WHITE IS GLORIOUS!
Old "Cholly" is a symbol of what's wrong with America's cowardly plutocracy. He is part of the very group he ridicules for its lack of values. He revealed this when he advised us to get together in small groups -- even groups of two -- with people who really care. He, however, has never done a damn thing in his life because he never found a single person who cared! How convenient! How hard has he looked? How valuable is a strategy for action that never leads to action?

There is also a lot of free enterprise in the Soviet Union (the food speculators) and a lot of communism here (the commissars in HEW and HUD). Give us more of the same. Look ahead, as he does. Never look back.

The real issue boils down to which is the better road to power, the corruption of the West or the brutality of the East. In current terms these are symbolized by the Shah of Iran and the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. But there are hundreds of examples from the recent and remote past. Both democracy and communism are delusions. They are secular religions with no more basis in fact than Hindu reincarnation or Jesus-freak salvation. Corruption and brutality, however, are all too real. There is a specious, his judgments acute and his use of language masterful. In discussing Erasmus it is not quite clear whether the rejection of the Old Testament as "embarrassing and compromising baggage" is meant to be exclusively Erasmus's judgment or his own as well. I wonder whether he is familiar with Nietzsche's exactly opposite position on the relative merits of the Old and New Testaments?

People like Dewey and Ward were psychologically pretty much in the mold of our current media masters and beat their pitiful drums to the tune of equality. Ward, indeed, welcomed race fusion and upbraided those progressives in the eugenics movement as preachers of "privilege." Their views were a tissue of fallacies and even lacked the literary finish of outright socialists. However, there were some in the progressive movement, such as Ely, Roosevelt and Brooks Adams, who would have passed for European conservatives.

Revilo Oliver's language is genuine, not specious, his judgments acute and his use of language masterful. In discussing Erasmus it is not quite clear whether the rejection of the Old Testament as "embarrassing and compromising baggage" is meant to be exclusively Erasmus's judgment or his own as well. I wonder whether he is familiar with Nietzsche's exactly opposite position on the relative merits of the Old and New Testaments?

Despite your attack on Paul Rosenbloom (Oct. 1979), what is called the new math is over a hundred years old. It might best be described as using axioms in algebra just as we all used axioms in geometry. Many of the greats of the nineteenth century, like George Boole and Giuseppe Peano, participated in its development. Set theory, or more properly collections of infinite totality, was the brainchild of Bolzano. Georg Cantor did not put forth the basic concept of a set though he did prove some interesting theorems about them. The first biography of Cantor (recently issued) refuted the oft-repeated claim of Cantor's Jewishness made by Eric Temple Bell in Men of Mathematics by noting that Cantor's mother was a Roman Catholic and raised her son as one. Cantor's father's father may have been Jewish, but even that is obscure.

The sin that made Jordan Majority Renegade of the Year was not pride. It was pridelessness.
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Note: Cholly Bilderberger is going to sit this issue out. He'll be back next month.
I am afraid the National Enquirer or the Thunderbolt is not enough for me. I shall need a little more hard evidence before I believe that a humanoid subspecies has developed entirely different pedal extremities from any other. I wonder, by the way, if you know that the very primitive Flannan Islanders (who were taken off in the 1920s) turned out to have many individuals with webbing between their toes. For countless generations they had lived out on those rain-swept rocks, climbing for guillemots eggs for a living and paddling in the water for shellfish. There must have been some selective principle at work.

British subscriber

Your Cholly Bilderberger article (Oct. 1979) is remarkable. No, it is more; it goes to the core of the question and it does it in a marvelous way. Others have said that Jews can’t live without a host people -- it is a cold fact -- but it never was revealed to me so clearly as in Cholly's article.

Dutch subscriber

Not long ago I visited the ADL office in downtown Washington to purchase some Holocaust literature. Looking over the selection of books and pamphlets, I asked about the section of materials devoted to civil rights and racial equality. “Oh,” said the saleswoman, referring to the new strain in black-Jewish relations, “that material’s out of date now.” Around the ADL offices were posters aimed at blacks stressing the Arab legacy of trading in black slaves and suggesting that Jews are “reliable” allies.

When Schlesinger was Secretary of Defense under Nixon, he was responsible for stripping NATO of its heavy weapons and ammunition and sending them to Israel in 1973. This left NATO facing a superior Russian force for a long period as General Brown pointed out. But it was Israel First for the B’nai B’rith-oriented Schlesinger. This, by the way, is what caused the Arab oil embargo. Our politicians have not lost their sense of humor. The man who caused the oil embargo was made Energy Czar until his recent replacement by another Carter crony.

One suggestion which I have been intending to make for a long time is that the Roman quote on the cover be replaced by Selbst ist der Mann. This means trust yourself or have confidence in yourself as an evolved and responsible human being. I think that it is far more appropriate than a Latin saying. Considering all the troubles and disasters we have received from Rome, we should reject Latin culture in favor of Gothic thought.

The mediocrity that grips the literature of white racist and conservative writing is appalling. Continuous cataloging of, and teeth gnashing over, our enemies’ latest coups provides neither inspiration nor hope for the future. Are our people so devoid of imagination and problem-solving ability that they are incapable of devising antidotes to our enemies’ poison? Worse than that is the arrogance of our pseudointellectuals who proudly ignore solutions and defend their “educational” writing. How much “education” do we need to realize what is happening? Why not have some “education” concerning what we can do about it?

The problem with the new math in schools is (1) the students are stupid, (2) the teachers are stupider. But for intelligent people, anyone who pretends to be well educated should have a working knowledge of the basics of the most fundamental of sciences, and by a working knowledge I mean more than one ha-ha college survey course. When history majors start knowing more math than math majors know history, I’ll squawk, but not until then. If every intelligent person had the intellectual background to understand Jensen’s books, they would not put up with a lot of phony arguments by so-called liberals.

I have been following your emphasis on the topic of racist criminal activity against the white population by the “deprived folks.” Instauration is to be congratulated for expressing this aspect of minority racism, which generally is shuffled into statistics on general crime, with no emphasis on its peculiar nature.

One good way to get one’s point across when dealing with liberals is to ask them to define their terms. Examples: “When you refer to homosexuals, do you mean sodomites or lesbians?” When you refer to them as “gays,” do you mean that they look cheerful or do you mean that they practice sodomy?”

As a former cryptographer in the Army I found Cholly B.’s reference to A Man Intrepid very interesting and especially the paragraph ending with, “and they would start to band together to act with no turning back.” With no aspersions to Safety Valve, I am thinking of a new classification as Clogged Valve. Under this heading we could have a Department of Inertia, that could function closely with our Stymied Secretary. A research bureau could pursue a study in Static Relativity. I will gladly serve as Director of Paralysis.

Bilderberger’s October article was awful beyond words or, indeed, belief. I imagine the piece drew a vociferous response even from Instaurationists. It certainly did not do any good for the advancement and preservation of Homo milkchops (us). I just plain cannot see any point in continually snarling at people like Ben Stein, who probably agrees with everything that you say except that Ben Stein is a no-goodnik, or Milton Friedman, who, though shaky on nonwhite immigration, has done yeoman service in preserving some of the economically sensitive Majority birthrate. Then comes Bilderberger with this “they ain’t people,” complete with pseudo-genetic pap speculation that they picked up some wild gene while running around in the desert. If so, said gene would have to be assumed present in Saladin, Ataturk, Giordano Bruno, Julius II and the rest of the mainly Northern European-gene-ed giants of the Mediterranean. And if Lauren Bacall, Natalie Wood, Mark Spitz and Kirk Douglas have anything genetically more in common than Bilderberger with those wizened little selfstassers I used to watch peddling miscegenationist garbage at school, I’ll eat Mein Krumpets unleavened.

In 1955, while doing graduate work on the “Palestine Problem” at the American University in Beirut, I began to smell something strange which was not Zyklon-B. Ending up with the conclusion that probably half of the famous “6 million” had merely disappeared and had not been exterminated, I speculated that the missing “several million” undoubtedly, and uncheckably, had refused in the Soviet Union and/or illegally emigrated to the U.S.

Driving recently through the Cherokee Indian reservation with its brand new sports stadium and movie house, lavish museum, modern grammar school and natural stone high school (indoor olympic pool and four tennis courts, while the nearby white high schools have no tennis courts, not even a small outdoor pool), I remembered the claim of Arnold Toynbee and other high and mighty double-domes who said our forefathers wiped out the Indians. The truth is that there are just as many Redscke alive today (approximately 1 million) as there were when Jamestown first opened for business. No, we didn’t destroy them then. But we are destroying them now -- by welfare checks, government handouts, poisonous food, and "made in Taiwan" feather headdresses and tomahawks. Many of the Cherokees I saw weighed more than 200 lbs. and had difficulty making it down the street.
**The Safety Valve**

- Like Lawrence Brown, I see the high Middle Ages with its center of gravity in Northern Europe as the launching pad for the rise of modern science. Oliver's reminders of the importance of nominalism and the very Europeanized character of medieval Christianity are a good antidote to the facile neo-Protestantism of the Odnists. Just take a look at the astonishingly idealistic faces of the Nordic aristocracy as represented in the statues at Chartres Cathedral. They outdo the ancient Greeks in mystical aspiration. The fault lay in emphasizing the Judaic roots of Christianity. The North of Europe played along with this emphasis because it saw the movement as a way of winning its independence. But whom do you prefer, Calvin or Alexander VI? That pope was no saint, but he did a great deal more for culture and the Jewish talent to solve puzzles, they are not doing so well with their financial affairs in Israel. The bad popes loved beauty almost as much as the good popes hated thought.”

- I was delighted to read Oliver’s “The Second Coming of a Masterpiece” (Instauration, Dec. 1979). He is a genius.

- To me, the most interesting detail of the report on the Holocaust Revisionist meeting was that Constantine Fitzgibbon is the half-brother of Louis. Sure, the two half-brothers are on opposite sides of the fence, but both are concerned with the same problem. In such a case, can we doubt that the one telling the truth will have a considerable effect on the one who isn’t, however much the latter may deny it? There are other cases of close relations being on opposite sides -- the Philibys, for example. Both the famous Arabist and his son were interested in ways to maintain influence, though the father was loyal and the son wasn’t. Consider also the case of the Carter brothers and their difference of opinion over the Middle East. Close relatives may not agree, but they will tend to see things in very similar ways. To take yet another case, both Diana and Jessica Mitford were upset about the conditions in the industrial cities in Britain, but whereas Jessica took the way leading to a dead end, Diana supported the one man in England with effective plans to change the society for the better.

- British subscriber

- Instauration is one of the highlights of my month, right up there with the paydays.

**For economy reasons why not recommend the reelection of Carter? Can we afford three retired presidents?**

**Re IQ, let us not forget that, far from being slanted against the minorities, the tests are slanted against us. The trick is worked by lumping together all those of Caucasian race, while not lumping together the Mongoloids. Thus, the Northern Europeans have their IQ standards reduced by being lumped together with the less intelligent Southern Europeans, while the Japanese and Chinese are not lumped together with the less intelligent Mongoloids of Southeast Asia. Even the Chicanos are often considered as “white.” As for the Jewish talent to solve puzzles, they are not doing so well with their financial affairs in Israel.**

- How to solve the Iranian problem? In exchange for the hostages, offer David Rockefeller, Henry Kissinger, Sol Lino-witz, Paul Warnke, A.M. Solomon, Zbigiew Brzezinski, Philip Klutznick, Mayor Koch and Michael Blumenthal. Future negotiations could then proceed at a more leisurely pace.

- Like nuclear energy? Think it’s safe? Don’t forget that your local power company is an equal opportunity, affirmative action employer. And so is the Nuclear Regulatory Agency!

- Enjoyed that piece from “Jewish subscriber” in the November issue. Your readers may learn something from it. If nothing else, they will learn that you have a Jewish subscriber.

- Now that you’ve published that old Einstein jingle, how about printing the equally famous

  How odd of God
  To choose
  The Jews!

- Cholly’s point about decency is a winner. We don’t want people who go in for skullduggery for its own sake. We want people who go in for skullduggery for the highest and purest motives.

- I hope Instauration never lowers its high intellectual standards. If some of the material is over the heads of the readers, so much the better. If they never chew on meat, their teeth will never grow.

- “Bilderberger” (who is slowly disclosing his identity) suggests a crucial question about William Stephenson: was he the padrone of that Mafia, or just a high-grade operative of M.I. 6 (and 5!), doing his job with the ruthless efficiency that long made the British Intelligence services the second best in the world.

- The article on polls stirred the bathysmal depths of my memory and brought up a coelacanth. As a youngster I knew fairly well the inventor of the idea. I have forgotten his name. He was a young instructor or perhaps assistant professor in the department of psychology. He had worked out the formulae for calculating a general “public opinion” from small samples obtained by interviewing persons taken at random from each of a number of well-defined categories, and, so far as I know, his technique is still used, though perhaps with some modifications. I lost track of him after he departed to make a fortune from his invention, so I suppose that he probably fared like many other inventors and received no more than a mess of postage. He intended to use his technique, not to control public opinion, but to serve as an inconspicuous and half-secret means of enabling politicians to win elections by ascertaining accurately what the boobs wanted to know and how the boobs reacted to given bits of propaganda.

- Please continue with your anti-Holocaust crusade. Those readers who think you are devoting too much space to it are purblind or moved by moral cowardice. They think that if the issue is safely put in the attic, Jews will no longer be able to make hay out of it. And they shy instinctively away from controversy, which is precisely what the Jews are counting on. It is only by stirring the ashes that the flame is kindled.

- Incredibly, you’ve founded an enclave state within our dissolving society where every Instaurationist knows who he is and what his civilization is all about. What you’re doing, really, is gathering together the true Westerners of all nationalities for whom a great Spaniard would say, “God deny you peace and grant you glory.”
I've read John Richard Jefferies' *The Story of My Heart* and consider it one of the most brilliant and eloquent expressions of the Western soul ever written. This short Indo-European classic should be read by every Instaurationist, promptly. And for exposure to our Faustian urge, the effervescent impulsion to the infinite, David Lindsay's *A Voyage to Arcturus* (available in paperback) is a must.

As an American of Italian descent, I was impressed with the editor's knowledge of the difference between Northern and Southern Italians. My parents came from the Piemontese (my mother from Turin and my father from Asti). They looked down on the Southern Italians and actually would not associate with them. They referred to them as *caflone.*

I find myself nonplussed over the evaluation of British superspy William Stephenson by the hitherto perspicacious Cholly. Firstly, as to Stephenson's early days, Cholly credits him with demonstrating his faith in his society and making it work. Ah, yes, but for whom? Cholly neglects to mention that Stephenson became a multimillionaire in the post-World War I British communications industry with the assistance of Lord Rothschild. Cholly's amazing kid-gloves treatment of Stephenson, calling him "dedicated" and "motivated by moral fervor" brings a feeling of revulsion to those who remember that due to the efforts of this "decent" man millions of whites died in a fratricidal war. In conclusion, Cholly asks why there are no men like Stephenson around today. Just look around you, Cholly. The profusion of men like your hero is the reason our country is somewhere between Freud and Ardrey.

Don't knock pot. It may have the effect of curtailing the already low birthrates of mixed marriages between upper-class white coed types and semi-evolved mud-ders. Give grass for food stamps and print Seven Sisters AB degrees on it.

In certain individuals the right combination clicks and you have the Great Man. The potential does not exist among the colored races, not because of low IQ, but because of a narrow spectrum of personality structures. A colored or mixed race really has no true humanity. They are creatures somewhere between Freud and Ardrey. They represent *homo* as a dead end in evolution.

This morning I viewed a most interesting TV program -- "Farm Digest," out of Des Moines -- which advanced a very crucial reason for the food deficit of Third World nations. It seems their urban populations demand and (through political clout) get cheap food. Because prices for in-country crops are held artificially low, there is no incentive whatever for farmers to increase production.

Hardly anything is being done about those toxic additives, the food preservatives. They really want us dead, those plotting supersalesmen. We're half dead already!

A recent movie, *Boardwalk,* may have escaped your attention. Except for a few unimportant reviews, the picture about the deteriorating neighborhoods of Coney Island and the violence against the peaceable (in this case elderly Jews) by youth gangs (portrayed realistically by mostly minority members and one white boy) was demolished by the big-time critics. It is probably the first film to realistically portray how minority crime is reducing large American cities to barbarism.

I believe you should pay a little more attention to some of the readers' suggestions and criticisms, particularly those who have voiced objections about the superiority of blond people. It is hard enough these days to get people to believe in the intellectual limitations of the black, let alone the superiority of blonds.

Football is a big pain. They go into a huddle, take a flying leap into a hodgepodge of heads, arms and legs, and dash around in that ridiculous paraphernalia. All show biz. Big heroes! Bigger deal!

There is a fable about the mice who tried to get one of their number to put the bell on the cat. No volunteers. Will the mice get together en masse and defeat the cat? No way. This is how all conservative action is hosed. Wake up the mice and tell them to end the terrible rule of the great liberal-minority cat. There is not now nor will there ever be a revolution. Just a change of cats. Political science deals mostly with superficial legalities, which may or may not reflect the realities. This is expressed in the common observation that a good lawyer is a great actor rather than a great scholar.

Anyone dubious of the accuracy of the article, "A Difference of Minds" (July 1979), should have seen the shark-like mouth of my senior Senator when he observed in reference to the Iranian crisis that we should occupy the oilfields, devastate the countryside and starve the populace. I can recall no such comparable degree of indignation when the Senator's co-racialists straffed and bombed the *U.S.S. Liberty.*

Many presidential hopefuls and militant patriots who have quickly forgotten our Vietnam Götterdammerung are driving for another no-victory land war, this time at the very doorstep of the U.S.S.R.

Another war to save a collapsing house of cards and fill the coffers of the warlords? Never!

There is a white racial feeling here in Boston. It's just that people are being told of it by their blood, since their minds are afraid to think of it.

Spengler, Toynbee and Lawrence Brown are interesting and stimulating, but too long-winded. They are not science, since there is no way in the world to prove or disprove their ideas.

"A Majority Strategy for the Upcoming War" (*Instauration,* Dec. 1979) was tense and not encouraging and not in tune. It smacks of surrender to the established order. Why shouldn't we, the disenfranchised, be conscientious objectors? Why stick our necks out again?

Mao was wrong in declaring that power comes from the barrel of a gun -- it comes from another sort of barrel. If we are conquered, it will not be by guns, but by loins.

Instauration should certainly not encourage the kind of dialectic which would fragment the readership. I suspect that the simplistic sounding types of correspondence such as the letters by 068 and 752 (Dec. 1979) may represent the kind of communications that I would expect from a "plant." They almost seem a provocation to a flood of angry replies which unless analyzed could start pointless diversionary bickering, perfectly in tune with the desires of the opposition.
The Safety Valve

It is unfortunate that readers, who otherwise are supporters of Instauratian's goals, i.e., the welfare of the white race and the preservation of its Nordic component, in some instances react with hypersensitivity toward any criticism of the Christian religion, to the extent of recommending that any adverse remarks about Christianity are a verboten topic in the pages of Instauratian. Obstinacy in clinging to dogmatic precepts, without analyzing the reason for their insertion into the fabric of Christianity, borders on the pernicious. Outstanding in this respect is the anti-abortion argument of the "right-to-lifers" whose ferocious loyalty to a papal edict reflects bourgeois sentimentality rather than an instinct for the preservation of their race. The denial of federal funds to those whose soaring birthrate constitutes a primary threat to an orderly civilization exemplifies the loss of the instinct of self-preservation. Because in the past the Christian church was motivated to stimulate reproduction by papal decree to counteract the multitude of heathen outside the gates, it seems ludicrous to apply these same laws to encourage the propagation of modern heathen within the gates.

660

Britain, in its decadence, sent us the Beatles. The Germans sent us the Beetles, which have actually outsold Henry Ford's "Tin Lizzie." Despite the yapping about "Aawful Adolf," millions have purchased his "people's car." Beetles will still be running, and highly praised, long after the last Beatle has whimpered his last, "Yeah, Yeah, Yeah."

190

Nowadays Sweden is an immigration country; more than one million of a total population of about eight million are immigrants, mostly not Nordic at all. According to some estimates there are only 3% pure Nordic types in Sweden today.

Swedish subscriber

Robert Lenski has a simple and appealing idea -- exploit current trends in our own favor. Unfortunately, he forgets that the enemy only gives publicity to its own.

666

Some years ago I took part in a successful campaign in favor of the Palestinians. What happened? They turned round and embraced the blacks.

British subscriber

I agree that there is a great threat to the white man and that it is desirable for the Nordic race to be preserved. But it is an impossible task as long as you accept democracy. The answer is meritocracy, which gives a man or woman up to ten votes a head according to his or her ability to fulfill tests of political knowledge and judgment.

888

When you blame the Spaniards for having lost all wars since the Napoleonic era and want to dismiss them as well as the Italians and the French from belonging to a European community of "Northern Europeans," I am dismayed. What has the ability to win wars to do with the quality of a people? The Swedes have lost all their wars since Charles XII, the Danes since 1700 and the Dutch since the middle of the 17th century. The Finns, a very heroic people, have also lost all their wars, but they fought so bravely that the Russians have allowed them to have at least some national independence. The Spaniards, as well as the Italians and the French are completely European, and here in Europe only silly people do not realize that. Europe is an idea of culture. The Latin Europeans are the nucleus of that culture, as Shakespeare is the nucleus of English culture and Beethoven of German culture.

Swedish subscriber

Throckmorton claims the Nordic to be an ectomorph, and so he is. The confusion is caused by the fact that the Nordic of Scandinavia, Scotland, Iceland and Ireland is crossed quite frequently with the Upper Palaeolithic type, and this produces the strong, athletic build which is characteristic of mesomorphs. I used to train soldiers to go around assault courses and never ceased to marvel how the slim upper-class types would manage to find extra reserves of staying power when much stronger-looking Alpine types would give up. Endomorphs are best left as cooks, storemen or batmen.

British subscriber

Cholly slips from his usual style when he describes Stephenson as "typical of his type." What else would he be typical of? Only the Spaniards are allowed to refer to a "tipo tipico."

028

I am an intellectual and I really despise myself.

619

As to who to choose if forced to pick either blacks or Jews as allies (Nov. 1979), if I had to stand against a wall to be shot, I would choose the Negroes.

087

I have followed up on Instauratian's review of Mahieu's book, Drakkars on the Amazon, by writing to a professor of Scandinavian studies at the University of Wisconsin, who is also a member of the Sons of Norway. He handed my letter to another professor who replied that he never heard of the book and did not know of any "reputable" academic who believed in anything like Mahieu's theory. He was not interested in even pursuing the matter.

490

In my opinion, a hillbilly on welfare who raises eight kids, each of whom can "shoot the eye out of a squirrel at a hundred yards," is doing more for our race than any patiently laboring engineer, suffering the insults of Negro shophands and piling up profits for his firm's minority owner. To me, the only excuse for any white man holding a productive job is that he uses it as a cover for more appropriate activities.

741

Detroit is a ruin. The other day a veteran lawyer told me a friend of his, a contractor tied to Ford, was forced to rent for $38,000 a year some unwanted and unneeded space in Renaissance Center. The whole place is rented that way -- by sheer economic coercion. Each big company in the Detroit area has been dragooned into putting employees into the place at God knows what price to their stockholders. The whole $350 million complex is an economic waste, another cost we pay for the obsessive, paranoid insistence on integration.

481

The female problem is a big one. No right-wing philosophy appeals to women until it is successful. Then they flock to it. Women make excellent conservatives, but, unless they are Jewesses, bad revolutionaries. The trouble with making women into extremists is that it renders them useless as mothers.

095

Western man's technological genius has outrun his political knowledge. He is apologizing for what he has wrought. He ought to be trumpeting it. Intimidated by the lowly Marxist confidence man, he feels guilty when he should feel proud.

481
Ultimately the transmogrification of the U.S. will probably not be effected by our supposed great adversary, the Soviet Union, but by smiling, Spanish-speaking people, crowding into our country from the south. Granting statehood to a Spanish-speaking country would be analogous to the Dutch boy taking his finger out of the dyke. To assume that on humanitarian or other grounds the U.S. is obligated to accept an endless flow of nonwhites, non-English-speaking people into this country is to accept that these people will one day constitute a majority here. When that day occurs, we will not have absorbed them; they will have absorbed us, and America as we have known it will have ceased to exist. Perhaps Governor Reagan can contemplate such a prospect with equanimity, but I cannot. We must keep the finger in the dyke. No statehood for Puerto Rico! The next step is to close the border with Mexico. As a historian I believe I know what caused the decline of Rome. It never did quite "fall." It was not merely internal moral and economic decay, but the constant infiltration into the Empire of non-Roman and ultimately unromanizable numbers of barbarians. The Romans countered by broadening their citizenship (the "democratic" thing to do), but this merely cheapened such citizenship and led to confusion as to what it was to be "Roman." For centuries after Rome faded away, the newcomers kept trying to resurrect it by proping up the body, but it was no use. They had killed it.

Four years ago when my back was to the economic wall, I decided to get a government job. I took a test called PACE (Professional and Administrative Career Examination) for Civil Service GS-5 or higher. I got the job on the first crack, although something like twenty-two people took the test for every available opening -- and landed in Social Security. With the exception of a few -- clerks, keypunch operators, etc. -- were almost entirely black. At GS-5 whites predominated and increased as the grades went higher. I got out of Social Security as soon as a better job in private industry turned up. But recently I was talking to a young man who has been trying to get a position with Social Security. The PACE test is no longer used. Now applicants are chosen by personal interviews, after filling out long application forms saturated with questions about interests and goals. The old system was socially unenlightened!

In the January "Stirrings" section you had a report from a Canadian about the Swedish group ABBA. Perhaps you might like to hear my opinion of an ABBA concert at Radio City Music Hall. The crowd was surprisingly clean, mostly middle-aged, well-dressed people. Minority types were not too noticeable, though there was a real camel-faced American in ABBA's band. The concert was refreshing but not of the caliber of David "England-needs-a-Hitler" Bowie, whose mother is Jewish and who once said, "I'm the whitest performer in the world." But back to ABBA. When the group was performing "I Have a Dream" from their "Voulez-Vous" album, Agnetha, the blonde chick, brought her little three-year-old towhead girl on stage to meet twenty-five of New York's finest pickaninnies. While the latter were jiving I was carefully observing Agnetha's kid. Fingers in her mouth, she was gazing at the company with horror. Only mummy's comforting arm prevented her retreat. Bjorn, Agnetha's husband, said, "We thank the United Nations and UNICEF for supplying the children for this, the International Year of the Child." Ann-frida, the auburn-haired beauty in ABBA, is the daughter of an SS captain. Agnetha was once in hot water over a song she had written with "disparaging" remarks about Gypsies. Although I enjoyed the concert, ABBA lacks that mesmerizing quality.

Almost all our big gangsters are ethnics who obtained power with the help and support of ethnic political machines, some of which were represented by Irish politicians and some, as in way-back-when Chicago, by WASP traitors like "Big Bill" Thompson.

My attempts to 'penetrate' the Dartmouth faculty have been both disheartening and revealing. Recently, I lunches with a tenured professor whose father fell with Rommel in Africa. This German import asserts that miscegenation is the ultimate answer to mankind's socioeconomic problems and he strongly supports it in his classes. In a most patronizing manner he told me that I "should not be afraid," and that what happened in World War II was "good for the German people." Here, in this protected, almost totally white environment academic demigods cloak their cowardice in humanism and write their treatises, pamphlets and books for the instruction of the "uneducated," while basking in self-admiration and inexperience. Revolutions will start in areas of friction -- not in areas of complacency or ignorance.

The Schopenhauer-Kant-Nietzsche article (Instauration, Nov. 1979) is somewhat obscure, but the overall message has my full support. It is a lesson which might well be learned by Robert Throckmorton, whose "Modernism" would seem to ignore the instinctual in favor of the cerebral or rather to argue that the Nordic is not as instinctual as men of other races and prefers to deal in abstractions. Now, it is true that the most Nordic of all groups, the Hallstatt people, had a strong predilection for abstract art, and this was carried on by their Nordic successors. The highest ranges of abstract thinking (philosophy and mathematics) have likewise been Nordic preserves. However, there is instinct in all this, as Baker very broadly hints in Race. He says that the mind which thinks in purely mathematical terms is incapable of appreciating physical nuances of race. Throckmorton also tends to carry on the old hyper-Protestant antagonism towards the Age of Faith, which leaves him rootless in European terms. The fact is that the beginnings of the modern scientific way of thinking are to be found among the nominalists of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries: Duns Scotus and William of Occam, and even these were preceded by the experimental scientist, Roger Bacon. Sir Fred Hoyle would go back further. He is on record as saying that the organization of the Roman Empire was fatal to the development of science, whereas the anarchy of the so-called Dark Ages was very fruitful. Why date the modern age from the Reformation when this merely meant a complete takeover of Christianity by the Bible?

Nobelist Watson has a bad face -- the sort of face I associate with Methodists in England -- Nordic-Mediterranean, sharp-featured, pointy-eared, with an ingratiating expression. I fully believe now what I have heard, namely, that Crick was the prime mover in the discovery of the double helix and that Watson exploited his part in print.

I thoroughly disagree with Cholly that a successful elitist government cannot be overtly racist. This is precisely what it must be -- because elitism is useless without racism. Cholly shilly-shallies on this issue because later on he says that the different groups would be "no more conscious of each other than different animals on a farm." This is a plea for apartheid. But how is it possible to have apartheid without defining race?
Things are heating up in France

JEWSH ASSAULT TEAM TRIES TO BREAK UP NEW RIGHT MEETING

Armed with wooden clubs and iron bars, mobile units of some 100 Jews, members of what they themselves called a “secret Jewish defense organization,” burst into a Paris symposium of GRECE in battle formation, injuring twenty and permanently blinding one young man. Eleven Jews were hurt in the fray as the unarmed rightists tried to defend themselves with chairs, tables and fire hoses. GRECE, which stands for Groupement de Recherche et d’Etudes pour la Civilisation Européenne, functions as a kind of elitist think tank.

The clash brought back memories of the 20s and 30s when European nationalists, anti-communists and anti-Semites had to fight for their lives to hold indoor or outdoor rallies in the face of rampaging liberal, leftist, Marxist and Jewish hoodlums. In the past, however, Jews operated under the cover of Stalinist, Trotskyite and left-wing organizations and political parties. This time they eschewed the camouflage and came out in the open -- as Jews.

The organized onslaught must have taken a great deal of planning (were Israeli army staff officers or Mossad secret service operatives in on it?). Alain de Benoist, New Right philosopher-in-chief, was leading a discussion on, ironically, totalitarianism, when Jews appeared out of nowhere and tried to crash the gate. When they were told they could not enter, they began to crack the skulls of the men and women who guarded the doors to the meeting hall. Fifteen minutes later, with casualties stretched out on a floor streaked with blood, the “commando” in charge blew a whistle and members of the assault team retreated in military formation, taking their own wounded with them. Later they were treated privately by Jewish doctors so as not to come to the attention of the rather inattentive police. Thanks to the spirited defense of the Greisists, the meeting was able to continue. It was a miniature rerun of Verdun. The Greisists said they shall not pass -- and they did not pass!

In reporting the battle the French media positively gloated.

They shall not pass -- and they did not pass! Greisists (at left) fend off the Jewish onslaught.
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In some papers the terrorizers of a peaceful assembly of literary types were practically described as heroes, and the victims became the villains. Naturally no one was arrested, and naturally the police didn't urge the flics on. Typically, one Jewish group that supported the attack formally launched a complaint with the State Attorney that those attending the conference "had assaulted the Jews." One Jewish newspaper had the gall to say the attack was "provoked" by the Greceists. Another paper tried to turn the affair into an incipient pogrom by claiming the defenders had attacked the invaders while screaming, "Mort aux Juifs." The lies were piled up so thick and fast that even some French leftist writers were appalled and signed a manifesto that denounced the Jewish violence.

In the 1920s and early 1930s, after it had proved almost impossible for European nationalists to hold meetings without political and "physical" means to combat anti-Semitism in France -- anti-Semitism signifying any word, thought or deed that Jews consider to be against their interests. The secret group, whose membership is composed largely of young doctors, lawyers and up-and-coming executives, even boasted it had the support of prominent Jews in government and academia. The big cheese is supposed to be Jean-Pierre Bloch, who was elected to Parliament on a pro-Giscard ticket last year. The president of the Jewish Medical Association in France, Dr. Hubert Dayan, is also rumored to be calling the tune.

It is obvious that GRECE members will no longer be able to concentrate entirely on thinking great thoughts. From now on they will have to fight for the privilege of thinking, at least of thinking in public. This dilutes the cognitive process, but that's the way it goes. Jews know very well that when a thinker is forced to turn into a part-time fighter, he becomes less of a thinker and more of a brute, which is why the leaders who eventually take over the bruised and battered right-wing movements are not likely to be cerebral types. Raise the fist and get the fist back! If the recent attack on GRECE is any indication, anyone who opposed the current Western ideological line favoring Jewish power, wealth and the Zionist plantation set stands a good chance of being physically chastised, if he manages to break through the media blackout. This has not happened in the U.S., where the press and TV have squelched all objective criticism of Jewish behavior. But it has happened in France, where GRECE and its ideology have received nationwide attention and where a reasoned anti-Holocaust argument actually appeared in one mass-circulation newspaper.

Hopefully, Majority activists in America will learn from these events. Public meetings questioning the benefits of Jewish...
ish racism should not be held without a defense force strong enough to ward off attacks similar to the one in France. And since it is considered more of a crime to resist violence than to succumb to violence, those who guard right-wing meetings should be prepared to go to jail and be locked up with herds of black rapists, who are not too fussy about the rapee’s gender. It’s not a pleasant picture. Ten words to the wise are, “Stay out of the light and meet in the shadows.”

GRECE -- As Explained by a Member
It is true that Instauration was the first English-language publication to examine the French New Right, the magazine, Nouvelle Ecole, and the organization, GRECE. But perhaps your readers might be interested in more details. GRECE is a youth group which started after the Paris riots of 1968. It draws its tactics, but not its philosophy, from the Italian Communist thinker Gramsci who said, “First capture the culture of a country and then the parties will fall into your lap like ripe fruit.” This GRECE tries to do, one of its most effective agents being the handsome quarterly Nouvelle Ecole -- glossy paper, magnificent graphics -- and the bimonthly Eléments -- shorter, newsier and not quite so formidable. Normal mortals like myself can read the latter easily. Nouvelle Ecole is sometimes difficult to understand, especially when it runs articles on abstruse subjects like logical empiricism, nominalism and theoretical physics. GRECE has an internal bulletin just for members -- informal, low-cost, typed and Xeroxed -- in which writings critical of the organization are published and analyzed. You might call it a “daily dozen” to prepare members for future intellectual jousting. The group also organizes numerous seminars and publishes the lectures later in book form through its own publishing house, Editions Copernic.

GRECE is not too enthusiastic about the trend of European politics since 1789. Just as it believes the ground for the French Revolution was prepared by 50 years of negativism and the philosophes and encyclopédistes, so it intends to devote equal time to bare-knuckled attacks on the present-day European “merchant society” and all it stands for.

GRECE prefers the old Indo-European values. Its roll of honor includes Nietzsche, Konrad Lorenz, Robert Ardrey, Hans Eysenck and Arnold Gehlen. It believes political labels are now meaningless. A man can call himself conservative, liberal, socialist or communist. It’s all vapid semantics to GRECE, because everyone is now taught to think within an egalitarian mental world. GRECE does not care what a man calls himself, provided his thoughts are elitist. Elitist communists, socialists, even liberals are redeemable. But with the exponents of Coca-Cola and multinational cultural imperialism, GRECE has proclaimed a war to the death. “Our greatest enemy today is money.”

GRECE is now being attacked by everyone -- traditional right wing, traditional and radical left wing, the progressive church and the traditional church. This is all to the good because it focuses a great deal of attention, not only on the organization, but on what it stands for.

Paris is at the moment in a state of intellectual frenzy. If you say the Jews must be kept segregated, then you are anti-Semitic. If you say they should be assimilated, you are also anti-Semitic. It proves the old contention that any kind of “intellectual insurance policy” is useless. Any organization that is even remotely healthy and effective is marked down for destruction.

Unfortunately, GRECE could be officially banned any day by the French government because of alleged neo-Nazi sympathies. This is why the organization is very nervous. It has already fought and won one court case against accusations of fascism. GRECE hopes that if it can escape suppression for another decade it will have so influenced French youth that officials would not dare to destroy the movement, which now has branches all over France, especially in universities. It is most exciting. Cholly Bildtberger would find GRECE very stimulating. His own article on the demise of the U.S. could in fact have been taken straight from a GRECE publication.

GRECE's Post-Attack Statement
The offensive launched last summer against the "New Right" has spilled a lot of ink, and it is now spilling blood. The brutal aggression mounted against the Fourteenth Convention of GRECE will not turn the organization away from its fixed objectives. To have done once and for all with totalitarianism, each culture must have the right to differ, and the peoples of Europe must be able to explore the sources of their spiritual identity. The voice of Athena has always guided Europeans who are looking for their roots.

WHITE IS GLORIOUS!

Propagandists know that anything repeated often enough and loudly enough will eventually become accepted as gospel. How long will it be, then, before BLACK IS BEAUTIFUL becomes part and parcel of our thought patterns? Another ten years? Twenty years? Fifty years? A hundred years?
harm and see BLACK IS BEAUTIFUL a hundred times a day, we
never really come to believe it, for the white-black polarity
built into our language and our literature, imbued with our
mother’s milk and inherited from hundreds of generations,
continuously constrains us to believe the exact contrary.
Throughout the whole group of Indo-Germanic languages
white is used in an appreciative sense and black in a pejorative
sense. Since white is the color of daylight and black the color
of night, it appears that nature herself has established the grand
dichotomy between the two colors.
Those who would argue that these values are relative or ac-
quired rather than absolute, that we have conceived our prej-
dices on other grounds, real or imaginary, and transferred
them to the colors have the weaker side of the argument. For
the truth, apparent to all, is that our spirits expand with the ris-
ing sun and wane with the setting sun. Instinctively we rejoice
in the light and instinctively we fear the darkness; these na-
atural feelings can be reversed only by long training, if at all. If we
lived under conditions of continuous illumination or con-
tinuous lack of illumination, we might well have different feelings;
but the physical world being what it is our minds are from birth
determined to the dichotomous habit.
Consider the case of gold, silver, and platinum, the most pre-
cious of metals, and of diamonds, the most precious of gems:
all are light-colored. Although it is true that much of their value
is due to their purely physical properties, who would claim
that their color has nothing to do with their universal appeal?
Gold is the color of the rising sun. (The Latin term could be ap-
plied equally to the dawn, the mineral, and the color; hence
our modern derivations aurora, aureate, aureole, aurous, Aus-
tra, Australia, and east itself.) The moon is described in terms of
silver: “the silvery orb,” “the orbed maiden, with white fire
laden,” “the silver crescent,” etc. Givers of light and life, the
sun and the moon have been deified by all peoples; how na-
tural then that their colors should be so universally preferred!
Who can imagine a black sun? Or a black moon? The pattern
applies even to clouds. When white and flocculent, they are
symbols of joyousness and health. When black and lowering
they bring gloom and presage disaster. Are not the Black
Holes, currently subject of such intense study by astrophysi-
cists, superbly named? The most forbidding spots in the uni-
verse from whom maw nothing, not even light, can escape!
How could they be called anything else?
Since black is the color of night, it is by extension the color
associated with evil, wrong-doing, fear, loathsomeess. In a
sense it is a negative color in that it suggests emptiness or defi-
ciency. At the same time, it contains the suggestion of horror-
ous and powerful beings, often invisible, hence doubly fearul,
lurking in obscurity. Hell is represented as a black, gloomy pit,
its darkness broken only by the fires of torment. Devils are tra-
ditionally limned in black; when one of them visits the earth
on some errand, he is invariably dressed in sable. Satan, awak-
ening in his lake of fire, contemplates

The dismal situation waste and wild,
A dungeon horrible on all sides around
As one great furnace flames; yet from those flames
No light, but rather darkness visible

Served only to discover sights of woe,
Regions of sorrow, doleful shades...

His prison was ordained in “utter darkness,” “in horrid si-
ence,” Hell is a “Stygian darkness,” a “gloom of Tartarus pro-
found,” “a dark and dreary vale,” a “universe of death.”
In L’Allegro all the evil associated with darkness is con-
densed in ten evocative lines:

Hence, loathed Melancholy,
Of Cerberus and blackest Midnight born,
In Stygian cave forlorn
‘Mongst horrid shapes, and shrieks, and sight unholy,
Find out some uncouth cell,
Where brooding darkness spreads his jealous wings.
And the night-raven sings;
There under ebon shades and low-browed rocks,
As ragged as thy locks,
In dark Cimmerian desert ever dwell.

Heaven, in contrast, is a place of splendor, irradiated with
light; angels are white-faced, robed in white, crowned with lu-
nomous halos. Consider the testimony of Joseph Smith:

Thick darkness gathered around me, and it seemed to me for
a time as if I were doomed to sudden destruction...but just at
this moment of great alarm, I saw a pillar of light exactly over
my head, above the brightness of the sun...a personage ap­
peared at my bedside, standing in the air...He had on a loose robe of
most exquisite whiteness. It was a whiteness beyond anything
earthly I had ever seen, nor do I believe that any earthly thing
could be made to appear so exceedingly white and brilliant...
Not only was his robe exceedingly white, but his whole person
was glorious beyond description, and his countenance truly
like lightning.

White is the color of purity, black the color of sin. Brides
wear white, white flowers adorn the altar, white candles burn
beside them. In the words of an old novel, “The bride’s virginal
purity contrasted with the groom’s blackness of heart.”
White is the color of cleanliness, black the color of dirt.
Snow is white, mud black. To clean an object is to whiten it; to
soil it is to stain it with black.

White is the color of intelligence, black the color of igno-
rance. An intelligent man is bright or brilliant -- that is, having
a mind the color of white light. A stupid person is a dimwit, his
eyes lack luster, his brain lacks fire. An informed person is en-
litened, an ignorant person benighted. The intelligent indi-
vidual makes illuminating remarks that throw light on the sub-
ject; he has a lucid mind, he elucidates a point and enlightens
his auditors. The dullard is obscure, unclear, his meaning is in-
distinct, shrouded in mists, hidden in darkness; his thoughts
are muddied, turbid. Southey was renowned for his transpa-
rent style, Hegel notorious for his density. “To see” is synon-
ymous with “to understand.” Goethe on his death-bed cried
out for mehr Licht.

White, black and other derivatives have penetrated so deep-
ly into our language -- and our thinking -- that without them we
would be tongue-tied. We blacken a man's reputation, whiten-wash a political mistake. A den is a black sink of iniquity, war is a black crime against humanity. Englishmen were stuffed into the Black Hole of Calcutta. The loss of a football game is a black day for the Navy; to anticipate such a loss is to look on the black side of things. To fail to mow one's lawn is to receive a black mark in the community. We are blackballed at the club, blackmailed by our onetime friends, and blacklisted by our enemies.

Concealed in Greek and Latin roots, black and white continue both to influence our mode of thinking and to reveal our natural prepossessions. To suffer from melancholy is to have black bile. To denigrate a person is to say black things about him. To take umbrage is to feel resentment at being denigrated. When we speak candidly, we speak honestly -- that is, whitely. When we say that a pageant was splendid or a costume splendid, we mean that it shone, like white light.

It is impossible that the human skin be excepted from this pattern of color preferences. According to anthropologist Carleton Coon (The Living Races of Man) virtually all cultures in all parts of the world regard, and seem always to have regarded, white skin as desirable and black skin undesirable. Dark-skinned women all over the world will go to any lengths to have children by white sires. While a white woman will repulse a black suitor (like Pamina repulsing Monostatos), who ever heard of a black woman rejecting a white petitioner? Aaron's dramatic cry, "Is black so base a hue?" received no answer in the play (Titus Andronicus) and receives none today.

To swim against the current is always difficult, and those individuals who endeavor to point out that skin color has, or should have, nothing to do with physical, mental, and moral qualities find themselves struggling against a linguistic current as insidious as it is powerful. Defensive attempts by African ne­gritude cultists to reverse the color relationship remain un­convincing. Attacking white as a pale, washed-out, diluted color, deficient in all vital qualities, they ring all the possible changes on the Black-Is-Beautiful theme. "Black is a beautiful color," they chant, "black is the color of the earth, the color of strength." Some African tribes represent the devil as white. The Togo poet Dr. Raphael Armattoe visualizes God as black, "Our God is black, the black of eternal blackness, with large, voluptuous lips."

These partisans may be expected to refute the present article (should it ever come to their attention) by pointing out that anything can be proved by statistics, that the foregoing examples have been arbitrarily chosen, and that by skillfully selecting a quite different set of words and phrases one could present an equally strong case for the downgrading of whiteness and the glorification of blackness. What about white-livered, white with fear, pale as a ghost, showing the white feather, waving the white flag? But these instances, far fewer than their contrar­ies, all have an explanation quite different from the ones commonly attributed to them. To show the white feather refers to a genetic mutation among game-cocks in which, by coincidence, a white feather in the tail was believed to be associated with inferior fighting qualities. To wave the white flag is to request a truce in fighting or to signal surrender by a beaten op­ponent. If we regard war as something noble, we will, of course, look upon its cessation as a mark of cowardice; but if we regard it as infamous, we will look upon its cessation benign­antly. Throughout the annals of armed contest white has been the symbol of neutrality, arbitration, and clemency, while black has invariably been the grim and merciless symbol of death, destruction, and treachery. When laying siege to a city, Tamerlane ran up white flags above his hosts during the first twenty-four hours, guaranteeing clemency to the inhabi­tants if they would open the gates before the expiration of the term. On the second day he ordered the white flags to be hauled down and red flags to be run up, signifying death for the defending soldiery with clemency still held out to the civilian body. On the third day he replaced the red pennons with black ones. If by the end of that period the gates had still not been opened, no one within the walls, neither man, woman, nor child, could escape death. Black meant total annihilation.

The other instances in which white seems to have a negative connotation are based not upon any opposition between white and black but upon the contrast between two kinds of white: normal, healthy whiteness and the chalky whiteness associated with disease or death. The healthy skin is ruddy: white with a reddish tinge in the background. One becomes white with fear or white with rage when the blood is suddenly and dramatically withdrawn from the skin surface -- something that can happen to people of any color, although the darker pigments disguise the process, just as they disguise blushing. The few negative connotations of white are all associated with extreme pallor -- an abnormal or diseased condi­tion. Recovery from this condition (and here's where apolo­gists for blackness totally miss the point) means a return not to black but to ruddy cheeks -- healthy red blood coursing be­neath a white skin. Albinism is similarly misunderstood. If, like left-handedness, it has acquired certain sinister overtones ("sinister" itself means left-handed), this is simply because of its rarity; it is something unconventional, out of the ordinary, egregious ("outside the flock"). When Melville chose as a symbol of evil the Great White Whale, he did so, not to stig­matize whiteness but simply to make this whale stand out from all other whales (which are dark-colored when viewed from above). If he had chosen a polar bear as his symbol, he would, for the same reason, have made it a black one.

Examples could be multiplied, but the point has been made. The existence in our language of a white-black polarity charged with meaning and emotion, sometimes conscious, sometimes unconscious, cannot be denied. To destroy or nullify this polarity seems an impossible task: a whole new language would have to be constructed. But since language is, in large part, an attempt to describe and categorize the external world, would not the hypothetical new language come to contain ess­entially the same polarity? Can any language change the color of the sun, the moon, the stars, the clouds, daylight itself -- or prevent the attachment of positive emotions to the words designating these objects? Can any language dispel our instinctive distrust of the obscure, our instinctive fear of dark places, and our instinctive identification of blackness with evil?
CHOOSING THE JEWS

In its November 1979 issue Instauration concluded an article on the Jewish-Negro rift with a hypothetical question: Suppose a final and irreparable split in the black-Jewish coalition did occur and the Majority found itself in the position to join one side against the other. Whom should the Majority choose as allies, the blacks or the jews? Most answers to date have indicated horror at the very thought of making such a choice. Here is one communication from an Instaurationist who proposes a Majority-Jewish alliance. Though it makes us shudder, we have decided to print it.

First of all, let us agree that the Jewish-black alliance was an alliance between puppeteers and puppets. Black power was only a facade for Jewish power. This was more or less of a secret until Andrew Young was fired and some blacks began being kind to the PLO. After that, Jewish papers for the first time started telling the whole truth about the matter. In an effort to win back black support after Young’s dismissal, the Jewish press informed blacks, “Jews built your civil rights movement.” Jews claimed credit for integration, open housing, busing and affirmative action -- and admitted they started the NAACP, financed it from square one and furnished all its presidents until the election of Benjamin Hooks two years ago. Jewish columnists asserted it was Jewish money and Jewish lawyers who won the Brown v. Board of Education case.

How have the black puppets rewarded their Jewish benefactors? They stabbed them in the back -- just as you might expect from members of an alliance primarily motivated by revenge rather than mutual self-interest. Black power became a Frankenstein monster that turned on its creator. Ironically, blacks would be in no position to harm jews if the latter had not spent hundreds of millions of dollars building up Negro organizations.

The Jewish response to the black mutiny shows how little jews understand the blacks and how little they have in common. In the Jewish Voice (Aug. 31, 1979) the editors say that in the future they will deign to allow blacks to run their own civil rights movement. What do the jews ask for helping the blacks in the past? “Only an expression of gratitude.”

We can just imagine how deeply this condescension and special pleading touches the sensitive feelings of the Harlem street black. Jews have been pushing the blacks on us and telling us how equal, civilized and moral they are. Now they are finding out firsthand just how much they have been had by their own big lie. It’s as if the whole Jewish race was being pushed in front of a train along with the Katz girl.

Why has the black-Jewish alliance been such a failure? Because the jews joined the wrong people for the wrong reasons. Consider the facts. How could the creation of black power possibly improve the chances of Jewish survival? Do they belong to the same economic and social class? Do they both want affirmative action, welfare and taxes? By their very nature Jewish and black interests have always been on a collision course. Affirmative action quotas hurt jews and help blacks. High taxes hurt jews and help blacks. High welfare costs hurt jews and help blacks. Rather than mutual interest, there is a natural antipathy between jews and blacks. jews are the epitome of success in American society, while blacks are the epitome of failure. In a recent issue of Commentarv, published by the American Jewish Committee, the incomes of various ethnic groups were compared. For the sake of comparison, 100 was taken as the average American income. jews registered 170, white non-Jews 107, blacks 62.

It is not surprising that the black-Jewish alliance is splitting up. It is amazing that it lasted so long. Why did jews do so much for black power? The truth is that for jews the blacks were never anything more than a weapon against the Majority jews tried to push us over the cliff and in their frantic vengeance they almost fell over themselves.

What reasons do jews themselves give for starting up the alliance? They pontificate about brotherhood, love and tolerance. Let’s judge them by what they do, not what they say. Do jews really believe in integration? Hardly. Israel is a racist state with racist immigration and martial laws. The Palestinians who remain in Israel and the occupied West Bank are an oppressed group whose members would end up in jail if they so...
much as whispered about affirmative action.

Jews advocate integration for us here, but not for themselves overseas. If integration is good for us in America, why do Jews oppose it in Israel? Let's not be hypocritical. Let's admit the racist policies of the Jews in Israel are entirely justified. They have the right to do what they think is necessary to survive. Our pioneer forebears came to America and dispossessed the Indians. If we criticize Israelis for taking Palestine, then we must accept the same criticism for what we did in North America.

In view of the low Jewish birthrate, Jews could not survive in Israel without racist laws. The burgeoning Arab population would swamp them in a century. Instead of condemning Jews, let's demand the same right to segregation, racism and survival that they exercise. It is the Jewish double standard we should criticize, not Jewish racial policy.

Black Powerlessness

Are the blacks strong enough to be of any use to us? What is the history of black power? What great countries, great armies, Alexanders, Napoleons and Caesars have Negroes produced? After World War II black African nations did not win their freedom. It was handed to them by Britain, France, Belgium, Portugal and Spain. Here blacks have equal opportunity but prefer quotas. How can such people be of any help to us?

If black power comes only from the Jews, it will lose momentum as soon as Jews stop supporting it. Consequently, a Majority-black alliance would be completely pointless. Blacks would be a liability not an asset, once deprived of Jewish support.

Even if we did ally ourselves with blacks, could we expect them to be loyal? They haven't been loyal to the Jews.

Suppose blacks do have power, would an alliance with them be to our advantage? The interests of the Majority and the blacks are mutually exclusive and antagonistic for the same reason that the interests of the Jews and the blacks are antagonistic. Alliances should be based on mutual self-interest.

Certainly we should join blacks against Jews if our purpose was revenge rather than survival. If so, our alliance would be just as self-destructive and suicidal as the black-Jewish alliance.

On the other hand, can we afford to just ignore blacks and aim our fight for survival solely against the Jews? What has been the result of ignoring the black presence in the past? Although black armies have destroyed no white countries, the black presence has destroyed many. The blacks' only strength is our weakness -- our misguided compassion and pity.

It is not black power that we need to fear. We should fear black coexistence in the same living space. How do the weak destroy the strong? When the children of the weak slowly absorb the life blood of the strong, when the strong kill their own children by birth control, we are talking about racial self-destruction. We are not even reproducing our numbers. We are losing one-quarter of our population every generation.

Blacks sharing the same living space with whites stimulate biological parasitism. Eventually we have a situation in which the host is virtually enslaved by the parasite. By means of the tax and welfare structure, whites are literally being forced to finance their own genocide.

An alliance with the blacks cannot help anyone, but the black cancer cell can destroy us all, Jews and Gentiles. Ultimately, the Jews are as much threatened by the black presence as we are. An alliance with the blacks would mean our destruction. An alliance with the Jews would not. The Jews are parasites which can be shaken off and indeed in the long run have always been shaken off by white nations.

People think of the extreme amount of Jewish power in the U.S. as being unique. But at various times in history the Jews were equally powerful -- in early 15th-century Spain, in Russia and Germany of the 1920s. In 1933 world Jewry declared war on Germany. Today Germany is the third most prosperous country in the world.

Russian Jews rode high and haughty for many years during and after the Bolshevik revolution. Jews are fleeing Russia now. Although at one time they held disproportionate power in almost every country in Europe, they have been expelled from a score of them. Jews will one day be expelled from the U.S. unless this country's attitude toward Jews is the exception to the rule.

Ask yourself, do you really feel the same way toward Jews as you do toward blacks? Would you feel exactly the same if your daughter married a Jew or a black?

The Jewish threat, regardless of how terrible it may appear, can at worst amount to a temporary political and economic collapse, not a genetic flood. In regard to Jews, time is on our side. In regard to blacks, it is against us. The worse things become under the Jews, the sooner is our salvation. Our recovery from Jewish power is inevitable. We can correct bad government -- bad government caused by Jewish control. But once our race is gone because of integration with blacks, we are done.

Some people say that we must destroy the Jews. Far from destroying the Jews, perhaps we should all become Jews. The Israelis have developed the only acceptable form of white racist government on earth. South Africa and Rhodesia are less racist than Israel, and they have been boycotted for their racism. But far from being boycotted, Israel receives billions in aid and military support, while uprooting and dispossessing the natives. Jewish racism is applauded as tolerance and brotherhood. They have stolen a whole country and are praised as victims of oppression.

If you can't beat them, join them. What difference does it make if we follow the Swastika or the Star of David? They both add up to the same thing, "white power."

What is the true purpose of a racial alliance? Certainly it is survival, not revenge. Jews have a vested interest in maintaining civilization because they are in high economic brackets and have much to lose -- more than we have -- in change and turmoil.

It is time for us to see ourselves as others see us. The Third World sees us, Jews and non-Jews, as rich Westerners. To Third Worlders we are not Jews and Gentiles, but whites, gringos, honkies, Anglos, Americanos. We have and they have not. They cannot create. They cannot make. They can only take. Everyone everywhere recognizes that American Jews and Gentiles are allies -- everyone except American Jews and Gentiles.
Gentiles themselves.

Andrew Young and Jesse Jackson attack South Africa. Castro condemns both Zionism and South Africa in his U.N. speech and supports Rhodesian terrorists. The Third World sponsors the U.N. resolution that Zionism is racism. Of course it is. More power to it.

I am not blind. I see the color and the features of Sadat and Arafat. Can you visualize yourself taking the Lebensraum of Moshe Dayan and his blonde wife and giving it to the mulatto Sadat and the supersemite Arafat? I am not going to kill whites either in South Africa or Israel because Young and Castro tell me to.

Who threatens our survival? Not the Jews. Russia and the Third World are lined up against both of us. Mexicans and hordes of nonwhites are attacking us from every direction. Let us forget our ancient grudge with the Chosen.

Jews and Majority members are two tremendously outnumbered white minorities facing the united invasion of the colored world. This is not 1940 Germany. Let’s stop fighting past wars and start fighting today’s. Stop chasing yesterday’s criminals when today’s are breaking down the door.

When the colored people outnumber us by 49 to 1, as the U.N. said they will in twenty years, do you think that blacks are going to stay down in the ghetto while we sit comfortably in our suburban split levels?

When the nonwhite finally breaks down our door, he’s not going to stop to ask us whether we go to a synagogue or church. He’s going to look at our white faces and cut our white throats.

It’s not Jews against Gentiles. It’s whites against the world.

THE INEVITABILITY OF

1. The free-enterprise system tends towards an elimination of suppliers.
2. The rate of concentration depends upon the length of time supply has been established -- a reduction of suppliers is generally found in old markets, an increase of suppliers is found in young markets.
3. The highest rate of supplier elimination studied is in the Canadian food industry, the highest rate of supply diversification studied is in the U.S. electrical computer and radio communications manufacturing industries.
4. The rate of economic concentration differs on a regional basis, again depending on age. For North America it is highest in the East, lowest in the West.
5. Although clouded by conglomerate takeovers, mergers add to concentration.

The increase of competition associated with new markets suggests the means by which concentration in some industries can be avoided and even diminished. The beginning of new industry is invention. Policies that aid inventors and invention are therefore most beneficial to society, not just in the immediate sense from the inventions. Yet innovation is becoming more difficult as society grows more technical, because complex technology is expensive, including experimentation, and a higher degree of technical knowledge is required by inventors. Therefore: 1) Since the development and application of new technology is unavoidably a high-risk venture and private banks traditionally do not make loans for such ventures, government loans are essential for the development of new technology. 2) The educational structure should place emphasis upon science and technology, possibly including teaching aids to “compress” higher scientific learning into lower grade levels, more challenge given to gifted children, public support for technical education and unlimited grants for pure scientific research.
research.

The characteristic feature of such industries where invention has discouraged concentration is the susceptibility of their products to substitution, the classic example being the obsolescence of community blacksmith shops with the coming of the automobile. There was nothing about the vacuum tube which made it irreplaceable, and the same could be said of most industrial gadgetry during an era of rapid technological advancement. But there are varying degrees of substitutability among products. It is difficult to imagine a substitute for food. Even should substitutes be found, there is still the question of preference. Cheap synthetic materials have been developed for clothes, which are imperfect substitutes for wool and cotton judging from people's taste, testified by the high prices the latter can demand in competition with the former. The result is that not all industry can experience an increase in suppliers brought by innovation; some industries will exist for long periods, allowing a competitive decrease of suppliers.

There are, furthermore, three additional considerations. Although invention in the past has produced new suppliers filling new markets, there is no guarantee that established firms in the future will not create that innovation themselves, and obtain a competitive stranglehold on a new market with huge investment. At the present time North America is experiencing a budding solar-energy industry with many suppliers -- a competitive situation which could quickly dissolve should large petroleum companies enter the field with massive doses of advertising.

Secondly, there is no assurance that a high rate of innovation will always occur, even in the most technical societies. Today's innovations largely build on existing technologies. The number of innovations marketed by U.S. companies is decreasing annually, and those that are marketed are consolidating into fewer and larger companies. Among the general populace there is growing suspicion of science, a disbelief in progress, an anti-technology movement, and a diminishing in the crusading free-enterprise spirit, all of which indicate that the psychological motivation is waning in Western Man, and this ultimately must mean a drying up of economic activity from below. The lack of innovation and business drive will not stop economic concentration at the top, however.

Thirdly, it has so far been tacitly assumed that conglomerates do not lead to concentration. This could be a false assumption with two or more competing conglomerates in a particular industry, where one conglomerate is strong enough in its remaining industries to subsidize a loss from price-cutting in that competing industry, which the other conglomerates may not be able to support. Such subsidization tactics are impossible with single competing firms. If we extrapolate on all the factors and processes tending towards economic concentration, we can envision the not too fanciful future where the total economy, at least in the major sectors, of Western capitalist nations is entirely owned and controlled by a small number of multinational corporations. The inevitable end must be a monopolized society.

If monopolization is in fact the end result of old industries, other more ancient societies than the Western must have displayed similar ossification in their economic structure. That this indeed happened we have ample evidence. Of the Greeks around 400 B.C., we are told:

There was a tendency among farmers to sell their land and to undertake some form of manufacturing in the city. This was a natural thing to do, for the industries of Athens offered attractive opportunities to make a fortune. At the same time men who had already gained wealth in manufactures bought one farm after another. This was a process which would finally concentrate the lands of Attica in the hands of a few large city landlords who were not farmers but worked their great estates (each made up of many farms) with slaves under superintendents. The land-owning farmers who worked their own lands and lived on them tended to disappear. In their place the great estates common in neighbouring Asia Minor under the Persians were also appearing among the Greeks (I.H. Breasted, *Ancient Times*, p. 459).

Two centuries later a Roman soldier returning home

Too often...no longer found the house that had sheltered his childhood. His family was gone and his little farm, sold for debt, had been bought up by some wealthy Roman of the city and absorbed into a great plantation like those which the Romans had found surrounding Carthage. His neighbors, too, had disappeared, and their farms had likewise gone to enlarge the rich man's great estate. Across the hills on a sunny eminence he saw the stately villa, the home of the Roman noble who now owned the farms of all the surrounding country.

Or, if he found his home and his little farm uninjured, and was willing to settle down to work in its fields as of old, he was soon aware that the hordes of slaves now cultivating the great plantations around him were producing grain so cheaply that when he disposed of his harvest he would not receive enough for it to enable his family to live. At the same time the markets of Italy were filled with cheap grain from Sicily, Africa, and Egypt. With this imported grain, often given away by the government, he could not compete, and slowly he fell behind; he borrowed money, and his debts increased. Forced to sell the little farm at last, he too wandered to Rome, where he found thousands upon thousands of his kind... (*Ibid.*, p. 644).

The most respectable form of wealth was land. Hence the successful Roman noble bought farm after farm, which he combined into a great estate or plantation. The capitalists who had plundered the provinces did the same. Looking northward from Rome, the old Etruscan country was now made up of extensive estates belonging to wealthy Romans of the city. Only here and there were still to be found the little farms of the good old Roman days. Large portions of Italy were in this condition (*Ibid.*, p. 641).

The oldest living culture is that of China which reaches back nearly 4000 years. If monopolization, particularly of land, inevitably takes place, here is one case where it would definitely show. Originally Chinese society was based on slavery, but

With the decline of the Shang dynasty and rise of the Chou (1030-221 B.C.) this slave-based society gradually gave place to a feudal age to which Chinese reformers, through the centuries have looked back as the "golden age." Land was held on the well-field, ching-t'ien. Ideally the estate was divided into nine fields, as in the ching character, the central field belonging...
to the lord and the other eight to the serfs or peasants, who were bound to the soil and who were responsible for the cultivation of the lord's land. Each field was 100 mow in extent, an area considered sufficient for the needs of the ideal family of five and thus regarded as an equitable and equal distribution of land.

Inevitably, with the break up of the ch'ing-tien system, there came a redistribution of the land, the development of large estates and land-owning class, from which has stemmed the cause of the ever-recurring peasant revolts through the centuries.

Laws attempting to restrict the size of land holdings were periodically passed but were never really effective. Officials, i.e. nobles and scholar-administrators, who were exempt from taxes and corvée, were allowed by law estates varying between 5,000 and 1,000 mow according to rank, but, since it was they who administered the law, the restriction was seldom kept. The peasant, time and time again, through natural disaster, taxation, debt, forced labour, slipped into tenancy and then back into serfdom. Then, when conditions became intolerable, bloody revolt ensued, often leading to the fall of a dynasty. The land reform carried out since 1949 by the People's Government, is one of a succession of attempts to deal with this agrarian problem (Tregar, Economic Geography of China, p. 14).

Since monopoly is a recognized liability to society, what governmental policies are most effective against monopolization assuming that government will be capable of moving against such concentration? The policies traditionally have been:

1. Break the monopoly into at least two competing firms, that is, attempt to turn back the clock. Obviously if concentration occurs once it can occur again under a more "understanding" regime, and this policy is therefore merely a delay tactic against the inevitable with no real solution.

2. Regulate the monopoly's profit, which poses the question: by how much? What is a fair profit? Regulation therefore presents a dilemma, which is resolvable by using perfect competition as a guide. In the competitive model, price is the marginal revenue and the monopoly can be forced to produce where its price equals its marginal cost (D = MR = MC). This can work in some cases and in others not, such as shown in Figure 1 where by operating at output X the price P is below average cost AC and at this level there is a net loss. The result of this regulation would be subsidization if the firm were to remain operating -- not a savory policy with a monopoly and consequently the D = MC formula is not one that can be counted on generally. Or a monopoly's output could be fixed where average cost equals price shown in Figure 1 at amount Z, giving the break-even price V. At V there are no profits and no losses, but neither this firm be able to do research or finance itself. If this policy were applied over a monopolized economy that society would stagnate. At less output than Z profit is made and the regulators are back in their dilemma.

3. Nationalize the industry and turn the firm into a state corporation, which under traditional regimes means simple ownership by government with responsibility of operation placed in the hands of the state bureaucracy, raising concerns of management efficiency.

With none of these solutions against encroaching concentration in Western economies being particularly beneficial, the prospects of the capitalist world do not appear bright even if these policies are implemented. That any one will be implemented is not likely with the power of money wending its way further into high offices as concentration continues, lessening the possibilities of government intervention of any meaningful type. The public cannot forever rely on government for protection against growing monopolization. The complacency, if not collusion, of big government in dealing with big business has been admirably documented.

Thus a crisis stage of capitalism, envisioned by Marx, will come in a way he did not expect. Marx was disadvantaged by his time; he did not possess the analytic tools available to modern economists. To analyze the exploitation of labor, obviously occurring during nineteenth-century Britain, he was led to base his understanding on the labor theory of value, which is now known to be false. Nonetheless, his conclusions concerning increasing exploitation and impoverishment of the working population with the evolution of the capitalist system are virtually the same as those reached by utilizing the analytic tools of capitalism, without developing a pseudoscientific branch of economics based on surplus value. In his long-term prognosis of capitalist society, Marx was right for the wrong reason.

The above is Chapter 5 of The Alternative by Wayne MacLeod, an unpublished book which attempts to show that to be anti-capitalist is not to be anti-American and to be a socialist is not to be a Marxist. Mr. MacLeod can be reached at Box 58355, Station L, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6P 6E4.
A revisionist historian engages in...

Big Picture Musings

The Soviet Union’s invasion of Afghanistan fell into America’s diplomatic lap — and then because of Israel America fell on its diplomatic face. For oil, for prestige, for the upholding of a modicum of international morality, for rebuilding a cordon sanitaire around Russia, the U.S. could and should be a protector of Islam. It’s nice to have 546,025,000 Moslems on your side, especially when they are sitting on so much of that viscous gold.

But how can we be the protector of Islam when we are the arsenal of Zionism? Zionism for the last three decades has been more of an immediate threat to the Moslem world than Russia. Zionists have killed many, many more Arabs than Russians have killed Afghans in Afghanistan. Russia has only subjugated Afghans. The Zionists have dispossessed and expelled almost a million Palestinians, have reduced to servile status the ones who remained in Israel and the occupied West Bank and have repeatedly ravaged Lebanon.

Carter’s special fondness for the Shah who guaranteed Israel’s oil supply, America’s secret assistance in providing Israel with atomic bombs while cutting off military aid to Pakistan for demonstrating interest in nuclear weapons research — all of this does not make the U.S. much of a hero in the Fertile Crescent, Sadat’s Egypt excepted.

In the long run Russia is the greater threat to the Middle East — and some Moslems are sensible enough to understand this. But thanks to Israel this is all America has going for it — the reputation of being the lesser evil. That’s what kowtowing to Jewish racism has done to American diplomacy. Instead of capitalizing on the providential outbreak of Russian brutality and becoming the White Knight of the terrorized sheiks and floundering Syrians, Iraqis and Pakistanis who may be next on the Hungry Bear’s menu, we still cannot obtain permission from our Zionist whipcrackers to talk to the PLO, which if given some show of friendship and understanding from Washington could help rouse the radical Moslem masses against the Soviet Union and not against us. Forcing Israel to give the homeless Palestinians an independent state on the West Bank would not only result in a massive shift of Moslem opinion in favor of the U.S., but stabilize oil prices and result in the immediate release of the hostages in Iran.

If there was ever a time American foreign policy could begin to make sense it is in today’s Middle Eastern snakepit. But as long as Zionism has to come first in U.S. policy discussions, American interests will continue to come second.

I still do not buy the line of Russian power and clout. With a technical system well behind the West and an agriculture that can’t even feed its own people, with a GNP not a lot higher than Japan’s, which has less than half the Russian population, I am uninclined to swallow the horror stories of those who make a good living scaring the pants off Americanos. There is also an undisputed factor — research in new weaponry, especially lasers, which very few mention. I have been trying to get the lowdown for five years or so, but the experts prefer to talk about the weaponry of the last war. A zapgun that flashes across twelve national frontiers in an instant and burns a big hole through anything in its way changes things damn fast. When it hits, our clown citizenry will probably be as prostrated as they were when the A-bomb drop flattened them without warning. I suspect that laser weaponry will be to atom bombs what atom bombs were to dynamite.

I am convinced that sooner or later we will be back to a Far East dominated by Japan. It all goes to illuminate Lawrence Dennis’s famous observation about the bloody futility of frustrating the strong. With no European colonial grab to defend, I don’t think Americanos will be so breathless about getting involved in another Far Eastern war.

I don’t buy the notion that NATO is set up to fend off the U.S.S.R. I believe its submerged objective has always been not to keep the Russians out but to keep the Germans down. The U.S. has yet to make one real strong move versus the 1945 boundary settlement of Europe, and Dulles and Ike both expressed hostility to the idea of any anti-Bolo regime on the borders of European Russia. I think by and large the two wings of our political bird of prey, despite dishonest burbling about “containment,” like what Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin wrought in 1945. (Remember the silly lot who expected the U.S. to help out the Hungarians in 1956?) My guess right now is that the U.S.S.R.’s biggest supporter in preserving the 1945 status quo in Europe is the U.S. If a diplomatic revolution in favor of German neutrality and unification ever does come about in the U.S.S.R., the most dogged opposition will come from Washington.

The Word Painter Catches Flak

There is much more to Tom Wolfe’s The Painted Word than was covered in the first issue of Instauration (December 1975). Witty and controversial, the book is more serious than the author’s previous cultural commentary. Basically, Wolfe’s position is that the critics have taken over the art world and that the artist, to his detriment, has become subservient to them.

Wolfe particularly emphasizes the influence of Clement Greenberg and his notions of flatness. Greenberg (and many others) believed that pure flatness, or integrity of the picture plane, was an absolute principle not to be violated by modern painting. What, in Greenberg’s mind, made modern painting superior to that of the past was that the modern painter recognized the principle of flatness, implicitly if not explicitly. Thus Greenberg’s championing of Jackson Pollock’s “action painting.” The illusion of depth does not exist to any extent in Pollock’s work. Similarly, Harold Rosenberg championed Willem de Kooning.

What bothers Wolfe is that one can no longer immediately comprehend or appreciate the worth of a piece of art without measuring it against the appropriate theory in vogue at the time. First you must understand The Word, then you can see. The laymen who comprise the public often feel the same objection, and Wolfe rightfully indicates that this may be the reason that little
market exists for the art of the Abstract Expressionist genre. The public have not received the word. They, therefore, cannot see. Unable to see, they refuse to buy.

Wolfe also rightfully points out that too often the approach of both artists and critics has been that artistic progress is equivalent to anything which increasingly outrages the masses. Then when the masses don’t buy, the artists themselves are outraged at the lowly state of culture and taste in America.

The question that eventually rises in the reader’s mind is: How valid is Wolfe’s thesis that it is a bad thing that one must understand theory before art can be seen.

To illustrate this point, let us make an analogy between art and science.

In physics if someone today wrote a book popularizing the quantum theory and even making some points that were developed out of Planck’s work but not made by Planck, we would not consider this man a greater physicist than Planck. Nor would we consider his work to be greater than Planck’s. This is true although readers coming to both works without any knowledge of the historical context in which they were written might consider the later work a greater one. In science to judge the merit of a work or a creator it is still necessary to consider the historical context.

This has nothing to do with the fact that science is theoretical and art is not. It is simply a fact of all creative work that to measure its originality we must know what has gone before. Even in art we must have a “theory” of what makes an original contribution.

Similarly, the evaluation of a particular painter or painting has always been from (what Wolfe calls) a “theoretical” point of view. To give an example, nonartists will sometimes ask, “Why is such a big deal made about art hoaxes? If a copy of the Mona Lisa is so good that its authenticity can be determined only by measures which determine the age of the painting, isn’t the painting just as good as the original and the painter just as great?” The layman confuses technical virtuosity here with artistic merit.

Leonardo is considered a genius because he first had the idea of putting paint on a canvas in a particular pattern.

What Wolfe seems to be bemoaning is that the contextualist has the day over the isolationist as far as an evaluation of artistic merit is concerned, but this has always been so.

It is impossible to evaluate the merit of any creative effort or the merit of the creator himself without knowing the history of the art or science to which the creation belongs. This knowledge of the history of the field is important in that it gives one an idea of what constitutes a creative achievement, in other words a theory of what is significant.

Although Greenberg’s and Rosenberg’s arguments for Abstract Expressionism are, no doubt, in error, the feeling that the cultural context of an artwork must be known before its significance can be evaluated, is true.

Wolfe should have made some attempt to discredit the theories of art evolution which allow aberrations such as Abstract Expressionism to flourish; i.e., he should have exposed the specific errors of Greenberg and Rosenberg rather than attacking the theoretical and critical components of art, those aspects which are as necessary in art history as they are in the history of science.

---

**Letter from New England**

Though New England WASP ways will always remain slightly alien to most of me (I am half German), there is one part which responds acutely to the shattered ideals of the old-stock Yankees. Amid the other regional tragedies of America -- the land of "might-have-been" -- that of New England stands out for me with a unique poignancy.

To my mild way of thinking, the fact that the Yankees brought destruction upon themselves, that as a people they speedily and efficiently committed suicide, never producing the able race-minded thinkers and leaders who could have forced the people to see the final outcome of their folly -- none of this lessens their tragedy or my sympathy.

The Yankees were a people of remarkable genius, but one who succumbed through a no less extraordinary failure of insight into the nature of people unlike themselves. One sees magnified in them all of the usual Nordic strengths and weaknesses. The same homogeneous quality which had helped them in the early days was one principal cause of their undoing. A William Sheldon, standing on the Boston wharves in 1840, as the first great shiploads of non-Britons sailed in, would have said firmly, “This is the end. New England may continue to be a wealthy and even an intelligent land. But this is the end of our very special dream.”

The Yankee dream of perfection was dead from that day -- as the quickest, informed glance at the shanks, torsos and
The sweat of American farmers, who feed not only their own countrymen but a sizable segment of the world, is turned into liquid gold in the grasping, avaricious hands of the five companies that dominate the world's grain trade. As pointed out in a masterly book of investigative journalism entitled The Grain Merchants (Viking Press, N.Y., 1979, $14.95) by Dan Morgan, the rulers of these companies have accumulated some of the largest fortunes in history. Michel Fribourg of Continental Grain is credited with a net worth of $500 million. Two members of the Born family, which controls the huge Bunge Corporation of Argentina, paid a left-wing kidnapping gang $60 million cash for their release.

Only one of the big companies is, properly speaking, American -- the Cargill Company of Minneapolis -- and it had to employ a Jewish advisor, Julius Hendel, before it made it into the big time. Bunge did the same in Argentina by hiring a Samuel Hirsch, whose descendants are now part owners in the firm. The Hirschs, incidentally, have converted to Catholicism.

Continental Grain is all Jewish and under the iron control of Fribourg, an Alsatian Jew who arrived in the U.S. in 1940. The Louis Dreyfus Company of France, another member of the Big Five, is also Jewish. Georges André, the fifth side of the pentagon cartel, is a Swiss who belongs to and practically finances a strict Calvinist cult called the Plymouth Brethren.

The wealth of these companies is beyond tabulation. They not only run the international grain trade; they also own many of the ships and trains that transport the grain and many of the grain elevators that store it. They are so top heavy with cash that they are almost forced to expand into other key areas of economic industry, such as banking, shipping, real estate, mining, milling, paint and glass manufacturing. Michel Fribourg, either through Continental or other companies, owns Oroweat bread, Polo Food frozen dinners, Allied Mills, Hilburn chickens, not to mention 50,000 head of cattle grazing on 250,000 acres of Argentine estancias. Fribourg has a New York townhouse, a Paris apartment, a Connecticut estate and a hideaway in Switzerland. He entertains Russian grain buyers on his yacht in the Mediterranean.

In an attempt to interview the officials of the Big Five, Dan Morgan managed to get one hour out of Fribourg. Georges André treated him to lunch and Pierre Louis Dreyfus invited him to his Paris home for a brief meeting. Morgan couldn't get to see the heads of the Bunge Corporation at all. The only company that even tried to cooperate with him was Cargill.

Together the Big Five comprise a kind of Western cartel which instead of favoring the interests of the West is actively engaged in feeding and supplying the food-short Yankee stock. He was a bit worried, for example, when Hawthorne -- gray-eyed but with a slightly dark cast and an ambiguous temperament to match -- moved into the circle for awhile. He felt that future hopes lay with the buoyant, positive youngsters of flaxen hair and blue eyes. There are certain hints in the writings of Emerson, Channing and perhaps even Thoreau (the half-Frenchman who felt the peasant in himself) that they also recognized the physical identity of the ‘angels’ they were seeking. The Transcendentalists, if frequently mistaken on specific issues, weren't so bad (when seen in historical context) as they appear today. These fellows may have been against the Mexican War, for example, but Emerson states that he believed the Anglo-Saxon would gradually ‘absorb’ the lingering Mexican in Mexico (presumably through its relative demographic strength -- which he took for granted). There weren't many Mexicans back then.

The Grain Grandees

Communist countries whose collectivized agriculture, based on the abstract musings of Karl Marx, is so fouled up that they hardly let a year go by without calling on capitalist wheat and rice growers for help. It's the old story of the parasite and the host. Only in this case the remora that attaches itself to the shark is more greedy, more voracious and more sharklike than its transportation. It's also the case of the tapeworm outraging the dog. Where did Michel Fribourg's $500 million come from? Why wasn't it spread around more evenly among the producers? Why is it by far the biggest take in the grain business reserved for the middlemen and speculators?

As the number of American farmers and American farms decreases steadily, the grain sellers grow richer and richer. Cargill's sales for 1977 were in the neighborhood of $5 billion. This kind of money shows that American farming is being transformed into a huge factory operation with much more profits in the distributing than in the producing end. If the trend continues, nothing may remain on the land except machines and operators. Among many other drawbacks, agribusiness does nothing for national defense. A nation of city folk has not been noted for maintaining its security. Farmers' sons make much better soldiers than millionaires' sons and slum dwellers' sons. Baleful is the fate of a people that has no dirt on its shoes.
Cultural Catacombs

The Confederacy in 1981

A More Perfect Union by Robert Stapp (Harper and Row, 1970) is historical fiction at its most fictional because it pretends the North never declared war when the South broke away in 1861. By 1981 the author imagines that the Confederacy evolved into a militaristic, socialist police state run by the totalitarian Party of National Resurgence. The Confederate capital is a planned community in the foothills of the Cumberland plateau, composed of parts of Alabama, Georgia and Tennessee. Its Negroes live in segregated, but comfortable, high-rise apartments surrounded by green belts. Their state-run shopping centers carry the same goods at the same prices as the state-run stores in white areas. Other amenities are equally separate, but equal. Whites and Negroes mingle little outside of work. Except for a Negro leadership cadre, Negroes receive only nine grades of schooling. Black malcontents are quietly transported to "Enlightenment Camps" in the Southwest. Confederate President Howie Ray Spearman is a Darwinian who believes nature has a place for everyone and everyone has his place. Confederate citizens are classified according to their usefulness to the state. Everyone starts out as "trustworthy." From there ambitious Confederates can work up through a series of merit stages: "Deserving," "Respected," "Accomplished," "Distinguished," and "Exemplary." Salaries and perquisites are doled out accordingly. The unfit are classified downward -- "Accepted," "Undisciplined," and "Noncooperative." Extreme cases are designated "Deprived" and lose their citizenship.

In 1981 a political refugee from the Confederacy is smuggled back into the country by the government of the United States, which rules the area north of the Mason-Dixon Line. His mission is to assassinate President Spearman.

A More Perfect Union was written in the usual "anti-fascist" style and author Stapp went to considerable literary effort to provide the "hero" assassin with a Jewish helper.

A More Perfect Union offers a somewhat blurred glimpse of one kind of society that may emerge after the eventual breakup of these Disunited States.

Dummy Talk

Edgar Bergen was a Nordic ventriloquist who married a Nordic and had a Nordic daughter -- not a common occurrence in Hollywood. But listen to daughter Candice, herself now speaking like a ventriloquist's dummy to a film noir film flack with the alienating alliterative alias of Wayne Warga:

"It is my life-long dream to be an Italian..." Richard Pryor does a routine about a WASP on an acid trip that makes me want to jump out the window. Pryor is wonderful. I'd love to make a film with him, a love story. Maybe together we can destroy my WASP image.

Maybe in the end nature will beat out nurture in the battered and benighted heart of Candice. As to her WASP image, in the porno epic Carnal Knowledge, while her father was still alive, she was persuaded to simulate a two- or three-minute stint of copulation on screen.

Our guess is that she is now about three steps behind Marilyn Monroe, Frances Farmer and hundreds of lesser-known Nordic actresses who have been the prey of millionaire film Svengalis, whose talents consist largely of exploiting and despoiling blondes.

Backyard Battle

We are electronically saturated almost every evening with television coverage of wars and violence in foreign parts. But what about the battle of Idleb? Is a battle not a battle because it is fought at home?

It began as so many acts of group violence begin -- with a murder. A half-dozen youths were stealing items from cars parked outside a white "cowboy" club in Idleb, a town in the southeast corner of Oklahoma. It was not the first time this had happened. A white got so fed up he shot and killed one of the robbers. This riled the town's blacks to such an extent that they armed themselves, marched on city hall,
and presented the mayor with an ultimatum that if he didn’t arrest the culprit -- allegedly a man named DeShazo who had left town -- by nightfall, there would be war.

At nightfall there was war. The white club went up in flames, fast-food stores were attacked and a liquor store looted. Armed black bands took over the streets and order was not restored until dawn. At one point there was a 15-minute firefight between the black insurgents and government troops (state troopers and city police reinforced by 100 law enforcement officers from other parts of the state). When the smoke cleared away, the casualty list included two dead (one white, one black) and a number of blacks and whites injured.

The mayor of Isabel received so many threatening phone calls he was afraid to send his 13-year-old daughter to school the next day. Henry Costlow, the white head of a recently disbanded NAACP unit, said, “Nothing has been solved.” Tulsa newspapers ran a few stories giving four or five times more space to the black side of the battle than the white side. Finally, the press hinted it was not a racial confrontation at all, but something to do with the drug trade.

Whatever it was, it was an authentic military engagement. Three hundred law officers against 200 guns-toting blacks. The next morning masses of expended cartridges and shotgun shells littered the streets.

But Jimmy the Tooth was much more interested in the war in Afghanistan.

**Squishy Number**

Many, many estimates have been made of the number of illegal aliens currently in the U.S. The latest is 5 million, a figure contained in a new Census Bureau study. “It’s the best analysis to date,” exulted Dr. Lawrence H. Fuchs, executive director of the President’s Select Commission on Immigration and Refugee Policy which, like the Census Bureau itself, is top-heavy with minorityites.

“These figures are practically snatched out of the air,” said Roger Connor, the head of the Federation for American Immigration Reform.

“All those figures are pretty squishy,” said an official with Zero Population Growth. “The number of illegal aliens is probably growing.”

General Leonard Chapman, last Majority chief of the Immigration and Naturalization Service, stood by his 1975 estimate of 12 million illegals. He said it was based on figures supplied by the director of each INS district.

Leonel Castillo guessed 4 million before he stepped down as Carter’s INS boss. The next director will probably be another Mexican-American, Matt Garcia.

Carter’s way of attacking problems is to appoint the problem makers to solve them.

**Double Defect**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scholastic Aptitude Test Score Differences by Race</th>
<th>Mean Score Of Whites</th>
<th>Mean Score Of Blacks</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976-77 SAT-verbal</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-77 SAT-math</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-76 SAT-verbal</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-76 SAT-math</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-75 SAT-verbal</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-75 SAT-math</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-74 SAT-verbal</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-74 SAT-math</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73 SAT-verbal</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73 SAT-math</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: College Entrance Examination Board

In spite of the appalling differences in test scores shown above -- they were even more appalling in grade school admission tests -- the environmental ghouls growing their usual disapproval and disbelief the moment the SAT results were released after a long delay by the College Board people, who were apologetic not about the delay but about the results. Lois Rice, a vice-president of the organization, said the differences were “created by home environment, schooling and economic conditions.” The ghouls accused her of withholding the test scores so long because of test bias. Rice provided a more ignominious reason, “We didn’t want to give fuel to the people who say there are genetic differences in intelligence between blacks and whites.”

The results had been concealed from the public ever since last spring when they were first revealed in testimony before the House of Representatives Subcommittee on Civil Service by Winton H. Manning, senior vice-president of the Educational Testing Service. Mr. Manning wriggled and fudged so much in his attempts to blame the wide discrepancy between white and black scholastic achievement on environment that the written transcript of his testimony often reads like a comedy by Mo-lieré. In fact, he was so solicitous of black failings, he even capitalized “Blacks” whenever it appeared and left “whites” in lower case. Apparently affirmative action is even being extended to majuscules.

Part of one small paragraph, however, gives away the whole game. Said Mr. Manning, after acknowledging that SAT scores were good predictors of scholastic achievement in college, “Data indicate that minority students tend to earn, on the average, slightly lower college grades than do whites with similar test scores.” This is the most damning evidence of all. Even when blacks do match white SAT scores, they do not do as well as these same whites in college. What is the inference? Blacks are not only behind whites in knowledge; they are also behind in application. In other words, the genetic handicap extends from less brains to less will.

We believe that these handicaps are not confined to the educational process, but also reach out to the job market. By giving jobs to unqualified blacks at the expense of qualified whites, American business is being forced not only to hire less intelligent people, but people whose lack of intelligence also seems to include a disproportionate lack of determination to make up for the deficiency by hard work.

In regard to the old canard that all such tests are loaded in favor of the Majority or white culture, Arthur Jensen’s new book *Bias in Mental Testing,* which recently received an amazingly fair review in *Time* and a few other segments of the media, was written for the sole purpose of proving there is no significant cultural bias in present-day IQ and aptitude tests. Blacks, writes Jensen, actually do better on the verbal parts, which are supposedly overfllowing with bias, while Asians outscore whites (whites, of course, include Southern Europeans, Near Easterners, many Mexicans and Puerto Ricans). Would that our totalitarian state permitted an IQ comparison of Nordics with other groups! But no, we must always be lumped with non-Nordic whites. Somebody’s afraid of something.

Jensen’s book, by the way, was “reviewed” in *Psychology Today* by the born-again Stalinist Leon Kamin, whose tacit was to slander Jensen because of his friendship with the late Sir Cyril Burt. Lysergoist Kamin has found Burt’s work to be fraudulent, though it was Jensen himself who first brought up the question of error in Burt’s findings.

If Princeton wants to hire an academic hack who not once but twice joined the Communist party in the heyday of Stalin, that’s Princeton’s problem. But for a widely read, influential journal to allow a hyper-thyroid hatchetman to use the ploy of guilt by association to savage a pioneering work like Jensen’s is such a mean, outlandish trick it might actually boomerang and drive some of the less fanatical environmentalists into the hereditary camp.
Pick a Nut

After Governor Jerry Brown appointed a writer of bad checks to California’s Appellate Court and a noted fag to another judgeship, State Senator H. L. Richardson decided it was time to think about what other appointments were in the offing if Brown should miraculously win the presidency.

Brown’s cabinet, predicted Richardson, might well comprise the following luminaries:

- Secretary of State - Truman Capote, who best represents Brown’s philosophy of “strength through submission” and “victory through weakness.”
- Secretary of Defense - Jane Fonda, for her firsthand knowledge of Soviet weaponry
- Attorney General - William Kunstler, an old civil rights marching buddy of the Governor
- Secretary of Commerce - Tom Hayden, who has abandoned his “churn, overturn and burn” politics and now preaches federal ownership and control of business (Mr. Jane Fonda, incidentally, has just received an $82,000 Department of Energy grant for a solar energy study.)
- Secretary of Agriculture - Cesar Chavez, the “Gandhi of the Grapes.”

As agency heads, Richards thinks Brown might choose:

- CIA - Daniel Ellsberg, for his intimate familiarity with national secrets.
- Consumer Affairs - Ralph Nader
- U.N. Ambassador - Andrew Young, whose reappointment would affirm America’s “open-mouth” foreign policy and the “boat me, hate me, but take away my money with love” foreign aid program
- Federal Drug Administration - Timothy Leary
- First Earth Mama - Linda Ronstadt.

No Great Rush for $50,000 Holocaust Reward

At the Revisionist Convention in Los Angeles last September the Institute for Historical Review offered $50,000 for proof that Germans exterminated Jews in gas chambers during World War II. A press release describing the offer was sent to all major newspapers. Not one printed it, nor even so much as mentioned it. A boondocks paper, the Yuba City (California) Appeal-Democrat, published the news, but in the form of an advertisement paid for by an enthusiastic convention attendant.

The Spotlight story of the reward (Sept. 24, 1979) drew four responses from Americans who had been at Dachau soon after its liberation. All of them claimed to have seen a gas chamber there, as well as hundreds of emaciated corpses. Each of these applicants was referred to Dr. Arthur Butz’s The Hoax of the Twentieth Century. On pages 43-47 Butz explains that even the Exterminationist Lobby admits that there were no gassings at Dachau. He also quotes an American lawyer who was there and who said that the facility called a “gas chamber” after liberation was a crematory for disposing of diseased cadavers. Butz goes on to refer to a 1948 publication of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, which described the ravages of typhus at the camp -- the real cause of the deaths. Finally, on page 191, he provides a photo of the so-called “gas chamber” which clearly shows that it was merely a delousing chamber for clothes.

In addition to the four responses from Americans who had been at Dachau, two applications for the reward came from authors who had published books on the Holocaust. Curiously, both were non-Jews. Each wanted to submit his book as “proof” of gassings, but in fact the books proved nothing of the sort. One was a collection of World War II photographs, many of which have already been exposed as fakes in Udo Walendy’s Faked Atrocities (Institute for Historical Review, 1979). There were, of course, no photos of persons being gassed. The second book attempts to prove there were gassings on the basis of statements by an inmate who said he had heard about them. This kind of hearsay would not be acceptable evidence in a U.S. criminal court and certainly won’t qualify for the reward.

Despite all these discrepancies in the letters of the respondents, each one was forwarded a claim form and questionnaire, so that he could send in an official application for the $50,000 reward. The form requires that claimants describe their eyewitness or documentary accounts of gassing operations. So far, not a single official claim has been lodged with the Institute.

Race and Crime

- Arson. The Negro burns 2.03 buildings for each burning by whites.
- Aggravated Assault. The Negro commits 4.86 assaults for each assault by whites.
- Burglary. Burglaries by Negroes occur at a rate of 2.44 to one by whites.
- Embezzlement. The Negro embezzles 1.98 times more often than the white.
- Larceny-Theft. Negroes commit the crimes of larceny and theft 2.13 times for each such crime by whites.
- Murder. Murders by Negroes are perpetrated at a ratio of 7.50 to one by whites.
- Forcible Rape. Negroes rape 7.04 times for each rape by whites.
- Robbery. The Negro robs 10.97 times more often than his white counterpart.
- Vandalism. Vandalism by Negroes occurs at a ratio of 3.96 to one by whites.

As a further test, ten randomly selected cities having a total population of 3,433,000 Negroes and 4,149,000 whites were examined. Results were not unexpected. Negroes -- comprising 45 percent of the population of the cities chosen -- committed 71.18 percent of crimes reported. Whites and other minorities combined committed 28.82 percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crime</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Homicide</td>
<td>86.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forcible Rape</td>
<td>85.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>78.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary/Housebreaking</td>
<td>65.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny-Theft</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile Theft</td>
<td>62.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residents of Baltimore, Cleveland, Detroit, Gary, New Orleans, Newark, Richmond, Atlanta, St. Louis and Washington, D.C. can take scant comfort from the above statistics.

Those Who Did and Didn’t Come to Dinner

Last October, during his propaganda visit to his beloved United Nations in New York, Fidel Castro threw a gala dinner for the media magnates who -- he knows and we know -- run the anti-nation known as the United States. The guest list included Katharine Graham, Benjamin Bradlee of the

The only commanding media figure who didn’t show was Abe Rosenthal, managing editor of the New York Times. The reason Abe didn’t attend was not to point up his opposition to the Soviet Union’s numero uno agent in the New World -- by no means -- but because Castro, toeing the Kremlin line, has uttered some unkind things about Israel.

The Great Entrapment

Harper’s, which is getting to be the most factual of America’s abysmal crop of mags, ran a story in its January issue to the effect that Watergate was a piece of brilliant entrapment by James McCord. Author Jim Hougan says there is just no way that a trained and experienced CIA agent and professional security officer could have made such stupid gaffes -- involuntarily. He placed a tape across the famous door latch horizontally so it could be more easily spotted. He ordered the break-in to proceed, although he knew the tape had been removed. And, most telling, it was McCord who spilled all the Watergate beans in his famous letter of confession and calumny to Judge Sirica.

Hougan insinuates the frame-up was engineered by CIA counterintelligence with McCord acting as double agent. Why? According to a new biography of Katherine Graham, the Washington Post and the Democratic party wanted to bring down Nixon and they had very little trouble enlisting the aid of a CIA liberal clique.

If Hogan is right, what would happen to Ben Bradlee, Woodward and Bernstein, Sam Ervin and all the other people who built or enhanced their reputations on Watergate? And what about Nixon, Haldeman, Mitchell and Ehrlichman and all the villains in the cast, whose reputations were ruined (excepting those who made more money than ever out of born-again Christianity)? Nobody went to jail after Chappaquiddick, although it involved a death and was accompanied by the most brazen obstruction of justice -- a criminal offense -- all down the line. Many members of Nixon’s high command went to jail, with such notable exceptions as Kissinger, Safire and Schlesinger, who for racial reasons, were not even touched.

It was and is to the liberal-minority coalition’s advantage to reopen the Kennedy and King assassinations. It repolishes the haloes of liberal-minority martyrs. Mary Jo Kopechne and Nixon would make embarrassing martyrs, so, as far as Congress and the Justice Department are concerned, Watergate and Chappaquiddick, though both scandals cry out for a searching inquiry, will remain safely buried. They will only be unearthed if an honest administration comes along -- and that will be a frigid day in Hades.

Mulatto Rights

Robert L. Scott, although a Negro according to the loose raciology of Washington bureaucrats, claims he was discriminated against when the Dayton Veterans Administration Center gave the job he was applying for to a black. Where is the discrimination if one black is hired instead of another? Scott’s argument is that he is a light-skinned black and the man who got the job was dark-skinned. Says Scott:

I believe without a doubt I was better qualified for the job. My contention is that the man who got the job was picked because he was a dark-skinned black. I feel they figured a dark-skinned black would stand out over a light-skinned black. In some instances I feel that employers find the blackest person they can and set him up as an example for minority hiring.

Scott has carried his complaint to the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission, the U.S. District Court and the sixth U.S. Court of Appeals. He was turned down every time. He will decide later whether to take his case to the Nine Old Shysters.

Pale Slaves

They comprised about 80% of the total...They were shipped through coercive practices, often packed in unsanitary ships. They suffered high mortality rates. Once ashore, families might be broken up, husbands and wives sold to different masters and parents were frequently forced to sell their children.

Who were “they”? “They” were the white, British, indentured servants who comprised 80% of prerevolutionary British immigration to this country (see Labor Relations and Social Problems, A Course Book (Bureau of National Affairs, 1978, p.2). How about a Roots III, Mr. Silverman?

Richmond automobiles, especially those owned by blacks, are sporting a new decal, featuring three local War of Independence heroes. We have heard of Lafayette and Jefferson, but James Armistead? He is such a low-key hero he is not even listed in the 1,110-page Negro Almanac, the black Who Was Who. Nevertheless, Richmond “historians” claim he was a slave who fed information about British troop movements to Lafayette, then protecting Richmond against a Redcoat raid, and to Jefferson, then governor of Virginia.
John Nobull

Notes From the Sceptred Isle

Some Instaurationists have complained to the editor that I am a snob. This hurts. I am very much one of the boys -- only too ready to buy a drink and share a yarn with any reasonable-looking bloke in a pub. In fact, I am very much the kind of character that Margaret Thatcher's husband is represented as being in Private Eye -- a highly amusing series which imagines the day-to-day life of the PM's consort -- rather in the style of Mrs. Woodrow Wilson's Diary. However, on reflection, I must admit that I am a wee bit class-conscious, and I think it is time I made a full confession.

Let us begin with a recent occasion when the novelist Barbara Cartland was being interviewed on British television by a certain Sandra Harris for the Today programme. Miss Harris asked her whether she thought class barriers had broken down. “Of course they have,” said Miss Cartland, “or I wouldn’t be sitting here talking to someone like you.” Now, Miss Cartland is by no means my ideal. Her novels are very much a collection of fantasies for teen-age girls, and she has spent altogether too much time caring for Gypsies. On the other hand, just consider the target of this little deflationary dig, the ghastly Sandra Harris, a typical “classless” TV interviewer, full of liberal concern and bogus incisiveness. Is it not good that some of us retain a vision of the social system which does not rate such people high on the totem pole?

Now let us switch to a great college at Cambridge five years ago. A motley collection of advanced students was having tea when one of them asked the scout why he was leaving. He said, “Well, you see, I don’t fancy servin’ people as aren’t my betters.” All conversation stopped. I smiled -- very slightly. Afterward, as I walked across the court, the same scout said, “Excuse me, sir, but the steward says he’s got some fine burgundy in.” It was the best compliment I have ever been paid. Class is not just a matter of snobbery. It is a matter of hierarchy. Snobbery is a signal that you are insecure about your position in the hierarchy.

It is precisely in the company of those who deny the validity of class differences that the worst social gaffes are likely to be made. In his Autobiographies, Yeats has a story about his principal poetic influence, William Morris. Morris was a socialist in a vague and general sense, and on one occasion made himself some lifelong enemies, when he said, “I was born a gentleman, but now, as you see, I mix with all sorts.” If he hadn’t been trying so hard to take colour, he wouldn’t have put his foot in it. A gentleman is not a man who calls himself a gentleman. He is a man who behaves in such a way that he can consider himself one. No, the modern conception of a gentleman has a lot to do with the Puritan conception of a man led by his inner light. Cromwell was talking about the genuine article when he said that he would rather have “the plain russet-coated captain that knows what he fights for and loves what he knows that what you call a gentleman, and is nothing else.”

In many ways class is even more important as an evolutionary force than race. I do not mean that, provided we think well of ourselves from the class point of view, we may miscegenate with those of other races who likewise think well of themselves. Embassies and the higher reaches of the international bureaucracies are full of folk like that. Oh yes, I have met the French ambassador with his neat little artistic Japanese wife. Then I look at the children: rootless, disoriented, and fated to remain so. That kind of solution lasts exactly a lifetime. No, there is another element in class feeling, namely pride in belonging to a subtribe of people who have reason for pride. Do not imagine that this feeling is confined to the haute bourgeoisie or to the gentry. It is just as likely to characterize a Southern farmer who remembers what his grandfather told him about the Civil War, or a Boer who has relived the South African War at his father’s knee, or the Northern Irish Protestant remembering the Battle of the Somme, where so many of his relatives died. It is simple people who feel the deepest wound when our loathsome liberal enemies deride the custom of buying poppies to commemorate the dead mouldering in Flanders.

Some rightists have argued vehemently against class distinctions, saying that they lead to inbreeding and social division. Such people are equally hostile to ethnic divisions within a nation and tend to play them down.

During the 1960s it came to the notice of liberals that real equality of opportunity would result in social stratification far more absolute than any seen since the time of the Pharaohs, because it would be almost entirely genetically based. Once a stratified hierarchy had produced itself, it only remains to construct a mechanism whereby unworthy members of the upper classes can slide down the social scale, while worthy members of the lower classes can rise.

It is fully natural that the lower classes should feel intense resentment of upper-class people who have continued to enjoy some of their privileges while leaving their own working-class people in the lurch. No wonder, they await with a certain amount of Schadenfreude the abolition of public schools by the left wing of the Labour Party. If a member of the National
Front inveighs against the privileges of the weakly compassionate upper classes. I do not argue. I accept that he has a right to feel resentment. The job of leaders is to lead. Besides, NF members are usually good physical types, which makes it easier for me to keep my peace. These are the people who stood at Plassy, Talavera, Sebastopol, and Ypres--the solid British working class which always flocks to join the true leader. As I am fond of remarking, the great thing about being a racist is that it prevent one from being a snob. There are good types in every class, usually at the bottom of each, because the rules have been changed to their disadvantage.

It was unkind of Auberon Waugh to say the working class is a luxury we can no longer afford, and I rather regret my own little verse on the subject:

We, the chaps in chemises farcies,
Hate the sight of the lower classes,
We would like to stuff their arses
With lots and lots of broken glasses.

Still, one can't help feeling like that sometimes, when faced with an insolent, stupid expression, completed by a cigarette hanging out of the corner of the mouth.

Now, I don't deny that one might write a nice little diatribe against the upper classes too. Gilbert lampooned excess of class feelings in Iolanthe:

Bow, bow, ye lower middle classes!
Bow, ye tradesmen, bow, ye masses.

On the other hand, he ridiculed egalitarianism in The Con doliers.

I am also particularly fond of the story of Lloyd George when his reforms were blocked by the House of Lords. "For how long," he said with his Welsh Hwyl, "for how long shall the destinies of this great country be frustrated by six hundred--chosen at random from the unemployed?"

If my readers include people who imagine that it would be better to sweep away class distinctions, I would just remind them of a fact well known to honest psychologists working in mental institutions. One of the commonest characteristics of the inmates is classlessness.

Far too many rightists are impressed by the fact that Khomeini hates the corruption of the West as well as the godlessness of communism. They assume that the Shah must have been a bad ruler because he liked Americans too much. I do not consider this a very grave charge, as I like some of them myself. But the charge is unfair to the Shah, who in his autobiography referred rather rudely to his astonishment at the ignorance of the large, well-fed American reporters who interviewed him. That autobiography is worth reading. It explains why liberals loathe him. He stresses the "Aryan" meaning of Iran, and I can vouch for their being some fine types of the purely Mediterranean (not Oriental) race in that country. The Shah's father was quite simply a Nordic, and the Shah himself would pass muster in present-day Italy or Spain. The true reason for the enmity of the media towards the Shah is that he was bidding fair to create a country which was fully independent, and powerful enough to hold its enemies at bay.

I made a visit to Persia in 1964, when General de Gaulle was there. It was quite a moving occasion. I saw bearded old peasants in the province of Fars literally weeping in gratitude at receiving the deeds to their land. There were Western reporters there, but none of them reported any of this. How many people know that the principal reason for the fanatical mullahs' hostility to the Shah is that he distributed their Waqf lands to the peasants during his White Revolution? Sure, he tried to go too far too fast, and the social imbalances which resulted were quickly exploited against him. Above all, the traditional middle class, which was left-wing because of its schooling in the West, hated the new meritocracy which arose with rapid development.

Believe me, the spirit of Persia is not just a fanatical blend of Shi'ism and communism. There is a Persian feeling far older, far more civilized, than Islam. It is the spirit that lives on in the Persian poets, with their love of wine and amorous dalliance, with their love of transcendental mysticism. I remember once sitting on a sunlit roof, surrounded by sweet-smelling jasmine, listening to a Savak officer as he recited Hafiz and Sana'i. It was an unforgettable experience, made even sweeter by the memory of the tangerines we ate and the Armenian wine we drank, not to speak of the daughter of the house, who had blue-black hair, enormous eyes, almost white skin, and red lips. I had my reservations about Persia at that time, but now I find that both Left and Right have united in their detestation of the Shah and all his works. I can cry fervently and with a good conscience, "Shahanshah zenedebad." (Long live the king of kings). I should add that, in the course of my diplomatic duties I met the Shah three times. He struck me then as extremely fit, and he had a stronger handshake than any head of state I have ever met. His French was perfect and his grasp of essentials very rapid. Perhaps he did siphon off some Iranian funds when he saw that things were turning out badly. How about the immense amount siphoned out of Spain by those media darlings, the "loyalists," during the Spanish Civil War? For some reason the Shah-haters found nothing wrong with that.

**Stirrings**

New York. The animalization of New York City, a story covered intermittently by Instauration since the first issue, is getting harder to gainsay. In 1977, according to a new HEW study (the E is being phased out as the Department of Education takes shape), 229 New Yorkers reported they had been bitten by rats. In the same year 892 New Yorkers reported they had been bitten by people. * * *

The powers that be in the United Methodist Church have decided that Paul Abels may stay on as pastor of the Washington Square Church in New York City. The good reverend prefers his fellow men to his fellow women. Another New York City preacher, who is not a queer, is paying a heavy price for his normality. After his interdenominational church asked President Carter to cut back on his White House conference with gay leaders, Rev. Roger Fulton has received death threats from neighborhood homosexuals.
Washington. After Carter’s ethnic head-counters had informed him there were a lot of Hispanics in the country -- millions more than there would have been if his administration had enforced the immigration laws -- Jimmy the Tooth with his eye on the golden date of November 4 -- decided to appoint Edward Hidalgo Secretary of the Navy. A 67-year-old attorney who was born south of the border, Hidalgo is a Mexican with eyes and epidermis so light that Chicanos can hardly call him one of their own. Hidalgo’s pigmentation, by the way, is much whiter than that of Secretary of the Army Clifford Alexander, who is a mulatto, and somewhat whiter than that of his boss, Secretary of Defense Harold Brown, the son of A.H. and Gertrude Cohen Brown.

Palm Beach. A report from a social-climbing subscriber. Ten days after the winter solstice I had the good luck to be invited to the Red Cross Ball in Palm Beach. Since I believe that our cause will only succeed if we find some way to win at least some of the ruling elite to our side, I was eager to attend and have the opportunity of viewing our betters close up.

It was an intriguing and interesting affair. Teddy and Joan Kennedy had purchased tickets but to my disappointment did not put in an appearance. The ball took place in the beautiful Flagler Museum and its theme was “Star Trek.” Many of those in attendance, a predominantly youngish crowd, had obviously spent enormous sums on specially tailored, sequin-studded costumes. The decorations reflected the space-age theme, which I thought lent a good Faustian air to the proceedings. Above the dancers who swirled along to disco music (ugh!) was a machine which spewed out simulated snow.

It was New Year’s Eve and everyone was wildly enjoying themselves, including myself and (I hope) my date, under whose auspices this lowly peasant was able to attend. The most striking feature of the party was the stunning physical beauty of many of those in attendance. A large proportion of them had a definite racial cast -- tall, slim, well-drawn aristocratic features, soft, light-colored hair. I don’t know if this is the result of some genetic connection between Nordicism and success, or whether it is a result of the esthetic prop, with wealthy and successful people being able to choose mates of the opposite sex exhibiting the classical criteria of beauty. In either case, these young people were obviously the repository of wonderful genetic riches, regardless of how confused and scrambled their thinking and values may be.

It was also noteworthy that the physical difference between the minorityites in attendance and the Majority members was far more stark than in the workaday world. Despite their money, many of the Jews were singularly ugly and stood out like rats among rabbits.

It was awesome to think that if someone could change the thinking of the people gathered in that one room, he would come close to changing the direction of the age. I tried to think of something I could have done to turn the evening to the concrete advantage of our race and could come up with only one idea. If I return next year, I shall come armed with a cache of fertility pills and use them to spike the punch.

Athens, Georgia. Instauration recently had the honor of receiving the following communication from the head of the Demostheneian Literary Society of the University of Georgia.

It would only seem reasonable that if Mr. Jowdy was so disturbed by our publication he would at least have taken the trouble to spell our magazine’s name correctly. We passed the letter on to a former president of the Society, who with two other former members took it to a Demostheneian meeting several weeks later. There the membership was confronted with Jowdy’s action and before he could adjourn the meeting (the tried every parliamentary trick in the book) the membership censured his censorship by a rollcall vote of 18-4. All in all it was a humiliating setback for a bushy-tailed liberal who thought he could decide what the Society should or should not read without bothering to inform the membership of his Big Brotherism.

The whole matter was summed up adequately by a letter to Instauration from one...

\[...\]
of the three alumni members of the Society who had decided to challenge Jowdy's un­
civil (note the slurs) and cheap (note the copy to the postmaster) attempt to cancel the gift subscription paid for by a former member.

When I was a student, the Demosthenian Society was the most mind-expanding acti­
vity at the University of Georgia. All kinds of ideas were advocated by various mem­
bers: liberal, conservative, anarchist, liter­
tarian, socialist, integrationist, segregationist, racist, egalitarian, Christian, atheist, etc., although the Society was in fact usual­ly dominated by the more conservative ele­ments. I loved the Society and especially its spirit of courteous but wide-open inquiry.

The mere concept that a student at a uni­versity would welcome and applaud the theory that certain ideas, thoughts and philos­ophies are off limits is to me a betrayal of the whole role and legacy of youth. When one thinks of the liberal student movements in Europe of the 19th century...in which many students laid down their lives to stop clerical and political suppression of the free exchange of ideas in universities, it is truly appalling and disappointing to reflect that in the author of this letter we are presented with a student who desires that the free ex­change of ideas be curtailed.

In a specific sense Mr. Jowdy's letter is an embarrassment to the Demosthenian So­ciety and its hallowed tradition of the union of free inquiry with the courtesy that one expects of a gentleman, especially at a Sou­thern educational institution.

Minneapolis. A Minnesotan named James Erickson had the gall to write a letter to the Minneapolis Star questioning the univer­sal "given" that Germans had deliber­ately exterminated six million Jews. The spirit of courtesy but wide-open inquiry that the Society is supposed to be about would have rejected it as a response to Jowdy's letter. Erickson's letter was "an obscene apologia for the crime and the anti-Jewish racial philosophy of the Nazi regime." No doubt whether conservatives will eventually become his main target.

Near East. A personal communication: After living and working in Saudi Arabia as a transport pilot for the past sixteen months, I must admit that I have nothing but the utmost contempt and revulsion for Arabs and Middle Easterners. They are the ugliest, the most evil-looking, the more ignorant, the most stupid, the most illogical, the most foul-smelling, the rudest, and the filthiest subhuman trash conceivable. I came over here as a Christian and I have now become an atheist! I cannot believe that this branch of mankind was created by the same God I worshipped.

Have you noticed that God chose the most displeasing race (Semites) to receive "His word," and the most disagreeable cli­mate and scenery (Semitic lands) as the scene of his various miracles? Semites pos­sess the grossest conceit in proclaiming to the rest of the world that God or Yahweh or Allah selected them as His selected earthly representatives. If their holy mission to spread God's word by persuasion should fail, then it must be spread by the sword.

Many Arabs of the Arabian peninsula are Negroid as well as Semitic. This is also true of the Arabs of North Africa. Centuries of easy access to black female slaves combined with their contradictory, hypocritical and puritanical Islamic attitudes regarding the "sacred virgins of Islam" have resulted in a race of mulattos, bisexuals and homosexuals. Although officially condemned by Islam, homosexual conduct is so ingrained in the Near Eastern culture that married men often have more sexual relations with other males than they have with their wives. Many Arab males I have seen here are muscullary underdeveloped, have eff­eminate bodies and womanish ways of walking, holding a glass or cup, and making gestures.

Khomeini and the Iranians hate us -- not because of the Shah -- but because of our blind, slavish obedience to the commands, orders and demands issued to us by their natural enemy (everyone's enemy), the Jews. The Shah was merely an excuse to seize the U.S. Embassy. The Pakistani assa­ult on embassy personnel was a long time in coming. These ignorant subhumans ac­tually believe that the U.S.A. is anti-Islamic because it is so pro-Israeli. They actually believe that we attach some significance to their imbicile religion to the extent that we intend to destroy all Moslems and Moslem institutions because the Jews require this. Over here, nobody does anything unless there is a religious reason for it whether it is blowing one's nose or going to war! Taking advantage of Arab-Jewish animosity is not immoral. By finding an ally among the vipers in order to slay the cobra, less effort will be required on our part in order to ac­complish mutually desirable goals. How­ever, one does not and should not affec­tionately fondle or stroke the viper!

Having also been in a dozen black Afri­can countries, I can unashamedly proclaim that I am proud of the white race. I would not like to be a member of any other race. We need not take off our hats to anyone. If you have never seen the black African in his own natural abode, you would never believe how lazy, vicious, stupid, deliber­ately filthy and incurious these creatures are. Since they are cruel and vicious toward one another, you can just imagine how they behave toward strangers. Negroes have convinced themselves that whites destroy­ed superior black civilizations because they were envious of the greater physical and intellectual attributes of blacks.