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I am a dentist. “Tarbrushed Jews” (Oct. 1979) has removed some bothersome plague from my eyes. I had heard from a non-Jewish friend that there were two kinds of Jews, absolutely separate in origin: the Ashkenazim or East European Jews and the Sephardim, the Biblical Jews from the Near East. The former were said to have sprung from a Mongolian people called the Khazars, who converted to Judaism in the 8th century. These were the “bad” Jews: the Russian, Polish and German Jews. One finds shoving one out of the way in New York subways or theater lines. The Sephardim were the “good” Jews: the refined, delicate Jews expelled from Spain by Queen Isabella and King Ferdinand. I never bought this story because the Biblical Jews I read about in the Old Testament -- the ancestors of the present-day Sephardim -- seemed anything but refined and delicate: they were as brutal, crude, carnal, avaricious as any of the modern Kazars in New York City. For another thing, I never seemed to come across any Sephardic Jews. Whenever I became acquainted with a Jew who called himself a Sephardi, after the acquaintance ripened, it turned out he was an unmistakable Ashkenazi. “Tarbrushed Jews,” in noting that, in spite of minor differences, the Ashkenazim and Sephardim come from one stock (and not a Kazhar one at that) has straightened out a serious kink in my thinking.

I was intrigued by your item in the October issue concerning the “magic number” of six million. I, too, have been compiling a file on the use of this figure. I have a clipping of 6 million subscribers to Farmers’ Almanac (L.A. Times, October 10, 1979). Billy Carter’s claim that Zionists cost him $6 million (B’nai B’rith Messenger, Oct. 5, 1979) and Liberty Lobby’s suit against the ADL for $6 million (Spotlight, Oct. 8, 1979).

After re-reading the Book of Joshua, or as much of it as I could stomach, I came up with:

How apt the genocides of Canaan
Should have as head Menahem Begin.

A sorry day when man gave up his independence for the dictatorial ascendancy of woman. The bloom has gone, opposite gender turned off; niceness between the sexes evaporating like dew in the morning sun.

A good candidate for Japanese-Renegade-of-the-Year is reporter Takao Tokuoka. In an August 17 guest column for the New York Times Service he attacked his country’s “Japanese only” racial policy. Not only do Japanese reject “boast people” on the grounds of language, he complains, they also consider them cowards and moochers. Tokuoka says that Chinese and Koreans who are second- and third-generation residents of Japan are not given citizenship. The worst insult one Japanese man can direct at another is “You Korean!” This sentiment is quite understandable when you remember that after the war, Korean hoods were given a free hand by American authorities. The only group able to stand up to them was the Japanese equivalent of the Mafia. Since these Japanese became an unofficial constabulary and were highly popular, they were affectionately dubbed the “Ginza Police.”

I feel deep inside that you find it hard to knock Christianity and Christ, but printing outright praise in any form makes you uneasy. Perhaps I am wrong. I figure it like this: the God of Michelangelo, Bach, Beethoven, Dante, and especially Wagner (yes, even him, if you read Cosima’s diaries) is a good enough god for me. I feel it is not He who has changed for the worse, nor His word; rather it is those pathetic creatures He deigns to let live that have so degenerated into such half-hearted weaknesses.

Many of the subjects of articles in your publication are white men of whom I have never heard. If their accomplishments were covered up, isn’t it entirely possible that white women have also done important things but were never recognized because of prejudice?

I wonder whether the author of “The Elfin Esthetic” realizes that he is making precisely the same point about the Hallstatt type as Desmond Morris makes about pseudomorphism in dogs. Dogs with fore-shortened muzzles are regarded as more babylke. Hence the popularity of those horrible little Pekes and Boxers, whose breathing apparatus has been severly damaged by selection. The fact is that the Hallstatt type had a well-marked nose, just as the Swedish gentry had in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Modern Swedes tend to have smaller, turned-up noses, perhaps owing to Upper Palaeolithic reversion, perhaps partly to Finnish immigration.

The “experts” have been predicting for several years that the crime rate would soon begin to fall as the population grew older, on the theory that young men commit most crime, particularly violent crime. The experts ignored two factors -- race and cultural decay. The Negro birthrate is still high and there is no shortage of young blacks to commit murder, rape and robbery.

Recently U.S. District Court Judge Robert F. Peckham gave his best effort for the enemies of our culture by ruling “in behalf of all minority race children in California” that I..Q. and other “intelligence” tests may not be used to place black children in special classes for the retarded. Ignoring the characteristics commonly ascribed to intelligence, such as possessing coherent speech, avoiding the common dangers of life without constant supervision, and having the potential for acquiring a common trade or vocation, much less the intricate aspects of adaptive behavior and communications skills, he postulated instead a “native intelligence” for them that was so cleverly concealed it could not be systematically studied.

Even worse than the unqualified appointees is the screening process for the federal appellate positions. The Carter “merit” panels screen out all conservatives, asking questions about racial views, civil rights, etc. This is a dramatic change from past practice where leading members of the bar and judiciary filled these spots. A decade ago, it would have been considered outrageous to ask a prospective judge how he would rule in such a situation. Carter is getting away with what Roosevelt failed to do -- packing the courts. America will suffer under hundreds of activist liberal-minority judges for a generation.

I eagerly devour your sacred journal and let me especially compliment Cholly’s column. He hits it on the head and makes an impression in the wood when he writes that “survival” planning is foolish and that we’d better get used to the idea of kissing our life style goodbye.

Cholly acknowledges that many Jews and Gentiles are marrying each other but to him that is not a common bond. If living together and sleeping in the same bed is not a common bond, then, pray tell, what is?

Jewish subscriber
“Was Jesus Jewish?” The natural father of Jesus was not Joseph, who became Mary’s husband. Both “genealogies” (one in Matthew, the other in Luke) are Joseph’s, not Mary’s. In the Aramaic translation, Mary is the “purchased bride” of Joseph. In a movie put out by Inspiration Films, Mary was still only “betrothed” to Joseph when she arrived in Bethlehem and went into labor. (This film was entirely based on the Gospel of Luke.) Matthew and Luke both say that Joseph thought of rejecting here and divorcing her privately. He knew he wasn’t the father of the child she conceived. Probably Mary stayed with her cousin Elizabeth to avoid scandalmongers in Nazareth. In the Monty Python satire “The Life of Brian,” which is a $4 rip off, Brian (or Jesus) is blond, blue-eyed and garrison in Galilee had German. Mercedes of course, the Batavians on the Upper Rhine were conquered. In the Monty Python satire “The Life of Brian,” which is a $4 rip-off, Brian (or Jesus) is blond, blue-eyed and a head taller than all the rest of the characters. Mary tells “Brian” that Joseph was not his father, but that she was raped by a Roman soldier. If Mary were a purchased bride, her background was certainly looked into, and Joseph would never have married a prostitute or an adulteress, nor would he have married a woman who already had a lover. Probably the only thing truthful in the Talmudic claims is that Mary was a ladies’ hairdresser and sold vegetables from her garden. So much for the truthfulness in the Talmudic claims is that Mary was a ladies’ hairdresser and sold vegetables from her garden. So much for the Norldicity of Christ’s ancestry! (I recall that Gen. Ludendorff claimed that Christ’s father was a German! There’s chauvinism there. It was precisely on Mount Carmel that the excavation of a cave known as Mugharet-ets-Skulh in 1926 resulted in the recovery of a skull, known as Skulh #5, which provided the first proof that the Neanderthals could interbreed with strictly human races. Subsequent study of other skulls found in the same cave convinced Carleton Coon that four of them belonged to hybrids that were partly Neanderthal and partly Caucasian, and that Skulh #5 represented a further mongrelization, probably a mixture of such hybrids with Australoids. The discovery of this evidence in Palestine may only be a geographical coincidence, but a dominant Neanderthal strain in the Jews would explain many things. Opinion polls have meddled with voting results so much in recent years (e.g., by surprise announcements just before the election) that I have come to regard them as a mere cover for real investigations which are never published, but which show our enemies how our minds are working. The fewer people cooperating with the pollsters, the better.

You may be interested to know that one Charles Webster has written a book called The Great Instauration: Science, Medicine and Reform, 1626-1660 (London: Duckworth, 1975). It seems a very solid work.

Ayn Rand’s golden-calf worship of the Almighty dollar is merely an exaggeration of the reverence for the dollar felt by generations of Majorities in America. When the dollar is weakened as a binding force, I suspect that the different ethnic communities of which the country is composed will begin to separate.

As an impoverished conservative who has lived most of his life in New York City, I feel like a man trapped in a sewer. Instauration is a monthly dose of life-sustaining oxygen.

“Darwin in America” is most interesting. We owe a debt to Mr. Throckmorton. Note the sympathy of Calvinists for Darwin’s theories. Darlington also stresses the predestinarian nature of inbred tendencies.

I don’t think the mass of Negroes will break away from the Jewish leading strings. Some will, and that can be enough to reveal many things previously concealed. The answer to the query as to which we should choose, the blacks or the Jews, is the blacks -- the blacks every time. Once the Jewish hegemony is removed, it becomes possible to work out a deal with any other group whatsoever. We could then organize an orderly repatriation scheme for the blacks, marked by humanity and generosity.

That is a very good point about welfare in “The Cowbird Pattern.” It deprives middle-class mothers of the servants who enable them to lead full lives and bring up a family at the same time. Incidentally, it also prevents the welfare recipients from benefiting by contact with the middle class.

What is wrong with the whole middle class is too little masculine aggression. The upper classes in Europe, contrary to what is generally believed, are much more violent than the bourgeois. Officers in good British regiments, Prussian Junkers, and the producers of good American military schools are all traditionally violent. Their mess games, involving brutal horseplay and competitions in daring and strength, have been known to horrify even those who are not involved.

The attack on formal education is a bit better aimed this time. Certainly, girls and boys do not require the same education. What is wrong with the whole middle class is too little masculine aggression. The upper classes in Europe, contrary to what is generally believed, are much more violent than the bourgeois. Officers in good British regiments, Prussian Junkers, and the producers of good American military schools are all traditionally violent. Their mess games, involving brutal horseplay and competitions in daring and strength, have been known to horrify even those who are not involved.

I realize how irresponsible some clergy are and how a number of them have betrayed their Majority congregations, but the anti-Christian tendencies in Instauration greatly disturb me.

"Was This Trip Necessary?" (Oct. 1979) is words of wisdom from the wise. Americans are travel crazy, booze crazy, dope nuts and jazz wacky!

In your November issue your British correspondent mentions the theory that the Jews are a hybrid race, produced by a crossing of the Neanderthal with Homo sapiens. Many Jewish legends persistently associate their race with Palestine and even with Mount Carmel, which was made particularly holy when their ferocious god helped them massacre some Phoenicians there. It was precisely on Mount Carmel that the excavation of a cave known as Mugharet-ets-Skulh in 1926 resulted in the recovery of a skull, known as Skulh #5, which provided the first proof that the Neanderthals could interbreed with strictly human races. Subsequent study of other skulls found in the same cave convinced Carleton Coon that four of them belonged to hybrids that were partly Neanderthal and partly Caucasian, and that Skulh #5 represented a further mongrelization, probably a mixture of such hybrids with Australoids. The discovery of this evidence in Palestine may only be a geographical coincidence, but a dominant Neanderthal strain in the Jews would explain many things.
The main point made in "Tarbrushed Jews" is one with which I wholeheartedly agree. The Khazar red herring was a way of disassociating the really nasty Jews (mostly Ashkenazim) from the Biblical Jews. As John Baker makes plain in Race, the commonest Jewish type is Armenid -- a type strongly represented among the ancient Assyrians, who look like very vigorous versions of the Jews: dark, with noses like sixes, exerted lips, no occiput, squat bodies, hostile expressions. The Assyrians were one of the nastiest peoples who ever lived. Their horrible practice of skinning alive entire populations of captured towns is proudly represented on a large number of bas-reliefs. Mourant's blood group evidence is incontrovertible.

The Federal Trade Commission with its dirty tricks is on the move to impose sanctions of the Jews: dark, with noses like sixes, exerted lips, no occiput, squat bodies, hostile expressions. The Assyrians were one of the nastiest peoples who ever lived. Their horrible practice of skinning alive entire populations of captured towns is proudly represented on a large number of bas-reliefs. Mourant's blood group evidence is incontrovertible.

My country home built in 1836 was pilaged, robbed and finally set on fire and completely destroyed. My garage has been robbed of $2,000 in tools and equipment. My rental apartment building has been subjected to pillage and theft. My city home has been invaded twice. Once a man brought his woman in and made love to her in my bed while I was at a Masonic meeting. Three of my cars have been destroyed by irresponsible, drunken blacks and browns. My social life is zero. I have been assaulted and put in a hospital, as have fifteen of my friends. Twelve of my friends have committed suicide. I am unable to work and have been discriminated against because I am white. I estimate my losses to be in excess of $300,000. How much more do I have to contribute to the New Frontier or Great Society?

Instauration's editor grew up in a time when America was still basically a Majority nation. I grew up with Jimi Hendrix, Alice Cooper, pot, acid, antiwar ideals and Jane Fonda. I was taught to hate, make fun of or loathe: America, my parents, religion and morality. For an adolescent the worst thing to be was "uncool" or "not with it." We had Hollywood on our side in that battle. Every TV show, record album and movie helped us to love "humanity," hate "prejudice" and question old values. It is not so easy to throw off all of this madness. It is not too hard to "unlearn" racial equality. I attended an integrated school from the 6th grade up. The music is not so easily thrown off. While I cannot possibly speak for every teenager who struggled through the 60s and 70s, I can say this for myself -- I found nothing to live or die for. There is only meaningless existence. Sartre may be an ass (he always acknowledged his debt to Heidegger), but in many ways Being and Nothingness is the most important book of the century. Maybe I and most of my generation are the "Twentieth-Century Its" so well illustrated on a recent cover of Instauration.

"Swedish subscriber" is off-beam when he refers to "Asiatic" tribes in Iran. The fact is that despite Turcoman incursions, the Persians have a large Mediterranean racial component which is typically volatile and also artistic. My own view is that their love of easy living, which for them has always included wine-drinking, prostitution, etc., will eventually triumph over the rigidities of Islamic fundamentalism.

Swiss subscriber
CANNIBALISM -- A NONINSTITUTION?

The worldwide effort to deprecate whites has always been beset by one nagging problem. What to do about cannibalism? How can nonwhites be so superior, so much more rational, so much less bestial, if so many of them indulged -- and a few still do -- in such a despicable practice?

Inevitably, an academic whitewasher, or should we say blackwasher, had to come along to clear the nonwhite slate of this damning charge. He turned out to be a minority anthropologist at the State University of New York (Stony Brook) named W. Arens (just the initial is given) whose book, *The Man-Eating Myth* (Oxford University Press, $9.95), flatly denies that there was ever such a thing as institutional cannibalism. It's all a lie, he declares. No culture ever practiced it; only individuals and then only under extreme circumstances.

Putting on the straight face that professors wear so easily when turning truth upside down, Arens says that all accounts of tribal cannibalism reduce to nothing but hearsay, ax-grinding or just plain vilification. “I believe,” writes the professor, “that it [the belief in cannibalism] is indeed a subtle form of racism....” Such words quickly evoked a flattering endorsement of Aren’s tome from none other than Montague Francis Israel Ashley Montagu Ehrenberg.

We won’t refer author Arens to another Oxford University Press book, *Race* by Dr. John Baker, which gives the lie to his lie, nor to the thousands of eyewitness and earwitness accounts of cannibalism in Africa, Asia and Latin America. We won’t even mention the choice cuts of human flesh recently found in the deposed Emperor Bokassa’s deepfreeze. But we will cite an authoritative article on the cannibalistic gourmandise of the Aztec culture in *Natural History* (April 1977).

No one knows how many human beings were sacrificed on Aztec altars each year. A credible estimate is 20,000, though the figure has ranged as high as 250,000 annually in the fifteenth century. Whatever the number, the question remains, why would any people anywhere undam such a flow of blood?

The best answer seems to be hunger and malnutrition. Aztec warriors went into battle primarily to capture prisoners who were put in wooden cages, fattened, and then led up to temple altars where their hearts were plucked out and the gods appeased. Next, “the corpse was tumbled down the steps of the pyramid and carried off to be butchered,” or in some cases the butchery was performed at the sacrificial site. At least three of the limbs were the property of the captor if he had taken his prisoner in battle unaided. Later, at a feast given at the captor’s quarters the central dish was a stew of tomatoes, peppers and the limbs of the victim.

If W. Arens had visited the archaeological dig of the Aztec sacrificial site at Tlatelolco in Mexico City, he would have learned that in the years 1960-69 a quantity of human rib cages completely lacking limb bones were found. The butchery was probably accomplished by obsidian blades uncovered near the site. Also nearby were piles of human skulls, all of them split open so the brains could be removed to serve as a “choice delicacy” for the priesthood.
Unfortunately, the Aztec population had grown so fast and Mexico was so poorly endowed with wild and domesticated animals that the only readily available source of meat was human. Maize and beans comprised almost the entire diet of the poor. Though these two staples contain the eight essential amino acids for rebuilding body tissue, great amounts of both had to be consumed. When one of the crops failed, as frequently happened, the only practicable substitute was meat -- *Homo sapiens* meat.

Legally, only the Aztec nobility had the right to eat human flesh. Consequently, the waiver given warriors who captured prisoners represented a very strong incentive for military exploits. To put it bluntly, the Aztec empire was a cannibal empire in which neighboring states were not conquered or subdued, but allowed to exist as human stockyards.

Today, as the descendants of these mass-market cannibals move north in droves, let us hope that the American beef industry grows apace. Christianity and the introduction of Old World cattle, sheep, pigs and poultry into Mexico assuaged cannibalistic appetites. But what might happen tomorrow in Los Angeles if there was a sudden shortage of meat and beans?

The souls of millions of Majority members have already been sacrificed by alien priests on the altars of Hollywood. A sudden lack of protein might stimulate the rebirth of an institution based on a more physical form of sacrifice, one which would turn the Sunset Strip into a river of Majority blood.

Speaking of cannibalism, Emperor Bokassa I, once a blatherskite sergeant in the French army in Vietnam, is now a political refugee in a black African country, the Ivory Coast, whose President Houphouet-Boigny is known as a cultured Negro. Bokassa, who spent $25 million on his coronation, much of it paid for by French taxpayers and some of it by American taxpayers through foreign aid, had a very fancy deep freeze. After being chased from his throne by 900 French paratroopers some months ago, the body of one Gaston Wengué was found in his freezer, with the arms, one leg and head missing. “parts traditionally favoured by cannibals,” according to the London *Daily Telegraph*. Following one banquet for his ministers, the British newspaper reports, they were told they had just eaten one of their colleagues. This was not too astonishing to some old African hands who knew that Bokassa was a member of a cannibal tribe, the M'paka, and that until fifteen years ago human flesh was openly sold in the market in Bangui, the capital of Bokassa’s Central African Empire. As the *Daily Telegraph* added, “It is a mistaken belief in Europe that cannibalism has been largely eradicated in Africa.” Also mistaken is the belief that blacks only eat people because they hope to absorb the strength and talent of their victims. In central Africa people are eaten first and foremost for food. But rich despots like Bokassa can afford to be wasteful. He fed many of his enemies not to humans, but to the crocodiles in a large pool in the back of his palace. When his reign came to a timely end, forty bodies were discovered in the pool. These were the human remains that the surfeited crocodiles had not eaten. Not in the pool were the crushed and mashed skulls of the 100 school children Bokassa had ordered clubbed to death when they resisted an order to buy uniforms from a clothing factory owned by the emperor’s favorite wife, Empress Catherine. Bokassa personally directed this massacre and was responsible for gouging out many of the students’ eyes.

Bokassa’s white friends, including French President Giscard d’Estaing and other topflight French officials, may have been served black or perhaps white meat at plush banquets. Who knows? “Europeans simply don’t understand cannibalism,” said President Leopold Senghour, the self-proclaimed philosopher of negritude. For many blacks it would have been much better to have been cooked in a pot and eaten than to undergo other types of punishment the emperor reserved for his victims. One was to bury people in sand up to their necks and leave them to the voracious mercy of giant African ants. In this way the bodies of the victims were protected. Only the heads were eaten, so the agony could be prolonged as long as possible.

Giscard d’Estaing occasionally joined Bokassa in hunting elephants in sophisticated helicopter gun ships. No wonder that three-quarters of the pachyderms in his country were wiped out during the thirteen years of his rule.

David Dacko, the new boss man, has turned the empire back into a republic, but no one expects any great changes. He is a cousin of the deposed emperor and a long-time senior advisor. Perhaps the Empress Catherine, now living in a lavish estate in France, will not languish long in exile.
THE ZIONIZATION OF
AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY

No population group exerts a greater influence over American foreign affairs than American Jews. Considering the overflowing support given Israel to the increasing detriment of our relations with the Arab and Moslem world, considering that our president has become an almost full-time negotiator for Israeli and Jewish interests, it would be hard to underestimate the impresario role of Jews in shaping America’s international affairs. Historians and most pundit, when they admit this overriding influence at all, define it as a relatively new phenomenon, one that was not really manifested until World War II and the birth or rebirth of the Jewish state. Nothing could be further from the mark. The Jewish input into American foreign policy began long, long ago.

A logical point of departure might be President Madison’s appointment in 1815 of Mordecai Noah, a Philadelphia-born Jew, to the post of American consul in Tunis. Noah, described as a loud, sharp-tongued, irritating, insistent man prone to self-dramatization, controversy and showmanship, was a journalist, political reporter and quasi-literary light who fantasized about founding a Jewish homeland in the New World. Pending the implementation of this pipedream, Noah decided he could serve his precocious Zionism best by entering the foreign service as America’s first Jewish diplomat.

Noah arrived in Tunis during America’s second war with Britain, at the time the Barbary Pirates were capturing U.S. ships and holding crew and cargo for ransom. Almost his first act was to arrange the release of four Americans from prison for a sum of $5,000 which he advanced out of his own pocket. Since the expenditure was not authorized, it could be surmised he was engaged not in a patriotic act, but in a private business venture. His next move was to try to nullify a British treaty with the Bey of Tunis, in which the latter promised not to purchase or acquire British ships captured by any “Christian state.” When the British protested the sale of a British prize captured by an American warship, Noah said the British had no case because the United States was not a Christian state. Its citizenry, he explained, included Jews.

It is not known how the dispute was finally determined. What is known is that after Noah sought to get his $5,000 back from the American government, he was dismissed from his post because his “religion was deemed inappropriate for the execution of his consular duties.” “Shocked,” Noah protested his dismissal and stirred up a hornet’s nest of rhetoric in Washington, charging that the president had wounded the religious feelings of “the entire Jewish nation.” Finally his money was returned along with a statement from the State Department saying his activities in Tunis, though unwise, were not dishonorable, and that his Jewish religion, as such, was not the grounds for his dismissal.

After failing to convince John Quincy Adams to make him American ambassador to Austria, Noah concentrated on some visionary plans to establish a Jewish community in Grand Island, New York. At the same time he was also revving up a campaign to persuade the sultan of Turkey to create a Jewish homeland in Syria and Palestine. After none of these maneuvers bore any fruit except cute little news stories and sentimental editorials, Noah spent the tag end of his life as a playwright, sheriff and political wardheeler.

In 1840 the first blatant lobbying effort to mobilize American power and prestige on behalf of world Jewry was undertaken by Isaac Leeser, like Noah born in Philadelphia, who persuaded the federal government to protest to the Turkish sultan about an alleged ritual murder in Damascus. A Capuchin monk named Thomas had mysteriously disappeared and seven Jewish elders were arrested and accused of homicide. Leeser sent a petition to President Martin Van Buren demanding that the U.S formally complain to the sultan about the matter, the ambassador dutifully obliged -- without avail.

In 1857 another “Jewish problem” arose over a U.S. treaty with Switzerland which regulated the travel of Swiss and Americans into the two countries. Although one article stated only Christians could enter and leave Switzerland, no one paid much attention to this diplomatic sleeper until an American Jew asked for an extension of his residence permit in the canton of Neuchatel. When he was turned down, Jewish organizations in the U.S. rose like one man to his support and injected the State Department into the dispute. President Buchanan promised to do what he could, but not until 1874 was the imbroglio laid to rest by a revised Swiss constitution.

Next came the Mortara incident. In 1858 Papal gendarmes abducted a seven-year-old boy from an Italian-Jewish family in Bologna. Six years previously a Catholic nursing sister had baptized the child when he lay very sick in a hospital. She had hoped the conversion to Christianity would save his life. Years later when she revealed what she had done, the Vatican spirited the Mortara boy away from his non-Christian parents. American Jews helped to orchestrate the worldwide outcry. Once again Washington tried, but accomplished little. The young Mortara was taken to Rome, reared as a Catholic and, when he came of age, refused to acknowledge his Jewish ethnicity and spent most of his life as a missionary.

Here we might interject that some influence on America’s external affairs was exerted by Southern or Confederate Jews. The country’s first Jewish senator, David Levy Yulee, played an active, behind-the-scenes role in the war with the Seminole Indians and in pre-Anschluss Florida, where he had vast land
holdings in the vicinity of St. Augustine. It is believed that he was Florida's richest citizen when the flat, sandy, bug-ridden peninsula was made a state in 1845. Like Judah Benjamin, the foreign minister of the Confederacy, Yulee hitched his wagon to the stars and bars of Dixie and in the end lost most of his wealth and status.

In the latter half of the 19th and the early part of the 20th century most of the Jewish interference in American foreign policy was inspired by Russian anti-Semitism or what American Jews perceived to be Russian anti-Semitism. Since 1832 America had a treaty with Russia regarding trade, travel and passport matters, which assured Americans traveling in Russia the same rights as those enjoyed by Russian citizens traveling in the U.S. But there was a troublesome (to the Jews) clause that stated voyagers in Russia had to observe and adhere to all local ordinances and regulations, some of which specifically limited the activities of Russian Jews. Moreover, when American Jews of Russian origin visited Russia, they were occasionally treated as Jews rather than as Americans, because Russian citizens did not lose their citizenship when they emigrated. In 1894 one of these emigrants, John Ginzberg, returned to Russia to visit his parents. There he was arrested and jailed by Russian officials who charged him with evasion of military service and with having obtained American citizenship without the Czar's approval. Friends and relations of Ginzberg lost no time in notifying the State Department, which in turn asked the American ambassador in St. Petersburg to look into the situation. Although the envoy's heart was not in the complaint, the Russian government relented and released Ginzberg, just as 85 years later it was to release another Ginzburg, the half-Jewish, anti-Soviet dissident. As tit for tat, however, the Czar's government passed a new regulation forbidding visas to indigents. This roused Jewish organizations everywhere to a new fury. The demand was made that either Russia honor the original treaty as written or Washington should abrogate it.

The tactic, which characterized almost all future diplomatic issues involving Jews, was to magnify anti-Jewish acts of foreign powers into a deliberate assault on the constitutional guarantees of the U.S. When appropriate, Jews, who were the least religious of American population groups, defined themselves as members of a religion, so the appeals for support could be made on religious grounds. At other times they merely described themselves as American citizens, so that mistreatment of American Jews would be immediately translated into mistreatment of all American citizens. An extra dividend of this racial lobbying was that it not only improved the situation of American Jews by increasing their political and financial power, but also often bettered the lot of "persecuted" Jews abroad. As is readily apparent today, this tactic has been developed into a fine art.

Theodore Roosevelt was perhaps the first American president to react swiftly and effectively to Jewish wirepulling. In 1902 he delivered a rather pompous protest to the Rumanian government, which had promulgated and enforced various quotas and restrictions aimed at curbing Jewish dominance in the country's finance and trade. The next year, when Czar Alexander II refused to accept a B'nai B'rith petition about Jewish rights in Russia, it was considered an insult because the petition was accompanied by a memorandum from President Roosevelt himself. As a token of things, many things, to come, Roosevelt then leaked his memo to the press in order to win Jewish voter support in the upcoming presidential election.

It was not until the diplomatic skullduggery of New York banker Joseph Schiff that Jewish influence on American foreign policy and, for that matter, on the foreign policy of most other great nations became overpowering. In 1904 Schiff had a meeting in London with Sir Ernest Cassel, an affluent Jewish speculator and close friend of Edward VII, and the Japanese ambassador to Britain, Korekijo Takahashi. Japan wanted a loan of 10 million pounds for her war against Russia. Schiff, because of his hatred of the "anti-Semitic Czars" eagerly participated in the loan and later raised other loans for Japan that amounted to $200 million. This money, according to observers, practically guaranteed Japan's victory over Russia -- the first time in modern history that a Mongoloid state was the winner in a war with a white nation, an event that stimulated anti-white racism throughout Asia and hastened the demise of European empires in Asia and Africa. By supporting the yellow race against the white race, Schiff had set a dangerous precedent that may eventually boomerang on his own people, whose property and security have always been based on the protection of white governments.

When World War I came along, Schiff refused to subscribe to a loan to Britain, France and Russia, until he was assured that "not one cent" would go to the Czarist government. When Czar Nicholas II was overthrown in the February 1917 revolution, he sent millions of dollars to Kerensky. That he sent additional money to subsidize the Bolsheviks after the October revolution has been charged, but never satisfactorily documented.

The State Department, when under the control of intelligent and wide- visioned statesmen like John Hay and Elihu Root, had never been too enthusiastic over constant Jewish meddling on behalf of Jewish interests all over the world. American diplomats wondered why Jews, as most other immigrants had done or were doing, could not simply settle down and become Americans. In the end Theodore Roosevelt himself grew tired of incessant Jewish agitation against Russia and asked Jacob Schiff if he really desired America to declare war against the Czar.

In the 1908 presidential race Taft fished for Jewish votes and financial support by promising he would send an ambassador to St. Petersburg who would solve the nagging, as yet unresolved problem of Russian passport regulations circumscribing the movements of foreign Jews. After the election was over, Taft, realizing that cancelling the American-Russian treaty would hurt America more than Russia because the trade balance was heavily in America's favor, conveniently shied away from his campaign promises. After a stormy meeting at the White House, which did not produce the necessary reassurances, Jacob Schiff refused to shake Taft's hand. This led to Taft's remark that he was president of all the people of the United States and was not afraid of Jews, no matter what their power.

Taft quickly learned what later presidents have come to consider the basic lesson of American politics -- that Jews have
clout with a capital C. Shortly after the White House get-together they mounted a massive mailing campaign that reached every public figure in America. Almost overnight, the demand in the House of Representatives for the abrogation of the Russian treaty reached deafening proportions. When Taft ignored it, the House voted 301 to 1 to cancel the treaty. The Senate vote was almost as lopsided. Driven into a corner and hoisting the white flag to Jewish racism -- the standard operating procedure of all subsequent twentieth-century presidents -- Taft signed an order in December 1911 abrogating the treaty. Russia reacted by tightening its restrictions on Jews and directing its profitable trade with America to other European countries. The loss to American business did not bother American Jews, any more than did the Arab boycott brought about by unlimited American military aid to Israel in the 1973 Yom Kippur war. Jews were ecstatic about their discovery that American foreign policy was not made in the State Department, but in the Congress and in the White House, two branches of government that could easily be persuaded to adopt policies against the national interest by a combination of financial help at elections, unrelenting media and organizational pressure, and bribes in the form of speakers' fees at Jewish fund-raising meetings.

It was a lesson that would not be lost, and one that set the pattern for a great deal of American diplomacy from then on. As America became a world power, Jewish influence grew accordingly. Jews played a considerable part in the entrance of the U.S. in World War I after the Allies had promised to create a Jewish homeland in Palestine and after the Kerensky revolution and the abdication of the Czar had made Russia an "acceptable ally." Jews played an overwhelming part in the entrance of the U.S. in World War II, after the massive media propaganda campaign against Hitler had swamped the neutralist leanings of a majority of the U.S. population.

Today American Jews no longer have to exert pressure on Congress and the president to get their way in foreign policy. In the age of Kissinger, they have assumed a commanding position in the State Department itself. As Miles Copland quotes a retired ambassador in his book Without Cloak or Dagger (Simon and Schuster), "Any diplomat who dares to suggest in his reporting that Israel is not 100% right and the Arabs 100% wrong is taking his career in his hands."

Copland further reports that foreign officer James Keeley was demoted for commenting "disrespectfully" on the Zionist movement, and that David Nes was forced to resign because he chided the State Department for its "uncritical" support of Israel.

Copland adds, "two senior diplomats in Arab capitals were forced to resign because of charges of moral turpitude brought to the State Department by congressmen who had obtained them from 'unnamed sources' who, upon investigation, turned out to be Zionists. There have been at least five cases...in which cleverly fabricated cases of sexual misbehavior or financial malfeasance were made out against officers who were generally believed to entertain an anti-Zionist bias."

What Copland doesn't say is that Jewish foreign service officers, who used to be kept away from posts in Israel because of their Zionist loyalties, are now a majority -- three out of four -- in the political section of the embassy in Tel Aviv. Jews are also taking over more diplomatic posts in the Arab world, not only in Morocco and Tunisia, but in some of the hostile Arab states surrounding Israel, although, as the Jewish Sentinel reports, "they don't go around admitting their background."

Like so many facets of American life, the "old boy" club of Ivy League WASPs that dominated the State Department is long gone, along with such race-conscious Majority members as Joseph Grew and Loy Henderson. No one in State today would dream of being as outspoken as Under Secretary William Phillips, who described his opposite number in Moscow as a "perfect little rat of a Jew, born in Buffalo and utterly vile." Former ambassador to Poland, Hugh Gibson, delineated the Soviet ambassador there as a "slinky little rat...said to be an Armenian Jew." William R. Castle, once head of the Western European division of State, used to amuse his colleagues with memoranda about Jewish vulgarity and their "appalling power in business and finance."

As of 1979, the tables are completely turned. State, which in regard to Middle Eastern affairs, has now become little more than an adjunct of the Israel foreign office, prides itself on such diplomatic triumphs as the establishment of a Soviet puppet state off the Florida coast, the giveaway of the Panama Canal, the surrender of Vietnam, as well as the diplomatic endorsement of Israeli imperialism and racism, thereby earning the growing hostility of 100 million Arabs and 546 million Moslems. At the direction of American Jewry, State's latest coup was the trade of two convicted Russian spies, not for Americans, but for a packet of five Russians, three of them Jews, of whom two were hijackers and criminals.

On the record, any reasonable person would assume that Jews have made such a mess of American foreign policy that they would bow out and let wiser and more innovative men take over. Instead, just the opposite is happening. The more Jews bring the U.S. to the brink, the harder they push. In a century and a half the leitmotiv of American foreign policy has turned from nonentanglement to permanent entanglement, from victory everywhere to defeat everywhere, from the Monroe Doctrine to the Kissinger Doctrine, from America first and foremost to America last and hindmost.

And all the while, the American Majority takes it and takes it and takes it.

NOW AVAILABLE

Best of Instauration - 1976

A choice selection of the contents of the entire first year of Instauration. Best of Instauration - 1976 is a virtual encyclopedia of revisionist history, a book that presents the "other side" of the story, the Majority side, that has been deliberately omitted from practically every American magazine and newspaper for fear of offending the liberal-minority coalition.

$8.00 postpaid
(Florida residents, please add 4% sales tax.)

Howard Allen
Box 76 • Cape Canaveral, FL 32920
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MUSIC AND RACE

Long regarded as the special culture of Southern rednecks, country music has grown extremely popular in the last decade in urban and suburban neighborhoods outside the South. One reason is that the lyrics are good reflections of Majority racial attitudes.

The racial dynamics are quite obvious. With the exception of Charley Pride, there are few black country performers. Many white performers openly supported George Wallace in his political campaigns. While wide publicity is given to white liberals like John Denver and Kris Kristofferson (the latter supported a black in a recent Mississippi election), typical country performers tend to be Majority boosters, in contrast to the minority racists who dominate "city" music.

An article in Nation in early 1970 entitled "Singing to Silent America" said:

Current conditions and events have always been an inspiration for country and western songs. In the 1920s, there were songs about specfic floods and railroad accidents; today, there are songs about airline hijacking and mining disasters. Country songs have also interpreted conditions and events. In World War Two the repertory was strongly patriotic; in the 1930s it mirrored the frustration of the depression. Today, the themes of country music unmistakably mirror the fears and reactions of silent America....Music trade publications in recent months have talked of a "musical backlash" on Top 40 popular radio stations. Records by black artists are not getting much play these days on such stations, a major reason being that the white station managers feel that their predominately white audiences are made uncomfortable by the musical "soul" sound of the ghetto....The themes appearing in country music are another such signal. Those who can see the advantage of being an "Okie from Muskogee" are not just the long-time clients of country music -- those who drive trucks, work the mines and farms -- but factory hands, mortgage payers, salesmen, and commuters. Songs like "Okie" are a comforting musical antidote to student protest, black militancy, and serious debate on the war.

That country music is a basically Anglo-Saxon creation was shown by British musicologist Cecil Sharp, who devoted his life to discovering, recording, arranging and publishing the native songs and dances of Britain and then carried his investigations to America. Composer and critic Daniel Gregory Mason writes:

Sharp [discovered] in the Appalachian mountains and other rural districts little affected by civilization, many survivals of songs brought from England generations ago. His publications contain curious examples of songs less corrupted by time in America than in England, or differently corrupted here. Just as the Anglo-Saxons in America are the core element in the American Majority, country music has become a unifying factor in bringing the diverse elements of that majority together. It is not clear yet, however, whether this is a revival of our culture or a last ditch stand.

Valid criticism of country music, as much a sign of a healthy culture as creative work, has virtually vanished today. A volume of essays by Daniel Gregory Mason, entitled The Dilemma of American Music and written several decades ago, is rewarding reading for anyone interested in understanding our musical heritage.

The head of the music department at Columbia University, which in the 1920s was a far different place than the minority baboonery it is today, Mason bluntly shows us where he stands by noting:

Although it is impossible nowadays [1924] to mention American music without hearing someone murmur, as if in echo, "jazz," there is, as a matter of fact, a great deal more...than "pep," "punch," and "kick" and we have a number of composers of competent technical skill and distinctive personality who have no commerce with the ragtime jerk.

Mason also notes the impossibility of fusing different cultures:

Study Henry Gilbert's Negroes in his "Comedy Overture on Negro Themes": not full-blooded, you will observe, but half-breeds-quadroons-octoroons -- descended by some repellent miscegenation from Beethoven and Mendelssohn.

Of the love of great music, one of his principal concerns, Mason writes, it may never be awakened at all in children who hear nothing but popular music produced wholesale. In such unfortunates there will either be complete indifference to music or at most a response to the crude nerve stimulant of jazz. Such people are the robots of a mechanized and dehumanized musical world.

Finally, in what is probably the best criticism of jazz to date, Mason says:

Jazz is the doggerel of music. It is the sing song that the schoolboy repeats mechanically before he becomes sensitive to refined cadence. It is not, accurately speaking, rhythm at all, but only a meter, a monotonous repetition of short stereotyped figures. For precisely this reason it is popular with listless, inattentive, easily distracted people, incapable of the effort required to grasp the more complex symmetries of real music. If I am so dull that I cannot recognize a rhythm unless it kicks me in the solar plexus at every beat, my favorite music will be jazz, just as my favorite poetry will be "the boy stood on the burning deck" or its equivalent. If I possess, moreover, the conceit of the dull, I can easily go on to rationalize my preference into a canon of universal excellence, and affirm that jazz is the only music for all true Americans. And if I have also the hostility of the dull to
Heated reaction to zip 064

**SUDETEN GERMANS ARE NOT CRAB LICE**

If you will permit a crab-louse Sudetenkraut a word in reply to zip 064 (September 1979 issue) I would like to inquire where he gets his somewhat bizarre "facts."

**Fact #1: "The Sudetenland never belonged to Germany."**
As far as I am aware the Sudetenland was, together with the rest of Bohemia and Moravia, part of the Holy Roman Empire until its dissolution in 1806. Thenceforth until the end of World War I the Sudetenland belonged to Austria. The kings of Bohemia traditionally held the dignity of "elector" in the medieval Reich. If indeed the Sudetenland had not belonged to Germany, then it ought to have, since not even zip 064 questions the German ethnicity of the Sudeten Germans. The crab lice had been creeping in since about the year 1200. As they did so, they did not expel an indigenous population (as the Czechs did in 1945). There was no population to expel, Czech or otherwise. They just cleared uninhabited primeval forests on the frontier, in the area surrounding the Czech heartland. It should be noted that for more than 700 years the Sudetenland was a continuous area of almost purely German settlements.

**Fact #2: "The Catholic-Austrian emperor resettled Germans there after they exterminated the Czech nobility at the battle of White Mountain."**
The truth is that ethnicity was hardly involved in the historical events that led to, and followed, the battle of Bílá Hora (White Mountain) on November 8, 1620. The cause of the conflict was medieval religious fanaticism and there were Czechs and Germans fighting on either side. The Protestant German Elector Frederick V of the Palatinate had been elected king of Bohemia in August 1619. His Protestant army was beaten at the White Mountain near Prague by the forces of the Emperor and the Catholic "League." That was the end of Frederick’s brief kingship (the "winter king"). He fled from Prague and Bohemia along with 30,000 Protestant families, again both Czechs and Germans, who sought refuge in Germany, Poland and Slovakia. There is no substance in the assertion that in that battle "the Germans exterminated the Czech nobility." And there certainly was no policy of "extermination" in later times. Suffice it to remind zip 064 that Empress Maria Theresa made the Czech language a branch of study at her Military Academy in 1752, and at the University of Vienna in 1775. It is common knowledge that both Goethe and Herder viewed the resuscitation of Czech nationalism with great sympathy. One might say that, racially speaking, every third Sudeten German would make a good Alpine Czech, and every third Czech would make a fairly good Nordic German. But since zip 064 "knows the facts" it probably would be futile to direct him to the revelant literature, such as (1) Krallert, Kuhn, Schwarz, Atlas zur Geschichte der deutschen Ostsiedlung; (2) Kurt Glaser, Die Tschecho-Slowakei; (3) München 1938: Dokumente sprechen.

Perhaps some of your Slovakian readers might wish to comment on the subliminal imperialism that underlies a phrase such as "we Czechoslovaks." Zip 064 sails under a false flag. He should have said "we Czechs."

Sudeten German subscriber

In reply to zip 064, he calls himself a "Czechoslovak." There are no such people. He is either Czech or Slovak. The fictional name of Czechoslovakia was invented by Masaryk and Beneš during World War I. They wanted to establish by
Triage and Lifeboats

All the works of men, from mudhuts to cathedrals, from birthrates to brain power, ultimately depend on rain falling on a few finite inches of topsoil.

Topsoil does not stretch. Agronomists say the smallest area of arable land that can adequately feed one person at present is one acre. The planet now has less than 0.8 acres per capita.

During the past fifteen years these facts have fostered scholarly and political disputes centering on such catchwords as “Limits to Growth,” “Triage,” and the “Lifeboat Reaction.” Limits to Growth advocates simply state that the world is, like topsoil, generally not a stretchable commodity, and until solar power satellites and space mining take the lid off, the world economy is not going to grow nearly enough to keep up with high birthrates. “Triage” is a French medical term for the segregation of battle wounded into those who will recover on their own, those who will die with or without assistance, and those who may survive if helped. Triage applied to the world hunger/birthrate picture simply means that diminishing world food surpluses should be given to countries that are successful in holding birthrates to food production rates. “Lifeboat” advocates push Triage to its logical conclusion. If some people avoid drowning because they limit their numbers to those the lifeboats can safely contain, many others drown because they exceed the capacity of their boats. In other words, there is no moral requirement to save the improvident sinkers along with the provident floaters. Biologist Garrett Hardin has observed that since governments and populations of many Third World countries have, for ideological and cultural reasons, rejected birth control, advanced societies should take whatever steps deemed necessary to preserve themselves as surviving islands of civilizations in a demographic Ice Age. These societies, he said, should close their borders to the inflow of all but a handful of highly qualified migrants, and to the outflow of resources required to maintain an adequate level of life and development at home.

The mere mention of “Limits,” “Triage” and “Lifeboat” has drawn vigorous opposition, generally from the ideological left and organized religion. The late Margaret Mead, once president of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, was saying in the mid 70s that all international barriers to the free migration of peoples should be removed. Most other opponents content themselves with asserting that Limits to Growth are imaginary or removable and that the Triage/Lifeboat concept is immoral. Since these topics came into vogue in the late 60s, nothing much has happened except that the much-touted “Green Revolution” in agriculture was severely...
set back by bad weather and rising petrochemical fertilizer prices.

Today the world's only substantial food exporters are the U.S. and Canada. Other exporters have been or soon will be made importers by population growth. Since the Third World has for nearly a decade been "rescheduling" debts, a euphemism for defaulting, the only major food buyer still able to pay as it goes is the Soviet Union.

The earth has had an unusually warm climate for the past century. Considerable meteorological evidence indicates we are entering an era of less clement weather. A decline of one degree centigrade in mean annual temperature means a loss of about 100 kilometers of the northernmost and southernmost crop lands, and a reduction of the growing season by about a week in the rest of the temperate zone. With altered mean temperature comes a shift of weather patterns. The famine in the West African Sahel in the early 70s followed a slight shift in the timing and location of the tropic monsoonal rains. In the last century, the Little Climatic Minimum or Little Ice Age (circa A.D. 1400 to 1800), the Greenland Norse colonies were wiped out, the population of Iceland declined from 170,000 to 35,000, and the average stature of Icelanders dropped by over a foot. Among the areas most heavily and immediately affected by the expected weather change will be Canada and the U.S. -- the two main food sellers.

In the not too distant future the U.S. may be much less of a food exporter. Urban and highway sprawl are smothering prime farmland at the alarming rate of over 2,000,000 acres a year. The General Services Administration reports (April 1978) that 85% of America's remaining farmland is losing topsoil that is no longer being replaced because of modern agribusiness methods.

Ocean "acreage" will provide no solution. The world seafood take has declined in the face of fishing technology so advanced it exhausts the fisheries it works. Many kinds of tuna have become an endangered species. There have been substantial advances in mariculture or sea-farming, but this activity is technology- and capital-intensive beyond the resources of most underdeveloped countries. Oceanologist Jacques Cousteau says that we have seen a one-third reduction in the life of the ocean in two decades, with large expanses of the sea deadened by pollution. Almost any species is a link in a local fisheries food chain. Ironically, most of this pollution is derived from the ocean transport of agriculturally essential petrochemicals, or land drainage of pesticides and fertilizer. Increased food production requires higher inputs of these materials. Expanded ocean transport of them, and the present growing ocean mineral extraction, will constrict sea farming. Mariculture will not scorch Malthus.

Modern agriculture requires large amounts of propane and diesel fuel. Fertilizer and pesticides depend on petrochemicals, and pesticides depend on derivatives of fluorine, barium and mercury, reserves of which are projected by the U.S. Bureau of Mines to fall short of worldwide demand by the year 2000.

Petroleum products can be derived from coal, as was widely done in Europe in World War II, but the processes were based on high wartime prices and uneconomical amounts of energy input. Any form of large-scale mining, especially of coal, creates serious environmental problems. Deep mining causes surface subsidence. This may range in effect from ruining the water table of prime farmlands to collapsing scores of acres in urban Pennsylvania. Revegetation of surface mines, now mandatory in the U.S., costs about 10% of the net revenue from the mining itself.

All this has the fast-growing, food-importing populations, which often trade minerals for food, facing possible loss of foreign food suppliers and the need to import more complex agricultural and mining technologies at rising prices. They simply cannot do it. It is this situation which will set worldwide triage in motion.

It is impossible for the increasingly outnumbered First World to feed, develop, educate and equip populations that are hungry, broke, illiterate and proliferating. Most of these countries are already nutritionally deficient. Most have opposed birth control in the past and many still do. Many have a proclivity to consciously stuff off their burgeoning population as illegal migrants into neighboring states. No heartless conspiracy of bloated Americans, Europeans and Japanese is imposing triage on anyone. The truth is the developed nations will be unable to avoid practicing it.

The developed nations are making one novel approach, if one may dignify it with such a word. They are accepting a heavy influx of Third World poor into their own homelands. Secretary of Labor Ray Marshall announced a few years ago that "we shall not cut ourselves off from the poor of the world" in his appeal for an amnesty policy for aliens illegally migrating into the U.S. The Department of Labor requires its employees to refer to illegal aliens as "undocumented workers," which is not too far from requiring that convicts be referred to as "experimental economists."

The U.S. legally admits over 400,000 immigrants annually, more than 80% of them from the Third World. Sweden will be one-third foreign born by the end of the century, most of them from the southeast Mediterranean. One pupil in four in the Vancouver public schools is a Third World immigrant. One child in two born in West German city hospitals is the offspring of absorption of southeast Mediterranean guest workers. Over 40,000 Palestinian refugees have entered West Germany, often from rich Middle East oil states, under a German law granting refugee status and welfare to Eastern Europeans. Britain, France, Holland, Norway, Switzerland and Australia show similar migration patterns.

Each of the developed countries has a very low, near-zero population growth rate. Several have birthrates below zero growth. Even the latter countries insist on the moral necessity of the developed world aiding a burgeoning population of two billion poor and of absorbing indefinitely the influx of the world's poor into their own-static populations. We are not troubled with any sensible explanation as to how this is to be carried off.

The same developed nations which are expected to nourish the rapidly growing, already hungry populations, are themselves not permitted to derive any reward for their own reproductive prudence, such as the maintenance of their own high quality of life.
Demands for aid to the Third World are often justified by the assertion that only by becoming developed does a nation achieve a reduced birthrate. This assertion blandly ignores a crucial "chicken and egg" question, that is, whether birthrate drops with rising development, or whether the ability of a nation to achieve developed status derives from the same intelligence with which its population of married couples decide to limit their offspring. The intelligence of spouses correlates to within about 10 IQ points of one another. It is a truism in sociology that the more intelligent couple will be unwilling to see the female turned into a professional one-woman waste-recycling plant. The demographic room created by their own reproductive restraint is filled by populations who have not exercised reproductive restraint.

And it is a truism in modern economics and sociology that middle-class couples limit their offspring to the number they can support at middle-class standards through about college age. Lower-class couples do not. The middle-class birthrate goes down as middle-class tax rates go up. Tax transfer payments from middle class to lower class are birthrate transfers from middle class to lower.

The impact, and injustice, of this is considerable. The advanced world’s couple has two children; the underdeveloped world’s couple has several more. No mystery should then surround the fact that the Third World’s fifteen or so grandchildren are much poorer than the advanced world couple’s four. If there is any injustice to the present world distribution of wealth, food and birthrates it is the evil of forcing the advanced couple to forego having an additional child of their own in order to finance several newborns in an unaccomplished, high-birthrate population.

Liberal bigots are making an unheroic last-ditch stand

THE SOCIOBIOLOGY DEBATE

Men are animals, very much so, and one would think that the new science of sociobiology, or the study of the biological mechanisms behind social behavior in animals, would be enthusiastically greeted as shedding light not merely upon non-human animals but especially upon ourselves. Whatever "debate" there might be would now center on how enthusiastically to embrace the new discipline, not whether to reject it in toto. Yet a noisy minority of leftists, environmental determinists, and their ilk -- rejectionists one and all -- have excreted reams of anti-Wilsoniania, much of which appears in Arthur L. Caplan’s anthology, The Sociobiology Debate (Harper and Row, 1978, 514 pp., $7 in paperback).

It was E.O. Wilson’s Sociobiology: The New Synthesis (Harvard, 1975, reviewed in Instauration Sept. 1977) that touched off the leftist reaction and Caplan assembled a representative batch of the favorable, as well as the unfavorable, reviews and replies to the latter. He also provides us with some little-known pro-Wilson material, dating from Darwin himself. It is as good an anthology as one might expect.

Perhaps the honor of being the first sociobiologist should go to Darwin. An excerpt from The Origin of the Species on the social nature of insects is included in Caplan’s anthology. But the first man to proclaim cooperation as well as competition as a universal factor in evolution, raising especially the former to cosmic significance, was the anarchist Peter Kropotkin. Caplan quotes (p. 33) from his Mutual Aid: A Factor in Evolution (1903): “The unsociable species, on the contrary, are going to die out.”

Kropotkin’s view is now termed group selection. Animals that quarrel selfishly and unceasingly among themselves are not going to do as well as those that work together as a unit. Wilson regards group selection as the central theoretical problem of sociobiology. If we accept the tautology that better-adapted individuals survive, then there is no way an individual Edward O. Wilson
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can sacrifice his life for the group. Yet we observe individual animals doing so. W.C. Allee (p. 53) gets around the problem by saying, "It is a fairly common interpretation that such altruistic drives as exist are based primarily on some sort of enlightened selfishness." (1943). This enlightenment consists of the drive of the supposedly selfish individual to pass on its genes to its offspring. Caplan reprints the rather mathematical and by now classic paper of W.D. Hamilton, "The Genetic Evolution of Social Behavior" (1964).

According to this "selfish gene" theory, which Wilson accepts, the fundamental unit of evolution is not the species, not even the individual, but the "sacred" gene. The high priests of the new gene religion, namely the mathematicians, are busily constructing formulae reminiscent of Jeremy Bentham's "felicity calculus," claiming that, inasmuch as half of one's genes are in one's offspring, one would be indifferent between certain death for oneself and certain survival for two children or for four grandchildren, and on and on.

Perhaps some future cultural historian will see this as rampant individualism or rampant reductionism (already one group of libertarians is using this theory to justify capitalism). Real animals, of course, don't go through the complicated equations the priests have presented, so the selfish gene theory is all but untestable. Another problem is that two individuals of the same species, precisely because they are of the same species, already share most of their genes. Why should the selfish gene have more than a slight preference for its own child as opposed to someone else's?

But the most important objection to the selfish gene theory is that it assumed a mechanistic view of evolution. Charles Peirce protested that Herbert Spencer's view of evolution was mechanistic, and the tendency to backslide from Darwinist evolutionary conceptions to Newtonian mechanistic conceptions is with us still, even among evolutionary biologists. What we call natural selection is not certain and mechanical but uncertain and probabilistic. The tautology, the "best" must survive, should be replaced with the empirical statement, the better tend to survive. The fossil record is littered with species that didn't survive, all too often with ones that were long successful and died out for causes unknown. To say dinosaurs, for example, died out because they were (suddenly) ill-adapted is just as bad as a coroner's statement that the cause of a man's death was that he stopped breathing. The fact is we don't know why the dinosaurs died out.

Evolution is wasteful, painful and slow. Its standards of quality control are not very high in the short run, despite what the mathematicians say about the long run. Perhaps Wilson, in On Human Nature (reviewed in Instauration September 1979), should not have made a desperate search for some hidden, beneficent, societal advantage of homosexuals, but rather have seen them as simple misfits who are all too slowly being bred out. (C.D. Darlington, in his Evolution of Man and Society, sees sexual malfunctions as a consequence of excessive outbreeding, which is surely on the rise.) If we take a short look at our own species today, quality control is obviously lacking.

It is important for us to be in tune with the evolutionary approach and see developments as accumulations of chance processes rather than as certain causality. (Strict causality is a special case of chance, where the probability equals 100%.) Reductionism fails as a practical program (it is really a metaphysical postulate) because we don't, won't, or can't get all the detailed facts. But this is not to say it isn't desirable to try. Maybe Wilson's hypothesis of the survival of homosexuals on the basis of their greater propensity to help their nephews and nieces survive (and hence part of their genes) has some merit. But poor quality control seems to be a better explanation. To replace the tautology about selfish genes (or selfish individuals) with imperfect tendencies introduces a whole hierarchy or system of tendencies including that of group selection. Moreover, it allows room for even more highly aggregated forces to operate, particularly men deciding to take control over their own evolutionary destiny and to escape the tyranny of their selfish genes.

It would be untrue to charge that sociobiology, with so much to offer, has already become a priest-infested discipline and moved too far and too rapidly from an evolutionary perspective toward a Newtonian perspective that tries to explain too much. V.C. Wynne-Edwards (1963, p. 190), for example, offers us real insights: "At least some mammals, on the contrary, the individual has been released from this rigid compulsion, probably because a certain amount of intelligent individual enterprise has proved advantageous to the group." Or Niko Tinbergen (1968, p. 92): "By far the most interesting aspect of such intermediates between innate and acquired behavior is the fact that learning is not indiscriminate, but is guided by a certain selectiveness on the part of the animal." Or Konrad Lorenz (On Aggression, 1963, quoted on p. 67): "As Arnold Gehlen has put it, man is by nature a being of culture. In other words, man's whole system of innate activities and reactions is phylogenetically so constructed, so 'calculated' by evolution, as to need to be complemented by cultural tradition."

These three statements all reflect a certain indeterminacy or joint-determinacy and it will be a future task to assess their importance. We should also be aware of a joint-determinacy of race and culture. A culture is an evolutionary outgrowth of the race that made it. It is not a causal outgrowth that could have been predicted, no more than the eventual appearance of man could have been deduced from the first hesitant mammal. However, negative statements are possible. Max Weber devoted the rest of his life after The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism (1903) to investigating the world's other cultures in order to discover why capitalism did not emerge in any of them. Today, with our far greater knowledge of racial differences, we should be able to answer Weber's question by arguing that the right combination of intelligence and temperament needed for the invention of capitalism existed nowhere outside Protestant lands (though, of course, a successful invention could be copied.) But why did capitalism emerge when it emerged (the necessity as opposed to sufficient conditions) cannot be answered on racial grounds alone, at least for a long time.

Wilson's massive Sociobiology: The New Synthesis was given initial and highly favorable reviews in the scientific press.
Caplan reprints these reviews from *Science, Natural History,* and (of all places) the *New York Review of Books* (the last by C.H. Waddington). All three reviews concentrated on the entire sweep of Wilson's studies from insects on up, with rather little mention of man.

Then the attacks began. Perhaps the less said about them the better. Of course, if one doesn't want to believe something, one can raise innumerable picayune, often semantic objections. The only one omitted is the only really serious one, that the selfish gene theory is too mechanistic. The favorite semantic objection of Wilson's critics is that one cannot be 100% sure when extrapolating from lower animals to man. Of course. But as our Founding Fathers would have asked, what is the common sense of the matter? Lorenz is just speaking plainly when he says, "Humanity is not enthusiastically combative because it is split into political camps, but it is divided into opposing camps because this is the adequate stimulus situation to arouse militant enthusiasm in a satisfying manner" (p. 72). But the verbal determinists would have it that it really was one word in the Apostle's creed (*filioque*) that caused the split between Greek and Latin Christianity.

No, the basic contention is not epistemological but political, and the political argument against sociobiology can be summed up in one shout: THIS IS A SHORT STEP TO HITLER'S GAS CHAMBERS! Such an objection is not even Newtonian -- surely there is no such causal link -- it is pre-Newtonian, characteristic of scholastic and talmudic theology, an attempt to find putative logical links in verbal concepts.

We might ask, however, why such a silly attack would be made. Partly because the attackers really are all that paranoid, another example of nature's poor quality control. But mostly because sociobiology, indeed merely by being something new, threatens to undermine the huggest set of vested interests in this country -- the "human betterment industry" of health, education and welfare ($500 billion public, plus $200 billion private).

What Robert W. Whitaker in *A Plague on Both Your Houses* calls the new establishment is led by academia, and it is no coincidence that the major political attacks were made by members of an amorphous outfit called Science for the People. These signers are almost entirely academics or connected to the health industry. Their claims that Wilson's work is designed as a genetic justification of the status quo (p. 259) is a severe misconception. Science for the People thinks of the status quo as oppressing blacks and women, when the real oppressors are themselves, the academics, who oppress hoi polloi who have not received sheepskins from their hands or the hands of their professional cohorts.

Responding to one attack, Wilson argued that the members of Science for the People are practicing academic vigilantism for the political view that "human beings need only decide on the kind of society they wish, and then find the way to bring it into being. Such a vision can be justified if human behavior proves to be infinitely malleable" (p. 291). Wilson says he himself is "ideologically indifferent to the degree of determinism in human behavior," that he described his book as being "closer to the environmentalist than the genetic pole." But his most telling point is his question, "which persons are the 'we' who will decide, and whose moral precepts must thereby be validated?" He recalls one scientist's warning that the doctrine of environmental malleability can serve dictators, who will spare no effort to mold men after their own image.

Wilson is a liberal, fully admits it, and can't understand the attacks made against him and his chosen field of study. But he is surely not a shrill, ideological liberal and does not spend much time thinking about politics. A good guess is that he has absorbed both the good and the bad of liberalism practiced and preached in the Boston-Cambridge academic milieu. I have not had the privilege of meeting Wilson personally, but I do know his former department secretary, who reports him to be among the kindliest of gentlemen (he is a Southerner).

While old-fashioned liberalism used to appeal to the kind and gentle, the vicious holding operations of Science for the People may cause him to reflect upon liberalism's new face.

Sociobiology will not challenge the better aspects of liberalism, those early drives to improve the lot of the working man, to reduce economic instability, to reduce senseless wars. But degenerate, shrill liberalism is not these things, although it claims to be. Rather it is bureaucracy run hog wild, racial equality as a dogma, educators who cannot educate and social welfare workers who increase crime. Sociobiology, when it deals with human nature and its limitations, undermines the religion of degenerate liberalism. Science for the People, as champions above all of the liberal-minority racket, rightly smell a rat.

But should readers of *Instauration* smell an angel of deliverance? Our great concern is to remove the myth of racial equality and to establish a minority-free Majority in its own nation. Impressive evidence has it that the races evolved differently and unequally, and the common sense of the matter is that even if the merits of one race roughly balance those of another, racially homogeneous societies work better. More races, more diverse races, genetically improved races should be our goal rather than reducing the existing stock by racial mixing.

Sociobiology does not deal with these issues. Its scope is the entire animal kingdom and the discussion of man is couched in the most general terms. But the division of territory, the establishment of social order, the racial barriers to mating are very much the concern of sociobiology and have direct relevance to human races. Seeing how other animals act socially gives us strong insights into our own very particular behavior. Studying other races, as did early anthropologists (who did not believe in equality), lets us see our own race as being not so perfect or universal after all (recall Thorstein Veblen's satirical attacks on American businessmen) and very much in the process of evolution.

The promise of sociobiology is in the future. The taboos about the very existence of human nature and race are running strong. But the very hysteria of the attacks on Wilson are enough to show that what is being defended is not a reasonable, humane liberalism but a degenerate racket parading in its name. Science for the People is making a last-ditch stand that is unheroic, lacking in honor and doomed to defeat.
Speculations of a political activist

The Racial Revolution and the Middle Class

Because of its inhibitions and inertia, the middle class will be the last to participate in the coming racial conflict. This means we must endeavor to reach and organize the upper and lower classes first.

The middle class’s importance is primarily functional, not time-sequential. The idea of working from the top down and the bottom up has eluded us because most of us come from the middle class. But the truth is that the upper classes and the lower middle and working classes are far more accessible to our ideas.

Let us examine each of the three classes for their current revolutionary consciousness and potential:

1. The Privileged Class. The worst and best will be found here, but only a very, very few members of this degenerate class will be of help. Since our task is to build a new aristocracy, the remnants of the old upper class will provide a meager but necessary foundation.

A few wealthy people and a few intelligent professionals have the ability to comprehend the biological, cultural and political dimensions of our failing culture. Consequently, they will be among the first who can fully appreciate the promise of a new Majority civilization.

Second, many members of the privileged class have been in close contact with our Jewish mentors and have had the best opportunity to understand them. Those who know most about Jews don’t like what they see -- in spite of the ritualistic flattery and praise.

Third, the privileged class is composed of experienced leaders, of which a handful still feel some responsibility for the well-being of their race. These men of action and vision, accustomed to command and dominance, but now reduced to a subservient status, should be intrigued by the possibility of ruling a regenerated America, as their ancestors ruled before them.

Finally, as aristocrats, a few still have a yen for victory. They may not always be of the highest intelligence, but they are schooled in the methods of power. A small minority have the ruthlessness, callousness and heartlessness it takes to win in a contest for big stakes.

2. The Lower Class. Exploited, victimized and oppressed by the System, the broad masses of white blue-collar workers have developed a deep hatred for established society and are now beginning to be receptive to a racial message. These are increasingly desperate people who have been hardest hit by inflation, taxes, busing, crime and reverse discrimination. They have little to lose by opting for action. As our ideology sinks in, their present mood of despond and despair may give way to a restive hopefulness.

Living and working side by side with blacks, having escaped the mental pollution of higher education, lower-class members have brains that are still functional. Their perception of events makes them less susceptible than other whites to the egalitarian myths and biological perversions of the media.

Also, the masses are more easily organized and more willing to be led. Racial populism, if we can get the message through to them, will accord with their main drives and inclinations.

3. The Middle Class. Of the lower, middle and upper divisions of this class, the latter is by far the least race-conscious and indeed the most degenerate and defenseless. There are several major reasons why the middle class is not yet ready for action, although most of these can later be turned to our advantage. Generally speaking, this great human mass of inertia is what holds the System or the status quo together.

The paranoid middle class has fled to the suburbs where it has built itself a dream world that is both physically and psychologically distant from the deadly problems of the city proper. Middle-class members are materialistic, selfish and politically obsessed with “economics,” which comprises 90% of conservative and libertarian ideology. When the System breaks down and takes middle-class prosperity with it, a racial program will attract middle-class members by offering them a chance to save or regain their own property.

“Moderation” is the watchword of Middle America, which is enamored with respectability and abhors any form of “extremism.” Middle-class Majority members cannot believe that effective action is possible. But when the collapse hits its bottom, our position will seem “moderate and reasonable” to the desperate bourgeoisie. When chaos prevails, our blueprint for a post-Western society will be the only “moderate” course, since it will be the only valid prescription for order and security.

The middle-class is politically inept (perhaps apolitical is a better term), as proved by the ridiculous Republican and Libertarian party platforms. Whether Jews, who are moving into the higher echelons of these parties, can adequately train and further corrupt the non-Jewish leaders before we are ready to press them into our own service is questionable. Whatever happens, the middle class will continue to be misled politically until the time comes for us to recruit them.

Radicalizing the middle class is analogous to turning a gigantic wheel. If the middle class is the huge, ponderous hub, then the best approach is to grasp the rim (the upper and lower classes) and give the wheel a hefty spin. Since the wheel has spokes connecting the rim to the middle-class hub, the extreme movement will be transferred directly to the center.

Letter from Sweden

Sweden had a dark, swarthy population in primeval times, a population that was later subjugated by immigrating Nordics. Furthermore, there have always been great folk-wanderings between predominately East Baltic Finland and Sweden, and Finnish immigrants to Sweden often changed their names to make them sound more Swedish. Consequently, there are many Swedes who, ethnically speaking, are not Swedes at all.

During the Viking age many slaves were brought here, very often from southern countries, as well as many females who married expatriate Vikings in Southern
Europe and Russia. This pattern was repeated during Sweden's great period of expansion and during its long-lasting wars with Russia in the 17th and 18th centuries. At about the same time we had a rather large immigration of Europeans who were not very Nordic. Then, after World War II, came the very non-Nordic immigration which is still continuing.

Today as much as 10% of the Swedish population are immigrants and/or descendants of immigrants, half of whom are Southern Europeans or non-Europeans. Due to the low Swedish birthrate, the Swedish ratio of the total population, statistically speaking, is constantly decreasing. If this trend should continue, there will be few Swedes left in a hundred years.

The old theory about the destructive influence of Southern latitudes on Nordic man is interesting, but I am not sure that I entirely agree. I have traveled a lot in Rhodesia and South Africa, and it is my impression that the whites in those countries do not seem to have fared badly from the sun. The farmers, those most exposed, seem to be the healthiest and soundest, and certainly compare favorably with their counterparts in cold and foggy Scandinavia.

I share the view that it is nice to have the illusion that somewhere in the world there is a homogeneous Nordic homeland. What I fear, however, is what happens when these racial dreams are brutally crushed.

I could mention that our government recently published a report to the effect that last year more than 20,000 people in Sweden attempted suicide and that the distribution of drugs and medicine for mental disorders in this country is the second highest in the world. Every fourth Swede in the age group 15-75 suffers from mental exhaustion; every seventh Swede from serious depression; and every twentieth from serious insomnia. As for children, one out of every four four-year-olds has mental troubles, probably the result of long stays in day-care centers.

Every fourth baby is unwanted, despite 30,000 abortions each year (we call this embryoicide). Divorces in families with children under 15 have trebled in the past ten years, and 150,000 children are only taken care of by one parent. Every fourth teenager gets intoxicated regularly.

The conditions described in _The Dispossessed Majority_ are specifically American. Nevertheless, you will find the same racial decadence in Sweden -- plus more. No Western country goes further in bowing down to Negroes or in attacking South Africa. Sweden was the first Western country to unilaterally prohibit by law any business investments in that country.

Our sorry gang of politicians, left and right, rejoices in basking in the glow of the Third World. The fulsome praise of black chieftains is music to their ears.

I would say, without exaggeration, that Sweden is the most conformist country in the West. This has been brilliantly described by the British journalist Roland Huntford in his book _The New Totalitarians_. No place is more hostile to objective statements about race. If _The Dispossessed Majority_ had been published in Sweden (or in any other Scandinavian country for that matter), the author and publisher would have been prosecuted, fined and probably imprisoned.

Let me add that the foreigners in Sweden are for the most part politically indifferent and poorly organized, except for some Latin American Communists. As for the Jews, 22,000 out of a population of over 8,000,000, most have a conservative outlook and few are politically active. Properly speaking, there is no liberal-minority coalition in this country. Obviously, Nordics can make a lot of mischief themselves without being pushed by Jews or any other minority.

---

**Preliminary Skirmish**

It is an educated guess that hundreds of thousands, if not millions, will die before America either turns nonwhite or white manages to rally and save their species from oblivion. Hundreds are already being murdered each year in reported racial incidents. How many more are dying in unreported incidents no one knows or no one will tell us.

A taste of things to come took place in Greensboro, North Carolina, some months ago when a crackpot Marxist-Leninist gang called the Communist Workers Party sought some cheap publicity by holding a street rally whose leitmotiv was "Death to the Klan!" Some local Klan members, Nazis and Southern loyalists drove over to take a look and in no time a small war broke out. The imported Reds began hitting the dust -- hard. As Jimmy Carter rushed two dozen FBI agents to the scene in a play for minority votes, the media endeavored to make the shooting look like a repeat of Kent State. Headlines claimed "white racists" had fired the first shot, though this allegation was not supported by the police or by a TV tape of the street battle. Since two "racists" were also injured by the gunfire, the Klan haters were also packing weapons. Only one Majority member, Bill Sampson, was counted among the five dead Reds -- the others were two Jews, one Negress and one Cuban -- and all of a sudden he became a media hero. An AP report actually described him as a handsome Nordic, an "extremely sensitive person" who went to the Sorbonne and later to the Harvard Divinity School, where he was taught to devote his life to Lenin Instead of Christ. He later married a minority woman. The drooling press described him, pistol in hand, shouting, "Keep on shooting," just before a bullet put an end to his miserable renegaded career.

Fourteen white Southerners were arrested for murder and/or conspiracy. None of the intruding Stalinists was arrested. At last report all those arrested were out on bail, though for many days bail had been denied. Their legal defense will be the plea of self-defense.

---

**Eyewitness Report**

On Nov. 3, 1979, in Greensboro, North Carolina, the United racist Front, with representatives from the National Socialist Party of America, Ku Klux Klan organizations and various unaffiliated Southerners attempted to stage a protest against a violent Communist demonstration. Paul Bermanzohn, a Brooklynite, was head of the Communist Workers party at the time of the planned march. I was in the caravan of seven to eight cars which made up our contingent. There were three women and a sixteen-year-old boy among our little band going against approximately one hundred mostly black and Jewish Marxists in the heart of an all-Negro district. The plan was to ride by their demonstration with protest signs to show our loyalty to our country and our race. We were immediately met with shouts of, "Death to the Klan!" and various unprintable obscenities -- the usual Brooklyn vernacular. Our cars, including those containing the women, were quickly attacked by ululating, gun-wielding Reds.

A Communist fired the first shot. I saw him clearly. Our men got out of their cars, opened their trunks and picked up their rifles. When it was over, according to the media, five Communists lay dead or dying and nine Communists were wounded, three rather critically. Two members of our group were wounded slightly.

When the police arrived, they immediately arrested every white non-Communist in sight. Not one Communist was arrested.

Last night what remained of our group held a meeting to lay plans for the release of our jailed friends and to collect money for their families. Since they are all dirt poor, they will have to depend on their enemy-appointed lawyers to defend them.
The Bubble Reputation

The old cocaine snorter, Sigmund Freud, is finally taking his lumps. Not only is Freudianism on the way out, but the Master's originality is under question. A recent book by a young biologist, Frank Sulloway, a onetime student of Edward O. Wilson, asserts that Freud borrowed without acknowledgment his theory of infant sexuality, usually considered the core of Freudian psychoanalytic theory.

According to Freudian mythmakers, Wilhelm Fliess, an eccentric Berlin physician, was only a nutty friend to whom Freud wrote mountains of letters. But a closer look at the published writings of Fliess shows that he was discoursing on the theme of infant sexuality well before Freud ever mentioned it.

Harvard historian Donald Fleming says of Sulloway's book Freud, Biologist of the Mind, "The whole of the existing literature on Freud has been rendered obsolete." This is not quite true because Henri F. Ellenberger's The Discovery of the Unconscious also demonstrated how the once-revered shaman borrowed or stole left and right many of the thoughts and notions he claimed as his own.

At any rate, the great man has been found not only to have feet of clay, but the fingers of an intellectual pickpocket. Let us hope that other Sulloways go after those other Sulloways.

Religious Bumper

Kellie Everts is a 28-year-old nightclub dancer who strips for God. "I am a missionary," she explains appealingly, "and the Lord wants me to reach people in nightclubs. That's why He gave me my body and my striptease talents."

Kellie, who introduces her act with a 15-minute sermon, claims she gives 30% of her salary to the Catholic Church. She insists she has "helped a number of people back to Christ." Admittedly, she did have some qualms about her career, but decided to remain an ecysist on "the advice of St. Paul to the Corinthians." We couldn't locate the citation in a brief perusal of our copy of the King James version, but she quoted, "Let every man abide in the same calling wherein he was called." Kellie didn't reveal what advice she had received from her agent, who indubitably dreamed up the publicity stunt and is certain to try to book her on the born-again road show of Charles Colson and Eldridge Cleaver.

Race Maker

Frank E.G. Weil confessed in the Mensa Bulletin (Nov. 1979) that he is the "expert" on racial categories in the HEW Office of Civil Rights. According to Weil, it was decided to divide the country into five racial categories because these were the greatest number that could be handled by computer programs in nationwide surveys. The first four -- American Indian, Asian, Black and Hispanic -- were chosen because they were the largest groups that had suffered massive discrimination in the past, even though many Asians make incomes much higher than the national average. The fifth group, "White, not of Hispanic origin," is the snide racial category HEW has reserved for most Americans, including Majority members. In passing, Mr. Weil revealed that teachers who have six pupils they consider to be half-white and half-black will report them as three blacks and three whites. Weil is listed in the Mensa Register (1976-77) as Latin American in origin and a Reformed Jew in religion.

Hollywood No Longer Sexist?

"These are the faces of power in Hollywood," said gossipmonger Rona Barrett on a recent episode of ABC's "Good Morning America!" as pictures flashed on the tube. The powerful ranged from yesterday's Jack Warner and Sam Goldwyn to today's Warner Brothers, Dino and Cohen. "What do all these people have in common?" Barrett asked. As a million or so viewers held their breath, she rhapsodized, "They're all men." Rona then announced triumphantly that the insidious sexism of the film capital was finally coming to an end. A Hollywood studio has made a woman a vice-president -- one Paula Weinstein.

Helping Those Who Won't Help Themselves

Black Power is the power of numbers, not the power of the purse. Negroes are getting rich all the time -- in fact, there is now a sizable Negro middle class in America -- but this hardly helps the funding of black causes. According to Negro columnist William Raspberry, the money for blacks still comes almost entirely from whites. In the old days much of this financial support was provided by the Jewish segment of the white population. Now, as the result of the Andrew Young affair and the sudden friendliness of some black groups for the PLO, Jewish money is drying up.

Raspberry goes on to say that there are 7.8 million black American families. If each contributed only $2 a year, this would more than cover the annual budget of both the Urban league and the NAACP.

Apparently blacks won't help themselves. They rely on white money, white politicians, white academics and white lawyers to do their work -- and their thinking -- for them. All they do in return is vote en bloc for candidates designated by their white allies and then touted by black preachers, black sports figures and black political gurus.

The Real and the Unreal

The illusion: two movies, "Lilies of the Field" and "Christmas Lilies," in which a lovable black carpenter works overtime to build a church and provide creature comforts for a group of nuns.

The reality: an unlovable black, Robert Eugene King, who robbed and raped a 51-year-old Catholic nun in Tulsa a week before Christmas, then bound her and threw her on a pile of burning clothes. As the room went up in flames, she was rescued at the last minute by two policemen alerted by the smoke.

King, no relation to Martin Luther, had previously been convicted of two similar rape and robbery charges.

It is doubtful if any movie will be based on this incident.

First Lady of Lesbos

Poor Westbrook Pegler! He lavished hundreds of columns of superlative satire on Eleanor Roosevelt, without ever knowing about the biggest ghost that was rattling around in the closet of La Boca Grande's private life. Seventeen years after her death we learn to our amazement that she was a lesbian, that the love of her life was not Franklin, not her little Marxist cavalier servente, Joseph Lash, but a heavy-boned, cigar-smoking, Adam's-appled dyke reporter named Lorena Hickok. Where was Eleanor on the greatest night of Franklin's life, that night in the New York hotel when he won his first presidential race? Why she spent
the whole time in the adjoining bedroom with Lorena, whom her husband called a “he-she.”

In one letter Eleanor, often considered the world’s First Lady and the most worshiped female saint in the liberal pantheon, effused:

Most clearly I remember your eyes, with a kind of reassuring smile in them, and the feeling of that soft spot just northeast of the corner of your mouth against my lips.

Not exactly the words that Mother Cabrini would have written.

Franklin had his Lucy, Eleanor had her Lorena, JFK had his Mafia moll, known perverts are elected and reelected to Congress, wives of presidents and would-be presidents fill alcoholic wards and, if Teddy makes it, the White House will become the Washington succursal of Plato’s Retreat. It’s quite like the orgiastic latter days of Rome, the chief difference being that the Romans knew what their degenerate emperors and empresses were up to. Somehow we never hear the truth about ours until most of them are safely dead and buried.

The Red Millionaire Murder Case

When a prominent Jewish ex-Communist dies under mysterious circumstances, it is likely to become an international tragedy. David Karr, one of the more loathsome Gulag boosters, recently died in a luxury hotel in Paris and from the wailing of the media one might have thought the world had lost another Jesus Christ. Charging her husband was murdered, Karr’s fourth wife hired Richard Benveniste, a Watergate prosecutor and gangster mouthpiece, to press for a thorough investigation and to fight for control of the large estate. The first postmortem statement that Karr had died of a heart attack. The second alluded to severe head bruises and a broken larynx. The Russians, who had been making million-dollar deals with Karr, were mentioned as suspects, as well as Karr’s chief Jewish rival for Kremlin business, Arnold Hammer of Occidental Petroleum, who should have been jailed for making illegal campaign contributions, but wasn’t.

Karr once worked for Senator Fat Face in lobbying Moscow for higher quotas of Jewish emigrants. He was supposedly responsible for developing the “foreign policy,” if any, of California Governor Jerry Brown. He was a darling of Lazard Frères, but not a darling of his latest wife, to whom he had given $1 million before his death to put down a simmering marital revolt.

The bottom rung of Karr’s ladder of success was a reporter’s job on the old Mos-
cow-subsidized Daily Worker. From there it was an easy and almost automatic jump to the Washington Post. Then Broadway, public relations, hotel management and, finally, the jackpot -- an associate of Lazard Frères and a net worth of $10 million.

Former California Senator John Tunney was a business partner of Karr’s. Good friends and boosters were Senators Alan Cranston and Henry Jackson. Karr was also buddy-buddy with Dzerman Gvishiani, the high-powered Soviet apparatchik who is Kosygin’s son-in-law.

Incoming Squatters

Every one of the countless legal and illegal aliens, immigrants and refugees crowding into the U.S. these days will consume approximately 58 barrels of oil per year as soon as he or she gets established and begins to live like the average petrophages American. The consumption will double, triple and quadruple when the wives, children, aunts, uncles and cousins come later, as they almost always do. Garrett Hardin, the biologist, estimates that in one way or another each new arrival in the U.S. should bring with him 4,500 barrels of oil so as not to exacerbate the energy shortage.

Haitian illegals are spreading venereal disease and tuberculosis in South Florida. Some 19,000 have arrived in the Miami area in the last few years.

Book Vigilantes

The London Jewish Chronicle has called on British Jews to act as vigilantes in keeping books critical of Jewry out of British libraries. If such books “are on the shelves, their very presence constitutes a grave offense and librarians should be asked to make them available only as works of reference, when they could be cross-referenced with other books which give the lie to their lies.” So far no books have been banned in Britain under the Race Relations Act, though the authors of leaflets and writings critical of Jews and nonwhites have been fined or given prison sentences.

In Brazil bookstores are again offering Mein Kampf and the Protocols despite a law prohibiting their sale. The Jewish Confederation of Brazil has ordered the Ministry of Justice to confiscate the two books.

The forcible expulsion of 50,000 Palestinian Arabs from their homes in 1948 by Jewish troops was scissored out of the newly published memoirs of former Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin by a special board of five Israeli cabinet members.

In Finland it is verboten to publish Solzhenitsyn’s Gulag Archipelago, though a Finnish version of the book has been printed in Sweden. A film made from Solzhenitsyn’s novel, One Day in the Life of Ivan Denisovich, was banned by Finland’s government in 1972. In January 1979, at the “request” of its hulking neighbor, Finland promised not to export any more Bibles to the Soviet Union.

There has never been and probably will never be anything approaching free expression anywhere in the world. But what is unique about the present age is that the self-appointed champions of free expression are often those who do the most to circumvent it. And today, as always, the people of the book are among the chief censors of other peoples’ books.
How They Do It

The state of Israel with its out-of-sight inflation has perhaps the world's highest per capita military budget and, under any civilized system of accounting, is formally bankrupt. Yet Israelis enjoy one of the world's highest living standards.

"How is this possible?" asks an article in America (Nov. 10, 1979), which then goes on to furnish this answer.

In an interview earlier this year, Ephraim Rainer, a leading Israeli banker, frankly admitted: "The public here does not pay the price of inflation. The United States and the Jewish people around the world do that."

One way Americans provide the Israelis with the good things in life is through contributions to political campaigns. Take the case of Senator Kennedy, who recently organized a $1,000-a-plate fund-raising dinner in New York. Most of those who attended were affluent Jews. At the end of his pitch for money, Fat Face called for an increase of $350 million in U.S. aid to Israel "to help offset inflation."

Now let's say that 350 Jews chipped in $1,000 each for the privilege of eating catered chicken and listening to Kennedy's political platitudes. This would mean that the coffers of American Jewry were lightened by $350,000. But suppose the Senator from Chappaquiddick makes it to the White House and makes good his promise. Then the U.S. Treasury will be emptied of $350 million. Not a bad deal. You pays your $350,000 and you gets back 1,000 times what you puts in.

This form of election bargaining over Israel has been going on since the massacre at Deir Yassin. Although it ends up as an outright theft of taxpayers' money, it is considered quite the proper thing to do, a new-day political comme il faut. If a politician used the same bribery tricks with unpopular minority groups, he might easily end up in jail.

Odorous Appointments

Sol Linowitz, who grew rich out of Xerox, an invention by a Majority member in California who did not get rich, is the second Cal Svengali who got nowhere when he tried to organize a $1,000-a-plate fund-raising dinner in New York. Most of those who attended were affluent Jews. At the end of his pitch for money, Fat Face called for an increase of $350 million in U.S. aid to Israel "to help offset inflation."

Now let's say that 350 Jews chipped in $1,000 each for the privilege of eating catered chicken and listening to Kennedy's political platitudes. This would mean that the coffers of American Jewry were lightened by $350,000. But suppose the Senator from Chappaquiddick makes it to the White House and makes good his promise. Then the U.S. Treasury will be emptied of $350 million. Not a bad deal. You pays your $350,000 and you gets back 1,000 times what you puts in.

This form of election bargaining over Israel has been going on since the massacre at Deir Yassin. Although it ends up as an outright theft of taxpayers' money, it is considered quite the proper thing to do, a new-day political comme il faut. If a politician used the same bribery tricks with unpopular minority groups, he might easily end up in jail.

A Self-Regulating Energy Policy

Not so long ago it was a common habit to blame much of the world's ills on Hitler. Today, the Ay-rabs and the oil companies have been made the villains of the continuing melodrama of the energy shortage.

The plot, as written by media soapmen, calls for the hero, the government, to save the heroine, the people, from the devilish machinations of the sheiks and the boys from Exxon. The Hispanic, Mongoloid and Negroid members of OPEC are omitted from the script because they would complicate the simplistic duel to the death between sweetness and light and darkness and oiliness.

The politicians have happily bought this scenario, which Walter Cronkite spouts almost every night. What Cronkite's Thes- pian rhetoric never reveals is the simple, not simplistic, truth that gasoline today costs about what it did in 1955, when the dollar was really a dollar. Even today a gallon of gas at the neighborhood pump costs about the same as a gallon of spring water at the neighborhood supermarket.

Cheap energy (yes, it's still cheap) means high demand. Cheap energy allows homes to be poorly insulated and to be located great distances from the work place. Cheap energy means that five calories of energy go into food production for every calorie of food produced. Yet they say agribusiness is highly efficient.

The various energy packages proposed by Carter and Congress are aimed at symptoms, not causes. They will increase our dependence on OPEC, worsen our trade balance, shrink the dollar, and accelerate the transfer of precious American assets to foreigners. In spite of this, business is encouraged by federal and state tax incentives to substitute capital equipment and energy for labor.

The sensible solution to the energy crunch, after getting the low-IQ federal bureaucracy out of the petroleum picture, is for Congress to impose a hefty tax on oil and gas to redistribute the dollar windfall by reducing income, corporation and social security taxes. A high tax at the pump will reduce the amount of tribute to OPEC. This in turn will make OPEC, Mexico, Canada, Britain and other oil-rich nations think twice about further price hikes. The improved balance of payments will deleteriously affect inflation. The search for and development of alternative energy sources will be greatly stimulated.

The chances are overwhelming that House members would never pass it, but a $20 federal levy on a barrel of oil would bring in half the dollars now raised by the income tax and corporation tax combined.

The above is the substance of the keynote address by Jay W. Forrester, Director of the MIT Systems Dynamics Program, to the fifteenth annual meeting of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

Dark Head Count

A fascinating legal action has been brought against the federal government by an organization called FAIR (Federation for American Immigration Reform). The basis of this suit is that the upcoming census is deliberately aimed at counting as many illegal aliens as possible. Since the number of Representatives in Congress is apportioned at the approximate ratio of one per
510,345 persons tabulated, states such as Florida and California will gain Representatives at the expense of states with a small number of unlawful residents. States with disproportionate amounts of illegals will also benefit from extra infusions of federal money.

It is doubtful if FAIR's suit will make much headway in a politicized federal judiciary. It will probably have even less effect on the Census Bureau, the chief promoter of the new "count everyone" policy. Vincent Pasquale Barabba is the present head of this heavily minority infiltrated agency. Barabba's goal is not an honest counting of heads, but an emphasis on counting particular heads -- heads with black hair, dark eyes and olive, brown or black skin.

### Nuclear Check List

We have all heard the bleak news that the world's inventory of nuclear weapons contains the equivalent of several tons of TNT for every human being on earth. But we seldom hear that it is impossible to target these nuclear devices effectively enough to destroy the population or industry of any large nation.

In regard to the size of nuclear weapons (KT = 1,000 tons TNT, MT = 1,000,000 tons TNT):

- U.S. Navy's Harpoon anti-ship missile: 0.1 KT
- Poseidon submarine (most common U.S. warhead): 40 KT
- U.S. Minuteman II ICBM: 1 or 2 MT
- U.S.S.R. SS-18 ICBM (most common Soviet warhead): 1 or 2 MT
- Largest Soviet warhead: 25 MT

A 1 MT warhead does not destroy an area 1000 times greater than a 1 KT warhead. The area of destruction increases by the cube root, which means a 1 MT warhead is only ten times as destructive as a 1 KT. Consequently, several small warheads, if aimed correctly, are more deadly than a large one.

The breakdown of the energy released by a nuclear warhead is: 50% blast, 30% heat, 20% radiation.

In regard to radiation a 1 MT warhead carries a deadly dose to an exposed person up to 1.8 miles from the center. But the dose is cut in half by only 0.5" of lead, 4" of concrete or 6" of earth. The neutron bomb is the only nuclear device designed to kill by radiation.

As to heat, a 1 MT warhead will produce severe burns on exposed human skin up to 10 miles from the center and will cause severe fire damage to cities within the same radius. Protective clothing, ground cover and shielding will permit survival much closer to the bomb's center.

In regard to blast, which is by far the most dangerous effect produced by a nuclear explosion, a 1 MT warhead will damage steel-frame buildings 2½ miles from the center and destroy all buildings within an area of about eight square miles. Nevertheless, 45 well-aimed 1 MT warheads would be necessary to completely level New York City (365 sq. miles). Hardened silos and protected industrial sites will greatly reduce the amount of total devastation. For example, a protected or "hardened" factory could withstand a Poseidon missile that exploded 500 feet away.


### From the Funny Farm

Some years ago Col. Michael M. Golembiewski, the self-proclaimed Czar of all the Russians who claims that Hitler was the Duke of Clarence and the late Senator Dodd the son of Stalin, declared that Kissinger was a Soviet spy. The press remained mum.

Recently the Czar Presumptive announced that Ayatollah Khomeini is the most important of the top five Soviet agents in Iran and has been on the Russian payroll since the early 1950s. This time the story appeared in American newspapers from coast to coast.

The attention the media give a professional liar seems to depend on who is being lied about.

### Integration News

Rev. John Grauel, a Methodist minister who claims he was the only member of the Israeli refugee runner Exodus with "seafaring skills," says the book of the same name by Leon Uris was a fabrication. Grauel is happy over the Iran incident, which he claims has "temporarily" slowed America's growing dissatisfaction with Israel. He now spends half his time in the Promised Land and has 24 sons and daughters (most of them adopted). He summed up his present feelings this way, "Every day I pray to God to send back Golda and Harry Truman."

Two blacks who represent the so-called African country of Chad at the U.N. raped and sodomized a white American lady in a New York hotel and then robbed her of her jewelry and cash. The police said they could do nothing about the crime. The two criminals had diplomatic immunity.

### Now Chicanos

Black and women hostages have been released. The Indians (see Instauration, Feb. 1980) have been trying to get into the act. Now a professional Chicano named Frank Shaffer-Corona, a Washington, D.C. school board official, is asking that Marine Corporal William Gallegos be freed by the Iranian body snatchers on the grounds that Chicanos are Mexicans who have lived under the yoke of U.S. imperial aggression and occupation since the land was stolen from Mexico in 1836 and 1846. For 200 years the Latin American countries have experienced the same kind of U.S. domination and control that Iran experienced between 1953 and 1979. As fellow victims of U.S. greed and racism, Iran and the Latino community must learn more about one another and stand together.

Since it's getting more and more dangerous to be a white male in the Foreign Service, we suggest that the State Department give extra combat pay to Majority members.
A MUSICAL-YOU'VE-ALWAYS-WANTED-TO-SEE-BUT-HAVE-DESPAIRED-OF-FINDING-ON-BROADWAY: "Goldburgle!"*, a tender allegory on the racial issue. Excitingly contemporary but with some very novel twists, this is the wonderfully real story of the struggles of a modern hero who reflects everyone's troubles in his own, Christian style.

Oliver Cromwell Goldburgle, as this hero is called by those who know him, is a cross-eyed, Negro-Jewish dwarf with a humpback, cleft palate, club foot and halitosis. He is also paralyzed from the waist up, and has rickets, tuberculosis, scattered cancers, and a peculiarly malignant form of water on the knee. He suffers from the full list of psychiatric troubles, but, like St. Jerome, wrestles to improve himself. ("If anyone could have taken refuge in environmental deprivation as reason to quit, he could have," says James Baldwin. "Why he didn't, we'll never know." Saul Bellow agrees with that assessment.)

But Oliver is endlessly cheerful and universally popular, nowhere more so (as we learn in Act I) than at Oxford (England), where he took his doctorate in comparative prejudice. We are told that C.P. Snow called him, "the best of a particularly rich year." Ted Heath said he would have taken him sailing, "except for the...er...difficulties of bringing all his...er...equipment aboard."

(In discussing this musical at the Boston Friends of Albert Einstein and Neil Simon Holocaust Dinner, John Galbraith pointed out the importance of this period for Goldburgle: "Like Ike Eisenhower, he matured there. It is unthinkable to think of Ike without the European polish, and it is equally unthinkable to think of Oliver Cromwell Goldburgle without the German experience. Ah, the Rhine, Heidelberg, the dueling scars..." Reminded that Oliver had been in England rather than Germany, Galbraith immediately and adroitly corrected himself, "...the Avon, Cambridge, riding to hounds. If environment is everything, then a change in environment must be even more, especially if it involves crossing a large body of water.")

Now back in the United States and lecturing at Columbia University in his specialty, Goldburgle is married to a "top-drawer socialite" (we quote from the program), who cares for him tenderly in their (formerly her) eighteen-room Fifth Avenue apartment, which is always awash with the rich and famous of six continents come to talk over world conditions and deep-soul problems with Dr. Goldburgle. Jackie O., who lives nearby, is a constant visitor, with the kids. (They play with his kids. He couldn't have children, but adopted them, according to Art Buchwald, "along strictly Mendelian lines, as though they were really his, by her. One very dark, two in the middle, and one light. They line up like the calibration on your toaster dial.") Henry K. is there at all hours, storing up Goldburgleisms for future edicts, Andy Warhol and Fritz Mondale sit at his feet, Katherine Hepburn, Barbra Streisand and Bo Derek are in attendance. In fact, Barbra leads the ensemble in the first big number, "Black Is Bananas!"

Margaret Mead found this song, "...one of the most significant contributions popular music has made to anthropology. In refuting all racial animadversions -- especially the subconscious notion, still held by a surprising number of people, that blacks and trees are inexplicably connected -- it is important to be subtle. And if any tree -- or shrub or legume or...well, vegetable growth in general -- is more offensive than the banana tree, I haven't heard of it. To meet this tree head on, to settle once and for all the black banana myth, but subtly rather than brutally, what better forum could there be than the popular stage? To go a step further and actually enshrine the myth in a catch phrase, in order to fix it like a butterfly in amber -- perhaps I should say like a banana in hand -- not only shows courage of the highest kind (that goes without saying, but I shall say it to reinforce it. Skinnerily) but also demonstrates a fine grasp of tactics. For how else can the myth be destroyed? It must first be set up, and then knocked down. It can't be done the other way round. 'Black Is Bananas!' left me fully satisfied, de-mythed, unprejudiced...highly post-orgasmic." Jane Fonda, however, differs with Margaret: "I didn't think it had anything to do with trees. I thought it meant they were bananas because of slavery and not being able to ride in the back of the bus all those years when we were so bad to them, and now we have to...well, un-bananas them, if that's possible. Or even good English. Hope so, certainly, on both counts. I do agree, though, that it's a satisfying number. Orgasmic? You've got to be kidding, it's really a clean show."

All seems for the best with Oliver C. Goldburgle and his beautiful bride (silken-shanked, Nordic-nosed, overcome with her good fortune), but there are telltale signs. In Act II, he tells Cary Grant and Rose Kennedy (in the tender solo, "I.Q., U.Q.!") that he's been reading Arthur Jensen. A bit later, in a powerful but disturbing scene, he throws his framed, autographed photograph of Jake Javits into the fireplace (quattrocento, ablaze) before the horrified eyes of Bill Buckley, who monostates with the moving, "Are You Sure You Know What You're Doing??"

Cholly Bilderberger
In the show’s Mozartian subplot, Tessie, the parlormaid, is in love with Frank, the second footman, who is in love with Yolanda, the dog groomer, and up to this point we have seen them singing and flirting and chasing each other about, when the principals are offstage, in lighthearted counterpoint to the main action. But now the thrust of Buckley’s song is that one of the three (he doesn’t know which) is an Israeli agent, and that Oliver must be very careful. As Bill sings, all three enter and leave, and he must warn Oliver without alerting the agent. “The lyrics are really so clever,” says Louis Auchincloss, “that one really quite forgets the reality of the situation. But isn’t that often the case?” Incidentally, in a later, sparkling duet, Tessie and Yolanda learn that each is a transsexual. Frank joins them, and in a true tearjerker (“Me, Too, Tutu!”) reveals his own crossover. “I can’t recall ever being so moved,” says William Styron. “The technical innovation of reducing the traditional operatic subplot to the very honest question of surgical expediency and expertise is nugatory in the extreme. Sharp theater, a real winner, should mean big bucks, high numbers, paperback auction, a definite movie sale, tax shelter necessity — what a sweet problem that is, we should all have such problems — yes, like they say in pro ball, this musical came to play.”

Returning to the main plot, trouble builds. Oliver won’t attend Holocaust Dinners, refuses to read Norman Mailer, and lots more. In the play’s climactic scene, he springs from his chair (figuratively speaking, of course) and shouts to Alistair Cooke, Tony Powell, John Updike, Joe Namath and Ronnie Reagan, all of whom he has been instructing in differential prejudice in Piltdown man (as deduced from the telltale patterns of carbon deposits in the prejudice area), “I’m a nigger!” He then sings this, the show’s really big, big number. To say that it’s a show stopper is, as Johnny Cheever puts it, understatement at its most understated.

After the song is over and order restored in the theater (count on a good twenty minutes), they try to calm him down, but he’s adamant.

Despite his enriched physical handicaps, he insists on going back to the South and picking cotton. His distraught bride implores him to stay and “Enjoy!”, but to no avail. Even the adopted children’s lament (“Colormated, But Not Sated... With You!”, a sophisticated, Cole Porterish, tongue-in-cheek heartstring tugger with undertones of both Malcolm X and Shirley Temple) leaves him unmoved. Frank Sinatra, Tony Bennett, Alan Alda, Cheryl Tiegs and Peter Beard beg him not to let the race down, but he won’t listen to anybody, not even the ghost of Martin Luther King, Jr., as invoked by Linda Ronstadt and Jerry Ford.

“I must atone,” he sings in the play’s overwhelming close, just before leaving for Birmingham (Alabama), adding, in the number’s cryptic chorus, “for everything.”

Music by Abraham and Eveson (adapted from Palestrina and Verdi), and also by Moses Beowulf (adapted from Stephen Foster). Lyrics by John Quincy Auschwitz (with special credit to the private correspondence of Goethe, Spinoza and Jack Johnson). Book by the Fondas (all). Based on an unpublished column by Walter Lippmann.
John Nobull

Notes From the Sceptred Isle

Why is it that in the British National Health Service the Indian and Pakistani doctors are notoriously inferior, on average, to the British ones? One could answer that their qualifications are inferior. But if we are to stick to environmentalist interpretations, why ignore the mass of psychological data about the results of appointing people to posts beyond their capacity? The incumbents become depressed, aggressive, nervous and go in for displacement activity instead of actually solving problems. So it is a doubtful kindness to promote people on grounds of race, not merit. It is not putting men or women in jobs which make them competent. On the contrary, they must be competent before they are promoted, or the job will actually harm them -- not to speak of those who deal with them.

Argentinians cannot be bad people, judging by the squeals uttered in the British press over the expulsion of Jewish editor Jacobo Timerman. He was of course heavily implicated in terrorist activities there. Private Eye recently had a long screed expressing concern for Timerman and (oh horrors!) accusing the Argentine police of stealing kosher delicacies from his fridge. The Eye has also printed various letters which claim that Lord Louis Mountbatten was a homosexual.

The Daily Telegraph recently reported the death of Leslie Grade, brother of “Lord” Lew Grade and “Lord” Delfont. Their real name was Winogradsky. When Lew created Associated Television and Delfont EMI Films, Leslie ran the Grade Agency, the clients of which included George Formby, Bob Hope, Cliff Richard, Frankie Laine, Laurence Olivier, Noel Coward, and many others. People are not likely to criticize the Jews if they owe their best chance of a job to them. Only Coward was brave enough to write a verse autobiography in his retirement in which he attacked the constant sniping at British traditions by people, some of whom “were not even English.” Among Leslie Grade’s triumphs were films like The Servant and Please Sir, which preached the now familiar message that all those who go to British Public (private) Schools are bores, pederasts, bullies and moral cowards. There is of course some truth in these charges. Look at Burgess, MacLean, Philby and Blunt. The aim is to tar us all with the same brush and to abolish the Public Schools, which allow Gentiles to educate themselves without too much minority influence. The Labour regime was doing away with the Grammar Schools before it was overthrown. Mrs. Thatcher, to her eternal credit, has allowed some of them to be saved. Grammar Schools are far older than the Public Schools, and for over a thousand years have permitted poorer boys to get ahead. Our enemies want to lock all our children into the multiracial hellholes called Comprehensives.

The Economist (Oct. 10, 1979) quotes the following passage from Sebastian Haffner’s The Meaning of Hitler: “Today’s world, whether we like it or not, is the work of Hitler.” So Hitler is responsible for all the mess after thirty-five years of liberal and Communist rule! Heavens, what a complicated character: limited, obtuse, cowardly, fanatical, ridiculous, lacking in judgement, yet at the same time capable of inspiring the most educated people in the world to rebuild their nation, throw off the shackles of Versailles, and stand for years against overwhelming odds. And now, from beyond the grave, he is responsible for Jim Jones, Khomeini, Carter, Gromyko and other assorted worthies, not to speak of terrorism, the Eurodollar overhang, the appalling state of our educational systems, and all the other evils we can think of.

The following quotation throws some light on the theme of little green men from outer space, who are alleged to have built the pyramids and founded civilization, after which they circled round the earth, appearing from UFOs at odd moments to those who really care. Of course, the idea is to discount the role of evolution in human affairs, and those who promote this notion are almost always antiracialist. Here is a quotation from Stuart Holroyd’s Alien Intelligence (David & Charles, 1979). It surely takes the cake for unblushing mendacity:

There are no significant differences between human beings the world over in respect of biology, brain size or manual capability, and yet background cultural factors can make them mutually alien as if they were different species.

How is it that these “background cultural factors” carry on from generation to generation with so little change? And why do they differ from one race to another?

The Times (Nov. 22, 1979) contains a snide article on Dr. Terence Miller, who has fought the good fight for eight years as director of the North London Polytechnic. During that time, he has repeatedly faced down disruption by left-wing students and staff. In a metaphor taken from Wyndham’s Day of the Triffids, he says: “I see the Left as a tent peg which is liable to turn
into a Triffid. You have to hammer it into the ground every
time it comes up, until eventually you split it and it begins to
splinter and the worms carry it away." Dr. Miller has proposed
that sociology and other trendy subjects should be eliminated,
and refers to 15% of the teachers as so much dead wood. He
continues: "We must get rid of these passengers quite ruthles­sfully... I always look back to the time when Monty took over the
21st Army Group during the war and there was an enormous
clear out of just that kind of dead wood. If we could have done
this to the education system, it would have done the country a
power of good." So he was inspired by the cautious but effec­tive
Montgomery! Families like mine used to loathe Monty
during the war because he was such a publicity-seeker.
"Montebank Monty," we called him. Monty's own com­ment is
to the point, "Lots of people think I did rather badly,
but I thought I did rather well." Later, he said he would draw
his sword if South Africa was attacked. May he rest in peace!

* * *

Here is my translation of a well-known Russian song, writ­ten
not during the Tsarist period, but during World War II. It is
called "Katysheva," and has an extremely catchy, vigorous
tune:

The apple and pear trees are in bloom,
The mist rises on the river,
Katie appears on the bank,
On the high steep bank.

She appears and sings a song,
About an eagle of the steppes,
About the one she loves,
About the one whose letter she is reading.

It's bucolic, but it's charming too, and it was written when in
America Benny Goodman was dribbling down his horrible
clarinet. No wonder young people in England flocked to the Al­bert Hall to hear the singers of the Red Army. These are not
hippies and other creeps, but the same young people who roar
out the words of Elgar's "Land of Hope and Glory." The
Greeks rightly regarded bad music as psychically harmful. I
was interested to read that John Tyndall spends quite a lot of
time listening to his collection of military marches. It seems to
have stimulated him to the benefit of us all:

High the calling bugles howl,
High the screaming fifes reply,
Gay the files of scarlet follow,
Woman bore me, I will rise.

** New York City. Anti-Zionists, America
Firsters and Majority members who want to
base their knowledge of history on some­thing
more substantial than news stories or
bestsellers, should look into American
Manifest Destiny and the Holocausts (Ex­aminer Books, Box 783, New York, NY
10022, $8). Its 386 pages contain a pot­pourri of the various speeches, treaties
and agreements responsible for transform­ing the U.S. from a great to a lickspittle
nation in less than one century. How did we
get into World Wars I and II?

United Nations. The U.S. is still hard at
work covering up for Israel's nuclear prolifer­ation. When the U.N. General Assem­bly adopted a resolution demanding that Is­rael "submit all its nuclear facilities to in­spection by the International Atomic Ener­gy Agency," the vote was 97 for, 10 against
and 38 abstentions. Israel and its Uncle
Sam were among the ten opposed.

Brooklyn. Not only schools should be
segregated, so should our culture. This is a
principal theme of a new periodical called
Ethnicity, published in Brooklyn by people
who want to see white Americans become
more white, brown Americans more brown
and black Americans more black. Cultures,
like individuals, should be themselves. An
integrated culture is a contradiction in
terms, since culture feeds on diversity. Eth­nicity's address is Box 245, Wyckoff Sta­tion, Brooklyn, NY 11237.

Washington. Israel's silver-tongued
quadrumvirate -- Moshe Dayan, Yitzhak
Rabin, Simcha Dinitz and Abba Eban --
command the largest speaking fees on the
American lecture circuit. Dayan recently
signed a contract for ten pep talks at
$10,000 per. Rabin demanded $5,000 for
one speech at a Washington temple.

Pittsburgh. A local pet shop is briskly
selling custom plaid coats, plastic fireplugs,
"doggy sachet," dog boots, raincoats and
$40 wicker and metal beds. Cat fanciers can
buy a $49.95 combination scratching pad and
post that stretches from floor to ceiling.
Hot items are canine T-shirts in­scribed with "Chow Hound," "Super Stud," "Classic Bitch," or "JAP," which
stands for Jewish-American Princess.

Kent, Ohio. Gerald Green, author of the
TV hate epic "Holocaust," is working on a
new, doctored drama about the Kent shoot­ings of 1970. It doesn't take too much ima­
gination to predict the cast of characters.
The National Guardsmen will be portrayed
as Nazi gas chamber operators. The four
victims (three Jewish) will be martyrs on the
order of the "Holocaust's" Weiss family.
The runaway girl from Miami who prayer­fully genuflected before her fallen student
lover will be transformed into an American
Anne Frank -- or more logically, into a
modern Mary Magdalene, since she later
joined the hooker's trade.

Tulsa. Presbyterians in Oklahoma are all
shook up about the $3,000 grant made by
their church to the legal defense of Gene
Leroy Hart, a recidivous Indian criminal
who, after being acquitted of raping and
murdering three Girl Scouts, died of a heart
attack in the state penitentiary. A motion to
give $3,000 to the families of the murdered
Girl Scouts was defeated by church mem­bers, who are planning to take matters to
the General Assembly of the United Pres­byterians.
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Detroit. What is affirmative action? The answer seems to depend on where you are. In Detroit, where affirmative action is colored all black, a builder named Geraldine Franks was told by city officials, “White females cannot participate in affirmative action programs.” Possibly there is more to this rejection than meets the eye. It may be — if Ms. Franks is who we think she is — another piece of revenge for what happened to Andrew Young.

San Diego. A woman member of the American Civil Liberties Union, its coffers somewhat depleted by its defense of the half-Jewish Nazi Frank Collin, organized a porno fundraiser in this southernmost California megalopolis. Hundreds of tickets for the hardcore film Sex World were sold for $10 apiece. Perhaps one reason the ACLU fights so vigorously for the rights of pornographers is that a large segment of its membership likes dirty movies.

West Germany. Reversing the ruling of a lower tribunal, the West German Supreme Court decided once again that to deny the Holocaust is an insult to Jewry. It was a legal victory for a Jewish student who had accused a German of printing and distributing a leaflet describing the Six Million Myth as mythic. The plaintiff said the leaflet was an insult to his honor and the honor of a grandfather who perished at Auschwitz. The Supreme Court ruled further that the authenticity of documents concerning the murder of millions of Jews could not be questioned and that to evince public skepticism about the Holocaust exceeded the constitutional rights of free speech.

In another of the seemingly endless trials initiated by 90-year-old Otto Frank, the father of Anne, against those who question the authenticity of the famous diary, Herr Frank refused to allow the original, now supposedly in the vault of a Swiss bank, to be examined by court officials in Wiesbaden. Frau Frank explained that her husband did not want his diary cards in tears. Predictably, nary a peep was heard from TV's most trusted and most avuncular anchorman.

Rome. An Instaurationist writes from Italy. Curzio Malaparte's pair of international bestsellers on World War II Europe -- Kaputt and The Skin --disconcerted the reader with their contrariety. Kaputt is anti-German and procommunist. The Skin has some anti-German passages, but its most remarkable sections are the ones that are anti-Alled and anti-communist. If a chameleon like Malaparte (see Luigi Barzini's short biography of him in From Caesar to the Mafia) can be said to have any true beliefs, then which book represents them? Well, something of the real Malaparte must have gone into The Skin, for this is one of the few books that lives up to its jacket blurs, while Kaputt is a bore. The Skin is a panorama of "The Glorious Liberation of Europe": fascist teenagers being executed on the steps of a church, old cronies peddling young boys to Moroccan mercenaries, communist queers from all over Europe gathering behind the Allied lines, hordes of women prostituting themselves to the Allied soldiers, an account of the bombing of Hamburg that outdoes Vonnegut's description of the Dresden holocaust. It's the most sickening book I've ever read and one of the greatest, though it's marred by Malaparte's posing as a modern St. Francis. For writing such stuff any other Italian would have long since been liquidated. Malaparte has the protection of that strange assemblage of "protestants" -- the aristocratic leadership of the Italian Communist party (who in the opinion of Luigi Barzini are rather different from their followers).

Israel. While Uncle Tomsteins like Vernon Jordan of the Urban League and Benjamin Hooks of the NAACP were giving impassioned Israeliitish speeches to prop up the shaky black-Jewish alliance in the U.S., several hundred American blacks in Israel were and are being treated like dirt. Israeli authorities have barred the members of a black Hebrew sect (they claim descent from a tribe of Israelis sold into slavery in Africa) from public schools, denied them citizenship, and forced twenty or more of them to live in apartments designed for families of four or five. Since they cannot become citizens, they are not qualified for welfare. Their leader, Ben Ami Carter, born in Chicago, says "politics in Israel is embedded in racism."

If Britain's actions had won her friends in Black Africa, one might argue that the game was worth the candle. The reality is that they have not. Britain's actions have merely reinforced the contempt with which she is held throughout the continent. From the point of view of the black, she deserves it. In Africa the tribe is all and an African's ultimate loyalty is to his tribe. Africa has seen Britain turn on and destroy members of her own tribe there -- not once, but again and again. Such behaviour is regarded as utterly contemptible. Of course, while the British continue to provide money and aid and to submit tamely to insults, it is inexpedient to say so. But the contempt is still there.

When one considers the turmoil, tyranny and starvation that lie ahead for the inhabitants of Zimbabwe "democracy," one can only ask with Nietzsche, "What has caused more suffering than the follies of the compassionate?"