I am neither either,
Neither white, nor black,
I am the Striped Biped
From the tip of my toes
To the tip of my nose
I'm something like a zebra.
Half of me is hungry,
Half well fed,
Half vegetarian,
Half people-eater,
Like the striped tiger
Half Caucasian, half Niger,
Half brunet, half blond,
Half and half of everything,
Wholly nothing whole.
I'm a common species,
Half can and half can't,
Half cant and half cliché
Half good, half evil,
Half god, half devil,
Half Baptist, half Catholic,
Half Christian, half Bolshevik,
Half for women, half for men,
For the rooster, for the hen.
Half Jew, half Gentile,
Neither high nor low percentile,
My tongue's firmly cleft
I'm neither right nor left.
One eye blue, one black,
One in front, one in back.
Half of this and half of that,
Half a mouse and half a cat,
Not indigenous to any region,
Not born in any season.
Pity me, the Striped Biped!
Not divorced, not wed,
Not alive, not dead,
Wish I were a little more
Of less and less of more
Or less -- it's your guess.
So take me as I am --
Half real, half sham.
The Striped Biped,
The Twentieth Century It.
The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, communicants will only be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

☐ Cleveland and Chicago are more squalid than ever. The number of blacks is incredible. If the Majority members cannot cope with 6 million Jews, what are they going to do with 50 million blacks and 50 million Chicanos in 2100?

☐ On television's "The Dating Game," a young white man won a blind date with a smiling, slant-eyed Chinese in a Heiner bunny costume. Later a beautiful blonde was given a choice between a Puerto Rican mulatto, a dark Iranian and a short, bowing Oriental. In the old days, a promoter of dates and marriage was called a match maker. Today the degenerate West has created its own travesty -- the MIX maker.

☐ I was raised on an Indian Reservation where my mother taught. We did not mistreat the Indians, and found them quite human in that there were good ones, bad ones, and the majority in between. Their standards of cleanliness were at variance with ours, but our family treated them exactly the same as we did any- one, having good manners. They responded in kind.

☐ Renowned explorer Sven Hedin, a Swedish national, was a friend of both the Kaiser and Hitler. His political books People in Arms, To the East, Fifty Years of Germany, Correspondence with Albrecht Brockhaus are carefully unlisted in the Encyclopaedia Britannica (1960 edition). His last book was printed in Buenos Aires.

☐ The picture of the Nordic Jesus on the cover (June 1979) calls to mind my cousin, who once followed the fat-faced boy savior, Guru Mahara- raj Ji. This cousin was among the most physically resplendent young men I have known, over 6'2 feet, with a perfectly formed body and that facial combination of great strength and delicate refinement which even the briefest excursion into comparative biography reveals to be the hallmark of most accomplished men.

It cannot be too surprising that, in his late teens, this son of liberal, race-mixing, book writing parents -- people to whom such elementary notions as "ideal form follows ideal function" would be incomprehensible -- reached the unlikely conclusion that human perfection might somehow dwell behind mounds of expressionless, lusterless baby fat which sought no greater challenge than to be wheeled around India in a fleet of chauffeured Rolls Royces.

My cousin never made it to India, but while adventuring in Latin America, the Amerindian peasants, intuitively wise in their ignorance, repeatedly whispered either "Jesus" or "Christ" whenever he came near. It must have embarrassed him terribly. These squat, simple people simply could not get their fill of his good looks, and felt compelled to gaze quietly at great length with feelings of mingled awe and reverence. What wonders it might do to their psychic environment to have a few gods and goddesses in their villages on permanent loan.

☐ I couldn't be more pleased with the Supreme Court's Weber decision. A few more such antiwhite edicts from on high and some now somnolent whites might start to wake up to the fact that an undeclared war is being waged against them.

☐ No attempt to preserve our race and nation can possibly succeed if it does not enlist men and women of real importance, especially scientists, engineers, technicians, and other persons of considerable education who are accustomed to reason from data and more or less objectively draw conclusions from critically examined evidence. Almost none of them believe the Christian myths (whatever they may believe about the expediency of religion as a means of social and ethical control). Consequently, propaganda on our side is immediately discredited if it is attached to a profession of Christianity, with the result that it will not be read or taken seriously by the very persons whom it is necessary to convince, if we are to accomplish more than spoiling good paper with printing. That is a fact that the most devout Christian should be able to see, unless he takes refuge in the fancy that his deity will perform a miracle and "change the hearts" of unbelievers if he shouts long enough or hires enough evangelical holy men to go through their routine on the stage of local churches.

☐ The dominant religion of our time, which we must destroy, if possible, is the "humanitarian" superstition, far more absurd and repugnant to facts and reason than the wildest fancy of Christian myth.

☐ How about the reversion of Iranian woman-hood to medieval subjection. A pity we couldn't persuade all the women's libbers to go to Iran and stay there. Betty Friedan and Bella Abzug would look nice in a veil.

☐ I found out I qualify for M.E.N.S.A. so will probably join just for laughs. It has Special Interest Groups, one of which is Dialectical Materialism.
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I have always done my best racial thinking on buses and subways, while confined in a tight space with thirty people of a dozen different races (the norm in the American metropolis).

I showed "A Difference of Minds" (Instauration, July 1979) to a neurologist friend of mine who is also a good Instaurationist. He denounced the piece as the worst kind of pseudo-science. He denied that reptilian behavior could be attributed to the lower brain. He says that all the lower brain is good for is regulating temperature, heartbeat and the like. To my mind, the metaphors of beast and reptile have been around for too long for there not to be something behind them, though not necessarily on the precise lines laid down by your author. We know that the various functions in the brain cannot be precisely localized so there is a real possibility that several characteristically reptilian behavioral patterns are located partly in the upper regions of the brain.

I met John Tyndall during his recent American tour. He's most impressive and is obviously more capable than any of the racist leaders in this country.

I'm convinced that Sociobiology is going to do more to alter the way people look at the world than any other development.

Unity! I wish some faction leaders would see the light. Time is not on our side! The future is now. There is nowhere to run, not even outer space.

I disagree with your purist Nordic bit. The Caucasians are in no position to be choosy as to their white allies. Nevertheless, I enjoy Instauration as it's not weasel-worded.

The intention of our enemies is to make racists into outcasts -- little separate groups of humorless ideologists whose preoccupations can easily be represented as paranoid delusions. The value of Instauration lies not only in its success in linking up rightist groups (not all of whom necessarily agree), but also in its publication of letters and articles by people who are not demoralized and have not lost their sense of humor.

Now I know where I went wrong. Instead of admiring Hitler all these years, I should have been admiring Stalin.
The Jews lived by the Talmud, called "The Tradition of the Elders" in Christ's time. Jesus specifically condemned it in Matthew 15:1-9. Jesus preached in the Jewish synagogue as well as the Hebrew temple, but that does not mean he was a Jew. Jesus also said, Rev. 2:9 and 3:9, "I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Judahites and are not but do lie and are of the synagogue of Satan." In most bibles, the word "Jew" rather than "Judahite" is used, which only adds to the confusion and perpetuates it. Where he is not called a "Jew" in the Bible, Jesus is referred to as a "Judean," that is, someone who was born or reared in Judea. The word "Judean" does not identify the race of the individual any more than the word "American" means anything other than a citizen of the United States. It will not tell you whether an individual is white, black, red, yellow or a mixture of one or more of the preceding.

Joan Little is a recidivist with an extensive criminal record. She commits first degree murder, escapes twice, commits a new crime while at large (a felony) and they parole her! She has no skills, no education, no high school diploma and a probable IQ of 85 and she will "work for a New York law firm." It pays to be black!

Your June issue, "Was Jesus Jewish?" confirms my latent suspicion. One way or another you are determined to push Hebrew Christianity. I will have none of it. Is Christianity less deadly to nationalism if Jesus wasn't a Jew? You seem maladroit or maybe you hold a death wish for your cause. If you continue in this vein, you will end up a laughing stock among your most capable sympathizers. In any event, you have totally destroyed my interest in your magazine. You are going to be minus one subscriber after two more issues.

The Einstein statue makes the old boy look like a drunk, which is more flattering than most contemporary sculpture. The statue is about on a par with most of the new federal buildings, which look like stucco warehouses. On the inside they are faithfully tacky, shabby working places symbolic of "economy in government," while billions are squandered on minorities.

The black equivalent of Playboy and Penthouse magazines has just released a special "Mothers and Daughters" number, which features a modest Negress with her child on the cover. I doubt if Hugh Heinzer has paid one tenth this much homage to the continuity and solidarity of the race which he has pictured almost exclusively in his periodical during the entire quarter century of its existence. I wonder whether he has ever done so much as to feature one healthy group of Nordic children. This is his reward for the beautiful but fast vanishing race which alone has made his millions possible.

At my place of work, the young white women fall with surprising neatness into two categories. First, there are the college educated, many with advanced degrees, quite often married but rarely with children, and pushing thirty or even thirty-five. They talk vaguely about a child or two in the future. Most of these women have IQs in the 110-130 range, are from solid families, hard working and capable themselves, with neat, slim bodies and faces. One has that rare charisma which brings societies to life: married almost ten years, she and her husband have their third car, but not their first child.

Then there is the second, more secretarial class of women, mostly with high school diplomas but no college, generally unmarried but looking hard for a ring, coming in most cases from numerically flourishing lower middle and working class families, some with eight or ten kids. These gals aren't terribly bright, but they compensate for it with their gab and their flesh. One secretary, built like a truck, had fifteen nieces and nephews before she was twenty. A sister, she explained, just "loved" being pregnant. Her family dies early, at forty or fifty, but that gives it ample time to reproduce.

One group has status and careers while the other has babies. The dysgensics goes on right before my eyes. Yet I must exercise caution in pointing out the insanity of it all to my co-workers, because many people (especially women) will fiercely defend their right to see things only on a personal level, which seemingly renders my analysis a vicious one.

The Western media loathed the Shah because his reforms threatened to promote Iran from the Third World to developed and influential status. That would mean a country no longer deep in debt and kept that way. There was also the insistence of the Shah in his autobiography on the importance of the Aryan in building Iran (a cognate word). Well, the liberals helped overthrow the Shah and now they have the Ayatollah instead. He has even started executing Jews! I guess they can't win them all.

I I find it difficult to believe in those Nordics and Alpines drinking cups of tea and politely discussing their differing racial temperaments. In my experience, other races recognize Nordic differences all right, but just don't want to admit them, since Nordic calm and judgement are associated in their mind with effortless superiority. Can you see a broad-hipped Alpine woman amicably discussing clothes with a slim Nordic one? I can't.

It is of course true that Russia is much less Nordic than the countries of northwest Europe, but another consideration also demands attention. Germany is less Nordic than Scandinavia or Holland or England. But, as has been pointed out in *Instauration*, all Germans are descended from the minority which managed to survive the appalling havoc of the Thirty Years' War. It is no accident that your Bavarian Alpine type is enormously superior to the Alpines of Bohemia and Slovakia. Nor is it an accident that your Prussian or Saxon Nordic is a whole lot tougher than the long-protected Nordics of other countries. To be sure, there are tough Anglos too, but these tend to be the offspring of emigrants, or they have been shut off from the protected, withering mass of modern-day Britons. Russia in the 20th Century has not been a country in which the weak found it easy to survive.

In my experience, other races recognize Nordic differences all right, but just don't want to admit them, since Nordic calm and judgement are associated in their mind with effortless superiority. Can you see a broad-hipped Alpine woman amicably discussing clothes with a slim Nordic one? I can't.

If Earthman does destroy himself in his struggle to obtain the "rapture," the "dictatorship of the proletariat" or any of a hundred different states of superstitious senility, that won't alter the laws of the universe one iota.

The funniest thing in the June issue was undoubtedly "Elitist Plot." You have done the same sort of hatchet job before, and very well you do it. More power to your elbow.

I receive several solicitations for new and established magazines almost every month. I always accept the offer of examining the first copy with the understanding that if I do not find it to my liking, I merely write the word "Cancel" across the face of the invoice when received and return same. It may interest you to know that in all but very few instances I do cancel and note on the flip side of the invoice that I have found the periodical interesting, but that it does not deviate from the establishment line of thought and that I have opted for *Instauration*.
Instauration fails by going too far much of the time and thus telling only 90% of the truth instead of 100%. I am perfectly willing to concede that the 90% true Instauration, which generally fails to hedge or qualify on fine points, may be exactly what we need most now tactically, thus also in terms of the survival of our race, and thus ultimately morally as well. Perhaps 90% truth is, in rare historic circumstances, preferable to 100% truth. But I have my doubts -- and not least on the purely tactical score. Some of the best people we need to reach can quickly sense when Instauration is going a bit too far, and will be all too ready to classify us as just one more biased political rag.

The article by Bilderberger (June 1979) impressed me very much. Since I've never associated with people like those described therein, my natural reaction is to doubt that they really exist. But the story has so authentic a ring to it that it could hardly be made up out of the thin air. It is, I suppose, simply my good fortune that has spared me the Toms and the Emlys.

Clauss's remarks on mimicry are most interesting. In the same connection, one should read Max Beerbohm's The Happy Hypocrite. Ronald Good in his Features of Evolution in the Flowering Plants has much to say about the "principle of mimicry" in the plant world. Ouspensky, too, in his fascinating books, apodictically postulates an imitative principle in nature.

In 1980 I hear the German Postmaster General will transmit airmail letters to the U.S. electronically. This means the Israeli government will have access to all my letters to my American friends.

Just a few lines to comment on the feminizing effect of formal education. Here in California we have a large prison industry, by that I mean law and disorder are big money politics and quite a large percentage of the population is involved. The state is formally educating a large number of white men in its state prisons, only the effect is definitely not feminizing! There are quite a few of us that the authorities will not allow within striking distance of Negroes or their fellow travelers under any circumstances whatsoever due to the many racial incidents in recent years. White inmates are coming out into the community with a new political awareness in mind and tombstones in both eyes, so take heart, ye weak and timid! The barbarian is still amongst us. His numbers are increasing as is his political enlightenment.

Prison inmate

The theory of the possible non-Jewishness of Christ is supported by Ernest Renan: "No one can say with certainty what blood ran in the veins of the Nazarene." Other scholars deny that any such person ever existed: cf. H. Raskhe's linguistic studies on the subject, outlined on p. 331 of Bernard Rensch's Biophilosophie. Even granting Christ's existence (which I find more probable than otherwise), the one absolutely certain thing is that his true teachings bear no discoverable relation to what passes for Christianity today -- and this not merely in the sense of minor points of dogma but bearing directly on the most fundamental aspects of the teaching. Far from giving the world a "democratic religion," Christ, in common with every other genuine mystic, founded a high-level esoteric school from which the masses were automatically excluded -- not by decree, but by their native incapacity to understand. (For clarification of this point see Maurice Nicholl's wonderful books, The New Man, The Mark, Living Time and Psychological Commentaries."

There is no question that 200 years from today the evolutionary ethic will be the basis of all political, economic, religious and moral thought.

Are we going to destroy ourselves in an orgy of superstitious savagery, quarreling about the insignificant neurotic nuances between Capitalism and Communism?

I am not too depressed about the relative failure of the National Front at the polls. The flash point in British race relations may still be many years away. Different peoples really have to get to know each other before they begin to loathe each other.

British subscriber

Flash! Gerald L.K. Smith is not dead! He's alive and well and writing for Instauration. Or at least that's what one might think. First "God's Battle Ax" and now "Was Jesus Jewish?" Do you really want to make Instauration into another "Sword of the Lord?" How about running a story on British Biblical scholar John M. Allegro! His The Chosen People is so revealing a history of Judaism it has been taken out of print and is virtually unobtainable. For the record, Allegro believes Jesus was neither Jew nor Gentile, but a mushroom! He contends that what has been accepted as an historical narrative is in fact a disguised drug cult based on the psychedelic mushroom and that the early Christians were the Woodstock people of their time. I hope Instauration will cease and desist from running any more stories about Mushroom Man or the cults that have grown up around him.

Every year this collection of liberals, libertarians and Jesus freaks you call "The Majority" gets kookier and kookier and kinkier and kinkier. The worse things get, the more they retreat into fantasy worlds. America is the greatest threat of all to white survival, because the nutty conservatives want to use nuclear weapons to kill off the Russians and Europeans. That way there would be no one left even vaguely able to overcome "The Rising Tide of Color."

The only realistic hope for white survival is a renewal of the German-Russian pact of 1939. Germany can supply the sophisticated technology the Russians don't seem to be able to make themselves.

Let us face it, our upper class has betrayed us. And as Earnest Sevier Cox wrote: Capitalism must be racialized.

The Anglo-Saxons civilized the world and now they are getting the stick for it. Nordic humanity gave the Prometheus Flame to the lesser breeds, and now it will pay the price.

"All know and some admire the wild genius of printers" said the anguished scientist in the pages of Science many years ago, and he went on "but surely in my article on prostate cancer the statement that castration is followed by great happiness should have read great happenings."

I am writing because, like ichthyology, the word autochthonous has a hiding "h" which escaped the printer and the word has been misspelled, middle column, p. 21, June 1978. You and Instauration cannot afford this because some of your lynx-eyed, racist opponents can spell all too well in various tongues.

The Canadian on page three of your April 1979 issue who made those wisecracks about Hänsel und Gretel and National Socialism may be interested to know that a history professor at the University of Hawaii seriously identified that story as one of the roots of the ideology of Adolf Hitler before an introductory history class in 1972.

The Deutsche Nationalzeitung has been amusing itself recently by publishing comments on the Jews made by Axel Springer during the Hitler era. The point, of course, is that his publishing house is now very much to the fore in defaming those who are guilty of revisionism about that era. So it is interesting to read his very racist comments on the Jews during the war. Pictures of the Chancellor, Schmidt, and the recent president, Schel, both in uniform and with that motivated Nazi look in their eyes, help to make the same point.

German subscriber
THREE WORLD WARS
IN ONE CENTURY?

The weeds of war are not the kind that grow ten feet high overnight. Their soil needs careful preparation, and they must be able to weather the dry spells of reason and the wet spells of pacifism. But once the weeds of war are well established, once they are well watered and well tended by a war-bent media and political machine, they are certain to prosper until one fine day, given a heavy boost of atrocity fertilizer, there is a green explosion and they take complete possession of the garden of men's minds.

So it was in World War I and World War II. So it will be in the next Middle East war, the one that may well hurl us into World War III. Listen to one cultivator of weeds, Theodore Levitt of Harvard, in a report prominently and deliberately displayed in the New York Times. Professor Levitt writes sympathetically of "a person of considerable and prudent responsibility" who describes OPEC activities as "palpable piracy" and then advocates the immediate dispatch of American troops to the Middle East. Not to Mexico, of course, nor to OPEC member Venezuela, the founding country of OPEC, but to the Middle East. The risk that Russia might object is "a sensible one to take."

Odds are that the person the Jewish professor was quoting, if it was not himself, was another Harvard academician of the same racial strain. Levitt explained that his interlocutor wanted troops sent to the Middle East to protect our oil supplies. But if oil is the only problem, then why doesn't he oppose America's past and present bankrolling of Israel's empire building in the Arab heartland? If oil is so important, then why not stop making enemies of the Arabs, who control a large portion of the world's oil?

One of our biggest oil problems has nothing to do with OPEC. This is Carter's solemn commitment to supply oil to Israel, a diplomatic promissory note that was even too much for Senator Goldwater, who noted that a bill had recently been introduced into the Senate to exempt Israel from the general ban on exporting Alaskan oil.

Oil shortages are certain to dominate the headlines for years. Media propaganda of the direct anti-PLO variety or the pro-Holocaust variety will not quiet down for decades. OPEC will certainly go on raising prices and the press will certainly keep exaggerating them. But as Charles Yost wrote in the Christian Science Monitor (July 20, 1979): "While current dollar prices of oil sold by OPEC are now nearly eight times what they were in 1973, the real price of gasoline in the U.S. in terms of 1973 dollars, according to a recent Massachusetts Institute of Technology study, has risen only 35 percent, bad enough, but hardly more than this year's rise in grain prices."

War, whether triggered by an invasion of the Middle East as recommended by Professor Levitt's friend or by another preemptive strike by Israel à la 1967 or by an Arab attack à la 1973, is just a matter of time. Serving as the arsenal of Israel, the U.S. has already played a major part in all the Arab-Israeli wars and bagarres since 1948. Its role is not likely to diminish.

When the guns sound off again, if the Russians come in on the Arab side, it is World War III. If they don't, an Israeli-American assault can only degenerate into a messy, bloody, destructive military occupation which, when the war costs are added, will boost the cost of oil higher than the greediest OPEC members would have ever dreamed.

An American military adventure in the Middle East, though it would impose a tight censorship on war news and all rational discussion about the war, might finally alert Majority members as to what is going on behind the scenes in their country. It might eventually provoke a violent or nonviolent reaction that would throw out our minority masters and their Majority minions and return the reins of power to the Northern European element of our population. Since we cannot stop the thrust toward war, let us at least rejoice that its coming will bring us closer to a final clean-up of the minority racists who have turned the U.S. and a great deal of the world into a hate-ridden madhouse.

Another impetus for war is Carter's sinking political fortunes. About the only way -- the classical way for a politician on the way down -- he can win a second term would be to involve the American people in an international shootout before the convention. Our ears would then be deafened with appeals "not to change horses in the middle of the stream." This was the shibboleth that worked so well with FDR in his third and fourth reelection races. If Carter resorted to the cliche, it should be modified to read, "Don't change asses in the middle of the stream."

The moderate Arab states have asked the U.S. to talk to the PLO. The State Department, fearful of the Jewish backlash, still refuses, even to the extent of sacrificing Carter's black frontman, Andrew Young, at the altar of Jewish racism. A proud, supposedly independent nation like the U.S. is forbidden by a bankrupt, tenth-rate army to talk to one of the major parties in the Middle Eastern conflict. As Juvenal would say, iltic heu miseri traducmur!

To show how the Carter White House is thinking: the Pentagon has been ordered to organize a 110,000-man contingency force to be sent to various areas of the world in case of trouble. It is understood by every Washington insider that the Middle East is the almost certain target of this force.

When the going gets too rough at home, desperate politicians can easily stir up trouble abroad, particularly when they have a mobile strike force ready for instant action overseas. Carter, needlessly to say, is becoming more desperate every day. Equally desperate is Israel with its horrendous inflation rate, its inability to attract enough immigrants from the ranks of world Jewry and its declining influence in most of the nations of the world, except South Africa and the U.S.

The explosive combination of a desperate Carter and a desperate Begin may well produce a chain reaction of events that would plunge the U.S. into a third world conflict in less than a century.
EXISTENTIAL REALISM

Western man’s most persistent difficulties have centered around his striving to attain a valid self-conceptualization, that is, to see himself as he really is and not as he imagines or would like himself to be. Man’s attempts to gain this knowledge of Self and to relate it to his being-in-the-world have been continually hindered by his own ignorance and subjectivity. Only recently has the rise of the scientific-naturalistic outlook and the accompanying wealth of data enabled man to obtain a better understanding of Nature’s order and to acquire the proper means to gain deeper insights into the human phenomenon. Equipped with these aids, the critically thinking individual seeks to constantly reinforce a frame of mind regarding existential matters that is uncompromisingly realistic, in order to fearlessly confront the problems of individual identity, purpose and being.

Identity

The essence of individual identity must be perceived within the overall context of human identity. This perception must include a conceptual de-divinization of man, who is to be recognized not as some sort of “sacred” being magically imbued with “dignity” and “rights,” but as a member (albeit the most advanced) of the animal kingdom, subject to the rules of that kingdom. Notions of self-identity must incorporate a cognizance of the part of the individual’s overall biological heritage has played in the generation of his own inclinations and attitudes. In personal terms, the Majority member must understand that life necessitates a continuous effort toward honest self-assessment with the aim of garnering self-mastery and asserting the will-to-power.

Purpose

The why of individual existence is an aspect of the all inclusive why of material existence itself. The answers reside in the distant past with the interaction of energy and matter which formed this planet, with the complex chemical processes which produced life, and with the evolution of simple organisms into more complex forms culminating in the rise of our race and on the individual level in the emergence of the man of genius.

But perhaps this only indicates “how” and not why.

More to the point, it must be stated that the existence of the individual has no more objective purpose than does the existence of any other physical construct. He must therefore abandon all philosophies, be they theistic or humanistic, which attempt to explain reality by reference to immanent purposes, ultimate causes or predetermined ends. He must endeavor instead to create his own raison d’être to give his existence focus and dimension.

Being

The question of Being usually takes the Heideggerian form, “Why is there something rather than nothing at all?” The obvious reply is, “There is nothing because there is something.” To speculate on why this is so seems rather futile. The dogmatic materialists, rationalists and theologians aside, the ultimate questions regarding the fundamental reasons for Being (if they are valid questions in the first place) may never be satisfactorily answered. At any rate, we should not pointlessly question or bewail the structure of reality, but rather seek to understand and dynamically interact with it.

Of paramount relevance to a philosophy of Being is the further realization that the Self is but a brief transition from and to nothingness, that the span of its existence is an insignificant, inconsequential instant in eternity. Indeed, were the earth itself with all the self-important individuals on it to be obliterated tomorrow, the cosmic functions would continue unimpaired. The universe could get along perfectly well without us.

The Challenge of Nihilism

Only the nay sayer, the coward and the weakling are overwhelmed and dismayed by recognition of the ultimate reality of nothingness confronting the individual. As existential realists we should joyfully accept this awareness, seeing it not as a dead end of pessimism and gloom, but as a shining path to freedom! For thus enlightened, we transcend moronic moralisms and proceed unhindered toward the realization of necessity and the objectification of our will.

Simultaneously, we must avoid one-dimensionality in our assessment of our being-in-the-world. An understanding of the duality of the Self comes about -- a duality reflected in the nature of man as an individual and as a racially social creature.

The individual is not just an “individual” -- some self-sustaining atom of consciousness popping into existence out of a vacuum. The individual’s unique physical, psychological and spiritual qualities are produced by a higher entity: race! Individuality (or more accurately, personality) is a function of race. No individual is so “individual” as to nullify his composite racial characteristics. And since race is a social or collective phenomenon, the individual is further distinguished as a social animal who can achieve self-actualization only within a natural community of racial kin.

Therefore, we must analyze existential problems from the racial-collective perspective as well as from the individual standpoint. The truth thus emerges that the individual does indeed stand before the abyss of nothingness. As a racial element, however, the individual is the embodiment of a historic genetic community which can pass on the essence of its being to future generations. In this sense, the individual is immortal.

Consequently, the individual can assert his will-to-power only under the aegis of the collectivity. In other words, real power is a collective or group attribute. The individual has power only when he surrenders part of his individuality and merges his Self into a group power-pattern. Power is exercised as an expression of collective will over the Self and over other Selves. Ultimately it is imposed upon and alters material reality -- either in a foolish and inevitably futile effort to “dominate Nature” (as the arrogant, self-deceiving liberals and Marxists would have it), or in an organic manner, working with Nature and applying her laws.

But what happens when one surveys the contemporary situation and sees the vital basis of Western man’s collective will
power, the race itself, being subverted and pushed toward oblivi‌on? A new, virulent species of nihilism may then arise.

We must bypass the nihilism of the spiritually weak and recognize the nihilism of the Underman for what it is -- a will-to-destroy born of inferiority and envy. There is, however, another kind of nihilism: one evolved out of the realization that all the really important things in life -- those which spring from healthy instincts, sound values and cultural vitality -- have been undermined and are rotting away. For in a world without these racially derived fundamentals which provide the possibility of a meaningful, creative existence for both the individual and the community, we are left with literally nothing. The response may be despair, resignation, or, for the more dynamic, a retaliatory will to annihilate the residual decadence.

In fact this nihilistic reaction grows out of an erroneous quanti‌tative view. The very real threat of great multitudes of our kinsmen, or even the vast majority of the Folk itself drowning in degeneration and genetic impoverishment need not lead to utter hopelessness. We must think qualitatively, and know that if only a minute but ideologically purified remnant of the Folk can survive corruption and extinction, then, despite the numerical smallness of its seed, our community and culture will someday flower again. A future world in which Western civilization has collapsed and which is populated by raceless masses wallowing in a morass of misery, chaos and death due to the deprivation of the support‌ive benefits of Western technology, would pose no unmanageable threat to even a fragment of a revitalized, determined Folk. History provides ample evidence of the awesome, earth-shaking power of dedicated, unyielding minorities; the question before us is whether the Folk will struggle to win its liberation now or in the future when the odds against us will be even greater. There is no alternative to this struggle; biological survival is guaranteed to no one.

And what of the danger of outright nuclear physical extermina‌tion due to nuclear holocaust, ecological suicide, or some unforeseen cosmic catastrophe? There is but one answer -- and it supercedes all rationalist and intellectual agrumentation. Heroism is an indispensable facet of existential outlook -- a heroism which propels the Folk-community forward to fulfill its inner imperatives. Existential realism is a power/survival ethic, a mental and spirit‌ual toughening which frees its adherents from the devitalizing in‌fluence of sickly, false ideas, values and institutions. Its method, inspired by Nietzsche, is to "philosophize with a hammer," and its aim is to prepare our Folk and its leadership stratum for the im‌pending future forecast by Spengler:

The time will come, if it is not already here, when there will be no more room for gentle souls and weak ideals. Age-old barbarism, hidden and fettered for centuries beneath the formal strength of high culture, will reawaken...that warlike, sound joy in one's own strength...the will of the strong, the sound instincts, the folk, the will to own and to control.

The above article, slightly edited for a larger audience, was taken from The Odinist, P.O. Box 1647, Crystal River, FL 32629.

Oswald Spengler
No more room for gentle souls and weak ideals

Magic Number

What is there about the number Six Million? It's not just the number of Jews we are told -- and retold -- and retold -- that Hitler did away with. It seems to be almost everywhere.

The oldest use I've seen of it is a statement in the Augustan History that Lucius Verus spent six million sesterces on a party. Elizabeth Dilling in The Red Network claims that there were six million Communists in Germany before Hitler took over. Joseph McCabe in The History of the Popes declares that six million Italians left the Roman Catholic Church during the papacy of Leo XIII and that there were no (and probably less) than six million Catholics in France when Leo died.

W. E. B. DuBois, who wanted so badly to be a Negro, says in his autobiography (p. 406) that there are six million rising (uplift?) Negroes in America. Someone with more negritude, Michele Wallace, writes in Black Macho and the Myth of the Superwoman there are six million families of her kind (the female-headed kind?). A recent edition of Merlin Carrothers' Prison to Praise boasts that six million copies of it have already been sold. The Apostles of Infinite Love in their Signs of the Times (p. 80), quote an anonymous pornographer as saying that he expects six million people to watch his film, "Helga and Michael," now that a Roman Catholic priest has endorsed it. Six million Jews may or may not have died in the Holocaust, but six million Jews allegedly live in America, as well as six million transcendental meditators, depressive psychotics, mental retardates and Universal Life Church ministers.

There was a TV series called "The Six Million Dollar Man." Actress Kate Jackson, according to the Star (March, 1979), is signing a six-million-dollar deal with ABC. Some thieves, says the National Enquirer (April 17, 1979), made off with six million bucks in money and jewelry. Cheddi Jagan, a pre-independence premier of Guyana, writes in The West On Trial (p. 196) he spent the equivalent of six million Yankee dollars on geographical surveys when in power in the early 1960s. Leo Herskowitz in Tweed's New York asserts that the Boss was sued for six million dollars for his alleged profiteering in building a courthouse. A fictional comic-stripper in the National Lampoon newspaper parody says he makes six million of the long green things each year. Then there is Julius Vogel, a Jewish politician in New Zealand who, according to Keith Sinclair's Penguin History of New Zealand, offered six million acres of land as collateral for a loan.

And now Instauration ("Who Will Stand Up For Rhodesia?", March 1979) is going in for the magic number. It mentions six million Negroes in Rhodesia and that Muhammad Ali is saying whites are angry because "Negroes are counting six million dollars." "The Three Tongues of Mexican-Americans" (Instauration, March 1979) reports six million legal Mexican citizens in the United States. The April issue had an item about reducing the estate of one Weinstein from ten million dollars to six million.

There must be some occult significance to all this.
The Khazar theory is dead, if A.E. Mourant, one of the world's foremost experts in blood group analysis, is correct. In a recent book *The Genetics of Jews* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1978, $32), Mourant and two other noted geneticists reported their findings of blood group tests on thousands of individual Jews in Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. They came to the conclusion that a strain of genetic homogeneity runs through Jewry, which should not come as a surprise to any but unreconstructed Boasites and votaries of Margaret Mead. Disagreeing with the old anthropological saw that Jewish communities bear more resemblance to their host populations than to each other, Mourant, et al then went on to spring a real surprise -- the assertion that Jews as a group show evidence of a Negro admixture of from 5% to 10%. The Negro genes were apparently introduced as a result of mating with black slaves and black concubines as far back as the days of the Pharaohs and the Ptolemies in Egypt. Centuries later they must have miscegenated with North African peoples before moving into Spain with the Moslems.

As for the Khazar theory, though it is very handy for those who like to pretend that today's Jews are not yesterday's and that the ancient, true blue Hebrews have disappeared or reappeared as Scythians, Britons and Mayans, it is awash in hogwash. The main evidence for it is a mysterious epistle from King Joseph, the king or Kagan of the Khazars, to a Spanish Jewish "statesman" telling about the conversion of his ancestor, King Bulan, to Jewry. The letter, written four hundred years after the event, recounts that Bulan in A.D. 740 heard the arguments of spokesmen for the three major religions and after due consideration chose Judaism -- together with all his subjects. It's a pretty tale and may have no more factual content than a *New York Times* story about Auschwitz.

The Khazar hypothesis, most recently promoted by the ex-Communist and now vitalist Arthur Koestler, is belied by Mourant's blood tests of modern Jews, which show that even in Russia there have been very few Khazar additions to the Jewish gene pool, except for a heretical sect, the Karaites, and an orthodox sect in the Crimea. What the blood tests did indicate was a common Near Eastern origin for Jewry. In Mourant's own words:

Looking at the complete blood group picture of either the Ashkenazim or the Sephardim separately, one may observe that neither of these populations resemble closely the people among whom they now or recently have lived, and the range of variation between separate samples of the Ashkenazim compared with one another and the Sephardim compared with one another, is so small that we can be sure that each is essentially a single population group.

When, however, we compare Ashkenazim with Sephardim we find that there are indeed systematic differences between them. But these are so small that we can hardly avoid the conclusion that the two populations have a common origin, and a common original blood group picture, only slightly modified in one direction or another by their different histories since separation.

Mourant's encyclopedic survey comprises tests based on the major blood group systems, particularly the ABO, as well as more special forms of analysis provided by plasma proteins, red cell enzymes and other genetic markers. The study contains 47 pages of tables. The mass of evidence makes it difficult to continue to entertain a Khazar or Mongoloid origin for Eastern European Jewry and even harder to maintain that Jews, in spite of their varied physical appearance, do not have a common ancestry. The Negro admixture, needless to say, opens up an intriguing new dimension in the study of Jewish racial history.

Another book with some interesting information on Jewish genetics is *The Myth of the Jewish Race* by Raphael Patai and Jennifer Patai Wing (Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, 1975). As the title suggests, the book contains the usual racial disclaimers, while alluding to Jewish mental superiority and making other Jewish supremacist statements, as the Holocaust motif wails in the background. One edifying section, however, is devoted to "genetic distances" which, based on the frequency of certain selected genes, measure the innate similarities or dissimilarities of various races, subraces or population groups. Here are some examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Distances Between Non-Jewish Groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Negroses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eskimos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egyptian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Negroses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwegians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Whites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Whites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Distances Between Jews and Non-Jews</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North African</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iranian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sephardi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East European</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is a strange siege when the besieged, after only a quarter-hearted attempt to guard the ramparts, allow the besiegers to stream over the walls without a fight. It is a strange siege when the commander-in-chief of the besieged appoints a blood relation of the most numerous invaders to command the force manning the walls. It is an even stranger siege when only a fraction of those who storm the citadel are rounded up and deported and the others are rewarded with welfare, educations for their children, medical care and other accoutrements of citizenship.

Such is the siege of the United States, which is now undergoing an assault by land (Mexicans), by sea (Caribbean Negroes) and by air and sea (Asians and Soviet Jews). The media call it a humanitarian rescue operation of the poor and the oppressed. It might more accurately be called a racial invasion which, if not stopped soon, will ultimately reduce the American Majority to minority status. In this respect it is not reassuring to know that ex-Representative Joshua Eilberg, one of the more dishonest members of Congress and one of the few that have been brought to justice, for many years headed the House subcommittee which has jurisdiction over the Immigration Bureau. It is less reassuring to know that Senor Leonel Castillo is Immigration Commissioner. Unless the laws of human behavior have changed in the last few years, wolves do not make the best shepherds. Even though Castillo is resigning, perhaps to run for mayor of Houston, it will do little good. His most likely successor is Mario Noto, presently being investigated for accepting payments to let in unwanted aliens.

The siege has been mounted by minority levelers, aided and abetted by rootless Majority renegades and political opportunists. There is a law on the books to stop it, but the liberal-minority coalition seldom enforces laws it doesn’t like. If anything, they are honored in the breach.

The immigration flood has been caused and swelled by the venality, stupidity and cowardice of Washington politicians. Since the White House doesn’t seem to care, illegals as well as some legals rely on false papers, false promises of support from previous immigrants, and unconsummated marriages to U.S. citizens. One Florida prostitute has been married some twenty times in the last few years so her immigrant spouses could obtain legal residence permits. The Attorney General uses the ploy known as “parole” to go around the immigration laws and admit “political refugees,” a special class of immigrants limited by statute to 10,200 annually. Despite this limit, however, the recent average has been 17,400 annually and it may soon rise to 50,000. But these are only the nonemergency cases which have been tacked on to the legal inflow of 400,000. To make matters worse, there are the emergency cases -- the hordes of boat people from Vietnam, who may eventually total more than a million. Most are not Vietnamese, but so-called “ethnic Chinese,” the parasitic traders who are often called the Jews of Southeast Asia. They monopolize commerce, care nothing about their country of residence and have furnished the cadres and the finances for much of the area’s Marxist agitation, which has now been turned against them. The recent Chinese invasion of Vietnam exacerbated the feelings of the Vietnamese for these strangers in their midst. One would think their logical refuge would be China and Taiwan, but these nations have so far been willing to accept only a token number. And they won’t take any of the non-Chinese refugees, among them Catholic and middle-class Vietnamese, as well as some Viet Cong guerrillas who fought against American troops not too many years ago. Incredibly, these recent killers of Americans are quite acceptable to the Washington establishment. So are the Soviet Jews now pouring into the country in record numbers. More than 25,000 are expected this year, about 3% of them veteran Communist party members.

Each immigrant, black, brown or white, brings with him or her a built-in demand for additional energy in an energy-short country. One Canadian professor has stated that every immigrant adds 64 barrels of oil each year to the energy requirements of his new homeland. Every immigrant capable of work also makes it more difficult for millions of American unemployed to get a job. What’s worse, handing out more welfare payments and food stamps spreads the blight of inflation.

We’ll know a little more about what has been happening to this country in 1980, when the Census Bureau will attempt to count the new bodies -- as well as the old. It may even overcount the minorities, since the blacks and browns have been complaining about being short-changed by previous Census counts. Since a census taker often takes his life in his hands in minority ghettos and barrios, how the count can be accurate will have to be left up to Mr. Plotnick, the head of the Census Bureau, who is not necessarily the most qualified man for the job, since he comes from a race which has traditionally opposed any census of its own ranks.

The Census Bureau says there are now more than 12 million Hispanics in the U.S. -- 7.2 million Mexicans, 1.8 million Puerto Ricans, 700,000 Cubans and 2.4 million from other areas of Latin America. These figures do not include the uncounted millions of illegals. The Census report also indicated the Hispanic growth rate in the U.S. to be 8.5% -- 3% by natural increase and legal immigration, the rest by illegal immigration. The Environmental Fund in a recent report questioned this estimate and said it may really be as high as 12%, in which case Hispanics would actually comprise a majority of the U.S. population in 32 years. Then the U.S. population would total 500 million, of which 40 million would be bona-fide citizens of Latin-American origin and 209 million would be illegals or the descendants of illegals.

To do something about immigration rather than to pretend to do something about it, President Carter appointed four “public members” of the Select Committee on Immigration and Refugee Policy. They were: (1) Reubin Askew, former governor of Florida, the son of Leo Goldberg Askew of Iowa; (2) Rose Matsui Ochi, the Japanese secretary of Los Angeles Negro mayor Tom Bradley; (3) Joaquin Francisco Otero, born in Cuba and, although naturalized only nineteen years ago, the international vice president of the Brotherhood of Railway and Airline Clerks; (4) Cruz Reynoso,
associate justice of the Third Appellate District in Sacramento.

In the light of its make-up, the committee, which includes various Majority and minority members of the Carter cabinet and Congress, certainly cannot be expected to be too enthusiastic about preserving the Northern European component of the population. In fact, it seems almost designed to speed up the darkening of America's racial complexion. One of the committee's more recent recommendations has been to raise the quota of refugees admitted each year to 50,000 and to broaden their status by no longer limiting them to escapees from communism and the Middle East. Refugees are the immigrants for whom America must pay all travel expenses as well as setting them up in households in the U.S.

Hispanic Traits

We have all heard much -- too much -- about the trials and tribulations of blacks in the last few decades. But information about Hispanics has been scanty. All in all, it is the same sorry litany of minority "behindism." In education Chicano children are about a year behind the Anglos by the time they reach the eighth grade. In high school the Hispanic dropout rate is almost double that of whites. Just as blacks push their demands to have "black English" recognized in public schools, Chicanos, aided and abetted by white minority lawyers, have forced bilingual education on some Western states. Race, language, education -- all the underpinnings of Western culture -- are under attack.

Cesar Chavez, the class-agitating strike leader, is still at the same old stand of sowing hatred between migrant workers and white farmers. Now 52 years old, he still gets along on three hours' sleep, meditates, practices yoga and eats no meat, not even any dairy product, except cheese. In his lecture strike one person was killed last year, yet Chavez is hailed as a pacifist by the media, which is not too surprising since his press relations have always been handled by a gentleman named Marc Grossman.

Chavez seems relatively unconcerned about helping his people where it would do the most good -- in the lower Rio Grande region, for example, where Mexicans are now a 70-80% majority. Most of them live in filthy "colonias," communities of unpaved streets and nonexistent sewage systems, where for $10 down and $10 a month legal and illegal aliens can buy a homesite and, unrestricted by zoning laws, build whatever kind of lean-to or shack they desire. In these animal-like dwellings the occupants live, love and breed, just as they would do in old Mexico. Nothing is new except the television sets. As the "colonias" spread, the whites retreat. Even Mexican diseases take root, such as viral hepatitis, dysentery, typhoid and stomach parasites. (The boat people have different diseases, including TB and different types of parasites.)

Will the land be lost forever to the West? Only a counterinvasion would reclaim it -- something not likely to be undertaken by a degenerate, soft-skinned and fearful people like America's present-day whites.

Politics

So far Hispanics have not been able to match the "political progress" of blacks. In Los Angeles, where they now comprise 28% of the population, there is no Mexican-American on the city council. Only four Chicanos sit in the California State Legislature, only five in the House of Representatives in Washington. Now that the scandal-scarred Montoya has left the Senate for the Great Beyond, no senator is a Mexican. But all this is going to change now that the Beverly Hills Cadillac crowd is getting into the act. Carter has already announced he wants to give amnesty to all illegals who can prove they were working in the U.S. before January 1, 1970. The Chicanos want more -- much more. They want total amnesty for the undocumentedos and they want a permanently "open border." They want, in short, a Mexican Southwest, which (they hope) would lead to a Mexican West, and might in time lead to a Mexican United States.

The racism to fuel the upcoming Chicano political campaign is not in short supply. Jose Angel Gutierrez, founder of the Raza Unida party, which occasionally flaunts the slogan, "Kill the Gringo," is still very active. In a less violent vein, Cruz Reynoso, the judge previously referred to as a member of the Select Committee on Immigration, asserts, "any notion that this country is a country of white people is not only factually incorrect, but also morally incorrect." The Census Bureau should take note, since it classifies Mexicans, including Justice Reynoso, as whites.

The wishes of the overwhelming number of white and even black Americans about the mounting tide of immigration are, of course, ignored. It is considered a racial issue, so it supersedes the desires of the Majority as does forced busing, arms to Israel and affirmative action. In 1977 a Roper poll indicated 90% of the population favored drastic action against illegal aliens. Yet no drastic action is in sight. Illegal aliens are being treated ever more gently as more and more flow in. The widely advertised concerns of the Carter regime for the boat people, plus the use of American warships to pick them up, is increasing rather than diminishing their numbers.

If the stream of Hispanic (Mestizo) genes becomes a raging indamnable torrent, it will wash all the Nordic and Alpine genes out of the Southwest. Some Majority institutions will probably remain and in a perverse and distorted manner be adapted to the way of life of the new occupants. In one sense the population change would not be too much of a loss. The disappearance of the Hollywood and Beverly Hills carrion would be a step forward in civilization, even if the replacements were Bushmen.

Mexico City already has 20 million inhabitants. The Los Angeles of the 21st century may contain as many or more Mexicans, particularly since the Mexican government and Mexicans on both sides of the fluid, borderless border are making practically no effort to curb the soaring birthrate or the flight to the north. The Catholic Church maintains its bitter feud against all contraceptive devices. In Mexico itself abortion is considered almost a capital offense. As the former black Lt. Governor of California, Mervyn Dymally, who was second in command of the crumbling Far Western ramparts, predicted -- the nation's most populous state may become by 1990 the country's first "Third World" state, since whites may then represent only 38% of the California population. He indicated at the time there might well be an "Asian governor, black attorney general and Chicano controller" in Sacramento. This may well be a form of statistical exaggeration, like the prediction of an Hispanic majority in thirty-two years, but the trend is all in this direction.

Cultural Enrichment

The newly discovered bonanza of Mexican oil may soon become a weapon in the racial war. Nigeria recently nationalized the British petroleum interest in a Nigerian oil cartel in retaliation for the Thatcher government's presumed leaning toward the
recognition of the Muzorewa regime in Rhodesia. Britain then turned against Muzorewa and demanded new elections. In a decade or so, when and if Mexican oil has become a prime U.S. energy source, the Mexican government might decide that the U.S. was not being “fair” to Hispanics. On that day the American Majority may wake up and find that as a result of blackmail from the south it now has not just another privileged minority on its hands, but a superprivileged minority.

Legal and illegal Mexicans lend themselves easily to vote fraud. It was the ballots of 203 “dead” Mexicans that won a crucial senatorial election for Lyndon Johnson. As a modern historian writes:

The illegal Mexican will continue to be an increasing power in border-line election contests, and may decide a great many elections in five to eight Western states and have some effect in more distant places like Chicago, which is full of Latinos, and has long been noted for corrupt elections. Kennedy’s close vote count in 1960 was given a significant boost by the population of two Chicago cemeteries. After the election, a special prosecutor in Cook County filed contempt of court charges against 677 persons involved in ballot miscounts or who were unqualified voters or accused of improperly influencing voters. All the charges were dismissed by a Democratic judge imported from East St. Louis. In 1972, 112 of those persons involved in the 1960 frauds were again working for the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners as election officials in the midterm election. Some have claimed Carter stole the 1976 election the same way, with troops of Mexican illegals and Afros in northern cities working their way from one precinct to another.

We hear from Harvard economists that Hispanics are playing a very important role in the American economic order. They do stoop labor, they serve as busboys, dishwashers, porters, garbage collectors, etc. The truth, as always, is a little different. Only 8% perform jobs that are beneath the “dignity” of blacks and other Americans. Ninety-two percent hold white- and blue-collar jobs that could just as easily be filled by American citizens whose un-employment rate is supposed to rise to more than 8% of the work force next year.

The subject of this article has really not been immigration, but the racial transformation of a nation that was once a bulwark of the West, a country that started out at the beginning of the century as one kind of a state and will end the century as an entirely different kind of state. We are talking about the metamorphosis of a country that in only 100 years will have moved from the First World to the Third World. The Northern Europeans who came to America did wonders in building the nation, but they don’t know how to keep and preserve it. It’s the same story as that of Greece and Rome (perhaps the same story as that of Ancient Egypt and Sumer) replayed on a vaster scale and at a vastly accelerated timetable. Majority Americans created riches such as no people ever dreamed of. Many of the other peoples of the earth, incapable of little more than bare survival, preferred to go to the country where the pot of gold existed, rather than to build up their own homelands. Unfortunately, these vast migrations destroy the very thing the immigrants came to enjoy, destroy it at the expense of the creators and realizers of the dream. Wherever they go, those who feed exclusively off others eventually must feed off themselves.

There is still a possibility that Majority members will wake up, dam the dykes and remain the walls of their shrinking territory in time. Economic woes, racial massacres in the big cities, a minority-instigated war in the Middle East or Russian military aggression may force them to see the writing on the wall. It may be that racial death when it stares Majority members right in the face will finally force them to put their great talents to work for their own race instead of wasting them on other races.

The next few decades will tell the tale. Whether our children and grandchildren -- the few we produce -- will grow up in a Latin American country as Spanish-speaking Catholics or Castro-type Leninists, or whether they will grow up in the culture and civilization that brought America into being.

THE ELFIN ESTHETIC

Europe has a folk memory of a fair-haired, fair-complexioned people of skill, polished manner and strong blood ties. They were the Elves.

Medieval and modern writers from Spenser to J.R.R. Tolkien drew on these myths to tell of a race called the Faeries (the Fair). Dolmens in Brittany, some of the oldest monuments of man, are still called Fairy stones. The half-Elfin men of Tolkien’s work, whom he called Dunedain, were derived from a historic European migrant group known as the Tuatha de Dannann, the Tribe of the Goddess Dana (possibly the Indo-European Dianai). Settling in Ireland, the Tuatha eventually blended into a more numerous later migration. The succeeding island strain recalled their Tuatha ancestors in wistful myths of a graceful, aloof, distant breed.

The converse of the Elf memories is the north European folk tale of the Trolls. The Scandinavian and Germanic peoples were formed by a mix of culturally advanced Indo-Europeans of the Hallstatt (Nordic) physical type from the Continent and residual groups of broad-bodied subarctic hunters in Scandinavia. The Hallstatt physique is slender, tall, light-haired and light-eyed, with a dolicocephalic (long narrow) head and high forehead. Hallstatts exhibited extremes of sexual dimorphism or variation in bone and muscle build between the sexes. Hallstatt females have the usual reduction in bone and hard muscle, but less reduction in soft muscle and tissue, giving them a sharper delineation of form and feature. The Hallstatt type, though now generally submerged throughout Europe, is still considered the “aristocratic type” and is at the top of the human esthetic value system of much of the world.

But anthropologists also cite Scandinavia and West Ireland as loci of genetic trait re-emergence and of so-called “throwbacks” of early types of modern man. We find the Elf versus Troll legends in the same areas. And the same parts of northern Europe have given rise to recurring legends of the Bute, the slayer of the delicate and fair, and of the latter’s children. Schubert composed his lieder The ErlKönig, about a supernatural being that murdered children, after hearing the story in a Scandinavian tavern. The theme of these recurring fears and legends helps explain the fate and genetic submergence of ancient “Elf” stocks.
Nineteenth century French diplomat and traveler Joseph Arthur de Gobineau propounded a strongly aristocratic and ethnocentric north European theory of human quality. He insisted that a natural blood aristoi could literally be seen in those who were of refined manner, fair coloration and delicate regularity of what anthropologists call “reduced features.” Though Gobineau was a titled aristocrat, his prescription for true nobility was not the fortune of birth, but refinement of manner and feature. Thus 3,000 years after the origin of the Elfin legends, an amateur dealing with the human quality standards defined an elite breed in the same words that describe Elfin throwbacks.

Two technical terms for the psychological tests and appearance of the mythological and viscerally recognized Elfin human elite are fetalization and infantilization. These are important evolutionary processes whereby a species’ young retain into adulthood such fetal and infantile physical and behavioral patterns as play and curiosity. The cute and cuddly family dog with its bent ears and fluffy fur is an infantilized species. Labrador Retrievers were developed by fishermen as work animals who lived in close domestic proximity to people, hence they were also influenced by genetic selection for status as pets. The Samoyed dog and the Arabian horse were bred in a nearly identical fashion. All three breeds became very intelligent subspecies, relatively gentle and fond of children. All three breeds present the viewer with reduced features or friendly faces.

“Cuteness” is a quality for which individuals select in certain psychological tests. Judging by the cuteness engendered when various people in various cultures select for intelligence and companionship in animals, this cross-cultural esthetic seems to be based in our genes, recapitulating evolutionary natural selection for the behavioral aspects of infantilization. By this process our ancestors progressed from apelike hominids to modern man. The infantilization esthetic boils down to an innate preference for physical traits that supposedly represent signs of high genetic quality. Man is an infantilized species. The infant chimpanzee skull, the child Australopithecus Taung’s skull, and the adult human skull all strongly resemble one another. A primate embryo’s central nervous system starts its motoric, neocortical, skeletal and pigmentation development long after conception. The retention of a fetal or infantile physique well into adult life indicates a diversion of the nervous system’s attention to neocortical development. Psychomotoric precocity at birth correlates significantly with later mental precocity.  

John Alcock, in his fundamental text Animal Behavior, shows that the genetic quality of an individual group in several sexually “choosy” species stands out in some trait for which the opposite sex has developed a selection preference. He also demonstrates that on psychological tests human subjects almost invariably prefer the most childlike, most unrealistically cute sketch portraits of man or animal. These large, disproportionate heads are the extreme case of the trend from chimpanzee to man, with big crania and high prominent foreheads, of which the Hallstatt type became the prime example.

Many scholars, notably Robert C. Nichols and Raymond B. Cattell in the U.S. and Hans J. Eysenck in Britain, have posited a strongly hereditary component for many significant personality traits, which, by the way, have a high correlation with intelligence. These traits include (1) social conscience and (2) the ability to internalize societal norms and inhibitions. The low end of the distributions for these two traits correlate negatively with intelligence and positively with repeated illegitimate reproduction. The overall list of generally heritable traits has an average heritability of about .40. Such traits combine to define the genetic quality standing of an individual or group.

The division of subspecies into new, divergent species is called cladogenesis. The division of two subspecies into different species while they still occupy adjacent or partly overlapping territories is called sympatric cladogenesis. This process requires the reduction and ultimate elimination of social interactions leading to mating between the two incipiently separate species. This process of speciation is a precondition to, not a product of, the development of physiological bars to interspecies mating. Closely related animal species, including primates, have been observed to cross-mate on rare occasions, but they remain separate because of social and esthetic barriers. Prison inmates of the most asocial, disoriented type do not manifest ethnocentric identity. Such interactions are most common among the more nearly normal inmates. Humanity has gone through this process of sympatric cladogenesis repeatedly since the origin of the hominid line.

Alcock mentioned esthetics and behavioral guides as influences on speciation, though the late British anthropologist Sir Arthur Keith had developed the same concept more explicitly; namely that before an ape subspecies of protohumans can become a distinct new species of Homo, there must be a strong esthetic and mating behavioral guide, almost an animal quasi-racialism, that prohibits cross-mating with neighboring subspecies.

So, in a process akin to that of other individual sexually “choosy” species, the human esthetic in regard to external features reflects existing internal qualities. And a species' esthetic is a function of evolution. To the degree that it restricts mating in an advanced subspecies to within that group, or to the most advanced members of other groups, it facilitates the improvement of the subspecies, the protection of its advancement, and its formation into a new specifics.

It follows that during each phase of our hominid ancestors’ cladogenesis and sympatric evolution, our more manlike “Elfin” an-
cestors such as the proto-Cro-Magnons showed signs of an advanced genetic standing, which induced feelings of desire, inferiority and a violent envy in their less advanced "Troll" contemporaries like the late Neanderthal.

An advanced group, including one moving toward sym pathetic clado genesis, attains more complex social and economic structure partly through expanded intragroup altruism and benevolence. These free the group from dependence upon threats of violence and dominance contests for communication and organization. But it remains vital to the group's survival and further development that the species' esthetic and resistance to exogamy or crossbreeding separate the advanced from their less able contemporaries. Otherwise, a highly advanced group can be destroyed by its own altruism. One such group is put into proximity to a less well-endowed group, whether by invasion, immigration or other causes, many members of the advanced group may embrace the retarded and less capable in the belief they are merely environmentally disadvantaged.

Sociologist Edward C. Banfield asserts that a pattern can be observed in the history of slum populations in which subnormals frighten away normals, expand, and then have no one to mate except other subnormals. The next generation is then too debilitated to face natal and child rearing demands and the slum depopulates. Banfield suggests this cycle took place in 19th century East London and West Central Manhattan.

It is an observed effect that people marry "assortatively." Like has the habit of marrying like. The IQs of spouses correlate to within about ten points of one another. Robert C. Whitaker observes that the middle class sets its family size at the number it can support to college age. The greater the tax burden borne by the middle class in paying welfare reproduction subsidies to the lowest class, the fewer children the middle class will have. And the payment of welfare money without preconditions limiting reproduction accelerates the Banfield effect. Whitaker's "law" and the negation of Banfield's "law" make present day assimilation in the U.S. a descending spiral.

Assimilation, in essence, is intergroup marriage and the genetic amalgamation of one group with another via assortative mating. In a mixing of groups, the upper range of the less endowed will marry into the lower and middle range of the norm group. This runs counter to an important genetic and statistical phenomenon, that is true for low-IQ members of a high-IQ gene pool. Therefore assimilation can variant of the Latin American Castillian aristocracy or north India's Brahmanate.

Given the interaction of the species esthetic, the retarded group reaction continuum from envy to violence, and the vulnerability of advanced groups to the Erlikönig phenomenon, we must be prepared to be confronted by the sad evidence of populations of submerged "Elfin" in the past, and by other such in our future. Populations fated, as Tolkien has his Elf leader Lady Galadriel say, to face Nature and child rearing demands and the slum depopulates. Banfield suggests this cycle took place in 19th century East London and West Central Manhattan. It is an observed effect that people marry "assortatively." Like


Nominations Open for Majority Renegade of the Year

In its January 1977 issue Instauration inaugurated what was supposed to be an annual feature -- Majority Renegade of the Year. The subject, fittingly was John Wesley (Weasel) Dean III. Then for one reason or another, perhaps a surfeit of interesting articles, the idea was allowed to lapse. It is now time to revive it. There will be a cover story on the Majority Renegade of the Year in the January 1980 issue. Nominations are open.
How many TV docudramas have you seen lately that have shown atrocities committed against Germans? We would venture to say next to none. Now that a new raft of anti-Nazi sagas are being readied for your edification this fall, including a rerun of NBC’s “Holocaust,” we also venture to say that your TV diet is not likely to change.

But the great wall of censorship is eroding in Europe, if ever so little. A West German television station ran a documentary on the 16 million Germans driven out of their homes in Eastern Europe by the Russian onslaught at the end of World War II. Of these 16 million, the German TV program pointed out that 600,000 were killed in cold blood. Viewers could understand why when the famous quote of Illya Ehrenberg was repeated for the first time on German TV. “If one day you have not killed a German, that day is lost.”

All the information came right out of the West German government’s archives. There is enough left to make a thousand more such programs if Bonn can ever be persuaded to release its mass of 40,000 documents. Unfortunately, German leaders are still convinced that producing counter-Holocaust programs is a losing game in a world still fixated by the Six Million. Still to come on German TV and perhaps never to come on American TV is a docudrama based on the report of Heinz Esser, a German physician in a Polish internment camp in Upper Silesia:

When a fire broke out Polish militiamen formed a cordon around the blazing building and fired their machine guns at the inmates who were trying to put out the fire. Sick interned were chased onto the burning roof or straight into the flames. “Everyone who came upon one of the guards lost their lives.” Only 6,488 of the 8,064 Germans held in the internment camp in 1945 and 1946 survived. More than 600 of the dead were babies who had their heads bashed in.

According to Syberberg, who criticizes Hitler from a nationalist perspective, der Führer was the offspring of a monstrous marriage between Wagner and democracy. Only in repudiating democracy (of which Auschwitz and the Gulags are the end result) and in returning to Wagner can Germany recover its identity and be relinked to its destiny. “It is neither with the statistics of Auschwitz nor an historical analysis of politics and economics that Hitler can be fought, only with Wagner and Mozart.”

Breath of Air

Hans-Jürgen Syberberg

More on Food Quality

(“Refining and Redefining Malthus” (Instauration, April 1979) was right on target. In his Nutrition Against Disease, Dr. Roger Williams, the dean of American biochemists, has discussed the problem of birth abnormalities, which have increased by a factor of four in the past twenty years. He has pointed out that about every type being experienced in our hospitals had been experimentally produced with laboratory animals by feeding them diets deficient in essential nutrients, among which trace minerals occur. Dr. Carl C. Pfeiffer in his recently updated Zinc and Other Micro-Nutrients has discussed the treatment of many conditions caused or perhaps aggravated by inadequate or excessive intakes of dietary trace materials. Dr. Pfeiffer is the head of the Brain Center at Princeton.

Out in the Far West it is known that summer-fallowed, dry-farmed wheats are hard, high-protein wheats. When I sowed sacks in Eastern Oregon on a combine, a sack of wheat weighed between 145-155 pounds. In Western Oregon in richer valley soils a wheat sack...
weighed from 125-135 pounds for the same volume of grain.

Almost any agricultural text will inform you that wheat is one of the crops that are naturally deep-rooted, and especially so in dry climates where the roots must reach deeply into the ground to get sufficient water for growth and development. In Eastern Oregon in dry farming, rainfall is relatively scant and the root systems are deep, whereas in Western Oregon with much higher rainfall, the root system is comparatively shallow as the plants can get the water they need relatively close to the surface.

In my own garden experiments I have found that relatively high nitrogen fertilization and heavy watering produced broad, shallow root systems, but that low-nitrogen fertilization with judicious watering produces diffuse, deep root systems. This is of some interest and has a bearing on plant fertility (seed sets). Plants with deep root systems "mine" the lower levels of the soil of trace elements and bring them toward the surface.

Since most of a cultivated plant's root system remains in the ground even after the plant is harvested, a deep-rooted plant is a sort of trace mineral pump. Some of the trace minerals are used by the plants, but a substantial portion of them replace trace elements in the upper soil strata. Intensive agriculture tends to deplete these over a period of years.

There are straws in the wind indicating that the combination of hydroponic greenhouse food production with the outdoor production of food during normal growing seasons may be competitive with modern mechanized large farm food production. Such combinations intelligently utilized are not only highly productive, but they also build up the soil.

People should not blindly assume that organic farming methods are necessarily good. Excessive copper intakes from organically grown vegetables have resulted in toxicity for susceptible individuals. Some plants have the ability to concentrate trace minerals excessively. At the present time there are not too many studies concerning this problem. Some sewage sludges which are high in zinc and cadmium have created cadmium toxicity in plant tissues where the sludge has been used as fertilizer. The amount of protein in a food is important. Equally important is the quality of the protein, which is a function of the content of the nine essential amino acids occurring in proteins.

If the public can be lured away from television long enough to learn a bit more about such subjects, it could put political pressure on the unholy alliance of the AMA, the FDA, and the USDA to do something about our food supply. At present all these agencies insist that a "good diet" provides all the necessary nutrients for good health without the use of supplements. The rising incidence of many diseases, many of which are almost untreatable, should bring an awareness that something is very wrong, and it takes no great genius to suspect that the problem may lie in our food. Junk food is involved, but many people use very little of such foods and yet are afflicted with health problems. The successful treatment of "incurable" diseases by what is known as Orthomolecular Medicine, advocated by such figures as Eric Hofer and Linus Pauling, demonstrates that heavy dosages of certain vitamins combined with orthodox and unorthodox symptomatic treatment indicate that nutritional deficiencies do play a role in the etiology of many of these diseases.

More Whites Hit the Dust

The Chicago murder count for 1978 is in. Number of homicides, 787. Of the 749 murderers arrested, 489 were black males, 102 Hispanic males, 80 black females, 70 white males, 5 white females, 3 Hispanic females. The racial breakdown of Chicago, now teeming with illegal aliens, is unknown. But whites certainly comprise at least 50% of the population. What is known is that colored minorities now account for 90% of the apprehended murderers.

In New Orleans in the neighborhood of Tulane University five young women have been shot and stabbed in recent months. None was killed, but some were seriously wounded. In none of these cases was the victim robbed or sexually assaulted. All the assailants have been identified by their victims as black. The motive that makes the most sense is that the crimes stem from the initiation rites of a black gang, whose would-be members have to shoot or stab a white girl before they can join. Some years ago a black gang in Michigan demanded a pair of white ears to qualify for membership. At last report an 18-year-old black, Leroy Cook, had been arrested and charged with committing one of the attacks.

One black-on-white murder in the armed services stands out because the criminal, Wyatt Matthews, has been sentenced to be hanged by a U.S. Army court martial in Germany. Matthews stabbed a 29-year-old librarian 53 times with a pair of scissors either before or after raping her. The chances the sentence will be carried out are remote considering Matthews' race. Since 1965 only two Americans have been executed for homicide -- and both of them were white.

One of the two black suspects in the recent murder of four whites in a New York suburb in which Dr. Charles Frankel, a prominent Jewish philosopher and his wife were killed, has asked for a special brand of lawyer, "I would only accept a female Jewish attorney," he stated. "I'm the defendant. I know who's involved and what's involved. I want someone I can be comfortable with." Meanwhile, the two criminals are suing the district attorney of Westchester County for $2.5 million for violation of their civil rights during the investigation of the crime.

Recent studies have shown that 104 of 113 victims of Florida murderers now incarcerated on the state's death row were white. It was not revealed how many of the murderers are black, though the death row of any state with a large Negro population always contains a vast over-representation of blacks.

The missing statistic in all the above reports is just how many whites are being murdered each year by blacks, Hispanics and other unassimilable minorities. Instauration arrived at an estimate of approximately 165 black-on-white murders a month several years ago. Today this is probably on the low side. Only law enforcement officials know the real numbers -- and this may be the reason they refuse to let the information out.
Female Porn

Pornography used to be the exclusive domain of men. But the recent push for equalizing the sexes has expanded this destructive blight into the purview of women. Looking over a batch of “respectable” women’s magazines, the kind available in the very same supermarket kiosks that still ban Playboy and Penthouse, the reader would be hard put to distinguish their contents from the more tasteless filth in the adult bookstores still patronized exclusively by males.

The August issue of Cosmopolitan, amid fashion ads displaying blonde and blue-eyed models and bust enlargement ads displaying reupholstered Nordics, featured advice from a Jewish psychiatrist on fake orgasms...a book review applauding a new Negress-authored “historical reconstruction” of Jefferson’s long (and totally unfounded) love affair with a mulatto slave...movie reviews by a Jewish critic...a look at new recordings by yet another Jew...a sexual horoscope and “Zodiac Love Guide”...some tips on intercourse during menstruation by a minority-looking type named Elizabeth Morgan...an adulatory biography of Howard Cosell...a clarion call for ERA...and an ambivalent tale about a nice teaching assistant who sold her favors for $3,500 and then complained bitterly when she wasn’t paid.

The ugliest and smuttiest segment of this un­ speakably ugly and smut-glutted magazine was a short story by Al Capp, the one-legged Jewish cartoonist of “L’il Abner” fame. The hero is a black steward on a transoceanic liner who re­ fuses to sleep with a blonde film star, although the SS doctor makes the heroine, who at one point is raped by his maid (yes, his maid), choose which of her two children must be condemned to death. Jewish critics raved. The Book-of-the-Month Club quickly made it the book of the month. The paperback rights were sold for $1 million. Sir Lew Grade, Britain’s low-grade imitation of Sam Goldwyn, announced he would turn Styron’s smear de­ force into a $12 million movie and pay the au­ thor $650,000 for the privilege. Jack Beaty, one of the few Majority book critics left on the literary scene, called the books’ multitudinous pages “sluggish” and the book itself “a palimpsest of self-cancelling intentions, turgid presentments and mechanical ideas. It is dull. It is portentous.”

Hack Millionaire

If you’re a writer and want to make a lot of bucks, then the thing to do is to write racist tomes, minority racist, that is. No one knows this better than novelist William Styron, one of those Southern intellectual dandies who move to New York because the South is too provincial for their burgeoning literary ambitions.

Styron rode into the big time with a novel that made a hero out of Nat Turner, the black shaman who killed ten white men, eleven white women and thirty-one white children in a short-lived slave revolt in Virginia in 1831. Knowing, quite correctly, he could make an even greater monetary killing by switching from calumniating Southerners to calumniating Germans, Sty­ ron has now gone into the billion-dollar Holo­ caust business. His new bestseller Sophie’s Choice features a blonde Polish survivor of Auschwitz and her Brooklyn Jewish husband. It’s surefire stuff. The SS doctor makes the heroine, who at one point is raped by his maid (yes, his maid), choose which of her two children must be condemned to death. Jewish critics raved. The Book-of-the-Month Club quickly made it the book of the month. The paperback rights were sold for $1 million. Sir Lew Grade, Britain’s low-grade imitation of Sam Goldwyn, announced he would turn Styron’s smear de­ force into a $12 million movie and pay the au­ thor $650,000 for the privilege. Jack Beaty, one of the few Majority book critics left on the literary scene, called the books’ multitudinous pages “sluggish” and the book itself “a palimpsest of self-cancelling intentions, turgid presentments and mechanical ideas. It is dull. It is portentous.”

The Populist Wizard of Oz

The inevitable has happened -- with an all­ black film version of the children’s favorite, The Wizard of Oz. This corruption of the Judy Gar­ land classic is all the more ironic in view of the little-known fact that the original story, The Wonderful Wizard of Oz, written by Lyman Frank Baum in 1900, was composed as a right­ istor political allegory.

Baum was a supporter of the Populist party in America during the 1890s. This was an alliance of Midwestern farmers and some urban workers which challenged the banks and other fi­ nancial interests who were squeezing the farm­ ers through continued indebtedness. In particu­ lar they wanted an honest monetary system based on a silver coinage. Populist power de­ clined with their presidential candidate, Democrat William Jennings Bryan, lost to Re­ publican William McKinley by 95 electoral votes in 1896.

This political defeat prompted Baum to write Oz. He mourned the destruction of the fragile alliance between the Midwestern farmers (the Scarecrow) and the urban industrial workers (the Tin Man). Along with Bryan (the Cowardly Lion with a roar but little else), they had been taken down the yellow brick road (the gold standard) that led nowhere. Each journeyed to Emerald City seeking favors from the Wizard of Oz (the president), who is powerful only as long as he succeeds in deceiving people and appearing in different forms to different people. Oz is the abbreviation for ounce, the standard measure for gold.

Perhaps the most interesting allegorical figure is the Wicked Witch of the East who... kept the little Munchkin people in economic bondage for years, making them slave for her day and night. She had also put a spell on the Tin Woodsman, once an independent and hardworking man, so that each time he swung his ax he chopped off a different part of his body.

Was Lyman Frank Baum trying to tell us something?

(From Spearhead)

Proselytical Volte-face

For years, decades, centuries, Jewish reli­ gious leaders have protested loudly against at­ tempts to convert Jews to Christianity. In recent times most Christian churchmen have obe­ diently agreed to stop such proselytizing. But now that some rabbis have decided that thin­ ning Jewish ranks can best be filled by converts to Judaism, are Christian leaders protesting? Not a word. In fact, it’s just the opposite. Many

As we said, Styron fancies himself as having sprung from deep Southern roots, though his mother’s maiden name was Abraham. His wife is a Jewish poettaster named Rose Burgunder, who may have helped inspire her husband’s raging pro-Semitism. She has borne him four children, who, according to Jewish law and tra­ dition, are four Jewish children.
groveling Catholic and Protestant churchmen have endorsed with only a few reservations the proposal of Rabbi Alexander Schindler, president of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, to seek recruits for lewky in the ranks of the "unchurched" Americans. From the era of Christians converting Jews, we are entering an era where Jews will be openly striving to convert non-Jews -- all with the warm approval of Christian ministers and priests.

In spite of this Jewish volte-face, mind you, Christian leaders still reaffirm their promise not to convert Jews. Professor Gregory Baum, who converted to Catholicism from Judaism and then lapsed, underlined this new religious double standard when he said that the proposed Jewish missionary effort would be "a profound effect on the self-understanding of the Jewish community." Without batting an eye, he then intoned his strong opposition to "the church's efforts to convert Jews, be they religious or non-religious." He deemed it "an act of anti-Semitism...It is obscene. It is impetinent."

**Credo Reinforced**

An Instauration article of faith is that we are alone in the universe. It may not be true, but at least it makes us feel good -- and we're not going to abandon this credo and the good feeling that goes with it merely because the National Enquirer tells us there are pieces of a crippled alien ship ellipsing around the earth's orbit.

Hard science is still on our side. Indeed, Michael H. Hart, a space engineer, has recently theorized that the "continuously habitable zone," that narrow band around the sun in which intelligent life could develop or be sustained, is a very restricted and very exclusive area. If the earth, for example, was 5% closer to the sun or 1% further away, no intelligent life could exist because a runaway greenhouse effect would have started operating many millions of years ago.

The CHZ is even narrower for stars less luminous than the sun. Hart tells us it is a starless less than 0.3 times the sun's mass would have no CHZ at all. The CHZ is wider around stars greater than 1.1 solar masses, but by the time they are four billion years old they are putting out enough ultraviolet radiation "to seriously inhibit the spread of life to dry land."

If there is not intelligent life on other planets in other solar systems, then maybe, just maybe, the people of earth are somewhat "special," an abhorrent thought that bedevils universal race levelers with dusk-to-dawn nightmares.

**Culturecide**

A recent article in the Stanford Observer about a certain Ms. Hellerstein, who as part of her written doctoral thesis had translated some Yiddish poetry, was subtitled, "Studying Our Heritage." What is this "our?" Are we now going to be forced to put Yiddish, a jargon of pidgin German embellished with a few words of degenerate Hebrew, on an equal footing with English? How far is this cultural distortion going to go? While everyone else's roots are getting extra shots of fertilizer, they're not only killing our roots, but grafting an alien species of literature on our cultural tree.

A special issue of a black publication called Kemet is being promoted on the West Coast. It attempts to "prove" that the ancient Egyptians were blacks and that Western civilization was a Negro creation.

Leonard Goldenson's American Broadcasting Company has $200 million in cash which it doesn't know what to do with.

David Begelman, the convicted forger, although eventually fired from his job as president of Columbia Pictures, still wields considerable influence in the company and now has a fat contract to produce pictures independently for Columbia.


Entertainment television, Stein argues, is run by a collection of rich, conspiracy-minded populists -- most of them irreligious Jews who came from New York and now live on the west side of Los Angeles. "In another age they would have been garment salesmen," Stein told me. "Now they have their hands on the levers that move the world."

Reeves adds:

If America wants to watch television for four or six hours a day, fine. But it's insanity to let a few people hold such control over the content of the most powerful communications medium. Even if they are, as Stein describes them, "the finest, most thoughtful people I had ever met."

Stein, by the way, now works for Norman Lear, the rabbi's son responsible for such sitcom monstrosities as "All in the Family," in which a prototypical Irish actor plays a know-nothing WASP who speaks Bronx, a WASP actress plays his exploited wife, a Jew plays a liberal Pole, and so on.

It is forbidden for bands to play "Dixie" on most Southern college campuses. As an additional blow to Southern pride, Bob Hope's minority gag writers furnished some new lyrics to the song in a recent NBC-TV special.

I'm glad I'm not in the land of cotton. Old times there are best forgotten.

We're glad you're not in the land of cotton. We're better off without you. Now go away, stay away, keep away from Dixie land.

Michael Lurie received a big publicity buildup in the Tulsa World (June 21, 1979) as the founder of an organization called "The Committee Against Physical Discrimination," dedicated to the defense of the rights of ugly people. A day later the same newspaper reported that the same Michael Lurie was a self-proclaimed Antichrist and cult leader, and had been arrested for threatening the life of Jimmy Carter. After his arrest, Lurie, who said his sole means of support was his committee, announced he planned to establish a "world government in Jerusalem."

**Easy Targets**

Since jokes about Jews and blacks are streng verboten, the Boston Globe confines its racial slurs to that sailest of all targets -- WASPs.

Here are two examples from the Globe's column, "At Large," (June 13, 1979) by Diane White, whose race may or may not be defined by her name:

Q. What do you call a WASP woman who makes love more than once a month?
A. A nymphomaniac.

Q. Why do WASP men make terrible lovers?
A. Because by the time they've hung up their trousers and put the trees in their shoes the woman has usually lost interest.

**64 Shekel Question**

Whom do you prefer?

A. A man who looks Jewish, acts Jewish, screams like a Jew, fanaticizes like a Jew, speculates on the stock exchange and commodity markets like a Jew, lives on Jewish TV fare three or four hours a day, yet has an inveterate dislike of Jews, is not afraid to denounce them publicly and subscribes eagerly to anti-Semitic publications.

B. A man who bears not the slightest trace of Jewish mental or physical traits, who is repulsed by everything Jewish, particularly Jewish art, who is quiet when the Jew is loud, civil when the Jew is uncivil, yet who never says a word against the Jews, lets them, as it were, have their head, and is horrified by the slightest display of anti-Semitism.
Rearguard Reds

Today the Communist party has all but disappeared from the American political scene. Only a few of the faithful still hold high the crimson banner and await Der Tag. Ironically, the remaining Communists who still hang on are the most vociferous supporters of the establishment on certain issues. It could well be that the Party hasn’t changed, but the federal government has!

Consider affirmative action, the Orwellian term for reverse discrimination. The Reds’ only objection seems to be the speed by which Washington is implementing the scheme. Both the government and the Communist party are for forced busing of school children across cities to achieve race-mixing. As for South Africa, it is difficult to figure out who hates the beleaguered whites most -- the Reds, the Libs, the Jews or the blacks. With the government vigorously pushing many of the Reds’ most cherished objectives, it becomes harder and harder for the Communist party to sound militant.

The Luddite position against nuclear technology is the “in” thing for the left these days. As loyal supporters of the Soviet line, party apparatchiks have the problem of explaining why Soviet propagandists happily boast of opening new nuclear power stations. So our native-grown Reds waffle by calling for government ownership of nuclear plants. Apparently capitalistic government bureaucracy can do better than capitalistic corporations.

The death penalty is opposed as “racist,” because black criminals make up such a big crowd down on death row. No mention is made of how the Soviet Union makes short shrift of its own violent hoods regardless of race.

In the 50’s, the Communist party was under attack. Its constant legal appeals for freedom of speech and the press ultimately helped defeat the anti-Communist Smith and McCarran acts. Yet today the Reds are calling for the outlawing and jailing of their political opponents.

A recent Daily World (changed from Daily Worker) article complained of the light sentences given some KKK members. Gus Hall, general secretary, has demanded that “all forms of racism be outlawed by law.” Also, one might mention that Communist spokesman Herbert Aptheker must know something about racial genetics that the rest of us don’t. He has solemnly declared that “racism is not a subject for debate.”

The leaders of the American Communist party fancy that they are struggling for human progress by promoting race-mixing, which would eventually produce a low-IQ lumpen-proletariat incapable of either establishing or even obeying the dictatorship that Marxist-Leninists deem so necessary.

Pincer Feet

The Thunderbolt, the monthly organ of the National States Rights Party (P.O. Box 1121, Marietta, GA 30061), has never been known for its moderate tone and its balanced world view. Indeed, it has usually been described as just another screaming-meepy hate sheet by the cognoscenti. But the Thunderbolt has had its share of journalistic firsts. It was the first national publication to talk about the California Health Department’s performance evaluation project, which mandates that all department employees be rated according to their “enthusiasm” for the advancement of women and minorities. This puts the Majority employee in a bind. If he gets a poor mark in “enthusiasm,” it means his own advancement will be affected. If he gets a high mark for helping to push minority members ahead, he reduces his own chance of promotion.

The Thunderbolt was also the first American journal in recent times to feature stories about Africa’s two-toed “ostrich people,” a rare group of 300 black tribesmen, a hundred of whom have feet with only two huge toes, which they use as a kind of pincers. This permits them to pour a glass of water with their feet, lift it to their mouth and drink the contents -- all without moving their hands.

Perhaps because the ostrich people are black, the world press has been hiding its head in the sand. But recently the National Enquirer sent a reporter, David Barritt, to visit the two-toed tribe and now the story is out in the open. When it first appeared in the Thunderbolt, the groans of many readers could be heard across the land. A lot of them probably thought it was just another white supremacist lie.

Thanks to the National Enquirer, which is also not noted for its moderation, the Thunderbolt has been vindicated.

Coming and Going

Stuart Schulberg, onetime producer of NBC’s “Today Show,” “David Brinkley’s Journal,” and many of the network’s news documentaries, died at the age of 56. The son of Hollywood film mogul B.P. Schulberg and younger brother of author Budd Schulberg, he started out in the OSS. He is survived by his wife, the former Jane Murphy, and four children from a previous marriage.

Marvin Kalb, diplomatic correspondent of the Cronkite news, is thinking seriously about running for senator from Maryland in 1980. A native New Yorker who has never been to Baltimore, the capital of Maryland, more than three or four times in his life, Kalb would probably be opposed, if he won the primary, by Republican crypto-Democrat, Charles Mathias.

Edward Koch of the bankrupt city of New York signed a bill to give himself a $20,000 raise, lifting his salary to $80,000 a year, which makes him the nation’s highest-paid mayor. Koch should really be in jail for his part in the financial misrepresentations that exacerbated New York’s financial chaos.

Bertell Ollman, the Marxist egghead whose
Was the Trip Necessary?

How many of the 270 plus who perished in the crash of the American Airlines DC-10 in Chicago needed to be on that plane?

How many of the Chicago-Los Angeles “commuters” could not just as easily have accomplished what they had to accomplish by a few long-distance telephone calls, a few stints at the teletype, a few mailgrams or a few letters?

How many vacationers on that plane really deserved or needed a vacation? And what kind of a vacation can be had in a smog-bound succo wilderness of half-empty gas stations?

It is our guess that less than one-third of the passengers should have been on that plane, that for most of them the trip was either a luxury, an excuse, a chance to “get away,” or another free ride on a tax-deductible expense account.

How many died for the simple reason that they were bored or unhappy at home and wanted a change of scene, even though they knew very well they would be just as unhappy and just as bored in Los Angeles or wherever they were heading? Boredom and unhappiness are part of the baggage of a traveler who is bored and unhappy.

Finally, how many would not have boarded that plane if they had not had credit cards? Fly now and pay later is the great come-on of the travel industry. In this case the fare was collected during the trip, and it was terribly high.

A civilization that is always on the road or always in the air is not a civilization. Nomads are by definition and by historical evidence barbarians. Travel may broaden, but continuous and pointless travel narrows and mechanizes. Since every square inch of the U.S. is now beginning to resemble every other square inch, what is the use of traveling at all? The high points of life are the home, the family and the garden. New airports, new motels, new freeways leave impressions as fleeting as the bloom of a day lily, as shallow as an oil slick.

Let’s keep our minds soaring, not our bodies.

Unfair Play

Let us consider the fate of two young male applicants for enrollment in one of America’s most prestigious universities:

Applicant 1 - The son of the black president of a rich foundation, who himself is the son of an influential black lawyer. The father has a net worth of $2 million and can call up the president of the United States for a private meeting whenever he wishes. The son, in spite of all of these advantages, which include four years at a topranking Eastern preparatory school, only manages to maintain a B average. 

In the obligatory “racial information” section of his application, although his father is one-quarter black and his mother is white, he writes “black.”

Applicant 2 - A white high-school graduate from Appalachia who managed to make the A honor roll, although he had to spend much of his spare time helping his father run a subsistence-level farm. The relatively backward schools in his area did not prepare him adequately for the Scholastic Aptitude Test on which he got exactly the same score as Applicant 1, whose boarding school specialized in getting students ready for college entrance examinations. The white applicant’s IQ was higher than the black’s, but he had no family connections and zero political pull. He also had the additional handicap of having to list himself as “white” in the racial box of the application papers.

When college admissions officials have to choose between two applicants with equal SAT scores, race now makes practically all the difference. Because he was one-eighth black, Applicant 1 would be given priority over his white rival and admitted to the university. The white student would end up in a second-class college and admitted to the university. The white student would end up in a second-class college and none at all and because of his lack of education or his poorer education would have a harder time getting a good job in his chosen field than the black, who would not only graduate from a better university, but would have less trouble finding a job because of his race.

It’s bad enough when poor blacks are given the edge over poor whites in educational slots away from poor whites.
"A Difference of Minds," in the July issue, may be too thick for all but the most adamant racists, and will probably be discounted by rationalists. But even if the triune concept and/or its application in this context is poppycock, the article is extremely pertinent because it has asked the right questions, which are often more important than the answers. Put another way, once the right questions are asked, the answers will come, sooner or later. But if the right questions are not asked, the answers will never come.

The questions in this case concern the Jew, and are basically esthetic and instinctive, as, indeed, all racial questions are. It is a truism that the Jew has puzzled the non-Jew since the beginning of time, and continues to do so. The non-Jew has always known that there is something different about the Jew, but has never been able to agree on what that something is. This difference is not the same as the differences between non-Jews. A European in Asia or Africa or the Americas, whether among sophisticated Chinese or primitive blacks or Indians, has always been able to find a common ground for human interchange. The empire-building Englishman understood the structure of the maharajah's court and the people in it. He also understood the blank despair of the Calcutta slums, and the courage of the Sikhs and Gurkhas, whom he took into his armies and respected. In Africa, he had the same relationship with the Arabs and the natives, especially with aristocratic tribes like the Masai. And they, in turn, understood the Englishman and other Europeans. A common understanding between races and cultures was always possible to some degree, no matter how bitter the territorial struggles and social differences.

With one notable exception. No non-Jewish race, culture or individual has ever been able to find a common human bond with the Jew. Lest I am immediately challenged by such examples as Disraeli mesmerizing the English, the European courts of the past bringing Jews in for finance and diversion, American presidents playing golf with Zionists, tearful blubbering about a certain Jew being “my best friend,” whites like Nancy Maginnes marrying Jews like Henry Kissinger, and on and on, I must say immediately that these are not examples of what I understand by a common human bond.

In modern produce-and-consume societies, people spend a great deal of time at their jobs, but with rare exceptions they don't have a true bond with those jobs, in the sense that their ancestors had one with farming or hunting. They perform them to make money to buy junk. This analogy extends to their extensive intercourse with Jews. The lack of a common bond is still recognized instinctively, and flares up on occasion, but is just as buried as the lack of any other human reaction -- what another generation called common sense -- in the ordered chaos of a produce-and-consume society. No matter how many non-Jews marry Jews, no matter how desperately the non-Jews abase themselves to Jews, it still does not follow that there is a common human bond. Quite the contrary, in fact, because a society which does everything else against its best interests is bound to have extensive surface relations with Jews and be controlled by them. How could it be otherwise?

As for examples from the past, the Disraelis, Rothschilds and the rest, any true bond between Jews and non-Jews was equally lacking then, too, but there were several compensating differences. The Jews were fresh from the ghettos and considered themselves different. They were tentative and circumspect compared with today's Jews, and did not presume on a bond which did not exist. The non-Jews of that time were just entering the produce-and-consume, non-caring decline-of-the-west phase, and welcomed the Jews only to the extent to which their own reactions were beginning to dull. The Disraelis and Rothschilds were the exceptions, not the rule, and even with them the difference was not altogether overlooked or denied.

In any case, no matter how suppressed and buried the instinct is today, every non-Jew still feels the lack of a common bond with the Jew. In the past, when non-Jews were healthier and less afraid, they made this instinct plain. The Romans, among the first Europeans to deal with the Jews, found them comically non-human, and that refrain has echoed down the centuries. (It was still common until World War II. Well-bred people laughed at Jews -- behind their backs, of course -- and patronized them. This was the fashionable attitude, and thus did not require any effort, nor did it reflect any originality on the part of those affecting it. However, it was closer to the core of the problem than all the intellectual "cases" against the Jews put together.) In the Middle Ages, the non-human difference was apparent to European aristocrats, churchmen and peasants alike. They instinctively found the Jew outside the human circle, like a rock or an animal. Because those non-Jews were sure of themselves and their instincts, the Jews saw themselves as they were seen -- lacking some basic human ingredient -- and retreated into the ghettos. It is only in modern times that the Jew has been accepted as wholly human, no different from non-Jews in any particular. But as noted, this is a comment on modern times, not on the non-humaneness of the Jew.

In the past, then, all non-Jews found Jews uniquely different. In the present, any person not deadened by contemporary life (all too few, unfortunately) comes to the same conclusion. It is not a rational but an instinctive conclusion, and all the stronger for that. If,
for instance, I meet an imposter masquerading as a close friend, I do not detect the masquerade by reasoning it out, but by a sudden, instinctive realization. When I meet a Jew, my instinct tells me that he is fundamentally different from me, and from all other humanity. Until modern times, I was not afraid of that instinctive signal. But in a rationalist society, with my instincts dulled by centuries of soporific produce-and-consume, I dismiss the notion as preposterous. How can he be different? Doesn't he have a head, two legs and all the rest? I cheerfully throttle my instinct in this as in all matters of importance, and congratulate myself on being rational enough to do so.

The questions rising from the difference are interesting: What makes the Jew different? "A Difference of Minds" would have him human-reptilian rather than human-mammalian. Perhaps, perhaps not. How should a non-human be treated? Like a retarded child? The answers will come thick and fast once the difference is conceded. In fact, the concession of the difference may well be more important than any of the answers. Obviously, such a concession goes far beyond casual anti-Jewishness -- in fact, the cliché anti-Jew is often the most reluctant to see and concede the non-humaneness of Jews.

My own awareness of the depths of the difference came during and after an endless flight from Russia back to the United States on an official plane with a Jew as my only traveling companion. (From boyhood I had observed Jews in every sort of setting -- scholastic, social, business and governmental -- and naturally realized they were different, but not just how profound that difference was.) There were engine repairs and other delays on the flight, and I was staring off into space, and it suddenly came to me that I was all are the same in this regard, really. What about part Jews like alone. Not in the sense of being with someone who had retreated into his own world, I presumed. I was just as plastic, social, business and governmental -- and naturally realized elastic, social, business and governmental -- and automatically that they are a dead end. That they cannot, do not, exist on their own, so must attach themselves to people who do exist and thus live vicariously. If they know this, even if non-intellectually, how they must despise us for not knowing it. Or for forgetting it. How awful to be a Jew, actually a cockroach creeping about to ... nowhere. 'Successful,' in our lunatic world, but at what a price. And conscious of it, because what is all their thrashing about but the desperate attempt to be human? It kills them that they are not, so they must pretend. And what a grim pretense, because if it is exposed, life is unthinkable afterwards. Imagine it from their standpoint, inside them. You know you're not human, and here you are, stranded in a world apparently controlled by humans. You try everything and nothing works. You end penned up in European ghettos, which seems a fair standoff. They know you, and you know you. Then your young break down those walls (1800 and after) and sail out and pretend to be human. You expect them back, but now the whites let them in, pretend with them. This looks pretty tasty, you finally say, maybe we can get on top of the humans. The pretence becomes enormous, all or nothing. Take the world or perish. Tremendous interruption with Hitler, but it turns out to be only a momentary return to instinctive reason, however heavyhanded, and a wonderful longterm asset. Now the balloon swells larger and larger, your hands get sweeter and sweeter, you have all your money on red and the wheel is spinning. You must make your non-humaneness accepted as human- ness; you must make your not-thereeness accepted as thereeness. Even if you're the sainted Proust himself, that's all you have on your mind. Mighty pretenders are in there with you -- Marx and Freud and Einstein himself, all turning wine into water. The world has to believe in the illusions of a total transvaluation, to deny its humanity as non-human and to bow to your non-humanity as human. They'll never do it. Steady...they are doing it! What it must be like to be a Jew in the midst of this incredible game! The world does accept the illusion and thanks the Jews effusively for putting it on (using the euphemism of Jewish 'contributions') because the world is...sick. What would we do if we weren't sick? Not gas them, certainly. But treat them as non-human, I imagine, which is really worse, from their standpoint. But how would that be done, in day-to-day living? Don't deal with them until or unless they recognized their non-humaness? Probably, in some form. We would have to admit our own flaws in order to do this, to become sober enough. And they'd stroll about in some psychological equivalent of the medieval yellow hats. But loving their yellow hats, grateful at last not to have to pretend any more. If they refused -- and perhaps they simply can't do otherwise, perhaps there is no choice -- then withdrawal, leaving them alone. But they can't be alone, it means having to face up to their emptiness, which is
death, so they would shrivel up and die. But when it comes to a choice between their self-extinction and our life, there is really no choice. The joker is, of course, that we have lost our life, our vitality, so all this is theoretical. In flat fact, they have imposed their emptiness. It will not last, no more than any lie does. But it will be undone by time and circumstances -- by God, if you choose. We shall not have the honor.

If anything, those rough notes seem charitable as the amazing scenario unfolds. Like a science-fiction fable come true, the planet is taken over by the cold-blooded cockroaches (reptiles are even more scarifying) in human form, who turn the former warm-blooded rulers into cowering slaves. Throwing off their human masks, the rulers finally expose themselves for what they are (already done in the case of Menahem Begin, who really does give the cockroach-reptile show away), and proclaim non-humaneness as God’s master design. And believe it, because the sense of inferiority to humaneness has disappeared. (This attitude is prefigured in all modern young Jews; they have lost their forebears’ sense of relative reality. But one cannot fault them; they have not had an active humanity to give them the opposed example.) The scenario differs from the usual “Planet of the Apes” fare in that the slaves refuse to counterattack, and there is no happy ending. What a story this really is! The greatest of all time, one would think, but completely ignored.

And yet...somewhere in each non-Jew faced with a Jew there is still a faint -- exceedingly faint -- twitch of instinct telling him that he is dealing with a creature who is not like him. He stifles it immediately, of course, but it is there. And can come out in very odd ways. Especially in marriages between Jews and non-Jews, where the non-Jews spend so much time alone with Jews that they (the non-Jews) can hardly avoid tumbling to the non-humaness of their mates. They have to redouble their efforts to deny it, but the knowledge is inevitably there.

A young white woman I know, recently divorced from a Jew, said to me of her marriage, “I was always uneasy.” We were at a large party, but out of general earshot.

“Because he was Jewish?” I asked indifferently, careful not to frighten her.

“Oh, I hardly think so,” she said with an amused smile. “He’s quite civilized, you know.” The remark was designed, I assumed, to make it plain that she had the correct attitude toward Jews -- admiration -- despite the marital failure, and regarded “anti-Semitism” as quainter than belief in a flat earth.

“No,” she went on, “I was just uneasy, period. I don’t know why. I’m sure it would have been the same if he’d been...well, non-Jewish, whatever that is.”

“And you’re not uneasy now?”

“Oh...yes, I am.” She paused, twiddled the stem of her glass. “I have dreams...rather intense dreams.”

“Nightmares?”

“I don’t wake up screaming, if that’s what you mean. But, yes, I do wake up. And when I do, I’m shaking, and soaking wet.”

“Semi-nightmares.”

“Semi-nightmares,” she agreed wryly. “He -- Mitchell -- does figure in the dreams, but not in a malevolent way. They’re not altogether recurrent -- I mean, the same thing doesn’t always happen -- but variations of it do.” Her color was heightening, her voice coming a bit faster. “In the dreams Mitchell is always involved with people, or work, and I am nearby, uneasy. I don’t seem to be at all involved with what he is doing. I don’t know if I want to be involved, exactly, but I am uneasy because I am not.

The uneasiness in my dreams -- in my semi-nightmares -- is something like the uneasiness I felt in real life when we were married, but then it didn’t have any specifics. I mean, I wasn’t uneasy then because Mitchell was doing something with other people and I was excluded. I was just uneasy, even when I was quite alone in the apartment and he was at work. But in the dreams, he is always there and I am uneasy because he is busy and I am not.”

She broke off, and then said, in a different tone, “I still don’t think it has anything to do with him, though. I probably just wasn’t cut out for marriage. I would have been uneasy with anyone. Mitchell wasn’t that bad.”

She wasn’t thinking, naturally, of Mitchell as Jew but as American man. But even as Jew, she was right -- he wasn’t “that bad” in the sense that Woody Allen or Louis Wolfson or Milton Friedman are very bad, indeed -- prototypes of the unattractive, pushy, impertinent Jew whom no one wants in his club. Mitchell was cast in the Arthur Miller mold, a lanky sort of young Arthur Schlesinger, Jr., an acceptable parody white, just the Jew to grace any club.

She was looking at me, waiting for me to say, “You’re right, Mitchell wasn’t that bad.”

I did say, “Mitchell was probably worse for you than if he had been that bad.”

She thought I meant his very superiority had been the problem. She could not imagine that I meant she would have twigged far more easily had he been a coarser specimen.

““You may be right,” she said thoughtfully. “I’m sure I would have been uneasy with anyone. I’m afraid I have a problem.”

It was a delicate moment. She was attractive, intelligent and unhappy. In theory a man -- any man -- could have and should have come to her rescue and said, “You are uneasy because you were married to a Jew, and the Jews are non-human. Recognition of Jewish non-humaness is not really discretionary, but a matter of life and death, a corollary to health. No one can be sane and well without such recognition and resistance. To be otherwise is to be automatically unbalanced and unwell. Even the coarsest anti-Jewishness is better than none. You can’t face what Mitchell is -- or, rather, what he is not -- so you have to make him human and yourself non-human. Your dreams are laughably accurate reflections of the false inversion -- the pretense -- which makes you uneasy.

But there is a way out if you face reality, starting with Mitchell.”

But that candid little speech would not have rescued her. Quite the opposite, in fact. She would have regarded me as a lunatic “anti-Semitic” and retreated even further into her shell. On matters which are beyond words -- and anything to do with the Jews has come to that point, sporadic anti-Jewish chatter notwithstanding (as noted, it only obscures the central point) -- one must remain silent, or Delphic at best, confining one’s conversation to the ordinary, leaving the door open.

So all I said was, “I’m not sure. Perhaps you’ll find someone with whom you won’t be uneasy. Or perhaps, if you don’t find such a man, you’ll make your peace with yourself alone.” She smiled, “That’s awfully stuffy advice. Can’t you do better than that?”

“Oh, I can do much better.”

“Then why don’t you?”

“You aren’t ready for it.”

“That’s unfair.”

“Everything is.”

“By the time I’m ready for this hypothetical advice, I won’t need it, will I?”

“Perhaps not.”
She smiled again, "I don't know what we're talking about now. Do you?"
"Yes, I think so."
"Good," she said decisively, and that was that.
So we parted, and have not seen each other since. Like so many others, she is out there somewhere, slowly unravelling what happened to her, slowly puzzling through the colossal weight and insolent self-assurance of the swindle. She has been tricked since childhood into going against her instincts; there is a lifetime to be undone. Of course, it is a question whether that is possible.

John Nobull

NOTES FROM THE SCEPTRED ISLE

John Tyndall has written a typically thoughtful article in a recent issue of Spearhead on "The Foundations of Political Power," in which he makes the valid point that a political party needs an infrastructure, which it takes time to build up. He is very realistic about the leadership position, saying that it will take a very long time before there are enough qualified people in the movement to provide adequate cadres. I also think he is right in saying that "those who represented our point of view a generation or more past simply did not sow enough seeds." Mosley's imperial fascism was largely confined to the industrial cities -- mainly London and Birmingham -- and he did not build up much of an infrastructure, as he believed that he could take the position by storm. There is more than a grain of truth in the leftist judgement that Mosley had the mentality of a cavalry officer. His time perspective, like that of most highly intelligent people, was far too contracted. He simply could not believe that it would take so long to gain power, and so did not bother much about infrastructural problems.

* * *

The Daily Telegraph for June 15, 1979, gave prominence to remarks made by Mr. James Anderton, Chief Constable for Greater Manchester. The report begins: "Penal work camps with hard labour and tough discipline, where hooligans would be made to sweat as they have never sweated before should be established in Britain..." Well, well -- so we have finally come to a realization that work camps are the only places where true rehabilitation can take place. For a start, they would enable prisoners to work in order to make restitution to their victims, thus for the first time creating a direct connexion between the punishment and the crime. What is more, they would make criminals useful to society, instead of an expensive nuisance and danger. Only those to whom crime is in some strange sense "caused" by the society and to whom criminals are a kind of heroic revolutionary could possibly argue against such a solution. We don't believe that social conditions, or poverty, cause crime. If so, why do different people in similar social circumstances react so differently? However, we do recognize that multiracial societies are criminal societies. We intend to resolve our problems, (a) by separating the races, and (b) by putting our persistent criminals into work camps.

* * *

The Anglo-Saxon overseas has kept up the singing tradition far better than the old mother country. The urge to sing in England has been murdered by liberal democracy. This has to do with the blurring of distinctions which liberal democracy involves. This process of decay set in later in the U.S., because during the period of expansion the frontier settlers were something of a law unto themselves, and did not have their views manufactured for them by the press to the same extent as in England. Nor was it only in the states that the singing tradition survived. Britain's first colony, Newfoundland, may not be a shining light culturally speaking, but its treasury of folk songs is the best in Canada. In Australia and New Zealand as well, people are ready to sing to a far greater extent than in England. And Northern Ireland, which has hardly a single writer of note, is very rich in songs. A number of these are set to old English tunes, no longer sung in England. God, what a miserable wailing one hears when the denizens of today's Britain are called upon to sing! The only words they remember are the beginnings of the old music-hall songs, from the period up to 1914. They sing these self-consciously and soon dry up. The French are no better. What is more horrible than the recitative, or talk-singing of modern France -- a second-rate actor mouthing a long stream of banal superfluities.

On the other hand, French Canada has a living folk tradition. Or take the significant example of Austria. I was there after the war, and was immediately struck by the fact that the people knew all the old songs, and would even sing the Nazi ones if they felt sufficiently secure in a mountain hut. In fact, it used to be said that all skiers and mountaineers were Nazis. Now they have their Woolstand (comfortable living standards), and the effects are the same as elsewhere: hideous masses of superfluous flesh (all the worse in a largely Alpine population like that of Vienna).

Their doors are shut in the evening; and they know no songs.

G.K. Chesterton

During the 1950s, a revival of folk song began in English-speaking countries, a revival soon taken over by the Jews, who control the entertainment business (the only handsome Beatle, Paul McCartney, said that it was the Jewish religion). Bob Dylan and countless followers sang the old songs with a "new" twist, which was in reality a very old twist. I mean the yammering yeh-yeh-yeh of Jews and that unmistakable high note of vulgar triumph at the
end. I think that our people could make a lot of money by singing the old songs straight and marketing the resulting records among the readers of right-wing publications. The records would have to be guaranteed strictly “kosher” of course, but kosher from our point of view. I would give a great deal for long-playing records of traditional American songs sung straight, and that goes for the whole Anglo-Saxon song tradition.

I will end with just one vignette, which will illustrate my meaning better than analysis ever could. Last year, I heard the strains of an accordion in the passages of the London Underground (subway). To my surprise, it was playing sea chanties and marches -- straight. When I came round the corner I spied a young man with sandy hair who looked as if he had escaped from an Orange band. He was marching up and down with a wicked smile on his face, and receiving far more donations from the travellers in these Stygian realms than any wailing hippy ever did.

* * *

Harold Wilson began his career as President of the Board of Trade, where he made himself agreeable to a long list of Jews by granting them import permits. These enabled them to bring in the products of sweated labour from eastern Europe and elsewhere, and undermine the native industries. Most prominent among these gentlemen was Ian Mikardo (of whom Churchill actually said: “He is not as nice as he looks.”) This is more telling than the version recently reported in Instauration, because it implies that he is even nastier than he looks. When Wilson became prime minister in due course, he was a slavish adherent of Israel, and a grove of trees in that country was named after him. His friends were mostly Jewish financiers, and most of them were ennobled or promoted to be writing an enormous book. The subject, in case you haven’t guessed, is Israel. Yes, it’s a full-time job toadying to the Jewish racists.

I have sometimes been told that the liberal ethos is so built in that it would be impossible for America or Britain to become racial states. I don’t believe that for a moment. The proportion of intellectuals opposing such a state would be no greater than it was in Weimar Germany. The Jews know this, which is why they never let up. Meanwhile, it is pretty clear that there aren’t many convinced anti-racialists around. Otherwise, they would be opposing Jewish racism.

* * *

Again and again I find that the people I instinctively dislike in public life are not quite kosher (by our standards). The most recent example is Norman St. John Stevas, a prominent member of the British Conservative party who has become Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster in Mrs. Thatcher’s new government. He dresses like a tailor’s dummy, speaks with a drawl (which was not a sign of the old upper class) and is one of the most offensive people I have met. I once stood next to him at the Shelbourne Hotel in Dublin, and heard him being gratuitously offensive to the man behind the counter. Unfortunately, a friend saw the look on my face and made a diversion. Otherwise, he would have remembered me, as no doubt does the offensive half-Jew Humphrey Berkeley, who was also once a Conservative M.P. I am not surprised to find that “St. John” Stevas’s father was one Spiro Stevas, from Corfu. As the poet sings:

An alien who adopts the stance
Of guileless English arrogance
And gazes down his nose askance
Is bound to overdo it.

* * *

F.R. Leavis is an interesting character. His name, of course, is a variant of Levi. Many years ago he saw that he could make himself into an instant guru by stressing tradition. In criticism, he is merely an uninspired follower of Eliot. And he made some silly mistakes, such as deciding that Milton and Shelley were not poets at all (views he later had to repudiate). He once came to visit Belfast and was taken down to see the magnificent garden at Rowallan, where rhododendrons of different colors are piled high one upon the other, like enormous waves. He stared for a time and finally spoke, “We do need a little color in our lives.” The trouble with Paradise Lost is that Milton really knew Hebrew and allowed its very alien constructions to find their way into his English. My own view is that the promise of his early period -- of L’Allegro, II Penseroso, and Arcades -- was never fulfilled. The Bible zapped him. But there are still magnificent bits in his lengthy epics.

Not that I am against epics as such. On the contrary, I think the capacity to appreciate longer poems is a sign of cultivated sensibility. All the greatest poems are long: the Iliad, Odyssey, Bhagavad Cita, Aeneid, Metamorphoses, Beowulf, Divina Commedia. The Greek anthology was the first popular anthology and its justification was that it preserved many short poems, mostly anonymous, which might otherwise have been lost. But I believe it is better to read work by one poet at a time, just as I believe the Turks are right to separate different kinds of food into different dishes so that they can be savored separately. Mixing things up is all wrong. It was a Jew, Palgrave, who edited the Golden Treasury, the first popular anthology in English -- a sort of fast-food method of gaining a quick acquaintance with poetry. Then Quiller-Couch came along with his extremely popular Oxford Book of English Verse and persuaded most people that the only poems worth reading were short lyrics.

What about satire? Juvenal, Byron and Roy Campbell all have their place, and their satires are not short. What about well-worked-out allegories, especially in elegaic form (Lycidas, Adonais, Thersites, In Memoriam)? What about thoughtful poetry, such as Marvell’s The Garden, Dryden’s Annu Mirabilis or Pope’s Essay on Man? None of these is short. But I mustn’t run on.
Atlanta. The good old "free press" of America did a particularly nasty bit of news distortion in its coverage of John Tyndall's recent visit to the U.S. The head of Britain's National Front was almost totally ignored by the mass media, except for the Atlanta Constitution, which headlined a report of Tyndall's address to some 150 Atlantans as a "Secret Meeting of Haters." The article, written by a black, exuded more racial hatred than anything Tyndall said or would dream of saying. In the course of his tour, Tyndall visited Palm Beach, Jackson, Mississippi, Memphis and a few other points west and north. A man of superior intelligence and intense dedication to the renewal of the British people, he made an excellent impression on American audiences and left them with the feeling that what he has done so far in Britain against incredible odds can someday be duplicated here. But first Majority members must find a Tyndall of their own.

Chapel Hill, N.C. Last year one-half of the 1,369 black students enrolled at the University of North Carolina, one of the finest institutions of higher learning in the South, did not meet minimum entrance standards and had to be admitted under a special quota. What this means, besides lowering the academic standards of U.N.C. and slowing up the learning process in the classes attended by the marginal blacks, is that nearly 700 whites who were qualified had to be turned away. The Negro tax on white Americans not only continues to cost Majority members money and jobs; it costs them crucial educational opportunities, which in turn reduce their chances for a successful career.

Washington, D.C. The State Department works in mysterious ways. Regulations forbid the issuance of visas to members of "terrorist organizations." Yet for years visas have been issued to Palestinians who "confessed" in Israeli court proceedings that they had belonged to anti-Zionist terror groups. The granting of such visas was in effect the overruling of the findings of Israeli judges. Even more, it was a tacit admission that Israel's systematically torture Arab prisoners. Recently when Alexandra Johnson, a member of the U.S. Consulate in Jerusalem, filed cables proving three cases of such torture, Jewish organizations in America tried to turn her into some sort of Nazi monster. State, on the other hand, stood by her -- the lower echelons of State, that is. The higher echelons, the political caters to domestic minority racism, always abjectly surrender to Israeli demands. Jews unleashed their venom on Alexandra Johnson and Vance, the arch appeaser, ordered that henceforth no official of the Palestine Liberation Organization would be admitted to the U.S. without a special waiver from State or the Justice Department. This apparently calmed down the Jewish terrorists who run Israel. It also demonstrated the asinine ambivalence of America's Middle Eastern policy. How does one play the role of an evenhanded mediator when contact with one of the interested parties is forbidden by law?

New York. Dr. Paul C. Rosenbloom is noted for two accomplishments: (1) he was a moving force behind the New Math which has caused so much havoc and confusion in elementary schools; (2) he teaches mathematics at Columbia while wearing a yarmulke.

The number of mass circulation newspapers or magazines which have reviewed or even mentioned The Dispossessed Majority could be counted on the pedal extremities of a two toed sloth. This shameful record was not changed by a recent request for a review copy of the book from Afro-American Newspapers, a chain of five black dailies. Request denied.

A self-proclaimed gay minister, Rev. John Kuiper, was given permanent custody of a 13-year-old boy. Kuiper disclosed his young friend would live in a menage a trois with 40-year-old Roger Hoovenman, the love of the holy man's life.

Because of some perversion of the human soul, cemeteries are all too frequently vandalized. Consequently, when a few tombstones are rolled over or defaced from time to time, it is hardly news -- except when it happens in Jewish cemeteries. Then graveyard vandalism takes on the added dimension of anti-Semitism. When some gravestones in Jewish burial grounds were recently harmed in Staten Island, Jewish organizations bore down heavily on the police. Worried cops hurriedly assured the Jews that beginning immediately police helicopters using strong lights would conduct nightly patrols over the cemeteries. But this was not enough. Jewish organizations also demanded that New York taxpayers foot the bill for costs of tombstone repair.

Dr. Peter Bourne, the pro-drug ex-Carter braintruster, who should now be in jail for writing an unlawful prescription for a controlled drug for a White House crony, is now a highly paid U.N. employee. Once again he is on the American taxpayer's payroll, since the U.S. chips in 19% of the freight to keep the U.N. functioning. Bourne will be heading a committee that coordinates worldwide water pro-

grams, though his experience in the field has been zero. Someone pretty high up had to recommend Bourne for the job. Someone pretty high up had to insist that an unsentenced and unprosecuted criminal be handed such an undeserved plum.

Detroit. Gerald Carlson, who heads a new pro-white political party in Michigan, complained to authorities that he has been discriminated against in his job because of his political beliefs. Denied overtime and fringe benefits and finally fired, he wrote to the Detroit Department of Civil Rights, which promised to hear him out. The agency was nonplussed, since almost all charges of discrimination come from blacks and other minority groups (the Weber case being the exception that proved the rule). At present Carlson is trying to collect 25,000 signatures to get his National Christian Democratic Union on the ballot in next year's election. Detoritans or Michiganders may write him at P.O. Box 476, Wayne, MI 48184.

Chicago. Instauration received a letter from the American Library Association asking for the last three issues so the magazine could be displayed as "an alternate press publication" in an ALA exposition in Dallas last June. We duly sent them off and asked a local Instaurationist to visit the ALA exhibit. No Instauration were to be seen.

Austin, TX. A prominent journalist and political observer using the pen name Thomas Jefferson has written an interesting book entitled The All American Lie -- The Case for Human Inequality. The title is the clue to the work's subject matter. "The truth," writes the author, "is that no men are created equal," thereby at the very beginning hanging the real Jefferson on his own petard. The book undertakes a step-by-step analysis of the madness of our times, attributing the nation's defeats to self-delusion, false definitions, false dichotomies, and falsified history. There are factual (for a change) chapters on the Negro, his past, his habits, his lapses and his mental capacity. There is nothing particularly new in the book. Its importance lies mainly in that it is authored by a professional newspaperman and magazine writer, the kind who must make his living by writing just the opposite of what he has written in his book. It's a sign of the times, a good sign, that one such person is beginning to spend some of his time and money fighting rather than supporting the establishment. Since it is extremely doubtful the book will be stocked in any bookstore, the easiest and best way to purchase it is to write Pendulum Publishers, 4206 Avenue A, Suite 111, Austin, TX 78751. No price was marked on the review copy sent to Instauration.

Ends of the Earth. Although Instauration has subscribers in many lands, the editor was recently surprised to receive two letters of inquiry from Tasmania and one from Easter Island.
Egypt. The Cairo newspaper Al Ahram recently ran a poem on Jimmy the Tooth’s hemorrhoids. The poet begged Allah to relieve the president’s pain because “this malady should have been visited upon an unjust politician, rather than on you, O President Carter.”

Canada. The Progressive-Conservative party, which tried to capture Jewish votes by promising to move the Canadian Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem, did not do too well in getting Jews into parliament in the recent election. The only Jewish Progressive candidate, Sidney Spiwak, was defeated, while four Jewish members of the Liberal Party were elected. A freakish prelate, an Anglican priest named Roland de Corneille, director of the B’nai B’rith League for Human Rights, was elected to parliament in the heavily Jewish area of Toronto.

Britain. According to the May 11th issue of the Jewish Chronicle, thirty-two Jewish members of parliament were returned in last month’s general election, a full dozen short of the record number of Jews elected in October 1974. The ratio is twenty-one [Leftist Jews] to eleven [Conservative Jews], as compared with thirty-five to nine after the previous election. Six of the successful Conservative Jews have been appointed by Mrs. Thatcher to her administration, with a seventh being added in the form of Councilor Irwin Bellow, leader of the Leeds City Council, who has been given a life peerage to become spokesman for the Department of the Environment in the Lords. In addition, Mrs. Thatcher has appointed as chief of staff of her political office Mr. David Wolfson, a nephew of Sir Isaac and a former director of the Great Universal Stores. This parliament is the thirty-first since Baron Lionel de Rothschild took his seat as the first Jewish M.P. in July 1858...

That the Jewish Chronicle’s list may by no means be complete is suggested by the following snippet from the pen of its “Chronicler” columnist in the May 11 issue:

“As in the previous three Parliaments, the only Cohen in the New House of Commons is a Roman Catholic. Mr. Stanley Cohen, a former railway clerk, has held the Leeds South East since 1970. He told me that there was ‘some Jewish grandparent in the distant past.’”

And, of course, Mr. Callaghan had a Granny Bernstein!

From the British newsletter Candour, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU 33 7DD, England

West Germany. The Hoffman troop, which has received some publicity on CBS’s “60 Minutes,” has now launched a glossy tabloid Kommando. Led by Karl Heinz Hoffman, a militarist with a mustache as wide as his face, the group refurbishes and rebuilds old war materiel, from tanks to firearms, and trains its personnel in the field in winter and summer maneuvers. It is a part-time army, however, since all its fighting men hold jobs. At present, the Wehrsportgruppe, as Hoffman calls it, is seeking recruits not just in West Germany, but throughout Europe. Hoffman can be reached as Soefenh身形5, D 8501, Heroldsberg, West Germany.
France. Marcel Ifrig, an Alsatian doctor, recently received a six-month suspended sentence. His crime: he was the author of a newspaper article which denied the Holocaust had ever taken place.

Switzerland. The television station in Lugano presented a 3-hour debate on the Holocaust (April 17, 1979). Robert Faurisson, professor of literature at the University of Lyon, and Pierre Guillaume, publisher of the late French demythologist, Paul Rassinier, supported the view that the death camp propaganda was a hoax. A bevy of liberals and Jewish intellectuals insisted that the claims were true and that six million really died. The program captured such a large audience that it was rerun (May 6, 1979). According to a European Instauration who watched the debate, Faurisson and Guillaume made a strong and convincing argument for the anti-Holocaust cause and clearly came out ahead. The same thing might happen over here if such debates were not forbidden.

Moscow. Soviet artist Mikhail Savitsky recently exhibited an iconoclastic painting called “Summer Theater” in a Minsk art show. It depicted a pile of naked Russian (not Jewish) bodies in a Nazi concentration camp. Positioned at each end of the canvas, smiling happily at the mound of dead men, were a Nazi guard and a Jewish trustee with a big Star of David sewn to his striped prison garb. The din of protest was deafening. Nevertheless, the picture was not withdrawn from the exhibit. Instead, it was reproduced in Literatura Maratstva, the official organ of the Byelorussian ministry of culture.

Israel. The Zionist state has more lawyers per capita than any other nation in the world -- one for every 600 Israelis. It follows that Israel has the highest litigation rate in the world -- 75 suits filed annually for every 1,000 Israelis. How would an environmentalist explain why Britain has a rate of only 7 suits per 1,000 inhabitants? * * *

Shmuel Flatto-Sharon, who skipped France with $50 million filched from investors in fraudulent real estate deals and who is now a respected member of the Knesset, has organized his own special hit squad to hunt down Iddi Amin. The 12-man team, which the Washington Post calls a commando unit, is searching the bush for the Negro bossman Jews have never forgiven. It was Amin, it will be recalled, who made the irremediable error of allowing a hijacked Israeli plane to land at Uganda’s Entebbe Airport. The recently deposed Amin is also alleged to have been responsible for the murder of Dora Bloch, the only passenger in the plane not rescued by the Israelis. Israel, incidentally, has refused to let Flatto-Sharon be extradited to France, the country the Polish-born Jew says he loves and where he presided daily at a luncheon table at Maxim's. Flatto-Sharon claims his father and almost all his close relations were liquidated at Auschwitz.

Lebanon. As a form of counterpoint to the ongoing “peace talks” between Israel and Egypt, the Zionist state’s American-built fighter planes and American-built bombs have been playing the devil’s music over this defenseless country. In one Israeli bombing and strafing run against Mohmara in north Lebanon, described by Tel Aviv as a “guerrilla training base,” the only victims were members of a wedding party. The groom, his father, his brother and his brother’s wife, as well as a nephew were all killed. The bride-to-be survived.

Iran. At the height of the Iranian crisis last February a Pan American jet was about to take off from Tehran airport. Fearful Americans, many of them women and children clambered aboard. When all the passengers had taken their seats, an airline official arrived and announced that thirty-three Americans had to be bumped. With groans, moans and imperfections, the specified quota returned to the waiting room. Into their seats filed thirty-three Israelis and the plane took off. This is not the first time that Jews have taken precedence over Americans in international travel. George Kennan reported that American diplomats in Lisbon had to yield their reservations on a New York-bound ship to European Jews in the hectic early days of World War II. Since Majority members now have to take second place to minorities in their own country, they can hardly be surprised when Majority members overseas are given the same treatment by American-owned airlines.

While America grows more minority racist, Iran grows more majority racist. The classic liberal-minority revolution, in which minority radicals dispossess the mass of the population did not take place in Iran. For this reason a large part of the media and academia has been reluctant to confer authentic revolutionary status on the Iranian insurgency. It did not hew to the classic model of, say, the Bolshevik bloodbath in Russia or Bela Kun’s insane outburst in Hungary. The Ayatollah Khomeini is looked upon as a religious fanatic rather than a “pure” revolutionary, more of a Savarona than a Rosa Luxemburg, more of a Cromwell than a Trotsky. The flight of Jews, the renewed oppression of the Kurds, the reemphasis on the Koran -- all these manifestations have more to do with racial pride and cultural renewal than establishing the dictatorship of the proletariat. In the streets of Tehran, instead of Marxist slogans, one hears more and more talk about Arab “mouse eaters” and Turkish “donkeys.” Iran, whose name derives from Aryan, although the country is now almost completely “Aryanless,” seems to be trying to live up to the racial supremacist habits of its founding fathers some thousands of years too late.

Pakistan. General Zia-ul-Haq, the country’s military governor, is an ultra prohibitionist who would make an excellent president of the WCTU. Recently he put a total ban on alcohol and narcotics, reinforcing it with lashings and long prison sentences for violators. The hardest hit were the opium addicts, fifty of whom died, while hundreds more were ambulanceed to hospitals. Like the late Chairman Mao, General Zia believes in the cold turkey treatment, even if it lays many of his countrymen out cold. The fact remains that Mao did solve the Chinese drug problem, which was by far the worst the world has ever known. Guns, not tender loving care, seem to be the only lasting cure. Until all the pushers are shot and all the customers quit or go to jail, anti-drug campaigns are about as effective as the 55-mile-an-hour speed limit.

South Africa. While the white leaders of South Africa are showing signs of caving in to foreign demands to lower the bars of apartheid, the white workers are still holding the line. About one-quarter of the country’s 35,000 white miners, responding to orders from the mine workers’ union, went on strike to protest an affirmative action program at an American-owned mine. The mine owner said the strike, which had the side effect of idling 500,000 black miners, was not really against the mine, but against the government. The South African mining industry accounts for $4 billion in annual exports. It is largely controlled by American and Jewish interests, principally by Harry Oppenheimer, who is a convert to Christianity, the richest South African and the man to see in the DeBeers diamond monopoly.

Australia. The National Democratic and Popular Coordinating Centre has been set up under the direction of Arthur C. Thane. The organization claimed its dedication to the task of decentralizing government, to ending deficit spending, to rebuild international respect, and to maintaining a “defense establishment second to none in the Pacific.” Entering into more controversial areas, the NDPC recognized the “inequality of man” and wants to develop a “healthy cultural and racial approach to politics.” The group, whose address is Postal Box 183, East Maitland, New South Wales, 2323 Australia, puts out a quarterly entitled Instauration.