North and that these Anglos will be a lot tougher to dispossess than Arabs. To put it bluntly, a lot of us Irish would rather see two Irelands at peace than one Ireland at war. In a civil war all the dead and wounded will be Irishmen.

* * *

While it is quite true that the British have robbed Ireland for centuries and English historians have told countless lies, the Irish nationalists who claim the English were responsible are ending Gaelic as the language of the Irish are badly mistaken. If they had not been English speakers, the Irish abroad, often referred to in song and story as na Geana Fiadhaine (the Wild Geese)*, could not have been nearly as effective in gaining foreign support and stirring world opinion against English injustices.

Had the British permitted Gaelic to remain in general use among their Irish subjects, most of them would have been in the same boat as a Dane who spoke only Danish. The Irish message would have fallen on deaf ears.

“But what of the wonderful Gaelic literature, the classics and all that?” cry some nationalists.

The saner Irishmen (some of them are also Irish nationalists, I might add) reply, “The Irish writers have been more than able to hold their own with the Brits in their own tongue both in the British Isles and in America.”

Native Irishmen such as Shaw, Synge and Yeats did much to dispel the British nonsense that we Irish were an ignorant people and culturally not much above the African tribes in other British colonies. The fact is, except in the area of Irish history, the writings of talented Irish writers have been greatly aided and encouraged by the British.

In America, Irish writers have also done extremely well. No one can deny the influence of F. Scott Fitzgerald and Eugene O’Neill on the American literary scene. O’Neill’s plays changed both the character of the American theater and the Americans’ opinion of the Irish.

Ironically, however, the greatest enemy of O’Neill was the Ancient Order of Hibernians, the most powerful Irish fraternal society a few decades back. Not only did the AOH make life miserable for O’Neill, but they passed a resolution in 1912 condemning Yeats, Synge and “other so-called Irish dramatists.”

When the Abbey Theater sent a company on a U.S. tour the same year, the AOH made complete jackasses of themselves by organizing boycotts in major American cities. Theater managers were forced to provide police protection during the performances to protect both the actors and the audience.

“But what of the Auld Gaelic tales of the Celts?” continue the old Irish nationalists. Well, they should be pleased to note that

*Like wild geese sadly flying on
We leave in sad despair
From famine, Brits or wanderlust
We scatter everywhere
Yet sometimes late or with the dawn
Often comes to mind
The hills and lakes we left at home
And dear friends far behind

The hatred of our English foe
The legends of our past
The music of Killarney too
Stay with us till the last

New flags, new oaths, new nations
May oft’ times file their claims
And yet a part of Ireland still
In Ireland’s child remains --
they are still with us, one of the best being "King O'Toole and His Goose." This classic spoof, although not generally perceived as one by pro-Sinn Fein editors and AOH members, concerns a fine old monarch named O'Toole, who went completely bananas when his pet goose got sick. Kevin, allegedly one of the greatest Irish saints, tricked the old king into giving him all of his land as a reward for making his sick goose fly. Most coincidentally, the king died immediately thereafter, whereupon his goose lost his eyesight and was killed by a "horse-eel" the poor bird had mistaken for a trout. However, the eel would not eat the dead goose because St. Kevin had once laid "his blessed hands" on the creature. Was the author of the tale attempting to point out that even a goose would be ruined if St. Kevin got hold of him? The very Irish George Bernard Shaw would have been hard put to top this anti-Christian put-down.

Despite all the tales of King Artie and his knights, the British have never been a very chivalrous race. Granted, there have been some individual and isolated cases where they have acted as Lancelot might have, but two robins and a few bluebirds do not make spring. Indeed, as any Boer who is reasonably familiar with his history can tell you, the British have been, generally speaking, a bunch of bloody bastards.

A classic example was the Irish uprising of 1641, which the British of that period wrote about as today's Zionists write about the Holocaust. The dispossession of the Irish majority in Ireland under James I led to the starvation of thousands of Celts while many more fled Ireland never to return. Some Irish refugees joined various Continental armies, and no less than 6,000 Irish swordsmen went to Sweden. However, the plight of the Irish who remained in Ireland was far worse, and this led to what we Harps call the Rising of '41.

It began on the night of October 21. Confined solely to Ulster, it took the British by complete surprise. Many Irish -- both Celts and Anglo-Saxons -- still believe that thousands of good British settlers were done in by mad Catholic Celts. In fact, the atrocity stories multiplied to such an extent that the great Milton (Cromwell's secretary at the time) published the astounding news that the savage Irish Papists had massacred 610,000 Protestants.

This would have been quite a feat since only about 200,000 Protestants were living in Ireland at the time. Rev. Ferdinand Warner, a Protestant minister and a predecessor of A.R. Butz, calculated that 4,028 Protestants were murdered and another 8,000 died from ill treatment during the first two years of the war that was set off by the uprising.

Cromwell's vengeance was awful. The battles and the plague that followed them probably wiped out from one-half to five-sixths of Ireland's Celtic population. Because of the large number of slaughtered adult males, many young Irish boys and women were either sold into slavery or bonded into serfdom and shipped off to the American colonies or the West Indies.

Today's Irish Catholic Celts living in Ireland often tend to think that they have done nothing at all to the Anglo-Saxon Protestants.

Did not the English steal Ireland from the Irish?

Have not the British -- or the British Protestant settlers -- been largely or entirely responsible for all the evils that have led to every war and rebellion of the Irish in Ireland for the last eight centuries?

"It's all their fault," say the Irish Celt Catholics.

While the Irish -- like the Boers -- could certainly make a damning case against the British, there is indeed one area in which we sons of Erin did the English a bad turn. It was the Irish who are almost solely responsible for the introduction of Christianity into Britain. As Kunz Mayer states in his introduction to Ancient Irish Poetry:

Ireland had become heinless to the classical and theological learning of the fourth and fifth centuries, and a period of humanism was thus ushered in which reached its culmination during the sixth and the following centuries. For once, at any rate, Ireland drew upon herself the eyes of the world, as one haven of rest in a turbulent world overrun by hordes of barbarians, as the great seminary of Christian and classical learning. Her sons, carrying a new humanism over Great Britain and the Continent, became the teachers of whole nations, the counselors of kings and emperors.

St. Augustine, of course, landed on British shores. But, as the English ecclesiastical writer Dr. Lightfoot pointed out, Augustine played a very small role in the establishment of Christianity in England.

Though nearly forty years had passed since Augustine's first landing in England the church was still confined to its first conquest, the southeast corner of the island, the kingdom of Kent. . . . Then commenced those thirty years of earnest labour, carried on by those Celtic missionaries and their disciples, from Lindisfarne as their spiritual citadel, which ended in the submission of England to the gentle yoke of Christ.

It was Aidan, an Irishman, who has been given the credit by most historians for providing the British with the "good works" of Christianity.

We should never forget that the original English invasion of Ireland was a war of English Catholics against Celtic Catholics. It began in 1171 when Henry II invaded the Emerald Isle. The opposition of his mother and later political complications had caused the postponement of his vise scheme for 17 years, but Henry had convinced the elected English Pope, a Nicholas Breakspeare who became Adrian IV, that religion in Ireland had become almost extinct. Therefore, he thought it necessary to bring that barbarous nation back into the fold of the Mother Church.

For centuries a great dispute has raged on two questions: (1) Was the Papal Bull that approved the invasion a fake? I vote for the historians who claim the Bull was genuine. (2) Had Ireland really lapsed into a state of irreligion? Despite the damage done to the Church by Danish marauders, I side with those who maintain that Irish Catholicism was not dying out, but was actually making a great comeback.

All in all, Henry's charges were simply an excuse to conquer the Auld Sod.

I am always curiously intrigued by the complete ignorance of certain aspects of Irish history and politics shown by some of our foreign visitors.

A tourist from Sweden expressed surprise that some of the most beautiful Nordic girls in the world could be seen running around on the streets of Dublin. He was totally unaware that the Viking period in Ireland began in the eighth century and lasted for about 400 years.

Dublin (called Dubhlinn by the intruders from Scandinavia) was the Viking headquarters in Ireland for nearly 200 years -- at a time the Irish capital was at Armagh. The Norsemen came first and later the Danes. Many bloody battles were fought between these Nordics for control of Ireland.

In time, the Vikings were finally driven out, but not before a great many were absorbed into the Irish population. In the long run we Irish have greatly benefited from our Viking genes. However, in case the vision of splendid Dublin colleens should encourage a stampede of American males to the Auld Sod, it is only fair to mention that the 1979 Census revealed that Ireland has 127,778 more single men than single women.
JERRY RUBIN, the ex-yippie turned stockbroker (the jump is not all that great), now tells us everything we need to know about marital relations in his new book, *The War Between the Sexes*. Admittedly important for many a moon, he wants to share his frustrations for $11.95 a copy. It was Rubin who advised America's children not only to kill their parents, but that the be-all and end-all of life, in addition to drugs and world rev-olution, was free sex. Apparently he was better on the speaker's stand than on the mattress. A great deal of his book, as expected, is devoted to the more sordid aspects of Rubin's Jewish childhood, with special emphasis on the author's private parts.

SENATOR WILLIAM COHEN and wife recently returned home after an all-expenses-paid trip to Israel. Jewish organizations funded the tour out of their tax-exempt funds. The Cohens did not visit any Palestinian refugee camps.

LADISLAS FARAGO, the Hungarian-Jewish author whose last bestseller recounted his dramatic chase of Martin Bormann around the lower reaches of South America, has turned his literary inventiveness into concocting a farago of disinformation about the late General Patton. In *The Last Days of Patton*, Farago damns Old Blood-and-Guts for trying to sneak Nazis into the postwar German civilian government and for opposing the disarmament of the German Army. One alleged Patton quote: "The Semitic influence in the press is intent on seeing that all businessmen of German ancestry and non-Jewish antecedents are thrown out of their jobs."

Mickey Edwards

Rep. MICKEY EDWARDS of Oklahoma has succeeded ex-Rep. Robert Bauman of Maryland as chairman of the American Conservative Union, which claims 325,000 members. Edwards, one of the most influential Republican congressmen, gave up Judaism for Episcopalianism many years ago.

LILLIE MAE GASAWAY, of unspecified race, was recently found guilty of murdering her 9-year-old adopted son. While awaiting trial, and although she had already been convicted of child abuse against two other adopted kids, an adoption agency approved her adoption of a fourth. During her trial, Mrs. Gasaway tried to run over a hostile witness with her car.

Broadway playwright EDWARD ALBEE, adored by drama critics for his sexual aberrations and the scatological repartee he wrote for *Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?*, is searching for 13-year-old nymphets for his forthcoming production of *Lolita*. At the start of an audition for 50 flirty little aspirants and their mothers, he warned there would be scenes of "sexual intimacy." He was even more precise, "Anybody whose mother isn't interested in having you play that kind of thing shouldn't be involved." No one stirred.

YAKOV AGAM, Israeli sculptor spent a half-hour with the Reagans at Blair House in spite of their crowded post-election schedule and presented them with something supposedly to represent a five-pointed star molded into a six-pointed star. Nancy is rumored to have fallen in love with the sculpture, which she said "should go into the Oval Office." "You're right," seconded Ronnie. Since the election no American sculptor has as yet been received by the Reagans.

SOL LINOWITZ, Carter's last special envoy to the Middle East, asked President Reagan to appoint HENRY KISSINGER as his replacement.

MRS. HAZEL DUKES, head of the New York State NAACP, exclaimed, "I would say that Mr. Reagan falls into the category of racist." The media, which still consider McCarthyism one of the great crimes of the 20th century, have made no outcry against "Dukism."

CHRIS KENNEDY LAWFORD, Senator Kennedy's 25-year-old nephew and the son of ham actor Peter Lawford, now a clothes model, pleaded innocent to a charge of heroin possession. He was released on his own recognizance, just as Uncle Teddy was after Chappaquiddick.
65,189 students (26.5% female) are currently enrolled in U.S. medical schools: 55,434 whites or people who pass for white, 3,208 blacks, 2,761 Hispanics, 1,924 Asian Americans, 221 Amerindians, 1,086 foreigners, 55 racially unclassified. No data were available as to the percentage of Jews, which usually runs 8 to 10 times the Jewish share of the population. No one knows the number of qualified white Majority males rejected because of racial and sexual discrimination, because of fraud (buying admission) or because of unfair competition (sale of advance copies of exams to minority students "in the know").

Presently enrolled in U.S. colleges and universities are 286,000 foreign students -- 2.3% of the entire student population in higher education. The three largest student groups: (1) dark-white Iranians, 51,310; (2) yellow Taiwanese, 17,560; (3) black Nigerians, 16,360.

Mimicking the worst rites of white politicians, 6,000 attendees at last year’s tenth annual Congressional Black Caucus Weekend in Washington paid an average $74 per night for a hotel room, $125 per person for just one dinner.

In New York, Chicago, Los Angeles and Miami only 13% of the 6,882 minority businesses that borrowed money from the Small Business Administration are still in business.

500,000 Israelis may now be living in the U.S., about one-seventh of the Jewish population of the Zionist beachhead in the western Mediterranean. This means that Israeli citizens comprise the second largest bloc of legal or, perhaps, illegal aliens.

It is estimated that hamstringing federal regulations are costing the economy $140 billion a year.

Canada. The immigration tally for 1979 is in: 11.5% came from Britain, 17.8% from elsewhere in Europe; 8.6% from the U.S.; the remaining 62.1% from Africa, Asia and Latin America. In 1964-68, 84% of the immigrants were from Britain, Europe, the U.S. and Australia. Note: Two brothers from Vietnam who arrived in Canada a few years ago have now been followed by 29 relatives.

An official of the Ontario Human Rights Commission with the fine old Canadian name of Mark Nakamura attended the National Ballet’s production of The Nutcracker Suite. He was investigating a complaint of “unconscious racism” by a Toronto alderman with the fine old Canadian name of Ying Hope. Tchaikovsky, unfortunately, had the gall to write a scene which had pigtailed coolies cavorting about the stage. Puccini’s Madame Butterfly will probably be the next musical classic on the chopping block.

Puerto Rico. The terrorist attack on an airfield which destroyed nine jet fighters worth $45 million was a one-day media sensation. An Instaurationist serving an army hitch in Puerto Rico writes:

If the perpetrators want independence, let them have it. Who wants this hybrid island which adds so immeasurably to the American welfare load? They shoot at our presidents (Truman), they kill our soldiers, they destroy our million-dollar warplanes. Why should we continue to raise an ungrateful adopted child of another race, a child who sooner or later is certain to attack his foster parents? Since Puerto Ricans are U.S. citizens they can come and go legally, but the deleterious effect of their presence in the States is no different from that of the illegal Mexicans. It is all part of the Hispanic swarming -- more lethal to the American Majority than a hundred Russian nukes. In the long term the Puerto Rican terrorists are less dangerous to us than those who want statehood. An independent Puerto Rico is more in the Majority interest than a gaggle of cocoa-skinned Puerto Rican senators and representatives in Congress.

Britain. Lord Kagan, born in Lithuania, close friend of former Labour Prime Minister Harold Wilson, longtime chess partner of a prominent KGB agent stationed in London, has been found guilty of fraud and theft and sentenced to 10 months in prison and fined 1 million pounds for diverting illegal profits into secret Swiss bank accounts. With Scotland Yard at his heels, Lord Kagan holeéd up in Israel, the racial sanctuary of many other Zionist con men, but then couldn’t resist the high life of the French Riviera and Paris, where he was arrested by French police and extradited to Britain. During the trial his chief character witness was Israeli Knesset member Menahem Avidor. Lord Kagan’s alibi was routine: he had stolen the money to create a secret fund to aid Jewish refugees from Eastern Europe.

Among the other members of Wilson’s Jewish round table, all of them dubbed knights by Queen Elizabeth II, were the two showbiz brothers, Sir Lew Grade and Sir...
Bernard Deloffre, real estate speculator Sir Max Rayne, Sir Joseph Stone (occupational specialty unknown), Sir Sigmund Sternberg (Wilson's medic) and Sir George Weidenfeld, the rosy-hued publisher of hard Marxism and hard porn. Another of Wilson's knights-errant was Sir Eric Miller, who committed suicide when his million-pound scoqueries came to light.

If King Arthur's stomach would have turned at the pseudo-Camelot of the Kennedy Administration, imagine the abdominal cramps he would have suffered at the sight of the knighthood feistering around the "working man's friend," Harold Wilson. The British character just took two centuries to deescalate from Lord Clive to Lord Kagan.

France. Robert Faurisson, who is being legally hounded by eight minority racist organizations for daring to question the Holocaust, has authored a new book, Mémoire en defense (La Vieille Taupe, B.P. 9803 - 75224 Paris Cedex 05, France). The preface was written by Noam Chomsky, who is considered something of an expert on the science of linguistics. That Chomsky is also a legally protected here in the U.S.

Although Chomsky claims he was merely defending free speech, his Jewish opponents said he was promoting anti-Semitism, a topic which is not protected by free speech in most of Western Europe and only tentatively protected here in the U.S.

The book is somewhat of a letdown for readers in search of new anti-Holocaust evidence. Half of it is a prolix textual analysis of the diary of Johann Paul Kremer, a German doctor who had the misfortune to have been stationed for nearly three months in Auschwitz in 1942. In his journal Kremer made a few elliptical remarks about participating in several "special actions," which the exterminationists take to mean "gasings" and which Faurisson believes were executions of criminals.

The last part of the book is more interesting. The author discusses an old and conveniently forgotten manual for the safe handling of Zyklon B, which casts doubt on the ability of even the most thoroughly trained chemical team in the world to kill four million Jews in gas chambers in the space of a few years. The gas produced by the pesticide is so deadly and so lethal when used in large quantities that it probably would have exterminated as many exterminators as exterminées.

To show how the Holocausters operate, Faurisson offers in evidence some post-World War II newspaper reports and illustrated magazine articles on the horrors of the gas chambers at Dachau, where even Jewish historians now admit none existed. The book closes with some correspondence between Faurisson and the editor of a left-wing Paris newspaper, whose frenetic condemnation of Holocaust skeptics demonstrated that the more hoaxes are proved to be hoaxes, the more certain types of intellectuals will believe in them.

The anti-Semitic mania of last fall has -- at least temporarily -- subsided. One right-wing leader, Mark Fredriksen, has been sacrificed to the mob and his organization banned, even though it was later revealed that a Jewish infiltrator, a bodyguard named Jean-Yves Pellay, had called the police and tried to pin the synagogue bombing on his chief. Jews rallied behind the informer after the government had no choice but to charge him with some of the same offenses leveled against Fredriksen.

The Jewish overreaction to the synagogue bombing was so intense it even brought forth criticism from Le Monde, the New York Times of France. In a front-page article Jean-Marie Paupert, an egg-headed philosophe, accused Jews of having "hidden somewhere at the bottom of your souls...a masochistic streak which tries to discern anti-Semitism so you can be miserable and unhappy and shout for justice." The Jewish Defense Organization of Paris, Paupert declared, "behaved like vulgar Nazi hooligans, using threats, libel, sticks and knives." Hell is likely to freeze thickly over before a similar article appears on the front page of any large American newspaper. Paupert's statement confirms a report from a Paris-based Instaurationist that the anti-Semitic hysteria in recent months has really been counterproductive and has opened French eyes to the fact that the hearts of Gallic Jews belong not to Mother Madeleine but to Daddy Israel.

Meanwhile, no one has found the so-called "Nazi who planted the bomb that killed three non-Jews and an Israeli female tourist. The latest rumor is that the owner of the motorcycle which transported explosives to the scene was a 25-year-old Arab with a forged Cyprot passport.

West Germany. Can the verdict of a trial that took place almost half a century ago be overturned by a present-day court, although practically all the witnesses and all the accused are dead? It can in West Germany, where a judge recently reversed a guilty verdict against Marinus van der Lubbe, a Dutch Communist, and four Bulgarian Reds for setting fire to the Reichstag in 1933. This might set an embarrassing precedent. Who knows if some future German court might not overturn the verdicts of the Nuremberg trials?

Thomas Reuter and Brigitte Schultz, two West Germans who were kidnapped from Kenya by Mossad and hustled to Israel where they were given a secret trial and jailed and tortured for five years, were finally released and allowed to return to the fatherland. The German government was so caught up in the release of the American hostages that it hardly paid any attention to its own two citizens who had suffered much more and much longer at the hands of the Ayatollah Begin than the 52 Americans at the hands of the Ayatollah Khomeini.

Der Spiegel, West Germany's slightly deliberalized Time, released an "eyes-only" transcript of a recent highly controversial state of comments by ex-Luftwaffe Leutnant, now Chancellor, Helmut Schmidt. Winnied Schmidt, into what he thought were sealed ears:

[Il]l pain one to see how a single individual, Menahem Begin, can lead a whole country into bankruptcy and bring his people to disaster, a people which for the first time in its new history has its own state. And in a more crucial sense, Israel's prime minister can even bring us to a new world war.

Israel. Let us suppose the U.S. Army took over all-black Howard University in Washington, D.C., censored all the books and materials used in the curriculum, forbade all public manifestations by the students, passed on the hiring and firing of all faculty, shut down the entire university whenever it felt so inclined, and occasionally shot and killed demonstrating students with impunity. Let us further suppose that the GI's gave a dramatic demonstration of their martial valor by shooting 11 students in the legs, including the limbs belonging to the daughter of the college president. Is it hyperbolic to predict that the Washington Post and Congress would be up in arms about this cold-blooded assault on academic freedom? Well, in recent years all these outrages have been perpetrated by the Israeli Army at Bir Zeit University, the largest of the five Palestinian colleges, with more than 1,000 students. Instead of being up in arms about this, Congress continues to pump more money and more weapons into the state of...
Israel, whose citizens pride themselves on being the "people of the book." Three out of four dead at Kent State were Jews and we've never heard the end of it. Kent State is being replayed almost every month at Bir Zeit, this time with Jews doing the shooting, and we have never heard the beginning of it.

Lebanon. It may or may not be true, but Der Stern, a leading West German scandal sheet, reports that Karl Hoffmann, who at the age of 42 still plays with soldiers and for this has been considered a reincarnated Storm Trooper, has sought and been given refuge in South Lebanon, where he is working for Israeli collaborator Major Sa'ad Hadad, the Christian scourge of the Palestinian refugee camps. If this should be the case, then Begin has become the protector of the protector of a man whom the European media have officially designated as a dangerous neo-Nazi.

Japan. Two synagogues now serve the 100 Jewish families in Japan, who, although living so far away from the holy cities of Scarsdale, Skokie and Palm Springs, are not as lonely or bereft of political clout as might be expected. Nippon boasts a sect of epicantidifolded Judeophiles who number in the tens of thousands. They call themselves Makuya, demonstrate against Japan's pro-Arab foreign policy and make organized tours to bow and wail before Jerusalem's Wailing Wall.

The California Appeals Court has ruled that Proposition 1, the referenda adopted in last year's election which put severe restrictions on forced busing, is constitutional. But Liddy turned loud-mouthed when he got out of jail, gave TV interviews, wrote magazine articles and his book, Will, and added a lecture circuit, making both himself and his agent, Donny Epstein, rich. He still keeps talking about killing people, even killing his own son, "if he were hurting the country." This is the kind of psychotic talk the liberal media take great pleasure in reporting. It helps reinforce their favorite stereotype of the talkative right-winger -- a latter-day Naziouting a Nietzschean gospel.

New Zealand. The minority problem of this quiet, all too quiet, South Sea edition of Britain is unique. No other nation has so many Maoris, who comprise 10% of the country's population and 40% of the prison population. Almost proudly Prime Minister Muldoon has announced, "We are becoming a Polynesian-European people. A hundred years from now we'll all be slightly more brown-skinned." White racial suicide seems to be endemic in this womb-to-tomb welfare state where incomes of $5,500 are taxed at 35% and $22,000 at 60%. What is going on in New Zealand may show that races age and die like people, but at a much slower rate. One thing is certain. If the ancestors of the white New Zealanders had had the same mind-set as their present-day posterity, they would never have left the sceptred isle and New Zealand today would not be 10% but 100% Maori. Just the thought probably warms the cockles of Prime Minister Muldoon's deracinated heart.

Precisely because of his refusal to inform on his superiors, he was given the stiffest of all the jail sentences handed out by Judge John Sirica, who won the plaudits of the liberal-minority coalition for showing no compassion to Liddy -- judicial compassion being restricted to minority criminals.

The minority problem of the deposed Emperor Bokassa is a cannibal is now widely known. But what is not so widely known is his favorite recipe for human flesh. A former cook testified at the trial (in absentia) of Bokassa, now safely exiled in the "civilized" black state known as the Ivory Coast, that the emperor liked his Homo sapiens whole, seasoned with wine, vinegar and several other condiments.

The minority problem of the new Instaurators informed of its multifarious activities. The even shriller Jewish reaction demonstrates that silence, as a tactic for fighting Holocaust revisionism, is no longer golden. Indeed, there is so much noise that even deaf non-Jews are beginning to hear faint whispers that all is not as well as it might be in the Jewish propaganda machine. Such geographically, but not politically, distant newspapers as the Los Angeles Times, Miami Herald and Auckland (New Zealand) Star have now run long, padded articles on the unspeakable Nazis who are daring to question the factuality of history's greatest atrocity tale.

Typical of the new approach, which pub-
licizes as it condemns, are the ejaculations of Dr. Franklin Littell, a Methodist preacher turned professor of religion, who told a get-together of exterminationists in Jerusalem:

You can’t discuss the truth of the Holocaust. That’s a distortion of freedom of speech. The U.S. should emulate West Germany, which outlaws such public exercises. We now have to deal with a minimum of violence; later we’ll have to fight them in the streets.

Also typical is Dr. Lucy Dawidowicz’s high-temperature polemic in Commentary, in which the high priestess of the exterminationist cult damned any historian or critic who subtracts just one gasse from the sacred number of Six Million as a paranoid, psycho or worse.

As anti-Holocaust revisionism grows, though not quite as fast as Topsy, even a few Jews are getting into the act. Dr. Howard Stein of the University of Oklahoma has an article in the Winter 1980 issue of the Journal of Historical Review which tries to show that Jews have a sort of religio-genetic craving for Holocausts. The Six Million has become a kind of group fantasy that actually exults in the concept of Slaughterhouse Auschwitz. Certain Jews would be terribly disappointed if it were shown that most of the “victims” were still alive.

The Institute for Historical Review’s offer of $50,000 for proof that Germans gassed Jews in World War II finally reached the ears of a certain Melvin Memelstein, who claims to be an Auschwitz survivor. To win the money, he promises to present evidence from former inmates, including two members of a Jewish Sonderkommando who were in charge of gassing tens of thousands of their kith and kin. One mysterious survivor, Dr. Miklas Nysili, a Hungarian physicist who was sought in vain by Paul Rassinier, the pioneer of anti-Holocaust studies, is scheduled to emerge from long years of retirement or hiding to take part in the proceedings. Memelstein also says he will offer in evidence crystals of Zyklon B, ashes of cremated prisoners, human hair and other items.

All of us can only hope that Mr. Memelstein will make good on his promises. We have all been waiting for a debate on the Holocaust ever since the first faint shadows of doubt began darkening our credulity. If Memelstein and his backers can make a conclusive case, Instauration will abandon its criticism of the Holocaust and accept it as a reality. To us the most compelling reason for skepticism has been the Jewish refusal to debate the question. Just the promise of a debate in our mind, gives the Jewish argu-

ment more credibility.

Meanwhile, the attack on the Institute is moving into high gear. The expected swastika daubings of synagogues have occurred, along with the canned outcries of priests, preachers and politicians and the automatic Jewish press releases blaming the incidents on the growing influence of the Institute. By ranting hysterically about a wave of anti-Semitism, which may well be the work of anti-anti-Semites, Jewish organizations hope to push laws through the California legislature that will make it more difficult for the Institute to operate. Similar bills are already in the hopper in Oregon and Washington, though it always takes a little time to properly word laws that promote minority racism while outlawing Majority racism. The phraseology gets a little tricky. But, as always, the exterminationists would obviously rather jail their critics than debate them. Well-timed anti-Semitic incidents also inspire Jews to dig deeper into their pockets for contributions to the ADL and other minority racist groups.

Note: The 1981 convention of the Institute for Historical Review has already been set for November 20-23 at the University of California Conference Center at Lake Arrowhead, California. The address of the Institute is P.O. Box 1306, Torrance, CA 90505.

One recent defeat for the exterminationist case has hardly been mentioned by the press. The International Commission of the Red Cross has announced it will make public its archives on Nazi persecution of Jews and other minorities during World War II. These archives comprise about 30,000 pages of documents. So far there has never been one official word from the International Red Cross about gas chambers in any concentration camp. Does this silence mean that these chambers never existed or was all mention of them by the Red Cross officials deliberately censored from published reports? One problem that is likely to arise from the release of the documents is that the Swiss academician in charge has indicated he will seek the services of a Jewish assistant. Unless he also seeks the services of a Holocaust revisionist, there could easily be a scholarly stacking of the deck.

**Courage Not Extinct**

Four recent incidents in four widely separated areas of the country show that some Majority members are no longer willing to lie down and play possum when attacked by nonwhites. In Chicago, when Robert King saw a black raping a blind white woman while her blind husband stood helplessly by, he tackled the rapist and held him until police arrived. In New York City, a white who was forced at gunpoint to watch his female companion being raped by a black ran down and killed the rapist with his car when the latter was making his escape. In Santa Barbara, a jewelry store owner, Bob Bryant, shot and killed three armed blacks as they tried to rob his store in broad daylight. In Tulsa, after a 17-year-old black raped a white woman in front of her two children, he robbed her of some jewelry and left. He warned his victim that if she called the police, he would kill her children. Four hours later, after her husband had returned from work, the black rapist again broke into the couple’s home. The husband killed him with a butcher knife.

**Ludendorff in Kansas**

The Ludendorff Study Group has been formed in the Midwest to “promote the study of Teutonic history, culture and religion.” Teutonic is defined as “designating a group of North European peoples, including the Germans, Scandinavians, Dutch, English, etc.” The adjective was quite popular at the turn of the century when the origins of many of America’s free institutions were traced back through the English heritage to German tribes. But when World War I started, the Teutonic connection was quickly buried and England and Greece became the unique sources of American democracy.

The Group’s prospectus states in part:

We promote the study of Teutonic history, culture, and religion, because . . . the Teutonic represents the ideal Nordic racial type. Many look upon the blond-haired, blue-eyed Teuton as the epitome of greatness. Yet few if any are openly willing to discuss the history or contribution of the Teutonic people in depth.

Throughout history the Teutonic has been portrayed as uncivilized, barbaric, ungodly and cruel. Yet the Teutonic has been responsible for much of the civilization we enjoy today. It is time for the Teutonic to stand up, brush off the rubbish, which others have heaped upon him . . . and take control of his own destiny. . . . We are interested in the promotion of what is good about ourselves, rather than what is bad about other people or races.

The Study Group makes a particular point of attacking “Christianity,” by which it means those Christian churches that put politics, self-promotion and religiosity “over saving souls.”

Erich Friedrich Wilhelm Ludendorff, it will be remembered, directed much of the grand strategy of the German war machine
durning World War I. He marched side by side with Hitler in the abortive 1923 Munich putsch. Later he broke with his Führer and devoted his remaining years to speculating about religion and various historical and on-going conspiracies.

The Ludendorff Study Group welcomes comments, “hate mail,” suggestions, news clippings and contributions. The address is P.O. Box 3235, Wichita, KS 67201.

Half-Right Pundit

Intelligent conservative columnists like Joseph Sobran take the results of the Reagan election as indicating a great economic, political and ideological shift to the right. In one respect Sobran knows what’s what:

Liberalism has taken to treating America as a nation of defendants, if not actual convicts. We are to be supervised, licensed, regulated, bused, interrogated, and forced to do many things we would not freely do.

This is a destructive way for any government to carry on. When this does so in the name of one race against another, the effect can only be to worsen race relations.

Some day – maybe some day soon – it will dawn on liberals . . . they don’t run this country any more.

Sobran knows what ails us, but he is dead wrong about the liberals. Despite President Reagan’s temporary possession of the White House, the liberals still “run this country.” They run the media, churn out the message sitcoms and slanted news that dominate the goggle box, and teach (indoctrinate) our children. This is a far more effective form of control than a fair-weather Republican presidency.

Some day – maybe some day soon – it will dawn on liberals . . . they don’t run this country any more.

Pride Before Job

It looked as if it would be another replay of the old story. The fire chief was ordered by the city manager to promote three blacks to captaincies, although they lacked seniority and were inarguably less qualified than the three veteran white firemen next in line for jobs. The fire chief would then swallow his pride, betray his white firemen and knuckle down to minority racism. But not in Evanston, Illinois! Glen Ayers, the fire chief, refused to follow the standard script and told the city manager where to go. He lost his job but kept his self-respect. The black who was appointed fire chief in Ayers’ place immediately filled the three vacant captaincies with a trio of blacks.

Undemocratic Democrats

Although he won the Democratic nomination for Congress from a southern California district fair and square, Tom Metzger was denied a seat in the State Central Committee at the Democratic party’s state convention held early this year in Sacramento. Winners of Democratic primaries are automatically made members of the committee, but not in Metzger’s case. When push comes to shove, no one abandons democratic procedures (both small “d” and big “D”) faster than politicians who spend their lives swearing by democracy.

Carlson Runs Again

Gerald Carlson, the white activist who amassed almost 53,000 votes as the Republican candidate in a Michigan congressional race last year, is at it again.

Carlson is one of seven Republicans racing for the nomination to succeed OMB Director David Stockman as congressman from Michigan’s traditionally GOP 4th District. The primary date is March 24 and the general election will be April 21. Since the primary falls after Instauration’s deadline for this issue, we will announce the results next month.

As might be expected after two congressional races within six months, the Carlson coffer is depleted and contributions are welcome. The address is Carlson for Congress, P.O. Box 34, Jonesville, MI 49250.

Tyn dall’s Doings

John Tyndall, who quit the National Front last year, founded a successor organization called the New National Front, which hasn’t been doing too badly. Almost a third of the old group has come over and joined their former chief. As Tyndall explains it, he doesn’t want to put the National Front out of business, though it hasn’t been going great guns since he resigned in disgust at the homosexual proclivities of his second-in-command, Martin Webster. What Tyndall wants, though it may not be what Tyndall gets, is to build up the New National Front to where it can exert powerful leverage over the older organization, maybe to the point where he is taken back as leader. Hitler put up with his homosexual contingent for more than a decade until that famous Night of the Long Knives in 1934 when he liquidated Ernst Roehm and others of similar sexual persuasion. Tyndall had less patience.

Another Ray of Light

For years one of the best means of finding out what is happening in South Africa has been to subscribe to the South African Observer (P.O. Box 2401, Pretoria, South Africa), a monthly journal edited by S.E.D. Brown. In fact, the Observer has been so accurate in its reporting that at least one issue has been banned by the government. Now the Observer has been joined in the fight to preserve Western civilization in one last spot on the darkening continent by the South African Patriot (written mainly in English, but partly in Afrikaans). The Patriot is made to order for those looking for news that never sees the light of day in the South African or world media – stories about the black brigands now turning Rhodesia back to the black ages, reports about the steady relaxation of apartheid by the gutless politicians, insightful articles about Harry Oppenheimer, Africa’s richest man, who will never be satisfied until the country that made his great wealth possible is reduced to the status of Angola or Mozambique. The address of the South African Patriot is P.O. Box 6019, Durban 4000, Natal, South Africa.

Little-Known Publishing House

Small publishing firms are so isolated by their lack of resources and by the deliberate inattention of the media that it often takes years for them to learn of each other’s existence. Only recently did we hear of The Sovereign Press (326 Harris Rd, Rochester, WA 98579), which has published several intriguing books, one of them by editor Marguerite Pedersen entitled Censorship in the U.S. -- I Accuse the Jews ($2.00). In one chapter the author recounts that Simon and Schuster tried to buy the rights to the name of The Sovereign Press and, when refused, went ahead and used it anyway for a paperback subsidiary. Word Controlled Humans by John Harland is another Sovereign Press volume of more than general interest. It makes the point that in the present age “competition for control of . . . groups is so intense that it allows no time for considering what to do with the group under control; getting the control has just become a game; the game is a dominant factor in human life.” To regain our individuality, the author advises us, we must once and for all rid of the word controllers, who have been our masters for so much of our history. The Sovereign Press has other titles of interest to those eager for new ideas or a new interpretation of old ideas. One that deserves particular attention is Melvin Gorham’s dramatic reinterpretation of Richard Wagner’s The Valkyrie ($5.00). It’s an original play in three acts set in the 21st century with the various Wagnerian heroes and villains given the contemporary roles of revolutionists and dictators. The Valkyries are airplane pilots who rescue wounded heroes from battle.
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The Dispossessed Majority by Wilmot Robertson. No one who reads this all-encompassing study of the American predicament will ever again view his country in the same light. Its lavishly footnoted pages recount the tragedy of a great people, the Americans of Northern European descent, who founded and built the U.S. and whose decline is the chief cause of America’s decline. Although loaded with cogent criticism of the people and events which have brought America low, the book ends on a positive, optimistic note, which envisions a resurgent American Majority liberating its institutions from the control of intolerant intellectuals innately programmed to destroy what they could never create. 586 pages, index; hardcover, $16.00; softcover, $5.95.

Ventilations by Wilmot Robertson. The author of The Dispossessed Majority firms up and expands some of his key ideas. In 14 probing essays he answers his critics, comments on Watergate, Russian anti-Semitism, women’s liberation, foreign affairs, and tells young Majority members what they can do to oppose the reverse discrimination that is making them second-class citizens. Also included is a blow-by-blow description of the attempted suppression of The Dispossessed Majority by the media establishment. The last two essays propose both a moral and practical solution to the ethnic dilemma by transforming the U.S. into a racial confraternity. Softcover, 115 pages, $2.95.

Race and Reason and Race and Reality by Carleton Putnam. Out of the civil rights agitation of the 50s and 60s came two searching, scholarly, objective, last-word studies of the equalitarian movement. When everyone else was silent, Carleton Putnam — lawyer, airline executive and historian — spoke out. In reasoned, crystalline prose he methodically demolishes almost every point, argument and cliché in the liberal-minority arsenal, giving clear warning of the affirmative action programs that were bound to follow. Softcover, both books for $5.00, $3.25 separately.

Christianity and the Survival of the West by Dr. Revilo P. Oliver. In richly textured prose which has all but become extinct in this age of clamorous illiteracy, the author, one of the world’s foremost philologists, details the causes and consequences of the religious disintegration of Western man. The main cause of Christianity’s decadence is Western science, to which we owe the military and industrial might that has thus far saved us from extermination, but which has undermined the structure of Western faith. Dr. Oliver provides brilliant answers to the all-important question: Will the end of our religion mean the end of our race? Softcover, 78 pages, $3.50.

Why Civilizations Self-Destruct by Elmer Pendell. If we are to survive we must reverse the lethal, age-old process that increases human quantity while reducing human quality. In the precivilized states of man, natural selection produced a superior variety of human being whose intelligence and industriousness were channeled into building an advanced social order that protected instead of eliminated the unit. When the protected outnumbered the protectors, civilization begins to die. If we follow Dr. Pendell’s advice, we could be the first to successfully defy this inexorable life-and-death cycle. 196 pages, index; hardcover, $9.50; softcover, $4.50.

The Mediator by Richard Swartzbaugh. The author, an assistant professor of anthropology, explains how and why the mediators and go-betweens who abound in America exert great influence over our daily lives. The book’s subtitle could easily be “The Unmasking of a Powerful Establishment.” Hardcover, 113 pages, index, $5.95.

Best of Instauration - 1976. A choice selection of the contents of the entire first year of Instauration, Wilmot Robertson’s monthly magazine. The original page size has been retained, which means that the 116 pages represent at least 348 ordinary book pages. A virtual encyclopedia of revisionist history, the anthology looks at the world from a Majority perspective. Brilliant, factual writing on philosophy, history, literature and current events that cannot be found in any other contemporary publication. Softcover, $10.00.
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The Might of the West by Lawrence Brown. The best of all possible antidotes for Spengler. The author, a scholar-engineer, says the West did not begin in

Greece but in the early Middle Ages. The Renaissance was a time of reaction, temporarily interrupting Western progress by turning it backward to Athens and Rome. The eternal conflict with the Levantine culture hobbed the West’s scientific and cultural growth with dogma and irrationality. The wealth of information in this epochal study conclusively demonstrates there was more light than darkness in the Dark Ages. Hardcover, 549 pages, index, $20.00.

The Testing of Negro Intelligence by Audrey Shuey. The author, late professor of psychology at Randolph-Macon College, reviews, analyzes and elucidates the results of 380 separate tests of black intelligence. Examined and weighed are the IQ scores of 140,320 Negroes divided into 12 categories. In this definitive study of Negro mental powers, Dr. Shuey finds in almost every case blacks tested below whites, with only small differences in IQ noted between Northern and Southern Negroes. Hardcover, 378 pages, index, $15.50.

Which Way Western Man? by William G. Simpson. There is almost no unpopular subject which the author, a onetime “worker-preacher” who abandoned Christ for Nietzsche, does not meet head on. He comes out tournesquare for eugenics. He is sure that physical beauty is linked to spiritual beauty. In a day when the word, aristocrat, has become an obscenity, he promotes aristocracy with all the resources of his high intelligence. A fascinating intellectual odyssey, 758 pages, hardcover, $15, softcover, $5.00.

The Crowd by Gustave Le Bon. The great, half-forgotten French prophet, jumped the gun on Freud, Ortega y Gasset and Pareto in a study of the popular mind. Crowds, wrote Le Bon, do things which individuals would never do. They have a personality of their own, more often a destructive personality, and they are the unruly offspring of mass democracy. Le Bon’s low opinion of history, his refusal opinion of religion and high opinion of race are refreshingly controversial. Reprinted in 1973 by the Viking Press. Softcover, 207 pages, $1.30.

A New Morality from Science by Raymond B. Cattell. An internationally prominent social scientist rejects liberalism and racial leveling in a profound and challenging work that searches for new ethical values from the domain of science. The author’s eminently sensible proposals for a new evolutionary ethics based on behavioral genetics rather than on religious, liberal or Marxist dogma have been greeted by book reviewers with almost total silence. Published in 1971 by Pergamon Press. Softcover, 482 pages, index, $8.00.

The French Revolution in San Domingo by Lothrop Stoddard. A grim, frightening, lucid account of the step-by-step destruction of white civilization in the richest island in the New World. By the time the Negro emperors had taken over, every single white colonist, together with his wife and children, had either fled or been massacred. The end result was Haiti, today the poorest of the West Indian islands. Softcover, 410 pages, $5.00.

The Conquest of a Continent by Madison Grant. The classic work on American racial history in which the author, beginning with the Nordic settlement of the colonies, examines the genetic components of every state in the U.S. and every country in the Western Hemisphere. By making race his central theme, Grant enriches his pages with events that have escaped the attention of conformist historians. Hardcover, 393 pages, index, $15.00.


Twins: Black and White by R. Travis Osborne. The first book-length comparison of identical and fraternal black and white twins. Dr. Osborne, a professor of psychology, demonstrates that the pattern of mean difference in IQ is remarkably consistent and that 50 to 75% of mental test variance is due to genetic rather than environmental factors. Scores, biometric data and personality profiles have been obtained from 125 different tests of 123 black and 373 white pairs. Hardcover, 286 pages, index, $17.95.

Add $1 per book postage and handling, 50¢ per book for multiple orders. Florida residents, please add 4% sales tax.
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